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```
8:30 a.m.)
```

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Good morning. This
is EC-2014-0224. In accordance with the schedule
you all filed a few days ago, we're going to start
the day with entries of appearance and any
preliminary matters, marking exhibits, so forth.
The opening statements will begin at 9:30, and at
that point the Commissioners will come down as
well.
So let's start today by taking
entries of appearance, beginning with the
Complainants.
MS. VUYLSTEKE: On behalf of
Complainants Noranda Aluminum, et al., Diana
Vuylsteke, Edward Downey, Ken Mallin, Carol Iles
and David Storey, Bryan Cave, LLP, 211 North
Broadway, St. Louis, Missouri 63102.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for Ameren
Missouri.
MR. BYRNE: Your Honor, Tom Byrne and

```
Wendy Tatro on behalf of Ameren Missouri. Our
```

Wendy Tatro on behalf of Ameren Missouri. Our
address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis,
address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis,
Missouri 63103.
Missouri 63103.
MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, also
MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, also
appearing for Ameren Missouri, Jim Lowery, Smith
appearing for Ameren Missouri, Jim Lowery, Smith
Lewis LLP, P.O. 918, Columbia, Missouri 65205.
Lewis LLP, P.O. 918, Columbia, Missouri 65205.
MR. MITTEN: Also appearing on behalf
MR. MITTEN: Also appearing on behalf
of Ameren Missouri, Russ Mitten, Brydon, Swearengen
of Ameren Missouri, Russ Mitten, Brydon, Swearengen
\& England, 312 East Capitol Avenue, Jefferson City,
\& England, 312 East Capitol Avenue, Jefferson City,
Missouri 65102.
Missouri 65102.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for Staff.
MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. For
the Staff of the Missouri Public Service
Commission, Kevin Thompson, Tim Opitz, Whitney
Hampton, Akayla Jones, Alex Antal and Jamie Myers.
The last named is a law student certified under
Rule 13 who will be appearing here under my
supervision. Our address is Post Office Box 360,
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Public Counsel.
MR. ALLISON: Dustin Allison and Marc
Poston on behalf of the Office of the Public
Counsel, Jefferson City, Missouri.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: For the Missouri
Retailers Association.

```

MR. SCHWARZ: Tim Schwarz and
```

Stephanie Bell, Blitz, Bardgett \& Deutsch, 308 East

```
High Street, Suite 301, Jefferson City, Missouri.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for Continental
Cement.

MR. COMLEY: Good morning, Judge
Woodruff. Appearing on behalf of Continental
Cement Company, LLC, Mark W. Comley, Newman,
Comley \& Ruth. MY business address is 601 Monroe
Street, Suite 301, Jefferson City, Missouri.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: For the Consumers
Council.
    MR. COFFMAN: Appearing on behalf of
the Consumers Council of Missouri, John B. Coffman,
871 Tuxedo Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63119.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. For
River Cement.
    MS. LANGENECKERT: Good morning,
    Judge Woodruff. Appearing on behalf of River
    Cement Company, Lisa Langeneckert, P.O. Box 411793,
    St. Louis, Missouri 63141.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: For MIEC.
    MR. DOWNEY: Good morning, Judge. Ed
        Downey, Bryan Cave, 221 Bolivar Street, Jefferson
        City, Missouri.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for the Wal-Mart stores.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Good morning, your
Honor. Rick Chamberlain appearing for Wal-Mart
Stores East, LP and Sams East, Inc.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. For the Cities of Ballwin and O'Fallon, Leland Curtis sent me an e-mail on Friday indicating that they would waive opening statement and waive all cross-examination and asking to be excused from the hearings. I indicated he would be excused. So he is excused at this time.

I believe that's all the parties. For preliminary matters, I have a couple pending motions out there that I'll rule upon at this time. On June 3rd, Staff filed a motion for extension of time to file the list of issues, which were then filed the next day. That motion will be granted. Similarly, Wal-Mart Stores filed a motion asking to file their statement of position today out of time. That motion will also be granted.

Finally, on June 3rd, United for Missouri filed a petition for leave to appear and file brief as amicus. The time for objections to
Mr. Lowery.
that has passed at this point and no one objected.
I will grant that motion and allow United for
Missouri to file an amicus brief.
    Any other preliminary matters that
anyone needs to take up while we're on the record?
    MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, there is one
matter that we'd like to take up. At this time we
move in limine for an order that would prohibit
    friendly cross in these hearings. The basis for
    our motion are twofold.
    First of all, as your prehearing
    order recognized, there are about 15 witnesses, not
    counting about a dozen or so that the company will
    waive cross on of complainant's witnesses, that
    have to be examined in just two days of hearing.
    Friendly cross will slow down the
        hearings, which the purpose of which are really for
        the parties and the Commissioners to cross-examine
        witnesses about their prefiled testimony, which
        brings me to the second reason that I don't believe
        it's appropriate to allow friendly cross in these
            In Commission cases, the purpose of
        the evidentiary hearing is not to act as a
```

substitute for filing -- prefiling testimony where
the complainant is supposed to make its entire case
in chief in its direct and where all others under
your rules are supposed to state any reasons why
they disagree with, agree with or propose an
alternative to that direct case.
Friendly cross is at odds with that
requirement because, in effect, it amounts to a
party that happens to be aligned with another
matter -- and Ameren Missouri's aligned with some
parties in this case as well -- basically examining
that friendly witness and eliciting evidence to
support why they in that case agree with the party
who filed the case, which is exactly what your
rules say is supposed to happen in rebuttal
testimony.
That should have been done in
prefiled testimony, and we don't think it's
appropriate to be done either by us or anybody else
through friendly cross.
For those reasons, we move that you
enter an order in limine prohibiting parties from
doing that in this particular case.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anyone wish to be
heard in response to that? Mr. Coffman. Come on

```
up to the microphone here so we can hear you.
MR. COFFMAN: Judge Woodruff, I
```

just -- in response, I would like to note that

```
friendly cross really isn't an objection that's
noted in the rules of evidence. I think it's
sometimes just informally thrown out as something
similar to the idea that cross-examination should
not be used for direct testimony. Perhaps there is
a proper objection in that a particular line of
questioning is eliciting direct testimony and not
actually true cross-examination.
    But \(I\) would say first that that's
not -- that the motion is not articulated in a way
that you could rule upon.
    I would also note that in this case
        there are a variety of different positions, varying
        positions on different subissues, and parties are
        not -- may be aligned on some issues or some parts
        of some issues. It's really a multifaceted case.
            I do not expect to have a whole lot
        of questions, but there may -- I think that the
        Commission will be better off judging questions as
        they come than making some blanket ruling that \(I\)
        think would be vague as worded.
        JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any other responses

25 any witnesses in this case, and although we are
generally aligned with Noranda, I will have
questions for Noranda witnesses as well as other
witnesses. And I believe the purpose of
cross-examination is to permit the Commission to
get a full and complete record.
    And in any event, under
Section 536.070, any evidence that's objected to is
to be taken and preserved in the record. So it's
not going to be a big time saver in any event.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anyone else wish to
be heard in response? Mr. Lowery, you have
something else?
    MR. LOWERY: Just very briefly, your
Honor. The basis of my motion has nothing to do
with the rules of evidence. I didn't say that it
did. But it is based on your own rules which
require parties not only to state all reasons that
they would disagree with a party's direct
testimony, but to state any alternatives that they
propose and to state reasons that they agree.
    And so the basis of the motion is, if
parties are eliciting friendly cross, that in
effect is reflecting agreement with the points that
were made. Folks like Mr. Schwarz should have had
a witness on rebuttal and that should have been
```

prefiled.

```
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Well, I'm not going
to grant the motion. I'm not inclined to hear a
lot of, as you described it, friendly cross. As
other parties have indicated, there is no
definition of that in the Commission's rules or any
order forbidding it.
    As Mr. Coffman indicated, there are
provisions that require direct testimony to be
prefiled and so forth, and it may be that as
individual cross-examination occurs it is, in fact,
becoming improper direct, and I would expect an
objection to be made at that time on that basis,
and I'll consider those objections as they're made.
But I'm not going to try and issue a blanket
condemnation of friendly cross at this point.
    MR. LOWERY: Very well.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any other
preliminary matters? Okay. Let's go off the
record, then, and we'll deal with premarking
evidence.
(AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WAS
HELD.)
(NORANDA EXHIBIT NO. 1 THROUGH 29, UE EXHIBIT NOS. 100 THROUGH 105, MISSOURI RETAILERS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & ASSOCIATION EXHIBIT NOS. 400 THROUGH 403, WAL-MART \\
\hline 2 & EXHIBIT NO. 460, AND CONTINENTAL CEMENT EXHIBIT \\
\hline 3 & NO. 500 WERE MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE \\
\hline 4 & REPORTER.) \\
\hline 5 & JUDGE WOODRUFF: We're back, and \\
\hline 6 & we're ready to start with opening statements, \\
\hline 7 & beginning with Complainants. \\
\hline 8 & MS. VUYLSTEKE: May it please the \\
\hline 9 & Commission? On behalf of Noranda, I want to thank \\
\hline 10 & the Commission for your expedited consideration of \\
\hline 11 & this case. \\
\hline 12 & There were 32 smelters in the United \\
\hline 13 & States 30 years ago. There are nine remaining \\
\hline 14 & today, and one of those smelters belongs to the \\
\hline 15 & state of Missouri, and it has been a pillar of \\
\hline 16 & Missouri's economy and particularly the southeast \\
\hline 17 & part of Missouri, the Bootheel, for over 40 years. \\
\hline 18 & The evidence in this case will \\
\hline 19 & demonstrate that the Commission can find and should \\
\hline 20 & find within the boundaries of Missouri law and \\
\hline 21 & within established regulatory principles, as well \\
\hline 22 & as in the interest of our economy, that the smelter \\
\hline 23 & should be sustained with a load retention rate. \\
\hline 24 & And we will show in this case first \\
\hline 25 & that the smelter is crucial to Missouri's economy, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

22 understanding of after hearing the employees at the

23 local public hearing.

Your Honor, we have a video to play.
(The following videotaped statement
```

was played.)

```
facility, we have employees from all of southeast
Missouri. We have 73 communities that over 900
employees come to work from. A lot of people
commute. We have a work schedule, 12-hour shifts,
which works well with family life and being able to
commute, and it's considered one of the better
places or one of the best places to work.
    Overall Noranda has a payroll of
95 million, which goes into the local communities.
That money does stay in this area, and I think the
overall economic value is like 335 million by the
time that the money swaps hands several times.
It's a -- it's a definite economic driver of this
area.
                    Most everyone here has 25 years
experience, 20 years experience. I've worked my
way up to 19 years experience. And we realize that
this is a good place to work. I've got a son
that's a senior this year and he'll be graduating,
and his hopes are, is that he's going to -- he's
going to go to Mizzou, and he plans on getting an
engineering degree, and then he has hopes of coming
back to this area. Currently we're building a new
```

rod mill here at the plant, and he would like to
come back and possibly be a mechanical engineer for
that area
Rod mill, what we do is we take the
molten aluminum and we cast it into a bar-shaped
form, and then we will roll it into a 3/8 diameter
and we'll sell it to customers that will make
overhead transmission lines. They'll also make
garage motor windings, and that's some of the end
users of our rod mill.
With the aluminum industry, you
always have to be advancing or you're going to be
left behind. In the last 30 years there's been 32
aluminum smelters. 23 of those have closed in the
United States. So if you're not advancing and
improving your process, you are going to end up
closing.
Now, here at Noranda what we've done
since 1997, we've increased our production over
1 0 5 million pounds. That's about a 22 percent
improvement over 19-- since 1997. During that
time, we've also been able to keep our labor costs
low. We've been able to do it with 150 less people
than we were at that time.
So we -- we're making the movements

```

1 in our cost structure to try to make sure that
we're viable and we're competitive for years to
come. But we've done numerous projects. Probably
our biggest project has been the single-piece anode
project, which has been one of the main reasons why
we're making 105 million more pounds. Last year we
set a record in production.
    Also being able to do it with a -- an
efficient work force helps us be competitive. But
the third thing that we need is we need a viable
electrical contract that makes us competitive.
    Well, a potline is a reduction cell
where basically the alumina is introduced into a
cryolite bath and a current is sent through it, and
it takes the oxygen atom -- atoms off of the
alumina and leaves aluminum in the bottom of the
pot. Well, we normally run about 500 pots is what
we're normally operating.
    If a potline goes down for an hour to
an hour and a half, it's going to start to freeze
up. Hour and a half is probably the point of no
return where you -- if you do not get power back on
the potline, you're going to lose it.
    That occurred to us back in the ice
        storm of 2009. Our power feed that was coming in

17 sure that we kept the plant running.
```

Off the grid, I think three of the four lines
tripped out, and we had one line left that was only
sufficient enough to support one potline, and we
had to make decisions as far as which potline to
shut down.

```

We initially shut down Potline 3. And as we determined that we were not going to be able to get the ample power supply, we went ahead and shut down Potline 2 , which left only Potline 1 running.

Pretty well everybody in this area did not have any power. And then the word got out that we had the possibility of losing the plant, and we had people calling in and people just showing up just to work, not even scheduled to work, just any way that they could help to make

So we had -- we had people driving around power lines, under power lines, through trees, leaving their family at home, just to get out here and make sure they were able to keep the potlines running.

We were able to save one of the three potlines, which that's critical. When you want to restart a potline, you need molten metal. Having
one potline running gave us a leg up on restarting
the plant.
    And I think that management and that
our owners seen the amount of effort that people
were -- how committed they were to making sure that
the place stayed open. Normally most companies
would have laid off a good majority of the workers
the next day. And what our owners did, they kept
everybody on.
    We started digging the pots where you
have to get the -- get the bath out of pots, get
the carbon out of pots. We started working on that
so that we could bring the potline back up.
    And we were all on pins and needles,
because it was 2008. It was right after 2008, the
great recession, and we were afraid that they
weren't going to restart the plant, you know. If
you did the economics of it, at that time it
probably would have been better just to walk away.
You know, you would have made a little bit of
profit.
                    But our owners, they said, hey, we're
going to stick with you, and we restarted the
plant. And I can tell you there's 900 people that
are very happy that they did. 23 aluminum to allow you to be able to pick it up with

Well, Noranda's a -- a big United
Way. That's probably our one charity that we push the most. And, you know, United Way goes to several other charities, and we gave over a half a million dollars last year to United Way.

Kenny Rogers is a local -- they work with kids that have motor skills, speech problems, and they try to get them advanced and to -- to be able to keep up with their age level, and we have a lot of charity drives for that in the local community. Kenny Rogers is probably one of the -one of the biggest supporters in this area. I know several children in Portageville, Missouri that receive quite a bit of help from Kenny Rogers.

There's a community -- there's a community sheltered workshop. It is a community shelter for individuals that would normally not be able to have a full-time job. When you're aluminum, which this facility makes about 585 million pounds, well, you have to ship it, and you'll need some saw runners or some materials, a pallet-type material to put underneath that a forklift.

So the community sheltered workshop,

1

24 expensive that people are switching over to

25 aluminum rod production, aluminum wire, and they're

1 moving away from copper due to the price of copper.

15 communities, retirement benefits exceeding
167.6 million.

23 to the United Way annually in 2013. And currently

24 Noranda's investing \(\$ 38\) million at the smelter to

25 improve its power reliability.

23 top suppliers of the smelter and their employees, many of whom are in Ameren's service area, would be adversely impacted if the smelter closed. Due to the multiplier effect, Noranda creates four jobs for every one job at the smelter.

The smelter is located in a very impoverished area of Missouri. It includes several of the poorest counties in Missouri, and southeast Missouri would be devastated by the loss of the smelter. It would create massive social and economic costs to all Missouri citizens.

And this just illustrates the area of the Bootheel. If you look in the lower right-hand corner of the state, you can see that the lightest colored counties -- the dark green are the most prosperous and have the highest median household income. But in the Bootheel you can see that the colors are very light, and that area would be much, much lighter if the smelter was not there.

The economic impact of the closure. Missouri GDP would forego nearly 9 billion in economic activity over a generation.

Missouri general revenue impact over the next ten years. The present value of lost net

23 annually. That's probably conservative, but since

24 those benefits are not easy to just allocate and

25 quantify, even if you look at it in that fashion

1
```

it's a tremendous impact on Ameren customers alone.
Now, when we talk about a load
retention rate, there are elements of that rate
that have been -- that are kind of the bedrock
principles when the load retention rate has been
adopted in various states, and also the load
retention rate principle has been used by this
Commission in a number of instances.
But an element of that is that there
would be a large economic impact, adverse impact if
a business were to leave a utility's system or shut
down, and also that that would occur, that the
business would actually go out of business.
And so we've shown that the economic
impact is great if Noranda closes. Another element
is Noranda's financial need, and that will be
primarily demonstrated in the testimony of Kip
Smith.
Noranda is currently out of cash and
is paying its daily expenses by borrowing against
an asset-backed loan. So the paychecks that will
be issued this week to employees will be funded by
debt.
Moody's has downgraded Noranda,
Noranda's credit rating on March 7th, 2014, and

```
Moody's also has an unfavorable outlook for the LME
through 2015. And that's from its industry report
guide in December of 2013.
    Unless Noranda has the requested rate
relief, and this is in the evidence in this case
and the affidavit of Kip Smith, Noranda will soon
need to cut 150 to 200 jobs. This would result in
an insufficient number of employees to run one of
the potlines at the smelter.
    And I think you've already heard from
Mr. Priggel and you will hear additionally other
evidence in the evidence in this case that once a
potline shuts down, the harm is irreparable. It's
only a matter of time until the smelter itself will
have to shut down.
    Noranda's rate request is \(\$ 30\) a
megawatt hour, and that number is designed to allow
Noranda to operate through a ten-year aluminum
pricing cycle. There will be ups and there will be
downs, and it's essential in this industry to be
able to weather that cycle. In fact, Noranda would
need a \(\$ 23\) per megawatt hour if it was to be cash
neutral today.
    Noranda's financial need is created
by two factors at this time. There has been a

11 Noranda's liquidity crisis, but the \(\$ 30\) will help

12 them weather that.

Noranda cannot currently raise capital. This is really important because Ameren has stated that there is no liquidity crisis or somehow it hasn't been shown. It's absolutely clear that there is a liquidity crisis in this case.

Noranda will be unable to raise capital through issuing either debt or equity until its cash flow and profitability improve, and the evidence in this case will show that. Lenders and equity owners will not invest in a company that's in the middle of a liquidity crisis.

And this current drain on liquidity
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & and cash flow is caused both by Noranda's \\
\hline 2 & unsustainable power rate and depressed aluminum \\
\hline 3 & prices which will not allow Noranda to borrow or \\
\hline 4 & attract equity investors. Moody's March 7, 2014 \\
\hline 5 & downgrade of Noranda puts it at speculative grade \\
\hline 6 & liquidity. \\
\hline 7 & If Noranda has the \$30 megawatt rate \\
\hline 8 & and if it -- megawatt hour rate and if it has the \\
\hline 9 & other elements of its rate request, then it will be \\
\hline 10 & able to raise the necessary capital and it will \\
\hline 11 & be -- maintain ongoing access to the capital \\
\hline 12 & markets, and it will have that for financing, \\
\hline 13 & refinancing and letters of credit, which will be -- \\
\hline 14 & the ability to draw those will be significantly \\
\hline 15 & enhanced. \\
\hline 16 & The evidence will show that leverage \\
\hline 17 & will drop for Noranda if it gets this rate from \\
\hline 18 & ten times to six times on a pro forma basis. This \\
\hline 19 & drop in leverage will represent a step change in \\
\hline 20 & the health and sustainability of Noranda, and it \\
\hline 21 & will become again an attractive borrower under this \\
\hline 22 & new sustainable rate. \\
\hline 23 & With a decrease in cost of \\
\hline 24 & \$48 million annually that this rate change would \\
\hline 25 & provide and other efficiency efforts that Noranda \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

    1 is constantly engaging in, it will be able to
    2 obtain financing for important capital projects in
    3 the future.
    1 1 ~ i n c r e a s e s ~ c o s t ~ p r e s s u r e s ~ p a r t i c u l a r l y ~ f r o m ~ e n e r g y ~
1 2 costs contributed to material deterioration in
13 Noranda's financial performance.
15 Commission, if successful, will improve Noranda's
1 8 contract. And the rating could be lowered if
CreditMetrics do not show significant improvement.
Outlook for aluminum prices. Again,
important because Ameren will argue that the
outlook for aluminum prices is actually more
favorable. It is clearly not. It's not going to
get better soon.
On December 16th, 2013, Moody's

```

Now, I just want to cite to the Moody's downgrade, which will be in the evidence in this case. It was March 7th, and these are the comments and observations of Moody's that you'll see in its report.

High leverage evidenced by a debt to EBITDA ratio of 9.3 times, weak aluminum prices and increases cost pressures particularly from energy costs contributed to material deterioration in Noranda's financial performance.

Noranda's rate request to this Commission, if successful, will improve Noranda's position. Moody's outlook of stable assumes that Noranda will achieve a more favorable energy supply contract. And the rating could be lowered if CreditMetrics do not show significant improvement.

Outlook for aluminum prices. Again, important because Ameren will argue that the outlook for aluminum prices is actually more favorable. It is clearly not. It's not going to get better soon.

On December 16th, 2013, Moody's
```

issued its industry outlook. Average aluminum
prices fell }14\mathrm{ percent through the end of November.
And here's some quotes: Aluminum fundamentals will
remain weak with prices under pressure and
persistently high inventories. A large number of
aluminum smelters are likely producing at
break-even levels at best. And given the dynamics
of the aluminum market, we see no catalyst for a
material price improvement through early to mid
2015. Various aluminum smelters have taken
increasing aggressive steps to idle or shutter
capacity in 2012.
Noranda has requested what it needs.
It hasn't requested anything more than what it
needs. It chose to request what it needed because
it thought that that would fit within the
principles of the Commission that it take into
account the impact on consumers, and also that it's
supported by their financial model, that they can
get by with the \$30 rate and they will be
sustainable, but that doesn't mean it's going to be
easy for them.
Every dollar a megawatt increase --
excuse me. Every dollar a megawatt hour increase
in Noranda's power rate will result in an EBITDA

```

1 loss of 4.2 million. For example, under a \(\$ 32\) a
2 megawatt hour rate, Noranda would have 8.4 million

3 annually lower EBITDA, which would put it in a

4 position of significant detriment to its ability

5 to reduce debt and produce positive cash flow.

At higher power rates, Noranda's
ability to access capital markets will be
materially diminished. And a rate of \(\$ 30\) a
megawatt hour would enable Noranda to ultimately return to a creditworthy and healthy financial state.

The other element of a load retention rate, in addition to the economic benefits to the state and the financial need of the company, is the net benefit to Ameren ratepayers, to ratepayers in general. And in this case we have clear economic benefit to Ameren's ratepayers which outweighs any cost to ratepayers as a result of the decrease.

Ameren's other ratepayers will pay lower rates if the New Madrid smelter stays on the system than if it shuts down. And the \(\$ 30\) a megawatt hour rate is higher than Ameren can sell power for on the market. This results in a net benefit to ratepayers. That's a very key part of the evidence, and it's very technical, and we'll go

1 into the details of that.

24 addition, it would be contributing to recovery of
25 fixed costs. So by this measure, the \(\$ 32\)-- at \(\$ 30\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & \\
\hline 2 & \$33 million to Ameren's fixed cost recovery. \\
\hline 3 & Other Ameren -- I'm sorry. Yes. \\
\hline 4 & Ameren has currently excess capacity and has stated \\
\hline 5 & that it has no capacity additions needed until at \\
\hline 6 & least 2029. Ameren customers are better off with \\
\hline 7 & Noranda using Ameren's excess capacity at a load \\
\hline 8 & retention rate, and if the smelter shuts down and \\
\hline 9 & is no longer an Ameren customer, they will pay more \\
\hline 10 & than the load retention rate. \\
\hline 11 & Now, the impact of Noranda's rate \\
\hline 12 & request, if granted, would be \(\$ 48\) million a year. \\
\hline 13 & The impact on customers if the smelter closes is \\
\hline 14 & \$55 million a year. \\
\hline 15 & Serving the smelter at Noranda's \\
\hline 16 & requested rate results in direct benefits to Ameren \\
\hline 17 & customers as part of the rate at \(\$ 7\) million a year, \\
\hline 18 & and that doesn't count the \(\$ 300\) million annually in \\
\hline 19 & economic benefits that Ameren customers would \\
\hline 20 & participate in from the smelter's contributions to \\
\hline 21 & GDP, and it doesn't even count the general revenue \\
\hline 22 & benefits that Ameren customers would receive of \\
\hline 23 & \$6 million a year. \\
\hline 24 & Now, a key part of the evidence which \\
\hline 25 & I mentioned is the fact that there is a \(\$ 55 \mathrm{million}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

benefit due to the market price of power, and this
evidence shows that the incremental cost of serving
Noranda's load is a net benefit.
There are three witnesses in this
case that have evidence on this issue. There is
Noranda, the Staff and Ameren. And you can't see
that, but I want to highlight kind of what it looks
like because you will be seeing this exhibit in the
case, and I will go ahead and show you a blowup as
well. And this is for future reference because I
am not the best person to explain this exhibit, but
I just want to highlight a couple of things.
This is a chart, a reconciliation
prepared by Jim Dauphinais, who is our witness on
this issue, and it does the math and explains the
adjustments that Mr. Dauphinais would make to the
Staff's position and Ameren's position for each
separate item where we believe you should find
our -- for our evidence.
And as the Commission determines each
of these issues, they're quantified, Staff and
Ameren, and lead to the rate which we've proposed
in our surrebuttal position.
So we made a road map if the
Commission can look at each one of these

```
```

1 adjustments and see if you will find in our favor
2 and find for our evidence, which we think is
3 reasonable evidence and the best evidence in this
1 1 ~ c o l d ~ w i n t e r ~ t h a t ~ c r e a t e d ~ a ~ s h o c k ~ i n ~ t h e ~ e n e r g y ~
pricing markets.
And without considering that anomaly
that occurred this year, looking at a 12-month
historical period is not reasonable. And in
addition to that, the Staff has a four-year --
48-month, excuse me, average price that it
incorporates into its evidence, but the problem
with that is that it assumes that a polar vortex
anomaly in effect would happen every four years,
and that would be impossible to occur.
So these are both fundamental flaws
in the Staff and Ameren's analysis, and I think
that I just want to highlight that and I want you
to please be attentive to that when you hear the

```

25 an immediate and very consequential example. There
```

17 on the Commission I'm sure will recall that
18 decision because it was fairly recent. It was 19 actually in December of 2012, and took effect on 20 January 1st of 2013, the decision of the
is a non-rate benefit. I say non-rate because it
could be viewed as a rate benefit that Noranda
provides to ratepayers, and that is that Noranda
has led consumer efforts and in some occasions been
the principal consumer opposing adverse legislation
in the Legislature that Ameren has proposed that
would dramatically increase rates.
And I think that is significant
because Noranda provides valuable information to
the Legislature and resources that are needed to
protect our laws and statutes in the state, and I
think they provide a balanced view to our
legislators.
There was in the last rate case a
proposal by Ameren to enact plant in service
accounting, or PISA, and the Commissioners who were
Commission.
The Commission rejected this proposal
of Ameren. And it is a form of construction
accounting for assets that are placed in service
between rate cases. And of all the regulatory

```

24 be seeking to account for between rate cases.
mechanisms that utilities generally seek,
construction accounting is the most expensive and
the most adverse to ratepayers.
    The Commission rejected this
proposal. It said that it was bad policy. It said
that it was a solution in search of a problem, and
it said that it would have a highly adverse impact
on ratepayers. The Commission made all those
findings.
    And the Commission's order was issued
again on December 12, 2012, Ameren's last rate
case. And barely within a year of the Commission's
order rejecting this proposal, Ameren proposed
Senate Bill 909 this session, and that would allow
for the construction accounting to place assets in
service between rate cases.
    To demonstrate the impact of this
proposal, and these are Ameren's numbers, you can
see the huge rate impact. If Ameren were to file a
rate case every 24 months, the cost to ratepayers
could be \(\$ 260\) million over four rate cases. And
the chart here illustrates the range of impact
depending on the amount of money that Ameren would
be seeking to account for between rate cases.
    Primarily and almost entirely as a
```

result of Noranda's opposition, }909\mathrm{ was not passed
in this legislative session. And I believe, and I
think the facts show, that this effort to pass this
legislation was in many respects an end run around

```
the Commission's decision. And the defeat of 909
helped preserve the Commission's discretion and
helped preserve the Commission's recent decision
and findings, and it also avoided major costs for
ratepayers.
    The legislative involvement of
Noranda would probably have to end if the smelter
closed because that's Noranda's only Missouri
operation. And I think -- and I think the history
demonstrates that many consumer-adverse proposals
by Ameren in the Legislature would go unchallenged
if Noranda was not here with the resources to
challenge those and so greatly affected, the most
affected customer in many respects of these rate
issues.
    And Ameren has proposed things that
primarily affect residential customers, other
utilities have, and following principles and
precedent and preserving law, Noranda's gotten
involved on a variety of issues that impact rates
and affect other consumers. And I think that that

23 in legislative matters, shows that the majority of
```

can also be taken into account as a benefit that

```
Noranda brings.
    I think it has to be noted here that
        the business community, the majority of business
        customers that are involved in PSC rate cases
        support Noranda's rate increase -- excuse me --
        rate request, that the Missouri Retailers
        Association and the Missouri Industrial Energy
        Consumers, which are two major business groups
        composing -- composed of many of the largest
        ratepayers of Ameren, have filed a Nonunanimous
        Stipulation \& Agreement in this case supporting
        Noranda's rate request.
        Wal-Mart has not opposed Noranda's
        rate request. It hasn't supported it, but it also
        has said that the Commission should consider this
        evidence very strongly and notes the severe
        economic impact that the closure of the smelter
        would have.
        I think the support of business
        consumers who have consistently participated in
        Ameren cases for many years, decades even, and also
        in legislative matters, shows that the majority of
        the business community thinks it is best served by
        Noranda's rate request and by the smelter staying

1 in business.
```

    And then we have to note, Noranda's
    rate request is revenue neutral to Ameren. It
    doesn't affect their revenues. Noranda is not
    asking that Ameren be worse off.
    In 2005 Ameren asked the Commission
    for permission to serve Noranda, and there were
    many arguments in the case and there was much
    testimony about the importance of Noranda and the
    benefits that Noranda would bring to Ameren's
    system, to the stability of Ameren's system, and to
    our state's economy.
    Now Ameren's testimony shows in this
    case that it thinks that Ameren customers would be
        better off without Noranda. Ameren says that it is
        acting on behalf of consumers to keep rates low in
        this case, but recall back in the 2010 rate case
        when Ameren wanted its interim rate increase of
        $37 million, that it said in its position statement
        that this rate increase was nothing more than
        change on a customer's dresser or in its pocket.
            Highlighting Ameren's evidence in the
        case that opposes the rate increase and questions
        Noranda's evidence of financial need. It states
        that Noranda does not have a liquidity crisis, that
    ```
```

    1 it has misrepresented its financial status to the
    public, and that Apollo management is responsible
for Noranda's financial crisis due to Apollo's
actions when Apollo owned Noranda and then had a
controlling interest in Noranda.
But the evidence shows that Noranda's
clearly in a liquidity crisis. It's out of cash.
It's paying its daily expenses on its asset-based
loan, and it's paying its employees from borrowed
money.
Noranda has been unable to find a
lender to finance a key capital project. Noranda
has been transparent in all of its investor
communications. And in this case, investors have
been informed of this case, are aware of it, and
much of the public documentation in this case
demonstrates Noranda's need.
Noranda's former owner Apollo no
longer controls Noranda. They owned the company
privately. The company went for public offering.
Apollo became a 66 percent shareholder, then became
a 33.3 percent shareholder, and Apollo doesn't
control the board.
So that's in the past. Whatever risk
that Noranda -- or that Ameren feels is presented

```
```

    - by the former control of Apollo just doesn't exist.
        And it's very important to note, and the evidence
        will show in this case, that Apollo -- the purchase
        of Noranda saved the New Madrid smelter, that if
        Apollo hadn't come along -- and the testimony of
        Mr. Smith will show this -- that that smelter may
        not be in business today.
            And, additionally, that it was the
    resources of Apollo that allowed Noranda to
survive, the smelter to survive the ice storm.
That's clear. And without Apollo, it may have
never been rebuilt, it would not have continued its
operations through the 2009 ice storm, and that has
inured to the benefit of ratepayers.
And this is part of Mr. Maurice
Brubaker's surrebuttal testimony. It's an exhibit.
These are the cumulative net benefits of Noranda
versus the market from March of 2010 forward to
April of '14, and you can see that these benefits
are very significant.
So Noranda's survival through the ice
storm, which is in part attributable to Apollo as
well as the commitment of Noranda's employees and
good management, has led to direct benefits to
ratepayers.

```

I think that the story of Noranda and the smelter and this need begin and end with the employees, and so I want to show one more video clip.
(The following video was played:)

MR. PRIGGEL: The counties in this
area, we have three of the top ten poorest counties
in Missouri. I would think that instead of being
the top ten, we would move to the top three poorest
counties in southeast -- or in Missouri.
    If you look back at history, it will
show you that we needed manufacturing in this area,
and that's the reason why this plant was built, and
it would just be devastating to the area if we
should happen to lose it.
    You know, calling from my memory, I
think that Noranda pays 28 percent approximately of
the school tax at the New Madrid County R-I, they
pay 17 percent of the county tax in New Madrid
County, and that will be a huge void that will be
left for all the kids. And I'm sure enrollment
will go down because a good portion of the -- of
this area will have to seek employment somewhere
else.
    You really didn't really realize how
poor this area is until you try to coach a football
team or a baseball team, and then you -- you
have -- you have dinners or you have like cookouts
for the kids, and the kids, it's -- they take
everything home. They don't get any food on the
table, and that's -- that is just some of the local
area. That's not counting what Noranda's putting
into the economy, the overall economy. And if
Noranda should pull out, \(I\) just can't imagine.
    One thing that you always hear in the
community and also \(I\) hear in the state is
there's -- there's such a large investment, there's
no way that Noranda will ever close. And I had the
same opinion, and whenever I got the job at the
plant, I was able -- as the engineer of the cast
house, I was sent to facilities that had closed.
And I went to facilities that were twice as large
as ours with twice the investment that Noranda
currently has and the plant's closed, and I'm like,
how can that happen?
    And it's a very competitive commodity
market, and as I put in -- or as I've worked 19
years here, we've had individuals from other plants
that have closed that come here and work. And I
asked them, I said, how did the plant -- how did
your plant close? And they said, it's just like it
is in this community. You think that the plant
will never close, and then what happens is the
financial aspect of the facility and your power
contract and your -- if you don't advance your
production, all of a sudden you find out that you
come to work, there's a pink slip and the place is
closed. You think it -- you think it would never
happen and all of a sudden it just happens.
    And, you know, we've got Ormet
recently closed, and I'm sure the people in Ormet
never thought that the plant would close. And
there's a possibility that, you know, if we do not
maintain a competitive power rate, that our place,
our plant will close.
    As I visit these plants, I ask
myself, what makes -- how is Noranda able to
survive? Well, I look back and I look at the
production records and, you know, you see that
every year there's an improvement. I think that's
a testimony to the people that work here. We have
employees that are very dedicated to the plant, and
as we continue to realize that we have to be more
and more efficient to remain competitive in the
global atmosphere, and we've actually increased our
```

production from 105 million pounds over -- since
1997, which is about a 22 percent increase.
And it's a testimony to the people
that work here. It's a testimony to the management
that had the vision as far as where we need to go,
and it's definitely been an interesting journey.
(Video ended.)
MS. VUYLSTEKE: Unless the
Commissioners have any questions, this will
conclude our opening statement.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Ms. Vuylsteke,
thanks. I want to reserve most of my questions for
the witnesses, but I want to ask about
Mr. Priggel's first video. Did I hear him
correctly that Noranda's investing in a new rod
mill currently?
MS. VUYLSTEKE: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN KENNEY: And -- well, can
you tell me when that is expected to be completed,
or should I ask -- save that for a witness?
MS. VUYLSTEKE: I think there are
some HC issues associated with that, and so we'll
reserve if that's okay, Commissioner.
CHAIRMAN KENNEY: That sounds good.

```
I don't have any other questions. Thank you.
    COMMISSIONER STOLL: No questions
    right now.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall.
    COMMISSIONER HALL: Good morning.
        MS. VUYLSTEKE: Good morning.
        COMMISSIONER HALL: I wanted to just
be sure that I understand what -- what Noranda
believes the appropriate legal standard is. I
think Staff takes the position that the Commission
can lawfully grant the requested relief if upon
consideration of all relevant factors the
Commission determines that the requested relief is
in the public interest and is neither unduly
preferential nor unduly discriminatory. Is that
Noranda's position as well?
    MS. VUYLSTEKE: That the Commission
has authority to approve this rate, yes, and that
the large transmission class, as recognized in the
evidence in this case by numerous parties and has
been recognized by both the Commission in the past
and by Ameren, is a class completely unique unto
itself.
    And there is no discrimination in
    providing Noranda a rate that is consistent with

9
        Noranda?
```

8 in June of 2020 .
its survival and the public interest if you
otherwise find the facts in favor of this.
COMMISSIONER HALL: There's currently
a contract between Ameren and Noranda for
electricity, correct? When does that contract
terminate?
MS. VUYLSTEKE: I believe it expires

```
    COMMISSIONER HALL: And what is -- I
        know I'm jumping around a little bit. I apologize
        for that. What is Noranda's position on the cost
        of service, on Ameren's cost of service for
            MS. VUYLSTEKE: The cost of service
        at this point is not an element of this case.
        Noranda is very frankly asking for the
        Commission -- or asking the Commission for a rate
        that is not based on its embedded -- fully
        distributed embedded cost of service.
            We're asking the Commission to depart
        from the usual principle of cost of service because
        of the extraordinary circumstances in this case
        which make it in the public interest to do so. And
        as I said before, the Commission has departed from
        cost of service, from traditional fully embedded
```

cost of service on several occasions where the
circumstances warranted this.
But we do not have a cost of service
study in this case. We had one in the most recent
Ameren rate case. Our rate is not based on cost of
service.

```
    COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you. I
have no further questions.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you,
Ms. Vuylsteke. I'm sorry. Did you have questions?
    COMMISSIONER RUPP: Yes.
    MS. VUYLSTEKE: I'm sorry.
    COMMISSIONER RUPP: Did I hear you
correctly, you state that Noranda needs \$23 a
megawatt hour to be cash neutral?
    MS. VUYLSTEKE: Correct.
    COMMISSIONER RUPP: And you're asking
    for 30. And so how would the bond market -- if
    you're not cash neutral, how would this rate still
    provide certainty for them to issue debt?
    MS. VUYLSTEKE: In the Moody's
    investor -- excuse me. In the Moody's credit
    downgrade of Noranda that will be in the evidence
    in this case, Moody's refers to the fact that
        there's going to be some quarters where Noranda may

1 be not cash flow positive, that if it can maintain

2 its liquidity and it can weather the cycle, then it

3 will -- it will be okay in terms of its credit

4 outlook, that it will not be downgraded.

25 service numerous times. Will your witnesses have a
```

list of those historical times that they've done
that?

```

MS. VUYLSTEKE: Yes. Mr. Brubaker in
his testimony will cite some of those cases. And I
would note that even Ameren itself has an economic
development rider tariff, which is a load retention
rate, and is expressly stated that it's to retain
load, and that was approved by the Commission I
think just a few years ago. I think Commissioner
Jarrett was on the Commission at that time as well.
    COMMISSIONER RUPP: And then will
your witness give us a historical view of how many
polar vortex events have occurred in the last
50 years?
    MS. VUYLSTEKE: I believe that will
    be in the testimony of Mr. Dauphinais.
    COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you,
    Ms. Vuylsteke.
        MS. VUYLSTEKE: Thank you.
        JUDGE WOODRUFF: Opening for MIEC?
        MR. DOWNEY: Judge, MIEC signed on to
        the Nonunanimous Stipulation and supports Noranda
        and the Complainants. We have no separate opening
        statement.

17 of the numbers does not support it.

Noranda says keeping us at this special rate will be better for everybody than losing us entirely. That's their case in a nutshell.

Staff believes that the special rate they've requested, in fact, will put the other ratepayers in a worse position than if Noranda left the system entirely.

So at a micro level, that's the battle between the parties. How do those numbers work out? What does it cost Ameren to serve
Noranda, talking only about the variable part of
the price? At \(\$ 30\) per megawatt hour, will Noranda
cover that and make a contribution to fixed costs?
That's their argument is that yes, yes, they will.
Staff's analysis says, no, sorry, we don't think
so.

The larger battle, the macro battle
between the parties, of course, is, depending on
how you determine that, should you grant the
requested relief? Is it in the public interest
and, therefore, within the ambit of the things the
Commission can lawfully do? What you can lawfully
do depends on the facts that you find.
    Thank you.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you.
Questions from the Commission? Chairman.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Yes. Thank you,
        Mr. Thompson.
    MR. THOMPSON: Yes, sir.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: I just want to be
        clear. So Staff's analysis looks solely at what
        the remaining ratepayers' rates would be if Ameren

1 left the system -- I mean if Noranda left Ameren's

2 system, but does Staff's analysis quantify all

3

11 both of those things are true and has not, as I

12 said, independently sought to confirm either one.

Staff has looked more at the micro aspect, which is what is the actual variable cost of serving Noranda and how does that compare to the \$30 per megawatt hour requested load retention rate.

CHAIRMAN KENNEY: As a legal matter, is it an appropriate consideration in determining the public interest, those additional economic impacts that Noranda cites?

MR. THOMPSON: What a good question that is.

CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Thank you.

MR. THOMPSON: I apologize. I'm not
```

    trying to duck it. I think that it is an
    2 appropriate consideration. The Commission was
    3 created to strike that balance among the
    4 stakeholders, the shareholders who want a return on
    5 their investment, who want to earn money from all
    6 the money they've invested in the infrastructure,
    the plant, the employees to create electricity and
provide it to folks, and the ratepayers who
generally don't want to pay a cent more than they
absolutely have to to get electricity.
I attended one of the local public
hearings and I heard a lot of Noranda employees who
had been brought up in a bus talk about how
terrible it would be for them personally, how
terrible for their area if Noranda was to close,
and I believe all of those things are absolutely
true.
But I also heard some local
ratepayers say, as they always do at every local
public hearing I've ever attended, please don't
raise my rates. I can't afford it. Please don't
raise my rates.
So that's the balance the Commission
has to strike. Please don't raise my rates is
everybody who's not in southeast Missouri, and in

```

11 is the -- what is the case law on unduly

13 design matters?

MR. THOMPSON: Well, there are always subsidies and there are always preferences as a practical matter when you make rates, because all customers are not alike. My house may be closer to the plant than another customer's house, and so it's actually infinitesimally cheaper to provide the service to me than it is to provide it to that other customer, but you can't have a separate rate -- even in this day and age of computers, you can't have a separate rate for every residential customer.

So they put us in a class, and they
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & \\
\hline 2 & much alike. You use a lot of infrastructure. You \\
\hline 3 & take the electricity at a low voltage. So we're \\
\hline 4 & going to put you all in a class and charge you a \\
\hline 5 & customer charge and a variable charge designed to \\
\hline 6 & recoup from you over the course of a year the \\
\hline 7 & revenue requirement that your class is responsible \\
\hline 8 & for. And that's the way ratemaking is done. \\
\hline 9 & And between classes there are also \\
\hline 10 & preferences and subsidies. They're also there \\
\hline 11 & because, first of all, it's difficult to get it \\
\hline 12 & exactly right, and secondly, because historically, \\
\hline 13 & you know, you have thousands of residential \\
\hline 14 & customers, thousands, and they all pay a small \\
\hline 15 & amount every month but, boy, does that add up when \\
\hline 16 & it's multiplied by 12 months and thousands and \\
\hline 17 & thousands of bills. \\
\hline 18 & So you see subsidies being taken from \\
\hline 19 & the residential class, for example, because they're \\
\hline 20 & not going to notice. It's a few pennies per bill, \\
\hline 21 & and, boy, does that sure make a difference to this \\
\hline 22 & or that other class. \\
\hline 23 & So if you look at the actual class \\
\hline 24 & cost of service studies and the agreements that are \\
\hline 25 & struck in cases, you will see, yes, there are some \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

subsidies, there are some preferences between
classes, just as I said there automatically
necessarily have to be within classes.
So what's an undue preference?
What's an undue subsidy? First of all, what's the
case law? I'm not aware of any. I'm not aware of
any. The statute says the Commission shall not
grant a preference, an undue preference. The
Commission shall not take an undue subsidy.
There's always some subsidy. There's always some
preference.
So if the Commission says we know, we
know for a fact we're charging this group more than
their actual cost in order to benefit this other
group, does that become the undue subsidy and
preference? Perhaps it does.
I recall a water case over a decade
ago where there was one very small service area
where the price of water was absolutely
astronomical because it was such a small customer
base over which to spread the cost of drilling new
wells and putting in the necessary infrastructure.
And the Commission decided to
subsidize that area by taking excess money that was
paid in another area. So instead of lowering the

```

17 wondering, does Staff agree with that? Can we
18 legally do that?
MR. THOMPSON: I believe you legally can, yes. There are load retention rates. There are economic development riders. There are special contracts for this company and for other electric companies in the state. So this is by no means an unknown thing.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp? COMMISSIONER RUPP: Not at this time. Thank you.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank you.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Opening for Public Counsel?

MR. ALLISON: May it please the Commission? Good morning. I'm Dustin Allison. This is my first time appearing in front of you as a Commission, so I appreciate your indulgence with this analysis approach to the case.

I appear today with my co-counsel
Marc Poston consistent with our statutory
responsibilities to represent all the ratepayers
who are impacted by Noranda's request for a rate
reduction.
    I want to focus, if \(I\) can, first on
    some ambiguity that \(I\) think is in the record about
    what the impact of Noranda's request may or may not
    be on Ameren's other ratepayers. As the record in
    this matter has progressed, and it appears that in
    the back and forth between Ameren and Noranda what
    exactly the law requires in this case and what
exactly the record supports or permits with respect
to impact on the other ratepayers may be getting a
little lost.
    I mean, the question from my
perspective is, what exactly can be asked legally
and on this record of the other ratepayers based on
the evidence in this case? And that point, you
know, appears to be muddled, and OPC is going to
attempt to clear that up as we have in testimony
and as we will over the next couple of days.
    But we think that that is -- I say
that up front because \(I\) think we have a different
perspective than perhaps the other parties here.
    Now, Noranda requests a rate of \(\$ 30\) a
megawatt hour, and they call it a load retention
rate. They suggest a test for the Commission to
apply in order to determine whether Noranda's
entitled to that relief.
    OPC thinks that Noranda's request is
probably more properly characterized as an economic
development type of rate, and we think that's a
fairer characterization of what's happening based
on the evidence, and we'll explain that in a little
bit.
But in any event, as the party
```

    adverse to the existing tariff, it's Noranda's
    burden of proof to demonstrate they're entitled to
that rate. Whether Noranda meets or doesn't meet
that heavy burden in this case is not a point on
which OPC is taking a position, and one might ask
why not. I think that's a fair question.
It's because Noranda, whether it gets
its requested rate or not, that decision actually
bears, in our opinion, little relationship to the
question of whether or not the other ratepayers are
impacted. It is not, from our perspective, a one
to one correlation: If Noranda gets relief, then
by operation of law the rate on the other
ratepayers must go up.
We actually think there's an
intervening step there, and that intervening step
is based upon traditional cost of service
principles. That is to say, before raising rates
on the other classes, the record in this case must
demonstrate that Ameren requires that revenue.
There must be evidence to support raising the rate
on the other classes.
Noranda may or may not prove its case
with respect to a reduction for Noranda, but as to
a revenue requirement that is going to impact all

```

1 the other classes, there has to be that evidence.

2 And it's not the ratepayers' burden to put that

25 is not appropriate and cannot provide an adequate
```

    | basis on which to raise rates on the other classes
    of ratepayers using the ratemaking principles that
have been long applied by this Commission with
respect to cost of service under the law -- and
under the law of this state.
And this is particularly true whereas
here the Commission is aware of at least two other
matters in which Ameren's revenue requirement is at
issue, I mean, one filed by consumer groups that
will be heard in a month suggesting that the
revenue requirement has been too high, and another
one the Commission has notice already that Ameren
has filed that it's going to come in and file
another file and suspend rate case, which is
exactly, I'm sure, the opposite, that revenue is
too low.
So it's clear that no one agrees that
the current revenue requirement should continue to
be in operation, and if so, and without any
evidence in this case with respect to revenue
requirement, then rates on the other ratepayers
cannot be raised.
Broadly speaking, we think what has
been -- what is two questions has really been
conflated into one step by some of the other

```

25 suggesting divergence from traditional cost of
```

service principles, and we believe that the
Commission -- we agree with Staff, the Commission
has the authority to do that if it finds evidence
that would support such a conclusion.
But that is an independent
consideration. We do not advocate and we would
strongly suggest that this Commission continue to
adhere to cost of service principles as it applies
to any revenue requirement and an increase on the
other ratepayers. I think those are independent.
You know, it's interesting. Ameren I
think will come up here and has throughout the
course of this case advocated for continued
application of cost of service principles, and
that's important.
I suspect that they vociferously
object to what I -- to what I just offered to the
Commission, and yet it cannot be both -- the sword
for Ameren against Noranda cannot also be the
shield protecting them from the consequences of
cost of service principles and having a revenue
requirement be evaluated in this case.
I'd like to transition just for a
brief moment -- I won't keep you very much
longer -- and spend a moment drawing the

```
```

Commission's attention to OPC's perspective on
matters -- the rest of Noranda's request
irrespective of the rate itself.
The Commission's going to hear from
our consultant, Lena Mantle. We have testimony in
the record on these points. Lena's a former
29-year member of Staff who, as many of you are
aware, ultimately became the manager of the energy
department prior to her retirement from the State
in 2012.
To the extent that the Commission is
inclined to grant Noranda any of the relief it's
requested in whole or in part, OPC suggests the
following: Noranda continue to pay the FAC,
Noranda not be limited to a 2 percent increase in
future cases, and Noranda not be guaranteed this
preferential economic development rate, we call it,
over the course of the next decade.
Further, we make certain suggestions
with respect to the allocation within classes if
this does occur. And on that last issue I'd like
to take a moment.
If the Commission proceeds to
increase rates on the other classes in response to
Noranda's request, it will be over our objection,

```
```

    | but it will also -- from our perspective, at that
    point the Commission will have diverged entirely
from cost of service principles, both for Noranda
and frankly for the other rate -- for the other
rate-paying classes.
And if those are not going to be
applied, if cost of service principles aren't going
to be applied, then, you know, we submit those
principles cannot be applied as a shield to protect
Ameren as well. In this situation, Ameren can and
should be asked to bear its fair share of the
financial burden attendant to Noranda's request.
And because we believe that the
totality of the record can be read to suggest
Ameren continues to receive a benefit from its
contract with Noranda, a unique customer to Ameren
in many ways, we conclude that it would be just and
reasonable for Ameren to bear a portion of that
burden of any rate shift stemming from Noranda's
request.
Again, we oppose any shift onto the
other ratepayers, but to the extent that the
Commission determines otherwise, we make this
alternative argument.
Now, because OPC sees Noranda's

```

1 Request as really seeking implementation of an

2 economic development rate, we set out in testimony our position that the Commission should explore some terms and conditions that we think might be appropriate before the application of such a rate.

Such terms and conditions should be tailored to ensure value for the State of Missouri, and the other ratepayers being asked to provide Noranda this relief. And such terms and conditions have been explored in the aluminum smelter cases that have gone before regulatory bodies in Ohio and West Virginia and Kentucky, and they're also explored here by Ms. Mantle and Mr. Jarrett and Mr. Fayne.

And to that end, you'll hear us suggest that the imposition of a guaranteed minimum employment requirement at the smelter is necessary for Noranda to receive -- is a necessary condition for Noranda to receive this relief. And frankly, for a company like Noranda, with an operation like an aluminum smelter, that's not much of an ask. It's a floor, frankly, for what should be imposed by the Commission.

Further, our testimony suggests that Noranda should commit to a guarantee for annual
```

capital expenditures of at least \$100 million, with
specific commitments for capital expenditures at
the New Madrid smelter.
And this position is frankly entirely
consistent with the testimony of Noranda's CEO Kip
Smith. Mr. Smith says that the relief they request
is necessary to permit Noranda to reinvest
\$100 million annually in its operations going

```
forward.
    And if that's so, then Noranda should
have no problem binding itself meaningfully to that
level of spending in exchange for the relief it
requests and no problem making specific commitments
to those investments going forward at the New
Madrid smelter.
    And next, we think the evidence
suggests Noranda's ownership has a history,
frankly, of pulling substantial amounts of cash out
of the company. Now, that's the past, but we think
it is an important data point for the Commission to
consider. And some will suggest, most likely
Ameren, that this has forced the company, if it
does have a liquidity crisis, into its current
state of affairs.
    Because rate relief for Noranda will
put the company on a path to positive earnings as
the price of aluminum improves, the Commission
should prohibit the company from issuing dividends,
special dividends, share buyback programs or any
other mechanism for returning value to shareholders
as long as the preferential rate is in effect.
    If the company wants to keep a
dividend, if they want to do a special dividend,
start a share buyback program, that's all fine.
They're certainly entitled to do that, but they
should no longer get a preferential rate. If they
have the money for dividends, then they have the
money to pay their electricity bill.
    Further, OPC suggests in its
testimony that these commitments should be
enforceable, right? While one enforcement tool at
the Commission's disposal will be reinstatement of
a full rate, that can't be the only tool. If the
company fails to meet its obligations, there should
be some mechanism for claw back or recoupment from
Noranda for the value of the New Madrid smelter's
avoided energy costs. Recall that the smelter is
just one component of a larger operation that is
Noranda.
    And finally, in that same vein, OPC
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & suggests that as Noranda's fortunes improve, two \\
\hline 2 & things should happen. First, not only should the \\
\hline 3 & rate relief requested begin to reset back to a full \\
\hline 4 & rate on a going-forward basis, but also looking \\
\hline 5 & backward at the value that has been offered to \\
\hline 6 & Noranda by whoever bore that financial burden, \\
\hline 7 & whoever bore that burden should be made whole. \\
\hline 8 & And on the first point there's \\
\hline 9 & testimony in the record that explores tying \\
\hline 10 & Noranda's continued rate relief to the price of \\
\hline 11 & aluminum on the LME. OPC actually suggests \\
\hline 12 & proceeding with caution about that idea before \\
\hline 13 & making -- we're concerned about making any tariff \\
\hline 14 & or any rate contingent on the price of any \\
\hline 15 & commodity. \\
\hline 16 & And in legal briefing after this \\
\hline 17 & we'll suggest what we think is a more appropriate \\
\hline 18 & method. But for now we think it's sufficient to \\
\hline 19 & say we don't believe tying this to LME is a proper \\
\hline 20 & mechanism here, and frankly we think it has been \\
\hline 21 & unsuccessful in at least two other contexts. \\
\hline 22 & And on the second point, the value \\
\hline 23 & that Noranda will get from its request, at its core \\
\hline 24 & Noranda's request is about liquidity. Right? \\
\hline 25 & Noranda's suggesting its financials are too poor to \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

15 would support, or, over our objections, from all
New Madrid smelter open.
No lender or equity investor would
ever agree to those terms. So why then should the
ratepayers or even Ameren for that matter be
required to do so?
If the Commission's inclined to grant
Noranda the relief it requests, then this

```

17 state. And in exchange, Noranda will try -- it
```

doesn't promise -- it will try to keep the

```
```

doesn't promise -- it will try to keep the

```

25 ratepayers are paying. So it's not our burden. At

1 that point it's Ameren's burden, and Ameren needs
    2 to demonstrate its entitlement to revenue in this

23 as a given, as \(I\) understood Staff's statement to

24 be, that, again, while we haven't independently

25 quantified this, that the impact on southeast
Missouri and perhaps much broader of Noranda's
closure will be astounding and astounding from a
negative perspective.
    And so absolutely, that is entirely,
    I think, within the purview of the Commission. The
    Commission has the authority, if it thinks the
    evidence supports Noranda's request, to lower
    Noranda's rate consistent with the request that
    Noranda makes in whole or in part.
    And, frankly, if the evidence existed
    to raise rates on the other ratepayers, the
    Commission could do that, too. We just don't think
    that the record supports that.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Thank you.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall,
        Commissioner Kenney?
    COMMISSIONER W. KENNEY: No.
    COMMISSIONER HALL: Good morning.
    MR. ALLISON: Good morning, sir.
    COMMISSIONER HALL: I want to make
        absolutely sure \(I\) understand OPC's position on
        this.
            MR. ALLISON: Sure.
            COMMISSIONER HALL: You believe that
        this Commission has the authority to -- if we
11 based on traditional cost of service ratemaking principles that we have applied.
If you think that the evidence
supports -- supports that request, which is a --
they call it a load retention rate, economic
development rate, then yes, because the evidence --
whoever bears the burden -- again, it's not us --
to demonstrate an entitlement to revenue
requirement, we don't suggest -- pardon me. I'm
skipping around.
    But we don't suggest abandonment of
cost of service with respect to the other
ratepayers. And so we need to continue to apply
cost of service principles as it relates to the
other ratepayers. We would urge this Commission to
determine that Noranda should be granted the relief
it requests, that we have the authority to lower
Ameren's ROE --
    MR. ALLISON: Yes.
    COMMISSIONER HALL: -- in order to
pay for it?
    MR. ALLISON: Yes. But I think there
has to be evidence with respect to -- I'm sorry.
Go ahead. Yeah. I think -- yes. That's right. I
mean, what Noranda is suggesting is not a request
based on traditional cost of service ratemaking
principles that we have applied.
If you think that the evidence
```

```
24 benefit, so -- but if we find that Noranda needs
continue to do that. And as a result, Ameren is, I
think, impacted by that.
    I will say that to the extent the
Commission decides to also abandon cost of service
principles with respect to the other ratepayers,
then -- and raise their rates, then at that point
you've abandoned cost of service principles for the
other ratepayers. Right?
    And so I think from an equitable
perspective, from a public interest perspective, it
is entirely within the Commission's authority to
make Ameren share a portion of that burden.
    COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you.
    Mr. ALLISON: Thank you.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp?
    COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you. You
did very well first time out of the box. Great
job.
    Just want to see if I'm following
your line of thinking.
    MR. ALLISON: Sure.
    COMMISSIONER RUPP: You believe this
is more of an economic development subsidy or
the relief, you believe that that cost should be on
```

```
    Ameren's ratepayers rather than all taxpayers
across the state?
    MR. ALLISON: Let me put it this way:
I don't think the -- in the first instance, the
record in this case does not support raising rates
on any other classes of ratepayers in this case.
There is no evidence in this case that would
support that.
                    We have not gone through what norm--
what I understand normally occurs in ratemaking to
determine a revenue requirement that should be
applied and then allocate it across the other
classes. And so from our perspective, the evidence
doesn't support it.
    Now, if -- if the Commission -- and
Noranda requests that the Commission abandon
traditional ratemaking principles. I don't take a
position on that. The Commission can determine
that.
    If the Commission decides to do that
    with respect to the other ratepayers, well, I'm
    taking a position. I say don't do it. Right? But
    if you do it anyway, then from our perspective, it
        is entirely equitable, it is in the public record
        to make sure -- because Ameren receives a
```

```
```

1 benefit -- they will protest this vociferously, but

```
```

1 benefit -- they will protest this vociferously, but
2 Ameren continues to receive a benefit from having
2 Ameren continues to receive a benefit from having
4 in the pain.

```
    4 in the pain.
```

```
Noranda on its system, and so Ameren should share
```

Noranda on its system, and so Ameren should share
JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank
JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank
you.
you.
MR. ALLISON: Thank you.
MR. ALLISON: Thank you.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Missouri Retailers?
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Missouri Retailers?
MR. SCHWARZ: Good morning. May it
MR. SCHWARZ: Good morning. May it
please the Commission? I'm Tim Schwarz. I
please the Commission? I'm Tim Schwarz. I
represent the Missouri Retailers Association in
represent the Missouri Retailers Association in
this case.
this case.
The Missouri Retailers Association
supports Noranda's request in this case because
Noranda has demonstrated the economic interest, the
wherewithal and the willingness to advocate the
interests of all Ameren consumers before this
Commission and before the General Assembly. A good
and current example of that is the pending
complaint case EC-2014-0223.
Ameren is in this game playing on
customers' money. Heads they win. Tails we lose.
If Noranda does not get relief, Noranda's smelter
may remain open or it may close. If it stays open,
the status quo continues. Ameren continues to

```
```

recover substantial portions of its fixed costs

```
from Noranda.
    If the smelter closes, however, it is
the customers and not Ameren that's on the hook for
recovery from the margin of additional off-system
sales the fixed costs now picked up by Noranda.
Despite the certainty of its testimony and
assertions in this case, Ameren is not offering to
hold customers harmless from any shortfall in the
recovery of fixed costs should the smelter close.
    Ironically, of course, Ameren will
also seek to recover from ratepayers the cost of
its attorneys, witnesses and support staff for the
prosecution of this case as well. So customers get
to pick up that tab.
    Even more ironically, the Office of
the Public Counsel can't get the same deal from the
customers that it's actually representing in this
matter.
                        If the Commission provides Noranda
the relief it seeks and the smelter remains open,
there will be no increase in generation,
transmission or distribution costs to Ameren
Missouri. There will be no effect on off-system
sales.
        If the Commission denies relief or if
the relief is inadequate and the smelter closes,
the effects on other Ameren customers are not
known. Those effects will depend on the extent of
future levels of Ameren's off-system sales, the
future price of energy and capacity, possible
reductions in Ameren's MISO transmission costs, and
the future level of Ameren's variable cost of
generation, including fuel and labor. The stakes
in this case are too high to gamble with keeping
the smelter open.
    It is asserted in some testimony that
Ameren's other customers might be better off
without Noranda's load on the system. That view is
incredibly myopic. Such a scenario depends on
Ameren not replacing Noranda's load.
    Noranda's electric bill, according to
Mr. Scheperle's schedule, is more than half of the
entire SGS customer class bill. It is more than a
fourth of the entire LGS class bill. It is more
than two-thirds of the entire SP, small primary
class bill.

A bill of \(\$ 1,000\) per month to an LGS customer would increase by less than \(\$ 30\) per month under the proposal. MRA does not consider that
```

this constitutes undue discrimination against the
other customers or an undue preference to Noranda.
These facts should also confirm for
the Commission the terrible void in the state
economy concentrated in an economically distressed
region that will result from the shuttering of the
New Madrid smelter.

```
    MRA's members have skin in this game.
In the smelter closes, Missouri retailers will lose
sales that are multiples of the \(\$ 90\) million payroll
at Noranda's smelter.
    Like other Ameren customers,
MRA members will be at risk for picking up the
balance of Noranda's contribution to Ameren's fixed
costs if the smelter closes. All Ameren customers
will lose the considerable benefit of Noranda's
customer advocacy before this Commission and the
General Assembly.
    MRA urges this Commission, don't roll
    the dice on the viability of the New Madrid
    smelter. Grant Noranda the relief it seeks. Thank
        you.
            JUDGE WOODRUFF: Questions?
        Mr. Chairman.
            CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Thank you. Let me
```

1 ask you about OPC's assertion that if we grant
2 Noranda's request, then if we reallocate the
3 additional revenue requirement to the other
4 customers, that that's Ameren's burden. No. 1, do
15 additional -- or that reallocated revenue to

```

24 based on the revenue requirement that was advanced

25 in that case.

I think the Public Counsel's position is that, well, that was an eight-inch pie, and we don't know now if we're -- if we have a seven-inch pie or a nine-inch pie or an eleven-inch pie, and therefore, we have to redetermine the size of the pie before we decide that the, you know, size of each slice is appropriate.

I don't think that's the case. I
think that we are -- and the Commission is perfectly entitled to presume that -- I mean, no one has adduced any revenue requirement evidence in this case. I don't think that it's necessary.

That is, the relationship between the ratepayers for the responsibility of the revenue requirement is -- is what's at issue here, and I think that those issues have been adequately addressed.

CHAIRMAN KENNEY: So you answered my second question.

MR. SCHWARZ: That's twice and I haven't got the first one?

CHAIRMAN KENNEY: No. You've answered both now. But I think the way I'm hearing your answer to the first question is there is no need to make a determination about -- the revenue
```

1 requirement is what it is from the last rate case.
2 So is it self-effectuating in your opinion that we

```
```

would reallocate it to the other ratepayers?
MR. SCHWARZ: The revenue requirement
as was established in the last rate case may or may
not be collected by the rates that are currently in
effect. That is, there may be customer growth.
There may be load growth. Certainly the effects of
weather can affect it.
So that the current revenue that's
actually being generated is quite likely not the
same as the revenue requirement upon which the
relative customer responsibility was designed in
the last rate case.
But I think that it's -- I think that
it's fair that relative customer responsibility can
be addressed in these circumstances by the
Commission.
CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Without any
additional evidence?
MR. SCHWARZ: About revenue
requirement, that's correct.
CHAIRMAN KENNEY: All right. No
other questions. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER W. KENNEY: I just had a

```
```

quick question. So if this Commission grants
relief to Noranda, the Retailers Association is on
record as saying that raising rates on your members
is okay to cover that?
MR. SCHWARZ: Yes.
COMMISSIONER W. KENNEY: Thank you.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall?
COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you. I
believe in response to questions from the Chairman,
you indicated that it would be inappropriate for
the Commission to compensate for the reduction in
Noranda's rate by lowering ROE in this proceeding?
MR. SCHWARZ: Frankly, I don't think
it's an issue in this proceeding. I don't know --
and there's no evidence as to what Ameren's current
ROE is. So in those circumstances, I think that
it's --
COMMISSIONER HALL: Let me ask this
way: Are we -- are we constitutionally prohibited
from doing what OPC is suggesting we should do or
is there just a lack of evidence to support
doing -- taking that action, or both?
MR. SCHWARZ: I hadn't considered if
there's a constitutional -- if there would be a
constitutional prohibition on it. I would be

```
concerned that there would be. But I think as a
practical matter, you don't have to reach the
constitutional issue because it's -- it's not
necessary for the decision of this case.
    I think you can -- you can -- this
case is a rate design case seeking to reallocate
the proportional burden of Ameren's customers as to
the current rates now in effect.
    COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you.
        JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp?
        COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you, sir.
How many -- how many members do you have in your
association?
    MR. SCHWARZ: I frankly don't know.
        COMMISSIONER RUPP: Ballpark, don't
        know?
        MR. SCHWARZ: Don't know.
        COMMISSIONER RUPP: So you don't know
how many --
    MR. SCHWARZ: It's on the order of
    100 or so maybe.
    COMMISSIONER RUPP: 100 or so.
    MR. SCHWARZ: But I'm not a witness,
    and if I were a witness, I'm unprepared.
        (Laughter.)

COMMISSIONER RUPP: Do you have any
```

idea how many of those members are in Ameren's

```
service area?

MR. SCHWARZ: Well, I would guess that it's the bulk of them simply because Ameren has, you know, however many, two-thirds of the customers in the state.

COMMISSIONER RUPP: Did you poll your
membership on --
    MR. SCHWARZ: I did not, but I
believe that David Overfelt did.
    COMMISSIONER RUPP: And the one-third
that are not -- we're assuming are not in their
service area, you think they will receive a benefit
because?
    MR. SCHWARZ: I'm acting on my
    client's instructions, and I'm sure that
    Mr. Overfelt is very concerned about the interplay
    of his members.
    COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you.
        Consumers Council?
    MR. COFFMAN: May it please the
    Commission? Good morning. I'm John Coffman,
    representing the Consumers Council of Missouri.

Our interest in this case is exclusively residential consumers' interests, and, boy, looking at their interest in this case, I have no small amount of fear and loathing about what might transpire. We feel residential consumers are getting squeezed by two giant corporations here. It is really hard to look at this and really know the right way to go. So I don't envy you in your position here.

We are not taking a position as to Noranda's evidence on its own liquidity crisis. Frankly, in looking at the other jurisdictions that have addressed these issues previously, the other states, we don't recommend looking at some rate that is based on the price of aluminum. We're glad that Noranda's not asking for that.

When you look at the evidence about whether consumers would -- the other consumers would be better off or worse off if the smelter were to close, I have to say that objectively the testimony of -- put forth by Noranda is persuasive.

I think that specifically the testimony of Maurice Brubaker and Jim Dauphinais make a good case that if Noranda were to go away, consumers would at least in this time frame be
```

worse off. And so ask you to look at that
critically. We know that there is criticism of
that by Staff and Ameren.
We have to -- we look very critically
and skeptically at the evidence from Ameren, of
course, who had successfully advocated to raise
residential rates about 43 percent over the last
few years. This case has got things all, you know,
backwards.

```
    We don't take a position on a lot of
the broader non-rate benefits of Noranda, although
we certainly don't question them. Confine --
trying to confine our analysis just to the
ratemaking process itself, but even then Noranda's
participation here \(I\) think has benefited the Public
Service Commission's deliberations.
    They have certainly contributed
greatly to the analysis of the fuel adjustment
clause, investing in fuel modeling and providing
evidence \(I\) think that has helped the Commission get
to the right decision, and has helped consumers of
all sizes and all customer classes.
    So we certainly hope that Noranda
        stays around. We are not sure we know what rate
        Noranda -- we think that Noranda may deserve a
```

reduction, whether you call it an economic rate, a
load retention rate or something else. But we
certainly support the Office of Public Counsel's
position that -- that you look first to Ameren
before you look to ordinary ratepayers to make up
any necessary deficiency to meet that rate.
Evidence is not in the record of this
case, but there is a pending case where there is an
all relevant factors analysis, which I believe
shows overearnings on behalf of the utility company
that are in excess of any of the amount of money
that we are looking at here in this case.
And so can't in good conscience say
that residential ratepayers should be making up the
difference until those issues are resolved and that
the Commission makes some resolution on what we
believe is an excessive rate of return and an
excessive amount of overearnings that have occurred
over various periods over the last two years or so.
So we think that there does need to
be an all relevant factors analysis before you look
to our clients, the people that we care about, many
Of whom are having liquidity crises of their own
when they sit around the table and worry about
whether they can pay their utility bills and the

```
```

    1 rest of the things that they need to pay.
    17 and we often think that that -- that it isn't
needed.
Residential consumers are now forced
to pay }95\mathrm{ percent of the risk of the fuel and
purchased power volatility even though consumers
have zero control over the fuel procurement
policies. We don't like the fuel adjustment
clause, and we believe and would hope that, going
forward, even if Noranda is given and recognized

```

1 that they need a lower rate, that they still have some skin in that game. We believe that to the extent that the fuel adjustment clause is forced upon consumers, that all consumers should have the same interest in that particular fuel clause. It's not clear exactly who would pick up the rest of that.
```

                        We think that Ameren certainly
    deserves to have more skin in the game than the
current 5 percent, and we ask that Noranda continue
to have some skin in that game as well.
So good luck. That's all I have.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Chairman?
MR. LOWERY: I think that's a first,
John.
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Lost my train of
thought. So does -- thanks, John. Mr. Coffman,
thank you.
Do you also agree with OPC's analysis
that there is a shifting burden, that the burden
shifts to Ameren?
MR. COFFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN KENNEY: So you agree with
that as well?

```

MR. COFFMAN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KENNEY: And do you have an opinion, then, could we grant Noranda's request without raising rates on the additional consumer/ commercial classes and require the shareholders to bear that burden?

MR. COFFMAN: I don't know if -- I think you could -- yes, I believe you could grant that, but \(I\) wouldn't characterize it as asking shareholders. I think that the current rate of return is out of line with what \(I\) think current economic and comparable analysis would show, and I
think that you should look to the 223 case with
regards to the overearning. We think that the
revenue requirement is currently too high.

CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Okay. So before we even get to the 223 case, what you're essentially saying is that we could grant Noranda's request and in effect lower Ameren's ROE in this case? Because that's the effect of what we would do if we didn't reallocate.

MR. COFFMAN: If you took revenue requirement testimony in this case, or perhaps you could make it contingent upon your ruling in the 223 case.

CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Okay. I'm not sure
```

I understand. So you're saying we could or

```
couldn't without taking revenue requirement
testimony in this case simply grant Noranda's
request and leave the remain classes where they
are?
    MR. COFFMAN: Yes, I think there's a
way you could do that.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: And what is that
way?
            MR. COFFMAN: By making a finding
that -- that the revenue require-- that there is no
evidence to support a reduction of the -- or
increasing any of the other customer classes or at
least the residential class based on the evidence
in this record.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: In effect lowering
    Ameren's authorized ROE?
    MR. COFFMAN: At least lowering their
    revenue requirement.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Okay. All right.
        Nothing else.
            JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Stoll?
            COMMISSIONER STOLL: No, no
        questions.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Kenney?
```

Commissioner Hall?

```

COMMISSIONER HALL: When you
```

responded to the -- to the issues, the list of

```
issues, you said that your client was taking no
position on Question 3, which -- or Issue 3, which
is would it be more beneficial to Ameren Missouri
ratepayers other than Noranda for Noranda to remain
on Ameren's system at the requested reduced rate
than for Noranda to leave Ameren Missouri's system
entirely?
    At that time, you said that your
client was taking no position on that question. I
think you said in your opening that Noranda's
expert testimony on that issue was persuasive. Are
you -- are you supporting Noranda on that issue?
    MR. COFFMAN: We're not taking a
position on the \(\$ 30.00\) specifically, but we do
believe that residential consumers have been
made -- or are in a better financial situation for
Noranda being on the system over the past few years
and for their actions in this regulatory body. And
we do believe that -- we are convinced that
consumers would be worse off if Noranda left the
system, but we don't feel that we have sufficient

17 this agency several times in the past, not in the
18 last several years. This is the first time I've appeared before these Commissioners. I appreciate the opportunity to appear before you this morning. I'm representing Wal-Mart Stores
        East, LP and Sams East, Inc. And rather than
        repeating that over and other again, I'm just going
        to refer to both of those entities as Wal-Mart. So
        when I say Wal-Mart, you'll understand I'm
```

referring to both of those.

```
    As you no doubt are aware, Wal-Mart
does have a few facilities in Missouri, and
specifically there are 141 facilities, Wal-Mart
facilities in Missouri. Approximately 48 of those
are -- 48 retail outlets and a distribution center
are served by Ameren.
    Approximately ten Wal-Marts and one
Sams are located within 50 miles of the Noranda
smelter. Some of those are served by Ameren. Some
are served by other providers as well.
    Now, as you've probably gathered by
now, this case is a little bit unusual. It is
also -- Wal-Mart's position in this case is
somewhat unusual. By that I mean normally Wal-Mart
is a cost of service rate advocate, and they
advocate that rates be set based upon a utility's
cost of service.
    And the reason for that is Wal-Mart
very strongly believes that those types of rates
produce equitable rates that are reflective of cost
causation, that they send proper price signals and
minimize price distortions.
    So under normal circumstances
Noranda's requested relief in this case would be

1 out of the ordinary and inappropriate in Wal-Mart's 2 view. However, in this particular case, given the
specific and extraordinary circumstances presented
to you by Noranda, and you'll probably hear us use
that phrase over and over again, but due to those
circumstances, Wal-Mart does not oppose the reduced
rates proposed by Noranda.
                        And Wal-Mart has presented the
testimony of a witness in this case, Steve Chriss,
an expert witness. He will be testifying on
various issues in some detail later on. But let
me, if \(I\) could, just briefly give you a summary or
a road map of the opinions that he's going to
address.
    And let me say also at the outset,
there are a number of these issues, and your
questions have anticipated some of those, but there
are a number of issues in this case that Wal-Mart
hasn't taken a position on, and you'll hear that
later.

But specifically what Mr. Chriss has
testified to, again, given the specific and
extraordinary circumstances, there's no objection
to the requested rate or the escalator by Noranda.
    Secondly, Wal-Mart does not oppose
```

Noranda's proposed allocation methodology of the
revenue requirement shortfall.
Mr. Chriss also testifies that for
the LGS, SP and LP classes, that's large general
service, small primary and large primary classes --
those are the classes with a demand component in
the tariffs. For those classes Mr. Chriss suggests
that any revenue requirement shortfall be
calculated and charged on a demand basis rather
than an energy basis.
Fourthly, I guess, Mr. Chriss
testifies that the collection of the revenue
requirement shortfall should be done through a
separate and identifiable standalone rate, either
as a separate appendix to Noranda's proposed
Schedule 10M or as a separate rider.
And then lastly Mr. Chriss testifies
that the structure of the 10M escalator and the
2 percent cap should be clearly identified in the
tariff.
And if I could just elaborate a bit.
Those last two points that I mentioned, the
collection of the revenue requirement shortfall
through a separate tariff, that's basically so that
if things changed, there's a way to unravel this.

```
```

It doesn't just all get rolled into a single tariff
and you try to figure out what applies to Noranda,
what applies to other people. It just simplifies
the administration, I guess would be the way to
characterize it, of that -- of that tariff.
So those -- that's just a quick
overview of Wal-Mart's position. Unless you have
any questions, that's all I have.
CHAIRMAN KENNEY: I don't have any
questions. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER HALL: No questions.
MR. CHAMBERLAIN: I should mention, I
do have extra copies of Mr. Chriss' testimony here
if any of the Commissioners needs it.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you, sir.
MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Thank you.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: We've been going for
almost two hours now. We'll take a ten-minute
break before we proceed with the other openings.
We'll come back at 11:30.
(A BREAK WAS TAKEN.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Come to
order, please. I don't have a gavel here anymore.
Let's go ahead and get started again. The next
opening statement will be from River Cement.

```

MS. LANGENECKERT: Good morning -- I think it's still morning -- Judge Woodruff. As you know, I'm Lisa Langeneckert, and I'm here representing River Cement Company today.

River Cement has no witnesses. We've done no studies, and we have no position on a majority of the issues. However, we are concerned about the slippery slope of not using the traditional cost of service based principles that the Commission has used for many years.

River Cement is a large user of power, but their usage doesn't compare to Noranda. Their demand is about 40 megawatts. They're an important employer in the communities in which they have plants. Their payroll is approximately 22 million a year. They have 175 employees, and their property tax is about 3.8 million.

They have participated in many years past in cases before this Commission and believe that the Commission should continue to follow its traditional method of cost of service based rates.

They don't deny that Noranda's contributions in southeast Missouri and in the Legislature on consumers' behalf are great, but they are concerned about the slippery slope.

Thank you.

JUDGE WOODRUFF. Thank you.
Continental Cement.

MR. COMLEY: May it please the

Commission? Good morning.
My name is Mark Comley, and I think, along with Ms. Langeneckert, I represent an industrial concern -- and forgive me if \(I\) make use of a phrase that's been used in remarks of other people -- that doesn't want to be skinned in this game.

I represent Continental Cement

Company, LLC. This company has offices in Chesterfield and Hannibal, Missouri, and operates a large manufacturing complex near Hannibal. It is an Ameren customer.

Continental sells and manufactures cement. Cement has been manufactured at the Hannibal location since 1903. The product produced at the plant has a history of high quality and was selected for such recognizable projects as the Empire State Building, the Panama Canal, Busch Stadium, the Edward Jones Dome and the Scott Trade Center.

The Hannibal plant is fully
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & modernized. Various improvements were made in 2006 \\
\hline 2 & at a cost of approximately \(\$ 200\) million. The \\
\hline 3 & cement manufacturing appliances are all state of \\
\hline 4 & the art. The ingredients for the product are \\
\hline 5 & quarried onsite. Its distribution network includes \\
\hline 6 & lines from Hannibal, but also it utilizes shipping \\
\hline 7 & terminals in St. Louis and Bettendorf, Iowa. \\
\hline 8 & Continental currently employs 228 at \\
\hline 9 & the plant. Payroll and other benefits at that \\
\hline 10 & level of employment exceed \$24 million per year. \\
\hline 11 & Continental pays over \(\$ 1\) million in county or local \\
\hline 12 & property taxes annually. \\
\hline 13 & It purchases supplies and services \\
\hline 14 & locally in the amount of approximately \\
\hline 15 & \$46 million a year. Continental charitable \\
\hline 16 & contributions and advertising costs are \\
\hline 17 & approximately 150,000 to \(\$ 200,000\) per year. \\
\hline 18 & All of the plant equipment requires \\
\hline 19 & electrical energy to operate. Plant equipment \\
\hline 20 & ranges in size from fractional horsepower motors up \\
\hline 21 & to 3,000 horsepower motors. Continental is heavily \\
\hline 22 & dependent upon the reliability and quality of \\
\hline 23 & energy distributed to these facilities. \\
\hline 24 & Energy accounts for approximately \\
\hline 25 & 12.8 percent of Continental's operating expenses. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

24 economic power in northeast Missouri. Increases in
25 its electric rates in response to this complaint,
```

1 rates that would have been above Continental's cost
2 of service, would be fundamentally unfair and

```

12 competition from abroad and from domestic cement

13 suppliers that have the advantage of rates that are

14 lower than those charged by Ameren. The slightest increase in Ameren's energy rate has significant effects on the strength of Continental's market position and on its level of employment and its contributions to the local economy.

Continental is like many other industrial or commercial firms. It would benefit from a reduction in Ameren's rates. And if the Commission is inclined to reduce Ameren's rate for service to Noranda, in fairness the Commission should consider reducing the rate for Continental as well.
        Otherwise, if that is not the case,
        the Commission should deny the relief requested in
        Noranda's complaint entirely. Giving a particular
        class of Ameren customer a rate reduction below its
        cost of service and imposing that cost on other
        customers is unfair, unduly discriminatory, unduly
        preferential, and it should be rejected.
            Continental is sponsoring the
    testimony of a single witness, Mr. J. Scott Conroy,
        its vice president of engineering and projects.
        Forgive me, Judge Woodruff. This may have been a
        matter I needed to take up preliminarily with you.
        Because of his business schedule, having him
        testify tomorrow would be a preference.
    Last week I tried to poll the parties
        on whether or not they had any objection to taking
        his testimony tomorrow. It may be likely -- it may
        be likely that he's going to testify tomorrow
        because of the order of witnesses, but out of
        caution I wanted to make sure that there was no
        objection to taking him tomorrow. If I could do so
        again.
            JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anyone object to
        taking Mr. Conroy tomorrow? I don't think there's
        any likelihood he's going to be today.

MR. COMLEY: Thank you very much. On another matter, I have no cross-examination for the witnesses today, and like Mr. Curtis, would ask leave from the Commission to be excused.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may be excused. Wait for questions, however. Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Mr. Comley, thank you. I want to ask you about OPC's analysis that we could grant Noranda's rate increase and then there's a burden that shifts to Ameren to marshal evidence regarding a reallocation of the revenue requirement conditions.

Do you agree with that analysis that Ameren then bears the burden of demonstrating that the revenue requirement deficiency should be borne by the rest of the rate classes?

MR. COMLEY: Speaking for a client
that really doesn't want to have any rate increases
on its operating cost, and presuming that the
Commission comes to the conclusion that you've just
reached, that you would go ahead and enter the
relief that Noranda requests, I don't think the
Commission can raise the rates automatically. It's
not a self-effectuating type of increase.

I don't think the -- again, the word
a stale revenue requirement \(I\) think was used in the remarks of the Office of Public Counsel, and I think that is a very good point. All factors would include the most recent revenue requirement and the most recently updated material for revenue requirement before the Commission can make a
decision on increasing anyone else's rates.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: So then the
corollary to my first question is then could we
grant Noranda's request and leave all the other
rate classes' rates where they are, in effect
reducing Ameren's authorized ROE?
    MR. COMLEY: I think the Commission
has authority to do that.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Okay. Thank you.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Stoll?
    COMMISSIONER STOLL: No questions.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Kenney?
        COMMISSIONER W. KENNEY: No
        questions.
        JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall?
        COMMISSIONER HALL: No questions.
        JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp?
        COMMISSIONER RUPP: I was trying to
        write down some of the things you were saying.
```

Probably it's in your testimony. What's the annual
cost to your plant if the request was granted?
MR. COMLEY: We haven't got that
information in there. If the request is granted,
we don't know how much our rates would go up. So
we haven't calculated that. So that's not a
calculation in the testimony, Commissioner.
COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: I have one quick
question. Has Continental Cement been aligned with
MIEC in earlier cases?
MR. COMLEY: I don't think so. I'm
not clear on that, Judge Woodruff. I'll find out,
but I don't think it has been with MIEC.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Just a matter of
curiosity. Thank you.
Now we'll move to Ameren Missouri.
MS. TATRO: Thank you, Judge. Good
morning, Commissioners. Commissioner Rupp, I'm not
sure that I've been in front of you before, but my
name is Wendy Tatro, and I'm an attorney for Ameren
Missouri. So nice to be in front of you.
You know, when Ms. Vuylsteke gave her
opening, she accidentally characterized Noranda's
request as a request, but she was actually right

```

24 lightly. We have great sympathy for the employees

25 of Noranda. We do not dispute that the Noranda
```

facility contributes in a significant and positive
manner to the economy of southeast Missouri and
even to the neighboring states. We attended those
public hearings. It is clear that the employees
depend on Noranda.

```
    The question in this case is not
    nearly as narrow as Noranda wants it to be. It is
    not simply whether Noranda has a financial need for
    a lower power rate or whether it would close the
        smelter without it, although there will be evidence
        to show that there are substantial questions about
        the truth of both of those claims.
            The question is also, even if they do
        have financial problems, how did those problems
        arise? The evidence will show that Noranda and its
        controlling shareholder Apollo put Noranda in the
        position that it is in today and, having done so,
        they now want Ameren Missouri's other 1.2 million
        customers to bail them out.
            And even if Noranda has a significant
        problem, whether it's their own fault or not, it
        doesn't mean that the Missouri Public Service
        Commission is the appropriate venue at which to
        seek relief.
            Nor does it mean that your sympathy
```

    1 for Noranda's employees should cause you to ignore
    your concern for and obligations to the other
customers of Ameren Missouri, for if a subsidy is
allowed, it is Ameren Missouri's other customers
who will ultimately bear that burden.
Let's start with the questions at law
that are before you. Does this Commission have the
legal authority to grant Noranda the subsidized
rate it's requesting? Commissioners, you've been
placed in a difficult situation, having had Noranda
appeal to your sympathies while asking you to
provide them rate relief that's not possible under
Missouri law. This is something you should not
have been asked to decide.
I expect that each one of you has a
well-meaning desire to see the jobs of Noranda's
employees preserved regardless of whose fault it is
that the employees may be working for a company
whose financial condition is less than optimal.
But this body is not empowered to
grant the relief requested in this case because the
subsidized rate that Noranda is requesting has
absolutely no basis and any difference in how
Ameren Missouri serves Noranda as compared to how
it serves other customers.

```

No doubt about it, there are differences, but Noranda doesn't use Ameren
Missouri's distribution system, for example, but --
and this is a very important point -- those
differences have already been accounted for in
Noranda's current cost-based rates.
    And the law tells you that the rate
differences must be based on differences in the
character of service that the utility provides the
customer. This is a long-held and established law.
In 1931, the Supreme Court held a water rate is
unduly discriminatory, and they pointed out that it
was because there was no cost of service difference
upon which to base the different rate, violating
the principle of equality.
    The court said, that principle of
equality does forbid any difference in charge which
is not based upon difference in service and, even
when based upon difference in service, must have
some reasonable relation to the amount of
difference and cannot be so great as to produce
unjust discrimination.
    So, Commissioners, you can believe
    every word of Ms. Vuylsteke's opening. You can
    believe every word of Noranda's CEO Kip Smith's
testimony. You can believe that Noranda is on the
verge of laying off workers. You can believe that
Noranda's smelter faces a substantial risk that it
will eventually be forced to be closed. You can
believe it all. And you can even desire to help
Noranda and its employees and the Bootheel region
of this state. But even if everything Mr. Smith
testifies to is true, the place to help Noranda's
employees is not at the Missouri Public Service
Commission.
    If, as Noranda witness Dr. Haslag
contends, Noranda is an important economic engine
for the state, then the state as a whole should be
helping Noranda, if it truly needs the help and if
our elected officials at the Legislature determine
that such help should be given.
    The irony of this request is that a
very significant number of people who Noranda says
benefit from its presence are not being asked to
pay one dime of the subsidy that Noranda is
seeking. Those are the folks who live in southeast
Missouri but who are served by cooperatives and
municipal utilities rather than by Ameren Missouri.
    The Commission's obligation in all
cases is to set rates that are just and reasonable.
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1 & The process of setting rates occurs like this: The \\
2 & Commission first determines a revenue requirement, \\
3 & which means it determines a level of revenues \\
4 & designed to recover the utility's expected \\
5 & reasonable expenses and allowed an opportunity to \\
6 & earn a reasonable return on its prudent and useful \\
7 & investments in assets used to provide utility \\
8 & service. \\
9 & design, which means it determines how much of the \\
10 & revenue requirement should be collected from the \\
11 & utility's various rate classes using a class cost \\
12 & of service study to allocate the costs to each \\
25 & the number of customers in a class, customer \\
14 & class, making sure that the difference in rates has \\
23 & aspect of the customers' need drives the cost \\
15 & a basis in difference -- in the differences in the \\
16 & character of service the utility is providing to \\
17 & different groups of customers. \\
21 & items and segregates them by function, whether that
\end{tabular}
```

demands, customers' total energy consumption, et
cetera.
Finally, the class cost of service
study allocates the functionalized and classified
costs to the customer classes by some measure -- we
typically call that an allocation factor -- that
best represents or estimates the cause of each
cost.
The subsidization request before you
is based upon one factor and one factor alone, and
that's Noranda's alleged financial situation.
Noranda's proposal in this case has the rate design
process backwards. It starts by determining what
rate works best for it and spreads the remaining
revenue requirement amongst the other customer
classes.
Missouri law prohibits, and you've
heard this already, forbids granting undue or
unreasonable preference to any person or
corporation. This is often referred to as not
allowing undue discrimination.
This does not mean the Commission
cannot discriminate between classes of customers at
all, but it can't unduly discriminate. And as I
noted earlier, in all cases there must be a basis

```
```

for the difference in the rate, and it must relate
to some difference in the character of service that
the utility is providing.
In this case, Noranda wants you to
look at its financial condition and rely upon that
as the basis for an unduly discriminatory rate that
they seek, but the law says Noranda's financial
condition is not the issue.
The real question is the impact of
this subsidization request upon the rest of Ameren
Missouri's customers. It is they who will suffer
the undue discrimination.
You must consider the evidence Ameren
Missouri has provided through the testimony of
Mr. Matt Michels that demonstrates its customers
will be worse off by hundreds of millions of
dollars with Noranda paying \$30 a megawatt hour
with no FAC and future rate increases capped at
2 percent for ten years than customers would be if
Noranda left the Ameren Missouri system. The Staff
testimony of Sarah Kliethermes reaches much the
same conclusion.
Now, Noranda points out that other
states have used special electric rates in order to
provide assistance to aluminum smelters in their

```
```

state. Of course, all those examples occurred in a
different state, which likely have different
statutory authorities. And as was pointed out by
the testimony of Ameren Missouri witnesses Robert
Mudge and Terry Jarrett, before coming to their
commissions several of these smelters went to their
legislature and obtained specific enabling
legislation which preceded the approval of those
special rates. Missouri has no such legislation,
and Noranda has made no attempt to obtain it.
Now, I personally believe your
inquiry should stop here because what they're
asking you to do is illegal. But let's set those
legal issues aside for a moment and presume that
there is a legal avenue available to Noranda. Then
you are left with a huge policy decision.
Has Noranda provided sufficient
justification for you, Commissioners, to require
Ameren Missouri's residential, commercial and other
industrial customers to pay for part of the cost of
serving Noranda?
To properly answer that policy
decision, I believe there are several questions
which must be answered in the affirmative before
you can grant relief to Noranda. The first three

```
will address facts of the case, and the fourth
points to the inevitable policy impact that your
decision will implicate.
    First, is Noranda truly facing a dire
financial crisis? Second, if so, is that financial
crisis outside of Noranda or Apollo's control?
Third, if the financial crisis is outside of
Noranda and Apollo's control, is it fair to place
the burden to solve their financial problems solely
upon Ameren Missouri's customers?
    And the fourth and final question is,
should the Commission even embark on a new policy
of setting preferred electric rates based upon a
customer's ability to pay?
    So let's starts with the first
question. Is Noranda truly facing a dire financial
crisis? Well, Noranda's testimony certainly paints
a dire picture, and the public hearings demonstrate
they have convinced their employees that their jobs
are at risk.
    There will be a lot of arguments
    about this and a lot of evidence in the record for
    you to sort through, but let's focus on one area,
    and that's the question of whether Noranda is
    facing a liquidity crisis.

13 to the investment community around the same time as

25 to you as Schedule RSM-1HC to Mr. Mudge's
```

1 testimony. It's confidential, so I can't read you
anything out of it without going in-camera, and I
don't desire to do that today. But I urge you to
read that or to at least read the excerpts that are
contained on page 13 of Mr. Mudge's rebuttal
testimony.
These quotes paint a picture that is
1 8 0 degrees different from the story presented in
Mr. Smith's direct testimony and the story
Ms. Vuylsteke told you this morning. Remember,
these statements were made 12 days prior to this
filing.
Next, February 19th, seven days after
filing this complaint, Noranda held an earnings
call. On that call, Noranda stated it had a solid
liquidity position of \$196 million at the end of
2013. There's a second earnings call on
April 23rd in which Noranda reported \$191 million
in liquidity.
There was a question asked about the
expectations for liquidity at the end of 2014, and
the person was assured by Noranda's CFO that
Noranda, quote, wasn't, quote, seeing any material
changes unless there's something that was
unexpected.

```

Then May 30th, just a month after the second investors call, Noranda filed surrebuttal in this testimony, and again Mr. Smith in his surrebuttal attempts to sound the alarm by noting that Noranda has consumed \(\$ 46\) million in cash since the filing of the case.

What he doesn't mention and what the testimony will show in this case is that Noranda actually has more liquidity after the first four months of this year than it did when the year started.

He further doesn't mention, and what testimony will show, that Noranda's financials were reduced during the first quarter because of unusual and extreme weather. Otherwise, Noranda would have actually improved its liquidity even further this year, all the while paying its current cost of service based rates for electricity.

And in suggesting to you that things have gotten worse for Noranda, Mr. Smith fails to mention, but the evidence will show, that in fact Noranda is ahead of its plan in both cash and liquidity for the first four months of this year.

Now, in Mr. Smith's surrebuttal, he responded to Mr. Mudge's testimony and he said,
Noranda has always been honest and transparent with
the Commission, Moody's and the public.
    Commissioners, far be it from me to
doubt Mr. Smith's statement, but the Commission has
to ask itself tough questions when faced with these
diametrically opposed statements. After all, the
phrases liquidity crisis and solid liquidity
positions don't have the same meaning, and I don't
know how both can be true when voiced within the
same time frame.
    Mr. Smith's surrebuttal explanation
of these seemingly diametrically opposed statements
is to explain that the Moody's presentation used
CRU projections while the financial model which
produced the results that was used on the earning
calls used price inputs from the LME forward curve.
He explains that the CRU price is an estimate, a
projection, while the LME reflects a price at which
a transaction could be made on that date. So
they're very different things, he assures you.
    Well, accepting his explanation as
true, does that provide you with any confidence
that the truer scenario is the crisis scenario?
    Noranda is reporting two different numbers to two
    different audiences, and it just happens to use the
scenario that best fits the story for each audience
at that time. Whether or not there's a liquidity
crisis at Noranda is far from clear.
    The second question, is Noranda
face -- if Noranda is facing a dire financial
situation, is that financial crisis outside of
Noranda and Apollo's control?
    To put this in regulatory language
that we're all more familiar with, if imprudent
decisions or bad management decisions led to
Noranda's current economic situation, then even if
you have the power to subsidize them, they would
not be deserving of a financial subsidy from Ameren
Missouri's other customers.
    I want to take you on a short walk on
the path of history. Hopefully you can see this.
Now, Apollo, according to its website, is one of
the world's largest alternative investment
managers. They're headquartered in New York. They
manage \(\$ 159\) billion in assets worldwide, and they
acquired Noranda on May 18th, 2007 for
\(\$ 1.165\) billion. Now, of that \(\$ 1.165\) billion, they
paid 214.2 million, which is represented right
there on this chart, which by the way this is in
Mudge's testimony as Table 9, I believe. So they
12 the stock, and it had not one dime of its
13 investment at risk.

25 Apollo's received 422.8 million in dividends,
```

\$31 million in management fees, and has sold off
stock for \$151.1 million. That's \$360 million in
Apollo's coffers. Yet Apollo still owns 34 percent
of Noranda, which is enough to still control the
board.

```
```

            Imagine what Noranda's liquidity
    would be if even half of these dividends had been
left in the company. Imagine what it would be if
Apollo had earned only }170\mathrm{ percent return instead
of 340 percent return.
Now, Mr. Smith's surrebuttal
testimony characterized this whole discussion as a
red herring, but it's not. Now, Ameren Missouri is
not saying dividends are a bad thing. We pay them.
But our shareholders have billions of dollars of
equity invested in our company.
And as Mr. Smith says, paying
dividends supports access to the capital markets,
here the equity markets, and for Ameren Missouri it
has done just that. For Apollo, it hasn't
supported a dime of equity investment.
The point here is that Apollo and
Noranda have made their choices, and those choices
have left Noranda burdened with debt. Its
debt/equity ratio is 87 percent, which today

```
```

require it to pay nearly \$50 million a year in
interest. Yet, at the same time Noranda's here
telling you it needs \$50 million a year from Ameren
Missouri's customers because it lacks sufficient
liquidity.

```
    And not only has Apollo done nothing
but profit, but prospectively it can only profit
from Noranda because it doesn't have any money at
risk. It doesn't have a dime at risk since a month
after they purchased the initial stock.
    Noranda's controlled by a highly
sophisticated private equity firm. Its current
liquidity and overall financial circumstances are
the product of many factors far removed from its
electric bill.
    The truth is, Apollo has depleted
Noranda's cash reserves and loaded it up with debt.
If that money, hundreds of millions of dollars
hadn't been bled away from Noranda, if those loans
hadn't been undertaken, what financial condition
would Noranda be in today?
    If Noranda doesn't have enough cash
on hand, the \(\$ 442\) million in dividends are probably
the reason why. If Noranda has exhausted its
ability to borrow, the massive loans to pay for the
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & initial purchase and to pay those early dividends \\
\hline 2 & are probably the reason why, not Ameren Missouri's \\
\hline 3 & electric rates. \\
\hline 4 & So why hasn't Apollo reinvested money \\
\hline 5 & back into Noranda? Why must that subsidy come from \\
\hline 6 & the hardworking people of Missouri rather than from \\
\hline 7 & a hedge fund that only profits from Noranda's \\
\hline 8 & continued existence? \\
\hline 9 & Third question. Even if the \\
\hline 10 & financial crisis is outside of Noranda or Apollo's \\
\hline 11 & control, is it fair to place the burden to solve \\
\hline 12 & their financial problems upon Ameren Missouri's \\
\hline 13 & customers alone? \\
\hline 14 & Commissioners, we really should put \\
\hline 15 & Noranda's request into honest terms. Their request \\
\hline 16 & is that Ameren Missouri's customers, including \\
\hline 17 & those that lived on fixed or low income, pay more \\
\hline 18 & for their electricity so that Noranda and Apollo \\
\hline 19 & can have more profits. That is absolutely \\
\hline 20 & Noranda's request. And is it really fair to ask \\
\hline 21 & Ameren Missouri's customers to shoulder this burden \\
\hline 22 & and to shoulder this burden alone? \\
\hline 23 & This is an enlargement of \\
\hline 24 & Exhibit WRD-2 to the testimony of Ameren Missouri \\
\hline 25 & witness Bill Davis. It's kind of hard to see from \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

23 state to subsidize its rates. The residents of
```

far away, so I apologize, but it's a map of
Missouri. The blue dots represent the density of
households in Ameren Missouri's service territory,
and clearly the majority of Ameren Missouri's
customers are located here, which is the St. Louis
region. Noranda is located down here in southeast

```
Missouri.
    As Mr. Davis testified, 72 percent of
Ameren Missouri's customers are located in the
St. Louis metropolitan area. That means the City
of St. Louis, Jefferson County, Jefferson County
and St. Charles County.
    Of Ameren Missouri's 1.2 million
electric customers, only 39,000 are in the Bootheel
region. That's only 3 percent of Ameren Missouri
customer base. So Noranda's request asks
97 percent of Ameren Missouri's customers who
aren't located by the Noranda plant to subsidize
them under their proposal.
    Now, I realize Noranda claims the
    entire state benefits from Noranda's continued
    operation, but Noranda's not asking the entire
    state to subsidize its rates. The residents of
        Kansas City aren't paying anything. Residents of
        Columbia, where Dr. Haslag works, aren't paying to
```

subsidize Noranda's rates. Instead, Noranda's
asking the City of St. Louis, St. Louis County,
Jefferson County and St. Charles County to
subsidize its rates alone.
There is no reason why one portion of
the state should shoulder this burden. It isn't
equitable and it's bad policy.
So that brings me to my fourth and
final question. Should the Commission embark on a
new policy of setting preferred electric rates
based on a customer's ability to pay?
Mr. Jarrett and Mr. Davis both
testify that the traditional way of setting rates
in Missouri is to base them generally upon a class
cost of service study. Granting Noranda's request
in this case would be a radical departure from that
process. Are you ready to make that change?
Now, this next statement shouldn't
come as a surprise to you, but you do not regulate
Noranda. So you can't make Noranda do anything,
nor can you prevent them from doing anything. If
you grant this request and two months later Noranda
issues a notice to lay off half of its workforce,
there's nothing you can do to prevent that layoff.
If you grant this request and Noranda

```

25 like? For those of you that can see this, this is
```

    1 a mock of Ameren Missouri's bill.
    ```
```

a mock of Ameren Missouri's bill.
We've already seen Continental Cement saying, hey, if you give this to Noranda, I'd like it, too. And Noranda's not the only company in Ameren Missouri's service territory that's hurting financially. We have residential customers who are struggling to pay their bills. You hear from them at every public hearing.
So what's the difference between those customers and Noranda? Is it really just size? Is it the fact that Noranda's willing to pay for multiple attorneys from Bryan Cave to represent them? Is that the standard? The loudest company receives the bailout?
Look at Continental Cement's testimony sponsored by Mr. Conroy. You just heard the opening statement from their attorney. He talked about how important they are to that region of the state. Do they deserve a subsidized rate? And if not, why not?
How many employees do they have to employ? How large does their electric usage have to be in order to qualify for similar treatment? And what other big business would qualify? Monsanto? Enterprise? Anheuser Busch?

```

And, Commissioners, what are you going to say to the mom and pop corner shop who tells you they can't pay their bills and would like a reduced rate? Are those smaller customers not important enough to get a special rate? Is it just for businesses? What about public school districts? What about charitable organizations? Then who's left to pay the difference? Or are you going to say that only Noranda is too big to fail in the state of Missouri?

There are other complications.
There's the reality that your staff will have to investigate these claims and determine who's in true financial need and who is not. Do you have the staff with the skill and time to investigate and adjudicate such claims?

Former Commissioner Terry Jarrett
foresaw this risk when he filed rebuttal testimony on our behalf. Using affordability as a criteria for setting rates is not how rates are set in the state of Missouri. Instead of setting just and reasonable rates, which is your statutory obligation, you'll be picking winners and losers. You'll be pursuing social policy without the guidance of the Legislature.

17 legal analysis arguing it would be inappropriate

25 be solved by changing the price of a particular
good or service, whether that be groceries, rent or utility service. The Legislature is best equipped to address the issue of low-income assistance and develop solutions for low-income Missourians. The MIEC believes it is unwise and even counterproductive to use the utility ratemaking process to establish or implement social welfare goals.

You see, even MIEC agrees with Ameren Missouri, as long as the request isn't one being put forth by their own members.

To put it simply, if this request is approved, the history of the Missouri Public Service Commission will change. You'll be known as before Noranda or after Noranda, because this Commission will have fundamentally changed the process of setting rates in Missouri for the long run detriment for utilities and for their customers who can't afford to hire Bryan Cave to request special treatment.

Before I close, though, I want to address one question which kept coming up, and that dealt with the Office of Public Counsel and their -- two of the issues that they raise.

First was the burden of proof.

1 Noranda's the one with the request in this case.

2 The request is to get the lower rate. The request

3 is to move the rest of the costs equally amongst

4 other customers. That burden of proof is their

5 burden of proof the entire case. It does not shift

6 to Ameren Missouri. Ameren Missouri says stay

7 where we are. Now, the burden is upon the
individual or the company making the request. So that is absolutely wrong.

But even more disturbing is the idea that Ameren Missouri shareholders could have to absorb this loss or that the revenue requirement of the company could somehow be adjusted in this case. Absolutely that can't happen, and that can't happen for several reasons.

First of all, that is absolutely
single-issue ratemaking. In setting a revenue requirement, you're required by law to consider all relevant factors, and there's no testimony in this case about a revenue requirement. Noranda is not even making a statements that the revenue requirement is too high. They just want to pay less.

So you absolutely have to consider all relevant factors, and you can't decrease Ameren

17 to pile it upon the shareholders' backs of Ameren
```

```
1 Missouri's revenue requirement. If you did so,
```

```
1 Missouri's revenue requirement. If you did so,
2 ~ t h a t ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~ a ~ c o n s t i t u t i o n a l ~ v i o l a t i o n ~ b e c a u s e
```

2 ~ t h a t ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~ a ~ c o n s t i t u t i o n a l ~ v i o l a t i o n ~ b e c a u s e

```
```

that would be confiscatory.

```
that would be confiscatory.
    Ameren Missouri has the right to have
a reasonable opportunity to earn its revenue
requirement that was set in the last rate case
until such time as you change that revenue
requirement. So until you hear the earnings
complaint, you can't impact the revenue requirement
of Ameren Missouri. It is what it is, and it has
to be split amongst all of our customers.
    And I submit to you Commissioners
that if you're struggling with finding a way to not
increase the cost to residential customers, small
commercial customers and other customers, then the
answer is don't grant them the request. It is not
Missouri.
    I have -- I'm open for questions.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Chairman.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Ms. Tatro, I think
        you anticipated one of my questions. Let me just
        put aside the legal argument that I understand
        Ameren's threshold argument to be and ask about the
        liquidity issue. Is it Ameren's position that
```

Noranda isn't suffering a liquidity problem or, if
it is, it's not -- it's their own fault?
MS. TATRO: I think Ameren has
questions about the liquidity question because
there are conflicting statements out there, and I
think the record will show that it's not clear at
this point that they are or aren't.
Whether or not it's their fault I
think is clear from the chart I had up here earlier
that shows the dividends that have been taken out
in the hundreds of millions of dollars just for one
shareholder group. That clearly would to me
indicate that imprudent, bad management and not
something that you should bail them out for.
CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Let me just ask
another question about what you just said just now
about the overearnings complaint, because I think
you're saying we can't make a determination about
the revenue requirement in this case because
there's no evidence about that. You seem to be
hinting that perhaps we could do that in the
overearnings complaint case.
MS. TATRO: I think originally,
Commissioner, we asked you to consolidate these two
complaints. So if you wanted to hold your decision

```
1 in this case until after the decision in the
revenue requirement case, I guess you could take
the two up together that way.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: So we don't need to
wait until there's a complete rate case to make a
determination about what to do with Noranda's rate?
We could do that after the overearnings complaint
in July?
    MS. TATRO: You could.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: And then just one
final question. The case that you cited from 1934,
what's the citation for that?
    MS. TATRO: I have that for you.
State ex rel Laundry versus PSC, 327 Mo 93, 1931.
And that case cites several other cases as well as
a United States Supreme Court case.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Thanks. I don't
have any other questions.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Stoll?
    COMMISSIONER STOLL: Thank you.
Excuse me. Thank you again. And I think you
mentioned that if we would grant this request, that
other corporations may come to us wanting similar
relief. In reading 91.026 state statute, it
relates -- excuse me a second.
```

MS. TATRO: Absolutely. I'm going to grab a water as well.

COMMISSIONER STOLL: The statute
references aluminum smelting facilities. I believe
this was passed in 2003. And in paragraph 3, it's
notwithstanding provisions of 91.025 , so on and so
forth, any provider of such electric power and
energy and delivery services whether or not
otherwise -- let me skip through that. Any
transactions or contracts pursuant to this section
for electric power and energy and delivery services
shall not be subject to the jurisdiction of the
Commission with regard to the determination of
rates. Are you familiar with that?
MS. TATRO: I have read that statute.
I don't have it in front of me.
COMMISSIONER STOLL: What does it
mean? What does that mean?
MS. TATRO: Can I grab it from you?
COMMISSIONER STOLL: Sure. It seems
that it gives Noranda the power to negotiate
directly with any provider of electricity.
MS. TATRO: Right. When this statute
was passed, Noranda could have picked any electric
supplier that it wanted. It could have stayed on

1 the open market. It didn't have to have a utility 2 and become part of someone's certificated service
territory. So they have the right to do that, and
they made their choice. They wanted Ameren
Missouri. I think they might have been on the open
market for a while, and then eventually they came
to Ameren Missouri and became our customer, and
that was a proceeding in front of you.
I don't think the statute is saying
that after they became part of our certificated
service territory, that you have no jurisdiction
over their rates. I don't think that's what it
says.
COMMISSIONER STOLL: Yeah. That's
what I was wondering, if they still had the ability
to come to Ameren Corporation to seek rate relief
or not. I was uncertain about that.
MS. TATRO: I mean, I think the
statute would allow them, subject to two things,
going back picking a different supplier or
purchasing from the open market. They'd have to --
we have a contract that would have to be dealt
with, terminated, and we have a certificate that
requires that we serve them, so you'd have to
release them from -- or release us from the

```
obligation to serve them, but then they could take
advantage of that again.
    I mean, they've been to the
Legislature before, and it worked for a while and
it's not working again, and now they want something
more.
```

    COMMISSIONER STOLL: Okay. I think
    you've answered my question. Thank you.
MS. TATRO: You're welcome.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall?
COMMISSIONER HALL: No.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp?
COMMISSIONER RUPP: Yes. Thank you.
When you were pulling up your chart with the
dividends, the $\$ 214$ million dividend that took
place 25 days after Apollo, was that a special
dividend? Was that voted on by the board within
that period or was that dividend already scheduled
before the closing date of 5/18?
MS. TATRO: It is my understanding
it's a special dividend that was voted on by the
board, and the board was controlled by Apollo.
COMMISSIONER RUPP: And it was voted
on in the time frame of the 5/18 purchase?
MS. TATRO: I don't believe it was

```
scheduled prior to the first original 5/18
purchase.
COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you. And then the 100 million and 67 in 2008, was that also a special dividend that was voted on the board or was that a regularly scheduled dividend?
MS. TATRO: I'm not sure of that one, so I don't want to answer and mislead you. I'm certain that Mr. Mudge can answer that question for you.
COMMISSIONER RUPP: I would like when he presents, to break down those dividends to see which for all shareholders, which were special dividends. And I would assume that you would not be -- or would it be -- let me ask this. Is it Ameren's position that, due to the financial difficulties of Noranda at that time, that they should have ceased paying all dividends until they -- even normally scheduled dividends until they had enough liquidity?
MS. TATRO: I think that's certainly an option that ought to be considered. Ameren Missouri has reduced its dividend in the past when it was having issues. That's kind of appropriate and prudent action for companies to take.
```

COMMISSIONER RUPP: And would

```
reducing a dividend or eliminating a dividend at
```

that time send signals to the market that there is
troubles and would eventually lead to downgrades or
things of that nature?

MS. TATRO: Well, that's part of what
has to be considered when you make a decision to
reduce or eliminate a dividend at the point in
time, right. There's probably some risk of that.
That's one of the considerations that has to take
into effect.
COMMISSIONER RUPP: But your witness
will address both those?
MS. TATRO: Mr. Mudge will be glad to
have that discussion with you, yes, and he is
eminently more qualified than $I$ am.
COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you very much.
MR. LOWERY: Judge Woodruff, if it
please the Commission, $I$ wanted to try to answer
Commissioner Stoll's question a little more
specifically only because Ms. Tatro was not
involved in the case when Noranda came on our
system. It would just take just a minute if $I$
could have leave to do that.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Go ahead.

MR. LOWERY: Commissioner Stoll, that
statute that you have there, Noranda is not being
served under that statute. That statute was
passed, and they could have chosen an electric
supplier outside the regulated space, so to speak,
had they wanted to do that.
As it turned out, we entered into an
agreement with them that they would become a
regulated customer. And under that agreement, they
waived their right to exercise their authority
under that statute for a term of 15 years, which
does not end until 2020.
So the only relevance of that statute
today is come -- upon proper notice, which is a
five-year notice that would have to be given five
years before 2020, they could sort of resurrect
their rights under that statute starting in 2020
and they could choose another supplier.
But other than that, that statute has
no applicability at this time either for Ameren
Missouri or for Noranda.
COMMISSIONER STOLL: Okay. So
Noranda negotiated their rate with Ameren back in
2003 or '04?

MR. LOWERY: The case was filed in late 2004 and was decided by the Commission based on a stipulation in the spring of 2005 . And yes, there was an initial negotiated rate that was supported by evidence in the case and ultimately was agreed upon by the parties in the case that went into effect on May 31st, 2005, I believe. And after that, Noranda's just like any other customer. You have jurisdiction over its rates, over the rates it's paid, actually it's our rates and indirectly over the rates that Noranda pays.

And again, the only exception to that is if they give the proper notice, or if we do actually, starting June 1, 2020, they could -- that the rights under that statute could spring into effect again and they could go elsewhere.

COMMISSIONER STOLL: Okay. So it could be applicable again, and is that at -- did you say that's at Ameren's notice or --

MR. LOWERY: It's a bilateral notice. So if we believed it was the appropriate thing to do to give that notice and have them leave our system in 2020, then we would -- we would make -we would give them the notice and then we would come to you to essentially cancel the certificate

```
that today obligates them to take power from us and
obligates us to provide it to them.
    COMMISSIONER STOLL: Okay. Thank you
very much.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. All
right. That concludes the opening statements.
It's time for lunch. We'll take a lunch break now
and come back at 1:30.
    (A LUNCH BREAK WAS TAKEN.)
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Let's
come back to order, please. We're back from lunch,
and during the break Kip Smith has taken the stand.
Please raise your right hand.
    (Witness sworn.)
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. You may
        inquire.
        KIP SMITH testified as follows:
        DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DOWNEY:
    Q. Good afternoon. Would you please
        state your name.
```

            A. It's Layle K. Smith, and I go by Kip.
            Q. And for whom do you work?
            A. I work for Noranda Intermediate
        Holding Corporation.
    Q. And what is your position?
    A. I'm the president and the CEO.
Q. In front of you there should be a number of documents, including your direct and your surrebuttal testimonies. Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And I believe Exhibit 1 is your highly confidential direct; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And Exhibit 2 is the public version of your direct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And Exhibit 3 is the highly confidential version of your surrebuttal testimony?
A. That is correct.
Q. And then Exhibit 4 is the public version?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. If I were to -- first of all, do you have any corrections to any of those testimonies?
A. I do not.
Q. If I were to ask you the questions in those testimonies today, would your answers be the same?
A. Yes, they would.

MR. DOWNEY: Okay. I would offer
Exhibits 1 through 4 and tender the witness for
cross.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Exhibit 1, 2, 3 and
4 have been offered. Any objections to their
receipt?
(No response.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing no
objection, they will be received.
(NORANDA EXHIBIT NOS. 1, 2, 3 AND 4
WERE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for
cross-examination, we would begin actually with
MIEC. I assume no cross.
MR. DOWNEY: No cross.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: For the retailers?
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHWARZ:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Smith.
A. Good afternoon, Mr. Schwarz.
Q. Aluminum prices are listed on the
London Metal Exchange; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And they're stated in terms of
dollars per tonne, spelled $t-0-n-n-e ;$ is that
correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you know how many pounds there are in a tonne?
A. 2,204.6 and some change, so it rounds to 205.
Q. Okay. So that if the price of aluminum is stated at $\$ 1,000$-- or $\$ 1,800$ per tonne, that would work out to closer to 82 cents than 90 cents; is that correct?
A. Yeah. I think I'd be more
comfortable if I had a piece of paper and pencil to
do that, or a calculator.
Q. All right. Well, the divisor --
A. The divisor is $\$ 2,204$ and change.
Q. Which is larger than 2,000?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay.
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Just -- and they drive on the wrong side of the road, too. Are you aware that I listened in to your deposition last week?
A. Yes, I am aware.
Q. There was a lot of references to Moody's. Can you identify what Moody's is for the Commission?
A. Moody's was and is a rating agency that passes opinions on the quality of basically companies for the purposes of rating their debts. And this -- this matter concerned in my deposition centered primarily around a presentation that we gave to Moody's.
Q. Do they also prepare outlooks for various business sectors?
A. They do.
Q. I will show you what has been marked as Exhibit 401 and ask if you recognize that.
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And can you identify that for the Commission?

MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I'm going to lodge an objection at this point. This document is a document that's existed since December of 2013. It's pretty clear that Mr. Schwarz's point here is to point to adverse credit action on the part of Moody's that's been taken with respect to Noranda. Mr. Schwarz had an opportunity to file rebuttal testimony and to have an expert and actually have him testify about this document and what it means. And this is exactly the kind of situation $I$ was talking about before. I believe it

17 you are free to renew your objections in your
violates the Commission's rules to friendly cross,
simply supplement the record, having not brought it
up in either direct or rebuttal testimony.
MR. SCHWARZ: Well, first of all, I
would suggest it's foundation for further
questions.
Second, I'm not aware of any rule of
the Commission that requires me to have a witness.
This is my first opportunity to have this
discussion with Mr. Smith, and I think that I
haven't offered it yet, but I certainly intend to,
so...
JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll overrule the
objection. And generally on these issues of the
friendly cross-type issues, even if I ask or rule
upon them at the hearing to deny the objections,
you are free to renew your objections in your
briefs and argue it more fully there and the
Commission will consider that at that time.
MR. LOWERY: Am I to understand then
that we don't need to object to preserve the
objection?
JUDGE WOODRUFF: No. I think you do
need to object to preserve your objection.
MR. LOWERY: All right. Thank you.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: We'll proceed from there.

```
BY MR. SCHWARZ:
```

Q. So what is Exhibit 401, for the
Commission?
A. This is in a Globals Metals Industry industry outlook paper that they have written dated as of December the 16th, 2013.
Q. Has Moody's issued a different
evaluation of the base metals industry since that
time?
A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
Q. Is it -- I mean, the report speaks
for itself, but is it fair to say that the report
is unenthusiastic about the prospects for price
increases for aluminum through 2018?
MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I object on
the basis of hearsay, lack of foundation, and also
because I believe it violates the Commission's
rules on prefiling testimony.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: The document will
speak for itself, so I'll sustain the objection on
that basis.

MR. SCHWARZ: Very good.
BY MR. SCHWARZ:
Q. Is Noranda's price expectations consistent with that stated in the document?
A. They are -- the price expectations
that we built into our model are actually a bit higher than what are stated here by Moody's. For aluminum they expect that in 2014 that they would average about 80 cents a pound.
Q. Show you what's been marked as Exhibit 402 and --
A. That's for the, by the way, the LME price.
Q. Yes. Can you identify what's been marked as Exhibit 402?
A. Yes. This is Moody's Investor Service. It's a rating action. Moody's downgrades Noranda's rating and outlook is stable.
Q. So -- but it's stable at a lower level, is that --
A. That's correct, from $C E R$ to B3, and -- and then they go through in the first paragraph and talk about the -- you know, the various family of -- families of debt that we have and how those were downgraded.
Q. The report -- that report is consistent with the sector report that was issued
in the aluminum sector report issued in December?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. The downgrade report indicates that
in October of 2013 the board reduced -- Noranda's
board reduced the dividends from 4 cents to 1 cent
per share per quarter; is that correct?
MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I object on
the grounds there's lack of foundation. The
document would speak for itself, and it's also
hearsay and violates the Commission's rules on
filing testimony.
MR. SCHWARZ: I'll withdraw the
question.
BY MR. SCHWARZ:
Q. What is Noranda's current quarterly
dividend?
A. It is 1 cent per share.
Q. On an aggregate annual basis, what
does that amount to?
A. Approximately $\$ 2.7$ million.
Q. What will be the dividend policy
while the -- assuming that Noranda gets the rate
that it seeks, what will be the dividend policy
while that rate is in effect?
A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the
question?
Q. Assuming that Noranda gets the rate that it's seeking here, what will the dividend policy of Noranda be during the pendency as long as that rate is effective?
A. At the present time, we have no plans to increase our dividend.
Q. Does Noranda understand that Ameren's other customers and its employees would view a payout such as occurred in the past to be a breach of faith?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. As far as setting a rate for ten years, does Noranda understand that this Commission cannot bind future commissions?
A. Yes, we do.
Q. Well, I have read your testimony and I listened to the deposition last week and I will ask you this: Does Noranda really have a liquidity issue?

MR. DOWNEY: Judge, before you
answer, I think this is probably an appropriate time to go in-camera. I believe the answer is going to get into some pretty highly confidential, proprietary financial information possibly.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Schwarz, did you

```
want to proceed at this point with highly
```

confidential?

MR. SCHWARZ: I don't know what the answer is.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: I don't either.

MR. SCHWARZ: But if it entails
highly confidential information, I think that we should go in-camera.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Then

```
we'll need to go in-camera.
```

MR. DOWNEY: Your Honor, I'm kind of guessing on cross-examination, so I'm going to guess in favor of keeping confidential information confidential.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: We will need to go
in-camera then. That means that anyone who does
not have authorization to be here, would include
the press, needs to leave the room and we will
cease the Internet.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Judge Woodruff, do you have a guess how long this will be?

JUDGE WOODRUFF: From my
understanding, they'll be in and out of highly confidential most of the afternoon.

```
1
    AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm leaving my
    2 power strip.
    4 in to get it.
5
                                    (REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an
                                in-camera session was held, which is contained in
    Volume 6, pages 186 through 194 of the transcript.)
8
9
1 0
1 1
12
1 3
1 4
15
16
1 7
18
1 9
20
21
22
2 3
24
25
```

JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. The next

```
cross-examination then would be from Consumers
```

Council.

MR. COFFMAN: Let me know when we're
live.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: We do have it now. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COFFMAN:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Smith.
A. Good afternoon, Mr. Coffman.
Q. I first would like to ask you about the fuel adjustment clause, and looking at your prefiled testimony, I'm not sure whether you addressed that point directly. But is it your understanding that part of the relief that your company is asking for in this case is a complete exemption from the fuel adjustment clause?
A. Yes. That's correct.
Q. And in your testimony when you talk about a sustainable rate of $\$ 30$ per megawatt hour, does that -- do you mean a $\$ 30$ rate without any fuel adjustment clause obligation?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Would you agree with me that the -as a consumer of electricity, that the fuel adjustment clause is not an ideal mechanism from a

```
consumer perspective?
```

A. Yes, I would agree with you.
Q. Has Noranda opposed Ameren's request to have a fuel adjustment clause in the past?
A. I'm just thinking, Mr. Coffman. The
clause I believe was approved before -- before I
became involved with Noranda or about that same
time. We have never been fond of it.
My hesitation is in the choice of the
word oppose. I don't believe we've had a legal
proceeding. I don't recall a legal proceeding
where we could oppose it, but we have taken issue
with and opposed the prudence of some of their
filings as part of, you know -- so in that manner,
yes, we have opposed them.
Q. And evidence from your experts has
been the basis of prudence disallowance?
A. Yes.
Q. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And we thank you, other consumers
thank you for that. Would you agree with me the
clause is a risk-shifting mechanism?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And in what way? Could you explain
from your perspective how?
A. It allows -- because the prudency review effectively happens after the -- an expense is charged to the consumers, the risk of having to prove that this is a prudent expense or a lawful expense is transferred to the end of the process.
Q. Would you agree with me that the risk of volatility in fuel and purchased power is then shifted under the current policy by 95 percent from the utility to the consumer?
A. Again, that risk is transferred from the beginning of the process to the end, yes.
Q. Are you aware of any past rate cases where consumers have advocated for at least a 50/50 sharing instead of a 95 percent/5 percent sharing?
A. Mr. Coffman, I'm not an expert on this, but -- I wouldn't know any details of that. I'm aware of it.
Q. Would Noranda favor a fuel adjustment clause that only passed 50 percent of the risk of volatility as opposed to 95 percent on to your company?

MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I'm going object on relevance grounds, what the relevance of a different fuel adjustment clause other than the

```
one that's in place today is in this case at least
escapes me.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Your response?
    MR. COFFMAN: I'm trying to get at
the motivation and importance of the clause to the
requested rate.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'm going to sustain
the objection. The continuation of the fuel
adjustment clause or the sharing mechanism is not
at issue in this case.
    MR. COFFMAN: Well, as I understand
it, it is at issue whether or not there would be a
fuel adjustment clause that applied to this
customer, and --
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: But the amount of
the fuel adjustment clause is not at issue.
BY MR. COFFMAN:
```

    Q. All right. Mr. Smith, in your
    opinion, could Noranda achieve a sustainable
electric rate without being completely exempted
from the clause?
A. In my opinion, Mr. Coffman, the rate
that we have selected, which did not include a fuel
adjustment clause, really is the rate that we need,
and we really focused on this being an

```
```
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```
across-the-cycle rate. A big part of that being an
```

across-the-cycle rate. A big part of that being an
across-the-cycle rate just is the nature of our
across-the-cycle rate just is the nature of our
business and making sure we had a rate that would
business and making sure we had a rate that would
allow us to endure as the LME goes up and the LME
allow us to endure as the LME goes up and the LME
goes down.
goes down.
But also that stability and the -- in
But also that stability and the -- in
terms of how it impacts our access to capital
terms of how it impacts our access to capital
markets is really is really very, very important.
markets is really is really very, very important.
And so for us the rate that we really believe that
And so for us the rate that we really believe that
we need for across the cycle is a \$30 rate that is
we need for across the cycle is a \$30 rate that is
stable and does not have the volatility and the
stable and does not have the volatility and the
potential volatility of the clause.
potential volatility of the clause.
Frankly, if we wanted instantaneous
Frankly, if we wanted instantaneous
relief, we'd be asking for a \$23 rate, because
relief, we'd be asking for a \$23 rate, because
right now that's what it would take to make us cash
right now that's what it would take to make us cash
neutral. But the reason why the rate is -- that we
neutral. But the reason why the rate is -- that we
for that stability.
for that stability.
Q. But under your proposal, the other
customers would still be subject to the volatility
of the fuel adjustment clause?
A. Yes. We're not seeking any
additional change to the rate design, other than
the rate that we requested.
Q. Does Noranda have any input or

```
```

control over the fuel and purchased power practices
of the utility?
A. No --
MR. LOWERY: I'm going to object, the
same objection, that I don't know how that's
relevant to this case either.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll overrule that
objection. You can answer the question.
THE WITNESS: No, we do not.
BY MR. COFFMAN:

```
Q. And I'm curious about the line of questioning that came before me in your questions with Mr. Schwarz, and he asked you about discussions that you had with Ameren Missouri prior to filing this case. And to your knowledge, can you tell me the nature of conversations that were not -- were not settlement negotiations that were proprietary?
A. I would need to confer with my counsel.
Q. In reviewing the other so-called load retention rates that have been litigated in other states -- and I assume you've reviewed those
various cases?
A. Our experts Henry Fayne and Maurice
Brubaker and Jim Dauphinais have, and I have read
their testimony.
    Q. And as you noticed that -- in those
other cases that there was a different level of
opposition or non-opposition from the utility
company itself in those jurisdictions?
    A. I don't recall, Mr. Coffman.
    Q. Should I direct those questions to
Mr. Fayne?
    A. Please.
            MR. COFFMAN: I think that's all I
have. I appreciate your answers.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you,
Mr. Coffman.
    Mr. Schwarz, I noticed you mentioned
a couple of documents. You did not offer them.
    MR. SCHWARZ: Yes. I would at this
stage offer Exhibits, I think it's 401 and 402 --
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: That's correct.
    MR. SCHWARZ: -- into evidence.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: 401 and 402 have
been offered. Any objections?

MR. LOWERY: I object on the grounds
of hearsay, lack of foundation, and also because it
violates, in my view, the Commission's rule on

25 of the page it says roundtables and presentations.
It's the last roundtable and presentation.
    MR. LOWERY: I'll actually stipulate,
your Honor, that the same or very similar diagram
has been in evidence as part of Will Cooper's
testimony in numerous rate cases. So I would not
object to the Commission taking official notice
of -- Mr. Schwarz can probably find the right case
number and complete the record.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. It will be
received into the record, then, as noticed.
    (MRA EXHIBIT NO. 400 WAS RECEIVED
INTO EVIDENCE AS OFFICIAL NOTICE.)
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Next up for
cross-examination is Wal-Mart.
    MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No questions, your
Honor.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: River Cement?
    MS. LANGENECKERT: No questions.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Public Counsel?
    MR. POSTON: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. POSTON:
    Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Smith.
    A. Good afternoon, Mr. Poston.
    Q. I'd like to begin by asking you
questions about Noranda's willingness to make
certain commitments if the Commission gives Noranda
a discount rate to the current rate. And in
Mr. Fayne's testimony, he states that Noranda has
indicated a willingness to provide an investment
commitment and employment level commitment. Are
you familiar with that?
    A. Yes, I am.
    Q. And can you explain how and where
Noranda has made this indication that it would be
willing to make such commitments?
    A. Could I ask you just to clarify the
question a little bit?
    Q. Sure. Restate the question?
    A. Yes, if you wouldn't mind, please.
    Q. Mr. Fayne has stated in his testimony
that Noranda has indicated a willingness to provide
an investment commitment and an employment level
commitment. And I'm just asking --
    A. In what kind of form and what
discussions, or what those are or both?
    Q. Well, he doesn't go into any detail.
    A. Okay.
    Q. It was just those statements.
    A. Certainly. I would be pleased to.
We understand that this is a big commitment on
```

1 behalf of Noranda, and we understand that -- that
it's very important for us to commit in return,
first to make sure that we have a sustainable
business in the Bootheel, which is -- a competitive
power rate is absolutely essential, which is why
we're here.
So in the first case concerning
employment, we want to keep as many jobs down there
as we can. And so right now we have about }88
employees, and we certainly want to keep every
single one of them.
We have -- we are an industry,
however, where there's a lot of focus on
productivity. Commodity companies pretty much
always have to get more competitive year in and
year out. And so we also have to balance that
desire with the need to continue to improve the
business and drive productivity.
So we are -- we are very willing to
make commitments around employment. We would need
to balance those with a practical approach that
allows us to also drive our productivity.
We believe that we have a strong
track record of job preservation even back to the
ice storm in 2009, where we went to a lot of effort

```

1
to keep 300 people employed when the power lines
all went down. So our job isn't -- our job's just
to make sure, continue to make sure we are
competitive.
    And so, you know, in that arena, we
would start with the 888 employees that we've got.
We want to try to preserve every single one of them
if we could grow. If we don't grow, it's just the
pressure of that productivity will cause our head
count to -- our labor productivity to improve and
our head count would decline.
    So if we can find a mechanism where
we can start with the 888, the people that we have
today, and then make sure that we can still do the
kinds of things that the industry demands of us to
stay competitive, then \(I\) think that would work. I
think that would work very well for everyone,
because we don't want to get into a position
where -- any of us where we have to do a
large-scale layoff. That's just not something that
we want to do.
    As far as capital commitments go,
this is a ten-year deal, and any annual commitment
that you make suddenly becomes a very, very large
total commitment. And we know from history what
our capital requirements have been in New Madrid.
You know, we've typically spent kind of year in and
year out, it's plus or minus \(\$ 35\) million just to
keep the place going. There's a lot of consumables
in the New Madrid facility, everything from the
pots themselves where they're lined with carbon,
and you use carbons as both anodes and cathodes and
those get consumed. So there's a lot of capital
expenditure there.
    And so again, what our view would be
would be that making a capital commitment to
reinvest in New Madrid would be certainly something
that would make a lot of sense and, you know, we're
here for the long haul. We're going to spend money
in that plant.
    And so finding the right way to
codify that such that it's a fair return for the
commitment of the rate support is something that
we're willing to do.
    Q. Other than what you've just stated
right now, is there anywhere else that has been
stated through testimony?
    A. In my deposition we talked about it a
little bit, but not that level of detail.
    Q. Okay. And are you aware of Public
```

Counsel's position as stated in surrebuttal
testimony of Lena Mantle that any discount rate be
tied to Noranda's commitments?
A. Yes, I am aware of it.
Q. And the first commitment that OPC
recommends that Noranda maintain current employment
levels --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- I guess I heard you just state.
Is that something you are willing to commit to, to
certain point levels?
A. Yeah. And, again, properly
structured so that we can meet the competitive
requirements of the business.
Q. And you stated 888 employees, and for
how long would you commit to having a level at 888?
A. That to me right now would be very
difficult to give you an exact date certain,
because we really need to work with the Public
Service Commission to lay out a schedule, perhaps
put together a proposal and how we would go forward
and do that. We're just trying to match -- we have
no plans for a layoff. We really don't. Having a
long-term relationship with our employees is
something about which we really pride ourselves.

```

Tomorrow night, I get the opportunity to go have dinner with our 40,45 and 50 -year anniversary celebrants, so this will be very -- I'm looking very much forward to that. We pride ourselves on long-term relationships. We want to keep people for -- we want to offer them the opportunity to earn a career.

But that being said, we have a lot of competitive reality that, you know, in ten years to get the same number of pounds out, it will take less of everything we invest in our business. It just has to. That's the way commodities work. So it will take fewer person hours to make the same amount of material, less coke, less caustic, you know, the whole nine yards.

So, you know, again, I just want to be clear that this would certainly be something that would have to be practically drafted, and the expectation would be over time for the same amount of volume, it would trend down.

\section*{Q. The employees level?}
A. Yeah. Growth is our only vehicle to combat that.
Q. The rod mill --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- that you discussed, would that increase employment level?
A. Initially when we looked at the rod mill, it has such a huge productivity element in it that to make the 165 million or so pounds that we had capacity to make before, we would need substantially less labor. But as we have gone forward with this mill, the -- and without going into highly confidential information, I'll have to stop my answer there.
Q. Noranda has had layoffs in the past; isn't that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And there was a fairly substantial layoff at some point recently; is that correct?
A. In December of last year, about 190 people.
Q. Would Noranda be willing to commit to retain a certain number of employees based -- at the smelter based on the average number of employees over a number of years?
A. That's certainly something we would consider, and we would need to -- again, we would need to sit down and make sure that that could align with the practical realities of the business.
```

It's my understanding that this is not an unusual
practice for this kind of commitment, and so I'm
sure there will be some models out there we could
benchmark as well.

```
Q. What's not an unusual practice?
A. Commitments to employment.
Q. And the second commitment that Public Counsel proposed in Ms. Mantle's testimony is a commitment to guarantee amounts of additional capital investment in the smelter. And in your direct testimony, you talk about Noranda's annual capital investment requirements and you say Noranda had sustaining capital on both capital investments; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And if you add those two together, Noranda spends on average 85 to 100 million on capital investments every year; is that correct?
A. Yes. Our spend going forward, we're expecting to be right around 100 million. It has been less than that over the recent past.
Q. And I'll get into that in a moment. You also state in your direct testimony that for 2014, Noranda expects to invest 28 million on sustaining capital and 38 million on growth capital
just in the New Madrid smelter; is that correct?
A. Yes. That's correct.
Q. So that's total capital investment of 66 million in the smelter?
A. Yes.
Q. And how much of that has been spent to date?
A. I don't know. I don't know right now.
Q. And how does this 66 million that's just, I guess, earmarked for the smelter, how does that compare to the average amounts of capital investments that Noranda has spent on the smelter?
A. That would be higher because it includes such a large growth component. The
\$35 million per year that I mentioned historically
has been sustaining capital, maintenance and
renewal capital, new forklift, overhead cranes,
pots and flues, that sort of thing.
Q. So what you're saying is on average you spend 35 million just on the smelter on the sustained capital. And then how about growth capital?
A. Been very little growth capital in the past two years. We have -- most of our
```

projects, and we really strive for it to be all of
our projects, but not every one can, we try to
drive growth and productivity because those things
are so inextricably linked. The more growth you
have, the easier it is to be productive. And vice
versa, the more you can lower your costs of your
product so you can offer a better product at lower
prices, the more pounds you can move.
So we have invested a lot in the
operations, and sometimes it's actually hard for us
to make the distinction between the sustaining and
the growth, because our growth tends to be focused
on our existing customer base. We don't make
speculative investments.
We really -- it's like this rod mill,
the cornerstone -- we were able to acquire a
cornerstone customer, and that cornerstone customer
was very helpful in terms of causing that plant to
develop.
MR. DOWNEY: Judge, if we're getting
into an area of confidentiality, do we need to go
into closed session?
THE WITNESS: I think there's
additional information that would be -- that would
be helpful.

```
BY MR. POSTON:
Q. Regarding what in particular?
A. Regarding the level of growth capital
versus sustaining capital that goes on at New
Madrid. But if you're satisfied with that answer,
then --
Q. I think we can try to do this without going in-camera. I would like to talk about the 100 million that you stated in capital outlay per year that's necessary to maintain operations. That's what your testimony was, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And it's your opinion that this 100 million of annual capital investment is necessary for Noranda to stay competitive?
A. Yes.
Q. And that -- I guess we established already, that 100 is more -- it's more Noranda overall, not just the New Madrid smelter; is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. How much of that 100 million is necessary to be spent just on the smelter to stay competitive?
A. That will shift some year to year,
but the -- and if you look at what we have done in order to stay within the \(\$ 100\) million cap this year, the sustaining capital that we have in the business is lower, as you note, than the 35 million that we usually do. So that deferral will need to be made up as we go forward in future years.

We have two big projects that we are working on for the primary smelter when you look at the next two or three years. One is the rod mill, which is a \(\$ 45\) million project, and then the second is a rectifier project, both of which are growth

In that we have about 29 million or so of spending left to do. So that's been a very big, integrated project to upgrade the overall technology of the smelter. That's been going on for several years. We've had to pace -- it was several different components. We had to pace the spending of those items as we went through our double dip in the aluminum industry.

But that particular project is a productivity and redundancy project that will also give us some additional pounds.

So, you know, but between the two of those projects, you consume a few years of -- you
```

know, if you think 25 to 30 million is the growth

```
projects, the primary business is consuming in the
early years the majority of our growth capital, and
frankly, this year virtually all of it.
    Q. Where does Noranda make capital
investments other than the New Madrid smelter?
    A. We have a facility in Jamaica and
that's our mine. We're fully integrated, and that
integration is -- is to drive both security of
supply as well as stability and low cost. We like
having buckets of cash to manage. So we have a
mine in Jamaica, and there are capital investments.
We invest quite heavily in the mine resources, you
know, so building haul roads, acquiring service
rights, that sort of thing.
    Then we have a refinery in Gramercy,
Louisiana that converts -- we bring the bauxite up
the river. We convert that aluminum hydrates into
aluminum oxide. So we convert a red dirt into a
white powder legally and take that up the
Mississippi to our -- our plant in New Madrid. And
so that's what we refer to as our upstream
business.
    So those three business units are
very tightly linked. And we actually own the mine

1 and the alumina refinery to support the primary
cost.

The final place where we invest money, but that's really the minority of the capital, is in our downstream business. A typical
year in our downstream business, which is our foils
business, we make foils, everything from foil that
ends up in private label aluminum foils in the
grocery store to the pin stock that goes into the
radiator vents in your car, and those -- that
business typically requires 10 million plus or
minus in capital every year. It's not as capital
intensive as the smelter.
    Q. So the upstream business, as you
called it, would those survive if Noranda were to
close the smelter or do those kind of stand on
their own?
    A. We run the businesses independently.
So each one of them transfers to one another at a
defined market price. So it's -- it's possible
that they could be run independently, but the power
of the -- the integration really drives the
sustainability. It really drives the
sustainability.

For each and every time for the refinery and the primary business, you have both security of supply as well as a foundational market, and so that's very essentially -- very essential. It's just base loading plant.
Q. Going back to the \(\$ 100\) million
figure --
A. Sure.
Q. -- how was that calculated?
A. There were -- as we were looking at the -- as we were looking at a sustainable model and how we viewed both our affordability in terms of capital, so a cap, if you will, how much did we believe that within the boundaries of running our company we could afford to spend.

And then we have a process where we
look at, you know, if you will, an iterative
process where we talk to our plants about what
their particular needs are.
    When we -- when we were evaluating
what our needs were for capital over a longer time
parameter, we came to -- we first assessed what our
sustaining level of capital was, and then we looked
at what both our affordability was and what our
specific project-related needs were over the short
```

term. And with the presence of the two very large
projects and primary, we very quickly got to a
point where having a \$100 million plus or minus
capital plan was just necessary.
So it was based on business needs and
making sure that that fit within the boundaries
of -- well, it's business need on a variety of
different levels.

```
    Q. And most of those projects are in a
schedule attached to your testimony?
    A. We have the projects that are
attached to -- we have, first off, the project list
for this year, and that's in -- that's in pretty
fine detail, and then we have a hopper for a
five-year look at our capital, which is quite
extensive.
    Q. So if Noranda were to get a rate
discount from this Commission, would Noranda be
willing to commit to spending 100 million on
capital investments annually?
    A. Again, as we talked about the
spending for New Madrid, it would be unusual -- and
I'm not saying we wouldn't be willing to do it.
I'm just saying it would be very unusual within the
industry for a capital commitment that large to be
```

done and in combination with the commitment being
to a facility within a company that was other than
the facility receiving the power.
So typically, if you look at Alcoa,
they made their investment commitment in the plant.
It was a very large number. Now, of course, they
had a 30-year deal. So when they made a commitment
of \$600 million over 30 years, it becomes a fairly
manageable number on an annual basis.
That being said, ten years is a long
time, and we can make a very, very substantial
investment in New Madrid over a ten-year period
that we would be willing to practically commit to.

```
    Q. Is there an amount you could commit
to, to invest in just the New Madrid smelter?
    A. Certainly -- certainly for the
maintenance of the facility, the historic numbers
that we've had for that sustaining capital, it's
hard to imagine those changing. So when you think
about that, that over a ten-year period, that's a
\$350 million commitment.
    But I think it would behoove us all
for us to go and take a look and see how much we
can do, because we've got two big growth projects
on top of that right now that we're going to do and
we know we're going to do. We are doing one and
absent -- and we thoroughly expect to do the other.
So I think perhaps us responding with a more formal
proposal on that would make some sense.
Q. The third commitment that OPC's proposed in Ms. Mantle's testimony is that Noranda agree to a capitalization strategy that preserves the smelter's ability to continue to operate. Are you familiar with that, the proposal we've made?
A. I recall the proposal, but you can help me with all the specifics.
Q. Well, were you in the room when opening statements were made this morning?
A. I missed a brief portion of Diana's, which I apologize.
Q. Okay. Well, then, you may have heard Mr. Allison's opening statement where he brought up the issue of Noranda's ownership pulling substantial amounts of cash out of the company.

And as a condition of getting a discounted rate, would Noranda be willing to commit that it will not issue dividends, special dividends, share buyback programs or use any other methods of returning value to shareholders as long as the discounted rate is in effect?
A. And with your permission, I'd like to answer a slightly broader question about special dividends. Because we did have a substantial track record of special dividends that, while prudent at the time, and each one of these decisions that I was involved with when \(I\) came to the company in March of 2008, although prudent at the time, when we looked back on what transpired with the double dip recession, you know, the benefit of \(20 / 20\) hindsight is always so informative.

And, you know, it's -- looking at that perhaps, you know, we would have wanted to have done something different had we known then what we know today, but we don't. We didn't. And so the -- so we understand the deep concern about the dividends.

But there are typical places where restrictions are placed in the financial structure and things like the special dividends, and we have them. There are restrictions when we went and refinanced our company. There are restrictions on our dividends. So that's not unusual, but they typically come with -- with a refinancing of debt. Second -- so just in general on dividends, there are limits on how much that we're

1 allowed to have.

24 independent board we need a majority of

25 independents. So we have seven so we have the

25 our company because we paid a dividend, and to go
```

to -- we wanted to maintain our dividend, even at a
penny, because it allowed us to keep some of the
investors that we still had in our portfolio.
So we don't have any plans to change
our dividend. We don't have any plans to do a
special dividend, and so I just want to be very,
very clear about that.
We're a New York Stock Exchange
traded company. We own our company. We have an
independent board, and we all have a fiduciary
obligation. And within that fiduciary obligation,
we all understand how important this particular ask
is and how -- and the fact that the Public Service
Commission's going to retain authority over this
contract.
Q. Is there a -- I guess I didn't hear
you say that there was any type of commitment. Is
there any level of commitment you can make as long
as this discount rate's in effect that you won't be
pulling cash out of the company?
A. That's something that would be very,
very unusual. Again, we're trying to maintain a
practical ability to operate as a publicly traded
company. So that's something that I think would be
very, very difficult for us to do.

```

But obviously it's a dialog we would think that would be very hard for us to do. We recognize the need to keep the cash in the company.

We recognize the need that we've got investments to make. We have an amazingly long list of projects that we need to get done. And, as has been pointed out several times already, we have a very high debt-to-leverage ratio and a debt-to-capitalization ratio. We've got to get that down too.
Q. And I'd like to move on to the fourth and last commitment identified in Ms. Mantle's testimony, which is the commitment to return over time any discounts provided to Noranda that somehow is paid for by other ratepayers. And under what conditions would Noranda be willing to make such a commitment?
A. That one -- that one is also very difficult because of the nature of the aluminum cycle. Over a ten-year aluminum cycle, whether you look back at the last ten years and look where the price goes, Noranda will experience very tough times from a pricing standpoint more than twice as often as we will experience really good times.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & So about -- say over the last ten \\
\hline 2 & years, about call it 17 percent of the time the \\
\hline 3 & price is in the extreme upper third, and about \\
\hline 4 & 37 percent of the time it's in the extreme lower \\
\hline 5 & third, and about 63 percent of the time it's below \\
\hline 6 & the median. \\
\hline 7 & So we're faced with a pricing profile \\
\hline 8 & where once -- it's hard enough just to manage the \\
\hline 9 & LME fluctuations than managing a formula, and \\
\hline 10 & trying to tune a formula to match that becomes \\
\hline 11 & really -- becomes really hard. \\
\hline 12 & When we looked at our rate, we \\
\hline 13 & focused on a rate that would give us what we felt \\
\hline 14 & was the highest rate that we could tolerate and be \\
\hline 15 & viable across the cycle. So again, we didn't focus \\
\hline 16 & on our instantaneous rate of \(\$ 23\), which would make \\
\hline 17 & us cash neutral now. \\
\hline 18 & 30 won't. We'll need -- we'll need \\
\hline 19 & the cycle to improve, and so that rate is actually \\
\hline 20 & betting on improvement. It's a cycle, but it's \\
\hline 21 & a -- I think it's a bet on history. \\
\hline 22 & And so we think that although history \\
\hline 23 & is not always a perfect predictor of the past, that \\
\hline 24 & if we don't learn from it, we may end up repeating \\
\hline 25 & it. And in this particular case, we are always \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
    1 willing to undertake the dialog that that's a --
```

```
    1 willing to undertake the dialog that that's a --
    2 that's a practice that's -- we don't even see many
```

    2 that's a practice that's -- we don't even see many
    ```
```

people linked to a variable. Globally only about

```
people linked to a variable. Globally only about
1 8 \text { percent of people are linked to the LME as part}
1 8 \text { percent of people are linked to the LME as part}
of a mechanism to support the price. And in the
of a mechanism to support the price. And in the
U.S. I think the last one that was linked was
U.S. I think the last one that was linked was
Ormet, and they've gone out.
Ormet, and they've gone out.
Q. Do you recognize and understand why
the ratepayers would want such a commitment, a
payback commitment like that?
    A. We certainly -- we certainly do.
If we could -- but the reality of our business is
that -- that that -- that price, we believe that
that price for electricity is what over the cycle
provides a sustainable level of cash flow to --
where we can carry ourselves through the down times
and have enough money to invest properly in the
business.
    Q. Are there any commitments that
Noranda could make to assure the ratepayers that
Noranda will make other customers whole for any
discounts that end up being paid for by other
ratepayers?
    A. I'm not sure -- again, I apologize.
I'm not sure I understand the question.
```

Q. I'm just saying, are there any other type of commitments that Noranda could agree to, to address that issue that if other ratepayers -- the Commission raises their rates because of this, that they somehow are made whole in the long run?
A. I'm just not aware of anything at this time that would -- that would -- that would work at that rate.

MR. POSTON: That's all I have. Thank you.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Cross by Staff? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. OPITZ:
Q. May it please the Commission? My name is Tim Opitz, and I represent Staff of the Commission today.

Mr. Smith, you may remember we met a week ago in St. Louis?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Thank you for being here. I've got a few questions about the general design proposed by Noranda --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- the FAC exemption and, finally, a few questions about the aluminum market in general. Does that sound all right to you?
A. Sure.
Q. In your direct testimony -- and I'm making every effort to reference the non-HC
versions --
A. Okay.
Q. -- of your direct and surrebuttal testimony. On page 5, lines 4 to 7 , you state that Noranda's proposed rate is greater than the incremental cost to serve the Noranda load, thus creating a direct benefit to other customers. Did I read that correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. And this conclusion from your testimony is supported by analysis done by Mr. Brubaker?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware that Staff's own expert conducted her own analysis?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And being aware of that analysis, you're aware that Staff's expert concluded that it would not be more beneficial to Ameren's other customers if Noranda remained on the system at those rates?
A. That's correct.
Q. Mr. Smith, you agree that, I think in general, electricity cost is an important factor in the cost of running business?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. In fact, it's so significant for Noranda that that's why you're here today asking for rate relief?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that relief you ask for today is in the form of a tariff sheet which would create new rates for a customer class of which Noranda is the only customer, only member of that class, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And the direct result of that is lower electricity rates for Noranda?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you agree with your counsel's response to Commissioner Hall's question during opening that -- that the requested rate is not based on the cost of service for Noranda?
A. Yes.
Q. If Noranda is granted its requested relief, the rates of all the other customers will go up in other rate classes, correct?

MR. POSTON: Your Honor, objection.

```
Counsel's not laying foundation that other rates
```

will go up because of this, and we also object,
it's argumentative based on our position that other
rates cannot go up based on this case.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Rephrase your
question.
BY MR. OPITZ:
Q. Mr. Smith, are you aware of the --
that the testimony of Mr. Brubaker, prefiled
testimony, included proposed tariff sheets that
indicated increases to rates in other classes?
A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware that those sheets
submitted by Mr. Brubaker on behalf of Noranda for
every other class except the one Noranda would be
in show an increase in their rates?
A. Yes.
Q. So would you agree that the proposed
rates by Noranda show that they are suggesting an
increase in rates of other customer classes?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree that those classes
include residential, small general service, large
general service, small primary service and large
primary service classes?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. If the proposed Noranda rates from the sheets go into effect, would you agree that higher rates -- those businesses and customers within those classes would have to pay more for using the same amount of electricity?
A. I believe so. I think that's
logical, yes.
Q. And that these increased costs could cause any business to have to close its doors or cease operations, correct?
A. I just want to make sure $I$ answer this question. You mean any as in every, or there may be a business out there where this could push them over the top?
Q. I apologize for the ambiguity. I mean any, as in there may be a business where this increased cost would push them out of business?
A. I don't know that $I$ can $--I$ don't know that $I$ can answer that question. That would speculate that there's somebody close enough that -- I just don't have the data to answer that. Certainly their rates would go up.
Q. Have you -- have you, and by you I
mean Noranda, performed a study about the impact
that increased rates would have on other businesses
that are Ameren Missouri customers?
A. In terms of its impact on their
operating liability?
Q. Yes.
A. No, we have not.
Q. Now, one of the other customer
classes in particular is a residential class?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And, Mr. Smith, I imagine that you
pay for electricity that you use at your home; is
that a safe assumption?
A. I do have electricity at my home,
yes.
Q. And if you didn't pay, what do you
imagine would happen?
A. Well, I imagine they'd cut my
electricity off.
Q. Would you agree that Ameren
Missouri's other residential customers may face the
same fate if they didn't pay their bills?
A. Yes.
Q. Has Noranda performed a study or
collected data about the impact that these

```
increased rates would have on Ameren Missouri's
residential customers?
    A. In terms of their ability to pay
their bills?
```

    Q. In terms of whether you performed a
    study or corrected any data about the increased --
the increased amount that they would pay if that
would --
A. It's my understanding this would
represent 2 percent or a little bit less of a bill,
and that would be assuming that this was an
across-the-board, an even distribution, so
2 percent or less for everyone.
Q. Can you clarify what you mean by
2 percent of increase per dollar or --
A. It would be a 2 percent increase for
that residential consumer. So whether it was their
annual bill or monthly bill, it would be a little
bit less than a 2 percent increase.
Q. Mr. Smith, are you aware of recent
EPA actions to implement emission controls that
will almost certainly increase electricity rates?
A. I am.
Q. Would you agree that Ameren will have
to comply with these rules?
A. I really would refer that question to

```
Mr. Dauphinais or Mr. Brubaker. They're our
```

experts in that area.
Q. Could you agree that to recover the
rising cost to generate electricity, a utility
could file a rate case to recover the cost of
service through increased rates?
A. If those costs were just and
reasonable and lawful, yes.
Q. And as I understand, Noranda has
asked for a ten-year rate with a 2 percent cap on
any increase over that time along with asking to be
exempt from any fuel adjustment clause?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Would those conditions insulate
Noranda from any rising costs related to EPA
standards?
A. Noranda would be subject to costs
from EPA or wherever they might come from, up to
that 2 percent cap in a rate case. So, yes, to the
extent that they were the driver of those costs, we
would be exposed to them, but it would be capped at
2 percent in any particular rate case.
Q. So if Ameren Missouri's cost to
produce electricity in that ten-year period
increased by more than 2 percent --
A. Per rate case.
Q. -- per rate case, Noranda would only
pay 2 percent?
A. That's correct.
Q. And if Ameren Missouri wants to
recover the full amount of any cost of service
increase that's over 2 percent in that period, that
money might have to come from the other customer
classes?
A. That is correct.
Q. Going back to the FAC exemption, and
I believe you mentioned it on your surrebuttal,
page 20, you're aware that every Ameren Missouri
customer pays this, correct?
A. Excuse me one second.
MR. DOWNEY: What page?
MR. OPITZ: Page 20. This is his
general comment area on his surrebuttal. He does
not specifically say that every Ameren Missouri
customer pays this.
BY MR. OPITZ:
Q. I'm simply asking if you would agree
that currently every Ameren customer pays the FAC
clause.
A. I would have to say that that's not a specific question that $I$ ever asked Mr. Brubaker or Mr. Dauphinais, because I assumed that they did.
Q. In your surrebuttal testimony, on that same page you just looked at, you state the volatility inherent in the FAC creates a material business risk to Noranda. Did I read that correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. And would you agree that if Ameren Missouri's -- every other Ameren Missouri customer pays that fee currently, that they would also be affected by any volatility in the FAC?
A. Yes.
Q. Basically, with the FAC, if the price of fuel goes up, these other customers would pay more?
A. Yes.
Q. But you're asking for Noranda that if the price of fuel goes up, Noranda would pay the same?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. Has Noranda performed any studies on the impact that FAC volatility would have on Noranda?
A. We have certainly looked at the impact the FAC has had on us and the fact that of our rate increases, about 17 million of that has been
associated with the FAC.
So for us, the issue really is the
volatility and the unpredictable nature of the
increases and the fact that the -- the prudence,
just as far as the process goes, that being part of
the overall ratemaking process and that prudence
happening at the end of that, we had focused for
those reasons on a flat rate to provide certainty
for us as we approach the public markets and,
frankly, as we manage our business.
Q. Well, have you performed any study on the impact the FAC volatility would have on other customer classes of Ameren Missouri?
A. No, not that I'm aware of.
Q. If we can go back to the ten-year rate term with 2 percent increase limit.
A. Sure. Uh-huh.
Q. On your direct, page 3, lines 13
through 16 --
A. All right.
Q. -- you propose a term of ten years subject to rate increase of up to 2 percent at the
time of each general rate increase granted to
Ameren Missouri by the Commission during this
period. Did I read that correctly?
A. That is correct.
Q. Would you agree that in general the
cost of running a business, any business, can rise
over time?
A. It can.
Q. And --
A. It's worth noting, however, that but
for the increase in our cost of power from 2008 to
today, we would be making aluminum substantially
less expensively than we are now.
Q. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Smith.
I'm sure that you're aware that when setting
utility rates, as referenced in your testimony,
that the Commission looks at all relevant factors;
is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware that Ameren has already filed notice of a case?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. So as I understand it, the cost to produce electricity goes up because of either the EPA or for whatever reason, you're asking that

```
Noranda's electricity costs remain the same?
    MR. DOWNEY: I'm going to object.
    That's not what he said. I think he just got done
    saying 2 percent.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll sustain the
    objection.
    BY MR. OPITZ:
    Q. I'll rephrase. So if the cost to
    produce electricity goes up, you are asking that
    your electricity costs would only increase by
    2 percent each time Ameren requests an increase?
    A. That's correct.
    Q. So essentially are you asking the
Commission not to reconsider the costs related to
providing service to Noranda's customer class for
ten years?
    A. No. One of the -- one of the most
    important aspects of using this process to address
    our rate -- and we are firm believers in the
    ratemaking process and Public Service Commission
    process. One of the reasons why we believe that
    this process is the right place to be is not just
    the technical ability to evaluate, but also that
    this rate will be subject to review by the Public
    Service Commission, such that if something, you
```

```
know, gets materially out of line, that the
```

know, gets materially out of line, that the
Commission can always re-look at this rate.
Commission can always re-look at this rate.
So we're not expecting -- I'm sorry
So we're not expecting -- I'm sorry
for the long answer, but the short answer to your
for the long answer, but the short answer to your
question is, we're not expecting in the
question is, we're not expecting in the
documentation of this that the Public Service
documentation of this that the Public Service
Commission would somehow bind future commissions
Commission would somehow bind future commissions
and they wouldn't be able to look at this.
and they wouldn't be able to look at this.
Q. Several parties have spoken during
Q. Several parties have spoken during
their openings of non-rate benefits to other
their openings of non-rate benefits to other
ratepayers from Noranda's active participation in
ratepayers from Noranda's active participation in
the Legislature and in rate cases. You'd agree
the Legislature and in rate cases. You'd agree
with that statement, correct, those statements?
with that statement, correct, those statements?
A. I believe that there are -- so yes, I
A. I believe that there are -- so yes, I
believe that Noranda's very active, but so are a
believe that Noranda's very active, but so are a
lot of other people. We believe the real value for
lot of other people. We believe the real value for
Noranda to remain on the system is the fact that we
Noranda to remain on the system is the fact that we
will -- we still provide a direct rate benefit to
will -- we still provide a direct rate benefit to
the other consumers, that being that our price will
the other consumers, that being that our price will
still be above the variable cost to produce.
still be above the variable cost to produce.
Q. Keeping in mind your answer earlier
Q. Keeping in mind your answer earlier
about you're not asking the Commission to bind
about you're not asking the Commission to bind
future commissions, you have asked for this
future commissions, you have asked for this
ten-year period for the rates to be in effect
ten-year period for the rates to be in effect
subject to the 2 percent, correct?

```
subject to the 2 percent, correct?
```

A. Yes. That's absolutely correct.
Q. And so if Noranda remains an active participant, I'm sure at no small cost, its rates would remain capped at the 2 percent increase if you were granted your request over that ten-year period?
A. Yes, it would be our hope that would be the case.
Q. And if Noranda decides not to participate as actively as they have in the past, its rates would, under your proposal, remain or increase by only 2 percent -- up to 2 percent at any time Ameren asked for a rate increase, correct?
A. I believe that's correct, yes.
Q. Thank you. Just one last area, Mr. Smith. What is the outlook for the aluminum market in the future?
A. I'm only smiling because the -there's a lot of -- I think with any future forecast, there's a lot of different opinions. My
review is that demand fundamentals for aluminum
remain strong. I believe that the pricing
environment is something that is more -- way more
difficult to project, but especially -- we're a
U.S.-based company, so especially in the U.S., the

```
    U.S. demand picture is very solid. And that's one
    of the reasons why we focused on building this new
    rod mill and making additional investments in the
    primary business.
    But overall, but for this phenomena
    on regional pricing, the LME has been -- we've seen
    a period now where the regional premiums like the
    Midwest premium have been elevated and the LME has
    tended to be depressed. And there are a lot of
    experts that believe that that trend will continue.
    We'll just have to wait -- we'll just have to wait
    and see.
    MR. OPITZ: Your Honor, I have a
    handout I'd like to show. May I approach?
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may.
    MR. OPITZ: Kevin, will you
    distribute that, please?
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Are you going to
    mark this?
        MR. OPITZ: Yes, I can mark this.
        JUDGE WOODRUFF: Staff's next number
        will be 205.
                            (STAFF EXHIBIT NO. 205 WAS MARKED FOR
24 IDENTIFICATION.)
        BY MR. OPITZ:
```

Q. Mr. Smith, would you agree that I handed you a copy of a Wall Street Journal article from Thursday, June 12?
A. Yes.
Q. And have you had a chance to read this particular article before?
A. I have not seen this particular article, but I am quite familiar with the all-aluminum F150.
Q. And I've got here that the title of that article is listed as Detroit's Appetite for Aluminum Grows; is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Would you be surprised if I told you that, according to this article, by 202575 percent of pickup trucks' body parts will be made out of aluminum?
A. No, I wouldn't be surprised by that forecast. Whether it comes true or not, we won't know, but I certainly wouldn't be surprised by the forecast.
Q. Would you be surprised to learn that, according to this article, 18 percent of all vehicles will have all-aluminum bodies by 2025 compared with less than 1 percent now?
A. No. I wouldn't be surprised, again,

```
with that forecast. Whether it comes true or not,
```

hard to know.
MR. OPITZ: Your Honor, I've got
another handout. May I approach?
JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may. This will
be 206 .
(STAFF EXHIBIT NO. 206 WAS MARKED FOR
IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
BY MR. OPITZ:
Q. Mr. Smith, I've handed you a printout of a webpage for Clean Line Grain Belt Express; is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And are you familiar with Clean Line Grain Belt Express?
A. Yes, I am. I'm aware. I wouldn't say familiar.
Q. You're aware?
A. Yes. I'm familiar with General

Cable.
Q. If you look at the first page of that handout, it says Noranda Aluminum Holding Company is a leading North American integrated producer of value-added primary aluminum products and high
quality rolled aluminum coils. Would you agree with that?
A. Yes.
Q. Goes on, General Cable's partnership with Noranda Aluminum for the Grain Belt Express Clean Line will support an expansion of Noranda's redraw rod production capacity at its aluminum smelter near New Madrid, Missouri.
A. Yes.
Q. And finally, goes on to say, I believe on the back -- no, still the first page.
A. Sure.
Q. General Cable has also committed to purchasing all aluminum rod used in the Grain Belt Express conductor from a Missouri manufacturer, Noranda Aluminum.
A. Yes.
Q. To the best of your knowledge, as CEO of Noranda, are those statements from the Clean Line website correct or accurate?
A. Certainly pertinent to us, yes.
Q. Counsel for Noranda, and I believe the video during the open statements, referenced that several U.S. smelters have recently closed down. Do you agree that's true?
A. Yes, I do. Recently being since I started with Noranda, and I started about six years ago, absolutely.
Q. Will these factors increase demand and -- in fact, the increased demand for Noranda aluminum in particular and the decrease in the U.S. supply of aluminum will, in fact, improve the price of the aluminum in the market, correct?
A. So if I could give a slightly longer answer, would that be all right? Because we -- as a company, we fundamentally believe that the price trend driver really is very focused on fundamental demand. So we're always pleased when we see more demand.
Q. I believe your counsel will give you an opportunity to respond longer.
A. Uh-huh. The answer is yes. Yes. We do believe the demand will generally support price increases, but you can never predict that that's absolutely going to happen.
Q. Mr. Smith, if the financial outlook for Noranda improves, do you plan to ask the Commission to redesign the rates?
A. The rate design we're currently asking for?

1

## Q. Yes.

A. No. Because this is an
across-the-cycle rate and, as I said earlier, you
have really good times over a ten-year period about
17 percent of the time, and a little more than
twice as much of that you have very, very tough
times. And so, as we go through the cycle, the
cash generated when -- when pricing is better than
the median is necessary for the investment and
sustenance of the business when the price is lower.
MR. OPITZ: Mr. Smith, thank you for
your time, and I have no further questions.
Your Honor, I'd like to move to
admit, $I$ believe it was 20--
JUDGE WOODRUFF: 205 and 206.
MR. OPITZ: -- 205 and 206.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any objections to
the receipt?
(No response.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, they
will be received.
(STAFF EXHIBIT NOS. 205 AND 206 WERE
RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: 205 was the Wall
Street Journal article, and 206 was the Grain Belt

```
Express Clean Line. All right.
```

    MR. OPITZ: Thank you.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: For further cross
    then, Continental Cement. That's right. Next up
is Ameren Missouri, but we'll take a break before
we start with them. We'll take a break and come
back at 3:30.

MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, just for
your information, we will need to start in-camera.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: We'll start on the
record.
MR. LOWERY: Very well.
(A BREAK WAS TAKEN.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Let's come to order
again. It's 3:30 and we're back from the break.
Ms. Tatro, you want to be recognized?
MS. TATRO: Yes, thank you. Judge,
in response to a question from Chair Kenney in
opening, I made a misstatement. I just want to
correct that so I was not being untruthful to the
tribunal.

There was a question about changing rates in the earnings complaint case, and I had processed that as him asking about in a rate case rather than the earnings complaint case. So I just

```
wanted to make that correction. You can change the
rates in a rate case after all the factors are
considered. So I apologize for my error, and if I
misled you or confused you, I apologize.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: You weren't changing
Ameren's position?
    MS. TATRO: I most definitely was
not.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Before we get
    started with the cross-examination, I want to bring
    up some other matters. As has been apparent, the
progress has been rather slow today. We will be
going late tonight. I anticipate breaking for a
dinner break at five o'clock, resuming at six, even
if it's in the middle of your cross. And we'll try
and work around that as much as possible, but we
want to go ahead and take a dinner break and be
back at six. We'll go until at least nine, and
we'll see where we go from there.
    Another thing that came up is, a lot
of the witnesses for the Complainants filed
testimony about the impact on the Bootheel if
Noranda were to have closed. I don't know if the
parties have a lot of cross-examination of those
people, particularly the legislators. The
```

Commissioners have told me that they do not have
cross-examination. So you might agree amongst
yourselves whether or not the parties would have
any cross-examination.
MR. DOWNEY: I think all the parties
waived cross on all of those witnesses. Somebody
can correct me if I'm wrong.
MR. THOMPSON: That's true.
MR. LOWERY: Everybody after
Mr. Brubaker on the Complainants' witness list, at
least the company has waived cross on them.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anybody disagree
with -- with that? Okay. Well, I think we can cut
that substantially then. All right. Let's go
ahead and get started, and cross-examination by
Ameren.
MR. LOWERY: And, your Honor, as I
indicated, we're going to have to go ahead and go
in-camera. Not every single question is in-camera,
but there's so many intertwined on some of these,
many of them are, and I don't think there's any
practical way to do it but to go in-camera at least
for a while.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: At this point then
we will go in-camera, and once again, anyone who

```
1 can't be here will have to leave.
2 (REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an
3 in-camera session was held, which is contained in
4 Volume 6, pages 254 through 303 of the transcript.)
5
6
7
8
9
10
1 1
12
1 3
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
2 3
24
25
```

JUDGE WOODRUFF: If somebody wants to tell the reporters waiting outside that we're back in session.

MR. LOWERY: And I have two more exhibits, your Honor.

MR. COFFMAN: Your Honor, may I take this moment to ask about the previous long stretch of in-camera that we had? What is the process going to be for declassifying any part of that that it was not actually highly confidential?

JUDGE WOODRUFF: When the transcript comes out, make a motion to review it and -- let me start over again.

Review it, then make a motion to declassify any portions that you believe should be declassified and the Commission will rule on it at that point.

MR. COFFMAN: It will be upon another party to make a motion?

JUDGE WOODRUFF: It's going to be on somebody to make a motion.

MR. COFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.
(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NOS. 115 AND 116 WERE MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

JUDGE WOODRUFF: 115 is the 10-K from

```
2013?
```

MR. LOWERY: That's correct.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: And 116 is the --
MR. LOWERY: Just for clarification, your Honor, these are excerpts from the $10-\mathrm{K}$ or
portions of it. They're each well over 100 pages.
I have the entire document here if for some reason
Mr. Smith would need it, but I didn't want to kill
any more trees.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: 116 is for 2012?
MR. LOWERY: Yes.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may proceed.
BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Mr. Smith, you recognize Exhibits 115
and 116 respectively as being portions of Noranda's
10-K filed with the SEC for 2013 and 2012, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And if we looked at these documents together, and at least if $I$ have the right pages, they would contain information that allows us to see what actual capital expenditures Noranda has made over the past four years, would they not?

For example, if we go to page 61 of
Exhibit 115, we're going to see capital expenditures for 2011 through 2013, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And if I go to page 60 as it turns out on the 2012 10-K, Exhibit 116, I can find the 2010 capital expenditure levels, right?
A. Correct.
Q. So for 2010, Noranda actually
invested 61.3 million, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. For 2011, it was 64.6 , right?
A. Correct.
Q. And for '12 and '13 respectively 87.9 and 72.7 ; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. So on average, and you can use my calculator if you need to, but I calculated the averages -- actual average for '11, '12 -- excuse me -- '10, '11, '12 and '13 to be $\$ 71.62$ million.
A. $\quad 71.6$.
Q. So my math was correct?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Now, for the trailing 12 months ending March 31, 2014, so that would be April '13 to March of '14, you indicated in your earnings call -- or actually you didn't, but Mr. Boyles did just a few weeks ago -- that you actually invested
about 65 million for that period, right?
A. I believe that's correct, yes.
Q. Now, based on the facts and
circumstances in a given year, Noranda has
discretion as to the level of capital expenditures
that it would make; is that right?
A. We do. Certain projects become
non-discretionary for a variety of reasons, but as
a general rule you have discretion in your capital.
Q. And so, for example, in your 2013
plan, it called for you to make 95 million of
capital investments, but you actually only invested
72, which we just talked about, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. So you make adjustments sometimes in
terms of your capital expenditure plans, fair?
A. Yes, we do.
Q. Now, I know that you have said that
you plan to invest $\$ 100$ million per year in 2014 to
2018. That's what you told the Commission and
that's what you modeled to the Commission, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And we talked a few minutes ago about
how your actual expenditures the last four years
have been 71 million, and when $I$ did the math, your
actual capital expenditures the last three years have been 75 million. Does that sound about right?
A. I could check, but that sounds about right.
Q. And whether it's by coincidence or not, the 75 million average for the last three years is about the level of capital expenditures that you included in your modeling for the Moody's presentation, right?
A. Yes. And that's driven by our estimate that, you know --
Q. I understand, but was my -- was the answer to my question yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's also just about the level of capital expenditures that you identify in your direct testimony model apart from the unidentified capex that you include in the model, right?
A. Yes, sustaining capital.
Q. I apologize for speaking over you.
A. Sustaining capital, yes.
Q. Now, if Noranda were to close the smelter, then effectively it would exit Ameren Missouri's system, wouldn't buy power anymore and that power would be sold off-system, right?
A. That's one option. I mean, the

```
assets -- well, yes, that's one option.
```

Q. Well, if Noranda exited the system and Ameren didn't replace the load -- and you have a very significant load, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So under those assumptions, you understand, do you not, that that power would be sold in the off-system sales market, right?
A. Yes, provided that they deem those the cost of production and whatnot. As long as you made business sense.
Q. Sure. Assuming somehow -- assuming the marginal cost of producing those megawatt hours is above the --
A. Right.
Q. -- or the price you get was above that cost, Ameren's going to sell in the off-system market, right?
A. You would sure think so.
Q. And your company has testimony on that issue from Mr. Dauphinais in this case; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And basically what Mr. Dauphinais'

```
testimony is about is what would be Ameren's
opportunity cost if Noranda did stop taking power
and left the system, and he argues that using
historical power prices, the power would have sold
for less than the $30 that you're asking for.
    And because of that, he argues, using
this history, other customers are better off
letting Noranda pay the $30 and keeping them in
business rather than Ameren Missouri having to sell
that power off-system for less than $30, right?
    A. That's correct.
    Q. And you understand, do you not, that
the focus of his testimony is that if one is going
to look at power prices for figuring out Ameren
Missouri's opportunity cost under the circumstances
we just discussed, that one should only look at
historical power prices, and the reason one should
look at them, he says, is that they are known and
measurable, right?
    A. Obviously Mr. Dauphinais is our
expert, and I'd encourage you to take that up with
him. But certainly that's present in his
testimony, yes.
Q. And you understand that that's the
premise of his testimony, do you not?
```

A. I think you should take that up with

```
Mr. Dauphinais.
```

Q. That wasn't my question. You understand that that's the premise of his testimony? I accurately described it, did I not?
A. I believe you accurately described his testimony or a summary thereof.
Q. And the reason he says that is
that -- the reason he says that those historical
power prices are known and measurable but that
forward electricity prices are not known and
measurable -- well, that's a bad question. I'm
sorry. Let me withdraw that one.
The reason he has that premise, the
reason he said the things that $I$ summarized a
minute ago is that he says historical power prices
are known and measurable but forward electricity
prices are not known and measurable, fair?
A. Mr. Dauphinais is the expert on that.
Q. Would you take a look at your
deposition again at page 47? Actually, starting on
page 46.
A. Okay.
Q. Starting on line 19. I asked you the question, well, is it your understanding that his
argument is if we are going to look at what the power prices are for purposes of figuring out Ameren's opportunity cost, if you leave the system and they sell that power off-system, is it your understanding that what he said -- what he has said is we should only look at historical power prices because they were known and measurable? Is that your understanding or not?

And then you said, I'm just not sure that's a fair characterization, that the word that's the -- that it's only -- but clearly that was, you know, that was his focus.

Question: That was clearly his focus?

Answer: Right.

And then on page 48, starting at line 19, I asked you, so that argument -- and I'm referring to Mr . Dauphinais' argument. You understand that, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So that argument depends upon the Commission relying on history for power prices, right?

And you answered -- you didn't say yes, but you said uh-huh, meaning yes, right?

And then $I$ went on to say, question:
But you're asking the Commission to rely upon what you say in the future you intend to invest in capital expenditures as opposed to what you've actually spent on average in the last four years; isn't that true?

And you said, that's correct. Right?
A. I have to apologize. I went down to

46 and I lost you here for a second.
Q. Page 48 , lines 13 to 20 -- 13 to 21.

I apologize for going too fast.
A. Yes. Okay.
Q. Question: So that argument depends on the Commission relying on history for power prices, right?

Answer: Uh-huh.

Question: But you're asking the Commission to rely upon what you say in the future you intend to invest in capital expenditures as opposed to what you've actually spent on average in the last four years; isn't that true?

And your answer was, that's correct?
A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. So for the Commission to accept Mr. Dauphinais' argument, it's got to rely on
historic prices, but for the Commission to accept your modeling, the Commission's got to look at forward aluminum prices, correct?
A. Or go further back in the history. While it's absolutely correct that we have not spent as Noranda $\$ 100$ million capital, there have been periods of time where these assets have.
Q. Fair enough. But not in the last four years on average, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, I know you're aware that Mr. Mudge provided testimony about Noranda's largest shareholder, correct? That would be Apollo, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And by Apollo, you understand that I mean collectively Apollo Global Management and any number of its affiliates, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And while I don't think that you had previously verified the dollars received by Apollo from sales of Noranda stock that are depicted on Table 9 on page 38 of Mr . Mudge's testimony, it's my understanding you were not disputing those amounts are correct; is that true?
A. Yes, I did not dispute them.
Q. Have you since verified whether

```
they're accurate or not?
```

A. I did not.
Q. The other figures that Mr. Mudge reports, the amount of Apollo's initial investment and the amount of all the dividends paid to Apollo, you did affirmatively admit that those were correct, did you not?
A. I did.
Q. Now, you defended Apollo in your surrebuttal testimony because I believe it's your contention that Apollo took a significant risk in buying Noranda in 2007, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And I think you indicated that Apollo did get a significant return for taking what you characterize as a risk, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Mr. Mudge calculated that return at $\$ 360$ million in excess of their initial investment of 214 million, right?
A. So 316 in excess of the original?
Q. 360 in excess of their original. And you agreed that that was the correct number,
assuming that the sales of stock --
A. Share sales were included, yes.
Q. Which you don't dispute that he has
the right numbers on the share sales?
A. I don't dispute that, that's correct.
Q. And Mr. Mudge calculated an internal
rate of return of 340 percent, which you said you
had not confirmed, but you aren't disputing that
calculation either, are you?
A. I am not.
Q. And you put the words special
dividends, I believe, in quotes in your surrebuttal
testimony in response to Mr. Mudge because he
characterized the $\$ 214$ million special dividends
that Ms. Tatro discussed in her opening this
morning, he characterized it as a dividend but, in
fact, it was a special dividend, was it not?
A. It was, but the intent was to
highlight that because it was also a return on
capital. It was a return of their original
investment.
Q. And after that return, they had zero money in the company, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that return took place 25 days
after they put in the 214, right?
A. That's correct.
MR. LOWERY: I have another exhibit
to mark, your Honor.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: That will be 117.
(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 117 WAS MARKED
FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: What was the date on
this one?
MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, it is -- I'm
trying to find it.
Thank you, Mr. Thompson. January 31,
2008, Form S-4.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. You can
proceed.
BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Mr. Smith, the court reporter has
handed you what's been marked for identification as
Exhibit 117. Is it correct that you recognize this
document at least as being a portion of a Form S-4
filed by Noranda in January 2008?
A. I was not an employee of Noranda at
this time, but that's certainly what this document
appears to be, yes.
Q. And would you turn to the last page
of Exhibit 117, and in the third and fourth
paragraphs on that last page, which is actually
page 45 of this $S-4$, those paragraphs explain that
Apollo contributed the $\$ 214.2$ million and then, on
June 7th, which was 25 days later, that Noranda
actually borrowed $\$ 220$ million and that Noranda
used approximately $\$ 216$ million of that to pay a
cash dividend to the stockholders, including
Apollo, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So the funds that were used to repay
Apollo, as you would characterize it, they were
borrowed by Noranda, right?
A. That's correct.
MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I'd move for
admission of Exhibit 117.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: 117 has been
offered. Any objections to its receipt?
(No response.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, it
will be received.
(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 117 WAS
RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: 115 and 116 have not
been offered.

17 want to -- pardon me. We'll call this dinner if you didn't hear the comment about that.

I did want to address one matter that Mr. Coffman brought up. He made a motion -- or brought up the question of clarifying procedure on how we would handle possibly reclassifying some of that testimony. I didn't want to seem dismissive of what he said. If you want to file a motion offering a procedure for doing that, that's what I

```
really meant, rather than saying you do it. Okay?
    Then we are going to take a break for
    dinner, and we'll come back at six o'clock.
    (A BREAK WAS TAKEN.)
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Let's come to order,
    please. All right. We're back from our dinner
break, and Mr. Smith is still on the stand and
we're still under cross-examination.
    MR. LOWERY: May I proceed, your
Honor?
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may proceed.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWERY:
    Q. Mr. Smith, you had told the
Commission in your surrebuttal testimony that the
$220 million that Noranda borrowed, most of which,
as we discussed earlier, went back to Apollo to pay
them back the $214 million, you indicated that that
had no significant impact on Noranda's cash
position at that time, correct?
    A. That's correct.
    Q. But, in fact, having $220 million of
```

debt does produce interest expense that has to be paid, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And one must consume to cash to pay interest expense, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And paying that cash lowers liquidity below where it would have been had you not had to cut those checks for interest, right?
A. Over time, yes.
Q. And interest also over time lowers your EBITDA, your segment profit, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And today Noranda pays approximately $\$ 50$ million annually in interest; isn't that correct?
A. A bit under, yes, but very close.
Q. Something like 47,48 million, right?
A. 47 , yep.
Q. Now, in regards to Apollo, you also criticize Mr. Mudge saying that he failed to take into account the importance of paying dividends in order to keep access to the capital markets, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, after receiving every dime of

```
its initial investment back plus another
$100 million dividend in 2008, Apollo has provided
no capital to Noranda at all; isn't that right?
    A. That's correct.
    Q. And Apollo had -- or Noranda had an
IPO, an initial public offering in 2010 that raised
about $200 million, right?
```

    A. Yes. Two actually.
    Q. Two IPOs --
    A. Yeah.
    Q. -- that raised a total of
    \$200 million?
A. Two primaries, yes, May and December.
Q. Thank you. And since then, Apollo
has received another $\$ 108$ million in dividends,
right, approximately?
A. I would have to verify the number.
I just don't have the components in front of me.
Q. Well, I don't have the reference, but
I asked you about that in your deposition. If you
could turn to page 114, please.
A. Sure.
Q. Make sure I didn't misstate it.
Page 114. Actually, page 115. I think we can
start there on line 6, Mr. Smith. Have you found
it, Mr. Smith?
A. Yes, I sure have.
Q. So after discussing the two offerings that you just pointed out to me -- I'd forgotten you told me that -- on line 6 I asked you this question: Since that public offering -- and I guess it's two public offerings, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. -- Apollo has received about another 108 million, $I$ said in equity, is that right -- I mean in dividends; is that right? And you said, that's correct.
A. Yes. That is correct.
Q. And after receiving that additional \$108 million in dividends, Apollo's also put no further capital in Noranda, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Apollo doesn't have any money in Noranda at all right now; isn't that true?
A. That's correct.
Q. And hasn't had any since 2007, has
it?
A. That's correct.
Q. Noranda has not sought to access the equity markets since those IPOs in 2010; isn't that

```
true?
```

A. Yes. That's correct.
Q. Despite paying dividends, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And when I review your recent board materials, your recent CEO reports, your earnings releases, your $10-\mathrm{Ks}$, I don't see any mention of a plan to access the equity markets because there are no such plans at this time; isn't that true?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, you indicated in your surrebuttal testimony that paying dividends supports the company's access to financial markets. That's one of the things you said, right?
A. Yes.
Q. By that logic, the large dividends paid to Apollo ought to support access to capital for Apollo. Isn't that a fair conclusion one could draw?
A. It's a fair conclusion, but it's not necessarily the case that they or any other shareholder would.
Q. But regardless, it hasn't actually supported access to capital from Apollo because they haven't reinvested in Noranda at all since
they got their original 214 back, right?
A. That is correct.
Q. Now, all else being equal, which I'm asking you to assume for purposes of my next question, all else being equal, had the more than \$200 million in dividends not been paid to Apollo and had Apollo not taken all of its initial
investment out of Noranda, then Noranda would have
more cash today, isn't that fair, all else being equal?
A. So no investments by us, cash comes
in, just doesn't go out?
Q. All else being equal, that's right.
A. All else being equal. Yes.
Q. Now, in your surrebuttal testimony, you gave Apollo credit for reducing your debt by \$285 million, right?
A. Yes, in one single transaction, that's -- a series of related transactions, yes.
Q. And you gave them credit for that happening, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But your debt/equity ratio as of the end of 2013 is still 87 percent, isn't it?
A. That's correct.

MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I need to

```
get a couple more exhibits marked.
```

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. 118 and 119.
(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NOS. 118 AND 119

WERE MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)

MR. LOWERY: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Now, Mr. Smith, isn't it true that, at present, Apollo still owns 33.67 percent of Noranda's outstanding stock?
A. That's correct.
Q. And isn't it also true that, at present, Apollo still effectively controls Noranda?
A. Could you explain your definition of effective control?
Q. Turn to page 121 of your deposition, please. Actually -- yeah, page 121 at line 23.
A. Okay.
Q. Are you there?
A. Yes.
Q. I asked you the following questions
and answers. Question: This is telling people that Apollo will continue to be able to significantly influence or effectively control Noranda's position, right? And you said, uh-huh.

Question: So even at 33.67 percent, its current ownership interest, Apollo effectively controls Noranda still, right? And you said, uh-huh. And has since 2007? Answer: Yes. Question: Is that true? Yes, that's correct.
Did I read that accurately?
A. Yes, you did.
Q. And the court reporter has handed you what's been premarked for identification as Exhibit 118. Do you have that document?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And if you turn to the third page -well, first of all, do you recognize this document as being a portion of the March 11, 2014 Noranda prospectus?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Mr. --
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you. And on page 3 of that Noranda prospectus, under the heading -- there's a heading, a bold heading, the first bold heading on the page. Do you see that?
A. On page 3, and this is 118?
Q. Yes. And it's actually page S 5 of the official document, but $I$ didn't print all of
the document because it's very large. And that bold heading says, and I quote, Apollo will continue to be able to substantially influence our company and the outcome of all matters voted upon by our shareholders and to prevent actions which a shareholder may otherwise view favorably. Did I read that accurately?
A. Yes.
Q. And right below that there's the discussion about after Apollo, after consummation of the offering, and the offering that's being referred to there is the sale by Apollo of 10,000 of its shares in March of this year, right?
A. Correct.
Q. So after that sale took place, Apollo will still own 33.67 percent of the stock, as we talked about before. And the last sentence of that paragraph says, thus, Apollo will continue to be able to significantly influence or effectively control our decisions. That's what Noranda's prospectus says, correct?
A. Yes. It says influence or control.
Q. Or effectively control, right?
A. It says or effectively control, but they cannot force actions to happen.
Q. Now, you have Exhibit 119 there. Do you have that one?
A. I do.
Q. And it is a Form S-3 Registration Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 28th of this year; is that right?
A. Excuse me. It's 19?
Q. 119. I'm sorry.
A. I've got 119.
Q. I know we have a lot of documents. I apologize for that. I'll let you catch up. Take your time.
A. Okay. All right. I have document 119.
Q. Am I correct that Exhibit 119 is a Form S-3 filed with the SEC on April 28th of this year?
A. Yes.
Q. And I believe this Form S-3 is what they call a shelf registration; is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. And what that means is you're registering debt and equity securities that you don't necessarily have a specific plan to issue,
but you've got them registered, and if you decided to do so, you would at least have jumped through that regulatory hoop so that you could do that. Is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you turn to page 12 of that document, and I think -- I don't know if it's two-sided or one-sided, Mr. Smith. My copy's just one-sided.
A. Mine's two.
Q. Yeah, that's what I thought.
A. And are you looking for risk factors?
Q. No. I'm just looking for page 12 of the document. I just wanted to ask you a question about that.
A. I have page 12.
Q. And at the top of that it says description of capital stock, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And it's -- it's describing your
authorized shares and it's describing your outstanding shares in that very first paragraph under general, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And then if you turn to the next
page, there's a heading that says composition of
board of directors, election and removal of
directors. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And as you said earlier, it indicates
that Noranda has 12 directors, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And the very last proviso of that
paragraph that I'm looking at indicates that, for
so long as there is at least one Apollo
representative on the board of directors, then a
quorum must include such representative, an Apollo
representative, unless the representative were to
waive the right to be included in the quorum; is
that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And then on the next page, at the top
of page 14, this document is describing Apollo's
rights to designate director nominees, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And as long as Apollo's got between
30 percent and 50 percent of your outstanding
common stock, which they do now, they have a right
to designate at least six director nominees, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that's half the board, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And what that means is, if they -- if they do exercise their right to nominate at least six director nominees, if there was another director up for election that Apollo didn't want to be elected, for example, they could block that, couldn't they?
A. Well, it's a New York Stock Exchange company. This may be their right, but they -according to the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, there has to be an independent board. So there have to be seven independents. Otherwise, we can't stay in the New York Stock Exchange. So
while they may have the right, in practical reality
they couldn't get the seven -- or they couldn't get
the six.
Q. This document doesn't talk about

```
that, does it?
```

A. No, it doesn't.

MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I move for
the admission of Exhibits 118 and 119.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: 118 and 119 have
been offered. Any objections to their receipt?
(No response.)

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, they

```
will be received.
```

(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NOS. 118 AND 119
WERE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Mr. Smith, if I were to tell you that
I looked at Noranda's closing stock price on Friday
and that it was $\$ 3.40$, does that sound about right?
A. Sounds about right.
Q. And Noranda has about 68.2 million
outstanding shares?
A. That's correct.
Q. So Apollo has about a third of that, which is around 22 or 23 million?
A. That's correct.
Q. So based on Friday's closing share price at $\$ 3.40$, Apollo's shares are worth about $\$ 77$ million as of that time, correct?
A. And you're using how many shares
for --
Q. 22.7 million shares.
A. 22.7. By the way -- yeah, 77 million. 77.2.
Q. So if Apollo had sold the remaining of -- remainder of its shares at that price on

```
Friday, assuming Mr. Mudge's other figures are
correct, and you haven't disputed that they are,
Apollo would have realized above its initial
investment, 214 million, a total of $437 million in
dividends and stock sale proceeds since 2007,
correct?
    A. Yeah. That sounds correct. I'm not
going to do the math here. That sounds correct.
    Q. On a net investment of zero?
    A. On an initial investment of their
216 million and -- so they made that -- they made
that return based on that investment.
    Q. Which they also got back in addition
to the 437 million that you just agreed was
correct, right?
    A. It's part of the return calculation.
    Q. And in addition, they've also
received 31 million of management fees from
Noranda; isn't that right?
    A. That's correct.
    Q. Now, in your opinion, closing the
smelter would be harmful to Noranda's overall
financial condition, wouldn't it?
    A. That's correct.
    Q. And when I say overall, I mean the
```

whole enterprise, the bauxite, alumina, the integrated upstream and downstream businesses, right?
A. Yes.
Q. If that financial harm caused by closing the smelter, which I think you characterize as a severe negative for your company, if that harm were to cause significant drop in Noranda's stock price, then that would reduce the value of Apollo's remaining shares as well as the value of the shares held by other shareholders, right?
A. If all those conditions occurred, yes.
Q. Now, you have not asked Apollo if it would be willing to loan Noranda money as a means to provide more liquidity, have you?
A. That's correct.
Q. You haven't asked them, for example, if they would be willing to put up the 30 million for the rod mill that you indicate is critical to Noranda's operations, have you?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, that rod mill when in operation, you expect it to be accretive to your EBITDA by 11 and a half million dollars per year, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you expect it -- in fact, you testified that if you didn't put the rod mill in, you might or would have to effectively exit the U.S. rod mill -- rod market, which would cost you -- actually, this is a highly confidential number. I'll have to come back to that one, your Honor, so we don't have to jump in and out.

Now, I know it's your contention that you have debt covenants that by their literal terms might prevent Apollo from loaning you more money or loaning you money at all, but you don't actually know how those other debt holders would react if Apollo was willing to loan you money and subordinate all of its debt to all of the other existing debt, right? You don't know how they would react?
A. That's correct.
Q. You haven't explored that possibility, right?
A. Right.
Q. And if Apollo were to do that, Apollo would actually have some monetary capital at risk, which it does not have now, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Because other than the value of their existing equity holding in which on a net basis they have nothing invested, Apollo has no skin in the game financially when it comes to Noranda right now; isn't that fair?
A. That's correct.
Q. And as of this moment, all that can really happen to Apollo's finances as they relate to Noranda is that they could fail to profit more on the 33.67 percent of Noranda's stock that they hold, but they actually can't lose money, right?
A. That's correct. On their invested dollars, that's correct, they cannot.
Q. Noranda hasn't asked Apollo to make an equity investment in -- a further equity investment in Noranda either, has it?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you know how much in dividends Noranda has paid in total the past four years?
A. I don't have that number right in front of me.
Q. Mr. Smith, do you still have Exhibits 115 and 116? I know you had them before break.
A. I do. I do.
Q. And those are Noranda' 10-Ks for 2013 and 2012, right?
A. I'm sorry. The exhibit numbers again?
Q. 115 and 116 .
A. I have them.
Q. If you look, I guess, at 116 first, and page -- it's marked as page 23. This is an excerpt from your $10-\mathrm{K}$, of course. We can see that in 2011 Noranda paid 69.3 million in dividends, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in 2012 Noranda paid -- well,
I'll just tick off the numbers -- paid four
different dividends of 2.6 million, 84.3 million ,
2.6 million, 2.7 million and 2.9 million, right?
MR. DOWNEY: What page are you
looking at?
MR. LOWERY: Page 23 of Exhibit --
I'm sorry, Ed. You're right. I jumped to the
wrong one.
BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. I apologize, Mr. Smith. In 2012, on page 23 of Exhibit 116 , we see that Noranda paid 2.6 million on February 15th, 84.3 on

February 29 th, 2.6 on April 24 th, 2.7 on July 24 th and 2.8 on October 24 th, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Then if we go to the 2013 10-K, which is Exhibit 115, we can see that Noranda paid quite a bit less in dividends in 2013, right?
A. Correct. That's correct.

MR. LOWERY: I need to get another exhibit marked, your Honor, which I believe will be --

JUDGE WOODRUFF: 120.

MR. LOWERY: 120. Thank you. While we're at it, your Honor, I'll go ahead and mark one more.
(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NOS. 120 AND 121

WERE MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)

BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Mr. Smith, you should have two documents the court reporter just gave you, Exhibits 120 and 121. 120 is the -- is a portion of the Report and Order from the Commission in Ameren's rate case, ER-2010-0036. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And 121 is a portion of a Form 10-Q filed by Noranda, and if you look down at the
bottom you can see it was filed on November 4,
2011. Do you see that? I apologize. It's
actually for the quarterly period ended
September 30, 2011. You can actually see that up
sort of in the middle of the first page --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Now, you filed testimony in

```
ER-2010-0036, right?
```

    A. I've participated in every rate case
    since -- I believe since my arrival. So I don't
recall the specifics of the testimony, but I
must -- you know, Noranda certainly participated in
that rate case.
Q. And you recall that you filed
testimony, do you not?
A. Mr. Lowery, I don't remember the specific testimony.
Q. Okay. If you would turn to -- well, first of all, just so people can keep up, I've provided the cover of this Report and Order and I've also provided the first four pages, which basically covers the table of contents and then some more pages. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you look at the fourth page, the numbered fourth page, you'll see table of content says rate design and class cost of service issues start on page 80?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you turn to page 80, you'll see a numbered paragraph 2, and that indicates that Public Counsel, MIEC, AARP and Consumers Council and the Missouri Retailers Association have filed a Nonunanimous Stipulation \& Agreement about rate design, how the rate increase should be allocated, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And MEUA, which I believe stands for Midwest Energy Users Association, they opposed that stipulation, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you --
A. I'm sorry.
Q. I apologize.
A. It says -- okay. They did not oppose the agreement.
Q. Third line on page 81 of the Report and Order.
A. Okay. Sorry. It does oppose. Got
Q. So MEUA opposed that stipulation, correct?
A. Right.
Q. And then if you go down to numbered paragraph 5 on page 81 , of course, it points out that Noranda is the only member of the large transmission class, correct? You know that to be a fact, that you are the only member --
A. Yes.
Q. -- of the LTS class, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And then if you go to page 88 , numbered paragraph 24, we see that the Commission -- and I'm in the last full sentence on -- or the last sentence that starts on paragraph 24 on page 88. We see the Commission is describing MIEC's class cost of service study, and they say -the Commission says, Brubaker also advocated that the large transmission class, which would only be Noranda, be moved entirely to its cost of service as shown in MIEC's class cost of service study. That extra movement would require an additional 8.2 million from the residential class and would

```
reduce the rate relief that would otherwise flow to
other rate classes. Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And then in paragraph 28 on down the page on page 89 , it indicates that the large transmission class, again only member is Noranda, would receive a revenue neutral reduction of
11.74 percent which amounts to a reduction of
approximately 16.3 million. That means Noranda
would receive an actual rate reduction of
approximately 2.1 million. Do you see that?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And the Commission goes on to note
that that would occur while the residential class
received an 11.7 percent rate increase, right?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And then in paragraph 30 on page 90,
they describe MIEC's argument for that treatment.
And by the way, Noranda was a participant in MIEC
in this rate case, right?
    A. I believe that's correct.
    Q. And what the Commission says is that
MIEC and in particular Noranda attempt to justify
these results by claiming that Noranda needs
special rate consideration to remain competitive
```

```
with other aluminum smelters in the United States,
less it be forced to close resulting in economic
devastation to Missouri, right?
    A. Yes. And as we've gone through this,
this has -- I now remember this case.
```

Q. And in this case, you testified that the smelter's viability was threatened by the power rates it was paying, right?
A. And by the 18.6 or 7 percent increase that was being requested, and it was right on the heels of the great recession.
Q. Right. I understand. But your claim in that case, the reason for your claim may have been different and the amount may have been different, but your claim in that case was in many respects similar to the claim that you're making in this case, and that is you need relief from the rate increase that was being proposed there, you need relief from the rates you're paying now here, else the competitive viability of the smelter is threatened, right?
A. That's correct. This is an issue that just doesn't go away.
Q. And then over on page 92 of the Report and Order the Commission states, clearly

```
Noranda will be affected by the rate increase that
will result from this case, but the same can be
said about all the other businesses and families
that must pay AmerenUE for the electricity they
need. The reduction proposed by the stipulated
position would give Noranda an actual rate decrease
of 2.147 million while all other customers have to
absorb a rate increase. That result is
inappropriate. That's what the Commission said,
right?
    A. I'm sorry. Which line item are you
on?
    Q. I apologize. Page 92.
    A. Okay.
    Q. Paragraph 35.
    A. Okay.
    Q. And rather than me rereading it --
well, I'll just reread it because you probably were
trying to look, and I apologize. I should have
taken more time.
    So the Commission concluded, clearly
Noranda will be affected by the rate increase that
will result from this case, but the same can be
said about all the other businesses and families
that must pay AmerenUE for the electricity they
```

```
need. The reduction proposed by the stipulated position would give Noranda an actual rate decrease of 2.147 million while all other customers have to absorb a rate increase. That result is inappropriate.
That's the Commission's conclusion, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So that stipulated position that would have given you a rate decrease was rejected by the Commission, right?
A. That's correct.
MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I'd move for admission of Exhibit 120.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: 120 has been
offered. Any objection to its receipt?
(No response.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, it will be received.
(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 120 WAS
RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Now, Mr. Smith, you also have
Exhibit 121 there. Do you have that one?
A. I do.
```

Q. And by the way, the Commission's decision that we were just talking about was issued on June 7,2010 , correct? I'm sorry. It was issued on May 28,2010 and became effective on June 7, 2010, right?
A. That is correct.
Q. Now, Exhibit 121 , as we just discussed, is a Form 10-Q that Noranda filed with the SEC on September 30, 2011, and it indicates that at that time there were $67,231,472$ shares of Noranda common stock outstanding, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And actually, page 2 of Exhibit 121 is a press release that Noranda issued on November 2, 2011, a couple days before this $10-\mathrm{Q}$ we just talked about was issued, or couple days before you reported you had 67 million and some odd shares, right?
A. Again, I apologize, Mr. Lowery. The question you're asking is?
Q. Is page 2 of Exhibit 121, have I accurately identified that as a news release that you issued, Noranda issued on November 2, 2011?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And so after -- in 2010, advocating

```
that you actually get a rate decrease in Ameren
Missouri's rate case at that time, the next year
you declared, I guess it's called a supplemental
dividend here, but you declared a supplemental
dividend of a dollar per share, right?
    A. That's correct.
    Q. And at a dollar per share, the
supplemental dividend would equate to about
$67 million roughly, correct?
    A. That's correct.
        MR. LOWERY: I move for the admission
of Exhibit 121, your Honor.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: }121\mathrm{ has been
offered. Any objections to its receipt?
    (No response.)
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, it
will be received.
    (AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. }121\mathrm{ WAS
RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
    MR. LOWERY: And I think we're going
to have to go back in-camera now, your Honor.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. If
there's anyone in the room who needs to leave,
please do so.
    (REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an
```

```
1 in-camera session was held, which is contained in
2 Volume 6, pages 349 through 360 of the transcript.)
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JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. We are back

```
in regular session.
```

    MR. LOWERY: I need to get another
    exhibit marked.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Be 123.
(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 123 WAS MARKED
FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Whenever you're
ready.
MR. LOWERY: Thank you.
BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Now, Mr. Smith, the court reporter
has handed you what's been marked Exhibit 123,
right? You have that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you've seen this before, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And what Exhibit 123 is, it's a
compilation of data from Bloomberg recording actual
historical aluminum prices from the start of 2013
'til May of this year and forward aluminum prices
through May of 2015, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you agree that Bloomberg is a
reliable source of market data, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You used forward LME prices as of 1/22/14 in your analysis, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And these prices are also forward prices, forward -- reflect forward LME prices, but they are as of $5 / 27 / 14$, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, forward prices for the LME like the one that Bloomberg -- the one Bloomberg is reporting, you say those are the prices that must be used to evaluate Noranda's future financial prospects for purposes of justifying the rate request you've made in this case, right?
A. Yes. We think that creates the most realistic scenario.
Q. And there are also forward prices for the Midwest premium, correct?
A. The market's not as efficient, but yes.
Q. They don't go out as far either, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you can see those forward prices for the Midwest premium on the third page of

Exhibit 123, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And they're over on the -- they're essentially the right three columns, and the prices themselves for the Midwest premiums, those forward prices are that last column that says last price, and the first one is . 1865 cents, correct? I'm sorry, . 1865 dollars. 18.65 cents, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, according to this May 27 forward curve, the market is telling us that it expects the Midwest premium to remain quite strong through May 2015, fair?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were asked questions about the Midwest premium during recent earnings calls, and you pointed to the strong demand fundamentals that support the Midwest premium, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You noted that there is less U.S.
supply than there has been and that there is now strong fundamental demand in the market, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's fair to say, is it not, that your view in the next year or so and perhaps longer
is that the Midwest premium is expected to stay
pretty strong visa-vis where it's historically
been, right?
A. Yes, versus the historic 6 to 7 cent
premium, yes.
MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, with that, I
would move for the admission of Exhibit 123.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: 123 has been
offered. Any objections to its receipt?
(No response.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, it
will be received.
(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 123 WAS
RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Now, in your direct testimony
modeling, you didn't use forward Midwest premium
prices for your modeling. Instead, you used CRU's
forecast of what they expect the Midwest premium to
be, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And it's a forecast that existed, I
assume, at the time you filed your testimony,
correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, we talked earlier about using CR prices in the Moody's model, and there you also used CRU prices both for the LME and for the Midwest premium, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But in your direct testimony you used LME forward prices for the LME and you used CRU forecasted prices for the Midwest premium, right?
A. That's correct.

MR. LOWERY: I have another exhibit

```
to mark, your Honor. 124.
```

(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 124 WAS MARKED

FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Whenever you're

```
ready.
```

BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Mr. Smith, do you have Exhibit 124?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 124 as being information from CRU, the company we've been talking about?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And do you see down in the bottom
left-hand corner, it's fairly small, but this
indicates that this is CRU information as of 2014

```
Q2; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you turn to the next page,
also down at the bottom toward the left you see
that this is CRU information as of second quarter
of 2014, right?
    A. I'm sorry. Say it again.
    Q. I'm sorry. On the second page of
Exhibit 124?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And you see a bunch of prices that
CRU is providing here?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And you see down on the bottom left,
you see it's indicating that this is 2014, second
quarter 2014 information?
    A. I'm sorry. The bottom?
    Q. You see on the screen shot where you
    see the start bottom at the very bottom corner --
    A. Yes.
    Q. -- as if you were on a computer? And
    then right above that, you see some boxes, aluminum
    smelting model, then it says Macro 2014 Q2?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And this is the kind of data that
```

```
19 Volume 6, page 368 of the transcript.)
```

19 Volume 6, page 368 of the transcript.)
Noranda used for LME prices in the Moody's
presentation, and it's the kind of data that you
used for Midwest premium prices in your modeling,
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And, in fact, you can see down here
in row 34, you can see that that's the Midwest
premium forecast from CRU, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And you can go over to where it says
2014 and you can see a forecast for '14, actually
it goes all the way out to '20 or '21, but for our
purposes I'm just focused on the 2014 to 2018
numbers. Do you see those?
A. Yes.
(REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, per
Judge Woodruff's instruction on page 369, an
in-camera session was held, which is contained in

```

MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I apologize,
```

but Ms. Tatro reminds me that the CRU information

```
is actually proprietary. It's not Noranda
proprietary. Actually, that should not have been
publicly disclosed information. I guess I'd ask
that we change the transcript afterwards.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: We'll make it 124 HC .
    MR. LOWERY: My mistake. I
apologize.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Did the
court reporter understand what we're talking about
to change?
    THE REPORTER: Yes. When I get to
that point, I'll double check with you.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay.
BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. And I won't go through it, Mr. Smith, because the record is going to reflect this itself,
but the figures that \(I\) just went through for 2014
to 2018, that's the same kind of information, it's
the same CRU forecast that you use, but it's a
forecast as of the second quarter 2014 as opposed
to forecast from obviously sometime back in
probably January or February, right?
    A. Yes.

MR. LOWERY: With that, your Honor, I move for admission of Exhibit 124 HC actually.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: 124 HC has been
offered. Any objections to it receipt?
(No response.)

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, it will be received.
(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 124HC WAS
```

RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)

```

MR. LOWERY: Now, Mr. Smith -- I need to confer with Mr. Downey real quick. THE WITNESS: Your Honor, could I petition for a very quick break? JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes. We'll go off the record for about five minutes. (A BREAK WAS TAKEN.) JUDGE WOODRUFF: We're back from our break. We'll get started again.

MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I've got a just a little bit more cross-examination to be public, then we'll have to go in-camera one more time. I'll try to separate it so we only have to do that once.
(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NOS. 125 THROUGH

128 WERE MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE

REPORTER.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. I've got 125
is the Form 8-K from February 13th of '14. 126 is
the brief from EA-2005-0180. 127 is the Swogger
direct testimony from that same case, and 128 is
Swogger surrebuttal.
    MR. LOWERY: That's right, your
Honor. That's what I have.
BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Mr. Smith, you have Exhibits 125 through 128 from the court reporter, I take it?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Exhibit 125 is a Form 8-K, and more particularly it's the Form 8-K that Noranda issued in connection with filing this case and I guess the actual -- well, I guess it's just this case actually; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you issued a Form 8-K on that day because the filing of this case to your company was a significant event, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And companies when they have a material announcement of this kind will often -something material happening with the company,
```

companies will often issue 8-Ks like that. Is that
your understanding?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Now, you testified earlier, we talked
about it briefly, about your cash position as of
Friday, three days ago, and your liquidity
position. Noranda hasn't issued an 8-K announcing
anything about changes in its cash or liquidity; is
that true?
A. That's correct.
MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I'd move for
admission of Exhibit 125.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: }125\mathrm{ has been
offered. Any objections to it receipt?
(No response.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, it is
received.
(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 125 WAS
RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I'm just
going to -- I don't really need to ask this witness
any questions about 126 through 128, but I am going
to ask the Commission to take official notice of
them. They are Noranda's prehearing brief in the
EA-2005-0180 case, which is the case where Noranda

```
along with Ameren Missouri asked for Ameren to
receive a certificate of public convenience and
necessity so that Ameren could serve Noranda, and
they contain Noranda's positions on what they
needed in that case, as well as Mr. Swogger's
testimony. Mr. Swogger was the executive or
manager in charge of power procurement at Noranda
at the time, as his testimony indicates. That also
describes what Noranda needs. And I'd ask the
Commission to take official notice of those
documents.
                                    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any objection to
taking notice of those documents?
                                    (No response.)
                                    JUDGE WOODRUFF: They will be
received into the record on that basis.
                                    (AMERENUE EXHIBIT NOS. 126, 127 AND
128 WERE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
BY MR. LOWERY:
    Q. And, Mr. Smith, I apologize, but I'm
sort of jumping around to some different topics
because I'm trying to prevent the judge from having
to go in and out of camera another time.
    You made a comment earlier today
about the 2009 ice storm, and I think you indicated
```

that Noranda kept approximately }300\mathrm{ people working
even though you had a couple potlines down for an
extended period of time, correct?

```
A. That's correct.
Q. And I know that these figures aren't exact and wages might have been somewhat different at that time, but in rough terms, each job at Noranda, depending on the job, on average probably about, what, 90 to 100,000 a year in compensation and benefits, et cetera?
A. Right now, you know, 888 people is about 95 million in payroll, but that's the whole workforce. So discount that back to 2004.
Q. 2009.
A. Excuse me. 2009, yes.
Q. Now, in that 2009 ice storm, Noranda had a significant insurance claim, did they not?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. And I can show this to you. I don't have a printout. I've killed enough trees. But I suspect you remember this, and I'll show it to you if I need to. Noranda received 67.5 million from their insurance carriers related to that event; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that was allocated to selling and general and administrative expenses. Do you remember that?
A. No, I don't recall the specific line item to which it was allocated.
Q. I think you would agree with me, however, that at least 67 and a half million dollars of the financial impact, which would have included paying these employees while your potline's down and some other things, that was offset by insurance recoveries that you were able to receive; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. I've heard you mention a few times today, this evening, you've talked about through the cycle. Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. And I think when you say through the cycle, what you mean is aluminum prices over time go through this cycle, they go up and they go down, they go up and they go down, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Where are we in the cycle at this point?
A. That's the tough part. It's really
hard to know.
Q. So you just don't really know?
A. You really don't know. We've had a couple of pretty tough years in pricing again, but it's -- that's one of the challenges when you -when we're looking forward and we're planning, betting conservatively makes a lot of sense.
Q. I understand. Now, I apologize for doing this, but I need to ask you a question or two about Exhibit 123 that we talked about not too long ago.
A. Okay.
Q. Which is already in evidence. I think this was too obvious to me, and it probably was to you as well, but the first two pages of Exhibit 123 are some graphs that just graph the data that we see on the following pages, correct?
A. I've got to -- sorry. I stacked my stuff. Didn't take the time to put it in order here.
Q. I'll tell you what, I can save you some time and just hand you this extra copy if that's okay.
A. That would be fabulous.
Q. You understood, Mr. Smith, that the
graphs on pages 1 and 2, it says historic and forward LME prices, and of course could be other prices for other metals, but you understood that these are aluminum prices, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And I want to ask you one other question. If you take a look at the second page of Exhibit 123, you see some -- I guess one's an orange line and one's a red line. They look fairly similar to me. But do you recognize that those lines roughly correspond to the assumptions you made for prices in 2014, in your direct testimony model that would be the lower of the lines, I think it's the orange line, and that the red line that's a little bit higher are the assumptions Noranda made for its 2014 plan about what the sum of LME and Midwest premiums would be, correct?
A. Yes.

MR. LOWERY: I just have a handful of questions, but we need to go in-camera for them.
(REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an
in-camera session was held, which is contained in

Volume 6, pages 378 through 384 of the transcript.)

MS. TATRO: Judge, can \(I\) verify that
```

125 was admitted?

```
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: I show it as being
admitted, yes.
    MS. TATRO: Thank you.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: Come up to questions
from the Bench, beginning with the Chairman.
QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN KENNEY:
Q. Mr. Smith, good evening.
A. Good evening.
Q. Got to be patient a little while
longer.
A. My pleasure.
Q. Maybe not too much longer. So I'm
not a finance guy, so \(I\) want to make sure \(I\)
understand what we're talking about here.
Liquidity as Noranda uses the term equals cash on
hand plus the asset-backed loan?
A. Correct.
Q. And the \(A B L\) is like a revolving line of credit?
A. Yep.

MR. LOWERY: Mr. Chairman, I
apologize for interrupting, but we can't really
hear you. I don't know if your microphone is not
```

on.

```
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Maybe I'm not close
    enough to it. Sorry.
    MR. LOWERY: Thank you.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: How's that?
    MR. LOWERY: That's great.
BY COMMISSIONER KENNEY:
    Q. So \(I\) was saying that the definition
    of liquidity equals cash on hand plus the
    asset-backed loan, which is a revolving line of
    credit, right?
    A. Correct.
    Q. And it's guaranteed by accounts
receivable and other assets?
    A. Yeah. Has a priority lien on it,
    yes.
    Q. So what is the minimum level of
liquidity that Noranda needs to remain viable?
    A. Our target level is --
    MR. LOWERY: Pardon me.
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Is that HC?
    MR. LOWERY: Yeah, it is. Ed
probably didn't hear the question, but it is HC.
    THE WITNESS: Yeah.
    MR. DOWNEY: I heard the question. I
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1 didn't know it was HC.

```
```

1 didn't know it was HC.
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```
    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Listen, I will not
```

    CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Listen, I will not
    know if it is. It's up to you guys to know. So if
    know if it is. It's up to you guys to know. So if
    we need to go in-camera...
    we need to go in-camera...
    THE WITNESS: We do.
    THE WITNESS: We do.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: We'll go in-camera
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: We'll go in-camera
    then. Thank you.
    then. Thank you.
    (REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an
    (REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an
    in-camera session was held, which is contained in
    in-camera session was held, which is contained in
    2

```

JUDGE WOODRUFF: We're back in
regular session.

BY CHAIRMAN KENNEY:
Q. So we talked about the LME, which is the London Metal Exchange?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that's where aluminum prices are set?
A. That's correct.
Q. And then on top of that, there's some Midwest premium that's added to that?
A. Exactly.
Q. And that's the price that you actually get for your aluminum?
A. That's correct.
Q. So Moody's -- and you said this, too, that the long-term forecasting is that the aluminum prices are going to be depressed. What's
contributing to that depression of aluminum prices?
A. First, there is a global supply
circumstance that, although it's fairly well
balanced, there is a concern about inventory
overhang. So that would be a fairly significant
issue.
    China has gone -- has become a major
player globally. They have about half of the global capacity, and so they have a very large impact on the global competitive circumstance.

Its is -- it has been interesting to watch how the pricing mechanism has shifted to regional premiums. Right now all of the regional premiums around the world are doing pretty well, and that's what those premiums were intended for. When you had strong demand, it's -- as the premiums go up, it's to attract additional product.

So the biggest issue globally with aluminum is supply and the forecast of supply and the new plants coming on in the Middle East and China. Where we've been helped has been with the Midwest premium. The premium expands, it's like any other commodity. It's always amazing how quickly pricing corrects.

And so we've seen in our business -and we compete all up and down the chain. We've seen encouraging of flow from China. We've seen billet from Europe. And so the world is now understanding the U.S. market better.

So we have to -- we have to have a cost position where we can compete globally. You compete regionally on the basis of the customer

24 Moody's is definitely one that's conservative, and

25 in our business it's -- we can learn from history.
```

And oftentimes when we look at our view of the
future, it is informed by what the curve had looked
like in the past.
And the thing that we keep getting
back to is we really tried to put together a rate
that was valid across that cycle. And so we tested
it to see. If you look at the past ten-year cycle
where the LME averaged about \$1.04 as you went
through the cycle, when we test that scenario,
that's a price at which \$30 will get us through the
cycle.

```
    Q. So in terms of comparing Noranda to
other North American smelters, there's how many
left, 22?
    A. There are just nine of us left now.
    Q. There were 22?
    A. There were 32, and then that dropped
to 15. And when I arrived about in March of 2008,
there were 15 of us. Now there are nine. Most
recent was Ormet going out last October.
    Q. Do any of those other smelters own
their own bauxite mines and refineries?
    A. Alcoa does, a very large global
producer. Century does not. We -- during the
great recession, they sold us their -- we were in a
```

joint venture partnership with them, and they sold
us their portion of the bauxite mine and the
alumina refinery.

```
Q. And does owning a mine and owning a
refinery, having those upstream and downstream
businesses, does that add any stability or
certainty to the business model?
    A. It absolutely does. It absolutely
does. It also adds value as well, because as
prices move in the open market, our -- our price is
driven by our cost structure. And so first it's
security of supply. We are integrated upstream for
security supply, and then second we make sure that
we manage our cost buckets for productivity.
    Q. Would that then -- would that --
would you agree with me, then, that that provides
Noranda competitive advantages as compared to those
other nine smelters or other eight?
    A. I wouldn't claim that we would have
that against Alcoa because obviously they are in a
global supply basin. They can bring raw materials
in from their global network.
    We think it's one of the things that
will help us be here sustainably because we focus
very hard on our overall cost picture. And power's
about a third, but we've been attacking the other two-thirds. And there's no question that the consistent access to the raw material -- you know, a couple years ago Indonesia announced that they were going to stop exporting bauxite. And as you
might imagine, that created quite a stir,
especially for the Chinese since they're short.
    And for us it was -- it was very
interesting because of what it did to the price,
but we had our supply. So first security of
supply.
Q. So you didn't experience any price fluctuation because you had your own supply?
A. We had our own supply. We sell it, so we --
Q. You sell it, too?
A. Yeah. We actually sell bauxite and we sell alumina.
Q. So let me just back up to my original question.
A. Sure.
Q. Factoring Alcoa out of the equation, then, would you agree with me that owning your own mine and refinery provides Noranda a competitive advantage --
```

A. We believe that it does.
Q. -- compared with other smelters?
A. Yes. It helps us lower our -- we own
those two units to help us lower the cost of
manufacture of primary aluminum.
Q. We talked about the mill rod, or the
rod mill. I'm sorry.
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Irrespective of what we do here at
the Commission, whether we do or do not grant
Noranda's request, Noranda's committed to building
that mill; is that correct?
MR. DOWNEY: Kip, does this need to
be HC?
THE WITNESS: Yeah, it sure does. It
sure does.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. We'll go
back into HC.
(REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an
in-camera session was held, which is contained in
Volume 6, pages 399 through 412 of the transcript.)

```

JUDGE WOODRUFF: And we're back in
regular session.

BY CHAIRMAN KENNEY:
Q. So would Noranda be willing to make definitively any of the assurances that OPC has outlined in terms of the amount of capital investment it would make on an annual basis, maintaining employment at 888? Is that something that Noranda would accept written into a tariff filed at the Commission?
A. It is getting late, so I'll try to keep this as short as \(I\) can. Yes, we are willing to make commitments on the full employment of the plant, provided that it's structured in a way that we can deal with the practical realities of our business. We have to drive productivity.
Q. Let me ask that question, because that was the -- that was, I guess, the part that I didn't understand. So you said assuming that it was structured correctly. Let's say we grant you what you've asked for.
A. Yeah.
Q. \(\quad \$ 30\) a megawatt hour.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. That would need to be tariffed,
right, and written into a tariff --
    A. Uh-huh.
    Q. -- and filed here at the Commission?
In the body of that tariff, can we write a
condition that Noranda would accept that you would
maintain full employment and make certain dollar
amount capital investments over a certain period of
time? Assuming hypothetically we grant you the
relief exactly as you're asking for it, would
Noranda agree to that commitment?
    A. The answer is yes, but we need to be
able to address the realities of our business.
This is a business where year in/year out we have
to get productivity. And so we have to make sure
that the way that that would be structured in terms
of -- just in terms of the head count, that we
would be able to capitalize on productivity.
    And ideally we would love to grow.
Without growth, you can't -- in a commodity, you
can't keep the number of people you have. It's
just relentless in terms of the pressure on cost.
    Q. So what I'm hearing you say actually,
        I think, is that even if we grant you exactly what
        you want, you may need to leave some room to
        decrease that 888 because of lack of growth?
A. Over time. And believe me, we will do everything we can to -- that's one of the big reasons why we're putting in this rod mill, to grow. If we don't grow, the rod mill can be run with fewer people. If we grow, then we can add employment. And we're working very hard to grow.
Q. So there is really no guarantee that even if we did grant Noranda exactly what it wants that you would stay at 888 through the next ten years?
A. I think the practical reality, you're not -- you're not going to go down to 600 people, but a 2 percent sort of productivity is something that sure wouldn't be out of the norm for a business like ours. This would not -- but again, our focus would be on growing, but --

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Smith, you're getting away from your microphone.

THE WITNESS: I apologize. Our focus would be on growing, but we -- but first we have to stabilize our cost structure and then our desire -we have no desire to reduce our head count, but we have a need to stay competitive over time.

CHAIRMAN KENNEY: I don't think I have any additional questions. Thank you.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right.
```

Commissioner Stoll.

```
QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER STOLL:
Q. Thank you, Mr. Smith. The line of questioning from Mr. Lowery and your responses were very informative, and I appreciate the Chairman's line of questioning, too.

Kind of following up on some of that, the Chairman asked about had you sought any other kind of relief, and you said you thought this was the appropriate venue. And I can see that when you're talking about the kind of prices you pay for electricity, but it just seems like over time, I mean, as you were thinking about the long-term future of Noranda, you would have also been thinking about approaching the State regarding tax credits or some other financial assistance. Tell me -- tell us about that.
A. It's -- from our perspective, the issue is power, and you'll hear that from -- from Mr. Harris. You'll hear that from others on the Noranda team. When you look at why smelters go out, the focus is power. And so having -- so we have to address the core issue, which is that our power cost is just not one that can help us sustain
```

our operation. So that's really why we're here.

```
That's really why we're here.
Q. So when Boeing approached the
Legislature or the Ford plant at Claycomo or
several other big companies, their power usage just
isn't as great as yours?
    A. That's for sure, yeah. We buy as
much power as the entire city of Seattle. It's
just a -- it's just -- it's a huge amount of power
on one spot. City of Springfield as well. I mean,
it's a massive amount of power. About 4.2 million
megawatt hours a year.
Q. This would involve some speculation,
but -- so your contract, the contract between
Noranda and Ameren is up in six years?
A. Approximately, yes. 2020, yeah.
Q. What if Ameren invoked the five-year notice because of CO 2 emissions and they were going to have to close one of their large plants, what would you do?
A. Well, the Commission always -- first off, in that circumstance, the Commission always has the right to reopen the rate that we're asking for. They have authority over this, but -- and we are in Ameren's service territory, and we would
work with them to see what kind of service that we
could get.
Q. I mean, you are in their service territory, but you have a unique relationship in that you're the only company that can go and renegotiate this in \(I\) guess six years, though, right?
A. Yes.
Q. I think -- I think my other questions were answered, and I'm going to save some for my colleagues. So I appreciate your responses.
A. Thank you very much.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Kenney.
COMMISSIONER W. KENNEY: Thank you, Judge. QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER W. KENNEY:
Q. Mr. Smith, I'd like to thank you for coming here today. Did you fly in to our airport here?
A. I did not actually.
Q. Then it's a long trip, as everybody finds out when they come to Jefferson City. But I'd like to thank you. Noranda is a great partner in our state, and I have a lot of friends in the Bootheel, and Noranda's been a great partner with

24 intensifying. So Century was doing it. The
25 folks -- Alcoa was doing it, and then Alcan sold
```

their smelter to Century. So we were looking at a
pro forma, and again, Mr. Fayne will give testimony
on this, of moving to up near the highest cost of
power in the U.S. And so we --
Q. Now, that highest cost of power were
contracts that you negotiated with Ameren, right,
Noranda negotiated with Ameren?

```
    A. Well, the rate's set by the Public
Service Commission, though.
    Q. Yeah, but -- and maybe I'm wrong.
I've only been here a short time.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. As with a few of my other
Commissioners. So the way I understand that is
that there are negotiations and that you being the
only rate case and the intervenors agree with your
rate. Am I wrong about that?
    A. We can -- we always try to get to a
stipulated rate. This time, unfortunately, we were
not able to, so far.
    Q. You weren't -- at the time you had
the last rate case, you left unsatisfied?
    A. I wouldn't say that we left
unsatisfied, but we -- we've realized over the
course of the past few quarters that this is
```

something that we just really, really had to

```
address.
Q. It's unsustainable under your -- your company believes it's unsustainable?
A. That's correct.
Q. Due to maybe even other circumstances, like aluminum prices?
A. Aluminum prices have been another big contributor, yes.
Q. I understand that. I understand that. And I do appreciate, Mr. Smith, that you've been very frank in your answers, and I do appreciate that for a witness that comes here and is very open and forthright on their answers to the questions. I do appreciate that.

I have a question for you. I
received a letter from Senator Lager today and Representative Funderburk, who are both chairs of the respective energy committees, for lack of a better name, in the House and the Senate, asking us to just -- to not participate, just to -- this is a legislative issue.

Representative Chris Kelly out of Columbia, who I have a tremendous amount of respect for, he's been around a long time, pretty much gave
the same statement, that this is a legislative issue.

And I see on your witness list several Representatives and at least two Senators who are supporting your cause, and I appreciate that, the fact that they're supporting their constituency.

But this took place last fall. Did any of them, any of those Representatives or Senators come to you and say, \(I\) want to fix this in the Legislature for you?
A. Not that I'm aware of. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. I mean, because I notice them now, they're coming out and saying we need -- PSC needs to fix this issue. I appreciate that. I really do. But -- and then there's another group of legislators who think that the -- and you have three former legislators here, former Senator Stoll and Senator Rupp and myself, and some of them think that it should be a legislative fix, as I think the Chairman and Commissioner Stoll alluded to.

So none of those Representatives or Senators said that they would like to go out there and go to bat for you and try to get this fixed?
A. It may have been because of our bias
```

to come here, but I certainly didn't have

```
conversations with any of the Senators.
Q. Noranda did not look at this as an economic development issue? You look at it as strictly a PSC issue for the --
A. It's a power issue. It's a power
issue. And our desire was to get a sustainable
rate and one where there was still economic benefit
in the rate process, so that we're still picking up
some of Ameren's fixed costs so that we have the
best shot at being sustainable.
Q. I appreciate that. I can understand your concerns in light of your issues. One of the things that -- a couple of things that OPC was talking about is wanting to put conditions on Noranda to do certain things. I'm just struggling with this because \(I\) don't understand how in this situation, in a complaint case where you're wanting to have your rates changed, whether -- now, OPC doesn't mind and a few other intervenors don't mind if your rates are decreased as long as certain parties don't get increased. I heard the retailers say, you can raise our rates. We don't care, which is -- I think that's a great stance.

But I don't know how under that situation that the PSC could put -- encumber you to do anything and say, okay, well, you have to keep this many jobs, or you have to invest this much. I just don't know where that could be done in a case like this. And I would love someone to tell me where that can happen.

And I appreciate you've been very
forthright. You have not committed to anything. You said here's what we want to do. And I appreciate that, because I'm a small businessman. I don't want to tell you how to run your business.

And I don't know that anybody here on the Commission wants to tell you how to run your business. We want you to run your business. You're going to do it a whole lot better than anybody else because you know what you're doing and we don't, and you know your problems.

But \(I\) don't know how this Commission can do what OPC wants and put these restrictions on you and say -- under this case, under this type of case that we're at before us today.

So anyway, that's just maybe more of a comment. But I appreciate you being here. I appreciate your testimony.

COMMISSIONER W. KENNEY: Judge, I'm done.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall. QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER HALL:
Q. Good evening. Looking through your surrebuttal testimony, you make the case that Apollo Management saved the New Madrid smelter when they purchased a controlling interest back in 2006; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Could you explain what exactly Apollo did that saved the smelter?
A. The first was they -- first was that they actually purchased the smelter. The Xstrata when they purchased, my understanding is that these assets just weren't big enough for them to be interested in, so they put them up for sale. They had one other bidder, and my understanding is that the price that they were -- that they offered they weren't willing to sell it for.

Apollo came in, bought the smelter, and owned the smelter at the time when the global great recession hit and the ice storm hit. And so my conclusion is based on a very simple fact set. We know the first bidder,
```

and we know that they were in a -- more of a mood
to sell during that period of time than to buy or
hold.

```
Q. So you believe that because that was the only viable bidder, had it not made the purchase, then the smelter would have been --
A. And who's going to buy a smelter that's three-quarters shut down?
Q. So it would have been shuttered, in other words?
A. That's my -- that's my view, yes.
Q. And you would have tried to sell off pieces to the extent you could, but it would cease functioning as a smelter?
A. I believe that -- I believe that's what would have happened, yes.
Q. And is -- and if -- if the relief you seek here is not provided to you by the Commission, it's my understanding that there's a substantial likelihood of imminent closure again?
A. That's correct.
Q. And I believe that you had a -- you answered a couple of questions from the Chairman about you have a management team that is putting a plan in place to look at possible downsizing. Is
都
.
```

this management team also putting together a plan
for what would happen if this relief is not
granted?

```
            A. That's --
            Q. And does this need to go into --
            MR. DOWNEY: Judge, I think this
    needs to be in-camera. Sorry.
    JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. I'm
    getting used to pushing the button by now.
    (REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an
    in-camera session was held, which is contained in
    Volume 6, page 428 of the transcript.)

BY COMMISSIONER HALL:
```

Q. I believe in cross-examination from
Ameren they referenced a \$31 million --
A. Yes.
Q. -- amount. Is that accurate?
A. It is.
Q. And that $\$ 31$ million was received over what time period?
A. As soon as we -- from the initiation
of their purchase to the time that we went public.
Q. And you went public when?
A. May of 2010 .
Q. So they're no longer receiving management fees?

```
A. That's correct.
Q. Following up on a couple of questions from other Commissioners, I believe you said that you did not believe that the General Assembly was the proper audience for a discussion on how to fix what ails Noranda?
A. That's correct, because it's -because it's a power-related issue.
Q. And so I believe you also said that you yourself and no one that you're aware of has had specific conversations with members of the
```

General Assembly along those lines; is that
correct?
A. I have not, and we have been very
focused on this process as the right process.
Q. And should the Commission not grant
the relief you seek, would -- do you envision
having those discussions?
A. It is certainly one of the options
that we would have to take a look at.
Q. My understanding is that the
New Madrid smelter has the fourth highest
electricity rate of the nine in the United States?
A. Currently, yes.
Q. So there are three that have higher
rates, and how are those three able to sustain
themselves with rates that look substantially
higher than what Noranda's currently paying?
A. We're -- maybe I can just
characterize the difference between us and those
organizations. They're part of larger companies,
so we don't know what type of support or
economic -- economic or otherwise they get from
their headquarters.
But we're a single mine, a single
refinery, a single smelter in a downstream

```
business. So we have to make it without support
    from anywhere else.
    Q. So in other words, you don't know?
    A. I don't know, that's correct.
    COMMISSIONER HALL: I think that's
    all I have. Thank you.
        JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp?
    In-camera?
        COMMISSIONER RUPP: Yes.
            (REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an
    in-camera session was held, which is contained in
        Volume 6, pages 432 through 442 of the transcript.)
        *
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JUDGE WOODRUFF: We're back in
regular session.
QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER RUPP:
Q. When Apollo came on the scene -- let me ask you this question. If you were to -- if you were to have your first choice to borrow money, would you go to a hedge fund equity fund or would you prefer traditional financing?
A. And I don't want this to sound like an evasive response, but those different sources of
financing are really good at what they do, and one
of -- my experience with Apollo -- this is not my
first Apollo-owned company. So again, sake of full
disclosure, I've owned five different Apollo-owned
companies. This one's become my home. I did the
first four in probably three and half to four
years. I've been six years now with Noranda.
They're suburb in my experience at
helping build sustainable companies, helping you
figure out how to -- because we were a carve out.
We didn't have our own systems to help you with
those sorts of things.

And as you saw from the management
fees, they bring extraordinary experience, but
they're pretty expensive. And so to the extent
that, you know, I was able to borrow money from
a -- for example, this capital lease transaction,
it's a smaller deal. It's not something that's in
their sweet spot and it's not something they're
going to be interested in. Frankly, we can find
somebody better, we hope.
Q. Is it fair to say that those types of
financing organizations would -- would probably
want more control or more say than a traditional
just you pay this amount of percent and --
A. You can see from the transactions
that they negotiated that they place a high premium
on influencing control. We're fortunate now we're
at a position where New York Stock Exchange has its
guidelines, which require us to have an independent
board, so we do. And so we are -- we're no longer
in a position where we are a controlled company.
Q. And was there any conversations when
Apollo first came on the scene that subject to the
infusion of cash into purchasing, that they would
want a dividend to be declared within the first
month of ownership?
A. You know, I have -- I didn't come
until the following March, so that all happened
before I got there. I just honestly don't know.
Q. Back to what $I$ was asking you about and you were talking about the rate shift to the other parties if this were to be granted. Would Noranda be amenable if the Legislature created an incentive program that only dealt with Class 1 energy users, which you're the only class, as an economic development benefit and you received, you know, basically reductions or cash or tax credits or something to offset your power costs that would be spread across all taxpayers of the state for your economic development impact?

Would you be amenable to something of that nature or do you think it needs to come directly from -- directly from your power provider?
A. We're very focused on this particular process. If we're not successful here, obviously you would look at other avenues, but this is a -this is a power issue. We really believe it should be a power solution. We really believe that this is the right place to craft the most sustainable solution.
Q. Do you really believe it is a power issue or is it an access to capital, you know, debt securities issue?
A. Oh, there's no doubt we need to

```
reduce our debt as well, but we're not going to be
able to do that without a sustainable cost
structure. We can reduce our debt all we want, but
without sustainable power costs, we're going to
be -- we're going to fall into the same game as the
2 3 \text { that have already gone out in the U.S.}
```

    Q. And of the nine that are left, I
    believe someone from your company testified at the
public hearing that there are only two smelter
plants that do not receive some type of state
economic development benefit from their particular
states, Missouri being one. That was just a rank
and file employee, so $I$ want to verify if that was
correct.
A. I would defer the answer to that
question to Mr. Fayne. He'll testify to that.
COMMISSIONER RUPP: Okay. Thank you.
Judge, I believe that's all the questions I have.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Stoll.
FURTHER QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER STOLL:
Q. Just one quick question. In what
year did Apollo purchase Noranda?
A. 2007 .
Q. Okay. So that was before the ice
storm and all that, right?

17 tomorrow, and I'm just wondering, what's the outlook as far as cross-examination for those
witnesses? Can you give me some idea?

MR. LOWERY: From Ameren's
perspective, the outlook is we don't have extensive
cross for most of them. Russ, I know you have a
moderate amount for Mr. Fayne, I believe. We
really don't have all that much for most of them,
25 nothing even approaching what we've had today.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: That is encouraging. MR. LOWERY: Since I was the
examiner, maybe it didn't reflect very well.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: I do want to say
that we do need to finish tomorrow because there's
just really no other way to reschedule any other
days of this hearing. So just be aware that we may
be here even later tomorrow night if we don't get
moving here. That's all $I$ want to say.
MR. THOMPSON: We'll look over our
cross, Judge, and see what we can cross out.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: I appreciate that.
Maybe Noranda made a run for it.
(AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WAS
HELD.)
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. We're back on
the record, then, and we are now ready for recross
based on questions from the Bench, beginning with
MIEC.
MR. DOWNEY: No questions.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Retailers?
RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHWARZ:
Q. Mr. Smith, you were asked a number of
questions by Commissioners Robert Kenney, Bill
Kenney and I believe Commissioner Stoll about
seeking some kind of relief or solution in the
Legislature, and your response to those questions
indicated that it was principally a power issue.
But in point of fact, isn't there also a practical
matter with trying to get that kind of legislation
for Noranda in the General Assembly?
A. I believe that there would be.
Q. And what would you consider those
issues to be?
A. I think it would be very difficult
for there to be legislation passed to support
Noranda in an activity like this.
That being said, we certainly believe
that we have a lot of Senators and Representatives
th at support us, but we believe it would
fundamentally be very difficult for us to get any
form of relief through the Legislature.
Q. Why?
A. We believe that Ameren would oppose
us in the Legislature.
Q. Have you had any specific contacts or
discussions with Ameren that would lead you to that
conclusion?
A. I have.
Q. And what was the tenor of those
conversations?
A. They were -- it was made very clear
to me that -- that they would oppose us in this
forum or that one. It was -- it was a difficult
conversation.
Q. And that was with senior people at
Ameren?
A. That's correct.
Q. And as has been noted, there are a
number of former legislators on the Commission. Is
it safe to say that the antagonistic positions
between Noranda and Ameren is reasonably well known
in the Legislature?
A. I believe that's true. I believe
that's true.
Q. Did Noranda support legislation to
get funding for the Office of Public Counsel
similar to that of the Commission in the past?
A. I believe the answer to that is yes.
Q. And on that specific issue, was
Ameren -- Ameren's opposition significant in
killing the bill, in your opinion?
A. You mean in the past?
Q. Yes.
A. I believe it was not a bill that was
well received by the utilities.
Q. And I'll ask again just to make clear. You had discussions with senior Ameren management that indicated that there would be opposition to this particular type of relief?
A. Here?
Q. Both here and the General Assembly?
A. Specifically -- specifically here.
Q. Thank you.
A. Maybe I should -- let me say it more
accurately. I think just specifically that they
indicated to us that we would not get their support
in the strongest of terms.
MR. SCHWARZ: Thank you.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right.
Consumers Council?
MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Wal-Mart?
MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No questions, your
Honor.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: River Cement?
MS. LANGENECKERT: No questions.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Public Counsel?
MR. POSTON: No questions.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: Staff?

MR. OPITZ: No questions.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Continental Cement?

Ameren?

MR. LOWERY: A few, but I don't believe too many, your Honor. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Do you still have Exhibit 129 there,

```
Mr. Smith? I'm sorry. 124?
```

A. I'm sure I do.
Q. Starting to lose focus.

MR. LOWERY: Yeah, it is HC, your
Honor, so we'll have to go in-camera.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 129?

MR. LOWERY: 124.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: We'll go in-camera then.
(REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an
in-camera session was held, which is contained in

Volume 6, pages 453 through 459 of the transcript.)

JUDGE WOODRUFF: And we are back in
regular session.

BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Have you located that exhibit?
A. 127?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Could you turn to page 6, please.

Now, I know you weren't with Noranda at this time, but you know who George Swogger was, do you not?
A. Yes. I never met him, unfortunately, by I know who he was.
Q. George passed away a few years ago unexpectedly, right?
A. Right, before I met him. Before I had a chance to meet him.
Q. And George's job, Mr. Swogger's job -- I call him George because he was such a congenial guy that it just felt better to call him George than Mr. Swogger. But Mr. Swogger's job for Noranda was, really his sole job was power procurement. That's what he did, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And on page 6 of his testimony, and this is testimony from the 2005 case where Noranda
became a customer of Ameren Missouri, page 6 from lines 10 to 13, Mr. Swogger was answering the question, why have you chosen AmerenUE as your supplier? And part of his answer was this: Service under a regulated rate can be a plus. It ensures some oversight of AmerenUE, and I expect that Noranda would receive fair treatment in future rate proceedings with rates that reflect the cost of the service provided to Noranda. That's what he said, right?
A. Yes.
Q. As opposed to the proposal you're making in this case which is not to pay rates that actually reflect the cost that Ameren incurs to serve you, right?
A. Correct. This would be a load retention rate.
Q. I want to -- I want to make sure something's clear on the record, and I think it may be -- Commissioner Stoll asked you a clarifying question. Commissioner Hall was asking you questions about why do you contend that Apollo saved Noranda, and you were explaining the bidding and so on and so forth.

Well, let me ask it this way: First
of all, Apollo acquired a majority of Noranda's
stock, in 2007, right, in a leverage buyout?
A. That's correct.
Q. And as Commissioner Stoll pointed out, the ice storm occurred in 2009, right?
A. Correct.
Q. So when you -- but I believe in one of your answers to Commissioner Hall's questions, that you made reference to a smelter that was three-fourths shut down and you said, who's going to buy it? Do you remember that?
A. That's correct.
Q. You weren't meaning to suggest that the ice storm had anything to do one way or the other with Apollo buying or not buying the smelter, were you?
A. No. That's correct. I was not suggesting that.
Q. And, in fact, if we can go back to -we can go back to --

MR. LOWERY: I'm sorry, your Honor.

I should have realized this, but I have to go
in-camera again. This is my last question or last couple questions.
(REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an

```
1 in-camera session was held, which is contained in
2 Volume 6, pages 464 through 486 of the transcript.)
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JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. We are

```
back in regular session. You might want to reask
```

your question. It kind of got lost here.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DOWNEY:
Q. Several of the Commissioners asked
you whether Noranda explored or considered a
legislative solution. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Schwarz asked you about
discussions with Ameren based -- with Ameren as
well. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. And based on your discussions with
Ameren senior management, do you think that Ameren
would demand some type of adverse legislation in
exchange for not opposing a legislative power
solution?
MR. LOWERY: Calls for speculation.
I object.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: I didn't understand
the question either. If you'd rephrase your
question.
BY MR. DOWNEY:
Q. Based on your discussions with senior management, do you think Ameren Missouri would

```
demand that you make adverse, adverse to consumers,
```

demand that you make adverse, adverse to consumers,
legislative concessions in exchange for Ameren's
legislative concessions in exchange for Ameren's
support of a power rate?
support of a power rate?
MR. LOWERY: His opinion about what
MR. LOWERY: His opinion about what
Ameren might demand is speculation.
Ameren might demand is speculation.
MR. DOWNEY: It's based on his
MR. DOWNEY: It's based on his
discussions with senior management.
discussions with senior management.
MR. LOWERY: That's not the question
MR. LOWERY: That's not the question
that was asked. I object. It calls for
that was asked. I object. It calls for
speculation.
speculation.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'm going to sustain
JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'm going to sustain
the objection. You can try and rephrase the
the objection. You can try and rephrase the
question if you'd like. I know it's getting late.
question if you'd like. I know it's getting late.
BY MR. DOWNEY:
BY MR. DOWNEY:
Q. All right. Mr. Smith, do you recall
a DR, a discovery request from Ameren to Noranda
asking if it engaged in any discussions or
negotiations with any party regarding such party's
position in this complaint case?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. Do you recall the answer you
gave to that DR?
A. Not in its precise words, but it was
along the line of that -- that we could secure
their support if we were to no longer participate

```
```

1 in rate cases, step out of the Legislature and
2 discontinue our participation in FERA. I believe
3 that was the response.
12 resume tomorrow at 8:30 with Mr. Harris, I believe.
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```

MR. DOWNEY: No further questions.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Then Mr. Smith, you can step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much for the opportunity to be here. We really do appreciate it.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Well, that brings us to the end for tonight. We'll resume tomorrow at 8:30 with Mr. Harris, I believe. Have a good night. We're adjourned.
(WHEREUPON, the hearing was adjourned at 9:49 p.m.)
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\hline kliethermes & 444:23,25 & 393:2 395:23 & learn 227:24 & 487:16 488:2 \\
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\hline 496:9,12 & 447:22 460:9 & larger 60:24 & lease 444:2 & 251:25 422:18 \\
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\hline 40:15 44:1,3,5 & 159:22 417:5 & 246:25 & videotaped 38:25 & 46:7 71:8,12,18 \\
\hline 46:23 166:16 & use 82:2 125:4 & variable 56:17,18 & view 61:12 76:12 & 71:22 72:6,17 \\
\hline 344:1 430:12 & 129:8 140:2 & 56:19,21,23 & 107:14 125:2 & 73:7,14 74:10 \\
\hline units 216:24 & 150:25 161:18 & 78:4 79:14 82:5 & 183:9 201:25 & 74:12,16,21 \\
\hline 398:4 & 162:6 207:7 & 107:8 228:3 & 207:10 328:6 & 76:3,15,19,20 \\
\hline unjust 99:19 & 221:23 234:12 & 242:20 & 363:25 395:1 & 79:3 136:23 \\
\hline 140:22 & 306:14 364:17 & variables 142:24 & 426:11 & 147:8 148:10 \\
\hline unknown 84:24 & 369:21 & variety \(33: 16\) & viewed 61:2 & 490:2 \\
\hline unprecedented & useful 142:6 & 63:24 219:7 & 218:12 & vuylstekes \\
\hline 137:10 & user 128:11 & 307:8 & violates 179:1 & 140:24 \\
\hline unpredictable & users 40:10 & various 49:6 & 180:19 182:10 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline W & 206:7,18,21 & 158:6 164:13 & 45:18 49:14 & 105:16 203:25 \\
\hline w 25:1 \(29: 8\) & 209:5,6,16 & 166:3 181:10 & 58:22 69:23 & 204:4,16 \\
\hline 101:17 111:25 & 225:6 228:9 & 196:25 202:18 & 70:10,25 & win 105:22 \\
\hline 112:6 135:19 & 233:13 250:16 & 202:19 207:16 & 127:17 128:5 & windings 40:9 \\
\hline 418:14,16 & 250:19 251:10 & 209:12 223:16 & 159:2 196:10 & winners 160:23 \\
\hline 425:1 490:12 & 251:17 305:8 & 243:23 252:22 & 206:6 207:2 & winter 59:11 \\
\hline 497:9 & 319:17,19,23 & 333:22 343:19 & 215:17 220:18 & 60:6,17 \\
\hline wages 46:18 & 319:24 332:6 & 347:1 367:12 & 220:24 221:9 & wire 45:25 \\
\hline 374:6 & 377:6 385:15 & 413:14 414:15 & 224:15 226:5 & wish 32:24 35:10 \\
\hline wait 134:6 166:5 & 414:24 422:10 & 420:14 448:6 & 226:10 244:6 & 123:12 \\
\hline 244:11,11 & 424:10,12,15 & 461:25 462:14 & 344:4 365:20 & wishes 137:19 \\
\hline waiting 304:2 & 443:9 444:9,21 & wayne 493:9 & 376:3 393:14 & withdraw 182:12 \\
\hline 447:10 & 446:3,13 448:4 & ways \(93: 17\) & 393:18,19,20 & 311:13 \\
\hline waive \(30: 9,9\) & 448:9 461:18 & weak 53:10 54:4 & 394:18 397:1 & witness \(32: 12\) \\
\hline 31:15 331:14 & 461:18 487:2 & weather 50:21 & 420:24 447:25 & 35:25 58:14 \\
\hline waived 172:11 & wanted 65:18 & 51:12 60:15 & whatnot 309:11 & 71:21 76:12 \\
\hline 252:6,11 & 72:7 133:20 & 75:2,13 111:9 & whats 83:4,5,5 & 113:23,24 \\
\hline walk 43:19 & 165:25 167:25 & 149:15 & 86:22 110:15 & 125:9,10 133:9 \\
\hline 151:15 & 168:4 171:20 & webpage 246:12 & 136:1 159:9 & 137:15 141:11 \\
\hline wall 245:2 & 172:7 199:13 & 496:15 & 166:12 181:8 & 155:25 171:12 \\
\hline 249:24 496:13 & 222:12 225:1 & website 151:17 & 181:12 211:5 & 174:14 176:2 \\
\hline wallingford & 251:1 330:14 & 202:24 247:20 & 317:18 327:9 & 179:8 200:9 \\
\hline 493:10 & wanting 166:23 & wed 31:8 199:14 & 361:13 392:18 & 213:23 252:10 \\
\hline walmart 25:22 & 423:16,19 & week 49:22 & 447:17 & 370:12 372:21 \\
\hline 30:1,4,19 37:1 & wants 96:7 137:6 & 133:15 177:21 & wheeler 25:19 & 386:24 387:5 \\
\hline 64:14 123:13 & 138:7 144:4 & 183:18 229:17 & wherewithal & 398:15 415:19 \\
\hline 123:21,24,25 & 237:6 304:1 & weeks 306:25 & 105:16 & 421:13 422:3 \\
\hline 124:2,4,15,19 & 415:8 424:14 & welcome 99:6 & white 216:20 & 447:15 452:13 \\
\hline 125:6,8,18,25 & 424:20 & 169:9 & whitney \(26: 8\) & 489:7 \\
\hline 203:14 451:18 & warranted 74 & welfare 1 & 28:14 & witnesses 31:13 \\
\hline walmarts 124:8 & warren 202:9 & wellmeaning & whos 80:25 160:8 & 31:15,20 34:25 \\
\hline 124:14 125:1 & wasnt 148:23 & 139:16 & 160:13 426:7 & 35:2,3 58:4 \\
\hline 127:7 497:7 & 311:3 & wells 83:2 & 462:10 & 71:14 75:25 \\
\hline want 34:20 37:9 & watch 393:5 & wendy \(24: 13\) & william 23:19 & 106:13 128:5 \\
\hline 42:24 53:4 58:7 & watching 46:8 & 28:1 136:21 & 493:14,16 & 133:19 134:3 \\
\hline 58:12 59:24,24 & water 83:17,19 & went 42:8 66:20 & willing 159:11 & 145:4 251:21 \\
\hline 60:4,23 68:3 & 140:11 167:2 & 69:17 79:4 84:7 & 204:10 205:19 & 252:6 447:16 \\
\hline 71:13,14 78:23 & way 33:13 39:19 & 145:6 173:7 & 207:19 208:10 & 447:19 \\
\hline 80:4,5,9 85:19 & 42:16 44:2,3,5 & 205:25 206:2 & 210:18 219:19 & wondering 84:17 \\
\hline 96:8 101:20 & 46:23 69:13 & 215:19 222:20 & 219:23 220:13 & 168:15 447:17 \\
\hline 103:19 129:10 & 82:8 84:14 98:6 & 313:1,8 320:20 & 221:21 226:2 & wont 91:24 \\
\hline 134:8,18 & 104:3 110:23 & 369:19 395:8 & 226:17 228:1 & 225:19 227:18 \\
\hline 138:18 151:15 & 112:19 115:8 & 429:10,11 & 335:15,19 & 245:19 369:17 \\
\hline 162:21 163:22 & 121:8,10 & west 94:12 & 336:14 413:4 & wood 202:9 \\
\hline 169:5 170:8 & 126:25 127:4 & weve 40:18,19,22 & 413:12 425:20 & woodruff \(23: 17\) \\
\hline 184:2 205:8,10 & 151:24 157:13 & 40:23 41:3 & willingness & 27:7,23 28:11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 28:20,24 29:4,7 & 317:5,8,14 & 206:17 208:19 & X & 39:17,18,19 \\
\hline 29:11,16,19,22 & 318:17,20,24 & 209:12 229:8 & x 490:1 & 40:13 41:2 45:6 \\
\hline 30:1,6 32:24 & 319:3,6,10,15 & 251:16 418:1 & xstrata 425:14 & 47:25 51:3 \\
\hline 33:2,25 34:17 & 320:9,15 326:3 & worked 39:18 & & 59:20 60:15 \\
\hline 35:10 36:2,18 & 332:23 333:1 & 69:22 169:4 & Y & 64:22 69:23 \\
\hline 37:5 71:11 72:4 & 339:11 346:15 & workers 43:7 & y 23:20 & 76:9,14 84:7,8 \\
\hline 74:9 76:18,21 & 346:18 348:13 & 141:2 & yards 209:15 & 84:8 116:8 \\
\hline 77:1 78:18 81:9 & 348:16,22 & workforce & yeah 102:9 & 117:19 122:21 \\
\hline 85:1,4,7 99:4 & 361:1,5,8 364:8 & 157:23 374:13 & 168:14 177:10 & 123:18 128:10 \\
\hline 101:15 103:15 & 364:11 365:14 & working 43:12 & 208:12 209:22 & 128:18 137:8 \\
\hline 105:5,8 108:23 & 369:7,10,15 & 139:18 169:5 & 322:10 323:8 & 144:19 172:12 \\
\hline 112:7113:10 & 370:3,6,14,17 & 215:8 374:1 & 326:17 330:11 & 172:17 183:14 \\
\hline 114:21 119:13 & 371:2 372:13 & 415:6 & 333:22 334:7 & 209:9 210:21 \\
\hline 121:23 122:1 & 372:16 373:12 & works 39:7 & 386:15,22,24 & 212:25 215:6,9 \\
\hline 123:8 127:15 & 373:15 385:3,6 & 143:14 156:25 & 397:17 398:15 & 215:17,25 \\
\hline 127:17,22 & 387:6 392:1 & workshop 44:16 & 413:22 417:7 & 216:3 220:8,10 \\
\hline 128:2 129:2 & 398:17 413:1 & 44:25 45:5 & 417:16 420:10 & 226:22 227:2 \\
\hline 133:11,23 & 415:17 416:1 & world 393:7,21 & 452:11 & 239:24 241:16 \\
\hline 134:5 135:16 & 418:13 425:3 & worlds 151:18 & year 39:21 41:6 & 248:2 305:22 \\
\hline 135:18,21,23 & 427:8 431:7 & worldwide & 44:5 57:12,14 & 307:24 308:1,7 \\
\hline 136:9,13,15 & 443:1 446:19 & 151:20 & 57:17,23 59:14 & 313:5,21 314:9 \\
\hline 164:20 166:19 & 447:4,9 448:1,4 & worry 117:24 & 60:11 62:12 & 337:19 376:4 \\
\hline 169:10,12 & 448:12,16,21 & worse 65:5 77:24 & 70:20 82:6 & 397:4 415:10 \\
\hline 171:18,19 & 451:15,18,21 & 115:19 116:1 & 128:16 130:10 & 417:15 418:6 \\
\hline 172:1 174:5,10 & 451:23,25 & 122:24 123:2 & 130:15,17 & 443:17,17 \\
\hline 174:15 176:4,8 & 452:2,15 460:1 & 144:16 149:20 & 131:10 149:10 & 460:13 \\
\hline 176:12,16 & 487:1,20 & worth 224:6 & 149:10,17,23 & уep 321:19 \\
\hline 179:13,23 & 488:11 489:5 & 240:10 333:17 & 154:1,3 161:13 & 385:22 \\
\hline 180:1,21 184:1 & 489:10 & wouldnt 120:9 & 205:15,16 & york 151:19 \\
\hline 184:6,10,16,21 & woodruffs & 197:17 204:14 & 207:2,3 210:16 & 223:23 225:8 \\
\hline 184:23 185:3 & 367:17 & 219:23 242:8 & 211:18 212:16 & 332:9,11,14 \\
\hline 195:1,6 198:3,7 & word 42:12 & 245:18,20 & 214:10,25,25 & 444:14 \\
\hline 198:15 200:7 & 134:25 140:24 & 246:1,17 & 215:3 216:4 & youd 168:24 \\
\hline 201:13,19,21 & 140:25 196:10 & 308:24 334:23 & 217:7,13 & 242:12 487:21 \\
\hline 202:2,13,21 & 312:10 & 396:19 415:14 & 219:13 224:7 & 488:13 \\
\hline 203:9,13,17,19 & worded 33:24 & 420:23 & 307:4,19 & youll 44:21 53:7 \\
\hline 229:11 232:6 & words 316:11 & wrd2 155:24 & 328:13 329:6 & 90:18,19 94:15 \\
\hline 241:5 244:15 & 426:10 431:3 & write 135:25 & 329:18 335:25 & 123:25 125:4 \\
\hline 244:18,21 & 488:23 & 414:4 & 348:2 361:21 & 125:19 160:23 \\
\hline 246:6 249:15 & work 39:5,6,9,20 & written 180:7 & 363:25 374:9 & 160:24 162:14 \\
\hline 249:17,20,24 & 41:9 42:15,16 & 413:9 414:1 & 414:13,13 & 341:3,7 416:20 \\
\hline 250:3,10,14 & 44:6 45:10 & wrong 163:9 & 417:12 419:19 & 416:21 \\
\hline 251:5,9 252:12 & 69:24 70:7,21 & 177:20 252:7 & 419:20,22 & youre 40:12,15 \\
\hline 252:24 304:1 & 71:4 78:3 & 338:21 420:10 & 446:22 & 41:23 44:18 \\
\hline 304:11,20,25 & 174:22,23 & 420:17 & yearly 46:12 & 74:17,19 \\
\hline 305:3,10,12 & 177:8 206:16 & & years 37:13,17 & 120:17 121:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 158:4 163:18 & 026 166:24 & 10hc 491:21 & 148:11 223:18 & 13 26:9 28:17 \\
\hline 164:13 165:18 & 04 172:25 395:8 & 10k 304:25 305:5 & 223:18 245:3 & 48:1 148:5 \\
\hline 169:9 212:20 & 070 35:7 & 305:16 306:3 & 306:11,16,17 & 239:21 306:11 \\
\hline 214:5 230:21 & & 338:9 339:4 & 306:21 330:6 & 306:17,22 \\
\hline 231:6 237:14 & 1 & 494:20,22 & 330:13,16 & 313:10,10 \\
\hline 238:19 240:15 & \(136: 24\) 42:9 & 10ks 324:7 338:1 & 331:6 492:1 & 461:2 492:3 \\
\hline 240:25 242:22 & 107:23 109:4 & 10m 126:16,18 & 496:13 & 495:13 \\
\hline 246:19 310:5 & 130:11 138:18 & 10q 339:24 347:8 & 120339:11,12,15 & 130hc 495:22 \\
\hline 313:2,17 & 151:22,22 & 347:15 495:5 & 339:20,20 & 136 490:6 \\
\hline 314:11 329:23 & 153:2 156:13 & 11 127:20 131:12 & 346:14,15,20 & 138 48:1 \\
\hline 333:19 338:20 & 173:14 174:8 & 306:16,17 & 495:2 & 13th 371:3 \\
\hline 344:16,19 & 175:6 176:2,4 & 327:14 335:25 & \(121326: 16,17\) & 14 54:2 67:19 \\
\hline 347:20 361:8 & 176:10 177:7,7 & 343:8,15 & 339:15,20,24 & 147:4 306:23 \\
\hline 365:14 414:9 & 182:5,17 & 491:23 & 346:24 347:7 & 331:18 362:3,7 \\
\hline 415:11,12,17 & 245:25 343:11 & 110 494:10 & 347:13,21 & 367:11 371:3 \\
\hline 416:12 418:5 & 362:3 377:1 & 111 24:18 494:11 & 348:12,13,18 & 394:11 \\
\hline 419:14,17 & 395:8 445:5 & 112 494:14 & 495:4 & 141 124:4 \\
\hline 423:19 424:16 & 10 48:7,21 56:20 & 113 494:16 & 122he 495:6 & 147345:7 346:3 \\
\hline 424:17 429:24 & 56:22 217:12 & \(114322: 21,24\) & 123 361:5,6,13,18 & 14he 492:5 \\
\hline 445:6 461:12 & 306:17 328:12 & 490:4 494:18 & 363:1 364:7,8 & 1531:13 172:12 \\
\hline youve 50:10 & 461:2 495:7 & 115 304:23,25 & 364:13 376:10 & 395:18,19 \\
\hline 103:7 110:22 & \(10036: 2595: 1,8\) & 305:14,24 & 376:16 377:8 & 492:7 \\
\hline 124:12 134:20 & 113:21,22 & 318:24 319:3,8 & 490:4 495:8 & 150 40:23 50:7 \\
\hline 139:9 143:17 & 152:17 158:1 & 322:24 337:23 & \(124365: 11,12,17\) & 130:17 152:18 \\
\hline 169:8 200:23 & 170:4 211:17 & 338:5 339:5 & 365:19 366:9 & 151 153:2 \\
\hline 207:20 313:4 & 211:20 214:9 & 494:20 & 452:8,14 & 15285287 494:19 \\
\hline 313:20 330:1 & 214:14,18,22 & \(116304: 23\) 305:3 & 124he 369:7 & 158 46:19 \\
\hline 361:16 362:14 & 215:2 218:6 & 305:10,15 & 370:2,3,8 & 159 151:20 \\
\hline 375:15 413:21 & 219:3,19 305:6 & 306:3 318:24 & 495:10 & 15th 338:25 \\
\hline 419:3 421:11 & 307:19 314:6 & 319:3,8 337:23 & 125370:24 371:2 & 16 23:6 239:22 \\
\hline 424:8 & 322:2 374:9 & 338:5,7,24 & 371:10,13 & 343:9 492:9 \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(493: 13\) \\
10124.9 \\
\hline 193.15
\end{tabular} & 494:21 & 372:12,13,18 & 494:2 \\
\hline Z & \(10124: 9\) 493:15 & 117317:5,6,19 & 385:2 495:12 & 165 151:22,22 \\
\hline zero 118:22 & 102 493:17 & 318:1,16,17,22 & 126371:3 372:22 & 210:5 \\
\hline 152:24 316:22 & 102hc 493:17 & 494:23 & 373:17 495:14 & 16th 53:25 180:8 \\
\hline 334:9 & 103 493:19 & 118326:3,4 & 127371:4 373:17 & 1746:20 68:19 \\
\hline 0 & 104 493:21 & 327:10,23 & 460:5 490:5 & 227:2 239:3 \\
\hline 00 122:18 & \(10536: 2540: 20\) & 332:22,23 & 495:16 & 249:5 492:11 \\
\hline 000 46:22 90:3 & 671:1 490:3 & 333:3 494:24 & \(128370: 25\) 371:5 & 170 153:9 \\
\hline 107:23 130:17 & & \(119326: 3,4\) & 371:11 372:22 & 174 490:8 \\
\hline 130:17,21 & 107 224:6 494:4 & 329:1,9,10,15 & 373:18 495:18 & 175 128:16 \\
\hline 156:14 177:7 & 108 322:15 & 329:16 332:22 & 129452:7,13 & \(176490: 9\) 491:5 \\
\hline 177:15 328:12 & 323:10,15 & 332:23 & \begin{tabular}{l}
129hc 495:20 \\
12hour 39:6
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 491:6,8,10 } \\
\mathbf{1 8} \text { 131:9 169:19 }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 374:9 & 494:5 & 12 62:11 82:16 & 12month 59:14 & 169:24 170:1 \\
\hline 025 167:6 & 109 494:7 & 130:25 147:19 & 12th 147:18 & 228:4 245:23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 344:9 363:8 & 333:23 338:15 & 429:12 & 417:16 & 23rd 148:18 \\
\hline 492:13 & 338:16,16,16 & 2011 305:25 & 2025 245:15,24 & 24 62:20 130:10 \\
\hline 180 148:8 & 338:25 339:1,1 & 306:9 338:10 & 2029 57:6 & 342:15,18 \\
\hline 186 185:7 & 339:2 341:8 & 340:2,4 347:9 & 203 490:10 & 492:24 \\
\hline 1865 363:7,8 & 342:25 343:11 & 347:15,23 & 496:22 & 244 496:14 \\
\hline 187 490:17 & 345:7 346:3 & 419:18 & 203he 496:8 & 249 496:14 \\
\hline 18th 151:21 & 347:13,15,21 & 2012 54:12 61:19 & 204 27:2 177:4 & 24th 339:1,1,2 \\
\hline 1939:19 40:21 & 347:23 377:1 & 62:11 92:10 & 177:14 496:11 & 25 39:17 152:3,4 \\
\hline 45:6 69:22 & 415:13 417:11 & 305:10,16 & 205 177:5 244:22 & 152:9 169:16 \\
\hline 311:24 312:17 & 491:6 495:1,7 & 306:3 338:2,13 & 244:23 249:15 & 216:1 316:25 \\
\hline 329:8 492:15 & \(2039: 18\) 60:15 & 338:23 494:22 & 249:16,22,24 & 318:5 493:1 \\
\hline 494:4 & 222:9,9 237:14 & 2013 46:23 50:3 & 496:13 & 254 253:4 490:17 \\
\hline 190 210:17 & 237:18 249:14 & 53:25 60:6 & 206 246:7,8 & 2592543 24:5 \\
\hline 1901 24:14 28:2 & 313:10 367:12 & 61:20 148:17 & 249:15,16,22 & 26 493:3 \\
\hline 1903 129:19 & 492:16 & 178:17 180:8 & 249:25 496:15 & 260 62:21 494:3 \\
\hline 191 148:18 & 200 24:18 26:3 & 182:4 305:1,16 & 21 147:2 313:10 & 494:4,6,9,10,13 \\
\hline 1931 140:11 & 26:11 27:2 50:7 & 305:25 307:10 & 367:12 492:18 & 494:15 \\
\hline 166:14 & 130:2,17 322:7 & 325:24 338:1 & 211 24:4 27:21 & 27362:7 363:10 \\
\hline 1934 166:11 & 322:12 325:6 & 339:4,6 361:20 & 214 151:23 152:1 & 493:5 495:9 \\
\hline 194 185:7 & 496:2 & 494:6,8,20 & 152:5 169:15 & 496:3,7,12,19 \\
\hline 195 490:9 & 2003 167:5 & 495:11 & 315:22 316:14 & 276 494:3,4,6,9 \\
\hline 196 148:16 & 172:25 & 2014 23:6 49:25 & 317:1 318:4 & 494:10,13,15 \\
\hline 1997 40:19,21 & 2004 173:2 & 52:4 60:7,17 & 320:21 325:1 & \(2846: 21\) 68:17 \\
\hline 45:14 71:2 & 374:13 & 147:18 148:21 & 334:4 & 211:24 343:4 \\
\hline 19th 148:13 & 2005 65:6 173:3 & 181:6 211:24 & \(216318: 7\) 334:11 & 347:4 493:7 \\
\hline 1he 491:4 & 173:7 460:25 & 306:22 307:19 & 22 40:20 56:20 & 282 494:17 \\
\hline 1st 61:20 494:14 & 2006 130:1 425:8 & 327:14 365:25 & 56:22 71:2 & 285 325:17 \\
\hline & 2007 151:21 & 366:6,15,16,23 & 128:16 333:14 & 28th 329:6,17 \\
\hline 2 & 202:9 315:14 & 367:11,13 & 333:21,22 & 29 36:24 215:13 \\
\hline \(242: 9\) 55:1 92:15 & 323:21 327:4 & 369:19,22 & 362:3 395:14 & 493:9 \\
\hline 126:19 137:10 & 334:5 446:23 & 377:12,16 & 395:16 492:20 & 29th 339:1 \\
\hline 138:18 144:19 & 462:2 & 394:20 494:3,4 & 494:10 & 29year 92:7 \\
\hline 151:23 152:1,5 & 2008 43:15,15 & 494:10,12,14 & 220 152:7 318:6 & \\
\hline 156:13 175:9 & 152:15,17 & 494:17 495:13 & 320:19,25 & 3 \\
\hline 176:4,10 177:4 & 170:4 222:7 & 2014361364 & \(22124: 9\) 29:24 & 3 40:6 42:6 46:17 \\
\hline 177:14,15 & 240:11 317:13 & 495:9 & 223 120:13,17,25 & 53:10 66:22 \\
\hline 182:20 235:10 & 317:21 322:2 & 2015 50:2 54:10 & \(223026: 3\) & 122:6,6 128:17 \\
\hline 235:13,15,16 & 395:18 & 361:22 363:13 & 228 130:8 & 130:21 156:15 \\
\hline 235:19 236:11 & 2009 41:25 67:13 & 2018 180:16 & 23 40:14 45:16 & 167:5 175:12 \\
\hline 236:20,23 & 205:25 373:25 & 307:20 367:13 & 47:1 50:22 & 176:4,10 \\
\hline 237:1,4,8 & 374:14,15,16 & 369:20 & 74:14 199:14 & 239:21 250:7 \\
\hline 239:19,25 & 462:5 & 201he 496:4 & 227:16 326:17 & 250:15 306:7 \\
\hline 241:4,11 & 2010 65:17 67:18 & 202 496:6 497:3 & 333:14 338:8 & 327:19,23 \\
\hline 242:25 243:4 & 306:4,6 322:6 & 2020 73:8 172:13 & 338:19,24 & 333:8,17 \\
\hline 243:12,12 & 323:25 347:3,4 & 172:17,18 & 446:6 492:22 & 338:10,15,25 \\
\hline 318:4 333:10 & 347:5,25 & 173:14,23 & 231 347:10 & 343:9 494:19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 495:7 & 33 48:22 57:2 & 38 46:24 211:25 & 4433141 24:19 & 5566622 24:10 \\
\hline 30 27:6,12 37:13 & 66:22 326:9 & 314:23 & 448 490:14 & 573 24:10,19,23 \\
\hline 40:13 50:16 & 327:1 328:16 & 383 495:21,23 & 45 48:5 209:2 & 25:3,7,12 26:4 \\
\hline 51:5,11 52:7 & 337:10 & 384 377:23 & 215:10 318:3 & 26:12 \\
\hline 54:20 55:8,21 & 332 495:1 & 385 490:11,19 & 452 490:15 & 585 44:20 \\
\hline 56:25 74:18 & 335 39:13 & 388 387:10 & 453 452:19 & 5hc 491:11 \\
\hline 75:11 78:5 & 336 46:14 & 39 156:14 & 490:21 & \\
\hline 79:16 86:14 & 339 495:3,5 & 391 387:10 & 459 452:19 & 6 \\
\hline 107:24 122:18 & \(34131: 3,7153: 3\) & 399 398:21 & 46 130:15 149:5 & 6 46:16 48:6,16 \\
\hline 123:7,7 127:20 & 367:7 & 490:20 & 311:22 313:9 & 57:23 131:14 \\
\hline 144:17 174:8 & 340 153:10 316:7 & 3he 491:7 & 460 37:2 497:8 & 177:4 185:7 \\
\hline 195:19,20 & 346 495:3 & 3rd 30:16,23 & 464 463:2 & 253:4 306:9,18 \\
\hline 199:10 216:1 & 348 495:5 & & 466 490:22 & 322:25 323:5 \\
\hline 220:8 227:18 & 349 349:2 490:18 & 4 & \(47311: 21321: 18\) & 338:15,16,25 \\
\hline 250:7,15 310:5 & 495:7 & 4 48:6 55:1,2 & 321:19 & 339:1 344:9 \\
\hline 310:8,10 & 35 90:3 207:3 & 131:7 175:15 & 472 347:10 & 349:2 364:4 \\
\hline 331:22 335:19 & 212:16,21 & 176:2,5,10 & 48 52:24 57:12 & 367:19 377:23 \\
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