Page 1 1 STATE OF MISSOURI 2 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 3 4 Volume 1 5 6 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 7 Procedural Conference March 28, 2014 8 9 Jefferson City, Missouri 10 11 12 Noranda Aluminum, Inc., et al.,)) 13 Complainants,)) 14 v.) File No.) EC-2014-0223 15 Union Electric Company, d/b/a) Ameren Missouri,) 16) Respondent.) 17) 18 Noranda Aluminum, Inc., et al.,)) 19 Complainants,)) 20) File No. v.) EC-2014-0224 21 Union Electric Company, d/b/a) Ameren Missouri,) 22) Respondent.) 23 24 25

		Page 2
1	MORRIS L. WOODRUFF, Presiding,	
	CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.	
2		
3	REPORTED BY:	
4	KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR NO. 838	
	MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 EDWARD F. DOWNEY, Attorney at Law Bryan Cave, LLP 221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101 3 Jefferson City, MO 65101-1575 4 (573)556-6622efdowney@bryancave.com 5 DIANA VUYLSTEKE, Attorney at Law 6 Bryan Cave, LLP 211 North Broadway, Suite 3600 7 St. Louis, MO 63102 (314)259-2543 8 FOR: Complainants. 9 MIEC. THOMAS BYRNE, Attorney at Law 10 Ameren Missouri P.O. Box 66149 11 1901 Chouteau Avenue St. Louis, MO 63103 12 (314) 554-2237 13 tbyrne@ameren.com JAMES B. LOWERY, Attorney at Law 14 Smith Lewis, LLP 15 111 South 9th Street, Suite 200 P.O. Box 918 Columbia, MO 65205-0918 16 (573)443-3141 17 lowery@smithlewis.com 18 L. RUSSELL MITTEN, Attorney at Law Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. 19 312 East Capital P.O. Box 456 20 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456 (573)635-7166 21 Rmitten@brydonlaw.com 22 FOR: Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri. 23 24 25

Page 3

MARK W. COMLEY, Attorney at Law 1 Newman, Comley & Ruth 2 601 Monroe, Suite 301 P.O. Box 537 Jefferson City, MO 65102 3 (573)634-2266 4 comleym@ncrpc.com 5 FOR: Continental Cement Company. LISA C. LANGENECKERT, Attorney at Law 6 P.O. Box 411793 7 St. Louis, MO 63141 (314)973 - 57438 llangeneckert@att.net FOR: River Cement Company. 9 10 JOHN COFFMAN, Attorney at Law 871 Tuxedo Boulevard St. Louis, MO 63119 11 (573)424-677912 FOR: AARP. 13 Consumers Council of Missouri. 14 THOMAS R. SCHWARZ, Attorney at Law Blitz, Bardgett & Deutsch 15 308 East High Street, Suite 301 Jefferson City, MO 65101-3237 (573)634 - 250016 tschwarz@bbdlc.com 17 FOR: Missouri Retailers Association. 18 RICK D. CHAMBERLAIN, Attorney at Law Behrens, Wheeler & Chamberlain 19 6 N.E. 63rd Street, Suite 400 20 Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (405)848 - 101421 MARCOS A. BARBOSA, Attorney at Law 22 Baker, Sterchi, Cowden & Rice, LLC 2400 Pershing Road, Suite 500 23 Kansas City, MO 64108 (816) 471-2121 24 FOR: Wal-Mart Stores East, LP. 25 Sam's East, Inc.

Page 4

```
Page 5
 1
     LEWIS R. MILLS, JR., Public Counsel
 2
            Office of the Public Counsel
            P.O. Box 2230
            200 Madison Street, Suite 650
 3
            Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230
            (573)751-4857
 4
                  FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
 5
                          and the Public.
 6
     KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Chief Staff Counsel
            Missouri Public Service Commission
 7
            P.O. Box 360
            200 Madison Street
 8
            Jefferson City, MO 65102
 9
            (573)751-3234
10
                  FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public
                           Service Commission.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 6 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (WHEREUPON, the procedural conference 3 began at 10:00 a.m.) JUDGE WOODRUFF: Welcome everyone to 4 5 the procedural conference in EC-2014-0223 and EC-2014-0224. We'll start today by taking entries 6 7 of appearance, beginning with Ameren Missouri. 8 MR. LOWERY: Good morning, your 9 Jim Lowery, Smith Lewis, LLP, P.O. Box 918, Honor. Columbia, Missouri 65205, appearing on behalf of 10 Ameren Missouri. 11 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for the Staff? 12 MR. BYRNE: Your Honor, I'm also Tom 13 Byrne, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 14 63103. 15 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. 17 MR. MITTEN: Your Honor, also Russ Mitten, Brydon, Swearengen & England, 312 East 18 Capital Avenue, Jefferson City, Missouri, also 19 20 appearing on behalf of Ameren Missouri. 21 MR. THOMPSON: Are you guys all done? 22 MR. MITTEN: We're done. 23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for Staff? 24 MR. THOMPSON: Kevin A. Thompson for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service 25

```
Page 7
    Commission, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City,
 1
 2
    Missouri 65102.
 3
                 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. Public
    Counsel?
 4
 5
                 MR. MILLS: On behalf of the Office
 6
    of the Public Counsel and the public, my name is
 7
    Lewis Mills. My address is Post Office Box 2230,
    Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
 8
                  JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. For
 9
    Noranda and the other complainants?
10
                 MR. DOWNEY: Edward Downey and Diana
11
12
    Vuylsteke, 221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101,
    Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.
13
14
                  JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for the
15
    Consumers Council? I don't see anybody here.
16
                 MR. COFFMAN: This is John. I'm on
17
    the phone. Yes. Appearing on behalf of Consumers
    Council and AARP, John Coffman, 871 Tuxedo
18
    Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 6310. Consumers
19
20
    Council has also intervened in the 224 case.
21
                  JUDGE WOODRUFF: Right. I'm taking
    entries for both. And AARP is only in 223; is that
22
23
    right?
24
                 MR. COFFMAN: That's correct.
25
                 JUDGE WOODRUFF: For the cities of
```

Page 8 O'Fallon and Ballwin? Anybody here from them? I 1 2 don't remember who that was. 3 MR. LOWERY: It was Mr. Lumley, et cetera, I believe. 4 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: For Wal-Mart? 6 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Good morning. 7 Rick D. Chamberlain and Marcos A. Barbosa for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc. 8 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. For 9 10 MIEC? MR. DOWNEY: Edward Downey and Diana 11 12 Vuylsteke, same address as earlier. 13 JUDGE WOODRUFF: For River Cement? 14 MS. LANGENECKERT: Lisa C. 15 Langeneckert appearing on behalf of River Cement, P.O. Box 411793, St. Louis, Missouri 63141. 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for the Missouri 17 18 Retailers? 19 MR. SCHWARZ: Tim Schwarz and Stephanie Bell, Blitz, Bardgett & Deutsch, 308 East 20 21 High Street, Suite 301, Jefferson City, Missouri. 22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for Continental 23 Cement? 24 MR. COMLEY. Appearing for Continental Cement Company, LLC, is Mark W. Comley, 25

		Page 9
1	Newman, Comley & Ruth. Our business address is	
2	601 Monroe, Suite 301, Jefferson City, Missouri.	
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. And I believe	
4	that's all 12 parties, so we'll get started here.	
5	MR. LINTON: Your Honor, this is	
6	David Linton.	
7	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes, David.	
8	MR. LINTON: I am appearing on behalf	
9	of United for Missouri. My address is 314 Romaine	
10	Spring View, Romaine, R-o-m-a-i-n-e, Spring View,	
11	Fenton, Missouri 63026. Again, I'm here on behalf	
12	of United for Missouri.	
13	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. And at	
14	this point United for Missouri has not intervened	
15	or applied to intervene?	
16	MR. LINTON: That is correct.	
17	JUDGE WOODRUFF: We had a discussion	
18	about this a couple days ago, I believe, and you	
19	indicated that United Missouri is considering	
20	United for Missouri is considering intervening at	
21	some point; is that true?	
22	MR. LINTON: Yes, sir.	
23	JUDGE WOODRUFF: And I indicated that	
24	it would be all right for Mr. Linton to appear	
25	today, although he's not actually representing a	

Page 10 party in the case or he's not technically 1 2 appearing, I guess would be the way to put it, 3 although he can participate in the meeting if he wishes. 4 5 MR. LOWERY: Just to clarify, your Honor, I guess Mr. Linton is listening in, so to 6 7 speak? 8 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Exactly. 9 MR. LOWERY: Thank you. 10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: That's a good way to 11 put it. All right. The first thing I wanted to 12 bring up was a -- Continental Cement filed a motion to intervene out of time in 223. That was filed on 13 March 18th, which means that it would be -- today 14 15 would be the last day to file any objections to it. Does anyone have any objections to Continental 16 17 Cement intervening in 223? 18 MR. DOWNEY: Judge? 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes, sir. 20 MR. DOWNEY: Ed Downey on behalf of 21 the complainants. We have until midnight tonight to decide; is that correct? 22 23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: That is correct. 24 MR. DOWNEY: I'd prefer not to have it reflect that we consent at this point. I don't 25

		Page 11
1	know if we'll oppose, but I won't be making that	
2	decision.	
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Very well.	
4	Continental Cement is already a party in the other	
5	case in 224. So we'll deal with it after midnight	
6	tonight.	
7	Okay. Well, the reason I brought you	
8	all together today was to try and get you all in	
9	one room and see if you can work anything out as	
10	far as a procedural schedule. I believe there was	
11	some suggestions that it would be appropriate to	
12	submit a proposed procedural schedule by April 1st,	
13	which will be next Tuesday. I think that sounds	
14	reasonable to me, so I'm going to make that a	
15	requirement after your discussions today.	
16	If you can reach an agreed-upon	
17	schedule on these two cases, that's wonderful. If	
18	you can't, you can submit competing schedules and	
19	the Commission will make the ultimate decision.	
20	I also want to bring up the	
21	possibility of creating a single procedural	
22	schedule for these two cases as well as the	
23	Ameren's proposed rate case which they filed a	
24	60-day notice on a few days ago, which was File	
25	No. ER-2014-0258. If there's any way to combine	

		Page 12
1	the three cases into one procedural schedule, I'd	
2	like you to consider that possibility.	
3	Mr. Mills, you wanted to comment?	
4	MR. MILLS: Yeah, Judge. The 60-day	
5	notice does not in any way obligate the company to	
6	file a rate case by any particular date. I think	
7	at this point it would be difficult for me to agree	
8	to delaying a result in the overearnings complaint	
9	at least until some, at a minimum, 13 months from	
10	now, which is when a rate case that's filed as	
11	quickly as the 60-day notice allows would be	
12	concluded.	
13	JUDGE WOODRUFF: I certainly	
14	understand that. Ameren may be open to the idea of	
15	expediting their filing or they may not. I'm	
16	throwing it out as a possibility.	
17	MR. MILLS: We can certainly talk	
18	about that. I just wanted to go on the record as	
19	saying that that's an unlikely thing we'll be able	
20	to work out.	
21	MR. SCHWARZ: If I might, the joint	
22	procedural schedule I think for 223 and 224 causes	
23	me some pause. I believe the cases are separate	
24	and distinct. They deal with separate issues. In	
25	my view, for instance, of the EIA dual cases last	

www.midwestlitigation.com MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334

		Page 13
1	year that went on a joint procedural schedule, I	
2	don't think that that was I don't think it	
3	turned out as well as we thought it might	
4	originally.	
5	So with that caveat, I will consult	
6	with others, but I initially have some concerns.	
7	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Lowery?	
8	MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, a couple	
9	things on the procedural schedule issue. It's our	
10	position, your Honor, that it's inappropriate to be	
11	discussing a procedural schedule in either of these	
12	pending cases unless and until the Commission as a	
13	whole has ruled and, in fact, overrules our motions	
14	to dismiss in both cases. Obviously if they grant	
15	them, then there wouldn't be a need for a	
16	procedural schedule.	
17	The only discussion of a schedule	
18	that we think is appropriate at this point is a	
19	schedule for the company, which bears the burden of	
20	proof on those motions to dismiss, to reply to the	
21	filings that have been made in the last 24 to 48	
22	hours, and then we also would ask that we have oral	
23	argument on those motions to dismiss.	
24	We didn't file those motions to	
25	dismiss as some procedural routine procedural	

PROCEDURAL CONFERENCE 3/28/2014

		Page 14
1	matter, which you sometimes see in civil cases. We	
2	filed them because we believe they have merit. We	
3	believe that the Commission, both as a matter of	
4	law and as a matter of the Commission's discretion,	
5	has the authority and should dismiss those cases.	
6	And we believe that we should be	
7	allowed to be heard further on them and have oral	
8	argument, and that's the only schedule we think is	
9	appropriate to be discussed at this point.	
10	In terms of this issue of whether or	
11	not the cases, if there were to be a procedural	
12	schedule, would be handled together, I have to	
13	respectfully disagree with Mr. Schwarz, and I also	
14	have to disagree with some of the analysis that I	
15	have only very quickly seen, given the timing and	
16	my the obligations I have to prepare for the	
17	Labadie hearings that your Honor, of course, is	
18	very familiar with. I think the cases would need	
19	to be consolidated and handled together.	
20	I disagree with the notion that you	
21	can change rates in a rate design case with the	
22	idea that, well, you may not be changing the	
23	company's revenue requirement, but so,	
24	therefore, you can go ahead and change rates. We	
25	disagree with that notion, and we'll be addressing	

that in due course. 1 2 But I believe that the cases, in 3 fact, would need to be consolidated. But the larger point is --4 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: When you're talking about consolidating cases, are you talking about 6 7 the two complaints filed? 8 MR. LOWERY: Well, the two complaints 9 filed, and I also think, notwithstanding the fact -- Mr. Mills is literally correct. It doesn't 10 11 obligate us to file a rate case, but I can tell 12 you, having been involved in six of them in the 13 last eight years, the company's going to be filing a rate case, and we're going to be filing a rate 14 15 case in July. That's going to happen. And it really wouldn't make a lot of sense to not deal 16 17 with all these together. 18 But the larger point is, a discussion of a procedural schedule is at this point, in my 19 opinion, premature, and that's going to be the 20 21 company's position. And if the Bench's ruling is that some kind of procedural schedule has to be 22 filed by April 1, which I'm going to ask you to 23 24 reconsider, in fact, I think we're going to be asking the Commission to reconsider such a ruling 25

Page 15

		Page 16
1	because we think it's inappropriate at this	
2	particular stage.	
3	We have thought about a schedule to	
4	rather expeditiously go ahead and get these motions	
5	to dismiss ruled on by the full Commission. I'd be	
6	happy to address that to the Commission, but I	
7	don't think it's appropriate to be talking about a	
8	procedural schedule in either of these cases, given	
9	the pending motions to dismiss.	
10	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Well, I'm not	
11	going to reconsider my order to file a proposed	
12	procedural schedule by April 1st. If Ameren wants	
13	to take the position that no other procedural	
14	schedule other than ruling on the motions to	
15	dismiss is appropriate, that can be your proposal.	
16	Everybody else can file whatever sort of schedule	
17	they believe is appropriate.	
18	As I say, the Commission will	
19	ultimately make the decision, and it will not be a	
20	ruling from the Bench here. It will be a ruling of	
21	the Commission.	
22	MR. LOWERY: Can I at least ask you	
23	to reconsider the date by which you've set that?	
24	As you know, I mean, I'm lead counsel on both of	
25	these cases, and I'm also lead counsel on the	

		Page 17
1	Labadie hearings, which start Monday morning and go	
2	three days. And my intention when I get done here	
3	today is to spend the rest of the day and weekend	
4	preparing for the Labadie hearings.	
5	So you're essentially putting me in a	
6	position that I can't really work my client to even	
7	meet the April 1 deadline, which is only Tuesday.	
8	JUDGE WOODRUFF: What do you propose	
9	as an alternative?	
10	MR. LOWERY: Well, I and again, I	
11	understand that the Bench is entitled to make its	
12	ruling. No sooner than Friday, and I guess I would	
13	ask for the following Monday would be more	
14	appropriate to give us a reasonable amount of time	
15	at least for me to consult with my client about	
16	these matters and give them my advice about them.	
17	MR. DOWNEY: Judge?	
18	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes, sir.	
19	MR. DOWNEY: I think you should stick	
20	to the April 1 deadline. What Mr. Lowery has said	
21	is that his client is not going to work on a	
22	scheduling order. They don't believe it's	
23	appropriate until after the Court rules on their	
24	motion to dismiss. So I don't see any point to	
25	delaying the submission of scheduling. I think you	

Page 18 should stick with the April 1. 1 2 MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, if we're 3 under order to file some kind of procedural schedule, we will, of course, comply with the 4 5 order. So it's not true that -- the schedule is probably not going to be the same as the other 6 7 parties may be suggesting, but if we're under order to file one, we, of course, are not going to 8 disregard the Commission's order. 9 10 MR. DOWNEY: We've represented exigent circumstances in both of these cases. 11 12 Delay by itself is prejudicial to our clients. JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. I'll 13 stick with my ruling of April 1st. 14 Okay. Well, is there any other 15 matters anyone wants to bring up while we're still 16 17 on the record? MR. LOWERY: Well, your Honor, in 18 terms of the -- in terms of the motions to dismiss 19 that are pending, I've only had a brief time to 20 21 overview them, particularly the ones that were filed by Noranda last night. 22 23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You mean the 24 responses? 25 MR. LOWERY: I'm sorry. The

	Page 19
1	responses to our motions to dismiss. We do, based
2	on that overview, believe that replies are
3	warranted, and normally our reply would be due on
4	April 11th. Because of the circumstances that I
5	have with the case coming up and so on, my request
6	would be that we be given 'til four additional
7	days from the normal time, April 11, so I guess
8	April 15th to file replies.
9	And then we would request that oral
10	argument be scheduled on both of them, separate
11	oral arguments, because they really involve
12	different standards and facts and so on.
13	But the oral arguments should be
14	obviously, I think, scheduled the same day and back
15	to back so that we don't have any inconvenience of
16	having two different days or any delay.
17	So I would make that request, that we
18	be given to the 15th to file replies, and that the
19	Commission set an oral argument at its earliest
20	possible convenience thereafter for us to be heard
21	on those motions to dismiss.
22	MR. DOWNEY: Judge?
23	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes, sir.
24	MR. DOWNEY: We would oppose that.
25	Normally I would never oppose anyone's request for

		Page 20
1	additional time to do anything. But, by my count,	
2	there are, one, two, three, four at least five	
3	attorneys here who represent Ameren. Mr. Lowery's	
4	pointed out his schedule may be a problem for him.	
5	We need to keep this case moving as quickly as we	
6	possibly can. I would prefer to see the schedule	
7	shortened for responses, not lengthened.	
8	MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, given that	
9	you've required us to file procedural schedules, I	
10	don't see how giving us additional time, four	
11	additional days, given the circumstances, to file	
12	reply and have oral argument has any effect on	
13	whatever schedule Mr. Downey may be proposing.	
14	JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'm not going to	
15	rule on the request for oral argument. If you want	
16	to make a written motion to that effect, I'll	
17	present that to the Commission in agenda at some	
18	point, and they can decide whether they want to	
19	have oral argument or not.	
20	As far as the additional time, I	
21	don't see that as a problem, and I see that say	
22	that because the motions to dismiss are not	
23	controlling on the Commission as far as procedure	
24	and otherwise on the case. You can go ahead and	
25	submit your procedural schedule. The Commission	

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Page 21 will consider the proposed procedural schedules, 1 2 and we'll proceed as it sees fit. 3 The motions to dismiss are existing apart from that, and we can rule on them at some 4 5 point, but that doesn't necessarily delay the rest 6 of the proceedings. So I will grant your request 7 until, I believe you said it was the 15th to 8 respond. 9 MR. LOWERY: Yes. Thank you, your 10 Honor. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Anything else 11 12 anyone wants to bring up while we're on the record? 13 Anyone else? 14 Okay. At this point, then, the 15 on-the-record proceeding portion of the proceeding is adjourned, and I'll leave you to your 16 17 discussions and leave the people on the phone. Thank you all very much. 18 19 (WHEREUPON, the recorded portion of the procedural schedule concluded at 10:16 a.m.) 20 21 22 23 2.4 25

		Page 22
1	CERTIFICATE	
2	STATE OF MISSOURI)	
) ss.	
3	COUNTY OF COLE)	
4	I, Kellene K. Feddersen, Certified	
5	Shorthand Reporter with the firm of Midwest	
6	Litigation Services, do hereby certify that I was	
7	personally present at the proceedings had in the	
8	above-entitled cause at the time and place set	
9	forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and	
10	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had;	
11	and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct	
12	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such	
13	time and place.	
14	Given at my office in the City of	
	Jefferson,	
15		
	County of Cole, State of Missouri.	
16		
17	Kellene K. Feddersen, RPR, CSR, CCR	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	17:14,23	Bolivar 3:3 7:12	cetera 8:4	competing 11:18
$\frac{\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} + 12 \cdot 7 \cdot 10}$	April 11:12	Boulevard 4:10	Chamberlain	complainants
AARP 4:12 7:18	15:23 16:12	7:19	4:18,19 8:6,7	1:13,19 3:8
7:22	17:7,20 18:1,14	Box 3:11,15,19	change 14:21,24	7:10 10:21
able 12:19	19:4,7,8	4:2,6 5:2,7 6:9	changing 14:22	complaint 12:8
above-entitled	argument 13:23	7:1,7 8:16	Chief 2:1 5:6	complaint 12.8
22:8	14:8 19:10,19	brief 18:20	Chouteau 3:11	15:8
additional 19:6	20:12,15,19	bring 10:12	6:14	comply 18:4
20:1,10,11,20	arguments 19:11	11:20 18:16	circumstances	concerns 13:6
address 7:7 8:12	19:13	21:12	18:11 19:4	concluded 12:12
9:1,9 16:6	asking 15:25	Broadway 3:6	20:11	21:20
addressing 14:25	Association 4:17	brought 11:7	cities 7:25	conference 1:7
adjourned 21:16	Attorney 3:2,5	Bryan 3:2,6	City 1:9 3:3,20	6:2,5
advice 17:16	3:10,14,18 4:1	Brydon 3:18	4:3,15,20,23	consent 10:25
agenda 20:17	4:6,10,14,18,21	6:18	5:3,8 6:19 7:1,8	consider 12:2
ago 9:18 11:24	attorneys 20:3	burden 13:19	7:13 8:21 9:2	21:1
agree 12:7	authority 14:5	business 9:1	22:14	considering 9:19
agreed-upon	Avenue 3:11 6:14	Byrne 3:10 6:13	civil 14:1	9:20
11:16 ahead 14:24 16:4	6:19	6:14	clarify 10:5	consolidated
20:24	a.m 6:3 21:20		client 17:6,15,21	14:19 15:3
al 1:12,18		C	clients 18:12	consolidating
allowed 14:7	B	C 4:6 6:1 8:14	Coffman 4:10	15:6
allows 12:11	B 3:14	22:1,1	7:16,18,24	consult 13:5
alternative 17:9	back 19:14,15	Capital 3:19 6:19	Cole 22:3,15	17:15
Aluminum 1:12	Baker 4:22	caption 22:9	Columbia 3:16	Consumers 4:13
1:18	Ballwin 8:1	case 7:20 10:1	6:10	7:15,17,19
Ameren 1:15,21	Barbosa 4:21 8:7	11:5,23 12:6,10	combine 11:25	Continental 4:5
3:10,22 6:7,11	Bardgett 4:14	14:21 15:11,14	coming 19:5	8:22,25 10:12
6:20 12:14	8:20	15:15 19:5 20:5	Comley 4:1,1	10:16 11:4
16:12 20:3	based 19:1	20:24	8:24,25 9:1	controlling 20:23
Ameren's 11:23	bears 13:19	cases 11:17,22	comleym@ncr	convenience
amount 17:14	began 6:3	12:1,23,25	4:4	19:20
analysis 14:14	beginning 6:7	13:12,14 14:1,5	comment 12:3	correct 7:24 9:16
anybody 7:15 8:1	behalf 6:10,20	14:11,18 15:2,6	Commission 1:2	10:22,23 15:10
anyone's 19:25	7:5,17 8:15 9:8	16:8,25 18:11	5:7,10 7:1	22:11
apart 21:4	9:11 10:20	cause 22:8	11:19 13:12	Council 4:13
appear 9:24	Behrens 4:19	causes 12:22	14:3 15:25 16:5	7:15,18,20
appearance 6:7	believe 8:4 9:3,18	Cave 3:2,6	16:6,18,21	counsel 5:1,2,5,6
APPEARANC	11:10 12:23	caveat 13:5	19:19 20:17,23	7:4,6 16:24,25
3:1	14:2,3,6 15:2	CCR 2:4 22:17	20:25	count 20:1
appearing 6:10	16:17 17:22	Cement 4:5,9	Commission's	County 22:3,15
6:20 7:17 8:15	19:2 21:7	8:13,15,23,25	14:4 18:9	couple 9:18 13:8
8:24 9:8 10:2	Bell 8:20	10:12,17 11:4	company 1:15,21	course 14:17
applied 9:15	Bench 16:20	certainly 12:13	3:22 4:5,9 8:25	15:1 18:4,8
appropriate	17:11 Bonch's 15:21	12:17	12:5 13:19	Court 17:23
11:11 13:18	Bench's 15:21	Certified 22:4	company's 14:23	Cowden 4:22
14:9 16:7,15,17	Blitz 4:14 8:20	certify 22:6	15:13,21	creating 11:21
	I	I	I	I

Г

PROCEDURAL CONFERENCE 3/28/2014

distinct 12:24 Downey 3:2 7:11	10.4	15:13,14,15,20 15:23,24 16:11	JAMES 3:14	leave 21:16.17	
distinct 12.74		I INTIA I/IIN (7/1)	I	leave 21:16,17	
U	16:4	going 11:14	J	lead 16:24,25	
disregard 18:9	expeditiously	16:4 17:1 20:24		14:4	
20:22 21:3	expediting 12:15	go 12:18 14:24	issues 12:24	4:10,14,18,21	
18:19 19:1,21	existing 21:3	0 0	issue 13:9 14:10	3:14,18 4:1,6	
16:5,9,15 17:24	exigent 18:11	giving 20:10	involved 15:12	law 2:1 3:2,5,10	
13:23,25 14:5	Exactly 10:8	19:6,18 20:8,11 22:14	involve 19:11	larger 15:4,18	
dismiss 13:14,20	Everybody 16:16	0	10:17	4:6 8:14,15	
21:17	et 1:12,18 8:4	give 17:14,16 given 14:15 16:8	intervening 9:20	Langeneckert	
discussions 11:15	essentially 17:5		9:14	17:1,4	
13:17 15:18	11:25	$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G} 6:1}$	intervened 7:20	Labadie 14:17	
discussion 9:17	ER-2014-0258	G	10:13	L 2:1 3:18	
discussing 13:11	entries 6:6 7:22	further 14:7	intervene 9:15	L	
discussed 14:9	entitled 17:11	full 16:5 22:11	intention 17:2		
discretion 14:4	6:18	Friday 17:12	instance 12:25	know 11:1 16:24	
14:14,20,25	England 3:18	four 19:6 20:2,10	initially 13:6	kind 15:22 18:3	
disagree 14:13	3:22	forth 22:9	indicated 9:19,23	Kevin 5:6 6:24	
difficult 12:7	Electric 1:15,21	foregoing 22:11	19:15	22:17	
19:16	either 13:11 16:8	following 17:13	inconvenience	Kellene 2:4 22:4	
different 19:12	eight 15:13	five 20:2	13:10 16:1	keep 20:5	
8:11	EIA 12:25	fit 21:2	inappropriate	K 2.4 22.4,17 Kansas 4:23	
Diana 3:5 7:11	effect 20:12,16	first 10:11	idea 12:14 14:22	K 2:4 22:4,17	
8:20	3:4	firm 22:5	Ι	<u> </u>	
Deutsch 4:14	efdowney@br	filings 13:21	11041513.22	July 15:15	
design 14:21	8:11	15:13,14	hours 13:22	21:11 Junior 15:15	
17:25	Ed 10.20 Edward 3:2 7:11	filing 12:15	21:10	19:22,23 20:14	
delaying 12:8	Ed 10:20	18:22	18:2,18 20:8	17:18 18:13,23	
19:16 21:5	1:20 6:6	14:2 15:7,9,23	9.5 10.0 15.8 13:10 14:17	16:10 17:8,17	
delay 18:12	EC-2014-0224	11:23 12:10	9:5 10:6 13:8	12:13 13:7 15:5	
16:19	1:14 6:5	filed 10:12,13	Honor 6:9,13,17	10:23 11:3 12:4	
decision 11:2,19	EC-2014-0223	19:8,18 20:9,11	High 4:15 8:21		
20:18	8:20	16:11,16 18:3,8	17:1,4	9:3,7,13,17,23 10:8,10,18,19	
decide 10:22	4:25 6:18 8:8,8	13:24 15:11	hearings 14:17		
15:16	East 3:19 4:15,24	11:24 12:6	heard 14:7 19:20	7:14,21,25 8:5 8:9,13,17,22	
deal 11:5 12:24	earliest 19:19	file 1:14,20 10:15	happy 16:6	7:14,21,25 8:5	
deadline 17:7,20	earlier 8:12	Fenton 9:11	happen 15:15	Judge 2:1 6:4,12 6:16,23 7:3,9	
20:11	E 6:1,1 22:1,1	22:4,17	handled 14:12,19	JR 5:1	
17:2 19:7,16	E	Feddersen 2:4	<u> </u>	joint 12:21 13:1	
days 9:18 11:24	3:22	20:23	guys 6:21	7:18	
uay 10.15 17.5 19:14	d/b/a 1:15,21	far 11:10 20:20	17:12 19:7	John 4:10 7:16	
day 10:15 17:3	due 15:1 19:3	familiar 14:18	guess 10:2,6	Jim 6:9	
David 9:6,7	dual 12:25	facts 19:12	grant 13:14 21:6	22:14	
date 12:6 16:23	,	15:10,24			
D 4:18 6:1 8:7	17:17,19 18:10 19:22,24 20:13	fact 13:13 15:3	good 6:8 8:6 10:10	5:8 6:19 7:1,8 7:13 8:21 9:2	
D	10:18,20,20,24	F 3:2 22:1	20:14	3:20 4:3,15 5:3	
	7:11 8:11,11	F	17:21 18:6,8	Jefferson 1:9 3:3	

	1	1	1	1	
lengthened 20:7	Midwest 2:4 22:5	North 3:6	P 6:1	16:12,13 18:3	
Lewis 3:14 5:1	MIEC 3:9 8:10	notes 22:12	participate 10:3	20:9,25 21:1,20	
6:9 7:7	Mills 5:1 7:5,7	notice 11:24 12:5	particular 12:6	procedure 20:23	
Linton 9:5,6,8,16	12:3,4,17 15:10	12:11	16:2	proceed 21:2	
9:22,24 10:6	minimum 12:9	notion 14:20,25	particularly	proceeding 21:15	
Lisa 4:6 8:14	Missouri 1:1,9	notwithstanding	18:21	21:15	
listening 10:6	1:15,21 3:10,22	15:9	parties 9:4 18:7	proceedings 1:6	
literally 15:10	4:13,17 5:7,10	N.E 4:19	party 10:1 11:4	21:6 22:7,10	
Litigation 2:4	6:7,10,11,14,19		pause 12:23	proof 13:20	
22:6	6:20,25 7:2,8	0	pending 13:12	proposal 16:15	
llangeneckert	7:13,19 8:16,17	O 6:1	16:9 18:20	propose 17:8	
4:8	8:21 9:2,9,11	objections 10:15	people 21:17	proposed 11:12	
LLC 4:22 8:25	9:12,14,19,20	10:16	Pershing 4:22	11:23 16:11	
LLP 3:2,6,14 6:9	22:2,15	obligate 12:5	personally 22:7	21:1	
lot 15:16	Mitten 3:18 6:17	15:11	phone 7:17 21:17	proposing 20:13	
Louis 3:7,12 4:7	6:18,22	obligations 14:16	place 22:8,13	public 1:2 5:1,2,5	
4:11 6:14 7:19	MO 3:3,7,12,16	obviously 13:14	point 9:14,21	5:5,7,10 6:25	
8:16	3:20 4:3,7,11	19:14	10:25 12:7	7:3,6,6	
Lowery 3:14 6:8	4:15,23 5:3,8	office 5:2,5 7:1,5	13:18 14:9 15:4	put 10:2,11	
6:9 8:3 10:5,9	Monday 17:1,13	7:7 22:14	15:18,19 17:24	putting 17:5	
13:7,8 15:8	Monroe 4:2 9:2	OK 4:20	20:18 21:5,14	P.C 3:18	
16:22 17:10,20	months 12:9	Okay 9:3 11:7	pointed 20:4	P.O 3:11,15,19	
18:2,18,25 20:8	morning 6:8 8:6	16:10 18:15	portion 21:15,19	4:2,6 5:2,7 6:9	
21:9	17:1	21:11,14	position 13:10	8:16	
Lowery's 20:3	MORRIS 2:1	Oklahoma 4:20	15:21 16:13		
lowery@smith	motion 10:12	ones 18:21	17:6	$\frac{\mathbf{Q}}{\mathbf{Q}}$	
3:17	17:24 20:16	on-the-record	possibility 11:21	quickly 12:11	
LP 4:24 8:8	motions 13:13,20	21:15	12:2,16	14:15 20:5	
Lumley 8:3	13:23,24 16:4,9	open 12:14	possible 19:20	R	
M	16:14 18:19	opinion 15:20	possibly 20:6	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R} 4:14 5:1 6:1}$	
Madison 5:3,8	19:1,21 20:22	oppose 11:1 19:24,25	Post 7:1,7	22:1	
,	21:3	oral 13:22 14:7	prefer 10:24 20:6	rate 11:23 12:6	
making 11:1 March 1:8 10:14	moving 20:5	19:9,11,13,19	prejudicial 18:12	12:10 14:21	
Marcos 4:21 8:7	<u> </u>	20:12,15,19	premature 15:20	15:11,14,14	
Mark 4:1 8:25	$\frac{1}{N6:1}$	order 16:11	prepare 14:16	rates 14:21,24	
matter 14:1,3,4	name 7:6	17:22 18:3,5,7	preparing 17:4	reach 11:16	
matters 17:16	necessarily 21:5	17.22 18.3,3,7	present 20:17	really 15:16 17:6	
18:16	need 13:15 14:18	originally 13:4	22:7 Prosiding 2:1	19:11	
mean 16:24	15:3 20:5	overearnings	Presiding 2:1	reason 11:7	
18:23	never 19:25	12:8	probably 18:6	reasonable 11:14	
means 10:14	Newman 4:1 9:1	overrules 13:13	problem 20:4,21 procedural 1:7	17:14	
meet 17:7	night 18:22	overview 18:21	6:2,5 11:10,12	reconsider 15:24	
meeting 10:3	Noranda 1:12,18	19:2	11:21 12:1,22	15:25 16:11,23	
merit 14:2	7:10 18:22	O'Fallon 8:1	13:1,9,11,16,25	record 12:18	
midnight 10:21	normal 19:7		13:25 14:11	18:17 21:12	
11:5	normally 19:3,25	P	15:19,22 16:8	recorded 21:19	
	<i>j j j j j j j j j j</i>		13.19,22 10.0		
L					

	1		1	1	
reflect 10:25	rules 17:23	Shorthand 22:5	T 22:1,1	22:11	
REGULATORY	ruling 15:21,25	single 11:21	take 16:13	try 11:8	
2:1	16:14,20,20	sir 9:22 10:19	talk 12:17	tschwarz@bbd	
remember 8:2	17:12 18:14	17:18 19:23	talking 15:5,6	4:16	
replies 19:2,8,18	Russ 6:17	six 15:12	16:7	Tuesday 11:13	
reply 13:20 19:3	RUSSELL 3:18	Smith 3:14 6:9	tbyrne@amere	17:7	
20:12	Ruth 4:1 9:1	sooner 17:12	3:13	turned 13:3	
REPORTED 2:3	R-o-m-a-i-n-e	sorry 18:25	technically 10:1	Tuxedo 4:10	
Reporter 22:5	9:10	sort 16:16	tell 15:11	7:18	
represent 20:3		sounds 11:13	terms 14:10	two 11:17,22	
represented	S	South 3:15	18:19,19	15:7,8 19:16	
18:10	S 6:1	speak 10:7	Thank 6:16 7:3,9	20:2	
representing	Sam's 4:25 8:8	spend 17:3	8:9 10:9 21:9		
9:25	saying 12:19	Spring 9:10,10	21:18		
request 19:5,9,17	schedule 11:10	ss 22:2	thereof 22:9	ultimate 11:19	
19:25 20:15	11:12,17,22	St 3:7,12 4:7,11	thing 10:11	ultimately 16:19	
21:6	12:1,22 13:1,9	6:14 7:19 8:16	12:19	understand	
required 20:9	13:11,16,17,19	Staff 5:6,10 6:12	things 13:9	12:14 17:11	
requirement	14:8,12 15:19	6:23,25	think 11:13 12:6	Union 1:15,21	
11:15 14:23	15:22 16:3,8,12	stage 16:2	12:22 13:2,2,18	3:22	
respectfully	16:14,16 18:4,5	standards 19:12	14:8,18 15:9,24	United 9:9,12,14	
14:13	20:4,6,13,25	start 6:6 17:1	16:1,7 17:19,25	9:19,20	
respond 21:8	21:20	started 9:4	19:14	V	
Respondent 1:16	scheduled 19:10	State 1:1 22:2,15	THOMAS 3:10	v 1:14,20	
1:22	19:14	Stenotype 22:10	4:14	view 9:10,10	
responses 18:24	schedules 11:18	22:12	Thompson 5:6	12:25	
19:1 20:7	20:9 21:1	Stephanie 8:20	6:21,24,24	Volume 1:4	
rest 17:3 21:5	scheduling 17:22	Sterchi 4:22	thought 13:3	Vuylsteke 3:5	
result 12:8	17:25	stick 17:19 18:1	16:3	7:12 8:12	
Retailers 4:17	Schwarz 4:14	18:14	three 12:1 17:2	7.12 0.12	
8:18	8:19,19 12:21	Stores 4:24 8:8	20:2	W	
revenue 14:23	14:13	Street 3:3,15	throwing 12:16	W 4:1 8:25	
Rice 4:22	see 7:15 11:9 14:1 17:24 20:6	4:15,19 5:3,8	Tim 8:19	Wal-Mart 4:24	
Rick 4:18 8:7	20:10,21,21	7:12 8:21	time 10:13 17:14	8:5,8	
right 7:21,23	20:10,21,21 seen 14:15	submission 17:25	18:20 19:7 20:1	want 11:20 20:15	
9:13,24 10:11	sees 21:2	submit 11:12,18	20:10,20 22:8	20:18	
18:13	sees 21.2 sense 15:16	20:25	22:13	wanted 10:11	
River 4:9 8:13,15	separate 12:23	suggesting 18:7	timing 14:15	12:3,18	
Rmitten@bry	12:24 19:10	suggestions	today 6:6 9:25	wants 16:12	
3:21	Service 1:2 5:7	11:11	10:14 11:8,15	18:16 21:12	
Road 4:22	5:10 6:25	Suite 3:3,6,15 4:2	17:3	warranted 19:3	
Romaine 9:9,10	S.10 0.23 Services 2:4 22:6	4:15,19,22 5:3	Tom 6:13	way 10:2,10	
room 11:9	set 16:23 19:19	7:12 8:21 9:2	tonight 10:21	11:25 12:5	
routine 13:25	22:8	Swearengen 3:18	11:6	weekend 17:3	
RPR 2:4 22:17	sheet 22:9	6:18	transcript 1:6	Welcome 6:4	
rule 20:15 21:4	shortened 20:7		22:12	went 13:1	
ruled 13:13 16:5	51101 WIICU 20.7	│ <u> </u>	true 9:21 18:5		
	1	1	1	1	

PROCEDURAL CONFERENCE 3/28/2014

we'll 6:6 9:4 11:1	18th 10:14	4:16		
11:5 12:19	1901 3:11 6:14	573)635-7166		
14:25 21:2		3:20		
we're 6:22 15:14	2	573)751-3234 5:9		
15:24 18:2,7,16	200 3:15 5:3,8	573)751-4857 5:4		
21:12	2014 1:8			
We've 18:10	211 3:6	6		
Wheeler 4:19	221 3:3 7:12	6 4:19		
wishes 10:4	223 7:22 10:13	60-day 11:24		
wonderful 11:17	10:17 12:22	12:4,11		
WOODRUFF	2230 5:2 7:7	601 4:2 9:2		
2:1 6:4,12,16	224 7:20 11:5	63rd 4:19		
6:23 7:3,9,14	12:22	63026 9:11		
7:21,25 8:5,9	24 13:21	6310 7:19		
8:13,17,22 9:3	2400 4:22	63102 3:7		
9:7,13,17,23	28 1:8	63103 3:12 6:15		
10:8,10,19,23		63119 4:11		
11:3 12:13 13:7	3	63141 4:7 8:16		
15:5 16:10 17:8	301 4:2,15 8:21	64108 4:23		
17:18 18:13,23	9:2	650 5:3		
19:23 20:14	308 4:15 8:20	65101 7:13		
21:11	312 3:19 6:18	65101-1575 3:3		
work 11:9 12:20	314 9:9	65101-3237 4:15		
17:6,21	314)259-2543 3:7	65102 4:3 5:8 7:2		
wouldn't 13:15	314)554-2237	7:8		
15:16	3:12	65102-0456 3:20		
written 20:16	314)973-5743 4:7	65102-2230 5:3		
	360 5:7 7:1	65205 6:10		
Y	3600 3:6	65205-0918 3:16		
Yeah 12:4	4	66149 3:11		
year 13:1	400 4:19	7		
years 15:13	405)848-1014	73105 4:20		
1	4:20	131034.20		
1 1:4 15:23 17:7	411793 4:6 8:16	8		
17:20 18:1	456 3:19	816)471-2121		
1 <i>i</i> : 120 18:11	48 13:21	4:23		
18:14		838 2:4		
10:00 6:3	5	871 4:10 7:18		
10:16 21:20	500 4:22			
101 3:3 7:12	537 4:2	9		
11 19:7	573)424-6779	9th 3:15		
11th 19:4	4:11	918 3:15 6:9		
111 3:15	573)443-3141			
12 9:4	3:16			
13 12:9	573)556-6622 3:4			
15th 19:8,18 21:7	573)634-2266 4:3			
	573)634-2500			
	-	-	-	