BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Union)	
Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri)	Casa Na ET 9019 0199
for Approval of Efficient Electrification)	Case No. ET-2018-0132
Program)	

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL

COMES NOW the Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") and for its Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Rebuttal, states as follows:

- 1. The OPC filed the rebuttal testimony of Dr. Geoff Marke in the above styled case on October 1, 2018.
- 2. As part of this rebuttal testimony, Dr. Marke laid out a proposed risk-sharing mechanism for use in conjunction with Ameren Missouri's "Charge Ahead Electric Vehicles" program.
- 3. Upon further discussions with stakeholders in the case, it was discovered that that greater clarity was needed regarding the framework of this mechanism.
- 4. Dr. Marke has prepared supplemental rebuttal testimony that addresses the concerns raised to the OPC by providing an explanation of the accounting and rate-case recovery elements of its proposed risk sharing mechanism.
- 5. This supplemental rebuttal testimony is attached to this motion as Exhibit A.
- 6. This supplemental rebuttal testimony does not fundamentally alter any portion of the prior rebuttal testimony, save for one minor numerical correction, and

does not include any new or additional evidence. It exists solely to provide greater clarity regarding the OPC's proposed risk-sharing mechanism by explaining how the expenditures made by the utility would be treated for accounting purposes and how those expenditures could be recovered given the variable timing of rate cases.

7. The OPC is committed to continue working toward a mutually beneficial settlement on the issue of Ameren's "Charge Ahead – Electric Vehicles" program and is providing this supplemental rebuttal testimony so as to ensure that all stakeholders to the case have a clear understanding of the design of the OPC's proposed solution.

WHEREFORE, the Office of the Public Counsel respectfully requests leave to file the supplemental rebuttal of Dr. Geoff Marke attached to this motion as Exhibit A in case number ET-2018-0132.

Respectfully submitted, OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

By: /s/ John Clizer John Clizer (#69043) Associate Counsel P.O. Box 2230 Telephone: (573) 751-5324

Facsimile: (573) 751-5562

E-mail: john.clizer@ded.mo.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the forgoing have been mailed, emailed, or hand-delivered to all counsel of record this 6th day of November, 2018.

/s/ John Clizer

Exhibit No.:	
--------------	--

Issue(s): Performance Base Metric Witness/Type of Exhibit: Marke/Supplemental Rebuttal Sponsoring Party: Public Counsel Case No.: ET-2018-0132

SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

GEOFF MARKE

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI'S

Case No. ET-2018-0132

November 6, 2018

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application) of Union Electric Company d/b/a) Ameren Missouri for Approval) of Efficient Electrification Program)	No. ET-2018-0132
AFFIDAVIT OF G	EOFF MARKE
STATE OF MISSOURI)	
COUNTY OF COLE) ss	
Geoff Marke, of lawful age and being first du	ly sworn, deposes and states:
 My name is Geoff Marke. I am a Reg Counsel. 	gulatory Economist for the Office of the Public
Attached hereto and made a part hered testimony.	of for all purposes is my supplemental rebuttal
true and correct to the best of my knowledge \overline{G}	ements contained in the attached testimony are ledge and belief. Legy August 1997 eoff Marke hief Economist

Jerene A. Buckman Notary Public

My commission expires August 23, 2021.

Subscribed and sworn to me this 6th day of November 2018.

JERENE A. BUCKMAN My Commission Expires August 23, 2021 Cole County Commission #13754037

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Testimony	Page
Introduction	1
Performance Base Metric	2

SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

GEOFF MARKE

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY

d/b/a Ameren Missouri CASE NO. ET-2018-0132

I.	IN	TRO	DH	CTI	ON
1.	11.1	\mathbf{I}	\mathbf{p}	\mathbf{v}	$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{I}}$

Q. Please state your name, title and business addre	Please state your name, title and busing	ness auur
---	--	-----------

- A. Geoffrey Marke, PhD, Chief Economist, Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC"), P.O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- Q. Are you the same Geoff Marke who filed rebuttal testimony in ET-2018-0132?
- A. Yes.

Q. What is the purpose of your supplemental rebuttal testimony?

- A. To provide further explanation for the performance base metric of OPC's risk-sharing mechanism for the Ameren Missouri Charge Ahead—Electric Vehicle program laid out in the rebuttal testimony based on feedback from Ameren Missouri and stakeholders to this docket. As stated in rebuttal testimony, OPC suggests that the Commission could consider approval of Ameren Missouri's Charge Ahead—Electric Vehicle application with the following customer protections based on forecasted figures provided in Ameren Missouri's testimony:
 - \$10 million in subsidies to promote EV charging stations;
 - \$1 million in associated program administration and marketing;
 - A 5-year time limit on program spend; and
 - A risk-sharing mechanism between ratepayers and shareholders based on the number of registered plug-in EVs in Ameren Missouri's service territory by the close of calendar year 2028.

The rest of the testimony will provide for the performance base metric.

3

2

5

8

9

7

10

1112

13 14

1516

17

18

19

20

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

12

13

14

15

Q. What is Ameren Missouri's EV adoption projections?

A. Ameren Missouri's triennial IRP provides for three adoption scenarios for years 2019 -2028 and are reprinted in table 1.

Table 1. Ameren Missouri Low, Base, and High EV adoption projections

Year	Low	Base	High
2019	3,262	3,768	7,688
2020	3,996	4,538	9,436
2021	4,915	5,422	11,583
2022	6,078	6,952	14,218
2023	6,801	9,129	17,453
2024	7,646	11,604	21,424
2025	8,659	14,378	26,297
2026	9,533	17,451	32,280
2027	10,440	20,375	39,624
2028	11,346	24,516	48,638

Q. How many additional EV cars would need to be adopted to achieve the full performance base metric of the EV charging station subsidies?

- A. According to Ameren Missouri witness Steve Wills, it would be approximately 7,500.
- 10 Q. How long is Ameren Missouri proposing to subsidize EV charging stations?
- 11 A. They are requesting five years.
 - Q. How many registered EV cars would need to operational in Ameren Missouri's service territory for the Company to receive achieve the full performance base metric?
 - A. In rebuttal testimony OPC put forward that there would need to be 32,500 post-2018 registered EV cars in Ameren Missouri's service territory for full recovery over a ten-year period. That

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

11

10

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

20

19

21 22

23 2.4

25

number has been amended to 33,016 to reflect Ameren Missouri's base forecast filing for ten years.

How does the performance base metric work? Q.

- The OPC's proposed performance base metric would essentially work as follows:
 - All prudently incurred program spending within the first five years (subject to a \$10 million cap on subsidies to promote EV charging stations and a \$1 million cap on associated program administration and marketing costs) would be booked into a deferred account;
 - All expenses so booked would begin accruing interest from the date booked at a rate equal to the cost of short-term debt in effect at the time of the expenditure;
 - During any general rate case initiated within ten years of the start of the program, Ameren would be entitled to recover (as an amortization expense) the balance of this deferred account pro-rated by the number of actual EV adoptions within its service area at the time of filing, less pre-2019 EV adoptions and projected EV adoptions for that year, divided by 7,500;
 - The deferred account will then be reduced by the same amount of any such recovery and all amortization expense recovered in this manner will be amortized over five years;
 - If the deferred account has not been fully exhausted within ten years of the start of the program, during the next general rate case immediately following ten years after the start of the program, Ameren will be entitled to recover (as an amortization expense) the remaining balance of the deferred account pro-rated by the number of actual EV adoptions within its service area as of ten years past the commencement of the program less pre-2019 EV adoptions and projected EV adoptions for the tenth year, divided by 7,500; and

4 5

11 12

10

13

Any remaining portion of the deferred account not recovered in this rate case will be deemed irrecoverable. All amortization expense recovered in this manner will be amortized over five years.

Q. Would Ameren Missouri have to wait the full ten years before it can achieve the full performance base metric?

A. Not necessarily. There are a number of adoption scenarios that could play out that would provide a positive return under the performance base metric to occur during a future Ameren Missouri rate case. The two key variables would be the timing of the rate case and the inducement of more than 7,500 EVs over the base forecast and the pre-2019 registered EVs. Table 2 provides a graphical illustration of the threshold of EVs in Ameren Missouri's service territory that would need to be registered to achieve a positive return under the performance base metric assuming the pre-2019 EVs were 1,000.

Table 2: Performance Base Metric Threshold by Year

Year	Base	Pre-2019 + 1,000	Positive Performance Threshold	100% Performance Threshold (+7,500)
2019	3,768	1,000	4,768 +	12,268
2020	4,538		5,538 +	13,038
2021	5,422		6,422 +	13,922
2022	6,952		7,952 +	15,452
2023	9,129		10,129 +	17,629
2024	11,604		12,604 +	20,104
2025	14,378		15,378 +	22,878
2026	17,451		18,451 +	25,951
2027	20,375		21,375 +	28,875
2028	24,516	1	25,516+	33,016

1

4

5

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

1617

18

2021

19

23

24

25

22

2627

Three illustrative scenarios under the Table 2 framework are provided below. Each of these three scenarios assume that all \$11M have been spent prior to the commencement of the rate case.

Scenario 1: Rate Case with test year ending in July of 2023 would utilize the base forecast for 2022 (6,952), the pre-2019 EVs (1,000) against the actual 10,000 registered EVs for 2022.

Base + pre-2019 = 7,952

Full performance metric = 15,452

Actual = 10,000

Actual – Base + pre-2019 ÷ Charge Ahead 100% = sharing % + carrying costs

- 1. 10,000 7,952 = 2,048 induced EV adoptions
- 2. $2048 \div 7,500 = 27.31\%$ recoverable percentage of deferred account
- 3. 27.31% of \$11M = \$3,004,100
- 4. \$3,004,100 + carrying costs at short-term debt = performance base metric in this case, remaining balance of deferred account left over for future rate cases.

Scenario 2: Rate Case with test year ending in July of 2023 would utilize the base forecast for 2022 (6,952), the pre-2019 EVs (1,000) against the actual 7,000 registered EVs for 2022.

Base + pre-2019 = 7,952

Full performance metric = 15,452

Actual = 7,000

Actual is less than Base + pre-2019 = <u>0% performance base metric in this rate case</u>, <u>but balance of deferred account remains available for later rate cases</u>.

Scenario 3: Rate Case with test year ending in July of 2023 would utilize the base forecast for 2022 (6,952), the pre-2019 EVs (1,000) against the actual 16,000 registered EVs for 2022.

Base + pre-2019 = 7,952

Full performance metric = 15,452

Actual = 16,000

Actual is greater than Base + pre- $2019 + 7,500 = \frac{\text{full performance metric} + \text{carrying}}{\text{costs at short term debt in 2023. Deferred account exhausted, so no further money.}}$

Q. Would Ameren Missouri need 33,016 registered EVs after ten years to achieve the full performance base metric?

A. No. As illustrated in scenario 3 above, Ameren Missouri could potentially achieve the full performance base metric after having only 16,000 registered EVs, or even less. That is because the performance base metric also recognizes aggressive adoption above and beyond a given year's pre-2019 and base forecast. If Ameren Missouri's EV adoption exceeds those numbers and an additional 7,500 registered EVs in the historical test year of a rate case, then OPC believes the Company should be rewarded.

Theoretically, under the performance base metric Ameren Missouri could achieve 100% allowance of the performance base metric without there being 33,016 registered EVs in its service territory in 2028. However, if such a threshold was met early in the program period it would appear unlikely that the 10-year EV goal originally contemplated would fail to materialize.

Also the Commission should be cognizant that OPC's proposal provides greater opportunity to profit than the utility's initial application because it permits Ameren Missouri to receive carrying costs. This concession is put forward in recognition that shareholders would bear some risk in the provision of this non-essential service.

Finally, all numbers associated with the performance base metric have been provided by Ameren Missouri. OPC did not rely on any other forecasts to inform this filing.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes.