

1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 STATE OF MISSOURI 3 4 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 5 6 Evidentiary Hearing 7 November 12, 2020 Jefferson City, Missouri (WebEx) 8 9 Volume 2 10 11 12 13 In the Matter of the Application) of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy) Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri) File No. 14 West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri) EU-2020-0350 West for an Accounting Authority 15) Order Allowing the Companies to) Record and Preserve Costs Related 16) to COVID-19 Expenses) 17 18 JANA JACOBS, Presiding REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 19 RYAN A. SILVEY, Chairman 20 WILLIAM P. KENNEY, SCOTT T. RUPP, 21 MAIDA J. COLEMAN, JASON R. HOLSMAN, 22 COMMISSIONERS 23 24 REPORTED BY: Beverly Jean Bentch, CCR No. 640 25 TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

A P P E A R A N C E S

1

2	ROBERT J. HACK, Attorney at Law
	1200 Main Street
3	Kansas City, Missouri 64105 816.556.2791
4	FOR: Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West
5	KARL ZOBRIST, Attorney at Law DENTONS US LLP
6	4520 Main Street, Suite 1100
7	Kansas City, Missouri 64111 816.460.2400
8	FOR: Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West
9	JAMES M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law FISCHER & DORITY PC
	101 Madison, Suite 400
10	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 573.636.6758
11	FOR: Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West
12	DAVID WOODSMALL, Attorney at Law
13	308 E. High Street, Suite 204 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
14	573.636.6006 FOR: Midwest Energy Consumers Group
15	HENRY B. ROBERTSON, Attorney at Law
	GREAT RIVERS ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER
16	319 N. 4th Street, Suite 800 St. Louis, Missouri 63102
17	314.231.4181 FOR: Sierra Club
18	
19	KRISTIN A. HENRY, Attorney at Law 2101 Webster Street, Suite 1300
20	Oakland, California 94612 FOR: Sierra Club
21	CALEB HALL, Senior Counsel
22	200 Madison Street, Suite 650 PO Box 2230
23	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.4857
24	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
25	

1		APPEARANCES (Continued)
2		
3	JAMIE N	4YERS, Staff Counsel 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 PO Box 360
4		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 573.526.6036
5	FOR:	Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
6	DIANA N	4. PLESCIA, Attorney at Law CURTIS HEINZ GARRETT & O'KEEFE
7		130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200 St. Louis, Missouri 63105
8	FOR:	314.704.8444 Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
9		ITZ, Attorney at Law
10	IIM OP	409 Vandiver Drive Columbia, Missouri 65202
11	FOR:	573.825.1796 Renew Missouri
12		
13	ANDREW	J. LINHARES, Attorney at Law 3115 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 600 St. Louis, Missouri 63118
14	FOR:	National Housing Trust
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Opening Statement by Mr. Fischer Opening Statement by Ms. Myers	36 48
4	Opening Statement by Ms. Henry	52
5	Opening Statement by Ms. Plescia Opening Statement by Mr. Woodsmall	55 57
6	Opening Statement by Mr. Hall Opening Statement by Mr. Linhares	61 65
7	Opening Statement by Mr. Opitz	75
8	EVERGY'S EVIDENCE:	
0	CHARLES CAISLEY	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Hack Cross-Examination by Ms. Myers	80 82
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall	85
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Linhares Questions by Commissioner Rupp	93 104
± ±	Questions by Judge Jacobs	105
12	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hack	110
13	RONALD KLOTE	100
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Hack Cross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	122 124
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall	132
15	Questions by Judge Jacobs Redirect Examination by Mr. Hack	140 146
16	Realicet Examination by Mr. nack	110
1 -	DARRIN IVES	1
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Zobrist Cross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	155 162
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall	164
	Questions by Commissioner Rupp	170
19	Questions by Judge Jacobs Redirect Examination by Mr. Zobrist	172 180
20		180
21	SIERRA CLUB'S EVIDENCE:	
21	CHERYL ROBERTO	
22	Direct Examination by Ms. Henry	193
23	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall Questions by Judge Jacobs	196 199
24		
25		

1	INDEX (Continued)		
2	STAFF'S EVIDENCE:		
3	KIMBERLY BOLIN Direct Examination by Ms. Myers	201	
4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zobrist Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall	204 209	
5	Questions by Judge Jacobs Recross-Examination by Ms. Plescia	210 214	
б	Recross-Examination by Mr. Hall Questions by Judge Jacobs	215 215	
7	Redirect Examination by Ms. Myers	216	
8	BYRON MURRAY Direct Examination by Ms. Myers	218	
9	ROBIN KLIETHERMES		
10	Direct Examination by Ms. Myers	222	
11	NATELLE DIETRICH Direct Examination by Ms. Myers	225	
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hack Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall	226 233	
13	Questions by Judge Jacobs	236	
14	MECG/MIEC'S EVIDENCE:		
15	GREG MEYER Direct Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	242	
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zobrist Cross-Examination by Mr. Linhares	244 248	
17 18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	EXHIBIT INDEX	
2	RE EVERGY'S EXHIBITS:	CEIVED
	1 Corrected Non-Unanimous Stipulation	
3	And Agreement 2 Surrebuttal Testimony of Charles A. Caisley	82
4	(Confidential)	82
5	3 Surrebuttal Testimony of Charles A. Caisley (Public)	82
6	4 Direct Testimony of Ronald A. Klote 5 Surrebuttal Testimony of Ronald A. Klote	123 123
	6 Direct Testimony of Darrin R. Ives	
7	<pre>(Confidential) 7 Direct Testimony of Darrin R. Ives (Public)</pre>	162 162
8	8 Surrebuttal Testimony of Darrin R. Ives	
9	(Confidential) 9 Surrebuttal Testimony of Darrin R. Ives	192
	(Public)	192
10	10 South Dakota PUC Staff Memo August 12, 2020	190
11	OPC'S EXHIBITS: 205 OPC Data Request 2017	136
12	205 Arkansas Public Service Commission Order,	130
13	20-012-A (April 10, 2020) 207 Michigan Public Service Commission Order,	191
	U-20757 (July 23, 2020)	191
14	208 South Dakota Public Service Commission Order, GE20-002 (August 19, 2020)	191
15	(Exhibits 206 through 208 were offered by E	vergy)
16	SIERRA CLUB EXHIBITS:	
17	500 Rebuttal Testimony of Cheryl Roberto	196
	STAFF EXHIBITS:	
18	100 Corrected Rebuttal Testimony of Kimberly K. Bolin (Public)	204
19	100 Corrected Rebuttal Testimony of Kimberly K.	
20	Bolin (Confidential) 101 Rebuttal Testimony of Byron M. Murray	204 220
21	102 Rebuttal Testimony of Robin Kliethermes 103 Surrebuttal Testimony of Natelle Dietrich	223 226
		220
22	MECG/MIEC'S EXHIBITS: 300 Corrected Rebuttal Testimony of	
23	Greg R. Meyer	244
24	301 Surrebuttal Testimony of Greg R. Meyer	244
25		
40		

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 JUDGE JACOBS: Let's bring this proceeding to order. We are now on the record. Good morning. 3 Today is November 12, 2020. The time is 9:08 a.m. 4 The 5 Missouri Public Service Commission has set this time for 6 an evidentiary hearing in Case No. EU-2020-0350, which 7 concerns the Application Filed by Evergy Metro, 8 Incorporated and Evergy Missouri West, Incorporated for 9 an Accounting Authority Order Allowing the Companies to Record and Preserve Costs Related to COVID-19. 10 11 My name is Jana Jacobs, and I'm the Regulatory 12 Law Judge assigned to this case. As you know, the 13 Commissioners are Chairman Ryan Silvey, who is present with us at this time, Commissioner William Kenney, who 14 15 is also present with us on the line, Commissioner Scott 16 Rupp, I'm not sure if Commissioner Rupp is with us. Ι 17 hope Commissioners will speak up when they join us. 18 COMMISSIONER RUPP: I am here, Judge. 19 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, 20 Commissioner Rupp. Will you say hello one more time for 21 me. 22 COMMISSIONER RUPP: I am here. 23 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. I wasn't able to find 24 that line. Yes, I see your name. Thank you so much, Commissioner Rupp. We also have Commissioner Maida 25

1 Coleman on the line with us this morning and 2 Commissioner Jason Holsman is also on the Commission. Т don't know if Commissioner Holsman is with us or not 3 this morning. So those are the Commissioners, and we do 4 5 have several of them with us here this morning for the 6 hearing. 7 We are going to start with entries of 8 appearance. I'm going to note for the record that 9 Missouri-American Water, Spire Missouri and Ameren 10 Missouri have been excused from appearing. So they will 11 not be making appearances today. 12 And to save time this morning, if your current mailing address, phone number and email address are on 13 the record in this case, you can enter an appearance 14 15 this morning simply by introducing yourselves stating 16 your name and letting the Commission know what party you 17 represent. That will save us a little bit of time in 18 the entries of appearance. So we can get started with 19 counsel for Evergy Metro and Evergy West, please. 20 MR. FISCHER: Thank you, Judge. On behalf of 21 the applicants in this case, let the record reflect the 22 appearance of Robert J. Hack, Carl Zobrist and myself, 23 James M. Fischer, and our contact information is on the 24 application and other pleadings in the case. 25 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And appearing here today

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

I know you're here, Mr. Fischer and Mr. Hack, I've also 1 2 seen him. Is it just the two of you or is there any other counsel on the line this morning? 3 MR. FISCHER: I think Carl Zobrist is in the 4 5 conference room in Kansas City. 6 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. That's the 7 information I needed. Is that right? Is Mr. Zobrist 8 present in Kansas City? 9 MR. ZOBRIST: Yes. 10 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. So we can 11 proceed to any entry of appearance for Staff, please. 12 MS. MYERS: Good morning, Judge. My name is 13 Jamie Myers, and I represent the Staff of the Missouri 14 Public Service Commission and my address and phone 15 number are already on record. 16 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, Ms. Myers. 17 We can proceed to the Office of the Public Counsel, 18 please. 19 MR. HALL: Good morning, Judge. Caleb Hall 20 appearing on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel. 21 My contact information has been included on various 22 filings in the record. 23 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, Mr. Hall. 24 We can move on then to Midwest Energy Consumers Group, 25 please.

MR. WOODSMALL: Good morning, Your Honor. 1 2 David Woodsmall for MECG. JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. I wasn't able to tell, 3 4 Mr. Woodsmall, if you're -- Oh, there you are, I see 5 your video now. Thank you. 6 All right. And then for Missouri Industrial 7 Energy Consumers, please? And I'm afraid that you're 8 muted, Ms. Plescia. Sorry. That happens to me all the 9 time. MS. PLESCIA: Thank you. Diana Plescia of the 10 11 law firm Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & O'Keefe. I represent 12 Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers. Thank you. 13 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. I'm sorry 14 I ran over you there. We can now proceed to National 15 Housing Trust. 16 MR. LINHARES: Sorry. Can you hear me? 17 JUDGE JACOBS: Yes. MR. LINHARES: This is Andrew Linhares 18 19 representing the National Housing Trust. I am with 20 Renew Missouri. 21 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. And is 22 there other counsel present as well for Renew Missouri 23 or are you representing National Housing Trust and Renew 24 Missouri? 25 MR. OPITZ: Good morning, Judge. This is Tim

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Opitz, and I'm representing Renew Missouri in this case. 1 2 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. I'm looking for Mr. Opitz. I didn't see video yet. I can't tell if 3 4 you're on video or not. 5 MR. OPITZ: I am on video. 6 JUDGE JACOBS: Now I see you. Thank you. 7 MR. OPITZ: Thank you, Judge. 8 JUDGE JACOBS: All right. So then who do we have here to enter an appearance for Sierra Club? 9 10 MS. HENRY: Hi, this is Kristin Henry. My 11 address is on record. We also have Henry Robertson with 12 us as well, and his address is on record as well. 13 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. I think, 14 Mr. Robertson, you were appearing by phone; is that 15 right, sir? Ms. Henry, do you know if Mr. Robertson is 16 actually here with us and might be having a technical 17 problem or not? 18 MS. HENRY: I heard him identify himself on 19 the phone earlier. I didn't see his name appear so I'm 20 not sure if he's still with us. JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So I'm going to give Mr. 21 22 Robertson another chance to figure out if he's muted or 23 for some other reason is not able to actually identify 24 himself and enter an appearance today. I did hear his voice earlier as well. So we'll see if we hear from Mr. 25

Robertson again. 1 2 MS. HENRY: Thank you. 3 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And then for Spire Missouri -- oh, no, I'm sorry, they're excused. 4 So 5 that's it for appearances today. 6 All right. So as everyone knows, this hearing 7 is being conducted by video and telephone conference. 8 Among legal counsel, those of you who are able to appear 9 by video, most of you have done so. I appreciate that. 10 In general, attorneys should remain present by video. 11 Of course, you may have a personal situation that arises 12 that requires you to cut your video feed briefly, and 13 you should feel free to do that, perhaps to shoo a cat 14 off your desk or whatever else you might need to do. 15 As for witnesses, witnesses are not expected to remain visible by video for the duration of the 16 17 hearing. Instead, witnesses can connect their video 18 when they take the stand so to speak and give their 19 testimony and then they can disconnect video after that. 20 I'd ask everyone to the extent that you can 21 please silence your cell phone and all the alerts you 22 might have set up on your computer and other tech today 23 just to avoid those interruptions. Please try to keep 24 your line on mute unless you're speaking. That will 25 allow us to have a much clearer line of communication

Г

1	today. The chat function in this program is public
2	only. You can't do private chats. The only reason
3	anyone should be using the chat is maybe as a backup to
4	let me know if they're having some kind of technical
5	problem and they have no other way of communicating with
6	me. So I will be looking at that, but it's probably not
7	the most direct route to communicate. Just be aware
8	that those chats are not part of the record of this
9	proceeding and that they are public and available to
10	everybody.
11	The audio from this hearing is also being
12	broadcast via webstream from the Commission's website.
13	So in general I'm going to try to break every two hours.
14	This morning we need to break no later than 11:45 a.m.,
15	because the Commission has a weekly agenda meeting and
16	graciously rescheduled that to noon for us. So we will
17	have to make sure that we are done by 11:45 this
18	morning. Please feel free to speak up and let me know
19	if you need a break.
20	All right. So the parties have generally
21	identified all the documents that they anticipate
22	offering on the record and are going to use at this
23	hearing. However, I think OPC was having a technical
24	problem. So at some point we might get around to
25	discussing with them what their status is. I haven't

had a chance to -- No, it doesn't look like I have 1 2 anything in email right now clarifying whether they were able to distribute exhibits. The last message I'd had 3 from OPC was that there was an email problem. So we did 4 have an exhibit list, but I don't know if we actually 5 6 had premarked exhibits. 7 MR. HALL: Judge, my understanding is that --8 Judge, this is Caleb Hall from OPC. My understanding is 9 that separate emails were sent after my technical issues 10 from our office assistant and all those exhibits should 11 have gone out. Were you not included on those emails? 12 JUDGE JACOBS: I don't have any email from OPC with exhibits attached. 13 MR. HALL: Well, I have them available now to 14 15 send out to the parties. The larger issue that I've 16 discovered is that the PSC and OPC are on separate email servers despite both being within the same department, 17 18 and so I cannot send any file larger than 50,000 19 kilobytes out to anyone outside of our office. 20 JUDGE JACOBS: So I think there's an easy way 21 that we can deal with this. If you can make filings in 22 EFIS, which you clearly can, you could distribute your 23 proposed exhibits by filing them in EFIS and that should 24 avoid that problem. Do you think that would work? 25 MR. HALL: I think that is definitely one

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

solution. I believe based on the documents and review 1 2 of what we plan to offer here today I believe most if anything we can offer we can readily present via email. 3 The larger files are copies of OPC testimony that's 4 already been prefiled. 5 6 JUDGE JACOBS: Right. And actually 7 technically speaking under the orders in this case it 8 wasn't necessary for all of those to be attached. Ιf 9 you just identify that for everyone and everyone knows 10 that it's your prefiled testimony that you're going to 11 offer as OPC exhibit number whatever, then I think that 12 covers it, as far as I'm concerned. If parties have other concerns about that, they can speak up. 13 14 MR. HALL: Understood, Judge. At this moment 15 I didn't get clarification from the court reporter if 16 she also would require those exhibits then. 17 JUDGE JACOBS: We haven't addressed that with 18 the court reporter. 19 Okay. I'll wait for that. MR. HALL: 20 JUDGE JACOBS: Where are we with the email 21 that already did go out that had some premarked 22 exhibits, because I went through and tried to see if OPC 23 was offering some materials that actually are not 24 already filed in this case in some form and there were some things I wasn't able to find. So are those going 25

to be provided to me so that I can also provide them to 1 2 the Commission to be prepared? MR. HALL: Yes, I can do that right now. 3 It sounds like what happened JUDGE JACOBS: 4 5 was that information was shared among the parties, which is excellent, but I just wasn't included on those? 6 7 MR. HALL: I believe that is what happened. 8 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. All right. So it sounds like this is going to be easy to resolve. So that's 9 great. All right. So I don't think -- I think the next 10 11 thing maybe to discuss with exhibits is to talk to Ms. 12 Bentch about whether it's useful to her to receive all the exhibits or not. So my understanding is that the 13 14 court reporter takes the exhibits and essentially marks 15 them and then passes them on to the Commission, and what 16 we're doing in this proceeding is marking them 17 essentially electronically and then the Commission will 18 file them. I don't know if Ms. Bentch is comfortable 19 speaking up right now when we're on the record. That's 20 where we are. Do you have anything you want to tell us, 21 Ms. Bentch? 22 I think what we're going to do is if we get to 23 the point where we feel like we have to distribute 24 additional exhibits, we will just at the break or

25 whatever I will try to talk to Ms. Bentch about whether

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

it's necessary to include her. Okay. So we're going to 1 2 move on. MR. HACK: Judge, can you hear me? This is 3 4 Rob Hack for Evergy. 5 JUDGE JACOBS: Yes, I can. 6 MR. HACK: Okay. Thank you. I show as muted. 7 I have one question for you, one notification to you and 8 the parties. There may be one exhibit that we will 9 propose to offer that hasn't been identified to the 10 parties yet. We identified that late after we had sent 11 those exhibits out, and I just wanted to alert you to 12 that. 13 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So I appreciate the fact 14 that it looks like someone put some effort into 15 assembling a distribution list of some kind. It looks 16 like we should be able to pretty easily distribute 17 documents to people who need to see them. Hopefully 18 we'll have a very minimal number of not yet identified 19 documents to handle that way, but it looks like we're 20 prepared to do that. So I think that's fine. 21 MR. HACK: Thank you. 22 JUDGE JACOBS: All right. So we're going to 23 follow the order of opening statements and the order of witnesses and cross-examination proposed by the parties 24 on October 23. However, I do have one adjustment that 25

I'd like to suggest, and it's my understanding that 1 2 Mr. Greg Meyer, who is the only witness for Missouri 3 Industrial Energy Consumers and Midwest Energy Consumers 4 Group, needs to appear today. Is that correct, Mr. Woodsmall or Ms. Plescia? 5 MR. WOODSMALL: I talked to Greq Meyer last 6 7 night, and he has cleared his conflict so we can take 8 him in whichever order you prefer. JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So he no longer needs to 9 10 appear today? 11 MR. WOODSMALL: Correct. 12 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. All right. So then we 13 can just proceed as the parties had proposed. And then 14 we do also have a concern with Mr. Caisley. My 15 understanding is that Mr. Caisley, a witness for Evergy, is available only this morning; is that correct, 16 Mr. Fischer or Mr. Hack? 17 18 MR. HACK: That is correct. I know he's 19 available this morning. What I don't know for certain 20 is if he could be available after this morning. 21 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So I think what I was 22 hoping we would do is just make sure that we hear from 23 him this morning. By "this morning," that means before 11:45. 24 25 MR. HACK: Perfect.

MR. FISCHER: I believe he's listed as our
 first witness, Judge.

Okay. So those were all 3 JUDGE JACOBS: Yes. the preliminaries that I wanted to discuss before we get 4 5 into opening statements. The other thing I would note about that is that because we have a witness that we 6 7 need to hear from before we break for agenda, I would 8 propose that questioning of counsel after opening 9 statements would be deferred until after all opening 10 statements have been made. That will give us a chance 11 to assess how much time we have for Mr. Caisley's 12 testimony. I wouldn't think that would cause any severe problems for counsel as it's really just a convenience 13 14 for the Commission to be able to ask those questions 15 right away. But that's the procedure that I'm going to 16 propose that we use to make sure we have time for 17 Mr. Caisley.

18 So does anybody have any comment on that or 19 any additional preliminary matters to discuss before we 20 move on to opening statements?

21 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Judge, this is
22 Commissioner Holsman. I just wanted to check in.
23 JUDGE JACOBS: Oh, good. Thank you for
24 letting us know that you are here, Commissioner Holsman.
25 I didn't catch whether you have video today or what line

you're on. Do you want to speak up one more time? 1 2 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: No, I'm just audio. JUDGE JACOBS: I see which one you are so I'm 3 4 going to rename that so I know your line and I know when 5 you're present. Thank you. Thank you. 6 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: 7 JUDGE JACOBS: All right. So let me just send 8 a quick message here to let everyone know we're going to 9 be starting with opening statements and then -- Did any 10 of the parties have any preliminaries? I don't see 11 anybody who looks like they have anything they need to 12 say. Okay. So looks like we can get started with 13 opening statements. And we would start with Evergy. Ι believe that's Mr. Fischer. And there is a presentation 14 15 that is on the screen that was teed up. MR. FISCHER: Thank you, Judge. May it please 16 17 the Commission. My name is Jim Fischer speaking today 18 on behalf of the applicants in this case. Although the 19 first issue on the list of issues is a question of 20 whether the COVID-19 pandemic is an extraordinary event, 21 I think we've all experienced personally the extraordinary times of 2020. We've all experienced the 22 23 stay at home orders and the shelter in place orders and, of course, such orders have applied to Evergy's 24 employees, as well as its customers. 25

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Г

1	Many of Evergy's employees, including our
2	witnesses in this case, have been working from home for
3	months. We've all experienced closed businesses,
4	including restaurants, theaters, churches and most every
5	non-essential business. We've all experienced the early
6	closing of schools, colleges and universities and more
7	recently schools going virtual or a combination of
8	in-person and online classes.
9	Perhaps most importantly we've all heard the
10	daily news reports of thousands of new COVID-19 cases
11	and over 240,000 tragic deaths from the virus in the
12	United States. And many of us have friends and family
13	that have been adversely affected by this contagious
14	virus. It has been an extraordinary and unprecedented
15	times for Evergy, its customers, its employees, our
16	state, our country and for that matter even our planet.
17	As I'll discuss in a moment, many of the
18	parties to this case, including the Staff of the
19	Commission, MIEC, MECG and the Sierra Club, as well as
20	the Company, have recognized the extraordinary nature of
21	the pandemic and have entered into a Non-Unanimous
22	Stipulation and Agreement which recommends a resolution
23	of the issues in this case.
24	Several other parties, including Ameren, Spire
25	and Missouri-American Water Company, have indicated that

they don't oppose the resolution of the case on the
 basis of this stipulation.

Public Counsel and the National Housing Trust 3 have filed pleadings opposing the stipulation. 4 Public Counsel has asserted in this case that the pandemic is 5 6 not an extraordinary event for Evergy and is opposing 7 the stipulation and agreement. For the reasons that are 8 quite apparent to anyone that's lived through 2020 and the testimony in this case, this position should be 9 10 rejected.

In response to these extraordinary times, Evergy has adopted a comprehensive COVID-19 customer response plan that we have fully developed and communicated to assist customers during these extraordinary times. As Chuck Caisley explains in his testimony, Evergy has been an industry leader in our response to customers' needs during the pandemic.

18 The Company was one of the first public utilities to announce a volunteer moratorium on 19 20 disconnections of service for nonpayment. That 21 moratorium included waiving all charges, fees and 22 deposits typically associated with nonpayment or late 23 payment of bills. The Company has continued to lead in 24 development of alternative payment arrangement plans, 25 including being one of only a handful of investor-owned

Г

1	utilities in the United States that offered incentive
2	programs offering bill credits for customers who made
3	payment arrangements during the pandemic. The Company
4	has also provided additional support to help the
5	customers in our communities to recover from the impact
б	of COVID-19 pandemic effects, including a pledge of \$2.2
7	million in contributions to help agencies, communities
8	and customers. Included in this support is \$400,000
9	already pledged for Evergy emergency grants to help
10	nonprofit agencies on the front lines that have remained
11	open and are delivering essential services.
12	Also included is \$800,000 in grants to
13	nonprofit agencies for Evergy's Hometown Economic
14	Recovery Program that will help build back our local
15	economies by supporting small businesses and
16	entrepreneurial efforts, business attraction and
17	retention and workforce training and development.
18	In addition, Evergy has announced that it is
19	committing up to \$1 million to Dollar-Aide, Project
20	Deserve and other programs that assist customers with
21	energy bill payments. These contributions are being
22	recorded below the line, and the Company will not seek
23	to recover them in rates. These actions, in combination
24	with Evergy's customer communication and outreach, has
25	reduced net residential arrearages below the

pre-COVID-19 levels by the end of August. 1 2 Although the government restrictions have been relaxed since the first stay at home and related orders 3 were issued, we don't know how long the pandemic will 4 last. But we all know and we will never forget the 5 events of 2020 as extraordinary and virtually 6 7 unprecedented in our times. 8 In this proceeding, Evergy requests that the 9 Commission approve its application for an accounting 10 authority order permitting Evergy to accumulate and 11 defer into a regulatory asset the extraordinary costs 12 and financial impacts as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic as modified by the Non-Unanimous Stipulation 13 14 and Agreement filed on October 8, 2020. 15 Under the terms of the stipulation, the 16 Commission will have the opportunity to consider the costs and financial impacts for possible recovery in 17 18 Everyy's next rate case, which is planned to be filed in early January of 2022. When the Commission reviews the 19 20 testimony in this proceeding, the Commission will find a 21 substantial amount of agreement about what costs should be deferred for consideration in the next rate case. 22 23 This slide is included in the surrebuttal 24 testimony of Darrin Ives. It shows that the Company, 25 Staff, MIEC and MECG agree that the Commission should

authorize deferrals of certain pandemic-related costs. 1 2 The Company, Staff, the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers, the Midwest Energy Consumers Group and the 3 Sierra Club joined in the Non-Unanimous Stipulation that 4 I mentioned that was filed in October of 2020. 5 6 I'd like to briefly summarize the main 7 provisions of the stipulation, and then I'll address 8 your questions about it at the appropriate time, Judge. 9 Paragraph 2 of the stipulation lists the incremental 10 costs caused by the COVID pandemic that would be tracked 11 and deferred into a regulatory asset beginning March 1 12 of 2020. These costs include new or incremental 13 operating and maintenance costs related to protecting 14 employees and customers, including cleaning costs and 15 personal protective equipment, technology upgrades which 16 include equipment directly related to enabling employees 17 to work from home and associated contract labor, 18 employee sequestration preparation costs, bad debt 19 expense due to COVID-19 to the extent total bad debt 20 expense exceeds levels included in the cost of service 21 of the last rate case, costs related to any assistance 22 programs implemented to aid customers with payment of 23 electric bills during the pandemic except for those 24 programs that I just recently mentioned that are being 25 paid for by Evergy's shareholders, and waived late

1 payment and reconnection fees. 2 Paragraph 3 is an agreement to track all costs separately for Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri 3 4 West. 5 Paragraph 4 quantifies the bad debt expense 6 and late payment and reconnection fees from the last 7 rate case. 8 Paragraph 5 states the agreement of the 9 parties to defer the issue of carrying costs to the next 10 rate case. 11 Paragraph 6 states the Company's agreement not 12 to defer into a regulatory asset any lost revenues from 13 reduced customer usage during the pandemic. Paragraph 7 lists operating cost reductions 14 15 that will be tracked and netted against the deferred 16 costs. 17 Paragraph 8 discusses the duration of the accounting authority order, which will be until March 18 19 31, 2021, unless it's extended, renewed or terminated 20 upon agreement of the signatories and the Commission or 21 approved by the Commission if brought to the Commission 22 by a signatory party if all the signatories do not 23 agree. 24 There are also provisions related to the treatment of the deferral of uncollectible expense which 25

will end on September 30, 2021. If actual net 1 write-offs exceed the uncollectible expense in the last 2 rate case on a quarterly basis by at least 10 percent, 3 then the excess amounts above the rate case level would 4 If the actual net write-offs on the other 5 be deferred. hand turn out to be less than the amount in the last 6 7 rate case by 10 percent or more, the difference will be 8 used to offset any uncollectible expense recorded into a 9 regulatory asset.

Paragraph 9 includes provisions related to the information that will be filed with the Commission related to the pandemic.

Now, skipping to paragraph 16, the Company agrees to evaluate the advisability of extending its COVID-19 customer arrearage payment plan beyond December 31, 2020 and its cold weather rule payment plans beyond March 31 of 2021 in consultation with Staff, Public Counsel and the National Housing Trust.

19 Now, there is a typo in the last sentence of 20 that paragraph. The stipulation states that the Company 21 also agrees to evaluate the advisability of offering 22 additional customer assistance programs after December 23 31 of 2021. That date should be December 31 of 2020. Ι 24 think all the parties are agreeable to that. I'm getting quite a bit of feedback. I don't know if 25

somebody can mute their line. Okay. Thank you. 1 2 In paragraph 18, the Company agrees that it will continue the practices currently in place of 3 waiving late payment fees and not undertaking full 4 credit external reporting of its customers for the 5 6 duration of the approved AAO for pandemic-related 7 incremental costs and cost reductions. 8 I think the remaining provisions of the 9 stipulation largely discuss the mechanics of the 10 agreement and specify that the signatories reserve the 11 right to review and challenge the Company's recovery of 12 COVID-19 costs in the Company's next general rate case. 13 Judge, we would respectfully request that the 14 Commission approve the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and 15 Agreement, which is the joint recommendation of the 16 Company, Staff, MIEC, MECG and the Sierra Club. 17 Now, the Office of the Public Counsel opposed the deferral of COVID-related costs in their testimony 18 on the theory that COVID-19 -- that the COVID-19 19 20 pandemic is not an extraordinary event for Evergy under 21 the Uniform System of Accounts. For all the reasons 22 that I've previously mentioned, the Commission should 23 find the pandemic is an extraordinary event for the 24 Company and its customers. 25 Public Counsel also raised the topic of

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	general instruction number 7 related to the accounting
2	of extraordinary events or extraordinary items on a
3	company's income statement. While this instruction
4	applies to the accounting of extraordinary items on the
5	income statement, it has nothing to do with the balance
6	sheet presentation or the determination of whether costs
7	may be deferred into a regulatory asset or a regulatory
8	liability. Those terms, regulatory asset or regulatory
9	liability, are not even mentioned in general instruction
10	number 7.

11 Based upon our research, it appears that 12 Missouri is the only state that has relied on general 13 instruction number 7 in any AAO case. We recognize that 14 the Commission does have the broad discretion to 15 evaluate the facts and the circumstances presented in 16 determining the appropriateness of deferral treatment. 17 And Darrin Ives discusses this issue at some length in 18 his testimony based upon his understanding of the USOA 19 as a CPA, and his opinion has been confirmed by the two 20 largest independent accounting firms and the accounting 21 staff at the FERC.

As Mr. Ives explains, Evergy believes that the Commission has the broad discretion to authorize the deferral of costs and financial impacts without being limited by general instruction number 7. Assertion by parties that the USOA general instruction number 7 criteria must be met to authorize deferral treatment are just simply not correct. In fact, this Commission has demonstrated in numerous cases that they may authorize deferral treatment for costs without referencing or relying upon that general instruction.

7 The Public Counsel has also discussed the 5 8 percent materiality standard in general instruction 9 number 7. As I've already explained, Public Counsel's 10 reliance upon that general instruction is misplaced, but 11 more importantly the Commission has also previously 12 ruled that materiality is not case dispositive. 13 Certainly the Commission has often in the past authorized deferral of costs that did not reach that 5 14 15 percent of income level. For example, the Commission has authorized AAOs and trackers associated with changes 16 17 to the cold weather rule, the electric vehicle charging 18 costs, green tariff program costs, lead service line 19 replacement costs, Y2K costs, ice storm costs, security 20 costs and numerous other occasions where the level of cost did not reach the 5 percent of income level. 21

In any event, as Staff Witness Bolin recognizes on page 6 of her rebuttal testimony, we don't know how long the pandemic will last and we don't know the full extent of costs and financial impacts at this

time. And recently the Commission has already
 authorized similar AAOs for Spire and Missouri-American
 Water Company.

The Public Counsel has also made several 4 5 customer specific recommendations related to waiving 6 disconnection and reconnection fees, expanding payment 7 plans and matching programs for bad debts. We believe 8 these items have been adequately addressed by the 9 Company's COVID-19 customer response plan and its 10 shareholder funded customer programs and they're outside 11 the appropriateness of this AAO proceeding.

Turning to the National Housing Trust, the National Housing Trust Witness Roger Colton did not specifically address these COVID-related costs in his testimony. Instead he focused on customer issues which we believe are adequately addressed by the Company's COVID-19 customer response program and are outside the scope of this proceeding.

Public Counsel Witness Marke supported the expansion of those customer programs but only on the condition that the Company's shareholders pay for the expansion. The Company disagrees with that proposal. So in closing, I'd be happy to take your questions at the end or whenever it's appropriate, and thank you very much for your attention today. Г

1	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much,
2	Mr. Fischer. Because it is 9:43, we've got a couple
3	hours to get testimony taken care of from Mr. Caisley
4	and the other opening statements, I'm going to have us
5	proceed to the next opening statement before any
6	questions are given to you. So let's proceed with
7	Staff's opening statement. Thank you.
8	MS. MYERS: Thank you, Judge. May it please
9	the Commission. My name is Jamie Myers, and I represent
10	the Staff of the Commission in this matter. First,
11	Staff filed rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony in this
12	matter and ultimately after negotiation amongst the
13	parties Staff became a signatory, along with Evergy,
14	MIEC, MECG and Sierra club to a Non-Unanimous
15	Stipulation and Agreement filed on October 8 of 2020.
16	Staff believes the terms of the Non-Unanimous
17	Stipulation and Agreement are reasonable and consistent
18	with the public interests, and Staff recommends the
19	Commission issue an order consistent with the terms of
20	the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement that
21	authorizes Evergy to track and defer into a regulatory
22	asset incremental costs directly related to the COVID-19
23	pandemic beginning March 1 of 2020. These specific
24	costs are in paragraph 2 of the Non-Unanimous
25	Stipulation and Agreement.

Additionally, and I know Mr. Fischer just 1 2 walked through the stipulation and agreement, but just to reiterate, Staff would also recommend the 3 Commission's order authorizing Evergy to track and defer 4 5 certain COVID financial impacts as Mr. Fischer described. Also include the terms in the Non-Unanimous 6 7 Stipulation and Agreement related to carrying costs 8 found in paragraph 5, lost revenues in paragraph 6, 9 savings to be deferred that's addressed in paragraph 7, 10 duration of the AAO which is in paragraph 8, reporting 11 of information which is in paragraph 9, accounting 12 practices and procedures in paragraph 13, future 13 recovery addressed in paragraph 14, arrearage payment 14 plan commitments in paragraph 16, customer protections 15 in paragraph 18 and the other paragraphs which I've not 16 specifically listed that are contained in the 17 Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement.

18 Now, Staff does not recommend the Commission 19 order include the recommendations made by OPC and NHT 20 that were not included in the Non-Unanimous Stipulation 21 and Agreement. The additional recommendations not 22 included in this Non-Unanimous Stipulation and 23 Agreement, as Mr. Fischer noted, are beyond the scope of 24 what is considered in an AAO application. Staff would 25 direct the Commission's attention to Case No.

EO-2020-0383 for information on COVID-19 programs that 1 2 Evergy is offering its customers. Additionally, Staff would direct the 3 Commission to Staff Witness Natelle Dietrich's 4 testimony, her surrebuttal testimony in this matter, 5 6 where Ms. Dietrich states that many of the 7 recommendations that have not been included in the 8 Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement are more 9 appropriate for consideration in the docket the 10 Commission opened to consider the best practices for 11 recovery of past-due utility customer payments after the 12 COVID-19 pandemic emergency. That file number is 13 AW-2020-0356. 14 Now, Missouri courts have recognized the 15 Commission's authority to authorize in the form of an 16 AAO a utility to defer and capitalize certain expenses until the time it files its next rate case. While the 17 18 courts have recognized the Commission's authority to authorize an AAO in extraordinary and unusual 19 20 circumstances, there's nothing in Missouri law or 21 Commission rule that limits the Commission to granting 22 of an AAO to any particular set of circumstances. Thus, 23 it is up to the Commission's discretion to determine if 24 a set of circumstances is appropriate for the authorization of an AAO. 25

Г

1	Staff believes and would recommend the
2	Commission find that the current COVID-19 global
3	pandemic is an extraordinary event. It is unlike any
4	event we have experienced in our lifetimes, as
5	Mr. Fischer described. The pandemic is also unusual in
6	nature and that is expected to continue to last for a
7	number of months unlike most events for which utilities
8	request an AAO which costs are incurred in a very
9	limited time frame such as an ice storm or a tornado.
10	Lastly, it is important to note that while
11	Staff recommends the Commission issue an order
12	consistent with the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and
13	Agreement that allows among other things Evergy to defer
14	certain costs and savings, an AAO is not a guarantee of
15	recovery for the utility. In authorizing an AAO, the
16	Commission is simply allowing for certain costs to be
17	separately accounted for for possible future recovery by
18	Evergy.
19	In Evergy's next general rate case, Staff will
20	look at any costs and savings Evergy is permitted to
21	defer and Staff will make its recommendation in that
22	future proceeding on what it believes is appropriate for
23	Evergy to recover.
24	Now, Staff had four witnesses file testimony

25 in this matter: Kimberly Bolin, Byron Murray, Robin

Kliethermes and Natelle Dietrich. All four of Staff's
 witnesses are present today and like me we are all
 available to answer questions at the appropriate time.
 Thank you.

5 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, Ms. Myers. 6 I'm going to note now that you've referred to 7 E0-2020-0383 and AW-2020-0356, and I would propose that the Commission would take official notice of those 8 9 Does any party object to that? Okay. So the cases. 10 Commission will take official notice of the cases 11 referenced by Ms. Myers in her opening and we can 12 proceed now to hear from Sierra Club. Thank you.

MS. HENRY: Thank you, Your Honor. May it 13 please the Commission. My name is Kristin Henry, and I 14 15 represent the Sierra Club in this matter. Everyy 16 requested authorization from the Commission to create an 17 accounting authority order, or an AAO, for eight 18 different types of costs or lost revenue. These costs 19 and lost revenues are listed as issue number 3 with nine 20 subissues.

These requests actually fall into four distinct categories. The first is for costs directly related to the COVID-19 pandemic. The second are revenues that Evergy earned but didn't collect because of the pandemic. The third is for lost or unearned

revenue because of volumetric sale changes associated
 with the pandemic. And finally, a catch-all request for
 any other costs or expenses.

Sierra Club submitted expert testimony of 4 5 Cheryl Roberto with regard to Evergy's request to create an AAO for lost or unearned revenue because of 6 7 volumetric sale changes associated with the pandemic. 8 Mrs. Roberto's testimony detailed many reasons why the 9 Commission should not create an accounting authority 10 order for lost or unearned revenue, including, first, 11 the fact that unearned income does not qualify as a 12 regulatory asset under the Uniform System of Accounts. 13 While Evergy makes much in its application of the 14 extraordinary nature of the COVID pandemic, the question 15 of extraordinary only goes to whether the timing of an 16 accounting claim can be moved to another reporting 17 period. Every never addresses the threshold issue 18 under the Uniform System of Accounts which is whether 19 unearned revenue is a recordable accounting item. 20 Unlike the claims Evergy had made for its actual, 21 reasonable and prudently incurred costs related to the 22 COVID-19 pandemic, selling electricity then expected to 23 a commercial and industrial customer is not an incurred 24 cost and would not otherwise be charged to an expense. 25 Second, even if lost or unearned revenue

Г

1	resulting from lower than anticipated sales was a
2	recordable accounting item pursuant to the Uniform
3	System of Accounts, Evergy made no claim of net
4	financial harm related to lost or unearned revenue to
5	demonstrate the significance of the impact. Third,
6	disappointing sales do not qualify for treatment as a
7	regulatory asset under the governing accounting rules as
8	applied in Missouri. Fourth, the balance of equities
9	demonstrates that the Commission should not allow
10	deferred accounting for lost revenue.
11	Fifth, Missouri regulation places the risk of
12	volumetric electricity sales variation squarely with the
13	utility. The Commission authorized Evergy's return on
14	equity under circumstances that included this allocation
15	of risk. If the risk had been allocated to customers,
16	the Commission would have necessarily reduced the return
17	on equity granted to Evergy to account for the reduction
18	of risk to Evergy's investors. Sixth, best utility
19	regulation practice is for the Commission to recognize
20	the distinction between expenses, foregone revenue and
21	unearned revenue. The Commission's employing these best
22	practices uniformly deny utility requests to create a
23	regulatory asset for unearned or lost revenue due to
24	COVID-19.
25	On October 8, 2020, a Non-Unanimous

Stipulation and Agreement was filed with the Commission. 1 2 This stipulation and agreement was signed by Evergy, the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, 3 Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers, Midwest Energy 4 5 Consumer Group and Sierra Club. The Non-Unanimous 6 Stipulation and Agreement would allow Evergy to create 7 an AAO for the first two categories of costs which are 8 expenses directly related to the COVID-19 pandemic and 9 earned but not collected revenue.

Evergy would not get an AAO for the third or the fourth category which concerns lost revenue from reduced load and the catch-all category. This stipulation and agreement fully resolves the issues Sierra Club raised through its expert testimony as it does not allow Evergy to create an AAO for lost or unearned revenue.

17 In addition, the stipulation and agreement 18 contains important consumer protections. For this 19 reason, Sierra Club respectfully requests that the 20 Commission approve the stipulation and the settlement 21 agreement as filed.

JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, Ms. Henry.
We can proceed now to hear from Missouri Industrial
Energy Consumers, please.

25

MS. PLESCIA: Good morning. May it please the

1	Commission. My name is Diana Plescia and I represent
2	the MIEC. I'm here today to support the Non-Unanimous
3	Stipulation and Agreement which has been summarized very
4	well by the other counsel that have spoken before me.
5	Of particular importance to MIEC in these negotiations
б	was the issue of lost revenues. We feel that the
7	stipulation strikes a balance between the interests of
8	consumers and the utility and that it's a
9	forward-thinking stipulation that we think will resolve
10	issues going forward for crucial issues for both the
11	Company and consumers. We believe that the Commission
12	should approve the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and
13	Agreement.

We do have in evidence in the record or will have in evidence in the record the rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony of Greg Meyer, and he will be available for questions from the Commission as well as cross-examination by the parties that are contesting the stipulation. And if the Commission doesn't have any questions, that will conclude my opening statement.

JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you, Ms. Plescia. We may have Commissioner questions for counsel on opening after all opening is done and when we make sure we can hear from Mr. Caisley this morning. There will be an opportunity for that. We can proceed now to Midwest

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1 Energy Consumers Group, please. 2 MR. WOODSMALL: Good morning, Your Honor. David Woodsmall for the MECG. I'll be fairly brief. 3 Evergy and Staff did a good job discussing the various 4 5 provisions contained in the Non-Unanimous Stipulation so 6 I won't go through all those provisions. Instead, I 7 want to briefly discuss the law regarding the treatment 8 of non-unanimous stipulations. Then I want to address 9 the standard for issuance of an accounting authority order. Finally, I will address a couple of the more 10 11 important provisions in the settlement. 12 So non-unanimous stipulations. Missouri law and Commission rules state if a settlement is unanimous, 13 you can simply approve it if you want. You don't need 14 15 evidence to support a unanimous settlement. That is 16 what happened with Spire and the Missouri-American 17 settlements. Those settlements were not opposed. Therefore, you didn't need to conduct an evidentiary 18 19 hearing and accept evidence. You were within your 20 authority to simply approve those settlements. 21 A non-unanimous stipulation, however, is a 22 different issue. Commission rules provide that an 23 opposed settlement simply represents the position of the

25 Instead, you must issue a report and order that contains

supporting parties. You can't simply approve it.

24

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

findings of fact that are supported by competent and
 substantial evidence. That doesn't mean that the
 non-unanimous stipulation in this case is worthless.

The signatories to that settlement maintain 4 5 that given the prefiled testimony in this case, as well 6 as the evidence to be elicited during the hearing, you 7 may issue an order with findings of fact that adopt each 8 and every of the provisions of the settlement. MECG and 9 the other signatories urge the Commission to issue 10 findings of fact that adopt all the provisions of the 11 opposed settlement. So the law regarding AAOs, and this 12 has been addressed some by Mr. Fischer, as you're each 13 aware, Missouri courts and the Uniform System of 14 Accounts provide that deferral accounting is only 15 authorized where an event is extraordinary.

The Commission has held that an event is 16 17 extraordinary if it is, quote, abnormal and significantly different from the ordinary and typical 18 19 activities of the company, end quote. MECG agrees that 20 the COVID pandemic is an extraordinary event. Much like 21 a tornado, ice storm or other act of God, the pandemic 22 is significantly different from the typical operating 23 conditions of the utility. As such, it is appropriate 24 to defer certain costs arising out of the pandemic. 25 I won't take a bunch of your time this morning

Г

1	discussing the terms of the settlement. You are largely
2	familiar with the terms of the agreement. You have
3	already approved settlements for Spire and
4	Missouri-American which are very similar to the
5	non-unanimous agreement in this case. The settlement
6	provides for some very familiar components.
7	Specifically, the settlement allows Evergy to defer the
8	incremental safety costs incurred to address the
9	pandemic.
10	Additionally, the settlement allows Evergy to
11	defer the increase in bad debt expense, as well as the
12	shortfall in disconnection and reconnection revenues.
13	These are virtually identical to the Spire and
14	Missouri-American settlements.
15	Important to MECG and consistent with our
16	testimony, the settlement expressly provides that Evergy
17	will not defer any lost revenue resulting from reduced
18	customer usage. Frankly, this was a dropdead issue for
19	MECG. In previous cases, the Commission has rejected
20	the deferral and recovery of lost revenues. In 2012,
21	Missouri Gas Energy sought the deferral of lost revenues
22	and the Commission rejected it. In 2014, Ameren sought
23	the deferral of lost revenues, deferral and recovery of
24	lost revenues associated with an ice storm that knocked
25	out Noranda and the Commission rejected it there.

Г

1	Other Commissions have similarly rejected it,
2	including more recently the Indiana Commission. MECG
3	believes the deferral of lost revenues should not be
4	allowed and the non-unanimous stipulation precludes such
5	a deferral. One major difference between this
6	settlement and the Spire and Missouri-American
7	settlements involves the bad debt extension mechanism.
8	The Spire and Missouri-American agreements simply state
9	that Spire and Missouri-American can seek to extend the
10	agreement past the March 31, 2021 deferral period.
11	While the Evergy agreement contains a similar
12	provision, it also contains an objective test to
13	determine whether the deferral of increased bad debt
14	costs should continue. Specifically the bad debts for a
15	quarter are compared to the bad debts included in
16	Evergy's rates. If actual bad debts are more or less
17	than 10 percent above the amount included in rates, then
18	the deferral will continue and this extension can last
19	for two quarters until September 30, 2021.
20	As mentioned, MECG is presenting the testimony
21	of Greg Meyer. He'll be happy to address MECG's
22	original positions in this case, as well as the
23	reasonableness of each of the provisions in the
24	stipulation. Thank you for your time.
25	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. We can

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

proceed now to hear the opening from the Office of the
 Public Counsel.

MR. HALL: Good morning. May it please the 3 This is a case about accounting standards, 4 Commission. and Evergy's request does not meet this Commission's 5 6 applicable standards. Public Counsel already provided 7 the reasons why Evergy's request does not meet the 8 Commission's accounting authority order or AAO standard 9 in its position statement filed earlier in this docket. 10 So I don't really want to belabor those points.

Instead, I wish to talk about what this case is also about. Fairness. Evergy initially requested for the authority to defer COVID-19 related incremental costs and lost revenues to be considered for recovery in Evergy's next rate case. Evergy has since modified its position with the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement before this Commission.

18 This stipulation is similar to that agreed to 19 with Spire Missouri and Missouri-American Water, but 20 there are two notable exceptions. First, unlike Spire 21 or American-Water, Evergy is not coupling its COVID-19 22 AAO with any particular COVID-19 related customer 23 program or other financial response. Spire agreed to implement an arrearage matching program, and 24 25 American-Water agreed to offer a bill credit for its

customers above and beyond what it already had
 previously committed before it filed for its
 application.

Evergy's agreement refers back to single and four-month payment plans that were offered through this August and preexisting customer protections offered by this Commission such as the cold weather rule.

8 The second exception is that Evergy's 9 deferrals will go beyond March 31 of 2021. Evergy plans 10 to defer all COVID-19 related incremental costs and 11 savings until the end of March. Then afterwards we have 12 what is being referred to as a bad debt extension mechanism. I like to call it a gambling provision. 13 From April 1, 2021 to October, if quarterly bad debts 14 15 are higher than what's accounted for in rates, then 16 Evergy gets to defer the excess.

Evergy's books will then reflect a world where that financial liability isn't happening. If quarterly bad debts are less than expected, customers win the gamble and get a credit. The problem with relying on gambling, though, is that the house always wins.

We've all been affected by COVID-19 to varying degrees. Some have been inconvenienced. Some have lost jobs. Some have lost loved ones. How has Evergy been affected? Seemingly not at all. The evidence Public

Counsel provides at this hearing shows that Evergy is 1 2 not altering its capital expenditure plans in light of COVID-19's economic impact. Evergy has not reduced its 3 compensation or benefits for its personnel and upper 4 5 management, and testimony from OPC witnesses Robert 6 Schallenberg and Geoff Marke demonstrate that COVID-19 7 has not had a financial and material impact on the 8 company. Not necessarily its customers but the company. 9 Evergy's response to Public Counsel is not to dispute 10 this but to argue instead that they don't have to prove 11 materiality.

12 During this pandemic, Evergy has been 13 releasing statements to the public telling them that 14 when it comes to COVID we are in this together. Are we? 15 Seriously. Every is asking for an AAO so its books can 16 reflect earnings that would have happened but for 17 COVID-19. Every is asking for this treatment for an 18 event that it argues is extraordinary while also 19 claiming that it doesn't need to prove financial 20 materiality. Evergy is further asking for a gambling 21 provision just in case things get worse after March. 22 Is Evergy offering some sort of payment plan

or customer arrearage program to address the coming storm? No. To reiterate, Evergy's position is that it should be able to insulate its financial books with deferral accounting; that it's done enough for customers this past summer and so everything is fine for the customers but also customers may not be making their debt obligations after March. So we need a separate tracker, a separate gambling.

6 Evergy's inability to meet the deferral 7 accounting standards of this Commission is reason enough 8 to reject the stipulation, but this gambling provision, 9 this paragraph 8 is especially onerous. Getting more 10 protection from customers and other utilities in the 11 state while offering even less for customers is 12 unacceptable to Public Counsel.

We ask that this Commission think so too. 13 The OPC offers the testimony of three witnesses over the 14 15 course of this hearing: Robert Schallenberg as Public 16 Counsel's Policy Director. He has testified before this 17 Commission numerous times on accounting authority order 18 standards and he can speak to why Evergy has not shown 19 it has met that standard. David Murray is testifying as 20 a Chartered Financial Analyst and a Utility Regulatory 21 Manager for Public Counsel. He can speak to the equity 22 risk premium that is already included in Evergy's rates 23 and how Evergy's rates are set to already account for 24 some amount of financial instability.

25

Dr. Geoff Marke, Public Counsel's Chief

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662 б4

Г

1	Economist, echoes the findings of Mr. Schallenberg while
2	offering his review of Evergy's response to COVID-19 in
3	his recommendations on what payment plans or other
4	protections the Commission should consider when
5	approaching this AAO. I invite you to ask questions of
6	all these witnesses and other witnesses at this hearing.
7	I will provide whatever answers I can to your questions
8	following all opening statements. I may otherwise defer
9	to Public Counsel's witnesses. Thank you.
10	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, Mr. Hall.
11	We can proceed now to hear from the National Housing
12	Trust.
13	MR. LINHARES: Thank you, Judge. May it
14	please the Commission. My name is Andrew Linhares. I'm
15	here representing the National Housing Trust today.
16	This hearing is taking place at perhaps one of the most
17	economically precarious moments in the memory of anyone
18	living today. COVID-19 infections in Missouri and in
19	the United States are at record highs. It appears
20	Congress may not pass another COVID relief package even
21	as federal unemployment insurance begins to end for
22	millions of Americans. So we're in for several months
23	of increased unemployment, mounting utility debt,
24	disconnections, evictions and the strain on social
25	services.

To date, the state of Missouri has not taken 1 2 any action to assist Missouri utility customers, and this case presents an opportunity to address a mounting 3 crisis for Evergy's most vulnerable customers and at the 4 same time to minimize Evergy's uncollectible expenses 5 and bad debt related to COVID in order to protect 6 7 ratepayers. 8 Some parties argue that NHT's proposals in this case are inappropriate for an AAO case or are 9 10 better raised elsewhere or are already met by existing 11 programs. I want to respond to each one of those 12 arguments. First I'd like to briefly summarize the 13 areas where NHT is asking for the Commission to take action in this case. 14 15 NHT's witness Roger Colton's rebuttal 16 testimony includes several concerns. First, Mr. Colton 17 begins by demonstrating how the pandemic is 18 disproportionately impacting low wage employees and 19 residents of affordable housing. Most often through no 20 fault of their own these customers are seeing their 21 employment and their housing security vanish along with 22 their ability to pay their utility bills. This is 23 particularly true of African Americans.

24 Mr. Colton's testimony notes that African 25 Americans make up roughly 11 percent of the Missouri

population and suffered 33 percent of the state's COVID related deaths that was in August. In Mr. Colton's words, that population which has the least ability to respond to the economic catastrophe caused by COVID-19 is the same population that is being hit hardest with the public health and economic consequences.

7 Next, Mr. Colton articulates several general 8 principles that the Commission should articulate to 9 govern a utility's future recovery of COVID-19 costs. 10 These principles fit within the basic framework of 11 Chapters 386 and 393 under Missouri law in which 12 utilities have a statutory obligation to provide just 13 and reasonable rates and the Commission has a similar duty to only authorize rate changes that are just and 14 15 reasonable.

16 The first principle Mr. Colton asks the 17 Commission to consider is that Evergy has an obligation 18 to provide service within a least cost framework. This 19 is really the heart of NHT's case. The question is 20 whether Evergy can make certain investments in customer 21 programs, in resources or in policies that will result 22 in more efficient, more cost effective response to the 23 COVID-19 non-payment problems which its customers are 24 facing and in so doing whether they can mitigate the costs that will arise and fails to make such 25

investments. NHT believes that without further attempts to mitigate customer arrearages Evergy may accrue uncollectible debts that it otherwise may not have thus increasing the costs that it can recover through an AAO resulting from this case.

6 There are other principles that Mr. Colton 7 addresses including that Evergy should undertake 8 reasonable actions to mitigate the harms of COVID-19 9 including the inability to pay and that Evergy should 10 operate in an efficient manner in both its collections 11 and its expenses needed to make those collections. I 12 won't get into those remaining principles.

13 I want to transition into the specific 14 proactive steps that Mr. Colton recommends Evergy take 15 that can provide a path for Evergy to reduce costs 16 relative to alternative approaches. NHT in this case is 17 requesting that the Commission only approve an AAO on 18 the condition that Evergy address some of these steps. 19 The first is to create a best practice arrearage 20 management program through which customers can earn 21 credits to retire arrears over a 12-month period. We 22 recommend eligibility set at 200 percent of the federal poverty level with allowances for longer term deferred 23 24 payment plans.

25

The Office of the Public Counsel just detailed

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

the settlement in the Spire case including the matching
 framework between ratepayers and Evergy shareholders,
 and NHT supports that framework.

The second step in Mr. Colton's testimony that 4 5 he recommends is expanding Evergy's Economic Relief 6 Pilot Program by targeting relief to the extreme poor 7 and by using what we're calling express lane eligibility 8 and various community outreach strategies to make the 9 program widely utilized and widely known across the most 10 vulnerable populations. Mr. Colton also recommends to 11 expedite the spending of all approved income eligible 12 energy efficiency funds by targeting customers in extreme arrears and increase contributions to 13 weatherization service providers. 14

We are not calling for additional energy efficiency funds save perhaps increased weatherization contributions. We're calling for the expedition of existing approved energy efficiency funds given that they can address customer arrears.

Finally, Mr. Colton recommends renewing a moratorium on disconnections for nonpayment, suspension of late fees and similar steps to assist customers through this unprecedented crisis. So NHT views the non-unanimous stipulation in this case as unacceptable as it does not address any of the steps to limit

customer arrears due to COVID-19. 1 2 As far as NHT's positions on the ability to recover certain costs, we agree with the provision 3 limiting the recovery of so-called lost revenues and we 4 5 do join the Office of the Public Counsel in opposing 6 provisions 8(a) and (b) regarding, as counsel for OPC 7 was explaining, regarding higher than expected 8 uncollectible expenses or the so-called gambling 9 provisions that he referenced. 10 Okay. Next I would like to address various arguments regarding NHT's testimony and recommendations 11 12 in this case. The first being that these proposals I've just outlined, they don't belong in an AAO case or 13 they're appropriate somewhere else. Witness for Staff 14 15 Ms. Natelle Dietrich argues that while NHT and OPC may 16 provide a lot of good information on the effects of 17 COVID-19 on vulnerable populations and perhaps some best 18 practice ways to respond, nevertheless these 19 recommendations are outside the scope of an AAO docket. 20 As I stated previously, we believe it's 21 reasonable to insist that Evergy demonstrate its actions 22 are leading to the least costs incurred that wants broad 23 recovery of these COVID related costs. 24 The Commission is within its rights to order Evergy to implement customer programs and take steps to 25

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1 minimize customer debt during the pandemic. We believe 2 our recommendations are intended to minimize the very 3 costs to be recovered as a result of this case. So we 4 disagree they're outside the scope.

5 Ms. Dietrich suggests several other cases 6 where these recommendations may be more appropriate, and 7 just as an initial observation all parties to the 8 unanimous stipulation in Spire's AAO case have, of 9 course, indicated their approval to addressing many of 10 these concerns by signing to that stipulation and that 11 includes Staff. One of the cases Ms. Dietrich raises is 12 Evergy's application for approval of COVID-19 customer 13 programs or EO-2020-0383. It's worth noting that Evergy 14 filed for approval of its programs on May 22, requested 15 and received a waiver of the 60-day notice provision and 16 they received expedited approval near six days later on 17 May 28. We obviously agree on the need for expedited 18 action in response to this pandemic, but it did leave 19 stakeholders with no opportunity for intervention or 20 input. This case is really the first chance 21 stakeholders in Evergy have had to obtain an order in 22 response to the pandemic. The situation is even more 23 critical now than it was last spring or summer, and it 24 will only become more-so throughout the winter. Another case related here is the pandemic 25

workshop docket or AW-2020-0356. I believe that's 1 2 right. The Commission opened this docket in May and NHT has contributed extensive comments during the summer as 3 part of the energy efficiency for all coalitions' 4 5 comments. There's been no Commission order or direction 6 resulting from this workshop to provide relief for 7 disconnected customers or customers in severe arrears 8 due to unemployment from COVID-19. So this workshop may 9 be a place to arrive at a shared approach to electric, 10 gas and water utilities regarding arrearage management 11 and disconnections and payment plans and things like 12 that. However, such process may take months and would very likely still require individual cases with each 13 14 utility. A more direct route we believe is to place 15 conditions on the approval of Evergy's AAO in this case 16 in order to protect customers against some of the worst effects of the pandemic on their utility bills. 17

One other argument that's been offered is that Evergy already offers COVID response programs and payment plans, and the company points to its existing payment plans and customer programs which total 2.2 million in company funds for COVID relief.

I'd like to note that these existing funds are split between Kansas and Missouri, of course, and a significant portion of these funds consist of grants to

1 small businesses and local nonprofits. Only a small 2 minority of these funds are going toward bill assistance 3 for Evergy residential customers in Missouri, which is 4 where the primary strain of this pandemic is being seen.

5 The fact is that Evergy's current program 6 offerings fall well short of its peer utilities in the 7 The current crisis last spring led utilities Midwest. 8 all across the country and the Midwest to make 9 unprecedented commitments to provide bill assistance and 10 debt forgiveness for customers, suspend disconnections, 11 late fees and take various other actions to limit the accrual of uncollectible debts as a result of the 12 13 pandemic.

14 For example, Minnesota, their largest utility, 15 Xcel Energy, they proposed a \$17-1/2 million bill credit 16 program for its most indebted customers. Illinois 17 Commonwealth Edison, or ComEd, reached an agreement with 18 parties last summer for a COVID-19 bill assistance program worth \$18 million in funding including partial 19 20 arrearage forgiveness. DTE in Michigan, a big utility 21 in Michigan, pledged 13 million to help customers 22 struggling with COVID pandemic, including direct relief 23 for customers with high arrears, and notice all these 24 programs are targeted to high arrear customers to deal 25 with the arrearage issue, which is essentially

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

uncollectible debt in some significant degree. 1 2 And of course, Spire, Missouri's largest gas 3 utility, signed an agreement with advocates that 4 includes a long-term deferred payment plan option, an 5 arrearage management program paid for by both utility 6 shareholders and ratepayers. This, of course, occurred 7 in the context of an AAO case. 8 So just to sum up here, I'd just like to 9 reiterate that we are facing a very serious crisis for 10 Everyy customers in the coming months. Of course, this 11 applies to all electric service customers in the United 12 States. And Missouri is no exception or perhaps above 13 the average there. The non-unanimous stipulation in this case fails to include any additional bill 14 15 assistance, arrearage management program, payment plan, 16 debt forgiveness or other steps to protect customers 17 from the results of unemployment, from the economic 18 hardships coming from this pandemic. So this failure to commit funds towards an 19 20 arrearage management program or similar steps will not 21 only leave Evergy's customers exposed during this 22 crucial emergency but it may lead to accrual of huge 23 amounts of uncollectible debt which may needlessly fall 24 on the backs of ratepayers. I don't believe Evergy has

25 met its burden approving that its lack of addressing

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Г

1	these programs will not lead to that scenario. So we
2	would urge the Commission to take this opportunity to
3	place conditions on the approval of Evergy's requested
4	AAO. I encourage you to further review NHT's position
5	statement and Mr. Colton's rebuttal testimony in this
6	case, to ask whatever questions you might have of
7	Mr. Colton when I tender him as a witness, and I'm happy
8	to make myself available for your questions as well
9	although we may be doing that later. So thank you.
10	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much,
11	Mr. Linhares. We're ready to hear from Renew Missouri.
12	MR. OPITZ: Thank you, Judge. May it please
13	the Commission. I'll be very brief. Renew Missouri did
14	not object to this stipulation and agreement, but we
15	also did not sign. Our position is that this
16	stipulation does not rise to meet the magnitude of the
17	moment we're in in the times of COVID.
18	I believe that the Commission has the ability
19	to attach specific conditions that were recommended by
20	Office of the Public Counsel's witness Dr. Geoff Marke
21	and NHT's witness Mr. Roger Colton, and particularly
22	those are appropriate when they are addressing
23	circumstances that were the direct result of the very
24	same event that the Company is seeking to recover its
25	own expenditures for.

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Г

1	As for the specific details of the conditions,
2	the customer specific recommendations of Office of the
3	Public Counsel's Dr. Marke and those of Mr. Colton from
4	NHT Renew Missouri believes would be appropriate to
5	attach, and with those attached we would support an
6	order granting the AAO. That's all I have. Thank you.
7	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. So we're
8	at 10:24, and I would like to hear from Evergy about how
9	long you would estimate in your experience Mr. Caisley's
10	testimony is likely to take. Let me make sure that
11	Evergy's conference room is unmuted if Mr. Hack wants to
12	speak up or perhaps Mr. Fischer is able to advise. I
13	don't know.
14	MR. FISCHER: We will just be taking
15	cross-examination for the most part of Mr. Caisley. So
16	I don't think I can estimate how long that would take,
17	but he's available right now. I see him on the screen.
18	So we could go forward with it.
19	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So parties
20	MR. FISCHER: You're on mute, I think. We
21	can't hear you, Rob Hack. We still can't hear you.
22	JUDGE JACOBS: So the system tells me that
23	when I click your line it says I can request unmute. So
24	I've apparently sent a request to your system to unmute
25	you. So that's something you have to do on your end.

1	And while they try to figure that out, parties who will
2	be cross-examining Mr. Caisley, in your experience how
3	long do you think this is going to take? I'm just
4	trying to figure out if we should be proceeding with
5	that right now. It seems to me that's a good idea. If
6	anyone doesn't think so, speak up.
7	MS. PLESCIA: For MIEC, we will not have any
8	cross-examination for Mr. Caisley.
9	MS. HENRY: This is Sierra Club. We have no
10	cross-examination for Mr. Caisley.
11	MR. OPITZ: Renew Missouri has no
12	cross-examination for Mr. Caisley.
13	MS. MYERS: Staff will have limited cross for
14	Mr. Caisley. We'll take probably less than five
15	minutes.
16	MR. HALL: Judge, this is Caleb Hall. Public
17	Counsel does have some limited cross for Mr. Caisley.
18	Based on some other hearings we've had in the
19	evidentiary room, I wouldn't be surprised if it just
20	lasts an hour based on the back and forth. Of course,
21	Evergy has a responsibility and an opportunity to
22	respond to whatever cross questions come. Perhaps we
23	can start with Mr. Caisley however maybe with
24	depending on what Commissioners may have questions as
25	well that may extend past the time that they need to be

1 absent for agenda.

2 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So this is what I would say then. It sounds to me like, and I appreciate you 3 4 giving me some guidance on this, Mr. Hall. So it sounds like we should proceed with Mr. Caisley because we 5 6 should be able to get that done before we have to break, 7 and then we can come back and open up the floor and let 8 the bench ask questions of all the attorneys that we just heard from in opening. That's how I would propose 9 10 to proceed. Right now we have to make sure we can hear 11 from Mr. Hack. I just got a chat message that says that 12 they show that they are unmuted, and on my end it shows 13 that you're muted. When I try to unmute you, I don't 14 have authority to do that. So have you toggled your 15 mute one time and let's see what happens. You've done that. 16

17 Okay. And this line that I'm seeing that I don't have sound from is an audio call that was dialed 18 19 in; is that right? So how about you disconnect that and 20 call us back. Okay? Okay. So this is what I'm going 21 to suggest. I'm going to give this ten minutes to get 22 worked out. So if anyone needs to excuse themselves, please be back here by 10:38. In the meantime, I will 23 24 try to work out whatever our audio connection is and we'll start with Mr. Caisley's testimony at 10:38 a.m. 25

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

That gives Ms. Bentch a brief break if she needs one. 1 2 (A recess was taken.) JUDGE JACOBS: It looks like it is now 10:38 3 4 We do have a court reporter. Let's get back on a.m. the record. So where we left off we were getting ready 5 6 to move in to Evergy's first witness, Mr. Caisley. And 7 Mr. Hack, is everything okay on your end? 8 MR. HACK: This is Rob Hack. Can you hear me? 9 JUDGE JACOBS: Yes. 10 MR. HACK: I'm prepared to begin whenever you 11 desire. 12 JUDGE JACOBS: I just want to confirm that I 13 am seeing all the individuals we need here present with us, and it looks like we have everyone who is essential. 14 15 Ms. Bentch? 16 THE COURT REPORTER: Can Mr. Hack turn up his 17 volume at all? 18 MR. HACK: I will speak up more. Is that Okay. We'll see what we can do here. 19 better? Μv technical skills are limited. So I will begin. State 20 21 your name for the record, please, sir. 22 THE WITNESS: Charles A. Caisley. 23 JUDGE JACOBS: So we need to swear in a 24 witness if we're going to present a witness. So 25 Mr. Caisley, please raise your right hand.

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	(Witness sworn.)
2	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. You may
3	now proceed, Mr. Hack.
4	MR. HACK: Thank you.
5	CHARLES CAISLEY,
6	called as a witness in behalf of Evergy, being sworn,
7	testified as follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HACK:
9	Q. Mr. Caisley, where do you work, by whom are
10	you employed and in what position?
11	A. I work for Evergy, and I am Evergy's Chief
12	Customer Officer.
13	Q. Sir, did you cause testimony to be prepared
14	and filed in this proceeding, surrebuttal testimony that
15	has been marked as Exhibit 2 for the confidential
16	version and Exhibit 3 for the public version of that
17	testimony?
18	A. I did, yes.
19	Q. Do you have any changes to that testimony at
20	this time?
21	A. I do not, no.
22	Q. If I were to pose to you today the questions
23	that are asked in that testimony, would your answers be
24	substantially the same?
25	A. Other than updating potentially some of the

1 numbers from when this was filed, yes, my answers would 2 all be the same. Are those answers true and correct, to the 3 0. best of your knowledge and belief? 4 5 Yes, they are. Α. 6 0. Mr. Caisley, I would also ask you to identify 7 what has been marked as Exhibit 1. 8 Α. Uh-huh. 9 Can you identify that, please? Ο. Oh, yeah, sorry. It's the stipulation and 10 Α. 11 agreement, I believe. 12 Thank you. And have you been made aware of a Ο. 13 typographical error in the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and 14 Agreement, Exhibit 1? 15 Yes. On page 8, paragraph 16 the words are Α. written December 31, 2021 on the last two lines of that 16 17 paragraph. Those should be replaced with the date 18 December 31, 2020. 19 Thank you, sir. Does Exhibit 1, the Ο. 20 Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement as corrected, 21 represent the outcome Evergy and you advocate in this 22 proceeding? 23 Α. Yes, absolutely. You know, while we stand by 24 our original filing and our testimony in this case, that 25 stipulation and agreement is something that we approve

of and would ask for the Commission's approval. 1 2 MR. HACK: With that, I would offer Exhibits 2 and 3 into the evidentiary record, as well as Exhibit 1, 3 and tender Mr. Caisley for cross-examination. 4 JUDGE JACOBS: Would there be any objection to 5 6 Exhibit 1, which is the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and 7 Agreement with the correction that has been noted? 8 Hearing no objection to that exhibit, unless someone 9 wants to interrupt me, Exhibit 1 will be admitted to the 10 record. And then you have Exhibit 2C which is 11 confidential and Exhibit 3 have also been offered. Any 12 objection to those exhibits? Those exhibits will be 13 admitted to the record, as I have not heard any 14 objections. (EVERGY'S EXHIBITS 1, 2C AND 3P WERE RECEIVED 15 INTO EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.) 16 17 JUDGE JACOBS: Then I believe we're ready for cross-examination. So we can proceed with Staff if you 18 have any cross-examination for Mr. Caisley. 19 20 MS. MYERS: Yes, Judge, we do have a few. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MYERS: 22 Good morning, Mr. Caisley. Q. 23 Α. Good morning. How are you? 24 Good. My name is Jamie Myers. I'm with Staff Ο. I have just a few questions for you. So your 25 counsel.

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

counsel just asked you a question regarding Exhibit 1, 1 2 which is the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, correct? 3 That is correct. Α. 4 You're familiar with that document? 5 Ο. I am familiar with that document. 6 Α. 7 So does the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and 0. 8 Agreement put forward additional customer protections 9 found in paragraphs 16 and 18 relative to the original 10 filed positions of Evergy and Staff? Yes, it does. 11 Α. 12 For what period of time does this 0. 13 Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement call for Evergy 14 to continue its current practice of waiving late payment 15 fees, one of the customer protections, as well as not doing external credit reporting and other customer 16 17 protection for residential and small business customers? 18 Through March 31 of 2021. Α. 19 Is that consistent with the deferral period --Ο. 20 Α. It is. 21 -- in the stipulation and agreement? 0. 22 Additionally, for what time period does the 23 Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement call for Evergy to waive reconnect fees for residential and small 24 25 business customers?

Again, that's through March 31 of 2021. 1 Α. 2 Ο. When does the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement call for Evergy to evaluate the reasonableness 3 of extending their current offering of 12-month payment 4 plans, as well as offering additional customer 5 6 assistance programs in consultation with Staff, OPC and 7 NHT? 8 Α. That would be the first of the year, but 9 honestly that's an ongoing thing. We continue and will 10 continue to evaluate this and consult with Staff, OPC 11 and other stakeholders as long as the pandemic 12 continues. 13 Thank you. Just one last question. 0. In your 14 opinion, is the adoption of these additional customer 15 protections a reasonable outcome? 16 Yes, we do believe it is. Α. 17 MS. MYERS: Thank you, Mr. Caisley. I have no 18 further questions. 19 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Do we have any 20 cross-examination from Missouri Industrial Energy? I 21 think actually, Ms. Plescia, you said you would not. Ι 22 think you're muted. 23 MS. PLESCIA: No questions. Thank you. JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. And then 24 25 from Midwest Energy Consumers Group?

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

MR. WOODSMALL: No questions, Your Honor. 1 2 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. Does 3 Sierra Club? I think many of you have told me you would 4 not. So I understand there's no cross from Sierra Club 5 for Mr. Caisley. 6 MS. HENRY: That is correct, Your Honor. 7 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. And Renew Missouri 8 also didn't plan to have any? 9 MR. OPITZ: That's correct, Judge. 10 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. So that leaves 11 Office of the Public Counsel to get started now with 12 your cross, sir. 13 MR. HALL: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL: 14 15 Well, Mr. Caisley, the problem with crossing Ο. towards the end is when I say this, it sounds trite, but 16 17 I'm going to say it anyway. Good morning. 18 Good morning. How are you? Α. Pretty good. Mr. Caisley, do you have a copy 19 Ο. 20 of your surrebuttal testimony in front of you for this 21 case? Could you please turn to pages 11 and 12 of your 22 surrebuttal? 23 Α. Yes, sir. On these pages there's a Q and A that starts 24 Ο. 25 on page 11 that goes to page 12. That Q and A is

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

1	referring to contributions that Evergy has pledged to
2	agencies and communities that Evergy serves, correct?
3	A. That is correct.
4	Q. This is the 2.2 million figure that your
5	counsel, Mr. Fischer, mentioned in his opening, correct?
6	A. That is correct.
7	Q. Is this for all of Evergy's service territory?
8	A. It is, yes.
9	Q. Both Kansas and Missouri?
10	A. That is correct.
11	Q. Do you know the breakdown in those numbers?
12	A. I don't think there is a specific breakdown.
13	I would imagine, though, that it will run pretty much
14	along a pro rata share between the customers that we
15	serve in these states.
16	Q. Are you familiar with the Evergy or let me
17	rephrase that question. Are you familiar with the
18	program Project Deserve?
19	A. Project Deserve, yes, I am.
20	Q. Is that an Evergy program?
21	A. It is an Evergy program in Kansas, yes.
22	Q. That answers my next question. Is that
23	offered in Missouri?
24	A. No. In Missouri, we use Dollar-Aide.
25	Q. Mr. Caisley, there is a \$400,000 figure

mentioned on page 11. What program is that referring 1 2 to? So that would be our emergency economic 3 Α. 4 grants, and those would be going to not-for-profit organizations dealing with the impacts of COVID-19 in 5 6 our communities. 7 What are some of these nonprofit agencies that Ο. 8 are included in that grant program? 9 I mean, it's really anybody who deals with Α. food insecurity, housing, could be different types of 10 11 utility assistance, and again they're emergency grants 12 that are, you know, for agencies that have a focus area that's related to the front line of dealing with some of 13 14 the impacts of COVID-19. 15 MR. HALL: Judge, at this time I would like to refer to a document that actually wasn't prefiled as an 16 exhibit or prenoted. At this time I'd like to email you 17 and opposing counsel that document before I send it to 18 19 the witness. Is that all right? 20 JUDGE JACOBS: Sure. Please do. 21 MR. HALL: Mr. Hack, can you let me know when 22 you receive the document and you've had a chance to 23 review it? What is it? 24 MR. HACK: Sure. 25 MR. HALL: I'm not sure it's appropriate for

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

me to identify it unless the witness disagrees, but this 1 2 is a data request that Evergy provided to OPC in this docket. 3 JUDGE JACOBS: I have not received anything 4 quite yet, Mr. Hall. I don't know if I was supposed to 5 6 yet but I have not. 7 MR. HALL: My outbox is indicating that I did 8 send it to you, Judge. 9 MR. HACK: Caleb, did you send it to the entire list that I had provided, the entire email 10 11 address list? 12 MR. HALL: No, I sent this to --13 MR. HACK: I have somebody who is on that list 14 who's helping me get the documents. I don't have my 15 email list open or my email open. That was the purpose of that list. 16 17 JUDGE JACOBS: So I have received the email 18 and I can quickly dispatch it to the parties as a 19 forward, but I believe there were many additional people 20 on that list that Mr. Hack had provided. I do think it 21 would be appropriate that we make sure everybody has a 22 chance to see this. So I can forward to all parties 23 right now if that's helpful. 24 MR. HALL: Yes, thank you, Judge. 25 JUDGE JACOBS: Let me do that right now and

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	then the parties can distribute as is needed. Okay.
2	Here we go. Sending an email is very easy unless 35
3	people are watching you do it. I did forward that to
4	the parties, and I'll let you all receive that while I
5	forward it to some additional folks who need to see it
6	as well. Everyone will have a couple minutes here to
7	check their email and then we'll proceed.
8	Okay. I am ready to proceed. I don't know
9	about the rest of you. Has everyone received what they
10	needed to receive?
11	MR. HACK: I have not yet, Your Honor. It
12	should be printed shortly.
13	MR. ZOBRIST: You might tell Chuck it's coming
14	from me, not Anthony, unless Anthony is doing it.
15	MR. HACK: Chuck, Carl Zobrist sent you the
16	document.
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't have it yet
18	though.
19	MR. HALL: Mr. Caisley, do you have your email
20	up now? I can send it to you directly and cc your
21	counsel.
22	THE WITNESS: That would be fine. My email is
23	up, yes. All right. I just received it from
24	Mr. Zobrist.
25	MR. HACK: We are still waiting here in Kansas

City. 1 I'm sorry. 2 THE WITNESS: I'm looking at it now. MR. HALL: Well, then I'll continue 3 4 questioning then. I apologize for the delay. 5 BY MR. HALL: 6 0. Mr. Caisley, your counsel has been gracious 7 enough to send you the document I want to ask you about. 8 This is Data Request No. 2027 in this docket. Do you 9 agree with me that this was authenticated by Evergy's 10 regulatory team with an email from Brad Lutz on the 11 third page of this document? 12 I only have two pages of the document, but I Α. will stipulate to that. This looks familiar. 13 Thank you, Mr. Caisley. Do you agree with me 14 Ο. 15 that the questions that Geoff Marke posited to Everyy 16 was to provide -- please proceed multiple examples of 17 nonprofits that have qualified for grant assistance 18 under Evergy's Hometown Economic Recovery Program? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Ο. And this has 23 separate nonprofits or 21 agencies that were examples provided by Evergy? 22 That is correct. Α. 23 Ο. Mr. Caisley, you had mentioned earlier in 24 questioning that the Hometown Economic Recovery Program 25 is giving assistance to agencies that are addressing

bill arrearages or utility bill payments. Can you point 1 2 to any of these examples of such agencies on this list? I'm looking through it. I believe, just to be 3 Α. 4 clear, my answer was more expansive than that. I said 5 that it did deal with agencies that are dealing first 6 response, you know, frontline response, agencies dealing 7 with a host of impacts from this pandemic. So I'm 8 sorry. Could you repeat your question one more time? Ι was just looking through this list. 9 Yeah, sure. Which of these agencies on this 10 0. 11 example sheet provided by Evergy would be addressing 12 bill arrearages or utility payments for customers? 13 If by that you mean direct assistance meaning Α. money that goes directly through them to a customer, I 14 15 do not believe any of these directly would. However, 16 there are several on there that are working with identifying at-risk communities that would have, you 17 18 know, particular needs and issues around whether it's 19 food or utility or other areas of impact. 20 Ο. Okay. Examples of that would be like example 21 number 2 is the Boys Grow Program out of Kansas City or 22 number 8 is the Hispanic Economic Development 23 Corporation? 24 Those would be a couple of examples. Α. Correct. You agree with me those do not give direct 25 Ο.

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

assistance to customers looking to pay utility bills? 1 2 Α. No, no, I agree with you. 3 0. Same with example 21, the St. Joseph Chamber 4 of Commerce? 5 Α. I agree with you on that, yes. 6 MR. HALL: Thank you. I have no further 7 questions. 8 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. We can proceed to any 9 cross-examination from National Housing Trust. 10 MR. LINHARES: Thank you, Judge. Some very 11 brief cross. 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINHARES: Good morning, Mr. Caisley. 13 0. JUDGE JACOBS: Actually, I'm sorry, I don't 14 15 want to proceed at this point because, Mr. Hall, what 16 are we doing with the document that we just passed 17 around and distributed to everyone and talked to the 18 witness about? Is that something that should be part of 19 the record in this case? 20 MR. HALL: OPC doesn't see a reason to offer 21 it as an exhibit. I can if you would desire me to. 22 This is mostly being -- I mostly used it as an aid for 23 Mr. Caisley and I to have our conversation. 24 JUDGE JACOBS: So what you were hoping to point out was there was a list of 23 programs and ask 25

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1 him whether any of them provided direct relief for 2 arrearages? MR. HALL: Correct. 3 4 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you very much. Ι 5 apologize for interrupting. Now I will ask Mr. Linhares 6 to begin. Thank you. 7 MR. LINHARES: Thank you. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINHARES: 8 9 Ο. Morning, Mr. Caisley. Good morning. 10 Α. 11 Do you have your surrebuttal testimony in 0. 12 front of you for reference? I do. 13 Α. 14 Ο. I apologize. I don't remember what exhibit 15 number that is. 16 It's 2 private, 3 public. Α. 17 Ο. Thank you. So page 4 of your surrebuttal 18 testimony you stated that Evergy faces an unknown risk 19 of collection due to substantial customers in payment 20 plans or something similar. Do you see that? 21 Do you have a line that you're referring to? Α. 22 Sorry, just trying to --23 Ο. I can find that for you. So starting on 15 or 24 16 rather. Would you say that this risk of collection, or unknown risk of collection as you say in your 25

testimony, would you say that risk has become more 1 2 significant or less since you authored this testimony? Well, depends on what you're describing as 3 Α. risk. What I can tell you is that from accounts that 4 5 were in arrears or being eligible for disconnection, we feel very -- we've seen positive trends meaning that 6 we're below the level of accounts in arrears that we 7 8 were experiencing since March 13. Having said that, the 9 total amount of, you know, arrears plus balances has 10 grown significantly over this time period and is 11 significantly greater as a result of the 12-month 12 payment plan principally than we, you know, we would experience otherwise. So yes, I would say there's a 13 14 significant amount of risk associated with that. 15 And you're in a management position at Evergy. Ο.

15 Q. And you're in a management position at Evergy. 16 Can you tell us whether Evergy expects this risk of 17 uncollectible debt to be more severe in the coming 18 months?

A. I would say that all really depends. I mean, we have been pleased that schools have started to reopen and that restaurants and commercial businesses are able to conduct business again, although some of them are at more limited capacities. So right now we're kind of holding our own I would say. What is concerning is with the spike of cases that have been reported and what may

1	happen as we come into the wintertime. I think that
2	bears watching and making sure that if we were to see
3	another shutdown, whether it was localized or statewide
4	or even national, which is being discussed now, that
5	could obviously change the situation pretty
6	dramatically.
7	Q. I would like to turn to page 6 and I'm looking
8	at the answer starting on line 16.
9	A. Okay.
10	Q. So you are summarizing here the goals Evergy
11	hopes to achieve as part of its COVID-19 customer
12	programs in Case No. EO-2020-0383. Would you be willing
13	to read those goals for me?
14	A. Sure. Reducing the number of customers in
15	arrears and the magnitude of customer arrearages,
16	accelerating the ability to interact with customers to
17	establish payment plans, reducing the number of phone
18	calls made by customers to the contact center and
19	associated wait times, reducing the number of customer
20	disconnections for nonpayment, associated truck rolls
21	and other collection activity costs, and maintaining
22	essential electric service to as many customers as
23	possible which assists in maintaining the continuity of
24	revenue streams that support the continued provision of
25	safe and reliable electric service.

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Thank you. So given that these are the goals 1 Ο. 2 Evergy hopes to achieve with customer programs in response to the pandemic, can you tell me what the 3 4 purpose is of pursuing these goals for Evergy, the 5 business purpose? 6 Α. Well, first, there was a significant concern when we initially announced the moratorium, and 7 8 particularly as we went into summer, that customers would develop significant balances and have difficulty 9 10 paying them off which is why we initiated -- we actually 11 enlisted Trove Analytics and McKinsey and we did a lot 12 of work to try and establish if we could who might be 13 most at risk and develop programs and a protocol to 14 reach out to people ahead of reaching a crisis level to 15 try and mitigate the number of people that once we lifted the moratorium would be at risk of disconnection. 16 17 That's very disruptive to their life or their business. 18 But also once, you know, we didn't want to see a bow 19 wave of those things hit all at the same time, and we 20 wanted to do as much as we could to have customers get 21 on a reasonable payment plan to keep them as current as 22 possible. Of course, we did have some limited success 23 with some payment programs we established that also gave At the end of the day, really the business 24 credits. purpose was two or three fold. One, we want to keep as 25

1	many customers on as possible. Two, we want to mitigate
2	the amount of bad debt exposure for the company and, you
3	know, for a proceeding like this. We wanted to make
4	sure that we maintained or improved customer experience
5	so that as people were dealing with the stress of the
6	pandemic generally we could mitigate as much as we could
7	from a financial and just, you know, a worry aspect that
8	folks were going to lose their electricity or their
9	business as a result of that. And then ultimately again
10	keeping as many people on a payment plan that was
11	reasonable and they could stay on was a business purpose
12	as well.
13	Q. Would you say that money spent mitigating the
14	accrual of bad debt as you say, would you say that the
15	money spent by Evergy to accomplish that will have a
16	beneficial effect for ratepayers?
17	A. I'm sorry?
18	Q. I think we lost you there.
19	A. Yeah, you froze up on me. I don't know if
20	that's on my end or your end.
21	Q. So you referenced mitigating bad debt. I
22	think Mr. Caisley, are you there?
23	JUDGE JACOBS: It looks like his connection
24	has degraded.
25	MR. HALL: Pardon the interruption, Judge.

This is Caleb from OPC. If I might suggest, it appears 1 Mr. Caisley is having a bandwidth issue. If he turns 2 off his camera, that might help. 3 MR. LINHARES: Yeah, that would be fine with 4 5 us. 6 THE WITNESS: There we go. Maybe this will be 7 better. 8 BY MR. LINHARES: 9 Great. Mr. Caisley, I'll repeat the question 0. 10 here, and let us know if you get caught off again. 11 Α. Okay. 12 You referenced mitigating bad debt, you know, 0. 13 one of the purposes here of this program was to mitigate the accrual of bad debt by customers. Would you say 14 15 that that investment by Evergy could lead to a 16 beneficial outcome for ratepayers? 17 Α. Certainly. I think anytime you reduce bad 18 debt it's beneficial to everybody. And like I said, you 19 know, when we started the moratorium in March, our 20 accounts receivable balances excluding pay arrangements 21 were right around \$144 million. As of the end of last 22 week, we were at \$141 million of accounts in arrears 23 again excluding payment arrangements. When you include 24 the payment arrangements, then, you know, our total 25 balance today is, you know, probably around, just right

1 around looks to be like \$15 to \$16 million of more 2 accounts receivables on our books than were at the time 3 of the moratorium and when the pandemic first really 4 hit.

5 Our total amount to pay off all balances is 6 about \$70 million higher than it was at the start. So 7 it continues to grow. It's not uniform every single 8 week or every single month, but in general the balance 9 of total accounts receivables and balances on pay 10 arrangements continues to grow.

Q. Would you -- So thank you. Would you estimate that that number would continue to grow if the pandemic were to become significantly more severe?

A. Yes, I think if we go back into a lockdown again, then I would say we would be in a situation where that would continue to grow probably faster than it is now. It's slowed over the past several months, but I would expect that it would start growing again if we went into a lockdown situation.

20 Q. Okay. Thank you. I want to briefly just go 21 back to page 4 of your surrebuttal testimony. You 22 stated, let's see, this is line 3, your answer there, 23 you stated you're confident in telling the Commission 24 that Evergy has been an industry leader in your response 25 to customers' needs during the COVID-19 pandemic. Do

1	you see that?
2	A. Yes, I do.
3	Q. Would you still consider Evergy an industry
4	leader in this area?
5	A. I do, yes.
6	Q. And why?
7	A. I think there's multiple reasons. First from
8	conversations with many of my peers and other utilities
9	I can tell you that they in general have higher number
10	of people who are eligible for disconnection at this
11	point than we do, and I think that it is a significant
12	benefit to our customers and I think it's a significant
13	benefit to our company and the community we serve that
14	from the day this started right out of the gate we were
15	one of the first utilities in the United States to
16	announce a moratorium, but then secondly we immediately
17	went into looking at how could we come out of that
18	moratorium and do it in a way that wasn't significantly
19	disruptive to businesses and to lives in our
20	communities.
21	And I think the first proof point there is
22	that as we sit here today, as I stated earlier, we're
23	about \$3 million under the amount of folks The
24	accounts are down and the amount of dollars that are in
25	arrears and eligible for disconnection as we sit here

1	today six, seven months after when this started is less
2	than when we began. I think that only happens if you
3	take some extraordinary measures and do things that, you
4	know, frankly we hadn't done before and I would say
5	significant amount of utilities around the country still
6	have not taken. Does that mean we're the best? No. I
7	wouldn't say that I always say that there are things
8	we can look at to do better, but I do think we did some
9	things and some immediate and ongoing mitigation steps
10	that were significant.
11	So you asked for examples. One of the first
12	people to announce a moratorium, as I mentioned earlier.
13	We immediately very aggressively, very proactively
14	started communicating with our customers and other
15	stakeholders and groups in the community. We expedited
16	the hiring and training of two classes of customer
17	service representatives. We took and went from 20
18	percent deployed remotely capability for our call center
19	representatives to now we're almost 98-99 percent
20	deployed remotely. So we had no interruption in service
21	there. We targeted and expedited the deployment of
22	around 15 to 16,000 AMI meters with automatic disconnect
23	and reconnect in order to be in a situation where once
24	we came out of the moratorium, whenever that ended up
25	being because we didn't know at the time, when people

were disconnected for nonpayment we could reconnect them the moment that they made a pay arrangement with us rather than having to roll a truck. And so out of all of the disconnections that occurred after the moratorium and through the middle of August, only 659 actually had to have a truck roll to restore service and most of those were small commercial customers.

8 We immediately got with McKinsey and with 9 Trove to model the impacts to different customer groups and see if we could do some predictive analytics around 10 11 who would be most affected and what kind of programs we 12 could put together to work with them. We set up a cross-functional task force internally to look at this, 13 14 not just from an operational perspective but from a more 15 holistic and community perspective. I mean, there's just many, many things in addition to doing the programs 16 17 that contain bill credits for people who would make 18 arrangements with us, whether it was a one-month payoff, 19 a four-month payoff or a twelve-month payoff. I would 20 say still most utilities at least that are within the 21 EEI member companies, as well as many of the co-ops and 22 municipal utilities that we have information on, did not 23 have or do not have those credit programs. And again, 24 as a result of bill credit programs and as a result of all of this, you know, we're still evaluating what else 25

it is that we may need to do particularly if we go into 1 2 lockdown again. I do think we did some very good things and perhaps some industry leading things in some areas. 3 Sounds like you took some early steps. Are 4 Ο. 5 you familiar with the customer programs offered by other Midwestern utilities of Evergy's size and specifically 6 7 their levels of investment in those programs? 8 Α. I don't have any specific knowledge of like, 9 for example, yes, on calls that we have with the Edison Electric Institute we all share what it is that we're 10 doing. Could I recall them or list them for you right 11 12 now? No, I couldn't. 13 Would you consider Evergy a leader in the Ο. level of investment it has committed to arrearage 14 15 management and similar programs, in particular the level of shareholder investment? 16 I would consider for our size and for the 17 Α. 18 situation that we're experiencing what we are doing is doing the trick, I think. 19 20 Ο. Would you consider Evergy a leader among your 21 peer group in terms of level of investment, in particular shareholder investment? 22 23 Α. Again, I don't know what other utilities have done in terms of shareholder investment. I do think 24 that if we look at our peer utilities in Missouri and if 25

we look at our peer utilities in Kansas if there's a 1 2 difference, there's not a significant magnitude of difference. 3 MR. LINHARES: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Caisley. 4 THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with the 5 6 particulars of where they are on arrears and what kind 7 of issues their customers are having. I couldn't speak 8 to any of the things that I just listed previously 9 measures that we undertook to whether they've done it or 10 not or where they sit right now relative to where they 11 were pre COVID. 12 MR. LINHARES: Thank you. I have no further questions, Judge. 13 14 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. So we can 15 now take any questions from the Commission if we might 16 have any. I'm not going to do a roll call process. I'd 17 like the Commissioners to speak up if they would like to 18 ask any questions. I know you're all respectful to each 19 other and you'll defer to seniority. Whoever has a 20 question, please speak up if there's anyone from the 21 Commission. 22 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Judge, this is 23 Commissioner Rupp. 24 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER RUPP: 25 Mr. Caisley, the only question I have is why 0.

1	is Evergy's situation different than Spire and
2	Missouri-American Water?
3	A. Commissioner, first of all, good morning.
4	Good to see you. It's been awhile. Second of all, in
5	what respect? I guess a little more clarity would be
6	helpful.
7	Q. I guess what I'm trying to ask is what's the
8	difference in Evergy's settlement than opposed to Spire
9	and Missouri-American Water's settlement?
10	A. I'm not familiar with the details of their
11	settlements, and probably Darrin Ives would be better to
12	delve into that, but I do believe they have there can
13	be some programs that they agreed to which are not
14	contemplated in our stipulation and agreement.
15	COMMISSIONER RUPP: I'll defer to ask
16	Mr. Ives. So he can tee that one up whenever he gets a
17	chance. Thank you.
18	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
19	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you, Commissioner Rupp.
20	Did we have any additional questions from the Commission
21	at this point for Mr. Caisley?
22	QUESTIONS BY JUDGE JACOBS:
23	Q. Okay. I was just hoping that you could remind
24	everyone, Mr. Caisley, when did the moratorium begin for
25	Evergy's customers and when did that end? I think it

has ended but perhaps I'm wrong. 1 2 Α. It has ended. I believe, subject to a couple of days on either side of this, I believe it was either 3 March 12 or 13 that we went into the moratorium, I 4 5 believe. Hard to remember at this point and we can 6 certainly get that exact date. And then we ran through 7 I believe it was July 15. 8 Q. Okay. And just to help me remember how this happened, Evergy came to the Commission and asked for a 9 10 moratorium and that was approved and Evergy proposed 11 that that moratorium would continue through July 15 and 12 then that period has expired; is that how that happened? 13 I could be wrong. So you can explain it to me. 14 Α. No. We did not seek approval, I don't 15 I believe we just announced it that we were believe. going to do it. When we announced it, there was an 16 17 initial date, I think it was around 45 days, and we said we'd reevaluate. And then we kept extending it multiple 18 19 times as the lockdown continued and people continued to 20 struggle. Ultimately we announced to customers about 21 five weeks, five to six weeks prior to lifting the 22 moratorium on July 15 and contemporaneously with 23 announcing those when the moratorium was going to be 24 lifted, we engaged in a very significant proactive 25 communication campaign and also announced the programs

that we were offering to try and help customers deal
 with accounts in arrears and issues.

Q. Okay. I have one other issue I wanted to clarify with you. Is Evergy's arrearage management programs, does that include any kind of arrearage match or relief that allows a customer who owes money to actually have some of that arrearage forgiven or something along those lines?

9 Α. It did. When we announced the moratorium was going to end on July 15, we announced two programs that 10 11 would allow folks to get some forgiveness of their 12 arrearages for setting up payment plans and for keeping them. We got about 9,000 customers, I think, right 13 around there were the final count of customers that 14 15 enrolled in that. And then those programs expired at 16 the end of August. And one of the considerations we 17 gave to that was that, you know, the number of people by 18 the end of August that we had or accounts that were 19 qualified for disconnection was down under pre COVID 20 levels both in terms of accounts and in absolute dollars 21 and so we suspended those programs and no longer offered 22 them.

However, should they become necessary or should we see a change in the overall situation economically or the pandemic, we would absolutely

1	consider those again and have agreed to that in the
2	stipulation, but I would like to add just one other
3	thing to that. I know in this particular proceeding
4	we're on the opposite sides of the issue, but Dr. Marke
5	and OPC were extremely helpful, and so was Staff who we
6	did sign the stipulation with in this, in getting those
7	programs reviewed and discussed and really acted very
8	quickly so that we could offer those programs to
9	customers. We just really enjoyed the partnership on
10	that and the fact that we did get 9,000 people enrolled
11	in those programs with a level of arrearage forgiveness.
12	Q. Okay. Just to make sure that I understand it,
13	it will save me a little time, were those programs
14	subject to a Commission proceeding or was that another
15	thing that Evergy did voluntarily on its own without
16	having to go through the Commission process?
17	A. We did not. It was voluntary. And we asked
18	for expedited treatment. I'm not sure if we got an
19	order on that. You'd have to Probably Mr. Ives would
20	be the right one or our counsel to go back into the
21	details of that. I just know that, you know, normally
22	being able to do and launch those types of programs
23	takes a considerable amount of time and was very just
24	thrilled that we could do that as quickly as we did.
25	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Those were the only

questions that I had. Thank you, Mr. Caisley. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge. JUDGE JACOBS: I will open it up and, of 3 4 course, Commissioners are free at any time to interrupt 5 if they have something that they want to ask. And then 6 if not hearing any of those immediately, we can go on to 7 any recross that anyone might have if they think that's necessary if Staff has anything that they wanted to add. 8 9 Okay. And Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers, if you wanted to ask anything, I would give you a chance. 10 11 Okay. I can see from the video that --12 MS. PLESCIA: No questions. 13 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, Ms. 14 Plescia. And Midwest Energy Consumers Group, 15 Mr. Woodsmall, if you wanted to ask anything, now would 16 be your chance. 17 MR. WOODSMALL: Nothing, Your Honor, thank 18 you. 19 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Sierra Club, did 20 you have any questions at this point? Ms. Henry is 21 indicating no. Renew Missouri, was there anything that 22 you wanted to revisit? 23 MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge. JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. And Office of the 24 Public Counsel, Mr. Hall, did you have anything? 25

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

MR. HALL: No, thank you, Judge. 1 2 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. National Housing 3 Trust, that leaves you, Mr. Linhares, did you have 4 anything, sir? 5 MR. LINHARES: No, thank you, Judge. 6 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Would Every like to do 7 any redirect with Mr. Caisley today? 8 MR. HACK: Just a little bit, Your Honor. This is Rob Hack. Can you hear me, court reporter? 9 10 JUDGE JACOBS: She's indicating yes. 11 MR. HACK: Very good. 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HACK: Mr. Caisley, let's go back to DR 2027 that OPC 13 0. 14 provided to you and also would like to in connection 15 with that go to your surrebuttal testimony on page 11. 16 Are you there? 17 Α. I am. So if you recall Mr. Hall's questions about 18 0. 19 the \$400,000 pledge, that pledge is where you referenced 20 grants to nonprofit agencies at the frontline of COVID 21 response dealing with food insecurity as an example or 22 housing insecurity as an example and potentially even 23 energy assistance as an example; is that correct? 24 Α. Yep. And that's on page 11, lines 13 through 19 of 25 0.

your surrebuttal, correct? 1 2 Α. That is correct. And lines 20 through 24 of your surrebuttal 3 0. deal with an \$800,000 pledge for Evergy's Hometown 4 5 Economic Recovery Program, correct? That is correct. 6 Α. 7 And DR 2027 deals with Evergy's Hometown 0. 8 Economic Recovery Program, correct? 9 Α. That is correct. 10 So they're really two different programs, two Ο. 11 different pledge levels and your response regarding the 12 potential for energy assistance was really in reference to the \$400,000 grant whereas the \$800,000 is addressed 13 in the DR 2027 but is for something else, correct? 14 15 That is correct. Α. 16 Thank you. In terms of the accounts 0. 17 receivable balances that have been climbing and amounts 18 subject to payment plans that have been climbing during 19 the pandemic, would you attribute the bulk of that 20 increase to Evergy's offer of 12-month payment plans? 21 Yes, I would. I think that is probably almost Α. 22 exclusively the driver. 23 Q. In your experience, and this relates to the 24 disconnect moratorium questions that were asked by a 25 number of people, in your experience as the Chief

1 Customer Officer of Evergy, do some customers seem to 2 require some form of collections activity, whether a 3 threat of disconnection or actual disconnection, before 4 they will pay?

5 Α. Absolutely. It is -- We hope to honestly 6 prove that not to be the case. That was one of the 7 goals that we set out to see if we could devise some 8 programs that absent the threat of disconnection we 9 could significantly reduce the number of folks who were 10 eligible for disconnection and take a real crack at the 11 amount that they had in arrears, and we did get 9,000 12 customers who ultimately in a very short time period enrolled in those plans. But what we found out in 13 14 dealing with customers is the biggest thing that they 15 were most interested in when we were talking to them about the plans, the vast majority were more interested 16 17 in the time period with which to pay, in other words, 18 the 12-month payment plan was extremely popular. The 19 second one is that there is a significant number of 20 customers who just simply will not prioritize paying 21 this bill unless there is a threat of disconnection, and 22 we have not found something that adequately addresses, 23 you know, or adequately moves folks as much as that 24 obviously does.

25

Q. And in your conversation with Mr. Linhares on

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

behalf of NHT, there was a reference that you made to 1 2 customer programs mitigating bad debt levels as being a reasonable objective. Do you recall that discussion? 3 Α. I do. 4 5 But that is only one side of the equation, Ο. 6 wouldn't you agree? 7 Α. I would agree. 8 Q. And do you not also need to assess the level of costs associated with those programs in comparison to 9 the level of bad debt reduction? 10 11 Absolutely. Α. 12 And based on your experience at least in our 0. 13 service territory here in Missouri where customers, at 14 least some customers, require collections activity to 15 pay, if an arrearage management program significant 16 element of it is the absence of collection activity, do 17 you have concerns about customers actually paying? 18 I do. And one of the things that we found as Α. 19 part of the moratorium interestingly enough is that, and 20 we're going to do some work at the end of this year and 21 into next year to dive deeper into this, but there's a 22 significant number of customers when you announce that 23 there's no moratorium on disconnections that have the 24 ability to pay that just stopped paying. 25 One last question. After the disconnect 0.

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1 moratorium ended for Evergy in Missouri in mid July, can 2 you characterize or compare the level of disconnection 3 activity that permits that part of the summer and fall 4 this year for Evergy during the pandemic to more normal 5 years?

6 Α. Yes, it was very similar in terms of the 7 number of disconnects and the hours worked, and again 8 that was a goal beginning at the, you know, when we 9 started the moratorium a goal was not to come out of it 10 and have, you know, tens of thousands or hundreds of 11 thousands of people more in a spot where they'd be 12 eligible for disconnection both from a life, quality of life perspective, the stress and the financial issues 13 associated with that, but also just a cost and an 14 15 exposure issue for the company. It was a goal that when we came out of this we could maintain our customer 16 17 service levels in the call centers. It was a goal that we rapidly get underneath the level of accounts eligible 18 for disconnection and the amounts in arrears which again 19 20 at this point we are holding underneath where we were 21 pre COVID. If we go into a lockdown again, I would 22 expect that to be different.

MR. HACK: I think that is all of the redirect
that I have, Judge. Thank you, Mr. Caisley.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

Г

1	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Assuming that there are
2	no late coming questions from the Commission, that will
3	conclude Mr. Caisley's testimony today. So it's 11:35.
4	One thing we need to discuss is whether we're going to
5	move up a witness for Sierra Club. Ms. Henry has
6	indicated that attorney availability might require that.
7	So Ms. Henry, I don't know if everyone was on the line
8	when you mentioned that, so do you just want to remind
9	us what's going on there?
10	MS. HENRY: I have a conflict tomorrow. Thank
11	you, Your Honor. I have a conflict tomorrow and cannot
12	participate in the hearing tomorrow. So I was wondering
13	if we could move Ms. Roberto up to make sure that she
14	can be presented today.
15	JUDGE JACOBS: She needs to appear today.
16	So I would suggest that what we would try to do is move
17	slot her up after Evergy's witnesses, assuming that we
18	can wrap them all up and then do that. So we'll keep an
19	eye on the clock to do that. Does anyone, in particular
20	Staff, have a problem with moving Ms. Roberto up after
21	Evergy?
22	MS. MYERS: Staff has no problems with that.
23	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So I think that's what
24	we'll do. We'll plan on swapping that person up for the
25	day and then I wanted to break at 11:45 for agenda.

That gives us a little bit less than ten minutes here if 1 2 we wanted to get something else accomplished. The next thing on our task list would be to let the Commission 3 ask questions of attorneys based on comments that were 4 made in opening. I don't know if we can handle that 5 6 productively in less than ten minutes. So unless 7 someone has a burning question that they want to ask 8 right now before agenda, and that someone would be a Commissioner, I would suggest that we would go into 9 10 recess and go off the record until we come back after 11 agenda. And I would propose that we would do that at 12 1:15 p.m. Is that going to give everyone enough time to 13 attend agenda and eat some lunch and come back here 14 ready to question counsel? Does anybody have a problem 15 with that schedule? 16 Okay. It looks like that's what we're going

17 to do. We are off the record at this time. We'll be
18 back at 1:15 after agenda. Thank you all.

MR. FISCHER: Judge, before you leave, do we need to do anything logistically to connect back onto the hearing after lunch or can we leave this on or what's your recommendation?

JUDGE JACOBS: So I think this is a test of how superstitious a person is. I am just going to shut off my video and mute my line, because I don't trust

1	that everything will resume, but I've done a little
2	research and according to Google we should be able to
3	disconnect and come right back on.
4	So you know, that's I just wasn't going to
5	do it because I had kind of middle of the night
6	nightmare last night that I would disconnect and then
7	everything would go haywire, but I think everyone should
8	be able to disconnect and come right back on.
9	MR. FISCHER: I think I'll follow your lead.
10	Thank you.
11	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. See you all at 1:15.
12	(The noon recess was taken.)
13	JUDGE JACOBS: It is now 1:15 p.m. That's
14	when I said we would get started. We will get this
15	proceeding back on the record today. So where we left
16	off was we were getting ready to have questions from the
17	bench for all the parties' attorneys who made opening
18	statements as we got started this morning. So we're
19	going to kind of backtrack and do that. We'll go in the
20	order of the parties' comments to the Commission. It
21	sounds like we might have some more people joining us.
22	If we have a Commissioner on the call, would you speak
23	up so I can be aware of your presence? I see
24	Commissioner Rupp. That line must not be a new
25	Commissioner joining us. And then it looks like the

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	conference line from Kansas City was making a little
2	noise but you guys fixed that. So thank you.
3	All right. Unless anyone has anything to
4	start with, we can get started with any questions that
5	anyone may have I'm sorry, that Commissioners may
6	have for Evergy's counsel. Mr. Fischer made the
7	comments to the Commission. Commissioner Rupp, did you
8	want to ask Evergy any questions?
9	COMMISSIONER RUPP: I just had that question
10	for Mr. Ives. Whenever it's his turn he can address
11	that.
12	JUDGE JACOBS: I am not at this point seeing
13	other Commissioners with us on the call at this point.
14	So I think that one thing I will address right now, and
15	this would be addressed to all the attorneys just so
16	that you're aware of this, the Commission is going to
17	ask for briefing in this case to include the issue of
18	the Commission's authority to attach conditions to an
19	accounting authority order specifically in relation to
20	the conditions that the Office of the Public Counsel has
21	recommended if the Commission were to grant an
22	accounting authority order in this case and also the
23	recommendations that National Housing Trust has made in
24	regard to conditions.
25	And because I see that Mr. Linhares has just

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

joined us, I'm going to go ahead and repeat that. So we 1 2 are getting started with any questions that the Commission might have for counsel after your opening 3 statements today. And I think a question that the 4 5 Commission will have in general and that the parties will be directed to brief would be an issue of the 6 7 Commission's authority to attach conditions to 8 accounting authority orders specifically in relation to 9 the types of conditions as I said the Office of the 10 Public Counsel has indicated should be attached to an 11 AAO in this case and the kinds of conditions that 12 National Housing Trust is recommending. So this is just your first I guess advice that that should be included 13 in your briefs. 14

So that is a larger issue that counsel could address now if they wanted to as well if you want to talk about that right now. So since the Commission didn't have any -- or Commissioners did not have any questions at this point for Evergy, did counsel for Evergy want to address that authority issue?

21 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I think we can just brief 22 that issue, and we'd probably prefer to do that.

JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. All right. So we aren't hearing anything directed to Evergy based on opening statements. What about Staff? Any Commissioners want

to direct any questions to Staff? And I think what 1 2 probably will be more efficient in this situation is to simply open the floor to any Commissioners who want to 3 hear any argument from or statements from the parties' 4 5 counsel at this point about any of the issues that were 6 addressed in opening statements rather than going 7 through a roll call process. So all of these fine 8 lawyers are here today. So if anybody wanted to ask 9 them to answer any questions, they've all made clear 10 that they're available to answer the Commission's 11 questions. So I'm sure if the Commission decides later 12 on that they want these folks to answer some questions 13 that these lawyers will be happy to do that at that time 14 as well.

15 So I am not hearing in email or seeing any indications that the Commission needs to hear any 16 17 statements from -- additional arguments from attorneys 18 at this point. So I think we can consider that we've 19 satisfied that part of the process and should the 20 Commission decide they want you guys to answer some 21 questions later on I'm sure that they will bring them up 22 at that point. You're now on notice that your briefs in 23 this case need to address that authority issue in relation to conditions. That means that we're back in 24 the position of being ready to take testimony again. 25 So

we would be picking up again with Evergy's witnesses. 1 2 I know that Sierra Club was hoping to get 3 Cheryl Roberto, her presented today, and I see that Ms. Roberto is with us on the call. I think probably it 4 5 would make sense to try to get Evergy taken care of first. We should have time to do that. So unless for 6 7 some reason people have better foresight than I do, I 8 think we'll go ahead and do that. So let's go ahead and pick up again with Evergy's witnesses. And I believe 9 that would be Mr. Klote. 10 MR. HACK: Yes. This is Rob Hack. Evergy 11 12 would call Mr. Klote to the stand. 13 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Mr. Klote is going to be 14 on the video with you that is labeled as Darrin Ives 15 right now on WebEx; is that right? MR. HACK: That is correct. That's Mr. Klote 16 17 that you see right now. 18 JUDGE JACOBS: So you see that, Ms. Bentch? 19 Looks like you're aware of where Mr. Klote is. Great. 20 So Mr. Klote, would you raise your right hand, sir? 21 (Witness sworn.) 22 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, sir. 23 Okay. You can proceed. MR. HACK: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 25 RONALD KLOTE,

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	called as a witness in behalf of Evergy, being sworn,
2	testified as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HACK:
4	Q. State your name for the record, please.
5	A. It's Ronald A. Klote.
б	Q. By whom are you employed, Mr. Klote, and in
7	what capacity?
8	A. I'm employed by Evergy Metro and I'm the
9	Director of Regulatory Affairs.
10	Q. And Mr. Klote, did you cause to be prepared
11	and filed in this docket certain direct testimony marked
12	as Exhibit 4 and surrebuttal testimony marked as Exhibit
13	5?
14	A. Yes, I did.
15	Q. And do you have any changes at this time to
16	those pieces of testimony?
17	A. No, I don't.
18	Q. If I were to ask you the questions posed in
19	those testimonies today, would your answers be
20	substantially the same?
21	A. Yes, they would.
22	Q. And are those answers true and correct to the
23	best of your information, knowledge and belief?
24	A. Yes, they are.
25	Q. And can you identify Exhibit 1?

1	A. Yes. That's the stipulation and agreement
2	that was entered into with certain parties in this case.
3	Q. And you were aware of the correction made, the
4	typographical error correction made on page 8 that Mr.
5	Caisley discussed?
6	A. Yes, that's correct, changing the year from
7	2021 to 2020, yes.
8	Q. And does the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and
9	Agreement, Exhibit 1, as corrected represent the outcome
10	that Evergy advocates in this proceeding?
11	A. Yes. You know, we still stand behind the
12	testimony we filed in our direct and surrebuttal but do
13	believe that the stipulation and agreement arrives at a
14	reasonable outcome between the parties.
15	MR. HACK: Finally, Judge, Evergy would offer
16	into the evidentiary record Exhibits 4 and 5 and tender
17	Mr. Klote for cross-examination.
18	JUDGE JACOBS: Exhibits 4 and 5 appear to be
19	Mr. Klote's direct testimony and surrebuttal testimony.
20	Does anyone have any objection to those being admitted
21	into the record? Hearing no objections, Exhibits 4 and
22	5 will be admitted to the record.
23	(EVERGY'S EXHIBITS 4 AND 5 WERE RECEIVED INTO
24	EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
25	JUDGE JACOBS: We can proceed with

cross-examination of Mr. Klote. Does Staff have any 1 2 cross-examination? MS. MYERS: No questions, Your Honor. 3 JUDGE JACOBS: And Missouri Industrial Energy 4 5 Consumers? Unfortunately, Ms. Plescia, it looks like 6 you're muted. 7 MS. PLESCIA: I'm sorry. No questions, thank 8 you. 9 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. Midwest Energy Consumers Group, Mr. Woodsmall? 10 11 MR. WOODSMALL: Yes, thank you, Your Honor, 12 just briefly. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WOODSMALL: 13 Good afternoon, Mr. Klote. 14 Ο. 15 Good afternoon, Mr. Woodsmall. Α. 16 Do you have a copy of the stipulation in front 0. 17 of you? 18 Yes, I do. Α. 19 I want to take you through a couple of the Ο. 20 provisions that are in there and make sure we have an accurate understanding and agreement of what those 21 22 provisions provide. Are you familiar with the items 23 that are eligible for deferral under provision 2 of the 24 stipulation? 25 Α. Yes, I am.

And one of those is paragraph 2(c) which talks 1 0. about the deferral of program costs; is that correct? 2 Yes, it does. 3 Α. Now, would some of the program costs that are 4 Ο. 5 eligible to be deferred under that provision, would that 6 include the one and four-month programs discussed in 7 paragraph 16? 8 Α. Yes, it would. It would include both the one 9 and the four-month and could include additional costs 10 that might be related to communication of those programs 11 to the customers. 12 Okay. And the stipulation also provides for 0. the deferral of certain savings; is that correct? 13 14 Α. Yes, it does. 15 And as provided under the stipulation, those Ο. 16 savings would simply be netted against the deferral of 17 any costs; is that correct? 18 Yes, that is the intention that they'll be Α. 19 netted against the regulatory asset. 20 Ο. And one of the savings that would be deferred 21 would be training costs? 22 Yes, that's one of the savings identified. Α. 23 Ο. And one of the other savings that could be 24 deferred would be any savings associated with 25 compensation, employee reductions, benefits; is that

correct? 1 2 Α. Yeah, that is a savings that is listed. It's theoretically possible. Currently Evergy has no plans 3 associated with reducing those costs. We have gone 4 5 through some voluntary exit programs that are associated 6 with the merger, but at the present time Evergy has no 7 plans to have reductions in those areas. 8 Q. But if it happens in the future, those could 9 be deferred under this provision? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Did you hear that question? Ο. 12 Α. I'm sorry. Yes, I said yes. 13 Okay. I'm sorry. Are you familiar with the Ο. 14 acronym CARES Act? 15 Yes, I am. The Coronavirus Assistance Relief Α. 16 Program. 17 0. Would you agree that income tax benefits are 18 deferred under this? 19 You kind of cut out there, but I believe -- I Α. 20 believe you asked me if it was the income tax benefits 21 associated with that? 22 JUDGE JACOBS: Mr. Woodsmall, would you just 23 repeat the question, please? 24 MR. WOODSMALL: Yeah, I'm having some, I think 25 some bandwidth problems. So I just turned off my video.

BY MR. WOODSMALL: 1 Would you agree that income tax benefits under 2 0. the CARES Act could be deferred under this stipulation? 3 Those would be costs that would be Yes. 4 Α. 5 netted against regulatory assets. 6 And similarly to the extent that Evergy 0. 7 receives any state or federal assistance, that would be 8 deferred under this provision as well? 9 Yes, they would. Α. 10 I'm sorry. I didn't hear that answer. Ο. 11 I said yes, they would. Α. MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, can you hear me, 12 13 Your Honor? 14 MS. HENRY: You're on mute, Your Honor. 15 Thank you. You might want to JUDGE JACOBS: disconnect, Mr. Woodsmall, and just call in using the 16 17 dial-in instructions if you're having difficulty with 18 the computer connection, because we can hear you. 19 MR. WOODSMALL: Let me try -- Okay. Let me 20 try patching in one more time. I'll be right back. 21 JUDGE JACOBS: The room that has the most 22 ambient noise is actually the room where our witness is sitting right now. 23 MR. WOODSMALL: Can you hear me now, Your 24 25 Honor?

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

I can hear you, Mr. Woodsmall. 1 JUDGE JACOBS: 2 MR. WOODSMALL: Great. Thank you. Mr. Klote, 3 can you hear me? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, I can hear you just fine. MR. WOODSMALL: 5 Okay. Thank you. 6 BY MR. WOODSMALL: Going back one question to make sure I got the 7 Ο. 8 answer, if Evergy receives any state or federal 9 assistance, those benefits would be deferred under this provision; is that correct? 10 11 Α. Yes, they would. 12 Now I wanted to ask you about the duration of 0. 13 Are you familiar with that provision in the the AAO. 14 stipulation? 15 Yes, I am. Α. And the provision as it's written, it provides 16 0. 17 for two different possible expiration dates, one being 18 for the bad debt expense and one being for everything 19 else; is that correct? 20 Α. Yes, that's correct. The bad debt expense will go through September 30 of 2021 with the last six 21 22 months being included as part of a test comparing the 23 bad debt expense that are in rates versus the actual 24 write-offs that occur during that time frame and all 25 other costs of the AAO will end as of March 30 of 2021

and the parties have the ability to have discussions if 1 2 there's a need to extend that from that point in time. Okay. When you talked about -- When you 3 0. 4 talked about the bad debt expense provision, it compares 5 actual bad debt that's experienced on a quarterly basis to the amount of bad debt that's included in rates; is 6 7 that correct? 8 Α. Yes, it does. It uses a measure of 10 percent 9 if write-offs exceed the amounts that were included in 10 the last case by 10 percent. Then that triggers the 11 ability to defer. If the amount of write-offs that 12 occur during that six-month period are less than the 10 percent threshold, then that will offset previous 13 14 deferrals. 15 Okay. And Exhibit 1 of the stipulation 0. provides the amount that's built into Evergy's rates 16 17 currently; is that correct? Yes, it does. 18 Α. And are those numbers accurate, to the best of 19 Ο. 20 your knowledge? 21 Yes, they are. Α. 22 Okay. Just so I'm clear, when we go to make Ο. 23 the comparison of actual bad debt that's experienced to 24 the amount in rates, are we comparing to the third column Missouri Metro or Missouri West monthly percent 25

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

1	or to the final column bad debt and rates?
2	A. When you say column on Exhibit 1
3	Q. Do you have Exhibit 1 in front of you?
4	A. I was still in the word section part. Could
5	you ask that question again?
6	Q. Yeah. Exhibit 1 of the stipulation, do you
7	have that in front of you?
8	A. Yes, I do.
9	Q. Okay. There are five columns there. There's
10	a column listed Missouri Metro monthly percent of total,
11	then a fourth column distribution of difference and
12	fifth column bad debt and rates. It looks like the
13	fifth column is just adding columns three and four; is
14	that correct?
15	A. Yes. The amount that's included in bad debts,
16	that's the last column on that page, correct.
17	Q. Okay. So that will be the column to which we
18	make a comparison on a quarterly basis; is that correct?
19	A. Yes, that's correct.
20	Q. I wanted to ask you some questions about the
21	reporting provision. Are you familiar with that?
22	A. Yes, I am.
23	Q. Can you tell me your understanding of that
24	reporting provision?
25	A. Yeah. The reporting provision includes items

1	that provide parties customer payment behavior and
2	provide balances of accounts receivables that are
3	outstanding at a given point in time.
4	Q. And do you believe that the reporting
5	provision is reasonable?
6	A. Yes, I do. Much of the data that we'll be
7	supplying in that report is data that we have been
8	already supplying to parties.
9	Q. I wanted to ask some questions about provision
10	13, accounting practices and procedures. Are you
11	familiar with that provision?
12	A. Yes, I am.
13	Q. And can you tell me what your understanding is
14	for the need for that provision?
15	A. I believe for this provision it's to provide
16	how the Company is going about tracking and accounting
17	for the items that have been included in the
18	stipulation, and this discussion will be provided to all
19	the parties so that they can see exactly how the Company
20	is going about tracking these items.
21	Q. Is it your understanding that that provision
22	or that information has previously been provided in the
23	context of a data request response?
24	A. Yes, I believe it has.
25	Q. Do you think the provision is reasonable?

1 Α. Yes, I do. 2 MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, I have no further 3 questions. Thank you, Mr. Klote. 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 5 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Does Sierra Club 6 have any questions for Mr. Klote? 7 MS. HENRY: No questions, Your Honor. 8 JUDGE JACOBS: And any questions from Renew Missouri? 9 10 MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge. 11 JUDGE JACOBS: And Office of the Public 12 Counsel? MR. HALL: Thank you, Judge. 13 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL: 15 Good afternoon, Mr. Klote. Ο. 16 Good afternoon. Α. 17 Ο. Mr. Klote, can you speak to Evergy's capital investment plans? 18 19 In what regard? Α. Evergy, any changes Evergy has had to its 20 0. 21 capital investment plans given the emergence of 22 COVID-19? 23 Α. The Company does have capital investment 24 That's not part of the function that I perform plans. 25 in the Company, but we do have modeling of our capital

1 financials. 2 Ο. Mr. Klote, I'm going to refer you to what has been premarked as Exhibit 205. Is there a method of 3 getting that in front of you at this time? 4 5 Α. Yeah, I have it. 6 Ο. Mr. Klote, can you -- Do you agree with me 7 that this is a data request that was sent to Everyy 8 Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West from the Office of the Public Counsel? 9 10 Yes, it is. Α. 11 And that the answer is verified with the Ο. 12 signature from Mr. Brad Lutz on the third page of the 13 document? 14 Α. Yes, I see that. 15 Am I reading the guestion, there's multiple Ο. 16 questions phrased in the full total question, but we are 17 asked, do you agree that the question generally is, has 18 Evergy Missouri Metro or Evergy Missouri West conducted any analysis to determine whether or not their planned 19 20 capital investments need to be altered because of 21 COVID-19 and what were any conclusions of such analysis? 22 Yes, that's what it says. Α. 23 Ο. Do you have any reason to believe that the 24 response from Evergy to this question is in any way 25 inaccurate or dated, out of date?

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

No, I don't. We continue to evaluate our 1 Α. 2 capital investment plan, but I have no reason to believe this question is out of date. 3 So Evergy's answer to this question was that 4 Ο. 5 no such analysis had been conducted; am I correct? 6 Α. I don't think it says no such analysis has 7 been conducted. I think it says while not specifically 8 conducted to determine whether planned capital 9 investments need to be altered because the overall 10 analysis key consideration. So there is analysis that 11 happens. Thank you for that clarification. So there's 12 0. 13 a continuing analysis, and the Company believes there is 14 enough short-term liquidity to avoid altering any 15 planned capital improvement plans; is that correct --16 capital investment plans? 17 Α. Yes, that's what the data request stated. 18 MR. HALL: Thank you. Your Honor, at this time I move for the admission of Exhibit 205 into 19 20 evidence. JUDGE JACOBS: So I don't believe I've 21 22 received that exhibit. I was looking on what you 23 provided this morning. 24 MR. HALL: My apologies, Judge. I believe 25 that was sent out.

JUDGE JACOBS: So I can see 208, 209 and 210. 1 2 MR. HALL: For good measure, Judge, I'm sending it along with Exhibits -- So you said you have 3 4 206 through 208? I'm sorry, Judge, could you repeat 5 what you said that you have? 6 JUDGE JACOBS: Yes. I'm finding your message 7 again. This morning at 9:25 I received a message from 8 you with three exhibits, Exhibit 208, 209 and 210. То 9 my knowledge, those are the only exhibits I've received other than the document that you asked a witness to look 10 11 at earlier today and didn't want to offer as an exhibit. 12 MR. HALL: I apologize for this repeated 13 inconvenience. I know I've been sending them. Now you should have it. 14 15 Thanks for everyone's patience. JUDGE JACOBS: So it's ironic but it looks like maybe the OPC and PSC 16 17 Outlook programs are not playing well together. I'm not receiving anything. So there are lots of different --18 19 MS. HENRY: Do you want me to send it from my 20 email system because they may be more friendly? 21 JUDGE JACOBS: Yeah, we may as well try. 22 MR. HALL: Can I get confirmation from any 23 other party? I just sent an email to all the parties of 24 record and the Judge that has that exhibit. Are my emails not reaching anybody? 25

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

MR. HACK: Caleb, did you send it just 1 2 recently? MR. HALL: Yeah, one minute ago. 3 4 MR. HACK: So I don't have anything yet. JUDGE JACOBS: Here we go. I've received a 5 6 reply to you that you sent from my text message earlier 7 today so now I have it. So Ms. Henry, I don't think you 8 need to do anything. Now I have an Exhibit 205 which 9 was the DR 2017, and I trust all the rest of the parties 10 have identified this document and are aware and familiar 11 with it. Mr. Hall has offered it into evidence. Were 12 there any -- Unless I'm assuming something, I think we 13 got that far, Mr. Hall. Right? MR. HALL: Right. I'll renew my request at 14 15 this time. 16 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So this has been offered into evidence as Office of the Public Counsel Exhibit 17 18 205. Are there any objections? Okay. Hearing no 19 objections, Exhibit 205 will be admitted. And it is not 20 a confidential exhibit. (OPC EXHIBIT 205 WAS RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE 21 22 AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.) 23 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, Mr. Hall. 24 You can proceed. 25 MR. HALL: Thank you.

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

BY MR. HALL: 1 2 Mr. Klote, do you recall a case filed by 0. Office of the Public Counsel and Midwest Energy 3 Consumers Group asking for an accounting authority order 4 5 regarding Evergy's closure of the Sibley electric plant? 6 Α. Yes, I do. 7 Did you participate in that case? 0. 8 Yes, I did. Α. You testified last year, correct, on this --9 0. in that case? 10 Yes, I believe it was last year. I'm sorry. 11 Α. 12 It just took me awhile to get there. 13 It has been a year. I understand. Mr. Klote, 0. do you recall opposing Public Counsel and MECG's 14 15 requested deferral accounting? 16 Yes. Yes, we did. Α. 17 Ο. Do you recall opposing it based on your interpretation of general instruction 7 of the Uniform 18 19 System of Accounts? If there's something specific you're referring 20 Α. 21 to, I know that that was an issue that was brought up in 22 the case. 23 Ο. You relied on general instruction 7 of the 24 Uniform System of Accounts as part of the basis for your 25 testimony, correct?

1	A. No, I don't believe the Company relied on
2	that. It was a data point that has been used I think in
3	past Commission orders associated with AAO. But as we
4	stated in this case, in any AAO it's not case
5	dispositive. Not all AAO orders rely on general
6	instruction 7.
7	Q. Mr. Klote, I would like to turn your attention
8	to what Public Counsel has premarked as Exhibit 210. Is
9	there a way to get that in front of you?
10	A. Yes, I have it.
11	Q. Do you recognize this document?
12	A. Yes. It's my rebuttal testimony in the Sibley
13	case.
14	Q. The same aforementioned Sibley case that we
15	referred to, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Could you please turn to page 20 of your
18	testimony in the Sibley case?
19	A. I'm there.
20	Q. You'll have to forgive me. I lost myself
21	while looking through from lines 8 going on into
22	lines 1 and 2 of page 22 sorry. Let me rephrase
23	that. From line 8, page 20 into line 2, page 21,
24	there's a Q and A where you start a section responding
	chere b a g and h where you beare a beceron responding

correct? 1 2 Α. Can I read it? Yes, of course. 3 Ο. 4 Okay. I've read it. Α. 5 On line 20 of page 20, you're referring to Ο. general instruction 7 of the Uniform System of Accounts, 6 7 correct? 8 Α. Yes, I am. 9 You're referring to this instruction in 0. 10 opposition to OPC and MECG's requested deferral 11 accounting, correct? 12 Yes. It was a data point that was included in Α. 13 the case and it's a data point that's been used in 14 previous AAO proceedings and that's what it's referring 15 to. MR. HALL: Thank you. I have no further 16 17 questions. 18 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Do we have any 19 cross-examination from National Housing Trust? 20 MR. LINHARES: No, thank you, Judge, no questions here. 21 22 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. All right. 23 So do we have any questions for Mr. Klote from the 24 Commission? The floor is now open. We may have some 25 questions from the bench here. Okay. Mr. Klote --

COMMISSIONER RUPP: Judge, this is 1 2 Commissioner Rupp. JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you, Commissioner. 3 COMMISSIONER RUPP: I understand that Darrin 4 5 Ives is not who Darrin Ives is showing on the camera. 6 Is he going to be available today or should I go ahead 7 and ask the questions of Mr. Klote? Just curious of how 8 that's going to work. 9 JUDGE JACOBS: So Darrin Ives is scheduled to be Evergy's next witness, and I believe he's going to be 10 11 in that same conference room on the screen with his 12 name. 13 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Excellent. Thank you. 14 JUDGE JACOBS: So you will get your chance. 15 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Woo-hoo. 16 JUDGE JACOBS: Yay. 17 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE JACOBS: 18 Okay. I was going to ask about what 0. 19 incentives Evergy has to maximize as this was presented 20 to me the amount of arrearages that are converted to 21 revenue instead of bad debt. And as I understand that, 22 that means what incentives does Evergy have to get 23 customers to pay past-due bills as opposed to treating it as bad debt? 24 25 Α. And I think from the testimony that was

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	provided by Chuck Caisley previously there are programs
2	that we put in place to help manage the arrearages that
3	the Company is experiencing and by doing those programs
4	I think we provided testimony, I believe it was in
5	Mr. Ives, that showed that the arrearages that began
6	once the pandemic started increased but once the program
7	that we put in place, especially the one-month and
8	four-month payment plan and the 12-month payment plan,
9	the arrearages start to decrease. In actuality, they
10	now are below the level when the pandemic started. We
11	do have amounts that are outstanding that are on a
12	payment plan, but they are on a payment plan and that's
13	how we've been managing that.
14	Q. So Mr. Klote, are you familiar with some of
15	the customer specific recommendations that were made by
16	OPC's witness Dr. Marke?
17	A. I've read the testimony, but customer specific
18	programs are probably not under my area of expertise.
19	Q. Okay. Who would you say would be able to
20	address those? Would that have been Mr. Caisley or
21	Mr. Ives?
22	A. Yeah, I believe definitely Mr. Caisley and,
23	you know, you can ask Mr. Ives.
24	Q. Are you able to address the costs that Evergy
25	has incurred in relation to the one-month and four-month

payment plans that were offered to customers? 1 2 Α. Yes. Okay. So is there more that you could tell us 3 Ο. 4 about those costs? 5 Α. Well, we've actually been tracking those 6 costs. Once we initiated those programs, the costs 7 associated with the one-month and four-month programs the customer received a credit on their bill, and I 8 9 believe in the one-month program if the customer paid 10 their bill they received that credit. In addition, on 11 the four-month payment program, I believe they received 12 a discount after the first month and then if they followed through on the additional three months there 13 will be a credit that will be included on that bill. 14 In 15 addition, associated with those programs, we made a 16 significant customer outreach associated with those 17 programs and any costs associated with that we would 18 track in this AAO. 19 Okay. I want to make sure I understand when Ο. 20 the Companies applied for an AAO, those types of costs 21 were proposed to be included in the accounting authority 22 order and now in this posture as we're coming back to 23 this hearing after having reached a non-unanimous 24 agreement, those costs still would be treated -- would

25 be eligible to be deferred under the agreement, all of

Г

1	those different costs you've just described; is that
2	right?
3	A. Yeah, the costs associated with the one-month
4	and four-month, yes.
5	Q. As well as the customer outreach?
6	A. Yes.
7	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So I apologize for this,
8	because I should have directed this to Evergy's counsel
9	earlier in the proceeding, but now that we're getting
10	deeper into testimony I just wanted to make sure I
11	understand the posture of the Company at this point
12	because the application came in asking for lost revenues
13	and then that was revised to some degree with an
14	alternative proposal to allow some plan to recover lost,
15	what was being called lost fixed costs and now we're at
16	a hearing and I think what I'm hearing from the Company
17	is we reached a settlement and that's what we're asking
18	for, and that settlement doesn't appear to include
19	either lost revenue or lost fixed costs. So if counsel
20	for Evergy wants to clarify that for me and the
21	Commission at this point, that would be helpful just to
22	make sure I haven't missed. I'd like to make that
23	crystal clear.
24	MR. HACK: So Honorable J. Jacobs, this is Rob
25	Hack for Evergy. Can you hear me?

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

JUDGE JACOBS: I can hear you, sir. 1 2 MR. HACK: Okay. The answer is under the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement we have agreed 3 not to defer revenue losses due to load degradation 4 5 resulting from the pandemic and I would characterize 6 that revenue loss phrase as roughly equivalent to lost 7 fixed cost recovery that we talked about in our 8 surrebuttal testimony. So neither one of those is being or would be deferred if the Commission were to approve 9 10 the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement. Is that 11 clear as mud? 12 JUDGE JACOBS: Yes. And the only reason it's 13 confusing to me to some degree is because a good chunk 14 of the testimony in the case, including issues that we 15 may be going into today are going to be addressing the 16 lost revenue and maybe even the so-called lost fixed 17 costs and I'm just trying to figure out how tedious I 18 need to make it to go into that or if going into this 19 hearing Evergy is saying that's off the table. 20 MR. HACK: We have agreed not to defer and we 21 are no longer requesting deferral of revenue losses due 22 to volume reductions related to COVID and the equivalent 23 lost fixed cost recovery. 24 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. That does clarify things 25 for me. I apologize. I think there were multiple

opportunities for me to glean that from what was stated. 1 2 I just wanted to make sure that that was clear. 3 MR. HACK: No problem. BY JUDGE JACOBS: 4 5 Returning now to Mr. Klote, I just had another 0. 6 question for you, sir. I think Mr. Woodsmall asked you 7 about this in regard to the reporting requirements under 8 the agreement that some of the parties reached. And I 9 was just wanting to clarify the information that would 10 have to be collected to comply with that reporting 11 obligation, is that all information that Evergy is 12 collecting at this time? Yes. You know, the majority items on there, 13 Α. 14 they're already being provided to Staff and OPC in 15 another docket. So yeah, we are collecting that data 16 presently. 17 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. All right. So unless 18 any Commissioners would like to ask questions at this 19 point, I would proceed to see if there's any recross 20 from any of the parties starting with Staff? 21 MS. MYERS: No recross, Judge. 22 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. And Missouri 23 Industrial Energy Consumers? I'm not hearing any. And 24 Midwest Energy Consumers Group, Mr. Woodsmall? 25 MR. WOODSMALL: No questions. Thank you.

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

Thank you, sir. Sierra Club? 1 JUDGE JACOBS: 2 MS. HENRY: No questions, Your Honor. JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Renew Missouri? 3 4 MR. OPITZ: No questions. Thank you, Judge. JUDGE JACOBS: Mr. Hall, for Office of the 5 6 Public Counsel, did you have any recross, sir? 7 MR. HALL: No, thank you. JUDGE JACOBS: Thanks. And National Housing 8 9 Trust? 10 MR. LINHARES: None, thank you, Judge. 11 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. Any 12 redirect for Mr. Klote from Evergy? 13 MR. HACK: Yes, Judge. This is Rob Hack, and 14 I will have just a few questions on recross. 15 JUDGE JACOBS: Please go ahead. 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HACK: 17 Ο. So Mr. Klote, in your discussion with 18 Mr. Woodsmall you talked about potential areas of 19 savings to be deferred. Do you recall that? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And at least to my hearing, there might have Ο. 22 been a little bit of lack of clarity there, and I'll 23 just make the statement and see if you agree with it, 24 you did testify that the Company has no plans to reduce 25 headcount, compensation or benefits, as a result of

Г

1	COVID, correct?
2	A. Yes, that's correct.
3	Q. And the savings related to headcount
4	reductions, compensation reductions or benefits
5	reductions that would be deferred need to be related to
6	COVID in order to be recorded, correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And you further discussed headcount reductions
9	that the Company is pursuing for other reasons not COVID
10	related like pursuit of merger efficiencies, correct?
11	A. Yes, that's correct.
12	Q. And footnote 1 on page 3 of Exhibit 1, which
13	is the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement,
14	acknowledges that and further clarifies that those
15	non-COVID related, headcount, savings due to headcount
16	reductions or other payroll changes aren't eligible to
17	be recorded to the deferral, correct?
18	A. Yes, that's correct.
19	Q. Okay. Thank you. In your discussion with
20	Mr. Hall for OPC related to your rebuttal testimony in
21	the Sibley AAO case, I'd ask you to turn to page 20 of
22	your rebuttal in that case and that was Exhibit 2
23	it's on the front page. 209?
24	A. 210.
25	Q. 210. I'm sorry. And I believe that the

thrust of his question was were you citing and relying 1 2 upon general instruction 7 of the Uniform System of Accounts. Do you recall that? 3 Yes, I do. 4 Α. 5 So I would ask you to read the full sentence Ο. 6 that begins on line 18 of that page and ends on line 20. 7 EMO Witness Ives describes why this situation, Α. 8 even if true, does not meet the Commission practice and 9 policy of granting AAOs through applying the 10 extraordinary items criteria of the USOA general 11 instruction number 7. 12 So is it fair to say, Mr. Klote, that you 0. 13 weren't relying on general instruction 7, you were 14 relying on the Commission's practice and policy of 15 granting AAOs through applying the extraordinary item 16 criteria of USOA general instruction 7? 17 Α. Yes, that's correct. 18 And is it fair to say that the Company's 0. 19 position as reflected in Mr. Ives' testimony in this 20 proceeding and other proceedings is that the Commission 21 is not bound by general instruction 7 of the USOA in 22 making deferral decisions? 23 Α. Yes, that's correct. And I believe the record 24 will show that in previous orders as well. 25 Thank you. In your conversation with Judge Ο.

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

Jacobs you were asked, and I may have this butchered, so 1 2 I apologize, Judge and Mr. Klote, about incentives the Company has to, as I interpreted it, reduce 3 uncollectible amounts. Uncollectibles result from 4 5 unpaid bills; is that correct? 6 Α. That's correct. 7 And the greater the level of uncollectibles, 0. 8 the lower the Company's earnings, correct? 9 Α. Yes, that's correct. And the Company has an incentive to maximize 10 0. 11 earnings taking reasonable steps, correct? 12 Yes, they do. Α. Just the simple earnings impact of higher 13 Ο. uncollectibles is an incentive for us to try to take 14 15 reasonable steps and cost effective steps to manage 16 uncollectibles expense? 17 Α. Yes, that would be true. 18 Ο. You were also talked -- Would you agree also, 19 Mr. Klote, that it's important for the Company in trying 20 to optimize its earnings levels and manage its uncollectibles levels to take reasonable and cost 21 22 effective steps to do so? 23 Α. Yes, I think that is an incentive and should 24 be an incentive, yes. 25 And really the point I'm trying to get to is 0.

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

1	that reducing uncollectibles by a hundred thousand
2	dollars as a result of spending a million dollars in
3	incentives or arrearage forgiveness or things like that,
4	that's not a good tradeoff, is it?
5	A. No. You definitely want You don't want to
б	chase decisions by spending more than the result that
7	you would get from it.
8	Q. So Judge Jacobs asked you about the costs
9	associated with one and four-month programs. Do you
10	recall that?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Do you have the actual information about the
13	costs that have been recorded to the deferral for those
14	programs as of the end of September?
15	A. Yes, I do.
16	Q. Can you get that and tell us what that is?
17	And it would be by utility, operating utility in
18	Missouri.
19	A. We've tracked it separately between Evergy
20	Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West.
21	Q. Maybe I'll just clarify. I want for purposes
22	of this just the one and four-month incentive costs.
23	A. Yes. So for Evergy Missouri Metro through
24	September, the costs there would be 38,119 and for
25	Evergy Missouri West through September of '20 it's

1	31,028.
2	Q. For both the one and four-month plans?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. And that does not include communications
5	costs?
6	A. It does not.
7	Q. Okay. Now, let's also talk about And these
8	program costs would be eligible for deferral under the
9	Non-Unanimous Stip that we've put forward before the
10	Commission?
11	A. Yes, it would.
12	Q. There are other costs that the Company has
13	incurred to help customers that would not be eligible
14	for deferral, correct?
15	A. Absolutely.
16	Q. Can you So one of those costs is the
17	million dollars in energy assistance contributions, and
18	that applies, to be clear, both to the State of Kansas
19	and Missouri. Also, the Company will not seek recovery
20	of the \$400,000 in grants that we discussed with Mr.
21	Caisley earlier?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. And that is split between Kansas and Missouri
24	as well?
25	A. That's correct.

Г

1	Q. And then there's another tranche of \$800,000
2	in Company pledges that will also not be eligible for
3	deferral and be borne by shareholders, correct?
4	A. Yes. Those have been excluded.
5	Q. There's one other program that was implemented
6	this summer that it's been categorized as highly
7	confidential. It's a CSR discretion program. Do you
8	recall that?
9	A. Yes, that's correct.
10	Q. Do you have the figures and the incentives or
11	the awards, the grants that the Company made under that
12	CSR discretion program are treated as charitable
13	contributions by the Company below the line won't be
14	requested for recovery, correct?
15	A. That's correct.
16	Q. Will not be deferred?
17	A. That's correct, no deferral.
18	Q. Do you have the dollar figures for those?
19	A. I do not have them sitting in front of me. I
20	can get to them fairly quickly.
21	Q. Sorry. I thought they were on that same
22	sheet.
23	A. I know that they are in a range of a hundred
24	in the mid hundred thousand range.
25	Q. For the total company. Okay. And I used the

acronym CSR a minute ago. Does that mean Customer 1 2 Service Representative? Yes, it does. 3 Α. Thank you. Now, the Non-Unanimous Stip also 4 Ο. 5 provides that the Company will evaluate in the future 6 after the first of the year the advisability of offering 7 additional customer assistance programs in consultation with Staff and OPC and NHT; is that correct? 8 9 Α. Yes, that is correct. 10 If such programs were implemented, would those 0. 11 program costs be eligible for deferral? 12 Yes, I believe they would. Α. 13 And the Company has also agreed as part of the 0. 14 Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement to evaluate 15 after the end of this calendar year the advisability of 16 continuing to offer 12-month payment plans that it's 17 currently offering to customers in connection with 18 pandemic? 19 Yes, we have. Α. 20 Ο. And if it did so --21 MR. HACK: That's enough. No more. Thank 22 Judge, those are all the questions I have. you. 23 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, Mr. Hack. 24 Unless we have some late coming questions from the 25 Commission, I believe that we are done with Mr. Ives'

testimony today. 1 2 MR. HACK: Mr. Klote's testimony. 3 JUDGE JACOBS: Oh, I'm sorry. It's not fair 4 because it says Ives on the screen. I meant Klote. All right. That means that we are ready for 5 6 Mr. Ives, in fact. 7 MR. HACK: And we will have a changing of the 8 guard so that we can get everybody in their proper 9 seats. 10 JUDGE JACOBS: I would propose that we try to 11 get Mr. Ives' testimony taken care of and then we would 12 plan on a break after that if that works for everyone. 13 Thank you. 14 MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, it's Carl Zobrist. Can 15 you hear me? 16 JUDGE JACOBS: I can. 17 MR. ZOBRIST: Great. Good afternoon. 18 Mr. Ives, are you ready? THE WITNESS: I'm ready. 19 20 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Mr. Ives, raise your 21 right hand, please, sir. 22 (Witness sworn.) 23 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. You may 24 proceed. 25 DARRIN IVES,

1	called as a witness in behalf of Evergy, being sworn,
2	testified as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOBRIST:
4	Q. Please state your name.
5	A. My name is Darrin Ives, I-v-e-s.
6	Q. By whom are you employed?
7	A. I'm employed by Evergy Metro as the Vice
8	President of Regulatory Affairs for Evergy.
9	Q. And Mr. Ives, have you caused to be prepared
10	direct and surrebuttal testimony in this case both
11	public and confidential versions?
12	A. Yes, I have.
13	Q. And has your direct testimony been premarked
14	as Exhibits 6 Confidential, Exhibit 7 Public?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And has your surrebuttal testimony been marked
17	as Exhibit 8 for the confidential version and Exhibit 9
18	for the public version?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. If you were to be asked those questions today,
21	would your answers be as set forth in Exhibits 6, 7, 8
22	and 9?
23	A. Yes, they would.
24	Q. Do you have any corrections to that testimony?
25	A. None that I'm aware of.

1	Q. Mr. Ives, as Mr. Hack asked the previous
2	questions, are you familiar with the stipulation and
3	agreement, the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement
4	that has been marked as Exhibit 1?
5	A. Yes, I am.
6	Q. And are you also familiar with the
7	typographical error on paragraph 8 of page 16 that has
8	corrected the date from December 31, 2021 to December
9	31, 2020?
10	A. Yes, I'm aware.
11	Q. And you agree with that correction?
12	A. I do.
13	Q. What is your position as far as your stated
14	testimony versus the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and
15	Agreement?
16	A. In the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and
17	Agreement, we have signed on and agree that it
18	adequately addresses the issues in the case, although I
19	would continue to stand by the testimony that we put in
20	in direct and surrebuttal to the extent that it is no
21	longer addressed by the Non-Unanimous Stip.
22	Q. Today you on behalf of Evergy are recommending
23	the approval of the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and
24	Agreement and the terms that are contained therein?
25	A. Yes, I am.

Г

1	MR. ZOBRIST: Thank you, Your Honor. At this
2	time I would offer Exhibits 6, 7, 8 and 9 and tender the
3	witness for cross-examination.
4	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. So I would note
5	that 6 is the Confidential Direct Testimony of Mr. Ives,
6	7 is Public Direct, 8 is Confidential Surrebuttal
7	Testimony of Mr. Ives and 9 is Public Surrebuttal
8	Testimony. Those exhibits have been provided to the
9	parties. Are there any objections to those exhibits
10	being admitted to the record?
11	MR. HALL: Yes, Judge. Objection limited to
12	page 11 of Darrin Ives' surrebuttal testimony lines 13
13	through 20. He is relying upon out-of-court statements
14	and opinions of Evergy's controller
15	PricewaterhouseCoopers and Deloitte. He's offering
16	He's relying on those statements for the truth of the
17	matter asserted. This is textbook hearsay.
18	JUDGE JACOBS: Can you help me? Is that the
19	confidential or public testimony surrebuttal?
20	MR. HALL: I don't believe his surrebuttal
21	MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, if I may, those are not
22	offered as confidential passages in Mr. Ives' testimony.
23	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So this would be an
24	objection then to 9; is that right, Mr. Hall?
25	MR. HALL: Correct.

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

JUDGE JACOBS: And then you went very quickly 1 2 there with the passage. I'm sorry. Could you repeat the passage that you're concerned about --3 4 MR. HALL: Sure. 5 JUDGE JACOBS: -- just where it can be found. MR. HALL: Page 11 of Darrin Ives' surrebuttal 6 7 testimony lines 13 through 20. 8 JUDGE JACOBS: Does anyone have a response to 9 Mr. Hall's objection here? MR. ZOBRIST: Yes, Your Honor. This is not 10 11 classic hearsay. This is simply Mr. Ives as an expert 12 witness, a Certified Public Accountant, stating that his opinion is supported by that of other experts in the 13 14 field. It is not hearsay. It's simply a reaffirmation 15 of his opinion which is tendered herein. I believe the 16 Commission itself is not bound by the technical rules of 17 evidence and that the objection should be overruled. 18 MR. HALL: Your Honor, if I may respond. 19 Darrin Ives' testimony literally says the opinions of 20 all these individuals would be considered reliable by 21 experts in the use of the USOA. He is asking the 22 Commission to rely upon his affirmation that these 23 people agree with him. This is hearsay. 24 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. I think it sounds like 25 there was a response there.

1	MR. ZOBRIST: I was simply going to say that
2	it is not hearsay. He is simply quoting other
3	authorities and it is not hearsay, and I would add
4	further the opinions here are reaffirmed by general
5	instruction 7 which itself does not link or speak to
6	either regulatory assets or regulatory liabilities or
7	deferral mechanisms.
8	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So I'm going to instead
9	of derailing everything right now, I will take a closer
10	look at the passage that is of concern for Mr. Hall,
11	take it under advisement and I will hopefully let you
12	know tomorrow, but eventually definitely let you know
13	whether I'm going to sustain that hearsay objection.
14	So what we'll do is I believe there were four exhibits
15	offered, 6, 7 and 8; is that right? And 9. No
16	objection to 6, 7 and 8. So those will be admitted to
17	the record. And then I'll take 9 considering Mr. Hall's
18	objection under advisement. Does that work for everyone
19	at this point?
20	MR. HALL: Judge, just for clarity, Exhibits 8
21	and 9 are the same exhibits with one version being
22	public and one being confidential.
23	JUDGE JACOBS: Right. And I think the
24	clarification was that there was no objection to any of
25	the confidential information and these are all labeled

as separate exhibits. So that leaves 9 as the one that 1 2 has an objection. MR. HALL: Correct. I'm only speaking now out 3 of concern that both 8 and 9 have the language I'm 4 5 objecting to. It is merely a matter that the confidential version has certain text. 6 7 JUDGE JACOBS: In addition? 8 MR. HALL: Correct. 9 JUDGE JACOBS: Great. Thank you. So 8 and 9 have to be held out until I take a close look at the 10 11 language and let you all know. That means that 6 and 7 12 can be admitted. So 6 and 7 will be admitted. MR. ZOBRIST: Your Honor? 13 14 JUDGE JACOBS: Mr. Zobrist. 15 MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, I was just going to say I 16 would offer Exhibits 8 and 9 with the exception of the 17 passage on page 11, lines 13 and 20 and believe it would 18 be appropriate to admit them because they have not been 19 objected to by Mr. Hall. 20 JUDGE JACOBS: So at this point the proposal 21 would be that 8 and 9 would be admitted except for this 22 very small passage. 23 MR. ZOBRIST: That's correct. 24 JUDGE JACOBS: Contingent on whatever I decide after I have a chance to look at this language which I'm 25

1 now very curious about. That seems fine with me because 2 then we can proceed with the unobjectionable portions 3 being included in the record. I don't know that it 4 makes that much of a difference at this point. I don't 5 intend to let this linger very long. Okay.

6 MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, I apologize. I just had 7 a sidebar with Mr. Hack. If you ruled upon my request, 8 I missed it.

9 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. I was basically trying to restate it to make sure I understood what it was. So 10 11 I don't think there's any reason why 8 and 9 absolutely 12 have to be dealt with at this very moment. So I'm going to take the time it takes for me to go back and look at 13 14 the language that was the issue and then 8 and 9 will 15 come in depending on that decision. Does that work for 16 everyone?

17 MR. ZOBRIST: I think that's fine. We, of 18 course, have no objection to Mr. Ives being questioned 19 on any portion of Exhibits 8 and 9 subject to the 20 objection to page 11, lines 13 through 20 made by 21 Mr. Hall.

JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So as it stands, 6 and 7 are in and 8 and 9 are not, and we'll just see if that causes a problem for us. Hopefully I will have time to go back and look at the language soon. So 6 and 7 have

been admitted to the record. 1 2 (EVERGY EXHIBITS 6 AND 7 WERE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.) 3 4 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And Mr. Hall, you've 5 made an objection to admission of those documents. I 6 don't know if anyone else had objections to make. Ι 7 think they would have spoken up if they did. So we've clarified that. And I think where we are is 8 9 cross-examination. So we'll see if this issue comes up again. I think Staff would be up for cross-examination 10 11 for Mr. Ives. 12 MS. MYERS: We have no questions, Judge. 13 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. Missouri 14 Industrial Energy Consumers? 15 MS. PLESCIA: No questions. Thank you. 16 JUDGE JACOBS: Midwest Energy Consumers Group? 17 MR. WOODSMALL: Very briefly. 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WOODSMALL: 19 Good afternoon, sir. Ο. 20 Α. Good afternoon. 21 I took Mr. Klote through a number of the 0. 22 provisions in the stipulation. Do you have the 23 stipulation in front of you? Yes, I do. 24 Α. I was going to ask you a very brief question 25 0.

about provision number 6 which is entitled Lost 1 2 Revenues. Do you see that? Yes, I see it. 3 Α. And as I understand it, this provision 4 Ο. 5 precludes Evergy from deferring any lost revenues 6 associated with reduced customer usage; is that correct? 7 Yes, yes, that's right. That is part of the Α. 8 non-unanimous agreement. 9 Okay. Just to tie everything together with Ο. some questions from the ALJ from previously, this would 10 11 not only preclude the lost revenue request from your 12 direct testimony but also the fixed recovery request 13 that was in your surrebuttal; is that correct? That's correct. The fixed recovery that was 14 Α. 15 in surrebuttal would be a subset of the lost revenues that were in my direct. 16 17 Ο. Okay. And do you believe this provision is reasonable? 18 19 Based upon all the other items that are Α. 20 included in this non-unanimous stipulation, that would 21 be my position, yes. 22 MR. WOODSMALL: I have no further questions. 23 Thank you. 24 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Woodsmall. Does Sierra Club have cross-examination? 25

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

1	MS. HENRY: No questions, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. And Renew Missouri?
3	MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge.
4	JUDGE JACOBS: And Office of the Public
5	Counsel?
6	MR. HALL: Yes, thank you.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL:
8	Q. Mr. Ives, good afternoon.
9	A. Good afternoon.
10	Q. Do you have copies of your testimony both
11	rebuttal and surrebuttal in front of you?
12	A. My direct and surrebuttal, yes.
13	Q. Direct and surrebuttal, thank you. Did you
14	rely on several out-of-state Commission orders when you
15	were developing your testimony?
16	A. I reviewed a number of out-of-state Commission
17	orders. There's also reference to in-state Commission
18	orders addressing deferral treatments. So yes.
19	Q. Mr. Ives, could you turn to page 11 of your
20	direct testimony?
21	A. I'm there.
22	Q. Are you referring to an Arkansas Public
23	Service Commission order on this page?
24	A. At the bottom of page 11.

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Mr. Hall, I 1 2 didn't understand your question. BY MR. HALL: 3 Let me restate it. Mr. Ives, can you confirm 4 0. 5 that you are citing to an Arkansas Public Service 6 Commission order in your testimony on this page? 7 At the bottom of page 11 on lines 19 and 20, I Α. 8 reference a couple of orders moving on to page 12, and 9 Arkansas is one of them that's referenced starting on line 19. 10 11 Thank you. Next could you jump to page 35 of 0. 12 your surrebuttal testimony. Am I correct when I say 13 that in the body of your answers and the footnotes you are citing to a Michigan and South Dakota Public Utility 14 15 Commission orders? 16 They are referred to on page 35 of my Α. 17 surrebuttal, yes. Thank you. At this time I would like to turn 18 0. your attention to what Public Counsel has premarked as 19 20 Exhibit 206. Is there a way to get that in front of 21 you? 22 What is 206? Α. 23 MR. ZOBRIST: Counsel, is that the Arkansas Public Service Commission order? 24 25 MR. HALL: Yes.

1	THE WITNESS: I have it.
2	BY MR. HALL:
3	Q. Can you confirm that this is the same Arkansas
4	order that you cited to in your direct testimony?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Does this order from the Arkansas Public
7	Service Commission contain a bad debt deferral of bad
8	debt tracker similar to what has been included in the
9	Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement?
10	A. I'm reading it. I don't see that this order
11	directly addresses that unless I've missed it in my read
12	through.
13	Q. Thank you, Mr. Ives. Could you next turn to
14	Exhibit 207.
15	MR. ZOBRIST: Counsel, that's the Michigan
16	Public Service Commission order?
17	MR. HALL: Yes. Pardon me. I should have
18	confirmed Michigan Public Service Commission order.
19	THE WITNESS: I have the Michigan order.
20	BY MR. HALL:
21	Q. Can you confirm that this is the same Michigan
22	Public Service Commission order that you relied upon in
23	your surrebuttal testimony?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Does this order contain a provision for the

1 deferral of bad debts in the same manner as the 2 stipulation that Evergy is supporting in this case? So this order is rather lengthy. So based on 3 Α. my recollection, without reading the entirety of the 4 5 Michigan order, I believe that the order provides for the opportunity for individual utilities to submit 6 7 requests for deferral that could include those 8 components and they have to do that by I think the early 9 part of November. So it creates the framework for those 10 actions to be undertaken if I'm in the right state. 11 Okay. You generally agree then that it Ο. 12 doesn't create a framework where the tracking and deferral of bad debts is based on whether bad debts are 13 14 higher or lower than expected in 2021? 15 I don't believe it goes into the specifics of Α. 16 the framework. I think it gives the framework for 17 utilities to request deferral in a separate individual 18 utility filing --19 Pardon me, Mr. Ives. I'm hearing myself Ο. 20 through your speakers. So I get a little weirded out. 21 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't get 22 the end of his answer, because I think you two talked on 23 top of each other. MR. HALL: Mr. Ives appears to be frozen. 24 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not frozen. I was waiting

1	to see if there was a request to finish or clarify.
2	BY MR. HALL:
3	Q. Mr. Ives, please repeat your answer for the
4	court reporter.
5	A. I said I don't believe that this order goes
6	into the specifics of how deferrals would be calculated
7	because it creates a framework for individual utilities
8	in Michigan to file a request for deferral and they
9	would have to do that, I believe, by sometime in
10	November of this year.
11	Q. Mr. Ives, could you next turn to Exhibit 208
12	which is the South Dakota Public Service Commission
13	order. Let me know when you have it.
14	A. I have the South Dakota.
15	Q. Similarly, can you confirm that this is the
16	same South Dakota order that you relied upon for your
17	surrebuttal testimony?
18	A. It is.
19	Q. And also similarly do you agree that this
20	order doesn't speak to the deferral of bad debt in the
21	same manner as what Evergy is proposing in the
22	stipulation and agreement?
23	A. So this order This order does indicate that
24	petitioners can include cost increases in addition to
25	incremental bad debt in a regulatory asset and if it

does so they would have to include cost increases. So I 1 2 believe it does talk about incremental debt, but it does not give the specific mechanism in this order for the 3 calculation. 4 For clarity then, you agree with me then that 5 Ο. this does not order the deferral of bad debt in the same 6 7 manner as what's being proposed in paragraph 8(b) and 8 (c) in the proposed stipulation and agreement then? 9 Well, for clarity I'm saying it does say that Α. petitioners can defer incremental bad debt, but this 10 11 order does not give a comparison or a calculation of how 12 that is determined. 13 MR. HALL: All right. I have no further 14 questions. Thank you. 15 JUDGE JACOBS: Is there any cross-examination from National Housing Trust? 16 17 MR. LINHARES: I have no cross for the 18 witness. Thank you. JUDGE JACOBS: 19 Thank you. So I think the 20 conference call line might want to mute. Okay. I am 21 looking to see if Commissioner Rupp is with us at this 22 I think he had some questions for Mr. Ives. time. Ιt 23 is time for Commissioner questions. 24 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Commissioner Rupp is here. JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you, sir. Please go 25

1 ahead.

2 COMMISSIONER RUPP: No problem.3 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER RUPP:

Q. Mr. Ives, the same question I asked earlier. What's the difference in this stipulation than Spire and Missouri-American Water?

7 Thanks for the question. I think there are Α. 8 two primary differences in the stipulation itself that I 9 would point to, and one is those companies had some 10 measure of addition into their stipulation for customer assistance programs that are different between 11 12 Missouri-American Water's approach and Spire's approach, 13 but they had something in there whereas our position, as 14 Mr. Caisley referred to, and throughout our testimony 15 indicates we made significant movements before we got to 16 this point for putting a million dollars into customer 17 assistance programs across our territory, putting a 18 total of another million two towards grants for communities and not-for-profit agencies, and we did 19 20 significant outreach to customers including the running 21 of the one and four-month incentive programs and the CSR 22 discretion program that have been talked about earlier 23 today. I don't know all of the details of those two 24 utilities, but I don't believe that they had that level 25 of commitment made prior to entering the agreements with

the parties that they had done voluntarily before that
 point.

The second part that I would say is different 3 in our stipulation from those two companies is the 4 5 ability to evaluate bad debt expense impacts quarterly 6 for two quarters following March 31 when the remainder 7 of the direct deferral stopped. That's the mechanism 8 that says we'll evaluate quarterly compared against the 9 amounts that are in rates and to the extent that we are 10 above or below the amounts in rates 10 percent or greater, there would be amounts recorded to the deferral 11 12 potentially for that additional six months. Much like 13 lost revenues were very important to several of the parties as they've shared with the Commission today, 14 15 one, they were also very important to us and we gave 16 those up to get to this point. But the ability to continue to evaluate and make deferrals without further 17 18 Commission action was important to us because there is 19 such a long tail to addressing or ultimately getting to 20 bad debt write-offs when you have suspended collections 21 for a number of months, you have put 12-month payment 22 plans in place and then you also have cold weather rule 23 impacts that preclude disconnections or movement towards 24 bad debt expense. So the tail is so long it makes a lot of sense to us for the deferral to be able to be 25

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

1 considered in this two-quarter tail month and the 2 parties that have signed on to the agreement were able 3 to work through that with us to put that into this 4 non-unanimous agreement.

Q. So isn't it like Missouri-American Water andSpire, aren't they in that same situation?

7 They are in that same situation. I suspect my Α. 8 guess, and I can't speak to them, my guess is they don't 9 have as many customers on 12-month payment plans right 10 now that we do because of the effort that we undertook 11 to get people on those. I think gas certainly was in a 12 different situation during the bulk of the shutdown 13 period, because those were months that were typical 14 higher electric usage months and lower gas usage months 15 just based on the season and the time of the year. So 16 they may not have had quite the impact on lost revenues 17 or on the arrearages because their balances just 18 wouldn't have been as high during that period.

19COMMISSIONER RUPP: Okay. Thank you. That's20the two questions I had.

JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Obviously the floor is open for additional Commissioner questions if we have any. I would ask the Commissioners to speak up. I have some follow up questions for Mr. Ives.

25 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE JACOBS:

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Г

1	Q. I think that we have heard or will hear some
2	argument in regard to the concept of materiality which
3	seems to be calculated looking at a 5 percent figure
4	perhaps of net income or income, and my question for
5	Mr. Ives would be, I know that there are some filings
6	that are made by companies with the Public Service
7	Commission and annual report and also looks like a FERC
8	Form 1 is sometimes included in that report.
9	So if the Commission is looking to figure out
10	what the Company's income is, where should the
11	Commission look? Should it be looking at FERC Form 1?
12	Should it be looking at an annual report made to the
13	Commission? What would your recommendation be?
14	A. That might have been a better question for Mr.
15	Klote, but I think I would point the Commission to
16	surveillance filings that are made by the jurisdictional
17	utilities. I think those would provide the information
18	that they'd be looking for. You know, I have to
19	reiterate because you asked the question. My testimony
20	is pretty clear it's our belief that materiality does
21	not apply because that's a component of general
22	instruction 7. In general instruction 7, it's very
23	clear in the USOA has nothing to do with the deferral to
24	regulatory assets or regulatory liabilities.
25	Q. So if the Commission is trying to figure out

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

an income figure for the Company, you mentioned 1 2 surveillance filings, does that include the annual report or a report made to FERC or is that something 3 4 else? They're specific to the jurisdictional 5 Α. utilities. 6 They're required by us to be filed, and they 7 would have the annual earnings for Missouri West and for Missouri Metro. 8 9 Are those annual filings or are they made on a Ο. quarterly basis? 10 11 Well, interestingly we make surveillance Α. 12 filings I believe monthly, guarterly and annually for different purposes, but there would be an annual filing. 13 14 0. Okay. So I believe the Company would have 15 filed something with the SEC as far as a third quarter 16 report goes to report something ending September 30, 17 2020. Are you familiar with that? 18 Α. Yes, I was responsible for those filings for 19 the first 14 years of my career at Evergy. Yes, we file 20 quarterly with the SEC. I would suggest that SEC 21 filings are not in all cases consistent with 22 jurisdictional filings both because there are 23 non-jurisdictional impacts in the SEC reports. There are also differences between amounts that are recorded 24 25 for SEC or GAAP financial purposes and for FERC purposes

Г

1	and we don't file an SEC report that is specific to
2	Missouri West and we do file an Evergy Metro report but
3	it's not broken down between Missouri Metro and Kansas
4	Metro. So I think the surveillance reports would be a
5	much more relevant resource than the SEC reports.
б	Q. Okay. So are you saying that an SEC filing
7	ending September 30 of 2020, if it's providing an income
8	figure of some kind, you're saying because of the way
9	that's reported it's not possible to separate out an
10	exact figure for Missouri West or Missouri Metro?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. Okay. Do you have a calculation at hand of
13	the COVID-related costs that Evergy has incurred through
14	the end of September?
15	A. I do. I just have to put my hands on it.
16	Q. This would be either I guess the best
17	information would be for each company, but I don't know
18	if you have that.
19	A. I think I have what you're looking for here.
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. So are you looking in total for amounts that
22	would be eligible by company? At this point in time I
23	would have through September.
24	Q. I think it would be helpful to know what those
25	numbers would be through September that would be

eligible for deferral based on the Company's present
 request.

I have a sheet. I'm pausing because I have a 3 Α. sheet that is easier for me to follow than the ones that 4 5 I found. I'm not sure why I'm not finding it. I'11 start with Missouri West, and Missouri West if I'm 6 7 looking at this right for cost eligible for deferral net of the identified savings or offsets would be about 1 8 9 point -- between 1.4 and 1.5 million for the period from 10 when we said at the beginning of March or whatever that 11 March date that was that we agreed upon through the end 12 of September. 13 Okay. And that is a figure where you 0. 14 indicated you had already netted any savings against 15 that to reach that conclusion? 16 That is correct. Α. And that was for Missouri West? 17 Ο. That's correct. 18 Α. 19 Okay. Did you have a Metro figure? Ο. 20 Α. Yeah. For Missouri Metro, I'm doing a little 21 math in my head here. It looks to me to be about \$2 22 million and that would be the costs to be deferred 23 netted with the costs available for offset due to 24 savings. 25 These are both through end of September; is 0.

1 that right?

2 Yes, end of September which, you know, just Α. remind everybody that we didn't do any disconnections at 3 4 all until mid July, as Mr. Caisley called for, and we've 5 got, you know, Evergy wide we've put over a hundred 6 thousand customers on payment plans now. The majority 7 of those are 12-month payment plans and there certainly 8 wouldn't be any bad debt expense impact from folks that 9 are on plans and continue to participate on them. So 10 there's not much impact in bad debts at this stage of 11 the process.

Q. I'm sorry for interrupting you, sir. I have another question for you. Is it the availability of this 12-month payment plan that's been offered to customers, is that where the request comes to have this extended treatment of bad debt? Are those things related?

Yes, absolutely. I mean, to be clear, our 18 Α. 19 filed position and position up until the settlement was 20 that there shouldn't be a stoppage or a cutoff in the 21 deferral period because we just don't know the length of 22 time that our service territory and our customers, our 23 company are going to be impacted by the effects of 24 COVID. But in the settlement, we agreed to this extension and it's precisely that. If there's such a 25

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	tail on 12-month payment program that we don't expect
2	that we will see the effects of nonpayment of customers
3	that are on those payment plans until much later than
4	where we are today if we see nonpayment. Hopefully the
5	payment plans will help those customers to be able to
6	stay current and work through the process with no
7	problems.
8	Q. Okay. Thank you. So I'm going to ask you
9	about something that is mentioned in an OPC witness's
10	testimony, Dr. Marke. He refers to some apparently
11	public statements made by Evergy folks in regard to a
12	sustainability transformation plan and some savings in

operation and maintenance costs. Does that sound

14 familiar to you?

15

13

A. It sounds familiar, yes.

16 So there is apparently a report there of a 0. 17 certain amount of operations and maintenance savings 18 that the overall company has experienced. So the 19 question for you is in apparently a relevant period of time in relation to COVID. Is there a reason why you 20 21 would contend the Commission shouldn't consider that 22 kind of savings and operation and maintenance costs when 23 it's considering this AAO application or deferral of 24 costs in general?

25

A. Yes, there is. You know, this particular

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

application addresses the effects of COVID-19, both 1 2 costs attributable to the impacts of COVID-19 as well as cost savings that are being realized with a reasonable 3 nexus to COVID-19. There was testimony earlier today, 4 5 or maybe discussion at least, that we have been under 6 cost saving initiatives really since 2018 as a result of 7 our merger between the Legacy Great Plains Energy and 8 Westar Energy in Kansas which created Evergy, merger 9 savings that were discussed and talked about and 10 contemplated as a part of that combination. Moving from 11 there we have announced, as Dr. Marke refers to, STP or 12 Sustainability Transformation Plan, that is our next five-year plan for the years 2020 through 2024. 13 That plan has a number of initiatives in it both from an 14 15 investment and from a cost savings standpoint.

16 None of the items related to the merger and 17 none of the items related to the STP have any direct 18 nexus to COVID-19 and aren't part of the content of this 19 proceeding, and I think there was referral earlier on to 20 a footnote on maybe like page 3 of the Non-Unanimous 21 Stipulation that indicates that the parties acknowledge 22 that those type of activities are not part of what's 23 being considered in these savings.

24JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Those are all the25questions I had for you, sir. Once again, if

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Commissioners have questions, please speak up now. So 1 2 we can go to recross then if anyone has any recross. 3 Staff, did you have any questions? 4 MS. MYERS: No, Judge. 5 JUDGE JACOBS: And Missouri Industrial Energy 6 Consumers, Ms. Plescia? 7 MS. PLESCIA: No questions, Judge. Thank you. 8 JUDGE JACOBS: And Midwest Energy Consumers 9 Group? 10 MR. WOODSMALL: No, thank you. 11 JUDGE JACOBS: Sierra Club? 12 MS. HENRY: No questions, Your Honor. 13 JUDGE JACOBS: Renew Missouri? 14 MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge. 15 JUDGE JACOBS: And Office of the Public 16 Counsel? 17 MR. HALL: No questions. Thank you. 18 JUDGE JACOBS: National Housing Trust? 19 MR. LINHARES: Thank you. No questions, 20 Judge. 21 JUDGE JACOBS: All right. Thank you very 22 much. Any redirect for Mr. Ives from Evergy? 23 MR. ZOBRIST: Yes, Judge. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOBRIST: 24 25 0. Mr. Ives, just to confirm the footnote that

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

you talked about, am I correct that's the footnote at 1 2 the bottom of page 3 of the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement which is marked as footnote 1; is that 3 4 correct? That's correct, yes, footnote 1 at the bottom 5 Α. 6 of page 3. 7 Let me ask you if I could to turn to the Ο. 8 Michigan Public Service Commission order that Mr. Hall 9 asked you about. It's been marked as Exhibit 207. 10 I have it. Α. 11 Would you please turn to page 20 of that Ο. 12 order? 13 I'm there. Α. 14 0. And with regard to this section that begins 15 reply comments, is it generally correct that in the reply comments that are on page 22, two pages later, 16 17 again the first full paragraph, that the staff of the 18 Michigan Public Service Commission recommended that the 19 Commission refrain from setting a termination date for 20 tracking and deferring uncollectible expenses; is that 21 correct? 22 It specifically says that the Commission Α. Yes. 23 refrain from setting a termination date for tracking and deferring uncollectible expenses and approve the 24 25 continued tracking of certain COVID-19 related costs as

Г

1	well as foregone revenues. The next sentence actually
2	is what I referred to where they suggested that
3	utilities seeking deferral of related expenses make an
4	informational filing by November 2 describing the
5	expenses, foregone revenues, uncollectibles, things that
6	may be approved for deferred accounting treatment.
7	Q. And in that description, does that include bad
8	debt as you were questioned by Mr. Hall with regard to?
9	A. Yeah, in that staff recommendation it talks
10	about that informational filing including uncollectibles
11	to be approved for deferral accounting treatment.
12	Q. If you would turn to page 30 of the Michigan
13	order. In the middle of that page there is a category
14	that is entitled costs/foregone revenue category. Do
15	you see that, sir?
16	A. I do.
17	Q. And does that appear to give utilities the
18	opportunity to list both the costs that they have
19	incurred and the revenues that they have foregone in a
20	proposal to present to the Michigan Commission?
21	A. It does. That section on page 30 is saying
22	for each cost or revenue item that they seek to defer
23	but their filing should contain the following
24	information for calendar year 2020 and it talks about a
25	narrative for costs or foregone revenues that they're

requesting. It also asks for the costs included in 1 2 rates for each month related to those. If you would go to page 32 or 33 to the 3 0. 4 section that is entitled savings, do you see that, 5 Mr. Ives? 6 Α. I do. In the middle of the page? 7 Correct. And on the next page at the bottom 0. 8 it responds to various recommendations. Do you see 9 where the Commission notes that it is a creature of 10 statute and as such is limited in its authority to 11 direct utility management decisions? 12 It does. It's talking -- I believe the end of Α. 13 that sentence was talking about recommendations that 14 were made to direct utilities to pursue specific cost 15 saving measures. 16 And in your experience as the Director of 0. 17 Regulatory Affairs, does Missouri and this Commission in 18 particular, follow similar principles with regard to the role of the Public Service Commission in terms of being 19 20 a creature of statute and limited in their ability to direct utility management decisions, I do believe the --21 22 MR. HALL: Objection. Calls for legal 23 conclusion. 24 MR. ZOBRIST: Your Honor, my response would be 25 that Mr. Hall has opened the door with regard to asking

Г

1	this witness to respond to regulatory and legal concepts
2	in here in his capacity not as a lawyer but as the Vice
3	President of Regulatory Affairs. He's certainly
4	qualified to render his testimony with regard to whether
5	the Commission has been treated as a creature of statute
6	not only by Missouri courts but by itself in the
7	decisions that it has rendered.
8	JUDGE JACOBS: For what it's worth, I will
9	hear Mr. Ives' answer in regard to a parallel in these
10	two states' regulatory structures given that Mr. Hall
11	did ask the Commission to look at these other states'
12	decisions.
13	BY MR. ZOBRIST:
14	Q. And finally, Mr. Ives, if I could ask you to
15	turn to page 44 of the Michigan order to the section on
16	customer protections and affordability. Do you see
17	that, sir?
18	A. I do. Starts in the middle of page 44.
19	Q. Right. And am I correct that at the bottom of
20	the page with respect to recommendations made by other
21	parties the Commission stated that certain comments were
22	beyond the scope of this proceeding; is that correct?
23	A. That is correct. The first paragraph talks
24	about the central theme of comments and lists several,
25	and then in the second paragraph the Commission says

that some recommendations provided in comments are 1 2 beyond the scope of the proceeding, outside the authority of the Commission to carry out within an order 3 are already being addressed by energy providers. 4 5 Thank you. Can I ask you to turn to Exhibit Ο. 6 206 which is the Arkansas order that Mr. Hall had asked 7 you about. 8 Α. I have it. 9 Am I correct -- or pardon me. Is it correct, Ο. 10 directing your attention to the first paragraph of the 11 order, that the Arkansas Commission concluded at the end 12 of that paragraph that COVID-19 was a public health 13 emergency? The Commission refers to and I think it's 14 Α. 15 referring to an executive order by the governor. 16 Now, with respect to the Arkansas Commission's 0. 17 encouragement to utilities to offer reasonable payments, 18 is that dealt with in paragraph 4 of this order? 19 It is. It's a very high level statement. Α. Ιt 20 just indicates that the Commission encourages utilities 21 to offer reasonable payment arrangements once the prohibition on disconnections is lifted. 22 23 Q. And have the Evergy companies done that? 24 We have. The one-month, the four-month, the Α. 12-month. We've worked hard. 25

Q. Directing your attention to the next page,
 paragraph 9 of the Arkansas order, what does that
 paragraph provide with regard to utility customers
 paying their utility bills?

5 Α. Yeah. That paragraph 9 indicates from the 6 Commission that this order that they're providing does 7 not eliminate the requirement that utility customers 8 continue to pay utility bills during the temporary 9 suspension. Goes on to state that customers should 10 continue paying their bills and understand that they 11 will ultimately be responsible for utility services 12 accrued during the suspension.

13 Finally with regard to this Arkansas order, 0. 14 Mr. Hall asked you about bad debt provisions. Is there 15 anything that you found in this order that prohibits or 16 criticizes companies from requesting the kind of 17 treatment for bad debt or uncollectible expense as is 18 requested in the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and 19 Agreement?

20 A. There is nothing in this order that prohibits21 that.

Q. And specifically in the second sentence in
paragraph 3 which is on page 3, does the Commission
authorize the utilities to establish regulatory assets
to record costs resulting from suspension and

disconnections? 1 2 Α. It does. It does. And then it talks about the future proceedings where the Commission will 3 4 consider the utility's request for recovery of req 5 assets and appropriate recovery period, amount of 6 carrying costs thereon and savings attributable and 7 other matters. Would that include bad debt? 8 Ο. 9 I think you could certainly take from that Α. that bad debts would be eligible to be considered. 10 11 And then finally let me ask you to turn to 0. 12 Exhibit 208 which is the order issued by the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission. 13 14 Α. I've got it. 15 And directing your attention to paragraph 4 of 0. 16 that order, does it state that the Commission Staff of 17 the South Dakota PUC had recommended approval of the deferral request subject to conditions contained in a 18 19 Staff Memorandum dated August 12, 2020? 20 Α. It does state that. 21 Now, do you have a copy of what I believe we Ο. 22 have served upon all the parties marked as Exhibit 10 23 which is entitled Staff Memorandum? I do have the Staff Memorandum. 24 Α. And does it indicate in the rate clause that 25 Ο.

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

it was submitted in Docket No. GE-2020-002 --1 2 MR. HALL: Mr. Zobrist, I do not have a copy of Exhibit 10. 3 I thought MR. ZOBRIST: I apologize for that. 4 5 that Mr. Westenkirtner (phonetic spelling) had emailed 6 that to all parties a couple of hours ago. 7 MS. HENRY: The Sierra Club received it. 8 MR. HALL: Mr. Zobrist, my apologies for the 9 interruption. I have it now. 10 MR. ZOBRIST: And understanding, Mr. Hall, I 11 presume you have not had an opportunity to review this? 12 MR. HALL: No, Mr. Zobrist, you may proceed. 13 BY MR. ZOBRIST: 14 0. Mr. Ives, based upon your review of the South 15 Dakota PUC order, Exhibit 208, does Exhibit 10 entitled Staff Memorandum in the same docket number appear to be 16 17 the Staff Memorandum whose conditions were adopted by the South Dakota Commission in its order? 18 19 Α. Yes, yes, it does. 20 Ο. And I would ask you please to turn to page 5 21 of the South Dakota Staff Memorandum. Does that set 22 forth the accounting authority under which the deferral 23 was granted by the South Dakota Commission? 24 Α. Yes, on page 5. How does that relate to general instruction 7 25 Ο.

that's been cited by a number of the parties in this 1 2 case? The authority cited by this staff in this 3 Α. docket references ASC 980 which is a financial 4 5 accounting standard that is very similar to definition 6 31 of the US of A and the definitions for regulatory 7 asset and liability counts, Counts 182.3 and 254. It doesn't relate at all to general instruction 7 US of A. 8 9 US of A is the Uniform System of Accounts 0. that's been promulgated by FERC and the Code of Federal 10 11 Regulations and adopted by this Commission? 12 That's correct. Α. MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, I have nothing further. 13 14 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. Okay. 15 That concludes Mr. Ives' testimony at this time. Thank you, sir. 16 17 So I believe where that puts us is ready to move on to Sierra Club's witness, assuming that's going 18 19 to work for you, Ms. Henry. 20 MS. HENRY: That works for Sierra Club, yes. 21 JUDGE JACOBS: Is a 15-minute break going to 22 be adequate or do we need a longer break? Okay. We're 23 going to --MR. ZOBRIST: I failed to offer Exhibit 10, 24 and I would also like to offer Exhibits 206, 207 and 208 25

into evidence. 1 2 JUDGE JACOBS: So Exhibit 10 was the document that was distributed this morning by Evergy by email and 3 it is the Staff's Memorandum out of South Dakota; is 4 5 that right? 6 MR. ZOBRIST: That's correct. 7 JUDGE JACOBS: Is there any objection to that exhibit being included on the record? Hearing no 8 9 objection, Exhibit 10 will be admitted. 10 (EVERGY EXHIBIT 10 WAS RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE 11 AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.) 12 JUDGE JACOBS: And then Mr. Zobrist, I 13 believe that's who I'm hearing from? MR. ZOBRIST: Yes, ma'am, and the other. 14 15 JUDGE JACOBS: Are you also offering some exhibits that were marked by OPC? 16 17 MR. ZOBRIST: Yes, Judge. The Exhibit 206, the Arkansas Commission Order; Exhibit 207, the Michigan 18 19 Commission Order; and Exhibit 208, the South Dakota 20 Commission Order. 21 JUDGE JACOBS: Is there any objection to those three exhibits which are orders out of other states' 22 23 utility commissions being admitted to the record in this 24 case? So there are no objections. Exhibits 206, 207 25 and 208 will be admitted.

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

Thank you, Judge. 1 MR. ZOBRIST: (OPC'S EXHIBITS 206, 207 AND 208 WERE RECEIVED 2 INTO EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.) 3 4 JUDGE JACOBS: So as I said, we were going to 5 come back and I'm going to set that return time at 3:35 and we will come back with Sierra Club's witness. 6 So we 7 are now in recess and off the record. Thank you. 8 (A recess was taken.) 9 JUDGE JACOBS: All right. So it is 3:35 right We are going to get back on the record. We're now 10 now. 11 on the record once again. So I did ask for a little 12 time from the parties to let me take a look at the 13 passage that OPC was concerned about in the exhibit 14 offered by Evergy, Mr. Ives' testimony, the Confidential 15 and Public versions. And those are Evergy Exhibits 8 16 and 9. Counsel for OPC objected to these exhibits based 17 on a passage at page 11, lines 13 through 20. I've 18 taken a look at that. The objection was a hearsay 19 objection. So I don't think there's any risk that the 20 Commission is going to be led astray by this passage. Ι 21 think the evidence is competent. I am going to overrule 22 the hearsay objection. Whatever credibility problems 23 there are with this passage are clear on its face. 24 Basically Mr. Ives is just giving his opinion and then 25 trying to persuade the Commission that other people

Г

1	agree with him comes close to providing an out-of-court
2	statement, but there technically isn't really an
3	out-of-court statement expressed there. So I don't see
4	a hearsay problem. So Exhibits 8 and 9 will be admitted
5	to the record.
6	(EVERGY EXHIBITS 8 AND 9 WERE RECEIVED INTO
7	EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
8	MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, if I could be heard just
9	briefly further. In framing my argument, I didn't have
10	the statute in mind, but the statute that I would quote
11	is Section 490.065 of the Missouri Revised Statutes.
12	That does allow this type of opinion testimony to come
13	in and deals with the potential hearsay problem.
14	JUDGE JACOBS: So you agree with me, Mr.
15	Zobrist, there's not a problem and this should be on the
16	record?
17	MR. ZOBRIST: Okay. Great. Thank you, yes.
18	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. All right.
19	So the next thing that we were getting ready to do was
20	we were going to hear from Ms. Roberto. So I believe
21	what we can do is if she is ready to go we can get her
22	sworn in. And she has conveniently raised her right
23	hand for us. Ms. Henry, are you ready to go?
24	MS. HENRY: I'm ready. I'd like to call Ms.
25	Roberto to the stand.

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II

1 Thank you so much. Is it JUDGE JACOBS: 2 Roberto? I apologize. THE WITNESS: I answer to either. Thank you, 3 4 Your Honor. 5 JUDGE JACOBS: Well, you can introduce 6 yourself after we've sworn you in and then I'll try to 7 pay attention to that. 8 (Witness sworn.) 9 JUDGE JACOBS: You may proceed. Thanks. 10 CHERYL ROBERTO, 11 called as a witness in behalf of Sierra Club, being 12 sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HENRY: 13 Good afternoon. Can you please state and 14 Ο. 15 spell your name for the record? 16 My name is Cheryl Roberto, C-h-e-r-y-l, Α. 17 Roberto, R-o-b-e-r-t-o. 18 Ms. Roberto, can you please tell us by whom 0. you are employed? 19 20 Α. Synapse Energy Economics. 21 What position do you hold? Ο. 22 I'm a senior principal. Α. 23 Q. And can you briefly describe your educational 24 background? 25 I'm a lawyer by training. Α.

EU-2020-0350,	Vol.	ΙI
---------------	------	----

 history? A. Yes. I'm a former Commissioner on the Ohio Public Utilities Commission. Prior to that, I was the Director of Public Utilities for the 15th largest municipality in the United States. Subsequent to my service on the Commission, I ran the Environmental Defense Fund's Clean Energy Program, and I currently now work for Synapse Energy Economics. Q. Have you testified before this Commission before? A. I have No, only this matter. Thank you. Q. Have you testified before other public service commissions? A. Yes, I have. Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other commissions? A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a commissioner for a state utility commission? 	1	Q. Can you please describe your employment
 Public Utilities Commission. Prior to that, I was the Director of Public Utilities for the 15th largest municipality in the United States. Subsequent to my service on the Commission, I ran the Environmental Defense Fund's Clean Energy Program, and I currently now work for Synapse Energy Economics. Q. Have you testified before this Commission before? A. I have No, only this matter. Thank you. Q. Have you testified before other public service commissions? A. Yes, I have. Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other commissions? A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	2	history?
 5 Director of Public Utilities for the 15th largest municipality in the United States. Subsequent to my service on the Commission, I ran the Environmental Defense Fund's Clean Energy Program, and I currently now work for Synapse Energy Economics. Q. Have you testified before this Commission before? A. I have No, only this matter. Thank you. Q. Have you testified before other public service commissions? A. Yes, I have. Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other commissions? A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	3	A. Yes. I'm a former Commissioner on the Ohio
 municipality in the United States. Subsequent to my service on the Commission, I ran the Environmental Defense Fund's Clean Energy Program, and I currently now work for Synapse Energy Economics. Q. Have you testified before this Commission before? A. I have No, only this matter. Thank you. Q. Have you testified before other public service commissions? A. Yes, I have. Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other commissions? A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	4	Public Utilities Commission. Prior to that, I was the
 service on the Commission, I ran the Environmental Defense Fund's Clean Energy Program, and I currently now work for Synapse Energy Economics. Q. Have you testified before this Commission before? A. I have No, only this matter. Thank you. Q. Have you testified before other public service commissions? A. Yes, I have. Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other commissions? A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	5	Director of Public Utilities for the 15th largest
 Befense Fund's Clean Energy Program, and I currently now work for Synapse Energy Economics. Q. Have you testified before this Commission before? A. I have No, only this matter. Thank you. Q. Have you testified before other public service commissions? A. Yes, I have. Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other commissions? A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	б	municipality in the United States. Subsequent to my
 9 work for Synapse Energy Economics. 10 Q. Have you testified before this Commission 11 before? 12 A. I have No, only this matter. Thank you. 13 Q. Have you testified before other public service 14 commissions? 15 A. Yes, I have. 16 Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other 17 commissions? 18 A. Yes, they have. 19 Q. Would you list which other commissions you 10 have testified before? 21 A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, 22 Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US 23 Senate. 24 Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	7	service on the Commission, I ran the Environmental
 Q. Have you testified before this Commission before? A. I have No, only this matter. Thank you. Q. Have you testified before other public service commissions? A. Yes, I have. Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other commissions? A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	8	Defense Fund's Clean Energy Program, and I currently now
 before? A. I have No, only this matter. Thank you. Q. Have you testified before other public service commissions? A. Yes, I have. Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other commissions? A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	9	work for Synapse Energy Economics.
 A. I have No, only this matter. Thank you. Q. Have you testified before other public service commissions? A. Yes, I have. Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other commissions? A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	10	Q. Have you testified before this Commission
 Q. Have you testified before other public service commissions? A. Yes, I have. Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other commissions? A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	11	before?
 14 commissions? 15 A. Yes, I have. 16 Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other 17 commissions? 18 A. Yes, they have. 19 Q. Would you list which other commissions you 20 have testified before? 21 A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, 22 Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US 23 Senate. 24 Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	12	A. I have No, only this matter. Thank you.
 A. Yes, I have. Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other commissions? A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	13	Q. Have you testified before other public service
 Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other commissions? A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	14	commissions?
<pre>17 commissions? 18 A. Yes, they have. 19 Q. Would you list which other commissions you 20 have testified before? 21 A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, 22 Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US 23 Senate. 24 Q. Thank you. And you have served as a</pre>	15	A. Yes, I have.
 A. Yes, they have. Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	16	Q. Have your credentials been accepted by other
 Q. Would you list which other commissions you have testified before? A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	17	commissions?
20 have testified before? 21 A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, 22 Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US 23 Senate. 24 Q. Thank you. And you have served as a	18	A. Yes, they have.
 A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado, Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	19	Q. Would you list which other commissions you
 Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US Senate. Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	20	have testified before?
 23 Senate. 24 Q. Thank you. And you have served as a 	21	A. Let's see. Maryland, Indiana, Colorado,
Q. Thank you. And you have served as a	22	Arizona, Ohio. I've appeared before FERC and the US
	23	Senate.
25 commissioner for a state utility commission?	24	Q. Thank you. And you have served as a
	25	commissioner for a state utility commission?

1	A. Yes, I did.
2	Q. Are you the same Ms. Roberto who submitted
3	rebuttal testimony in this proceeding on August 17, 2020
4	that has been marked for identification as Exhibit 500?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What's the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
7	A. Sierra Club retained me to assess the
8	application by Evergy for lost revenue recovery as a
9	regulatory asset.
10	Q. Do you have any changes or revisions to your
11	rebuttal testimony?
12	A. I do not.
13	Q. If I asked you the same questions today, would
14	your answers be the same?
15	A. Yes, they would.
16	MS. HENRY: I now offer Exhibit 500 and tender
17	Ms. Roberto for cross.
18	JUDGE JACOBS: I had to adjust my headset and
19	fix something. Have I missed something that I
20	apologize.
21	MS. HENRY: I offered Exhibit 500, and I
22	tendered Ms. Roberto for cross.
23	JUDGE JACOBS: I apologize, Ms. Henry. It was
24	very bad timing on my part. Okay. So Ms. Henry has
25	offered Ms. Cheryl Roberto's rebuttal testimony which is

marked as Exhibit 500. Are there any objections? 1 2 Hearing no objections, Exhibit 500 is admitted to the 3 record. (SIERRA CLUB'S EXHIBIT 500 WAS RECEIVED INTO 4 5 EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.) 6 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So we can proceed with 7 any cross-examination. Does Evergy have any 8 cross-examination? 9 MR. ZOBRIST: No questions, Judge. JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. I believe Staff 10 11 would be up next. Any questions from Staff? 12 MS. MYERS: No questions, Judge. 13 JUDGE JACOBS: And Missouri Industrial Energy 14 Consumers? 15 MS. PLESCIA: No questions. 16 JUDGE JACOBS: Midwest Energy Consumers Group? 17 MR. WOODSMALL: No, thank you. JUDGE JACOBS: And Renew Missouri? 18 19 MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge. 20 JUDGE JACOBS: Office of the Public Counsel? 21 MR. HALL: Yes, thank you, Judge. 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL: 23 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Roberto. Good afternoon. 24 Α. 25 0. Ms. Roberto, are you familiar with a group

1	commonly referred to as NARUC?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. What is that group?
4	A. It is the National Association for Regulatory
5	Utility Commissioners.
6	Q. Weren't you invited to speak at a NARUC
7	function this week?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Was it last week?
10	A. I actually spoke at the NACA this week, and I
11	have not spoken at NARUC this year.
12	Q. Thank you. My mistake. What is NACA?
13	A. That's the National Association of Consumer
14	Advocates.
15	Q. What was the NACA function you spoke at?
16	A. It was the annual meeting.
17	Q. What did you speak on?
18	A. I had a 15-minute vignette talking about lost
19	revenue recovery for COVID.
20	Q. Do you recall speaking on Evergy's earnings
21	during your talk?
22	A. I did.
23	Q. Did you speak on Evergy's second financial
24	quarter earnings for this year?
25	A. I did. I referenced the same information that

1	I filed in my testimony.
2	Q. How do Evergy's second financial quarter
3	earnings for this year compare to last year?
4	A. They were better in the second quarter of 2020
5	than they were in the second quarter of 2019.
б	Q. This increase has happened even with the rise
7	in COVID-19?
8	A. COVID happened, yes, in 2020.
9	Q. Thank you. Ms. Roberto, your counsel
10	mentioned that you were a former Public Service
11	Commissioner. In what state were you a commissioner?
12	A. The state of Ohio.
13	Q. For how long?
14	A. One term.
15	Q. How long is a term in Ohio?
16	A. It's five years.
17	Q. So your experience on the Ohio Commission
18	forms your testimony today?
19	A. Yes.
20	MR. HALL: No further questions. Thank you.
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22	JUDGE JACOBS: Do we have any questions from
23	National Housing Trust?
24	MR. LINHARES: I have no questions for the
25	witness. Thank you, Judge.

Г

1	JUDGE JACOBS: So it looks like we would be
2	ready for any questions from the Commission. Are there
3	any Commissioner questions? Okay. So I have a
4	question.
5	QUESTIONS BY JUDGE JACOBS:
6	Q. Apparently, Ms. Roberto, you have addressed a
7	consumer advocacy group in regard to Evergy's second
8	quarter earnings, was that right, for 2020; is that
9	accurate?
10	A. Your Honor, I addressed Yes, I addressed a
11	consumer advocate organization. The larger topic was
12	how are commissions looking at lost revenue recovery.
13	Q. Yes. And because you had submitted testimony
14	in this case, you had some stuff to say about that
15	apparently. You provided opinions on that topic in
16	other cases?
17	A. I have also testified in Indiana.
18	Q. Okay. So did you look at third quarter
19	earnings at all, I'm just wondering, since those would
20	have been available?
21	A. No, I did not.
22	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. All right. So that was
23	all I was wondering. And I'm not seeing any additional
24	questions. I don't see anything in my email indicating
25	someone is trying to get in. So if there's any recross,

we can go to that if Evergy had anything they wanted to 1 2 ask. What I'm going to do here instead of doing a roll 3 call is ask the parties if they would like to engage in 4 recross. 5 MR. ZOBRIST: No further questions from 6 Evergy. 7 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Ms. Myers is 8 indicating no for Staff. Ms. Plescia has not indicated 9 anything. Midwest Energy Consumers Group, I cannot see Mr. Woodsmall's face. 10 11 MR. WOODSMALL: No, Your Honor. 12 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Renew Missouri does 13 not look like Mr. Opitz wants to ask any questions. Office of Public Counsel, did you have anything else? 14 15 MR. HALL: None further. Thank you. 16 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you so much. And 17 National Housing Trust? 18 MR. LINHARES: No, none, Judge. Thank you. JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And any redirect from 19 20 Sierra Club? 21 MS. HENRY: No redirect, Your Honor. 22 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Well, Ms. Roberto, I 23 would like to apologize to you. I have been paying close attention today. I just had to deal with 24 something very quickly. I apologize for that and I 25

appreciate your appearing here today. Thank you so 1 2 much. That will conclude your testimony. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 JUDGE JACOBS: So according to my outline, 5 that should put us at Staff's witnesses. So that's 6 where we are right now. Ms. Myers, are you ready to go 7 with witnesses? MS. MYERS: Yes, Judge. We would call Kim 8 9 Bolin. 10 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you so much. 11 MS. MYERS: We can check and see if Ms. 12 Bolin's video is working and audio. JUDGE JACOBS: I do see Ms. Bolin here. Go 13 ahead, ma'am. 14 15 THE WITNESS: Can you hear me? 16 JUDGE JACOBS: Yes, we can. So Ms. Myers, is 17 it okay if I just swear Ms. Bolin in first here and then 18 I'll hand her off to you. 19 MS. MYERS: Yes. 20 (Witness sworn.) 21 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you so much. 22 KIMBERLY BOLIN, 23 called as a witness in behalf of Staff, being sworn, testified as follows: 24 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MYERS:

1	Q. Ms. Bolin, please state your full name for the
2	record?
3	A. My name is Kimberly Bolin. Bolin is spelled
4	B-o-l-i-n.
5	Q. Thank you. Where are you employed and in what
6	capacity?
7	A. I'm employed by the Missouri Public Service
8	Commission as Utility Regulatory Manager.
9	Q. Are you the same Kim Bolin who prepared or
10	caused to be prepared the testimony that's been marked
11	as Staff Exhibit 100 both public and confidential?
12	A. Yes, I am.
13	Q. Do you have anything you wish to correct in
14	that testimony?
15	A. Yes. On page 3 of my rebuttal testimony, on
16	line 17 I wish to remove the words in excess of and
17	replace them with up to the. So the sentence should
18	read waived late payment fees up to the amounts included
19	in the cost of service established in the most current
20	rate case.
21	Q. Ms. Bolin, is this correction to both your
22	public and confidential versions?
23	A. Yes, it is.
24	Q. And court reporter, did you get that
25	correction? I believe so. Now, with that in mind, Ms.

Bolin, if I asked you these same questions today, would 1 2 your answers be the same? 3 Α. Yes, they would. And so is the information in these documents 4 Ο. 5 true and correct to the best of your knowledge and 6 belief? 7 Yes, they are. Α. 8 MS. MYERS: Your Honor, Staff would offer 9 Exhibit 100 both public and confidential and tenders Ms. Bolin for cross. 10 11 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So I have to check with 12 you to confirm if the corrections are in the exhibits 13 that were provided to me earlier this week or if there's 14 a new --15 MS. MYERS: They were not. The exhibits were just those that are filed in EFIS. There's been a 16 17 correction. If you'd like us to recirculate a corrected version, we can do that. 18 19 JUDGE JACOBS: Well, we will have to do that 20 at some point in order to make sure the right one gets 21 It sounds like the parties at least would have filed. 22 seen that. 23 MS. MYERS: We will recirculate to everyone. 24 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. All right. So I think what we can do then is a corrected version as described 25

Г

by Ms. Bolin is going to be circulated. Are there any
objections to Staff's Exhibit 100, the corrected
version, being admitted to the record in this case? And
hearing no objections, the corrected versions of both
the public and confidential rebuttal testimony of Ms.
Bolin will be admitted to the record. We will talk
later about how to make sure that those get marked and
filed. Thank you.
(STAFF'S EXHIBITS 100C AND 100P WERE RECEIVED
INTO EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
JUDGE JACOBS: And you can proceed, Ms. Myers.
MS. MYERS: I tendered the witness for
cross-exam.
JUDGE JACOBS: I'm sorry.
MS. MYERS: Okay.
JUDGE JACOBS: So is there any
cross-examination from Evergy for Ms. Bolin?
MR. ZOBRIST: Just a few questions, Judge.
JUDGE JACOBS: We are hearing from Mr. Hack or
MR. ZOBRIST: This is Carl Zobrist.
JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. Please
proceed.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOBRIST:
Q. Ms. Bolin, looking at page 6 of your rebuttal

1	testimony, you stated that COVID-19 has changed the way
2	many people live and work. It appears to have had
3	significant financial and operating impacts on
4	utilities; is that correct?
5	A. That is correct.
6	Q. And is that your opinion today?
7	A. Yes, it is.
8	Q. And you stated later on that page on line 7
9	and 8 that it is unknown what the financial incremental
10	cost revenues and/or savings incurred will be as the
11	COVID-19 pandemic continues for an indefinite period of
12	time. Is that still your opinion today?
13	A. Yes, it is.
14	Q. And is it your opinion that at the present
15	time no one really knows when the pandemic is going to
16	end?
17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. So therefore the financial impact that you
19	describe here on page 6 may continue for an indefinite
20	period of time?
21	A. That is correct.
22	Q. Do you have a copy of Exhibit 1, the
23	Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, before you?
24	A. Yes, I do.
25	Q. I just have a series of general questions

about it. If you could turn to section 2. Am I correct 1 2 that subsections (a) through (d) set forth the four categories of costs to be deferred? 3 Yes, it does. 4 Α. And that also includes the savings that may be 5 Ο. 6 incurred by Evergy as well, correct? 7 I believe the savings are listed on paragraph Α. 8 7, page 3 and 4. 9 And so to the extent there are savings related Ο. to things like customer assistance programs, the various 10 11 payment plans, the 12-month plan, am I correct that 12 those will be offset by savings that occur in other areas of the Company that are related to COVID-19? 13 That is correct. 14 Α. 15 And let me just ask you about the deferral Ο. periods. Am I correct that there are two deferral 16 17 periods that are contained within the stipulation? 18 Yes, there are. Α. So the first or primary AAO is to begin March 19 Ο. 20 20, 2020 and if approved go through March 31, 2021 for a 21 period of 13 months and that's in paragraph 8? 22 Α. I have the deferral beginning March 1, 2020 23 and going through March 31, 2021. That's correct. If I misspoke, you spoke 24 0. correctly. And that's roughly for about an additional 25

month than what Staff's proposal was in your rebuttal 1 2 which was to end it February 28, 2021; is that correct? That is correct. 3 Α. And it's also much shorter than the period of 4 Ο. 5 deferral that Evergy initially proposed; is that 6 correct? 7 That is correct. Α. 8 Ο. Now, the deferral of the bad debt expense, the secondary AAO, would continue for two more quarters if 9 10 approved by the Commission; is that correct? 11 Yes, it would go from April 1, 2021 through Α. 12 September 30, 2021. And this again applies to both costs and cost 13 Ο. reductions; is that correct? 14 15 This goes only for uncollectible expense. Α. 16 But are cost reductions also part of that --0. 17 oh, no, they're not. That's right. Never mind. This 18 case simply deals with the comparison of bad debt today 19 versus the bad debt that's in rates, correct? 20 Α. That is correct. And am I correct that Staff reviewed the data 21 Ο. 22 that is contained in Exhibit 1 to this stipulation and 23 agrees with the numbers regarding bad debt expense set 24 forth for both Evergy Metro and Evergy Missouri West? 25 Yes, I personally reviewed these numbers. Α.

1	Q. And so the provision of this second AAO
2	relates to actual net write-offs per quarter whether
3	they exceed the net write-offs at the last rate case by
4	10 percent or if the net write-offs in the last general
5	case exceed the actual net write-offs by quarter by 10
6	percent; is that correct?
7	A. That is correct.
8	Q. So it's a two-way concept; is that correct?
9	A. Yes. If it exceeds 10 percent, it will be
10	included in the deferral. If it is under 10 percent of
11	what was based in the rates, it will be as an offset to
12	the deferral.
13	Q. And as an offset would therefore reduce the
14	deferral?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Okay. And is it also true that the
17	stipulation contained provisions that allow for the
18	extension renewal of both the primary AAO, as well as
19	this secondary AAO?
20	A. Yes, there's provision that if the parties
21	agree or Commission order can be ordered to extend the
22	deferral period.
23	Q. And am I correct that overall the Staff
24	supports the provisions in the Non-Unanimous Stipulation
25	and Agreement and requests that the Commission approve

1 it? 2 Α. Yes. And Staff's opinion is that if approved it 3 0. 4 would be adjusted reasonable outcome; is that your opinion? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 MR. ZOBRIST: Nothing further, Judge. JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Do we have any 8 cross-examination from Missouri Industrial Energy 9 Consumers? 10 MS. PLESCIA: No, thank you, Judge. 11 12 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. And Midwest Energy 13 Consumers Group? 14 MR. WOODSMALL: No questions. Thank you. 15 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Sierra Club, do you have any questions? 16 17 MS. HENRY: No questions from Sierra Club, Your Honor. 18 19 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Renew Missouri, any 20 questions? 21 MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge. JUDGE JACOBS: And Office of the Public 22 23 Counsel, Mr. Hall, do you have any questions? 24 MR. HALL: Yes, briefly. 25 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL:

Ms. Bolin, good afternoon. 1 Ο. 2 Α. Good afternoon. Are you familiar with the Commission's cold 3 0. weather rule? 4 5 Α. I am somewhat familiar with it, yes. 6 Ο. Can you speak to what a customer's payment 7 arrangements are if they qualify for the cold weather 8 rule? 9 I could not do that. I don't know the details Α. to the cold weather rule. 10 11 Which Staff witness on the -- I guess on the 0. 12 agenda should I direct those questions to? 13 I'm going to guess it would be Natelle Α. Dietrich. 14 15 MR. HALL: Thank you. No further questions. 16 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. And National 17 Housing Trust? 18 MR. LINHARES: I have no questions. Thank 19 you. 20 JUDGE JACOBS: Thanks. So we're up to 21 possible questions for Ms. Bolin from the Commission. 22 Do we have any Commissioner questions at this time? Let 23 me check my email. I don't have any pending questions. 24 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE JACOBS: 25 I would like to ask Ms. Bolin, Ms. Bolin, if 0.

Г

1	you were trying to figure out Evergy's net income or
2	income for the year, are there any specific filings with
3	the Commission that you would look at?
4	A. Yes. You could look at the most recent rate
5	case that was on file, the accounting schedules to that.
6	It was a couple years ago. I don't think it would be
7	too outdated. Or you possibly could look at the
8	quarterly FAC surveillance filings that are filed with
9	the Commission.
10	Q. Okay. And are those the surveillance reports
11	that were referred to by an Evergy witness earlier
12	today? I don't know if you observed that testimony or
13	not.
14	A. I believe they are the same ones that Darrin
15	Ives was talking about.
16	Q. Okay. And so those are something that might
17	be called a surveillance report?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Now your testimony is telling us that those
20	are related to the FAC?
21	A. Yes. They're called FAC quarterly
22	surveillance reports.
23	Q. Okay. And are those confidential filings?
24	A. I am not sure on that. Yes, they are. It
25	appears they are confidential. Sorry. Looking at EFIS

right now, yes, they are confidential. 1 2 Ο. Okay. Is the annual report filed with the Commission of any use as far as income goes? 3 You could use it. You'd have to sort through 4 Α. some of the data, but it possibly could be used. 5 6 0. And when I went looking around EFIS, I noticed 7 something called FERC Form 1, which obviously the PSC is 8 not FERC, but there it was. It was FERC Form 1. Is that useful if that's filed with the Commission? 9 10 That would contain both Missouri and Kansas Α. 11 information. It would probably be best to look at 12 Missouri only information. 13 Okay. All right. Then I'm going to ask you 0. about the different measures that Evergy has taken for 14 15 their customers in this situation in response to COVID. Is it Staff's position that all of those measures are 16 17 eligible for deferral at this time or are there parts of 18 that program that are not of the agreement? I am not real familiar with the programs, but 19 Α. 20 we did agree to what is stated in the stipulation about 21 all the programs except for the ones identified in 22 paragraph 17. 23 Q. Okay. 24 That question may be better related to Ms. Α. 25 Dietrich.

Г

1	Q. Thank you. I'm consulting one more thing to
2	figure out if I have another question for you. So thank
3	you for being patient with me. I presume that it would
4	be accurate that Staff's position is that any of the
5	pandemic-related savings that should be booked against a
б	regulatory liability are those items that are specified
7	in the agreement?
8	A. Yes, they are specified. They're listed on
9	page 3(a) through (h).
10	Q. Okay. And is it accurate that Staff has not
11	recommended that a catch-all category be included for
12	other incremental costs or savings? So is it understood
13	under the agreement that all of the possible costs or
14	savings have been identified pretty clearly by category?
15	A. I believe they have been by category.
16	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. I don't have any other
17	questions for Ms. Bolin. Once again, the floor is open
18	for any Commissioner questions. All right. So that
19	brings us to recross, and Evergy could ask questions if
20	they wanted to.
21	MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, Evergy has no questions.
22	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Missouri Industrial
23	Energy Consumers?
24	MS. PLESCIA: Yes, I do have a question. Good
25	afternoon, Ms. Bolin.

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662 213

THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 1 2 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. PLESCIA: The Judge was asking you about sources of 3 0. 4 information that would yield -- well, the best sources 5 to find out the Company's income and you talked about 6 the Company's annual report, you talked about surveillance reports, FERC Form 1. In your opinion, 7 8 which of the sources that you mentioned would be the 9 best source to find out the Company's income? I think in this case it would be the most 10 Α. 11 recent accounting schedules that were in the last filed 12 Those are adjusted for ratemaking purposes. rate case. The surveillance is just raw data that has not removed 13 14 or added things that we would normally do in ratemaking. 15 MS. PLESCIA: Thank you. No further 16 questions. 17 JUDGE JACOBS: Do we have any recross from 18 Midwest Energy Consumers Group? 19 MR. WOODSMALL: No, Your Honor. 20 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. And Sierra Club? 21 MS. HENRY: No, Your Honor. 22 JUDGE JACOBS: I think I'm getting a negative 23 from Sierra Club. Renew Missouri? 24 MS. HENRY: I'm sorry. That was a negative, 25 Your Honor, yes.

MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge. 1 2 JUDGE JACOBS: Thanks. Office of the Public 3 Counsel, did you have any recross? 4 MR. HALL: Just real quick again. 5 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL: 6 Ο. Ms. Bolin, you're referring to -- In response 7 to Commission questions, you're referring to accounting 8 schedules in a former rate case. Are these schedules 9 attached to particular Staff witness testimony? What is 10 the nature of this filing? 11 Those were the Staff accounting schedules Α. 12 filed in Case No. ER-2018-0145 and 0146. 13 Do you know if it's a separate filing or is 0. 14 this attached to witness testimony? 15 In that case it was a separate filing. As in Α. 16 most cases, the accounting schedules are a separate 17 filing. 18 MR. HALL: Thank you. No further questions. 19 OUESTIONS BY JUDGE JACOBS: 20 Ο. And I think I'm going to have to ask a follow 21 up question just to understand, because I'm having a 22 hard time understanding how accounting schedules from a 23 past rate case are going to help us figure out current 24 or recent income for the Company. So how would that 25 work?

1	A. In this case, it's been maybe two years since
2	that last case. So I think the data would be fairly up
3	to date. And the data used for the accounting schedules
4	would reflect all of the ratemaking normalizations and
5	annualizations that go on during a rate case. The data
б	from the FAC filings is more just pure raw data that has
7	not been annualized, normalized like we do in ratemaking
8	procedures.
9	Q. So would it theoretically be possible to take
10	that surveillance reports and perform some of those
11	procedures or calculations to annualize and normalize
12	and do those technical things that you just mentioned?
13	A. That would consist of a whole new rate case.
14	JUDGE JACOBS: There was a newbie question if
15	you ever heard one. So National Housing Trust, did you
16	have any questions for Ms. Bolin?
17	MR. LINHARES: No, thank you, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Ms. Myers, did you have
19	any redirect?
20	MS. MYERS: Briefly, Judge. I won't belabor
21	the point.
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MYERS:
23	Q. Ms. Bolin, you've been asked several questions
24	about accounting schedules, and from my understanding of
25	what you're suggesting is that you can look at the

1	accounting schedules from the last rate case,
2	ER-2018-0145 and 0146, and then a good comparison would
3	be in Evergy's next rate case, which they will be filing
4	as commented earlier in early 2022, the accounting
5	schedules in that case, making a comparison there would
6	be a good comparison?
7	A. That might work, yes.
8	Q. And also to I guess dive into your testimony,
9	you talked about how, you know, an authorization of an
10	AAO or deferral is not a guarantee recovery, correct?
11	A. That is correct.
12	Q. So what does Staff look at then if something
13	is cost savings are authorized to be deferred? Do we
14	then look at those costs in a rate case?
15	A. Yes, we do.
16	MS. MYERS: Thank you, Ms. Bolin. That's all
17	I have.
18	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, Ms. Bolin.
19	That will conclude your testimony at this time. And did
20	you want to call your next witness now, Ms. Myers?
21	MS. MYERS: Yes, Judge. We would call Staff
22	Witness Byron Murray. Byron, is your camera and audio
23	working? I know I saw him on here earlier.
24	JUDGE JACOBS: Yeah, he was on here bright and
25	early.

MS. MYERS: I'm taking a guick look. Byron, I 1 2 can see your name. Are you able to do video at all? THE WITNESS: Yes, I just had to unmute the 3 4 computer there. I'm here. Go right ahead. 5 MS. MYERS: Hello there. Good afternoon. All 6 right, Judge. Staff would call Byron Murray. 7 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. Mr. 8 Murray, would you please raise your right hand, sir? 9 (Witness sworn.) 10 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you, sir. You may 11 proceed. 12 BYRON MURRAY, 13 called as a witness in behalf of the Staff, being sworn, testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MYERS: 16 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Murray. Could you please 17 state your full name for the record? 18 Byron Murray, M-u-r-r-a-y. Α. Mr. Murray, where are you employed and in what 19 0. 20 capacity? 21 Α. Public Service Commission Staff as an 22 economist. 23 Are you the same Byron Murray who prepared or 0. 24 caused to be prepared the Staff rebuttal testimony 25 that's been marked as Exhibit 101?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Do you have anything you wish to correct in
3	that testimony? I'm sorry, Byron, if you responded, I
4	couldn't hear you. Do you have any corrections?
5	A. I said no.
6	Q. Okay. Thank you. With that in mind, if I
7	asked you these same questions today, would your answers
8	be the same?
9	A. Yes, they would.
10	Q. Is the information in that document true and
11	correct, to the best of your knowledge and belief?
12	A. Yes, it is.
13	MS. MYERS: Your Honor, Staff offers Staff
14	Exhibit 101 and tenders Mr. Murray for cross.
15	MR. HACK: So Ms. Myers, this is Rob Hack. I
16	don't have any questions for Mr. Murray, but I was
17	having difficulty understanding his responses because I
18	think there might be a bandwidth issue. So I wonder,
19	just trying to be constructive, if he turns his camera
20	off if that might help his audibility.
21	MS. MYERS: That is fine with me now that he's
22	been sworn in, Judge. Is that fine with you?
23	JUDGE JACOBS: Yeah, that's fine. It sounds
24	to me like the mike is not quite catching up with him.
25	So he starts talking. It doesn't catch it right away.

So we could try cutting the video and see if that helps. 1 THE WITNESS: Okay. Will do. 2 Thank you. JUDGE JACOBS: All right. So Ms. Myers had 3 offered Exhibit 101. Was that right? 4 5 MS. MYERS: Yes, Judge. 6 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And are there any 7 objections to admitting Exhibit 101, which is 8 Mr. Murray's rebuttal testimony? Hearing no objections, 9 Exhibit 101 will be admitted to the record. (STAFF'S EXHIBIT 101 WAS RECEIVED INTO 10 EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.) 11 12 JUDGE JACOBS: And Mr. Murray is now available 13 for cross, and we will be starting with Evergy and I 14 think Mr. Hack or Mr. Zobrist, I'm not sure who was 15 talking before --16 MR. HACK: This is Mr. Hack. 17 JUDGE JACOBS: -- you'd indicated no 18 questions, sir; is that right? 19 MR. HACK: That is correct. 20 JUDGE JACOBS: All right. Missouri Industrial 21 Energy Consumers, any questions for Mr. Murray? 22 MS. PLESCIA: No questions. Thank you. 23 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. And Midwest Energy 24 Consumers Group? 25 MR. WOODSMALL: No questions.

JUDGE JACOBS: And Sierra Club? 1 2 MS. HENRY: No questions, Your Honor. JUDGE JACOBS: Renew Missouri? 3 4 MR. OPITZ: No questions, Judge. Office of the Public Counsel? 5 JUDGE JACOBS: 6 MR. HALL: No questions, Judge. Thank you. 7 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. And National 8 Housing Trust? 9 MR. LINHARES: No questions, thank you, Judge. JUDGE JACOBS: All right. Thank you. Will 10 11 the Commission have any questions for Mr. Murray today? 12 I'm going to check my email to see if anyone is trying 13 to get through to me. I do not see any questions coming 14 through from the Commission at this time. Okay. We did 15 not have any cross. Unless anyone has anything to ask, I think that Mr. Murray has done his job here today. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 18 JUDGE JACOBS: All right. So Mr. Murray, that will conclude your testimony. Thank you very much, sir. 19 20 MS. MYERS: Judge, if you're ready, Staff would call its next witness, Robin Kliethermes. 21 22 JUDGE JACOBS: Yes, I think we're ready to go. 23 I haven't seen that witness. Are we going to hear from 24 that witness or see her today? 25 MS. MYERS: I think we might be doing both,

but we'll give it a try here. 1 2 JUDGE JACOBS: I see Ms. Kliethermes. THE WITNESS: I'm here. 3 JUDGE JACOBS: All right. Is it Kliethermes? 4 THE WITNESS: It is Kliethermes, yes. 5 6 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. Ms. 7 Kliethermes, could you raise your right hand, please? 8 (Witness sworn.) 9 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. Ms. 10 Myers, you may proceed. 11 MS. MYERS: Thank you. 12 ROBIN KLIETHERMES, called as a witness in behalf of Staff, being sworn, 13 testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MYERS: 16 Ms. Kliethermes, please state your full name Ο. for the record. 17 Robin Kliethermes, K-l-i-e-t-h-e-r-m-e-s. 18 Α. 19 Thank you. Where are you employed and in what 0. 20 capacity? 21 I am employed at the Missouri Public Service Α. 22 Commission as a Regulatory Compliance Manager. 23 Ο. Are you the same Robin Kliethermes who 24 prepared or caused to be prepared the rebuttal testimony 25 that's been marked as Staff Exhibit 102?

1	A. I am.
2	Q. Do you have anything you wish to correct in
3	this testimony?
4	A. I do not.
5	Q. So with that in mind, if I asked you the same
6	questions today, would your answers be the same?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Is the information in these documents true and
9	correct to the best of your knowledge and belief?
10	A. Yes.
11	MS. MYERS: Your Honor, Staff would offer
12	Exhibit 102 and tenders Ms. Kliethermes for cross.
13	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. Do I hear
14	any objections to Exhibit 102, which is Ms. Kliethermes'
15	rebuttal testimony? Hearing no objections, that exhibit
16	will be admitted to the record.
17	(STAFF'S EXHIBIT 102 WAS RECEIVED INTO
18	EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
19	JUDGE JACOBS: And Evergy, would you have any
20	cross-examination for this witness?
21	MR. HACK: This is Rob Hack again. The answer
22	is no.
23	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Missouri Industrial
24	Energy Consumers?
25	MS. PLESCIA: No questions, Judge. Thank you.

1 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Midwest Energy 2 Consumers Group? 3 MR. WOODSMALL: None, Your Honor. JUDGE JACOBS: Sierra Club? 4 5 MS. HENRY: No, Your Honor. 6 JUDGE JACOBS: And any questions from Renew 7 Missouri? 8 MR. OPITZ: No, Judge. JUDGE JACOBS: And Office of the Public 9 Counsel? 10 11 MR. HALL: No, thank you. 12 JUDGE JACOBS: National Housing Trust? MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge. 13 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. So we would be 14 15 opening the floor for Commissioner questions for Ms. 16 Kliethermes. I'm not seeing any indications that we're going to have any at this point. This is last call for 17 Ms. Kliethermes. I don't believe that we're going to 18 19 have anything. Thank you very much, ma'am. That will 20 conclude your testimony today. 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. MYERS: Judge, if you're ready, we can 22 23 call our last Staff witness, which is Natelle Dietrich. 24 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. I think we can proceed with Ms. Dietrich today. I see Ms. Dietrich on the 25

1 video here. Ms. Dietrich, do you want to say hello to 2 make sure we can hear you? THE WITNESS: Hello. 3 JUDGE JACOBS: Great. All right. If you want 4 to raise your right hand, I will swear you in. 5 6 (Witness sworn.) JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. Ms. 7 8 Myers, you may proceed. 9 MS. MYERS: Thank you. 10 NATELLE DIETRICH, 11 called as a witness in behalf of the Staff, being sworn, 12 testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MYERS: Ms. Dietrich, please state your full name for 14 Ο. 15 the record. 16 Natelle, N-a-t-e-l-l-e, Dietrich, Α. D-i-e-t-r-i-c-h. 17 Thank you. Where are you employed and in what 18 Ο. capacity? 19 20 Α. I'm employed by the Public Service Commission 21 as the Director of Industry Analysis Division. 22 Are you the same Natelle Dietrich who prepared 0. 23 or caused to be prepared the surrebuttal testimony that's been marked as Staff Exhibit 103? 24 25 Α. Yes.

1	Q. Do you have anything you wish to correct in
2	this testimony?
3	A. No, I do not.
4	Q. With that in mind, if I asked you the same
5	questions today, would your answers be the same?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. So is the information in the document true and
8	correct to the best of your knowledge and belief?
9	A. Yes, it is.
10	MS. MYERS: All right. Your Honor, Staff
11	would offer Exhibit 103 and tender Ms. Dietrich for
12	cross.
13	JUDGE JACOBS: Do I hear any objections to
14	Exhibit 103? That is Ms. Dietrich's surrebuttal
15	testimony. That will be admitted as Exhibit 103.
16	(STAFF'S EXHIBIT 103 WAS RECEIVED INTO
17	EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
18	JUDGE JACOBS: Do we have any
19	cross-examination for Ms. Dietrich from Evergy?
20	MR. HACK: Yes, Judge, this is Rob Hack again.
21	I have a few questions for Ms. Dietrich.
22	JUDGE JACOBS: Please proceed.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HACK:
24	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Dietrich.
25	A. Good afternoon.

1	Q. As a preliminary kind of big picture measure,
2	Staff does support adoption of the Non-Unanimous
3	Stipulation and Agreement, correct?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. And do you have that document in front of you?
6	A. Yes, I do.
7	Q. I'd like to walk through a few provisions of
8	it if we may.
9	A. Okay.
10	Q. Does the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and
11	Agreement put forward additional customer protections in
12	paragraphs 16 and 18 relative to the original positions
13	of the Staff?
14	A. Yes. And I would
15	JUDGE JACOBS: You're going to have to repeat
16	that answer, Ms. Dietrich. You were cutting out.
17	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. I said yes, I
18	would agree with that and specifically the agreement,
19	for instance, in paragraph 16 where the Company agrees
20	to work with Staff and the Office of the Public Counsel
21	and National Housing Trust to review the existing
22	program.
23	BY MR. HACK:
24	Q. And that paragraph also speaks to consulting
25	with Staff and OPC, National Housing Trust by the

Company with respect to extending its continued offer of 1 2 12-month payment plans past December 31 for small business customers and past March 31, the end of the 3 cold weather rule, for residential customers? 4 5 Α. That is correct. 6 0. And does paragraph 18 add some protections 7 related to the Company's commitment to not report 8 external -- to external credit reporting agencies 9 through March 31, an extension past the current plan of 10 12/31?11 It does not mention -- It does not mention the Α. 12 date, but it does say for the duration of the AAO. 13 Q. So we'll talk about that. As a general matter 14 unless the Commission changes the dates set forth in the 15 Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, March 31, 2020 is the termination date of the initial deferral period, 16 17 correct -- 2021, I'm sorry. 18 Yes, that's correct. Α. Okay. As an additional customer protection 19 Ο. 20 mentioned in paragraph 18, the Company has agreed to continue waiving late payment fees through that same 21 22 period? 23 Α. That's correct. 24 And an additional customer protection provided 0. in that paragraph is the waiver of reconnect fees that 25

would commence with the effective date of the 1 2 Commission's order approving the stipulation and agreement and run through March 31, correct? 3 That's correct. 4 Α. 5 Ο. In your opinion, is the adoption of these 6 additional customer protections a reasonable outcome? 7 Yes, it is. Α. 8 0. And in particular, the commitment of the 9 company to consult with Staff, OPC and NHT regarding 10 additional customer assistance programs and the 11 potential continuation of 12-month payment plans, do you 12 think that that's reasonable in light of the level of uncertainty regarding the pandemic in place? 13 14 Α. Yes, I do. 15 Does the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and 0. 16 Agreement put forward additional reporting requirements 17 relative to the original positions of the Staff? 18 Paragraph 9 discusses the reporting Α. 19 requirements. 20 0. And is it fair to say that items (a) through 21 (i) of paragraph 9 have generally been provided by the 22 Company to Staff and OPC from early on in the pandemic? 23 Α. Yes, it is. And does that information that would be 24 0. 25 reported allow for a look at customer payment behavior

and practices? 1 2 Α. I think that's a fair characterization. Now, paragraph (j) of paragraph 9 calls for 3 0. 4 the reporting of accounts receivable balances, including 5 balances that are subject to payment plan agreements. 6 Do you see that? 7 Α. Yes, I do. 8 Ο. And would you say that that information allows for a look at the risk that the Company may be exposed 9 10 to associated with these extended payment plans granted 11 under the pandemic? 12 I don't know that that is the correct word, Α. 13 but I would agree that it allows parties to look at the 14 Company's exposure. 15 Thank you. In your view, is the reporting 0. 16 called for in the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and 17 Agreement reasonable? 18 Yes, it is. Α. 19 Just a couple of more questions. Do you have Ο. 20 sufficient information to provide the Commission with 21 maybe an assessment or a comparison of the measures 22 taken by Evergy to assist customers with the pandemic, 23 you know, prior to engaging in full AAO negotiations 24 compared to measures taken by Spire and/or 25 Missouri-American Water relative to their negotiations

on the AAO? 1 2 Α. I'm familiar with. I would also speak off the top of my head the exact timing and the comparison of 3 4 the programs, but I am comfortable in saying that Evergy 5 was proactive in its response. 6 And I don't want to put words in your mouth, 0. 7 Ms. Dietrich; but compared to the other two companies 8 that we've seen, was Evergy more proactive, less 9 proactive or the same in your general opinion? 10 I think I'd have to do more research before I Α. 11 would be comfortable putting that kind of adjective on 12 it or any kind of adjective on it. 13 Okay. Fair enough. So why in this 0. 14 stipulation and agreement, if you can answer, did Staff 15 agree to the secondary deferral period for uncollectibles in this case? 16 17 Α. That I cannot answer. MR. HACK: Very good. I believe that is all 18 19 of the cross that I have. Thank you. 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 21 JUDGE JACOBS: Is there any cross from 22 Missouri -- actually what I'm going to do first before 23 we proceed, Ms. Dietrich, are you using a speaker phone? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. I think what we probably

should do is disconnect whatever your video is and stop 1 2 using the speaker phone and just use a phone in a normal way, because there's an echo that's making it hard to 3 understand you today. Could we give that a try? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Sure. 6 JUDGE JACOBS: So once you have your speaker 7 phone turned off, will you just --8 THE WITNESS: Is this better? 9 JUDGE JACOBS: I think so. And then you have 10 no other connections; you're not running the webstream, 11 for instance, or otherwise connected to this call; your 12 only connection is your phone? THE WITNESS: I can still see the video. 13 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Well, I do think the 14 15 audio seems better to me. Ms. Bentch, is that better 16 for you? Okay. Do we have any cross-examination from 17 Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers? And actually the 18 other thing I'm going to ask is that the KC conference 19 call group mute their lines. Okay. MS. PLESCIA: No questions for Ms. Dietrich. 20 21 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. And Midwest Energy 22 Consumers Group? 23 MR. WOODSMALL: No questions, Your Honor. 24 JUDGE JACOBS: Sierra Club? 25 MS. HENRY: No questions, Your Honor.

1	JUDGE JACOBS: Renew Missouri?
2	MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Office of the
4	Public Counsel?
5	MR. HALL: Thank you, Judge.
б	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL:
7	Q. Ms. Dietrich, good afternoon.
8	A. Good afternoon.
9	Q. Did you hear me asking Ms. Bolin about the
10	cold weather rule?
11	A. Yes, I did.
12	Q. Can you speak to the cold weather rule?
13	A. Generally it depends on how specific you want
14	to get.
15	Q. When can customers apply for protection under
16	the cold weather rule?
17	A. The cold weather rule runs from November 1
18	through March 31.
19	Q. What are the payment obligations for customers
20	sorry. Let me rephrase that. What is a customer's
21	payment obligations when they're under the cold weather
22	rule? Ms. Dietrich, did you hear me?
23	A. Yes. I'm sorry. I'm going through the rule
24	to refresh my memory. The customer must pay at least 50
25	percent of the actual bill for usage during the billing

1 period or any level bill amount that they have during 2 the period. And the remaining 50 percent? 3 Ο. I believe it's amortized, if you will, over 12 Α. 4 5 months. 6 Ο. Is that similar then to a 12-month payment 7 plan? 8 It would be similar, yes. Α. 9 And this is offered by the Commission, not any 0. particular utility, correct? 10 11 It's not offered by the Commission. Α. It's 12 required by Commission rule. Thank you for that clarification. 13 Ο. Ms. Dietrich, when preparing your testimony, did you review 14 15 deferral accounting orders in other states? T did not. 16 Α. 17 Ο. Since writing your testimony, have you 18 reviewed other states' deferral accounting orders regarding COVID-19? 19 20 Α. I have not. 21 Did you review the Kansas Corporate Ο. 22 Commission's order granting Evergy Kansas' requested 23 COVID-19 related deferral accounting? I have not. 24 Α. 25 Did you review -- So right now you cannot 0.

speak to any other Commission order to compare it to the 1 2 stipulation that Staff is supporting? That's correct. 3 Α. MR. HALL: Thank you. No further questions. 4 I really hate to do this. I actually just remembered 5 6 something, Judge. 7 JUDGE JACOBS: Go ahead. BY MR. HALL: 8 9 Ms. Dietrich, counsel for Evergy asked you why 0. Staff had agreed to the second deferral period for bad 10 11 debts that is included in the stipulation and agreement 12 and I believe you remarked that you can't answer that. 13 I want to ask why. 14 Α. I could not. 15 Sorry. Could you repeat that? Ο. I said I could not answer that. 16 Α. 17 MR. HALL: I'm going to wait to see if the 18 court reporter nods that she got it now. 19 THE COURT REPORTER: One more time. 20 BY MR. HALL: 21 Ms. Dietrich, could you repeat what you just Ο. 22 said? 23 I said that my response was that I could not Α. answer that. 24 25 Thank you. And I want to ask you why could Ο.

you not answer that question? 1 2 Α. I was not involved -- I was involved in the review of the entire stipulation and agreement, but Ms. 3 Bolin was more directly involved in the accounting, if 4 you will, aspects of it. 5 6 MR. HALL: No further questions. Thank you. 7 Do we have any questions from JUDGE JACOBS: 8 National Housing Trust? 9 MR. LINHARES: I have no questions for the 10 Thank you. witness. 11 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. And we would open 12 the floor to Commissioner questions at this point for 13 Ms. Dietrich. I don't see that we have any of those 14 coming through. 15 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE JACOBS: 16 I can ask a question about reporting and I'm 0. 17 wondering, Ms. Dietrich, if you're familiar with some 18 recommendations for reporting that were made by the 19 witness or one of the witnesses for Office of the Public 20 Counsel, Dr. Marke. Is that something that you're familiar with? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. And I believe that your testimony in Ο. 24 surrebuttal was filed before the parties in this case 25 reached a non-unanimous agreement?

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. Okay. And then in your rebuttal or
3	surrebuttal testimony you did address the
4	appropriateness of some of the reporting recommendations
5	that were being made. And so my question is whether
6	Staff's position had changed on what kind of reporting
7	is appropriate?
8	A. In response to Dr. Marke, a lot of what he
9	recommended was included in the stipulation or similar
10	to the stipulation, and so we support the reporting
11	requirements that are included in the stipulation.
12	Q. And I apologize if you've been asked this
13	question already. So is it your opinion that the
14	reporting requirements in the stipulation are adequate
15	and additional reporting isn't necessary?
16	A. Yes, I believe the reporting requirements in
17	the stipulation are adequate.
18	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Is there any recross for
19	Ms. Dietrich today from Evergy?
20	MR. HACK: Rob Hack here. No, thank you.
21	JUDGE JACOBS: And Missouri Industrial Energy
22	Consumers, anything for you?
23	MS. PLESCIA: No questions. Thank you.
24	JUDGE JACOBS: Midwest Energy Consumers?

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662 237

JUDGE JACOBS: Sierra Club? 1 2 MS. HENRY: No, Your Honor. 3 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Renew Missouri? 4 MR. OPITZ: No, Your Honor. 5 JUDGE JACOBS: And Office of the Public Counsel? 6 7 MR. HALL: No, thank you. 8 JUDGE JACOBS: National Housing Trust? 9 MR. LINHARES: No, thank you, Your Honor. 10 JUDGE JACOBS: Ms. Myers, did you have any 11 redirect? 12 MS. MYERS: No redirect, Judge. 13 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Ms. Dietrich, thank 14 you very much for appearing today. That concludes your 15 testimony at this time. 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So we are at about 4:39 18 in the afternoon. I think that my preference at this 19 point would be to close the proceedings for the day and 20 pick up, although I did just see a probably witness drop 21 his head in dejection at that news. I'm assuming that 22 that is the one witness that Mr. Woodsmall and Ms. 23 Plescia were hoping to present. So I hate to see people 24 disappointed. 25 THE WITNESS: I appreciate that.

1	JUDGE JACOBS: I understand. Initially the
2	plan was that this witness needed to appear today and
3	then I was informed it's okay, he's also available
4	tomorrow. Is there a strong preference to have this
5	witness appear this evening before we close?
б	MR. WOODSMALL: Your discretion, Your Honor.
7	We can make him available tomorrow.
8	JUDGE JACOBS: Well, it's 4:40. So you know,
9	we can certainly go for another half an hour very
10	easily. I just don't know how long it's going to go.
11	MR. WOODSMALL: We can get him started and we
12	can stop whenever you're ready.
13	MR. HALL: Judge, if it's of any assistance,
14	OPC has no questions for Mr. Meyer.
15	MS. HENRY: Sierra Club will have no questions
16	either.
17	JUDGE JACOBS: Let's go ahead and try to get
18	going with this witness because it's not five o'clock
19	yet. So does anyone need a break before we start with
20	this witness? All right. So let's go. I understand
21	this witness is Greg Meyer. And this witness is offered
22	by two parties. So how are those two parties handling
23	that?
24	MR. WOODSMALL: I'll be sponsoring the
25	witness, Your Honor.

Г

1	MS. PLESCIA: We're both sponsoring the
2	witness, but I'm fine if Mr. Woodsmall wants to go
3	ahead. Also, I had mismarked the exhibits as 300 and
4	301. If I were marking them for MIEC, I would mark them
5	as 900 and 901. However you want the record to reflect
6	that, but I'm fine if Mr. Woodsmall wants to go ahead
7	and tender the witness, et cetera.
8	JUDGE JACOBS: I'm not sure. Other people
9	might be of a different opinion on this. I think if the
10	record reflects the fact that this witness is being
11	presented or offered by two parties, I don't know that
12	it's necessarily that we also need exhibits reflecting
13	that as well. Is that something that you're comfortable
14	with, Ms. Plescia, that there would be one exhibit or
15	are you more comfortable if your client has their name
16	on this witness's testimony as far as an exhibit goes?
17	MS. PLESCIA: Well, Judge, I think maybe the
18	solution I would prefer that the MIEC be in the
19	record as having be a sponsor of the exhibit but if
20	it's possible to just add that in the record as, you
21	know, just adding the MIEC's name, I think we can refer
22	to the same exhibit. So it's the same exhibit but maybe
23	change the title of it so that it's clear that it's
24	sponsored by both parties, that would be helpful, if
25	that works.

240

Г

1	JUDGE JACOBS: Yes, I think we can do that.
2	And I believe the cover page for this witness's
3	testimony also makes clear this witness appears on
4	behalf of both of these parties. So we'll make sure
5	that the exhibit label reflects that. The record is
6	going to reflect that based on this discussion. And
7	references in the written record will reflect that. So
8	I think we're covered. Then I don't think that requires
9	you to submit additional documents with additional
10	exhibit labels since we've all gone over that now.
11	MS. PLESCIA: Thank you.
12	JUDGE JACOBS: Does that work for you?
13	MS. PLESCIA: Thank you.
14	JUDGE JACOBS: My understanding is
15	Mr. Woodsmall going to present the witness?
16	MR. WOODSMALL: Yes, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE JACOBS: So I'm going to just ask
18	Mr. Meyer to raise his hand. I will swear him in and
19	then I'll let you take over.
20	(Witness sworn.)
21	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, sir. You
22	may proceed.
23	MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	GREG MEYER,
25	called as a witness in behalf of MECG and MIEC, being

1	sworn, tes	stified as follows:
2	DIRECT EXA	AMINATION BY MR. WOODSMALL:
3	Q.	Would you state your name for the record?
4	Α.	Greg Meyer, M-e-y-e-r.
5	Q.	And who are you employed by and in what
6	capacity?	
7	Α.	I'm employed by Brubaker & Associates, and I'm
8	a principa	al with the firm.
9	Q.	And has Brubaker & Associates, more
10	specifical	lly you, been retained in this matter?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	And did you cause to be filed what has been
13	marked as	Exhibit 300, rebuttal testimony, and 301,
14	surrebutta	al testimony?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Do you have any corrections to make to those
17	pieces of	testimony?
18	Α.	I do. On page 4 of my rebuttal testimony,
19	line 3, th	ne word expectation should be exception. That
20	makes the	definition from the USOA correct.
21	Q.	Do you have any other corrections to make?
22	Α.	No.
23	Q.	If I were to ask you the same questions today
24	with that	change, would your answers be the same?
25	Α.	They would.

And are those answers true and correct to the 1 Ο. 2 best of your knowledge? 3 Α. They are. MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, I'd offer Exhibit 4 5 300, rebuttal testimony, and 301, Mr. Meyer's 6 surrebuttal testimony, and tender the witness for cross. 7 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. So you 8 mentioned that you have a minor correction. Is that 9 reflected in the exhibits that were circulated among the 10 parties or will that document be provided later? 11 MR. WOODSMALL: We can file it again. No, it 12 was not in what we circulated. Typically we just make 13 those corrections on the record. Whatever you prefer. 14 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Well, sometimes I've 15 seen a corrected document filed. MR. WOODSMALL: A lot of times if there are 16 17 extensive corrections a party will file an errata sheet, file corrected testimony. A lot of times if it's real 18 19 minor like this we just make a change on the record. 20 JUDGE JACOBS: I see. Thank you. All right. 21 And you've offered MECG 300 and 301. That's the Meyer rebuttal and surrebuttal. Neither of these had any 22 23 confidential portions; is that right? 24 MR. WOODSMALL: No, Your Honor, they don't. JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And then as we discussed 25

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

243

Г

1	before, this is also offered by Missouri Industrial
2	Energy Consumers as well. So these exhibits will be
3	marked accordingly. So taking the correction, noting
4	the correction, does anyone have any objection to these
5	exhibits being admitted into the record? There are no
6	objections. So Exhibits 300 and 301 offered by these
7	two parties will be admitted to the record.
8	(MECG AND MIEC EXHIBITS 300 AND 301 WERE
9	RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
10	MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you, Your Honor. Tender
11	the witness.
12	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. Does
13	Evergy have any cross-examination for Mr. Meyer?
14	MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, this is Carl Zobrist. I
15	have just a few questions.
16	JUDGE JACOBS: You can go ahead, sir. Thank
17	you.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOBRIST:
19	Q. Mr. Meyer, I just have a couple of questions
20	about the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement. Am I
21	correct that in section 2 there were four categories of
22	costs that are to be included in the deferral?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. And am I correct that as far as the 12-month
25	plan that Evergy has offered, that is set forth in

paragraph 16 on page 8 to be concluded on December 31,
2021, but it should be 2020 at the bottom of the final
line of that section. Do you agree with that?
A. I agree that that changes the date on that
page, yes.
Q. Now, let me ask you some questions just about
the first deferral being referred to as the primary
deferral. Am I correct that is to last from March 1,
2020 through March 31, 2021 for a period of 13 months?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that's about a month longer than the
proposal in your rebuttal; is that true, sir?
A. Yes.
Q. But it's much shorter than the proposal that
Evergy initially proposed in the application; is that
correct?
A. It definitely is shorter, yes.
Q. And the costs of the customer assistance
program and any others would be eligible for deferral if
approved by the Commission?
A. Yes, pursuant to paragraph 2(c).
Q. And they would be offset under paragraph 7 of
Q. And they would be offset under paragraph 7 of the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement by a series

1	Q. And then there is what we call the secondary
2	bad debt expense AAO that will continue for two more
3	quarters beyond March 31, 2021, correct?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. And that will be determined on a quarter by
6	quarter basis; is that your understanding?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And there are a series of amounts from Evergy
9	Metro and Evergy Missouri West's last rate case that are
10	part of the comparison that is set forth in this
11	secondary AAO; is that correct?
12	A. Would you repeat that question?
13	Q. Yeah. In Exhibit 1 to the stipulation there
14	are figures of bad debt from the last rate cases that
15	are to be compared with the actual net write-offs that
16	occurred during the deferral periods; is that correct?
17	A. That's correct. Pursuant to the totals in
18	Exhibit 1.
19	Q. And you've examined the figures in that
20	exhibit and found them to be true and correct?
21	A. We submitted data requests to verify that
22	those totals are correct.
23	Q. And if actual net write-offs per quarter
24	exceed net write-offs from the last rate case by 10
25	percent, such amount would be deferred as a regulatory

Г

1	asset; is that the way it works?
2	A. Yes. If the test is that per the quarter the
3	bad debt write-offs exceed what is reflected in Exhibit
4	1 by 10 percent, greater than 10 percent, then it's
5	allowed to have deferral treatment for that period.
6	Q. And by contrast, if net write-offs in the last
7	general rate case exceed actual net write-offs per
8	quarter by 10 percent, that amount would be an offset to
9	any regulatory asset; is that correct?
10	A. Correct. Similar to the treatment for savings
11	that would be in the first deferral period, yes.
12	Q. And there are also provisions in the
13	stipulation for an extension of these programs either if
14	the parties are agreeing or if they don't, if someone
15	asks the Commission and the Commission approves, they
16	may be extended?
17	A. For what we call the main deferral period, if
18	the pandemic is still present and the parties agree,
19	then it can be extended or the Company can seek the
20	extension through a Commission order.
21	Q. Am I correct that both MECG and MIEC support
22	the provisions of the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and
23	Agreement as a reasonable outcome?
24	A. Yes. Otherwise, we wouldn't have supported
25	it.

MR. ZOBRIST: Thank you. No further 1 2 questions, Judge. 3 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Do we have any cross from Staff? 4 5 MS. MYERS: No, Judge. 6 JUDGE JACOBS: That was no. I think you got 7 cut off. 8 MS. MYERS: No questions. 9 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Sierra Club? 10 MS. HENRY: No questions, Your Honor. 11 JUDGE JACOBS: Renew Missouri? 12 MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge. JUDGE JACOBS: Office of the Public Counsel? 13 14 MR. HALL: No, thank you. 15 JUDGE JACOBS: And the National Housing Trust? MR. LINHARES: Yes, Judge, I have some brief 16 17 questions. 18 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Please proceed. 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINHARES: 20 Ο. Afternoon, Mr. Meyer. 21 Afternoon. Α. 22 Do you have your surrebuttal testimony in Ο. 23 front of you? I do. 24 Α. I was wondering if you could turn to page 6. 25 0.

Г

1	A. I'm there.
2	Q. Okay. I'm looking at the sentence that begins
3	on line 7. You stated that you believe NHT witness
4	Mr. Colton was, quote, using the pandemic as an
5	opportunity to introduce permanent customer payment
6	plans to be implemented for the future even beyond the
7	terms of this pandemic, end quote. Do you see that?
8	A. I do.
9	Q. On what did you base this statement?
10	A. Give me a minute.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. On page 72 of Mr. Colton's rebuttal testimony,
13	line 5, and I quote, for reasons I outlined below, the
14	offer of these payment plans could extend indefinitely.
15	That's one example. I think there's
16	Q. Okay. Do you take it to mean, indefinitely to
17	mean indefinitely for the term of the pandemic crisis or
18	indefinitely without qualification?
19	A. I took that as be an indefinite meaning it did
20	not stop with the end of the pandemic. I think there's
21	other examples in Mr. Colton's testimony where he refers
22	to the permanent solutions of programs.
23	Q. There's another piece of your testimony that
24	I'd like you to turn to on, let's see, page 7 of your
25	testimony.

Г

1	A. Okay.
2	Q. Page marked as 7 rather. I'm looking at the
3	sentence beginning on line 2. You're talking about the
4	National Housing Trust's testimony regarding the use of
5	energy efficiency to reduce arrears and you stated
б	therefore to the extent that its positions were not
7	accepted in that MEEIA case, meaning Evergy's most
8	recent MEEIA case, NHT is attempting to use this docket
9	as another bite at the apple. Do you see that?
10	A. I do.
11	Q. And what did you mean by another bite at the
12	apple?
13	A. In the energy efficiency case, the MEEIA case,
14	I'm sorry, your witness was Ms. Frank, and she testified
15	that the energy efficiency programs dedicated towards
16	low income customers was significantly underfunded and
17	she said it needed to be increased significantly and
18	then she used the rationale for that as a reduction for
19	uncollectibles. I looked at that and then the testimony
20	of Mr. Colton and it seemed that those were in similar
21	lined up similarly or lined up and that's where the
22	quote for another bite at the apple came from.
23	Q. Has Mr. Colton proposed increased energy
24	efficiency funding in this case?
25	A. My understanding that he asked for another

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662 250

million dollars of weatherization to be used. 1 2 Ο. Is that the purview of a MEEIA case? I don't know. 3 Α. MR. LINHARES: Okay. Okay. No further 4 5 questions. Thank you very much. 6 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. Do we 7 have any questions from the Commission at this point? 8 I'm not seeing anything. Let me check my email really 9 fast. Okay. So is there any recross from Evergy for 10 Mr. Meyer? 11 MR. ZOBRIST: No questions, Your Honor. 12 JUDGE JACOBS: Any recross from Staff? 13 MS. MYERS: No, Judge. 14 JUDGE JACOBS: I think your first word keeps 15 getting cut off. So all I hear is Judge. I think I know what you're telling me. And then Sierra Club? 16 MS. HENRY: No questions, Your Honor. 17 JUDGE JACOBS: Renew Missouri? I haven't 18 19 heard from Renew Missouri. Office of the Public 20 Counsel, National Housing Trust, anything else you want 21 to ask? 22 MR. HALL: No, thank you. 23 MR. LINHARES: No, thank you, Judge. 24 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Is there any 25 redirect from the two parties who have presented this

witness today? 1 2 MS. PLESCIA: No redirect. MR. WOODSMALL: No redirect, Your Honor. 3 4 JUDGE JACOBS: That was from both of you at Mr. Woodsmall said no redirect and Ms. the same time. 5 6 Plescia also said no redirect. Okay. Mr. Meyer, thank you very much. That concludes your testimony. 7 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you for 9 letting me get in tonight. 10 JUDGE JACOBS: Of course. I mean, it's only 11 4:58. What was I thinking. I just didn't know how long 12 it would take. All right. So where we are right now in the process is that we have more than half of our 13 witnesses have been taken care of. It looks like we 14 15 could come back and take care of the remaining witnesses tomorrow morning. Does anybody have anything that they 16 17 would like to discuss before we close the proceeding for 18 the evening? 19 MR. FISCHER: Judge, just logistically, can we 20 use the same link in to get to the WebEx tomorrow or do 21 we have something new that we need to use? 22 JUDGE FISCHER: It should be the same. It's 23 actually possible to create a recurring meeting in WebEx and so that's what I tried to do. So we'll be testing 24 25 that tomorrow morning, but my understanding is that it

should work. 1 2 MR. FISCHER: Thank you. 3 JUDGE JACOBS: I will not be leaving my computer up and running all night long. I will be 4 shutting it off and reconnecting in the morning. I'm 5 б usually on about 30 minutes before a hearing gets going. 7 Okay. 8 MR. WOODSMALL: Are we starting at 9:00 again? JUDGE JACOBS: Yes. We will start at 9:00 9 10 again tomorrow morning. Any other questions? Are there 11 any objections to any of OPC's witnesses being taken out 12 of order tomorrow? We're ready to start at 9:00. Unfortunately I just can't confirm or deny anything 13 right now. I'm just checking now. 14 15 MR. FISCHER: I have no problems. 16 MR. HALL: Thanks, everyone. 17 JUDGE JACOBS: That was all? Okay. So we will -- I cut somebody off. 18 19 MR. WOODSMALL: I was just saying it's exactly 20 five o'clock. 21 JUDGE JACOBS: I know. Look at this. 2.2 Masterful. Okay. So thank you all. We are now off the 23 record and you all have a great evening. I'll see you 24 in the morning. MS. HENRY: Your Honor, Mr. Robertson will be 25

here for Sierra Club tomorrow. JUDGE JACOBS: Great. I will be looking for him. Thank you. MS. HENRY: Okay. Thank you. (Off the record.) б

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640,
4	Certified Court Reporter with the firm of Tiger Court
5	Reporting, LLC, within the State of Missouri, do hereby
6	certify that I was personally present at the proceedings
7	had in the above-entitled cause at the time and place
8	set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and
9	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and
10	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
11	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time
12	and place.
13	Beverly Jean Bentch
14	
15	Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II Index: \$1..254

	5 205:22 206:22	157:12 158:7 160:17	2018 179:6
\$	207:11,22 212:7,8 214:7 233:17 245:8	161:20 191:17 206:21 245:9	2019 198:5
\$1 39:19	246:13,18 247:4	14 49:13 174:19	2020 23:4 36:22 38:8
\$141 98:22	1.4 176:9	15 93:23 101:22	40:6,14 41:5,12 43:16, 23 48:15,23 54:25
\$144 98:21	1.5 176:9	106:7,11,22 107:10	81:18 123:7 156:9
\$15 99:1	10 43:3,7 60:17 129:8,	15-minute 189:21	174:17 175:7 179:13 182:24 187:19 195:3
\$16 99:1	10,12 171:10 187:22 188:3,15 189:24	197:18	198:4,8 199:8 206:20,
\$17-1/2 73:15	190:2,9,10 208:4,5,9,	15th 194:5	22 228:15 245:2,9
\$18 73:19	10 246:24 247:4,8	16 43:13 49:14 81:15 83:9 93:24 95:8 125:7	2021 42:19 43:1,17,23 60:10,19 62:9,14
\$2 176:21	100 202:11 203:9 204:2	156:7 227:12,19 245:1	81:16 83:18 84:1
\$2.2 39:6	100C 204:9	16,000 101:22	123:7 128:21,25 156:8 167:14 206:20,23
\$3 100:23	100P 204:9	17 195:3 202:16 212:22	207:2,11,12 228:17 245:2,9 246:3
\$400,000 39:8 86:25 110:19 111:13 151:20	101 218:25 219:14 220:4,7,9,10	18 44:2 49:15 83:9	2022 40:19 217:4
\$70 99:6		148:6 227:12 228:6,20	2024 179:13
\$800,000 39:12	102 222:25 223:12,14, 17	182.3 189:7	2027 90:8 110:13
111:4,13 152:1	103 225:24 226:11,14,	19 110:25 165:7,10	111:7,14
	15,16	1:15 116:12,18	205 133:3 134:19 136:8,18,19,21
(10:24 76:8	117:11,13	206 135:4 165:20,22
(a) 206:2 229:20	10:38 78:23,25 79:3	2	185:6 189:25 190:17,
(b) 70:6	11 66:25 85:21,25 87:1 110:15,25 157:12	• · · · • · • • · • · •	24 191:2
(c) 169:8	158:6 160:17 161:20	2 41:9 48:24 80:15 82:2 91:21 93:16	207 166:14 181:9 189:25 190:18,24
(d) 206:2	164:19,24 165:7 191:17	124:23 138:22,23	191:2
(h) 213:9	11:35 115:3	147:22 182:4 206:1 244:21 250:3	208 135:1,4,8 168:11
(i) 229:21	11:45 29:14,17 34:24	2(c) 125:1 245:21	187:12 188:15 189:25 190:19,25 191:2
(j) 230:3	115:25	2.2 72:21 86:4	209 135:1,8 147:23
	12 23:4 85:21,25	20 101:17 111:3	21 92:3 138:23
0	106:4 165:8 187:19 234:4	138:17,23 139:5	210 135:1,8 138:8
0146 215:12 217:2	12-month 68:21 84:4	147:21 148:6 150:25 157:13 158:7 160:17	147:24,25
	94:11 111:20 112:18	161:20 165:7 181:11	22 71:14 138:22
1	141:8 153:16 171:21 172:9 177:7,14 178:1	191:17 206:20	181:16
1 41:11 48:23 62:14	185:25 206:11 228:2	200 68:22	23 33:25 90:20 92:25
81:7,14,19 82:3,6,9,15	229:11 234:6 244:24	2012 59:20	24 111:3
83:1 122:25 123:9 129:15 130:2,3,6	12/31 228:10	2014 59:22	240,000 37:11
138:22 147:12 156:4 173:8,11 176:8 181:3,	13 49:12 73:21 94:8 106:4 110:25 131:10	2017 136:9	254 189:7

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II Index: 28..accounts

28 71:17 207:2	490.065 192:11	156:7 157:2,6 159:15,	AAOS 46:16 47:2
2C 82:10,15	4:39 238:17	16,20 160:4,9,16,21 161:11,14,19,23	58:11 148:9,15
	4:40 239:8	191:15 192:4,6 205:9	ability 66:22 67:3 70:2 75:18 95:16
3	4:58 252:11	206:21 245:1	113:24 129:1,11
9 40-0 50-40 00-40		8(a) 70:6	171:5,16 183:20
3 42:2 52:19 80:16 82:3,11 93:16 99:22	5	8(b) 169:7	abnormal 58:17
147:12 179:20 181:2,6			absence 113:16
186:23 202:15 206:8 242:19	5 42:8 46:7,14,21 49:8 122:13 123:16,18,22,	9	absent 78:1 112:8
3(a) 213:9	23 173:3 188:20,24 249:13	9 43:10 49:11 155:17,	absolute 107:20
30 43:1 60:19 128:21,		22 157:2,7,24 159:15,	absolutely 81:23
25 174:16 175:7	50 233:24 234:3	17,21 160:1,4,9,16,21 161:11,14,19,23	105:18 107:25 112:5
182:12,21 207:12	50,000 30:18	186:2,5 191:16 192:4,	113:11 151:15 161:11 177:18
300 240:3 242:13 243:5,21 244:6,8	500 195:4,16,21 196:1,2,4	6 229:18,21 230:3	accelerating 95:16
301 240:4 242:13		9,000 107:13 108:10 112:11	accept 57:19
243:5,21 244:6,8	6	900 240:5	accepted 194:16
31 42:19 43:16,17,23	6 42:11 46:23 49:8	901 240:5	250:7
60:10 62:9 81:16,18 83:18 84:1 156:8,9	95:7 155:14,21 157:2,	98-99 101:19	accomplish 97:15
171:6 189:6 206:20,23	5 159:15,16 160:11,12 161:22,25 162:2 163:1	980 189:4	accomplished 116:2
228:2,3,9,15 229:3 233:18 245:1,9 246:3	204:25 205:19 248:25	9:08 23:4	account 54:17 64:23
31,028 151:1	60-day 71:15	9:25 135:7	Accountant 158:12
32 183:3	659 102:5	9:43 48:2	accounted 51:17 62:15
33 67:1 183:3			accounting 23:9 40:9
35 89:2 165:11,16	7	Α	42:18 45:1,4,20 49:11
38,119 150:24	7 42:14 45:1,10,13,25	a.m. 23:4 29:14 78:25	52:17 53:9,16,19 54:2, 7,10 57:9 58:14 61:4,8
386 67:11	46:1,9 49:9 137:18,23 138:6 139:6 148:2,11,	79:4	64:1,7,17 118:19,22
393 67:11	13,16,21 155:14,21	AAO 44:6 45:13 47:11	119:8 131:10,16 137:4,15 138:25
3:35 191:5,9	157:2,6 159:5,15,16 160:11,12 161:22,25	49:10,24 50:16,19,22, 25 51:8,14,15 52:17	139:11 142:21 182:6,
3P 82:15	162:2 173:22 188:25	53:6 55:7,10,15 61:8,	11 188:22 189:5 211:5 214:11 215:7,11,16,22
	189:8 205:8 206:8 245:22 249:3,24 250:2	22 63:15 65:5 66:9 68:4,17 70:13,19 71:8	216:3,24 217:1,4
4		72:15 74:7 75:4 76:6	234:15,18,23 236:4
A 49.5 02.17 00.21	72 249:12	119:11 128:13,25 138:3,4,5 139:14	accounts 44:21
4 42:5 93:17 99:21 122:12 123:16,18,21,	8	142:18,20 147:21	53:12,18 54:3 58:14 94:4,7 98:20,22 99:2,9
23 185:18 187:15	~	178:23 206:19 207:9	100:24 107:2,18,20
206:8 242:18	8 40:14 42:17 48:15	208:1,18,19 217:10	111:16 114:18 131:2
44 184:15,18	49:10 54:25 64:9	228:12 230:23 231:1 246:2,11	137:19,24 139:6 148:3 189:9 230:4
45 106:17	81:15 91:22 123:4 138:21,23 155:17,21		

EU-2020-0350, Vol. Index: accrual..agrees

accrual 73:12 74:22	89:5 105:20 120:17	244:5,7	170:19 228:8
97:14 98:14	125:9 142:13 153:7 171:12 172:22 199:23	admitting 220:7	agenda 29:15 35:7
accrue 68:2	206:25 227:11 228:19,	adopt 58:7,10	78:1 115:25 116:8,11, 13,18 210:12
accrued 186:12	24 229:6,10,16 237:15 241:9	adopted 38:12	aggressively 101:13
accumulate 40:10	-	188:17 189:11	
accurate 124:21 129:19 199:9 213:4,10	Additionally 49:1 50:3 59:10 83:22	adoption 84:14 227:2 229:5	agree 40:25 42:23 70:3 71:17 90:9,14 91:25 92:2,5 113:6,7
achieve 95:11 96:2	address 24:13 25:14 27:11,12 41:7 47:14	adversely 37:13	126:17 127:2 133:6,17
acknowledge 179:21	57:8,10 59:8 60:21	advice 119:13	146:23 149:18 156:11, 17 158:23 167:11
acknowledges 147:14	63:23 66:3 68:18 69:19,25 70:10 88:11 118:10,14 119:16,20	advisability 43:14,21 153:6,15	168:19 169:5 192:1,14 208:21 212:20 227:18
acronym 126:14	120:23 141:20,24 237:3	advise 76:12	230:13 231:15 245:3,4 247:18
153:1	addressed 31:17	advisement 159:11,	agreeable 43:24
act 58:21 126:14 127:3	47:8,16 49:9,13 58:12	18	agreed 61:18,23,25
acted 108:7	111:13 118:15 120:6 156:21 185:4 199:6,10	advocacy 199:7 advocate 81:21	105:13 108:1 144:3,20 153:13 176:11 177:24
action 66:2,14 71:18	addresses 53:17	199:11	228:20 235:10
171:18	68:7 112:22 156:18	advocates 74:3	agreeing 247:14
actions 39:23 68:8 70:21 73:11 167:10	166:11 179:1	123:10 197:14	agreement 37:22
activities 58:19	addressing 71:9 74:25 75:22 90:25	Affairs 122:9 155:8 183:17 184:3	38:7 40:14,21 42:2,8, 11,20 44:10,15 48:15,
179:22	91:11 144:15 164:18	affected 37:13 62:22,	17,20,25 49:2,7,17,21,
activity 95:21 112:2	171:19	25 102:11	23 50:8 51:13 55:1,2, 6,13,17,21 56:3,13
113:14,16 114:3	adequate 189:22 237:14,17	affirmation 158:22	59:2,5 60:10,11 61:17
actual 43:1,5 53:20 60:16 112:3 128:23	adequately 47:8,16	affordability 184:16	62:4 73:17 74:3 75:14 81:11,14,20,25 82:7
129:5,23 150:12	112:22,23 156:18	affordable 66:19	83:2,8,13,21,23 84:3
208:2,5 233:25 246:15,23 247:7	adjective 231:11,12	aforementioned	105:14 123:1,9,13 124:21 142:24,25
actuality 141:9	adjust 195:18	138:14	144:3,10 145:8 147:13
add 108:2 109:8 159:3	adjusted 209:4	afraid 26:7	153:14 156:3,15,17,24 163:8 166:9 168:22
228:6 240:20	214:12	African 66:23,24	169:8 172:2,4 181:3
added 214:14	adjustment 33:25	afternoon 124:14,15	186:19 205:23 208:25 212:18 213:7,13
adding 130:13 240:21	admission 134:19 162:5	132:15,16 154:17 162:19,20 164:8,9	227:3,11,18 228:15
addition 39:18 55:17	admit 160:18	193:14 196:23,24	229:3,16 230:17 231:14 235:11 236:3,
102:16 142:10,15 160:7 168:24 170:10	admitted 82:9,13	210:1,2 213:25 214:1 218:5,16 226:24,25	25 244:20 245:23
additional 32:24	123:20,22 136:19	233:7,8 238:18	247:23
35:19 39:4 43:22	157:10 159:16 160:12, 21 162:1 190:9,23,25	248:20,21	agreements 60:8 170:25 230:5
49:21 69:15 74:14 83:8 84:5,14 88:19	192:4 196:2 204:3,6 220:9 223:16 226:15	agencies 39:7,10,13 86:2 87:7,12 90:21,25 91:2,5,6,10 110:20	agrees 43:14,21 44:2 58:19 207:23 227:19

EU-2020-0350, Vol. IIndex: ahead..arrears

	EU-2020-035	0, Vol. IIndex	: aheadarrears
ahead 96:14 119:1 121:8 140:6 146:15	Analyst 64:20	appearances 24:11	approving 74:25
170:1 201:14 218:4 235:7 239:17 240:3,6	analytics 96:11 102:10	appeared 194:22	April 62:14 207:11
244:16	and/or 205:10 230:24	appearing 24:10,25	area 87:12 100:4
aid 41:22 92:22	Andrew 26:18 65:14	25:20 27:14 201:1 238:14	141:18
alert 33:11	announce 38:19		areas 66:13 91:19
alerts 28:21	100:16 101:12 113:22	appears 45:11 65:19 98:1 167:24 205:2	103:3 126:7 146:18 206:13
ALJ 163:10	announced 39:18 96:7 106:15,16,20,25	211:25 241:3	argue 63:10 66:8
allocated 54:15	107:9,10 179:11	apple 250:9,12,22	argues 63:18 70:15
allocation 54:14	announcing 106:23	applicable 61:6	argument 72:18
allowances 68:23	annual 173:7,12	applicants 24:21 36:18	120:4 173:2 192:9
allowed 60:4 247:5	174:2,7,9,13 197:16 212:2 214:6	application 23:7	arguments 66:12 70:11 120:17
allowing 23:9 51:16	annualizations	24:24 40:9 49:24	arise 67:25
altered 133:20 134:9	216:5	53:13 62:3 71:12 143:12 178:23 179:1	arises 28:11
altering 63:2 134:14	annualize 216:11	195:8 245:15	arising 58:24
alternative 38:24	annualized 216:7	applied 36:24 54:8	Arizona 194:22
68:16 143:14	annually 174:12	142:20	Arkansas 164:22
ambient 127:22 Ameren 24:9 37:24	answers 65:7 80:23 81:1,3 86:22 122:19,	applies 45:4 74:11 151:18 207:13	165:5,9,23 166:3,6 185:6,11,16 186:2,13
59:22	22 155:21 165:13	apply 173:21 233:15	190:18
American-water 61:21,25	195:14 203:2 219:7 223:6 226:5 242:24	applying 148:9,15	arrangement 38:24
Americans 65:22	243:1	approach 72:9 170:12	arrangements 39:3
66:23,25	Anthony 89:14	approaches 68:16	98:20,23,24 99:10
AMI 101:22	anticipate 29:21	approaching 65:5	102:18 185:21 210:7
amortized 234:4	anticipated 54:1	appropriateness	arrear 73:24
amount 40:21 43:6	anytime 98:17	45:16 47:11 237:4	arrearage 43:15 49:13 61:24 63:23
60:17 64:24 94:9,14 97:2 99:5 100:23,24	apologies 134:24 188:8	approval 71:9,12,14,	68:19 72:10 73:20,25
101:5 108:23 112:11	apologize 90:4 93:5,	16 72:15 75:3 82:1 106:14 156:23 187:17	74:5,15,20 103:14 107:4,5,7 108:11
129:6,11,16,24 130:15 140:20 178:17 187:5	14 135:12 143:7	approve 40:9 44:14	113:15 150:3
234:1 246:25 247:8	144:25 149:2 161:6 188:4 193:2 195:20,23	55:20 56:12 57:14,20,	arrearages 39:25
amounts 43:4 74:23	200:23,25 237:12	24 68:17 81:25 144:9 181:24 208:25	68:2 91:1,12 93:2 95:15 107:12 140:20
111:17 114:19 129:9 141:11 149:4 171:9,	apparent 38:8	approved 42:21 44:6	141:2,5,9 172:17
10,11 174:24 175:21	apparently 76:24	59:3 69:11,18 106:10	arrears 68:21 69:13,
202:18 246:8	178:10,16,19 199:6,15	182:6,11 206:20 207:10 209:3 245:20	19 70:1 72:7 73:23 94:5,7,9 95:15 98:22
analysis 133:19,21 134:5,6,10,13 225:21	appearance 24:8,14, 18,22 25:11 27:9,24	approves 247:15	100:25 104:6 107:2 112:11 114:19 250:5
	1		

EU-2020-0350, Vol. IIndex: arrive..behalf

	EU-2020-035	0, Vol. IIndex:	arrivebehalf
arrive 72:9 arrives 123:13	astray 191:20 at-risk 91:17	authorize 41:1 45:23 46:2,4 50:15,19 67:14	14,17 113:2,10 128:18,20,23 129:4,5,
arrives 123:13 articulate 67:8 articulates 67:7 ASC 189:4 asks 67:16 183:1 247:15 aspect 97:7 aspects 236:5	at-risk 91:17 attach 75:19 76:5 118:18 119:7 attached 30:13 31:8 76:5 119:10 215:9,14 attempting 250:8 attempts 68:1 attend 116:13 attention 47:25 49:25	46:2,4 50:15, 19 67:14 186:24 authorized 46:14,16 47:2 54:13 58:15 217:13 authorizes 48:21 authorizing 49:4 51:15 automatic 101:22 availability 115:6	128:18,20,23 129:4,5, 6,23 130:1,12,15 140:21,24 166:7 167:1,13 168:20,25 169:6,10 171:5,20,24 177:8,10,16 182:7 186:14,17 187:8,10 195:24 207:8,18,19,23 235:10 246:2,14 247:3 balance 45:5 54:8 56:7 98:25 99:8 balances 94:9 96:9
assembling 33:15 asserted 38:5 157:17 Assertion 45:25	138:7 165:19 185:10 186:1 187:15 193:7 200:24	177:13 average 74:13	98:20 99:5,9 111:17 131:2 172:17 230:4,5
assess 35:11 113:8 195:7	attorney 115:6 attorneys 28:10 78:8	avoid 28:23 30:24 134:14 AW-2020-0356 50:13	bandwidth 98:2 126:25 219:18 base 249:9
assessment 230:21 asset 40:11 41:11 42:12 43:9 45:7,8 48:22 53:12 54:7,23 125:19 168:25 189:7 195:9 247:1,9	116:4 117:17 118:15 120:17 attraction 39:16 attributable 179:2 187:6	52:7 72:1 awards 152:11 aware 29:7 58:13 81:12 117:23 118:16 121:19 123:3 136:10	based 31:1 45:11,18 77:18,20 113:12 116:4 119:24 137:17 163:19 167:3,13 172:15 176:1 188:14 191:16 208:11 241:6
assets 127:5 159:6 173:24 186:24 187:5 assigned 23:12	attribute 111:19 audibility 219:20 audio 29:11 36:2 78:18,24 201:12	155:25 156:10 awhile 105:4 137:12 B	basic 67:10 basically 161:9 191:24
assist 38:14 39:20 66:2 69:22 230:22 assistance 41:21 43:22 73:2,9,18 74:15	217:22 232:15 August 40:1 62:6 67:2 102:5 107:16,18 187:19 195:3	B-O-L-I-N 202:4 back 39:14 62:4 77:20 78:7,20,23 79:4 99:14,	basis 38:2 43:3 129:5 130:18 137:24 174:10 246:6 bears 95:2
84:6 87:11 90:17,25 91:13 92:1 110:23 111:12 126:15 127:7 128:9 151:17 153:7 170:11,17 206:10 229:10 239:13 245:18	authenticated 90:9 authored 94:2 authorities 159:3 authority 23:9 40:10	21 108:20 110:13 116:10,13,18,20 117:3,8,15 120:24 127:20 128:7 142:22 161:13,25 191:5,6,10	began 101:2 141:5 begin 79:10,20 93:6 105:24 206:19 beginning 41:11
assistant 30:10 assists 95:23	42:18 50:15,18 52:17 53:9 57:9,20 61:8,13 64:17 78:14 118:18, 19,22 119:7,8,20	252:15 background 193:24 backs 74:24	48:23 114:8 176:10 206:22 250:3 begins 65:21 66:17 148:6 181:14 249:2
Associates 242:7,9 Association 197:4, 13	120:23 137:4 142:21 183:10 185:3 188:22 189:3	backtrack 117:19 backup 29:3 bad 41:18,19 42:5	behalf 24:20 25:20 36:18 80:6 113:1 122:1 155:1 156:22
assuming 115:1,17 136:12 189:18 238:21	authorization 50:25 52:16 217:9	47:7 59:11 60:7,13,14, 15,16 62:12,14,19 66:6 97:2,14,21 98:12,	193:11 201:23 218:13 222:13 225:11 241:4, 25

EU-2020-0350, Vol. IIndex: behavior..case

	EU-2020-035	o, vor. imack	• Denaviorcase
behavior 131:1 229:25	233:9 236:4 Bolin's 201:12	burning 116:7 business 37:5 39:16	218:6 221:21 224:17, 23 232:11,19 246:1
belabor 61:10 216:20	booked 213:5	83:17,25 94:22 96:5,	247:17
belief 81:4 122:23 173:20 203:6 219:11 223:9 226:8	books 62:17 63:15,25 99:2	17,24 97:9,11 228:3 businesses 37:3 39:15 73:1 94:21	called 80:6 122:1 143:15 155:1 177:4 193:11 201:23 211:17, 21 212:7 218:13
believes 45:22 48:16 51:1,22 60:3 68:1 76:4	borne 152:3 bottom 164:24 165:7	100:19 butchered 149:1	222:13 225:11 230:16 241:25
134:13	181:2,5 183:7 184:19 245:2	Byron 51:25 217:22	calling 69:7,15,17
belong 70:13	bound 148:21 158:16	218:1,6,12,18,23 219:3	calls 95:18 103:9
bench 78:8 117:17 139:25	bound 148.21 158.16 bow 96:18	219.5	183:22 230:3
beneficial 97:16	Boys 91:21	С	camera 98:3 140:5 217:22 219:19
98:16,18	Brad 90:10 133:12	C-H-E-R-Y-L 193:16	campaign 106:25
benefit 100:12,13	break 29:13,14,19	Caisley 34:14,15	capability 101:18
benefits 63:4 125:25 126:17,20 127:2 128:9	32:24 35:7 78:6 79:1 115:25 154:12 189:21,	35:17 38:15 48:3 56:24 76:15 77:2,8,10,	capacities 94:23
146:25 147:4 Bentch 32:12,18,21,	22 239:19 breakdown 86:11,12	12,14,17,23 78:5 79:6, 22,25 80:5,9 81:6	capacity 122:7 184:2 202:6 218:20 222:20
25 79:1,15 121:18	briefing 118:17	82:4,19,22 84:17 85:5,	225:19 242:6
232:15	briefly 28:12 41:6	15,19 86:25 89:19 90:6,14,23 92:13,23	capital 63:2 132:17, 21,23,25 133:20
big 73:20 227:1	57:7 66:12 99:20	93:9 97:22 98:2,9 104:4,25 105:21,24	134:2,8,15,16
biggest 112:14	124:12 162:17 192:9 193:23 209:24 216:20	109:1 110:7,13 114:24	capitalize 50:16
bill 39:2,21 61:25 73:2,9,15,18 74:14	briefs 119:14 120:22	123:5 141:1,20,22 151:21 170:14 177:4	care 48:3 121:5 154:11 252:14,15
91:1,12 102:17,24 112:21 142:8,10,14	bright 217:24	Caisley's 35:11 76:9	career 174:19
233:25 234:1	bring 23:2 120:21	78:25 115:3	CARES 126:14 127:3
billing 233:25	brings 213:19	calculated 168:6 173:3	Carl 24:22 25:4 89:15
bills 38:23 41:23	broad 45:14,23 70:22	calculation 169:4,11	154:14 204:21 244:14
66:22 72:17 92:1 140:23 149:5 186:4,8,	broadcast 29:12	175:12	carry 185:3
10	broken 175:3	calculations 216:11	carrying 42:9 49:7 187:6
bit 24:17 43:25 110:8 116:1 146:22	brought 42:21 137:21	Caleb 25:19 30:8	case 23:6,12 24:14,
bite 250:9,11,22	Brubaker 242:7,9	77:16 88:9 98:1 136:1 calendar 153:15	21,24 27:1 31:7,24
body 165:13	build 39:14	182:24	36:18 37:2,18,23 38:1, 5,9 40:18,22 41:21
Bolin 46:22 51:25	built 129:16	call 62:13 78:18,20	42:7,10 43:3,4,7 44:12
201:9,13,17,22 202:1,	bulk 111:19 172:12	83:13,23 84:3 101:18 104:16 114:17 117:22	45:13 46:12 49:25 50:17 51:19 58:3,5
3,9,21 203:1,10 204:1, 6,17,25 210:1,21,25	bunch 58:25	118:13 120:7 121:4,12	59:5 60:22 61:4,11,15
213:17,25 215:6 216:16,23 217:16,18	burden 74:25	127:16 169:20 192:24 200:3 201:8 217:20,21	63:21 66:3,9,14 67:19 68:5,16 69:1,24 70:12, 13 71:3,8,20,25 72:15
		1	1

EU-2020-0350, Vol. IIIndex: cases..column

74:7,14 75:6 81:24	challenge 44:11	45:15 50:20,22,24	closed 37:3
85:21 92:19 95:12 112:6 118:17,22	Chamber 92:3	54:14 75:23	closer 159:9
119:11 120:23 123:2	chance 27:22 30:1	cited 166:4 189:1,3	closing 37:6 47:23
129:10 137:2,7,10,22 138:4,13,14,18 139:13	35:10 71:20 87:22 88:22 105:17 109:10,	citing 148:1 164:25 165:5,14	closure 137:5
144:14 147:21,22 155:10 156:18 167:2	16 140:14 160:25 change 95:5 107:24	City 25:5,8 90:1 91:21 118:1	club 27:9 37:19 41:4 44:16 48:14 52:12,15
189:2 190:24 199:14 202:20 204:3 207:18	240:23 242:24 243:19	claim 53:16 54:3	53:4 55:5,14,19 77:9 85:3,4 109:19 115:5
208:3,5 211:5 214:10,	changed 205:1 237:6	claiming 63:19	121:2 132:5 146:1
12 215:8,12,15,23 216:1,2,5,13 217:1,3, 5,14 231:16 236:24	changing 123:6 154:7	claims 53:20	163:25 180:11 188:7 189:20 193:11 195:7
5,14 231.16 236.24 246:9,24 247:7 250:7, 8,13,24 251:2	Chapters 67:11	clarification 31:15 134:12 159:24 234:13	200:20 209:15,17 214:20,23 221:1 224:4 232:24 238:1 239:15
cases 37:10 46:4	characterization 230:2	clarified 162:8	248:9 251:16
52:9,10 59:19 71:5,11 72:13 94:25 174:21	characterize 114:2	clarifies 147:14	Club's 189:18 191:6 196:4
199:16 215:16 246:14	144:5	clarify 107:4 143:20	
cat 28:13	charged 53:24	144:24 145:9 150:21 168:1	co-ops 102:21
catastrophe 67:4	charges 38:21	clarifying 30:2	coalitions' 72:4
catch 35:25 219:25	charging 46:17	clarity 105:5 146:22	Code 189:10
catch-all 53:2 55:12	charitable 152:12	159:20 169:5,9	cold 43:16 46:17 62:7 171:22 210:3,7,10
213:11	Charles 79:22 80:5	classes 37:8 101:16	228:4 233:10,12,16, 17,21
catching 219:24	Chartered 64:20	classic 158:11	Coleman 24:1
categories 52:22 55:7 206:3 244:21	chase 150:6	clause 187:25	collect 52:24
	chat 29:1,3 78:11	Clean 194:8	
categorized 152:6	chats 29:2,8	cleaning 41:14	collected 55:9 145:10
category 55:11,12 182:13,14 213:11,14,	check 35:22 89:7	clear 91:4 120:9	collecting 145:12,15
15	201:11 203:11 210:23 221:12 251:8	129:22 143:23 144:11 145:2 151:18 173:20,	collection 93:19,24, 25 95:21 113:16
caught 98:10	Cheryl 53:5 121:3	23 177:18 191:23 240:23 241:3	collections 68:10,11
caused 41:10 67:4 155:9 202:10 218:24	193:10,16 195:25	cleared 34:7	112:2 113:14 171:20
222:24 225:23	Chief 64:25 80:11 111:25	clearer 28:25	colleges 37:6
cell 28:21	Chuck 38:15 89:13,15	click 76:23	Colorado 194:21
center 95:18 101:18	141:1	client 240:15	Colton 47:13 66:16 67:7,16 68:6,14 69:10,
centers 114:17	chunk 144:13	climbing 111:17,18	20 75:7,21 76:3 249:4
central 184:24	churches 37:4	0	250:20,23
Certified 158:12	circulated 204:1	clock 115:19	Colton's 66:15,24 67:2 69:4 75:5 249:12,
cetera 240:7	243:9,12	close 160:10 192:1 200:24 238:19 239:5	67:2 69:4 75:5 249:12, 21
Chairman 23:13	circumstances	252:17	column 129:25 130:1,

EU-2020-0350, Volndex: columns..concludes

2,10,11,12,13,16,17

columns 130:9,13

combination 37:7 39:23 179:10

Comed 73:17

comfortable 32:18 231:4,11 240:13,15

commence 229:1

comment 35:18

commented 217:4

comments 72:3,5 116:4 117:20 118:7 181:15,16 184:21,24 185:1

Commerce 92:4

commercial 53:23 94:21 102:7

commission 23:5 24:2,16 25:14 29:15 32:2,15,17 35:14 36:17 37:19 40:9,16, 19,20,25 42:20,21 43:11 44:14,22 45:14, 23 46:3,11,13,15 47:1 48:9,10,19 49:18 50:4, 10,21 51:2,11,16 52:8, 10,14,16 53:9 54:9,13, 16,19 55:1,3,20 56:1, 11,17,19 57:13,22 58:9,16 59:19,22,25 60:2 61:4,17 62:7 64:7,13,17 65:4,14 66:13 67:8,13,17 68:17 70:24 72:2,5 75:2,13,18 99:23 104:15,21 105:20 106:9 108:14,16 115:2 116:3 117:20 118:7, 16,21 119:3,5,17 120:11,16,20 138:3 139:24 143:21 144:9 148:8,20 151:10 153:25 158:16,22 164:14,16,17,23 165:6,15,24 166:7,16, 18,22 168:12 171:14, 18 173:7,9,11,13,15,

25 178:21 181:8.18. 19.22 182:20 183:9. 17,19 184:5,11,21,25 185:3,11,14,20 186:6, 23 187:3,13,16 188:18,23 189:11 190:18,19,20 191:20, 25 194:4,7,10,25 198:17 199:2 202:8 207:10 208:21,25 210:21 211:3,9 212:3, 9 215:7 218:21 221:11.14 222:22 225:20 228:14 230:20 234:9,11,12 235:1 245:20 247:15,20 251.7

Commission's 29:12 49:4,25 50:15,18,23 54:21 61:5,8 82:1 118:18 119:7 120:10 148:14 185:16 210:3 229:2 234:22

commissioner

23:14,15,16,18,20,22, 25 24:2,3 35:21,22,24 36:2,6 56:22 104:22, 23,24 105:3,15,19 116:9 117:22,24,25 118:7,9 140:1,2,3,4, 13,15 169:21,23,24 170:2,3 172:19,22 194:3,25 198:11 199:3 210:22 213:18 224:15 236:12

Commissioners

23:13,17 24:4 77:24 104:17 109:4 118:5,13 119:18,25 120:3 145:18 172:23 180:1 197:5

commissions 60:1 190:23 194:14,17,19 199:12

commit 74:19

commitment 170:25 228:7 229:8

commitments 49:14 73:9

committed 62:2 103:14

committing 39:19

commonly 197:1

Commonwealth 73:17

communicate 29:7

communicated 38:14

29:5 101:14

communication 28:25 39:24 106:25 125:10

communications 151:4

communities 39:5,7 86:2 87:6 91:17 100:20 170:19

community 69:8 100:13 101:15 102:15

companies 23:9 102:21 142:20 170:9 171:4 173:6 185:23 186:16 231:7

company 37:20,25 38:18,23 39:3,22 40:24 41:2 43:13,20 44:2,16,24 47:3,22 56:11 58:19 63:8 72:20,22 75:24 97:2 100:13 114:15 131:16, 19 132:23,25 134:13 138:1 141:3 143:11,16 146:24 147:9 149:3, 10,19 151:12,19 152:2,11,13,25 153:5, 13 174:1,14 175:17,22 177:23 178:18 206:13 215:24 227:19 228:1, 20 229:9,22 230:9 247:19

company's 42:11 44:11,12 45:3 47:9,16, 21 148:18 149:8 173:10 176:1 214:5,6, 9 228:7 230:14

compare 114:2 198:3 235:1

compared 60:15 171:8 230:24 231:7 246:15

compares 129:4

comparing 128:22 129:24

comparison 113:9 129:23 130:18 169:11 207:18 217:2,5,6 230:21 231:3 246:10

compensation 63:4 125:25 146:25 147:4

competent 58:1 191:21

Compliance 222:22

comply 145:10

component 173:21

components 59:6 167:8

comprehensive 38:12

computer 28:22 127:18 218:4

concept 173:2 208:8

concepts 184:1

concern 34:14 96:6 159:10 160:4

concerned 31:12 158:3 191:13

concerns 23:7 31:13 55:11 66:16 71:10 113:17

conclude 56:20 115:3 201:2 217:19 221:19 224:20

concluded 185:11 245:1

concludes 189:15

EU-2020-0350, Vol.Index: conclusion..cost

238:14	252:7

conclusion 176:15 183:23

conclusions 133:21

condition 47:21 68:18

conditions 58:23 72:15 75:3,19 76:1 118:18,20,24 119:7,9, 11 120:24 187:18 188:17

conduct 57:18 94:22

conducted 28:7 133:18 134:5,7,8

conference 25:5 28:7 76:11 118:1 140:11 169:20 232:18

confident 99:23

confidential 80:15 82:11 136:20 152:7 155:11,14,17 157:5,6, 19,22 159:22,25 160:6 191:14 202:11,22 203:9 204:5 211:23,25 212:1 243:23

confirm 79:12 165:4 166:3,21 168:15 180:25 203:12

confirmation 135:22

confirmed 45:19 166:18

conflict 34:7 115:10, 11

confusing 144:13

Congress 65:20

connect 28:17 116:20

connected 232:11

connection 78:24 97:23 110:14 127:18 153:17 232:12

connections 232:10 consequences 67:6

considerable 108:23

consideration 40:22 50:9 134:10

considerations 107:16

considered 49:24 61:14 158:20 172:1 179:23 187:10

consist 72:25 216:13

consistent 48:17,19 51:12 59:15 83:19 174:21

constructive 219:19

consult 84:10 229:9

consultation 43:17 84:6 153:7

consulting 213:1 227:24

consumer 55:5,18 197:13 199:7,11

consumers 25:24 26:7,12 34:3 41:3 55:4,24 56:8,11 57:1 84:25 109:9,14 124:5, 10 137:4 145:23,24 162:14,16 180:6,8 196:14,16 200:9 209:10,13 213:23 214:18 220:21,24 223:24 224:2 232:17, 22 237:22,24 244:2

contact 24:23 25:21 95:18

contagious 37:13

contained 49:16 57:5 156:24 187:18 206:17 207:22 208:17

contemplated 105:14 179:10

contemporaneously 106:22 contend 178:21

content 179:18

context 74:7 131:23 Contingent 160:24 continuation 229:11 continue 44:3 51:6 60:14,18 83:14 84:9, 10 90:3 99:12,16 106:11 134:1 156:19 171:17 177:9 186:8,10 205:19 207:9 228:21 246:2 continued 38:23

contesting 56:18

95:24 106:19 181:25 228:1

continues 84:12 99:7,10 205:11

continuing 134:13 153:16

continuity 95:23

contract 41:17

contrast 247:6

contributed 72:3

contributions 39:7, 21 69:13,17 86:1 151:17 152:13

controller 157:14

convenience 35:13

conveniently 192:22

conversation 92:23 112:25 148:25

conversations 100:8

converted 140:20 **copies** 31:4 164:10

copy 85:19 124:16 187:21 188:2 205:22

Coronavirus 126:15

Corporate 234:21

Corporation 91:23

correct 34:4,11,16,18 46:3 81:3 83:3,4 85:6,

9 86:2.3.5.6.10 90:22 91:24 93:3 110:23 111:1,2,5,6,8,9,14,15 121:16 122:22 123:6 125:2,13,17 126:1 128:10,19,20 129:7,17 130:14,16,18,19 134:5,15 137:9,25 138:15 139:1,7,11 147:1,2,6,10,11,17,18 148:17,23 149:5,6,8,9, 11 151:3,14,22,25 152:3,9,14,15,17 153:8,9 157:25 160:3, 8,23 163:6,13,14 165:12 175:11 176:16. 18 181:1,4,5,15,21 183:7 184:19,22,23 185:9 189:12 190:6 202:13 203:5 205:4,5, 17,21 206:1,6,11,14, 16,24 207:2,3,6,7,10, 14,19,20,21 208:6,7,8, 15,23 217:10,11 219:2,11 220:19 223:2,9 226:1,8 227:3, 4 228:5,17,18,23 229:3,4 230:12 234:10 235:3 237:1 242:20 243:1 244:21,23,24 245:8,10,16,25 246:3, 4,11,16,17,20,22 247:9,10,21

corrected 81:20 123:9 156:8 203:17,25 204:2,4 243:15,18

correction 82:7 123:3,4 156:11 202:21,25 203:17 243:8 244:3,4

corrections 155:24 203:12 219:4 242:16, 21 243:13,17

correctly 206:25

cost 41:20 42:14 44:7 46:21 53:24 67:18,22 114:14 144:7,23 149:15,21 168:24 169:1 176:7 179:3,6, 15 182:22 183:14

EU-2020-0350, Vol.Index: costs..customers

202:19 205:10 207:13. 64:16.25 65:9 75:20 44:18 47:14 175:13 cross-examining 16 217:13 76:3 77:2 **CPA** 45:19 **costs** 23:10 40:11,17, count 107:14 cross-functional crack 112:10 21 41:1,10,12,13,14, 102:13 country 37:16 73:8 18,21 42:2,9,16 44:7, create 52:16 53:5,9 101:5 crossing 85:15 12,18 45:6,24 46:5,14, 54:22 55:6,15 68:19 counts 189:7 18,19,20,25 47:14 167:12 252:23 crucial 56:10 74:22 48:22,24 49:7 51:8,14, created 179:8 **couple** 48:2 57:10 crystal 143:23 16,20 52:18,22 53:3, 89:6 91:24 106:2 21 55:7 58:24 59:8 creates 167:9 168:7 **CSR** 152:7,12 153:1 124:19 165:8 188:6 60:14 61:14 62:10 170:21 211:6 230:19 244:19 creature 183:9,20 67:9.25 68:4.15 70:3. 184:5 curious 140:7 161:1 22,23 71:3 95:21 coupling 61:21 113:9 125:2,4,9,17,21 credentials 194:16 current 24:12 51:2 court 31:15,18 32:14 126:4 127:4 128:25 73:5,7 83:14 84:4 79:4,16 110:9 165:1 credibility 191:22 141:24 142:4,6,17,20, 96:21 178:6 202:19 167:21 168:4 202:24 24 143:1,3,15,19 215:23 228:9 credit 44:5 61:25 235:18,19 144:17 150:8,13,22,24 62:20 73:15 83:16 Curtis 26:11 151:5,8,12,16 153:11 courts 50:14,18 58:13 102:23,24 142:8,10,14 175:13 176:22,23 184:6 228:8 **customer** 38:12 178:13,22,24 179:2 39:24 42:13 43:15,22 cover 241:2 credits 39:2 68:21 181:25 182:18,25 47:5,9,10,15,17,20 96:24 102:17 183:1 186:25 187:6 **covered** 241:8 49:14 50:11 53:23 206:3 207:13 213:12. crisis 66:4 69:23 73:7 59:18 61:22 62:6 covers 31:12 13 217:14 244:22 74:9 96:14 249:17 63:23 67:20 68:2 245:18 69:19 70:1,25 71:1,12 **COVID** 41:10 49:5 criteria 46:2 148:10, 53:14 58:20 63:14 72:21 76:2 80:12 83:8, costs/foregone 16 15,16 84:5,14 91:14 65:20 66:6 67:1 70:23 182:14 72:19,22 73:22 75:17 critical 71:23 95:11,15,19 96:2 97:4 counsel 24:19 25:3, 101:16 102:9 103:5 104:11 107:19 110:20 criticizes 186:16 17,20 26:22 28:8 35:8, 114:21 144:22 147:1, 107:6 112:1 113:2 13 38:3,5 43:18 44:17, 6,9 177:24 178:20 **cross** 77:13,17,22 114:16 131:1 141:15, 25 46:7 47:4,19 56:4, 197:19 198:8 212:15 17 142:8,9,16 143:5 85:4,12 92:11 169:17 22 61:2,6 63:1,9 195:17,22 203:10 153:1,7 163:6 170:10, COVID-19 23:10 64:12.21 68:25 70:5.6 219:14 220:13 221:15 16 184:16 206:10 36:20 37:10 38:12 77:17 82:25 83:1 227:11 228:19,24 223:12 226:12 231:19, 39:6 40:12 41:19 85:11 86:5 87:18 229:6,10,25 233:24 21 243:6 248:4 43:15 44:12,19 47:9, 89:21 90:6 108:20 245:18 249:5 17 48:22 50:1,12 51:2 cross-exam 204:13 109:25 116:14 118:6, customer's 210:6 52:23 53:22 54:24 20 119:3,10,15,19 cross-examination 233:20 55:8 61:13,21,22 120:5 132:12 133:9 33:24 56:18 76:15 62:10,22 63:6,17 65:2, 136:17 137:3,14 138:8 customers 36:25 77:8,10,12 82:4,18,19, 18 67:4,9,23 68:8 143:8,19 146:6 164:5 37:15 38:14 39:2,5,8, 21 84:20 85:14 92:9, 70:1,17 71:12 72:8 165:19,23 166:15 20 41:14,22 44:5,24 12 93:8 123:17 124:1, 73:18 87:5,14 95:11 180:16 191:16 196:20 50:2 54:15 62:1,19 2,13 132:14 139:19 99:25 132:22 133:21 198:9 200:14 209:23 63:8 64:1,3,10,11 157:3 162:9,10,18 179:1,2,4,18 181:25 215:3 221:5 224:10 66:2,4,20 67:23 68:20 163:25 164:7 169:15 185:12 198:7 205:1,11 227:20 233:4 235:9 69:12,22 72:7,16 73:3, 196:7,8,22 204:17,24 206:13 234:19,23 236:20 238:6 248:13 209:9,25 223:20 10,16,21,23,24 74:10, 251:20 COVID-19's 63:3 11,16,21 83:17,25 226:19,23 232:16 86:14 91:12 92:1 233:6 244:13,18 Counsel's 46:9 **COVID-RELATED** 93:19 95:14,16,18,22 248:19

EU-2020-03500dex VodustTomers '...determination

96:8,20 97:1 98:14	dates 128:17 228:14	Defense 194:8	144:13
100:12 101:14 102:7 104:7 105:25 106:20	David 26:2 57:3 64:19	defer 40:11 42:9,12	degrees 62:23
107:1,13,14 108:9	day 96:24 100:14	48:21 49:4 50:16 51:13,21 58:24 59:7,	dejection 238:21
112:1,12,14,20 113:13,14,17,22	115:25 238:19	11,17 61:13 62:10,16	delay 90:4
125:11 140:23 142:1	days 71:16 106:3,17	65:8 104:19 105:15 129:11 144:4,20	delivering 39:11
151:13 153:17 170:20 172:9 177:6,15,22	deal 30:21 73:24 91:5 107:1 111:4 200:24	169:10 182:22	Deloitte 157:15
178:2,5 186:3,7,9		deferral 42:25 44:18	delve 105:12
212:15 228:3,4 230:22 233:15,19 250:16	dealing 87:5,13 91:5, 6 97:5 110:21 112:14	45:16,24 46:2,5,14 58:14 59:20,21,23	demonstrate 54:5
customers' 38:17	deals 87:9 111:7	60:3,5,10,13,18 64:1,6	63:6 70:21
99:25	192:13 207:18	83:19 124:23 125:2,	demonstrated 46:4
cut 28:12 126:19	dealt 161:12 185:18	13,16 137:15 138:25 139:10 144:21 147:17	demonstrates 54:9
248:7 251:15	deaths 37:11 67:2	148:22 150:13 151:8,	demonstrating
cutoff 177:20	debt 41:18,19 42:5	14 152:3,17 153:11 159:7 164:18 166:7	66:17
cutting 220:1 227:16	59:11 60:7,13 62:12	167:1,7,13,17 168:8,	deny 54:22
	64:4 65:23 66:6 71:1 73:10 74:1,16,23	20 169:6 171:7,11,25 173:23 176:1,7 177:21	department 30:17
D	94:17 97:2,14,21	178:23 182:3,11	depending 77:24
D-I-E-T-R-I-C-H	98:12,14,18 113:2,10 128:18,20,23 129:4,5,	187:18 188:22 206:15, 16,22 207:5,8 208:10,	161:15
225:17	6,23 130:1,12 140:21,	16,22 207:5,8 208:10, 12,14,22 212:17	depends 94:3,19 233:13
daily 37:10	24 166:7,8 168:20,25 169:2,6,10 171:5,20,	217:10 228:16 231:15	deployed 101:18,20
Dakota 165:14	24 177:8,16 182:8	234:15,18,23 235:10 244:22 245:7,8,19	
168:12,14,16 187:13,	186:14,17 187:8 207:8,18,19,23 246:2,	246:16 247:5,11,17	deployment 101:21
17 188:15,18,21,23 190:4,19	14 247:3	deferrals 41:1 62:9	deposits 38:22
Darrin 40:24 45:17	debts 47:7 60:14,15,	129:14 168:6 171:17	derailing 159:9
105:11 121:14 140:4,	16 62:14,19 68:3	deferred 35:9 40:22 41:11 42:15 43:5 45:7	describe 193:23 194:1 205:19
5,9 154:25 155:5 157:12 158:6,19	73:12 130:15 167:1,13 177:10 187:10 235:11	49:9 54:10 68:23 74:4	describes 148:7
211:14	December 43:15,22,	125:5,20,24 126:9,18	
data 88:2 90:8 131:6,	23 81:16,18 156:8	127:3,8 128:9 142:25 144:9 146:19 147:5	describing 94:3 182:4
7,23 133:7 134:17	228:2 245:1	152:16 176:22 182:6	description 182:7
138:2 139:12,13 145:15 207:21 212:5	decide 120:20 160:24	206:3 217:13 246:25	Deserve 39:20 86:18,
214:13 216:2,3,5,6	decides 120:11	deferring 163:5 181:20,24	19
246:21	decision 161:15	definition 189:5	desire 79:11 92:21
date 43:23 66:1 81:17 106:6,17 133:25 134:3	decisions 148:22	242:20	desk 28:14
156:8 176:11 181:19,	150:6 183:11,21 184:7,12	definitions 189:6	detailed 53:8 68:25
23 216:3 228:12,16 229:1 245:4	decrease 141:9	degradation 144:4	details 76:1 105:10
dated 133:25 187:19	dedicated 250:15	degraded 97:24	108:21 170:23 210:9
	deeper 113:21 143:10	degree 74:1 143:13	determination 45:6
			-

EU-2020-0350, VolIndex: determine..echoes

determine 50:23 directed 119:6.24 discusses 42:17 86:24 60:13 133:19 134:8 143:8 45:17 229:18 dollars 100:24 107:20 determined 169:12 directing 185:10 discussing 29:25 150:2 151:17 170:16 246:5 186:1 187:15 57:4 59:1 251:1 determining 45:16 direction 72:5 discussion 113:3 door 183:25 131:18 146:17 147:19 **develop** 96:9,13 dramatically 95:6 directly 41:16 48:22 179:5 241:6 52:22 55:8 89:20 developed 38:13 driver 111:22 discussions 129:1 91:14,15 166:11 236:4 developing 164:15 drop 238:20 **Director** 64:16 122:9 dispatch 88:18 development 38:24 183:16 194:5 225:21 dropdead 59:18 dispositive 46:12 39:17 91:22 disagree 71:4 138:5 **DTE** 73:20 devise 112:7 disagrees 47:22 88:1 disproportionately **due** 41:19 54:23 70:1 dial-in 127:17 66:18 72:8 93:19 144:4,21 disappointed 238:24 147:15 176:23 dialed 78:18 dispute 63:9 disappointing 54:6 duration 28:16 42:17 disruptive 96:17 Diana 26:10 56:1 44:6 49:10 128:12 disconnect 28:19 100:19 **Dietrich** 50:6 52:1 78:19 101:22 111:24 228:12 113:25 117:3,6,8 distinct 52:22 70:15 71:5.11 210:14 duty 67:14 127:16 232:1 212:25 224:23,25 distinction 54:20 225:1,10,14,16,22 disconnected 72:7 distribute 30:3,22 Е 226:11,19,21,24 102:1 32:23 33:16 89:1 227:16 231:7,23 disconnection 47:6 232:20 233:7,22 earlier 27:19,25 61:9 distributed 92:17 234:14 235:9,21 59:12 94:5 96:16 90:23 100:22 101:12 190:3 236:13,17 237:19 100:10,25 107:19 135:11 136:6 143:9 238:13 112:3,8,10,21 114:2, distribution 33:15 151:21 170:4,22 12,19 130:11 179:4,19 203:13 Dietrich's 50:4 211:11 217:4,23 disconnections 226:14 **dive** 113:21 217:8 38:20 65:24 69:21 early 37:5 40:19 103:4 **difference** 43:7 60:5 **Division** 225:21 72:11 73:10 95:20 167:8 217:4,25 229:22 104:2,3 105:8 130:11 102:4 113:23 171:23 **docket** 50:9 61:9 161:4 170:5 earn 68:20 177:3 185:22 187:1 70:19 72:1,2 88:3 90:8 differences 170:8 122:11 145:15 188:1, earned 52:24 55:9 disconnects 114:7 174:24 16 189:4 250:8 earnings 63:16 **discount** 142:12 difficulty 96:9 127:17 document 83:5.6 149:8,11,13,20 174:7 219:17 discovered 30:16 87:16,18,22 89:16 197:20,24 198:3 90:7,11,12 92:16 199:8,19 direct 29:7 49:25 50:3 **discretion** 45:14.23 133:13 135:10 136:10 72:14 73:22 75:23 50:23 152:7,12 170:22 easier 176:4 138:11 190:2 219:10 80:8 91:13,25 93:1 239:6 226:7 227:5 243:10,15 easily 33:16 239:10 120:1 122:3,11 discuss 32:11 35:4, 123:12,19 155:3,10,13 documents 29:21 easy 30:20 32:9 89:2 19 37:17 44:9 57:7 156:20 157:5,6 31:1 33:17,19 88:14 115:4 252:17 eat 116:13 163:12,16 164:12,13, 162:5 203:4 223:8 20 166:4 171:7 179:17 discussed 46:7 95:4 241:9 echo 232:3 183:11,14,21 193:13 108:7 123:5 125:6 dollar 152:18 echoes 65:1 201:25 210:12 218:15 147:8 151:20 179:9 222:15 225:13 242:2 **Dollar-aide** 39:19 243:25

EU-2020-0350, Vol.Index: economic..evergy

economic 39:13 63:3 100:10.25 112:10 98:21 105:25 107:10. entries 24:7.18 67:4.6 69:5 74:17 87:3 114:12,18 124:23 16.18 113:20 128:25 entry 25:11 90:18,24 91:22 111:5, 125:5 142:25 147:16 150:14 153:15 167:22 8 151:8,13 152:2 153:11 175:14 176:11,25 Environmental 175:22 176:1.7 187:10 177:2 183:12 185:11 194.7 economically 65:17 212:17 245:19 205:16 207:2 228:3 107:25 EO-2020-0383 50:1 249:7,20 eliminate 186:7 52:7 71:13 95:12 **Economics** 193:20 ended 101:24 106:1,2 194:9 email 24:13 30:2,4,12, equation 113:5 114:1 16 31:3,20 87:17 economies 39:15 equipment 41:15,16 ending 174:16 175:7 88:10,15,17 89:2,7,19, economist 65:1 22 90:10 120:15 equities 54:8 ends 148:6 135:20.23 190:3 218:22 equity 54:14,17 64:21 199:24 210:23 221:12 energy 25:24 26:7,12 Edison 73:17 103:9 251:8 34:3 39:21 41:2.3 equivalent 144:6,22 educational 193:23 55:4,24 57:1 59:21 emailed 188:5 ER-2018-0145 69:12,15,18 72:4 **EEI** 102:21 215:12 217:2 emails 30:9,11 73:15 84:20,25 109:9, 135:25 effect 97:16 14 110:23 111:12 errata 243:17 124:4,10 137:3 emergence 132:21 effective 67:22 error 81:13 123:4 145:23,24 151:17 149:15,22 229:1 156:7 emergency 39:9 162:14,16 179:7,8 50:12 74:22 87:3.11 180:5,8 185:4 193:20 effects 39:6 70:16 essential 39:11 79:14 194:8,9 196:13,16 185:13 72:17 177:23 178:2 95:22 200:9 209:9,12 213:23 179:1 **EMO** 148:7 essentially 32:14,17 214:18 220:21,23 efficiencies 147:10 73:25 223:24 224:1 232:17, employed 80:10 21 237:21,24 244:2 122:6,8 155:6,7 efficiency 69:12,16, establish 95:17 96:12 250:5,13,15,23 193:19 202:5,7 218:19 18 72:4 250:5,13,15, 186:24 222:19,21 225:18,20 24 engage 200:3 established 96:23 242:5,7 efficient 67:22 68:10 202:19 engaged 106:24 employee 41:18 120:2 estimate 76:9,16 engaging 230:23 125:25 effort 33:14 172:10 99:11 enjoyed 108:9 employees 36:25 efforts 39:16 EU-2020-0350 23:6 37:1,15 41:14,16 enlisted 96:11 66:18 **EFIS** 30:22,23 203:16 evaluate 43:14,21 **enrolled** 107:15 211:25 212:6 45:15 84:3,10 134:1 employing 54:21 108:10 112:13 153:5,14 171:5,8,17 electric 41:23 46:17 employment 66:21 enter 24:14 27:9,24 72:9 74:11 95:22.25 evaluating 102:25 194:1 103:10 137:5 172:14 entered 37:21 123:2 evening 239:5 252:18 enabling 41:16 electricity 53:22 entering 170:25 event 36:20 38:6 encourage 75:4 54:12 97:8 44:20,23 46:22 51:3,4 entire 88:10 236:3 encouragement electronically 32:17 58:15,16,20 63:18 entirety 167:4 185:17 75:24 **element** 113:16 encourages 185:20 entitled 163:1 182:14 events 40:6 45:2 51:7 elicited 58:6 183:4 187:23 188:15 end 40:1 43:1 47:24 eventually 159:12 eligibility 68:22 69:7 58:19 62:11 65:21 entrepreneurial evergy 23:7,8 24:19 76:25 78:12 79:7 39:16 eligible 69:11 94:5 33:4 34:15 36:13 85:16 96:24 97:20

EU-2020-0350, VoddexI evergy's..explained

37:15 38:6,12,16 39:9,	80:11 82:15 86:7 90:9,	excluding 98:20,23	expansive 91:4
18 40:8,10 42:3 44:20	18 103:6 105:1,8,25	exclusively 111:22	expect 99:18 114:22
45:22 48:13,21 49:4 50:2 51:13,18,20,23	107:4 111:4,7,20 115:17 118:6 121:1,9	excuse 78:22	178:1
52:15,24 53:13,17,20	123:23 129:16 132:17		expectation 242:19
54:3,17 55:2,6,10,15	134:4 137:5 140:10	excused 24:10 28:4	• expected 28:15 51:6
57:4 59:7,10,16 60:11	143:8 157:14 197:20,	executive 185:15	53:22 62:19 70:7
61:12,15,21 62:9,16, 24 63:1,3,12,15,17,20,	23 198:2 199:7 211:1 217:3 250:7	exhibit 30:5 31:11	167:14
22 64:18 67:17,20		33:8 80:15,16 81:7,14,	expects 94:16
68:2,7,9,14,15,18 69:2	everyone's 135:15	19 82:3,6,8,9,10,11	-
70:21,25 71:13,21	evictions 65:24	83:1 87:17 92:21 93:14 122:12,25 123:9	expedite 69:11
72:19 73:3 74:10,24	evidence 56:14,15	129:15 130:2,3,6	expedited 71:16,17
76:8 77:21 80:6,11 81:21 83:10,13,23	57:15,19 58:2,6 62:25	133:3 134:19,22	101:15,21 108:18
84:3 86:1,2,16,20,21	82:16 123:24 134:20	135:8,11,24 136:8,17,	expedition 69:17
88:2 90:15,21 91:11	136:11,17,21 158:17 162:3 190:1,10 191:3,	19,20,21 138:8 147:12,22 155:14,17	expenditure 63:2
93:18 94:15,16 95:10	21 192:7 196:5 204:10	156:4 165:20 166:14	expenditures 75:25
96:2,4 97:15 98:15 99:24 100:3 103:13,20	220:11 223:18 226:17	168:11 181:9 185:5	-
106:9,10 108:15 110:6	244:9	187:12,22 188:3,15	expense 41:19,20 42:5,25 43:2,8 53:24
112:1 114:1,4 115:21	evidentiary 23:6	189:24 190:2,8,9,10,	59:11 128:18,20,23
118:8 119:19,20,24	57:18 77:19 82:3	17,18,19 191:13 195:4,16,21 196:1,2,4	129:4 149:16 171:5,24
121:5,11 122:1,8	123:16	202:11 203:9 204:2	177:8 186:17 207:8,
123:10,15 126:3,6 127:6 128:8 132:20	exact 106:6 175:10	205:22 207:22 218:25	15,23 246:2
133:7,8,18,24 140:19,	231:3	219:14 220:4,7,9,10	expenses 50:16 53:3
22 141:24 143:20,25	EXAMINATION 80:8	222:25 223:12,14,15,	54:20 55:8 66:5 68:11
144:19 145:11 146:12	110:12 122:3 146:16	17 225:24 226:11,14, 15,16 240:14,16,19,22	70:8 181:20,24 182:3, 5
150:19,20,23,25	155:3 180:24 193:13	241:5,10 242:13 243:4	-
155:1,7,8 156:22 162:2 163:5 167:2	201:25 216:22 218:15 222:15 225:13 242:2	246:13,18,20 247:3	experience 76:9 77:2
168:21 174:19 175:2,		exhibits 30:3,6,10,13,	94:13 97:4 111:23,25 113:12 183:16 198:17
13 177:5 178:11 179:8	examined 246:19	23 31:16,22 32:11,13,	
180:22 185:23 190:3,	examples 90:16,21	14,24 33:11 82:2,12,	experienced 36:21, 22 37:3,5 51:4 129:5,
10 191:14,15 192:6 195:8 196:7 200:1,6	91:2,20,24 101:11	15 123:16,18,21,23	23 178:18
204:17 206:6 207:5,24	249:21	135:3,8,9 155:14,21 157:2,8,9 159:14,20,	
211:11 212:14 213:19,	exceed 43:2 129:9	21 160:1,16 161:19	experiencing 94:8 103:18 141:3
21 220:13 223:19	208:3,5 246:24 247:3, 7	162:2 189:25 190:16,	
226:19 230:22 231:4,8	-	22,24 191:2,15,16	expert 53:4 55:14 158:11
234:22 235:9 237:19 244:13,25 245:15	exceeds 41:20 208:9	192:4,6 203:12,15 204:9 240:3,12 243:9	
246:8,9 251:9	excellent 32:6 140:13	204.9 240.3, 12 243.9 244:2,5,6,8	expertise 141:18
evergy's 36:24 37:1	exception 62:8 74:12		experts 158:13,21
39:13,24 40:18 41:25	160:16 242:19	existing 66:10 69:18 72:20,23 227:21	expiration 128:17
51:19 53:5 54:13,18	exceptions 61:20		• expired 106:12
60:16 61:5,7,15 62:4,	•	exit 126:5	107:15
8,17 63:9,24 64:6,22,	excess 43:4 62:16 202:16	expanding 47:6 69:5	explain 106:13
23 65:2 66:4,5 69:5 71:12 72:15 73:5		expansion 47:20,22	-
74:21 75:3 76:11 79:6	excluded 152:4		explained 46:9

EU-2020-0350, Modex I lexplaining..footnote

r			
explaining 70:7	faces 93:18	FERC 45:21 173:7,11	financials 133:1
explains 38:15 45:22	facing 67:24 74:9	174:3,25 189:10 194:22 212:7,8 214:7	find 23:23 31:25 40:20 44:23 51:2 93:23
exposed 74:21 230:9	fact 33:13 46:3 53:11	field 158:14	214:5,9
exposure 97:2 114:15 230:14	58:1,7,10 73:5 108:10 154:6 240:10	figure 27:22 77:1,4	finding 135:6 176:5
express 69:7	facts 45:15	86:4,25 144:17 173:3, 9,25 174:1 175:8,10	findings 58:1,7,10 65:1
expressed 192:3	failed 189:24	176:13,19 211:1 213:2 215:23	fine 33:20 64:2 89:22
expressly 59:16	fails 67:25 74:14		98:4 120:7 128:4
extend 60:9 77:25	failure 74:19	figures 152:10,18 246:14,19	161:1,17 219:21,22,23 240:2,6
129:2 208:21 249:14 extended 42:19	fair 148:12,18 154:3 229:20 230:2 231:13	file 30:18 32:18 50:12 51:24 168:8 174:19	finish 168:1
177:16 230:10 247:16,	fairly 57:3 152:20	175:1,2 211:5 243:11,	firm 26:11 242:8
19	216:2	17,18	firms 45:20
extending 43:14 84:4 106:18 228:1 extension 60:7,18 62:12 177:25 208:18 228:9 247:13,20 extensive 72:3 243:17 extent 28:20 41:19 46:25 127:6 156:20 171:9 206:9 250:6 external 44:5 83:16 228:8 extraordinary 36:20, 22 37:14,20 38:6,11, 15 40:6,11 44:20,23 45:2,4 50:19 51:3 53:14,15 58:15,17,20 62:14 101:2 149:10 15	Fairness 61:12 fall 52:21 73:6 74:23 114:3 familiar 59:2,6 83:5,6 86:16,17 90:13 103:5 104:5 105:10 124:22 126:13 128:13 130:21 131:11 136:10 141:14 156:2,6 174:17 178:14,15 196:25 210:3,5 212:19 231:2 236:17,21 family 37:12 fast 251:9 faster 99:16 fault 66:20	filed 23:7 31:24 38:4 40:14,18 41:5 43:11 48:11,15 55:1,21 61:9 62:2 71:14 80:14 81:1 83:10 122:11 123:12 137:2 174:6,15 177:19 198:1 203:16,21 204:8 211:8 212:2,9 214:11 215:12 236:24 242:12 243:15 files 31:4 50:17 filing 30:23 81:24 167:18 174:13 175:6 182:4,10,23 215:10, 13,15,17 217:3 filings 25:22 30:21 173:5,16 174:2,9,12, 18,21,22 211:2,8,23 216:6	Fischer 24:20,23 25:1,4 34:17 35:1 36:14,16,17 48:2 49:1, 5,23 51:5 58:12 76:12, 14,20 86:5 116:19 117:9 118:6 119:21 252:19,22 fit 67:10 five-year 179:13 fix 195:19 fixed 118:2 143:15,19 144:7,16,23 163:12,14 floor 78:7 120:3 139:24 172:21 213:17 224:15 236:12 focus 87:12
63:18 101:3 148:10,15	February 207:2		focused 47:15
extreme 69:6,13	federal 65:21 68:22 127:7 128:8 189:10	final 107:14 130:1 245:2	fold 96:25
extremely 108:5 112:18	feed 28:12	finally 53:2 57:10	folks 89:5 97:8 100:23
eye 115:19	feedback 43:25	69:20 123:15 184:14 186:13 187:11	107:11 112:9,23 120:12 177:8 178:11
F	feel 28:13 29:18 32:23 56:6 94:6	financial 40:12,17 45:24 46:25 49:5 54:4 61:23 62:18 63:7,19,	follow 33:23 117:9 172:24 176:4 183:18 215:20
FAC 211:8,20,21 216:6 face 191:23 200:10	fees 38:21 42:1,6 44:4 47:6 69:22 73:11 83:15,24 202:18 228:21,25	61.23 62:18 63.7,19, 25 64:20,24 97:7 114:13 174:25 189:4 197:23 198:2 205:3,9, 18	food 87:10 91:19 110:21 footnote 147:12 179:20 180:25 181:1,

EU-2020-0350, Vol.Index: footnotes..guess

З	5	

footnotes 165:13

force 102:13 foregone 54:20

182:1,5,19,25

foresight 121:7

forget 40:5

forgive 138:20

forgiven 107:7

forgiveness 73:10, 20 74:16 107:11 108:11 150:3

form 31:24 50:15 112:2 173:8,11 212:7, 8 214:7

forms 198:18

forward 56:10 76:18 83:8 88:19,22 89:3,5 151:9 227:11 229:16

forward-thinking 56:9

found 49:8 83:9 112:13.22 113:18 158:5 176:5 186:15 246:20

four-month 62:5 102:19 125:6,9 141:8, 25 142:7,11 143:4 150:9,22 151:2 170:21 185:24

fourth 54:8 55:11 130:11

frame 51:9 128:24

framework 67:10,18 69:2,3 167:9,12,16 168:7

framing 192:9

Frank 250:14

frankly 59:18 101:4

free 28:13 29:18 109:4

friendly 135:20 friends 37:12 front 39:10 85:20 87:13 93:12 124:16 130:3,7 133:4 138:9 147:23 152:19 162:23 164:11 165:20 227:5 248:23

frontline 91:6 110:20

froze 97:19

frozen 167:24,25

full 44:4 46:25 133:16 148:5 181:17 202:1 218:17 222:16 225:14 230:23

fully 38:13 55:13

function 29:1 132:24 197:7,15

Fund's 194:8

funded 47:10

funding 73:19 250:24

funds 69:12,16,18 72:22,23,25 73:2 74:19

future 49:12 51:17,22 67:9 126:8 153:5 187:3 249:6

G

GAAP 174:25

gamble 62:20

gambling 62:13,21 63:20 64:5,8 70:8

Garrett 26:11

gas 59:21 72:10 74:2 172:11,14

gate 100:14

gave 96:23 107:17 171:15

GE-2020-002 188:1

general 28:10 29:13 44:12 45:1,9,12,25 46:1,6,8,10 51:19 67:7 99:8 100:9 119:5 137:18,23 138:5 139:6 148:2,10,13,16,21 159:4 173:21,22 178:24 188:25 189:8 205:25 208:4 228:13 231:9 247:7

generally 29:20 97:6 133:17 167:11 181:15 229:21 233:13

Geoff 63:6 64:25 75:20 90:15

give 27:21 28:18 35:10 78:21 91:25 109:10 116:12 169:3, 11 182:17 222:1 232:4 249:10

giving 78:4 90:25 191:24

glean 145:1

global 51:2

goal 114:8,9,15,17

goals 95:10,13 96:1,4 112:7

God 58:21

good 23:3 25:12,19 26:1,25 35:23 55:25 57:2,4 61:3 70:16 77:5 82:22,23,24 85:17,18, 19 92:13 93:10 103:2 105:3,4 110:11 124:14,15 132:15,16 135:2 144:13 150:4 154:17 162:19,20 164:8,9 193:14 196:23,24 210:1,2 213:24 214:1 217:2,6 218:5,16 226:24,25 231:18 233:7,8

Google 117:2

govern 67:9

governing 54:7

13 217:8

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

148:9,15 234:22 grants 39:9,12 72:25

87:4,11 110:20 151:20 152:11 170:18

government 40:2

governor 185:15

graciously 29:16

grant 87:8 90:17

granted 54:17 188:23

granting 50:21 76:6

111:13 118:21

230:10

gracious 90:6

great 32:10 98:9 121:19 128:2 154:17 160:9 179:7 192:17 225:4

greater 94:11 149:7 171:11 247:4

green 46:18

Greg 34:2,6 56:16 60:21 239:21 241:24 242:4

group 25:24 34:4 41:3 55:5 57:1 84:25 103:21 109:14 124:10 137:4 145:24 162:16 180:9 196:16,25 197:3 199:7 200:9 209:13 214:18 220:24 224:2 232:19,22

groups 101:15 102:9

grow 91:21 99:7,10, 12.16

growing 99:18

grown 94:10

guarantee 51:14 217:10

guard 154:8

guess 105:5,7 119:13 172:8 175:16 210:11,

EU-2020-0350, Vol. IIndex: guidance..hour

guidance 78:4	hand 43:6 79:25	225:2 226:13 233:9,22	highly 152:6
guys 118:2 120:20	121:20 154:21 175:12 192:23 201:18 218:8	251:15	highs 65:19
	222:7 225:5 241:18	heard 27:18 37:9 78:9	hiring 101:16
нн	handful 38:25	82:13 173:1 192:8 216:15 251:19	Hispanic 91:22
Hack 24:22 25:1 22:2	handle 33:19 116:5	hearing 23:6 24:6	history 194:2
Hack 24:22 25:1 33:3, 4,6,21 34:17,18,25	handling 239:22	28:6,17 29:11,23	hit 67:5 96:19 99:4
76:11,21 78:11 79:7,8,	-	57:19 58:6 63:1 64:15	
10,16,18 80:3,4,8 82:2	hands 175:15	65:6,16 82:8 109:6 115:12 116:21 119:24	hold 193:21
87:21,24 88:9,13,20 89:11,15,25 110:8,9,	happen 95:1	120:15 123:21 136:18	holding 94:24 114:20
11,12 114:23 121:11,	happened 32:4,7	142:23 143:16 144:19	holistic 102:15
16,24 122:3 123:15 136:1,4 143:24,25	57:16 63:16 106:9,12 198:6,8	145:23 146:21 167:19 190:8,13 196:2 204:4,	Holsman 24:2,3
144:2,20 145:3		19 220:8 223:15	35:21,22,24 36:2,6
146:13,16 153:21,23	happening 62:18	hearings 77:18	home 36:23 37:2 40:3
154:2,7 156:1 161:7 204:19 219:15 220:14,	happy 47:23 60:21 75:7 120:13	hearsay 157:17	41:17
16,19 223:21 226:20,		158:11,14,23 159:2,3,	Hometown 39:13
23 227:23 231:18	hard 106:5 185:25 215:22 232:3	13 191:18,22 192:4,13	90:18,24 111:4,7
237:20	hardest 67:5	heart 67:19	honestly 84:9 112:5
half 239:9 252:13	hardships 74:18	Heinz 26:11	Honor 26:1 52:13
Hall 25:19,23 30:7,8,	-	held 58:16 160:10	57:2 85:1,6 89:11 109:17 110:8 115:11
14,25 31:14,19 32:3,7 61:3 65:10 77:16 78:4	harm 54:4	helpful 88:23 105:6	121:24 124:3,11
85:13,14 87:15,21,25	harms 68:8	108:5 143:21 175:24	127:12,13,14,25
88:5,7,12,24 89:19	hate 235:5 238:23	240:24	132:2,7 134:18 146:2 157:1 158:10,18
90:3,5 92:6,15,20 93:3 97:25 109:25 110:1	haywire 117:7	helping 88:14	160:13 164:1 180:12
132:13,14 134:18,24	He'll 60:21	helps 220:1	183:24 193:4 199:10 200:11,21 201:3 203:8
135:2,12,22 136:3,11,	head 176:21 231:3	Henry 27:10,11,15,18	209:18 214:19,21,25
13,14,23,25 137:1 139:16 146:5,7 147:20	238:21	28:2 52:13,14 55:22	216:17 219:13 221:2
157:11,20,24,25	headcount 146:25	77:9 85:6 109:20 115:5,7,10 127:14	223:11 224:3,5 226:10 232:23,25 233:2
158:4,6,18 159:10,20	147:3,8,15	132:7 135:19 136:7	237:25 238:2,4,9
160:3,8,19 161:21 162:4 164:6,7 165:1,3,	headset 195:18	146:2 164:1 180:12	239:6,25 241:16,23
25 166:2,17,20 167:24	health 67:6 185:12	188:7 189:19,20 192:23,24 193:13	243:4,24 244:10 248:10 251:11,17
168:2 169:13 180:17 181:8 182:8 183:22,25	hear 26:16 27:24,25	195:16,21,23,24	252:3
184:10 185:6 186:14	33:3 34:22 35:7 52:12	200:21 209:17 214:21,	Honorable 143:24
188:2,8,10,12 196:21,	55:23 56:23 61:1 65:11 75:11 76:8,21	24 221:2 224:5 232:25 238:2 239:15 248:10	hope 23:17 112:5
22 198:20 200:15 209:23,24,25 210:15	78:10 79:8 110:9	251:17	hopes 95:11 96:2
209.23,24,25 210.15 215:4,5,18 221:6	120:4,16 126:11	high 73:23,24 172:18	-
224:11 233:5,6 235:4,	127:10,12,18,24 128:1,3,4 143:25	185:19	hoping 34:22 92:24 105:23 121:2 238:23
8,17,20 236:6 238:7 239:13 248:14 251:22	144:1 154:15 173:1	higher 62:15 70:7	
	184:9 192:20 201:15	99:6 100:9 149:13	host 91:7
Hall's 110:18 158:9 159:17	219:4 221:23 223:13	167:14 172:14	hour 77:20 239:9

EU-2020-0350, Volndex: hours..instability

hours 29:13 48:3 impacts 40:12.17 **includes** 43:10 66:16 indicating 88:7 109:21 110:10 199:24 114:7 188:6 45:24 46:25 49:5 87:5, 71:11 74:4 130:25 14 91:7 102:9 171:5, 206:5 200:8 house 62:21 23 174:23 179:2 205:3 indications 120:16 including 37:1,4,18, housing 26:15,19,23 implement 61:24 24 38:25 39:6 41:14 224:16 38:3 43:18 47:12,13 70:25 53:10 60:2 68:7,9 69:1 65:11,15 66:19,21 individual 72:13 73:19,22 144:14 implemented 41:22 167:6,17 168:7 87:10 92:9 110:2,22 170:20 182:10 230:4 152:5 153:10 249:6 118:23 119:12 139:19 individuals 79:13 146:8 169:16 180:18 income 45:3,5 46:15, importance 56:5 158:20 198:23 200:17 210:17 21 53:11 69:11 216:15 221:8 224:12 important 51:10 126:17,20 127:2 industrial 26:6.12 173:4,10 174:1 175:7 227:21,25 236:8 238:8 34:3 41:2 53:23 55:4, 55:18 57:11 59:15 248:15 250:4 251:20 211:1,2 212:3 214:5,9 149:19 171:13,15,18 23 84:20 109:9 124:4 215:24 250:16 145:23 162:14 180:5 huge 74:22 importantly 37:9 196:13 209:9 213:22 inconvenience 46:11 hundred 150:1 220:20 223:23 232:17 135:13 152:23,24 177:5 improved 97:4 237:21 244:1 inconvenienced hundreds 114:10 industry 38:16 99:24 improvement 134:15 62:23 100:3 103:3 225:21 in-person 37:8 **Incorporated** 23:8 I infections 65:18 **in-state** 164:17 increase 59:11 69:13 information 24:23 111:20 198:6 **inability** 64:6 68:9 I-V-E-S 155:5 25:7,21 32:5 43:11 inaccurate 133:25 increased 60:13 49:11 50:1 70:16 ice 46:19 51:9 58:21 65:23 69:16 141:6 102:22 122:23 131:22 59:24 inappropriate 66:9 250:17,23 145:9,11 150:12 idea 77:5 159:25 173:17 175:17 incentive 39:1 increases 168:24 182:24 197:25 203:4 149:10.14.23.24 identical 59:13 169:1 212:11,12 214:4 150:22 170:21 identification 195:4 increasing 68:4 219:10 223:8 226:7 **incentives** 140:19,22 229:24 230:8,20 identified 29:21 33:9, incremental 41:9,12 149:2 150:3 152:10 10.18 125:22 136:10 informational 182:4. 44:7 48:22 59:8 61:13 include 33:1 41:12,16 176:8 212:21 213:14 62:10 168:25 169:2,10 10 49:6,19 74:14 98:23 205:9 213:12 identify 27:18,23 31:9 informed 239:3 107:5 118:17 125:6,8, 81:6,9 88:1 122:25 incurred 51:8 53:21, 9 143:18 151:4 167:7 initial 71:7 106:17 23 59:8 70:22 141:25 168:24 169:1 174:2 identifying 91:17 228:16 151:13 175:13 182:19 182:7 187:8 Illinois 73:16 205:10 206:6 initially 61:12 96:7 included 25:21 30:11 207:5 239:1 245:15 imagine 86:13 indebted 73:16 32:6 38:21 39:8,12 initiated 96:10 142:6 40:23 41:20 49:20,22 immediately 100:16 **indefinite** 205:11,19 50:7 54:14 60:15,17 101:13 102:8 109:6 249:19 **initiatives** 179:6.14 64:22 87:8 119:13 **impact** 39:5 54:5 indefinitely 249:14, input 71:20 128:22 129:6,9 130:15 63:3,7 91:19 149:13 16,17,18 131:17 139:12 142:14, insecurity 87:10 172:16 177:8,10 21 161:3 163:20 166:8 independent 45:20 110:21,22 205:18 173:8 183:1 190:8 Indiana 60:2 194:21 insist 70:21 202:18 208:10 213:11 impacted 177:23 199:17 235:11 237:9,11 instability 64:24 impacting 66:18 244:22

EU-2020-0350, Vol. Index: instance...Judge

instance 227:19	investor-owned	154:11 157:12,22	14,16,19,22 209:8,12,
232:11	38:25	158:6,19 184:9 189:15	15,19,22 210:16,20,24
Institute 103:10	investors 54:18	191:14	213:16,22 214:17,20,
			22 215:2,19 216:14,18
instruction 45:1,3,9,	invite 65:5	J	217:18,24 218:7,10
13,25 46:1,6,8,10	invited 197:6		219:23 220:3,6,12,17,
137:18,23 138:6		Jacobs 23:2,11,19,23	20,23 221:1,3,5,7,10,
139:6,9 148:2,11,13,	involved 236:2,4	24:25 25:6,10,16,23	18,22 222:2,4,6,9
16,21 159:5 173:22 188:25 189:8	involves 60:7	26:3,13,17,21 27:2,6,	223:13,19,23 224:1,4, 6,9,12,14,24 225:4,7
	ironic 135:16	8,13,21 28:3 30:12,20	226:13,18,22 227:15
instructions 127:17	ITOTIC 155.10	31:6,17,20 32:4,8	231:21,25 232:6,9,14,
insulate 63:25	issuance 57:9	33:5,13,22 34:9,12,21	21,24 233:1,3 235:7
	issue 30:15 36:19	35:3,23 36:3,7 48:1	236:7,11,15 237:18,
insurance 65:21	42:9 45:17 48:19	52:5 55:22 56:21	21,24 238:1,3,5,8,10,
intend 161:5	51:11 52:19 53:17	60:25 65:10 75:10	13,17 239:1,8,17
	56:6 57:22,25 58:7,9	76:7,19,22 78:2 79:3,	240:8 241:1,12,14,17,
intended 71:2	59:18 73:25 98:2	9,12,23 80:2 82:5,17	21 243:7,14,20,25
intention 125:18	107:3 108:4 114:15	84:19,24 85:2,7,10	244:12,16 248:3,6,9,
interact 95:16	118:17 119:6,15,20,22	87:20 88:4,17,25 92:8, 14,24 93:4 97:23	11,13,15,18 251:6,12,
	120:23 137:21 161:14	104:14 105:19,22	14,18,24 252:4,10
interested 112:15,16	162:9 219:18	108:25 109:3,13,19,24	James 24:23
interestingly 113:19	issued 40:4 187:12	110:2,6,10 115:1,15,	Jamie 25:13 48:9
174:11	icours, 20:0 20:10	23 116:23 117:11,13	82:24
interests 48:18 56:7	issues 30:9 36:19 37:23 47:15 55:13	118:12 119:23 121:13,	-
interests 46.18 50.7	56:10 91:18 104:7	18,22 123:18,25	Jana 23:11
internally 102:13	107:2 114:13 120:5	124:4,9 126:22	January 40:19
interpretation	144:14 156:18	127:15,21 128:1	Jason 24:2
137:18	Ham 50:40 54:0	132:5,8,11 134:21	Jason 24.2
internated (10.0	item 53:19 54:2 148:15 182:22	135:1,6,15,21 136:5, 16,23 139:18,22	Jim 36:17
interpreted 149:3		140:3,9,14,16,17	job 57:4 221:16
interrupt 82:9 109:4	items 45:2,4 47:8	143:7,24 144:1,12,24	-
interrupting 93:5	124:22 130:25 131:17,	145:4,17,22 146:1,3,5,	jobs 62:24
177:12	20 145:13 148:10	8,11,15 149:1 150:8	join 23:17 70:5
	163:19 179:16,17 213:6 229:20	153:23 154:3,10,16,	joined 41:4 119:1
interruption 97:25 101:20 188:9		20,23 157:4,18,23	-
	lves 40:24 45:17,22	158:1,5,8,24 159:8,23	joining 117:21,25
interruptions 28:23	105:11,16 108:19	160:7,9,14,20,24	joint 44:15
intervention 71:19	118:10 121:14 140:5,9	161:9,22 162:4,13,16	-
	141:5,21,23 148:7 154:4,6,18,20,25	163:24 164:2,4 169:15,19,25 172:21,	Joseph 92:3
introduce 193:5	154.4,6,16,20,25	25 179:24 180:5,8,11,	Judge 23:2,12,18,19,
249:5	158:11 161:18 162:11	13,15,18,21 184:8	23 24:20,25 25:6,10,
introducing 24:15	164:8,19 165:4 166:13	189:14,21 190:2,7,12,	12,16,19,23 26:3,13,
investment 98:15	167:19,24 168:3,11	15,21 191:4,9 192:14,	17,21,25 27:2,6,7,8,
103:7,14,16,21,22,24	169:22 170:4 172:24	18 193:1,5,9 195:18,	13,21 28:3 30:7,8,12,
132:18,21,23 134:2,16	173:5 180:22,25 183:5	23 196:6,10,13,16,18,	20 31:6,14,17,20 32:4, 8 33:3,5,13,22 34:9,
179:15	184:14 188:14 191:24	20 198:22 199:1,5,22	12,21 35:2,3,21,23
investments 67:20	211:15	200:7,12,16,19,22	36:3,7,16 41:8 44:13
68:1 133:20 134:9	lves' 148:19 153:25	201:4,10,13,16,21	48:1,8 52:5 55:22
00.1 100.20 10 1 .0		203:11,19,24 204:11,	
	I	I	I

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II Index: July..levels

56:21 60:25 65:10,13	220:3,5,6,12,17,20,23	kind 29:4 33:15 94:23	largest 45:20 73:14
75:10,12 76:7,19,22	221:1,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,	102:11 104:6 107:5	74:2 194:5
77:16 78:2 79:3,9,12,	18,20,22 222:2,4,6,9	117:5,19 126:19 175:8	
23 80:2 82:5,17,20	223:13,19,23,25	178:22 186:16 227:1	Lastly 51:10
84:19,24 85:2,7,9,10	224:1,4,6,8,9,12,13,	231:11,12 237:6	lasts 77:20
87:15,20 88:4,8,17,24,	14,22,24 225:4,7	kinds 119:11	late 33:10 38:22 41:25
25 92:8,10,14,24 93:4	226:13,18,20,22		42:6 44:4 69:22 73:11
97:23,25 104:13,14,22	227:15 231:21,25	Kliethermes 52:1	83:14 115:2 153:24
105:19,22 108:25	232:6,9,14,21,24	221:21 222:2,4,5,7,12,	202:18 228:21
109:2,3,13,19,23,24	233:1,3,5 235:6,7	16,18,23 223:12	
110:1,2,5,6,10 114:24	236:7,11,15 237:18,	224:16,18	launch 108:22
115:1,15,23 116:19,23	21,24 238:1,3,5,8,10,	Kliethermes' 223:14	law 23:12 26:11 50:20
117:11,13 118:12	12,13,17 239:1,8,13,		57:7,12 58:11 67:11
119:21,23 121:13,18, 22 123:15,18,25	17 240:8,17 241:1,12,	Klote 121:10,12,13,	
124:4,9 126:22	14,17,21 243:7,14,20, 25 244:12,14,16	16,19,20,25 122:5,6,	lawyer 184:2 193:25
127:15,21 128:1	248:2,3,5,6,9,11,12,	10 123:17 124:1,14 128:2 132:3,6,15,17	lawyers 120:8,13
132:5,8,10,11,13	13,15,16,18 251:6,12,	133:2,6 137:2,13	lead 38:23 46:18
134:21,24 135:1,2,4,6,	13,14,15,18,23,24	138:7 139:23,25 140:7	74:22 75:1 98:15
15,21,24 136:5,16,23	252:4,10,19,22	141:14 145:5 146:12,	117:9
139:18,20,22 140:1,3,		17 148:12 149:2,19	
9,14,16,17 143:7	July 106:7,11,22	154:4 162:21 173:15	leader 38:16 99:24
144:1,12,24 145:4,17,	107:10 114:1 177:4		100:4 103:13,20
21,22 146:1,3,4,5,8,	jump 165:11	Klote's 123:19 154:2	leading 70:22 103:3
10,11,13,15 148:25	jurisdictional 173:16	knocked 59:24	leave 71:18 74:21
149:2 150:8 153:22,23	174:5,22	knowledge 81:4	116:19,21
154:3,10,14,16,20,23	11 1.0,22	103:8 122:23 129:20	110.19,21
157:4,11,18,21,23		135:9 203:5 219:11	leaves 85:10 110:3
158:1,5,8,24 159:8,20, 23 160:7,9,14,15,20,	К	223:9 226:8 243:2	160:1
24 161:6,9,22 162:4,		Krietin 07.40 50.44	led 73:7 191:20
12,13,16 163:24	K-L-I-E-T-H-E-R-M-	Kristin 27:10 52:14	
164:2,3,4 169:15,19,	E-S 222:18		left 79:5 117:15
25 172:21,25 179:24	Kansas 25:5,8 72:24	L	Legacy 179:7
180:4,5,7,8,11,13,14,	86:9,21 89:25 91:21		legal 28:8 183:22
15,18,20,21,23 184:8	104:1 118:1 151:18,23	label 241:5	184:1
189:13,14,21 190:2,7,	175:3 179:8 212:10	labeled 121:14	low with 15 47 477 04
12,15,17,21 191:1,4,9	234:21	159:25	length 45:17 177:21
192:8,14,18 193:1,5,9 195:18,23 196:6,9,10,	Kansas' 234:22	labels 241:10	lengthy 167:3
12,13,16,18,19,20,21	KC 232:18	labor 41:17	letting 24:16 35:24
198:22,25 199:1,5,22	keeping 97:10 107:12		252:9
200:7,12,16,18,19,22 201:4,8,10,13,16,21	Kenney 23:14	lack 74:25 146:22	level 43:4 46:15,20,21
203:11,19,24 204:11,	-	lane 69:7	68:23 94:7 96:14
14,16,18,19,22 209:7,	key 134:10	language 160:4,11,	103:14,15,21 108:11 113:8,10 114:2,18
8,11,12,15,19,21,22	kilobytes 30:19	25 161:14,25	141:10 149:7 170:24
210:16,20,24 213:16,	Kim 201:8 202:9	largely 44:9 59:1	185:19 229:12 234:1
21,22 214:3,17,20,22			
215:1,2,19 216:14,18,	Kimberly 51:25	larger 30:15,18 31:4	levels 40:1 41:20
20 217:18,21,24	201:22 202:3	119:15 199:11	103:7 107:20 111:11
218:6,7,10 219:22,23			113:2 114:17 149:20,

EU-2020-0350, VoddexI liabilities..marked

21	130:10 206:7 213:8	loved 62:24	76:10 78:10 88:21
liabilities 159:6 173:24	lists 41:9 42:14 184:24	low 66:18 250:16	97:3 102:17 108:12 115:13 121:5 124:20
liability 45:8,9 62:18	literally 158:19	lower 54:1 149:8 167:14 172:14	128:7 129:22 130:18 142:19 143:10,22
189:7 213:6	live 205:2	lunch 116:13,21	144:18 145:2 146:23 161:10 162:6 171:17
life 96:17 114:12,13	lived 38:8	Lutz 90:10 133:12	174:11 182:3 203:20
lifetimes 51:4	lives 100:19		204:7 225:2 239:7 241:4 242:16,21
lifted 96:16 106:24 185:22	living 65:18	M	243:12,19
lifting 106:21	load 55:12 144:4	M-E-Y-E-R 242:4	makes 53:13 161:4
light 63:2 229:12	local 39:14 73:1	M-U-R-R-A-Y 218:18	171:24 241:3 242:20
limit 69:25 73:11	localized 95:3	made 35:10 39:2 47:4	making 24:11 64:3 95:2 118:1 148:22
limited 45:25 51:9	lockdown 99:14,19	49:19 53:20 54:3 81:12 82:16 95:18	217:5 232:3
77:13,17 79:20 94:23	103:2 106:19 114:21	102:2 113:1 116:5	manage 141:2 149:15,20
96:22 157:11 183:10, 20	logistically 116:20 252:19	117:17 118:6,23 120:9 123:3,4,24 136:22	
limiting 70:4	long 40:4 46:24 76:9,	141:15 142:15 152:11	management 63:5 68:20 72:10 74:5,15,
limits 50:21	16 77:3 84:11 161:5	161:20 162:3,5 170:15,25 173:6,12,16	20 94:15 103:15 107:4
lined 250:21	171:19,24 198:13,15 239:10 252:11	174:3,9 178:11 183:14	113:15 183:11,21
lines 39:10 81:16		184:20 190:11 191:3	Manager 64:21 202:8 222:22
107:8 110:25 111:3	long-term 74:4	192:7 196:5 204:10 220:11 223:18 226:17	managing 141:13
138:21,22 157:12	longer 34:9 68:23 107:21 144:21 156:21	236:18 237:5 244:9	manner 68:10 167:1
158:7 160:17 161:20 165:7 191:17 232:19	189:22 245:11	magnitude 75:16	168:21 169:7
linger 161:5	looked 250:19	95:15 104:2	March 41:11 42:18
Linhares 26:16,18	lose 97:8	Maida 23:25	43:17 48:23 60:10
65:13,14 75:11 92:10,	loss 144:6	mailing 24:13	62:9,11 63:21 64:4 83:18 84:1 94:8 98:19
12 93:5,7,8 98:4,8 104:4,12 110:3,5	losses 144:4,21	main 41:6 247:17	106:4 128:25 171:6
112:25 118:25 139:20	lost 42:12 49:8 52:18,	maintain 58:4 114:16	176:10,11 206:19,20, 22,23 228:3,9,15
146:10 169:17 180:19 198:24 200:18 210:18	19,25 53:6,10,25 54:4, 10,23 55:11,15 56:6	maintained 97:4	229:3 233:18 245:8,9
216:17 221:9 236:9	59:17,20,21,23,24	maintaining 95:21, 23	246:3
238:9 248:16,19 251:4,23	60:3 61:14 62:23,24 70:4 97:18 138:20	_	mark 240:4
link 159:5 252:20	143:12,14,15,19	maintenance 41:13 178:13,17,22	Marke 47:19 63:6 64:25 75:20 76:3
liquidity 134:14	144:6,16,23 163:1,5, 11,15 171:13 172:16	major 60:5	90:15 108:4 141:16
list 30:5 33:15 36:19	195:8 197:18 199:12	majority 112:16	178:10 179:11 236:20 237:8
88:10,11,13,15,16,20	lot 70:16 96:11 171:24	145:13 177:6	marked 80:15 81:7
91:2,9 92:25 103:11	237:8 243:16,18	make 29:17 30:21	122:11,12 155:16
116:3 182:18 194:19	lots 135:18	34:22 35:16 51:21	156:4 181:3,9 187:22
listed 35:1 49:16 52:19 104:8 126:2		56:23 66:25 67:20,25 68:11 69:8 73:8 75:8	190:16 195:4 196:1 202:10 204:7 218:25

EU-2020-0350, VolIndex: marking..modeling

		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
222:25 225:24 242:13 244:3 250:2 marking 32:16 240:4	mechanics 44:9 mechanism 60:7 62:13 169:3 171:7	Michigan 73:20,21 165:14 166:15,18,19, 21 167:5 168:8 181:8, 18 182:12,20 184:15	
marks 32:14	mechanisms 159:7	190:18	
Maryland 194:21 match 107:5	MEEIA 250:7,8,13 251:2	mid 114:1 152:24 177:4	
matching 47:7 61:24 69:1	meet 61:5,7 64:6 75:16 148:8	middle 102:5 117:5 182:13 183:6 184:18	
material 63:7	meeting 29:15 197:16 252:23	Midwest 25:24 34:3 41:3 55:4 56:25 73:7,8	
materiality 46:8,12 63:11,20 173:2,20	member 102:21	84:25 109:14 124:9 137:3 145:24 162:16	
materials 31:23	Memorandum 187:19,23,24 188:16,	180:8 196:16 200:9 209:12 214:18 220:23	
math 176:21	17,21 190:4	224:1 232:21 237:24	
matter 37:16 48:10,12 50:5 51:25 52:15 157:17 160:5 194:12 228:13 242:10	memory 65:17 233:24 mention 228:11	Midwestern 103:6 MIEC 37:19 40:25 44:16 48:14 56:2,5 77:7 240:4,18 241:25	
matters 35:19 187:7	mentioned 41:5,24 44:22 45:9 60:20 86:5	244:8 247:21	
maximize 140:19 149:10	87:1 90:23 101:12 115:8 174:1 178:9 198:10 214:8 216:12 228:20 243:8	MIEC's 240:21 mike 219:24	
Mckinsey 96:11 102:8		million 39:7,19 72:22 73:15,19,21 86:4	
meaning 91:13 94:6 249:19 250:7	merger 126:6 147:10 179:7,8,16	98:21,22 99:1,6 100:23 150:2 151:17	
means 34:23 120:24 140:22 154:5 160:11	message 30:3 36:8 78:11 135:6,7 136:6	170:16,18 176:9,22 251:1	
meant 154:4	met 46:2 64:19 66:10 74:25	millions 65:22 mind 192:10 202:25	
meantime 78:23	meters 101:22	207:17 219:6 223:5	
measure 129:8 135:2 170:10 227:1	method 133:3	226:4 minimal 33:18	
measures 101:3	Metro 23:7 24:19 42:3		
104:9 183:15 212:14,	122:8 129:25 130:10 133:8,18 150:20,23	minimize 66:5 71:1,2	
16 230:21,24	155:7 174:8 175:2,3,4,	Minnesota 73:14	
MECG 26:2 37:19 40:25 44:16 48:14	10 176:19,20 207:24 246:9	minor 243:8,19	
57:3 58:8,19 59:15,19	Meyer 34:2,6 56:16	minority 73:2	
60:2,20 241:25 243:21 244:8 247:21	60:21 239:14,21 241:18,24 242:4	minute 136:3 153:1 249:10	
MECG's 60:21 137:14 138:25 139:10	243:21 244:13,19 248:20 251:10 252:6	minutes 77:15 78:21 89:6 116:1,6	
	Meyer's 243:5	mismarked 240:3	

misplaced 46:10 missed 143:22 161:8 166:11 195:19 **Missouri** 23:5,8 24:9, 10 25:13 26:6,12,20, 22,24 27:1 28:4 34:2 41:2 42:3 45:12 50:14, 20 54:8,11 55:3,4,23 57:12 58:13 59:21 61:19 65:18 66:1,2,25 67:11 72:24 73:3 74:12 75:11,13 76:4 77:11 84:20 85:7 86:9, 23,24 103:25 109:9,21 113:13 114:1 124:4 129:25 130:10 132:9 133:8,18 145:22 146:3 150:18,20,23,25 151:19,23 162:13 164:2 174:7,8 175:2,3, 10 176:6,17,20 180:5, 13 183:17 184:6 192:11 196:13.18 200:12 202:7 207:24 209:9,19 212:10,12 213:22 214:23 220:20 221:3 222:21 223:23 224:7 231:22 232:17 233:1 237:21 238:3 244:1 246:9 248:11 251:18,19 Missouri's 74:2

Missouri-american 24:9 37:25 47:2 57:16 59:4,14 60:6,8,9 61:19 105:2,9 170:6,12 172:5 230:25

misspoke 206:24

mistake 197:12

mitigate 67:24 68:2,8 96:15 97:1,6 98:13

mitigating 97:13,21 98:12 113:2

mitigation 101:9

model 102:9

modeling 132:25

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC 573.999.2662 WWW.TIGERCR.COM

EU-2020-0350, Vol.Index: modified..notice

modified 40:13 61:15 moment 31:14 37:17 75:17 102:2 161:12 moments 65:17 money 91:14 97:13, 15 107:6 month 99:8 142:12 172:1 183:2 207:1 245:11 monthly 129:25 130:10 174:12 months 37:3 51:7 65:22 72:12 74:10 94:18 99:17 101:1 128:22 142:13 171:12, 21 172:13,14 206:21 234:5 245:9 moratorium 38:19,21 69:21 96:7,16 98:19 99:3 100:16,18 101:12,24 102:4 105:24 106:4,10,11, 22,23 107:9 111:24 113:19,23 114:1,9 more-so moring 23:3 24:1,4, 5,12,15 25:3,12,19 26:1,25 29:14,18 34:16,19,20,23 55:25 56:24 57:2 58:25 61:3 82:22,23 85:17,18 92:13 93:9,10 105:3 117:18 134:23 135:7 190:3 252:16,25 mouth 231:6 moved 53:16	moving 115:20 165:8 179:10 mud 144:11 multiple 90:16 100:7 106:18 133:15 144:25 municipal 102:22 municipality 194:6 Murray 51:25 64:19 217:22 218:6,8,12,16, 18,19,23 219:14,16 220:12,21 221:11,16, 18 Murray's 220:8 mute 28:24 44:1 76:20 78:15 116:25 127:14 169:20 232:19 muted 26:8 27:22 33:6 78:13 84:22 124:6 Myers 25:12,13,16 48:8,9 52:5,11 77:13 82:20,21,24 84:17 115:22 124:3 145:21 162:12 180:4 196:12 200:7 201:6,8,11,16, 19,25 203:8,15,23 204:11,12,15 216:18, 20,22 217:16,20,21 218:1,5,15 219:13,15, 21 220:3,5 221:20,25 222:10,11,15 223:11 224:22 225:8,9,13 226:10 238:10,12 248:5,8 251:13 N NACA NACA NACA	national 26:14,19,23 38:3 43:18 65:11,15 92:9 110:2 118:23 110:2 118:23 139:19 146:8 140:18 197:4,13 198:23 200:17 216:15 221:7 227:21,25 236:8 248:15 250:4 250:4 251:20 nature 37:20 53:14 215:10 necessarily 54:16 63:8 240:12 neceded 25:7 68:11 89:1,10 89:1,10 239:2 20:23,25 negotiations 56:5 230:23,25 net 39:25 173:4 176:7 208:23,42 247:6,7 netted 42:15 19 127:5 19 127:5 19 127:5 19 127:5 19 127:5 19 127:5 19 127:5 10 238:21 <td>non-jurisdictional 174:23 non-payment 67:23 non-unanimous 37:21 40:13 44:14 44:12 51:12 55:5 56:6 83:2,7,13,23 84:2:3 147:13 15:19 15:3:4,14 156:3,14,16,21,23 163:8,20 16:2:3 205:23 20181:2 205:23 20162:2 202:2 20162:3 204:2 22:3 245:23 20:17</td>	non-jurisdictional 174:23 non-payment 67:23 non-unanimous 37:21 40:13 44:14 44:12 51:12 55:5 56:6 83:2,7,13,23 84:2:3 147:13 15:19 15:3:4,14 156:3,14,16,21,23 163:8,20 16:2:3 205:23 20181:2 205:23 20162:2 202:2 20162:3 204:2 22:3 245:23 20:17
	NACA 197:10,12,15	nightmare 117:6	note 24:8 35:5 51:10
	narrative 182:25	-	52:6 72:23 157:4
	NARUC 197:1,6,11	noise 118:2 127:22	noted 49:23 82:7
movements 170:15	Natelle 50:4 52:1	non-covid 147:15	notes 66:24 183:9
moves 112:23	70:15 210:13 224:23 225:10,16,22	non-essential 37:5	notice 52:8,10 71:15 73:23 120:22

EU-2020-0350, Vol. IIndex: noticed..order

	E0 2020 055	•	· IIOCICEU. OIUE
noticed 212:6	obligations 64:4	224:9 227:20 233:3	operation 178:13,22
notification 33:7	233:19,21	236:19 238:5 248:13 251:19	operational 102:14
noting 71:13 244:3	observation 71:7	Officer 80:12 112:1	operations 178:17
November 23:4	observed 211:12	official 52:8,10	opinion 45:19 84:14
167:9 168:10 182:4 233:17	obtain 71:21	offset 43:8 129:13	158:13,15 191:24 192:12 205:6,12,14
number 24:13 25:15	occasions 46:20	176:23 206:12 208:11,	209:3,5 214:7 229:5
31:11 33:18 45:1,10,	occur 128:24 129:12 206:12	13 245:22 247:8	231:9 237:13 240:9
13,25 46:1,9 50:12 51:7 52:19 91:21,22	occurred 74:6 102:4	offsets 176:8	opinions 157:14 158:19 159:4 199:15
93:15 95:14,17,19	246:16	Ohio 194:3,22 198:12, 15,17	Opitz 26:25 27:1,3,5,7
96:15 99:12 100:9 107:17 111:25 112:9,	October 33:25 40:14	one-month 102:18	75:12 77:11 85:9
19 113:22 114:7	41:5 48:15 54:25 62:14	141:7,25 142:7,9	109:23 132:10 146:4 164:3 180:14 196:19
148:11 162:21 163:1 164:16 171:21 179:14	offer 31:2,3,11 33:9	143:3 185:24	200:13 209:21 215:1
188:16 189:1	61:25 82:2 92:20	onerous 64:9	221:4 224:8,13 233:2 238:4 248:12
numbers 81:1 86:11	108:8 111:20 123:15 135:11 153:16 157:2	ongoing 84:9 101:9	opportunities 145:1
129:19 175:25 207:23, 25	160:16 185:17,21	online 37:8	opportunity 40:16
numerous 46:4,20	189:24,25 195:16 203:8 223:11 226:11	OPC 29:23 30:4,8,12, 16 31:4,11,22 49:19	56:25 66:3 71:19 75:2
64:17	203.8 223.11 220.11	63:5 64:14 70:6,15	77:21 167:6 182:18 188:11 249:5
	offered 39:1 62:5,6	84:6,10 88:2 92:20	
O	72:18 82:11 86:23	98:1 108:5 110:13 135:16 136:21 138:25	oppose 38:1 opposed 44:17
O'KEEFE 26:11	103:5 107:21 136:11, 16 142:1 157:22	139:10 145:14 147:20	57:17,23 58:11 105:8
object 52:9 75:14	159:15 177:14 191:14	153:8 178:9 190:16 191:13,16 227:25	140:23
objected 160:19	195:21,25 220:4 234:9,11 239:21	229:9,22 239:14	opposing 38:4,6 70:5
191:16	240:11 243:21 244:1,	OPC's 141:16 191:2	87:18 137:14,17
objecting 160:5	6,25 offering 29:22 31:23	open 39:11 78:7	opposite 108:4
objection 82:5,8,12	39:2 43:21 50:2 63:22	88:15 109:3 120:3 139:24 172:22 213:17	opposition 139:10
123:20 157:11,24 158:9,17 159:13,16,	64:11 65:2 84:4,5 107:1 153:6,17 157:15	236:11	optimize 149:20
18,24 160:2 161:18,20	190:15	opened 50:10 72:2 183:25	option 74:4
162:5 183:22 190:7,9, 21 191:18,19,22 244:4	offerings 73:6	opening 33:23 35:5,	order 23:3,9 33:23 34:8 40:10 42:18
objections 82:14	offers 64:14 72:19	8,9,20 36:9,13 48:4,5,	48:19 49:4,19 51:11 52:17 53:10 57:10,25
123:21 136:18,19	219:13	7 52:11 56:20,22,23 61:1 65:8 78:9 86:5	58:7 61:8 64:17 66:6
157:9 162:6 190:24 196:1,2 204:2,4 220:7,	office 25:17,20 30:10, 19 44:17 61:1 68:25	116:5 117:17 119:3,24	70:24 71:21 72:5,16 76:6 101:23 108:19
8 223:14,15 226:13	70:5 75:20 76:2 85:11	120:6 224:15	117:20 118:19,22
244:6	109:24 118:20 119:9 132:11 133:8 136:17	operate 68:10	137:4 142:22 147:6 164:23 165:6,24
objective 60:12 113:3	137:3 146:5 164:4	operating 41:13 42:14 58:22 150:17	166:4,6,10,16,18,19,
obligation 67:12,17 145:11	180:15 196:20 200:14 209:22 215:2 221:5	42:14 58:22 150:17 205:3	22,25 167:3,5 168:5, 13,16,20,23 169:3,6,
	203.22 213.2 221.3		13,10,20,23 109.3,6,

EU-2020-0350, Vol. Index: ordered..people

11 181:8,12 182:13	package 65:20	192:7 195:24 196:5	path 68:15
184:15 185:3,6,11,15, 18 186:2,6,13,15,20	pages 85:21,24 90:12	204:10 207:16 220:11 223:18 226:17 244:9	patience 135:15
187:12,16 188:15,18	181:16	246:10	patient 213:3
190:18,19,20 203:20 208:21 229:2 234:22	paid 41:25 74:5 142:9	partial 73:19	pausing 176:3
235:1 247:20	pandemic 36:20	participate 115:12	pay 47:21 66:22 68:9
ordered 208:21	37:21 38:5,17 39:3,6 40:4,13 41:10,23	137:7 177:9	92:1 98:20 99:5,9 102:2 112:4,17
orders 31:7 36:23,24	42:13 43:12 44:20,23	particulars 104:6	113:15,24 140:23
40:3 119:8 138:3,5	46:24 48:23 50:12	parties 29:20 30:15	186:8 193:7 233:24
148:24 164:14,17,18	51:3,5 52:23,25 53:2,	31:12 32:5 33:8,10,24	
165:8,15 190:22	7,14,22 55:8 58:20,21,	34:13 36:10 37:18,24	paying 96:10 112:20
234:15,18	24 59:9 63:12 66:17	42:9 43:24 46:1 48:13	113:17,24 186:4,10
ordinary 58:18	71:1,18,22,25 72:17 73:4,13,22 74:18	56:18 57:24 66:8 71:7	200:23
-	84:11 91:7 96:3 97:6	73:18 76:19 77:1	payment 38:23,24
organization 199:11	99:3,12,25 107:25	88:18,22 89:1,4 119:5	39:3 41:22 42:1,6
organizations 87:5	111:19 114:4 141:6,10	123:2,14 129:1 131:1,	43:15,16 44:4 47:6
U U	144:5 153:18 205:11,	8,19 135:23 136:9	49:13 62:5 63:22 65:3
original 60:22 81:24	15 229:13,22 230:11,	145:8,20 157:9 171:1,	68:24 72:11,20,21
83:9 227:12 229:17	22 247:18 249:4,7,17,	14 172:2 179:21	74:4,15 83:14 84:4
out-of-court 157:13	20	184:21 187:22 188:6	93:19 94:12 95:17
192:1,3	nondomia rolatod	189:1 191:12 200:3	96:21,23 97:10 98:23,
	pandemic-related 41:1 44:6 213:5	203:21 208:20 230:13 236:24 239:22 240:11,	24 107:12 111:18,20
out-of-state 164:14,	41.1 44.0 213.5	236.24 239.22 240.11, 24 241:4 243:10 244:7	112:18 131:1 141:8,12 142:1,11 153:16
16	paragraph 41:9 42:2,	247:14,18 251:25	171:21 172:9 177:6,7,
outbox 88:7	5,8,11,14,17 43:10,13,		14 178:1,3,5 185:21
outcome 81:21 84:15	20 44:2 48:24 49:8,9,	parties' 117:17,20	202:18 206:11 210:6
98:16 123:9,14 209:4	10,11,12,13,14,15	120:4	228:2,21 229:11,25
229:6 247:23	64:9 81:15,17 125:1,7	partnership 108:9	230:5,10 233:19,21
	156:7 169:7 181:17		234:6 249:5,14
outdated 211:7	184:23,25 185:10,12, 18 186:2,3,5,23	parts 212:17	novmente 20,21
outline 201:4	187:15 206:7,21	party 24:16 42:22	payments 39:21 50:11 91:1,12 185:17
outlined 70:13	212:22 227:19,24	52:9 135:23 243:17	
249:13	228:6,20,25 229:18,21	pass 65:20	payoff 102:18,19
249.13	230:3 245:1,21,22	•	payroll 147:16
Outlook 135:17		passage 158:2,3	
outreach 39:24 69:8	paragraphs 49:15 83:9 227:12	159:10 160:17,22	peer 73:6 103:21,25
142:16 143:5 170:20	83.9 227.12	191:13,17,20,23	104:1
outstanding 131:3	parallel 184:9	passages 157:22	peers 100:8
141:11	pardon 97:25 166:17	passed 92:16	pending 210:23
overrule 191:21	167:19 185:9	passes 32:15	people 33:17 88:19
overruled 158:17	part 29:8 72:4 76:15	past 46:13 60:10 64:2	89:3 96:14,15 97:5,10
	82:16 92:18 95:11	77:25 99:17 138:3	100:10 101:12,25
owes 107:6	113:19 114:3 120:19	215:23 228:2,3,9	102:17 106:19 107:17
	123:24 128:22 130:4 132:24 136:22 137:24		108:10 111:25 114:11
Р	153:13 162:3 163:7	past-due 50:11	117:21 121:7 158:23 172:11 191:25 205:2
	167:9 171:3 179:10,	140:23	238:23 240:8
p.m. 116:12 117:13	18,22 190:11 191:3	patching 127:20	200.20 270.0
	1	1	1

EU-2020-0350, Volnder: percent..premarked

percent 43:3,7 46:8,	pick 121:9 238:20	pledges 152:2	positions 60:22 70:2
15,21 60:17 66:25 67:1 68:22 101:18,19	picking 121:1	Plescia 26:8,10 34:5	83:10 227:12 229:17 250:6
129:8,10,13,25 130:10	picture 227:1	55:25 56:1,21 77:7 84:21,23 109:12,14	positive 94:6
171:10 173:3 208:4,6, 9,10 233:25 234:3	piece 249:23	124:5,7 162:15 180:6,	possibly 211:7 212:5
246:25 247:4,8	pieces 122:16 242:17	7 196:15 200:8 209:11 213:24 214:2,15	posture 142:22
Perfect 34:25	Pilot 69:6	220:22 223:25 232:20	143:11
perform 132:24	place 36:23 44:3	237:23 238:23 240:1, 14,17 241:11,13	potential 111:12
216:10	65:16 72:9,14 75:3	252:2,6	146:18 192:13 229:11
period 53:17 60:10	141:2,7 171:22 229:13	point 29:24 32:23	potentially 80:25
68:21 83:12,19,22 94:10 106:12 112:12,	places 54:11	91:1 92:15,25 100:11,	110:22 171:12
17 129:12 172:13,18	Plains 179:7	21 105:21 106:5	poverty 68:23
176:9 177:21 178:19 187:5 205:11,20	plan 31:2 38:13 43:15 47:9 49:14 63:22 74:4,	109:20 114:20 118:12, 13 119:19 120:5,18,22	practice 54:19 68:19 70:18 83:14 148:8,14
206:21 207:4 208:22	47.9 49.14 03.22 74.4, 15 85:8 94:12 96:21	129:2 131:3 138:2	
228:16,22 231:15	97:10 112:18 115:24	139:12,13 143:11,21 145:19 149:25 159:19	practices 44:3 49:12 50:10 54:22 131:10
234:1,2 235:10 245:9 247:5,11,17	134:2 141:8,12 143:14	160:20 161:4 170:9,16	230:1
	154:12 177:14 178:12 179:12,13,14 206:11	171:2,16 173:15	pre 104:11 107:19
periods 206:16,17 246:16	228:9 230:5 234:7	175:22 176:9 203:20 216:21 224:17 236:12	114:21
	239:2 244:25	238:19 251:7	pre-covid-19 40:1
permanent 249:5,22	planet 37:16	points 61:10 72:20	precarious 65:17
permits 114:3	planned 40:18 133:19	policies 67:21	•
permitted 51:20	134:8,15	•	precisely 177:25
permitting 40:10	plans 38:24 43:16	policy 64:16 148:9,14	preclude 163:11 171:23
person 115:24 116:24	47:7 62:5,9 63:2 65:3 68:24 72:11,20,21	poor 69:6	precludes 60:4 163:5
personal 28:11 41:15	84:5 93:20 95:17	popular 112:18	predictive 102:10
personally 36:21	107:12 111:18,20 112:13,16 126:3,7	population 67:1,3,5	preexisting 62:6
207:25	132:18,21,24 134:15,	populations 69:10 70:17	
personnel 63:4	16 142:1 146:24 151:2		prefer 34:8 119:22 240:18 243:13
perspective 102:14,	153:16 171:22 172:9 177:6,7,9 178:3,5	portion 72:25 161:19	preference 238:18
15 114:13	206:11 228:2 229:11	portions 161:2 243:23	239:4
persuade 191:25	230:10 249:6,14		prefiled 31:5,10 58:5
petitioners 168:24	plant 137:5	pose 80:22	87:16
169:10	playing 135:17	posed 122:18	preliminaries 35:4
phone 24:13 25:14	pleadings 24:24 38:4	posited 90:15	36:10
27:14,19 28:21 95:17 231:23 232:2,7,12	pleased 94:20	position 38:9 57:23	preliminary 35:19
	•	61:9,16 63:24 75:4,15	227:1
phonetic 188:5	pledge 39:6 110:19 111:4,11	80:10 94:15 120:25 148:19 156:13 163:21	premarked 30:6
phrase 144:6	pledged 39:9 73:21	170:13 177:19 193:21	31:21 133:3 138:8
phrased 133:16	86:1	212:16 213:4 237:6	155:13 165:19

EU-2020-0350, Volnder: premium..provision

premium 64:22 206:19 208:18 245:7 prenoted 87:17 principal 193:22 242:8 preparation 41:18 principally 94:12 prepared 32:2 33:20 principle 67:16 79:10 80:13 122:10 155:9 202:9,10 principles 67:8,10 218:23,24 222:24 68:6,12 183:18 225:22,23 **printed** 89:12 preparing 234:14 prior 106:21 170:25 **presence** 117:23 194:4 230:23 present 23:13,15 prioritize 112:20 25:8 26:22 28:10 31:3 36:5 52:2 79:13,24 private 29:2 93:16 126:6 176:1 182:20 pro 86:14 205:14 238:23 241:15 247:18 proactive 68:14 106:24 231:5,8,9 presentation 36:14 45:6 proactively 101:13 presented 45:15 problem 27:17 29:5, 115:14 121:3 140:19 24 30:4,24 62:20 240:11 251:25 85:15 115:20 116:14 145:3 161:24 170:2 presenting 60:20 192:4,13,15 presently 145:16 problems 35:13 presents 66:3 67:23 115:22 126:25 178:7 191:22 Preserve 23:10 procedure 35:15 President 155:8 184:3 procedures 49:12 131:10 216:8,11 presume 188:11 213:3 proceed 25:11,17 26:14 34:13 48:5,6 pretty 33:16 85:19 52:12 55:23 56:25 86:13 95:5 173:20 61:1 65:11 78:5,10 213:14 80:3 82:18 89:7,8 previous 59:19 90:16 92:8,15 121:23 129:13 139:14 148:24 123:25 136:24 145:19 156:1 154:24 161:2 188:12 193:9 196:6 204:11,23 previously 44:22 218:11 222:10 224:24 46:11 62:2 70:20 225:8 226:22 231:23 104:8 131:22 141:1 241:22 248:18 163:10 proceeding 23:2 Pricewaterhouseco 29:9 32:16 40:8,20 opers 157:15 47:11,18 51:22 77:4 80:14 81:22 97:3 primary 73:4 170:8 108:3,14 117:15

123:10 143:9 148:20 179:19 184:22 185:2 195:3 252:17

proceedings 139:14 148:20 187:3 238:19

process 72:12 104:16 108:16 120:7,19 177:11 178:6 252:13

productively 116:6

program 29:1 39:14 46:18 47:17 61:23,24 63:23 68:20 69:6,9 73:5,16,19 74:5,15,20 86:18,20,21 87:1,8 90:18,24 91:21 98:13 111:5,8 113:15 125:2, 4 126:16 141:6 142:9, 11 151:8 152:5,7,12 153:11 170:22 178:1 194:8 212:18 227:22 245:19

programs 39:2,20 41:22,24 43:22 47:7, 10,20 50:1 66:11 67:21 70:25 71:13,14 72:19,21 73:24 75:1 84:6 92:25 95:12 96:2, 13,23 102:11,16,23,24 103:5,7,15 105:13 106:25 107:5,10,15,21 108:7,8,11,13,22 111:10 112:8 113:2,9 125:6,10 126:5 135:17 141:1,3,18 142:6,7,15, 17 150:9,14 153:7,10 170:11,17,21 206:10 212:19,21 229:10 231:4 247:13 249:22 250:15

prohibition 185:22

prohibits 186:15,20

Project 39:19 86:18, 19

promulgated 189:10

proof 100:21 proper 154:8 proposal 47:22 143:14 160:20 182:20 207:1 245:12,14

proposals 66:8 70:12

propose 33:9 35:8,16 52:7 78:9 116:11 154:10

proposed 30:23 33:24 34:13 73:15 106:10 142:21 169:7,8 207:5 245:15 250:23

proposing 168:21

protect 66:6 72:16 74:16

protecting 41:13

protection 64:10 83:17 228:19,24 233:15

protections 49:14 55:18 62:6 65:4 83:8, 15 84:15 184:16 227:11 228:6 229:6

protective 41:15

protocol 96:13

prove 63:10,19 112:6

provide 32:1 57:22 58:14 65:7 67:12,18 68:15 70:16 72:6 73:9 90:16 124:22 131:1,2, 15 173:17 186:3 230:20

provided 32:1 39:4 61:6 88:2,10,20 90:21 91:11 93:1 110:14 125:15 131:18,22 134:23 141:1,4 145:14 157:8 185:1 199:15 203:13 228:24 229:21 243:10

providers 69:14 185:4

providing 175:7 186:6 192:1

provision 60:12

EU-2020-0350, Vol. Index: provisions..raw

62:13 63:21 64:8 70:3	150:21 174:13,25	134:3,4 145:6 148:1	quick 36:8 215:4
71:15 95:24 124:23	214:12	162:25 165:2 170:4,7	218:1
125:5 126:9 127:8		173:4,14,19 177:13	
128:10,13,16 129:4	pursuant 54:2 245:21	178:19 199:4 212:24	quickly 88:18 108:8,
130:21,24,25 131:5,9,	246:17	213:2,24 215:21	24 152:20 158:1
11,14,15,21,25 163:1,	pursue 183:14	216:14 236:1,16	200:25
4,17 166:25 208:1,20	•	237:5,13 246:12	quote 58:17,19
	pursuing 96:4 147:9		192:10 249:4,7,13
provisions 41:7	pursuit 147:10	questioned 161:18	250:22
42:24 43:10 44:8 57:5,	•	182:8	
6,11 58:8,10 60:23	purview 251:2	questioning 35:8	quoting 159:2
70:6,9 124:20,22	put 33:14 83:8 102:12	90:4,24	
162:22 186:14 208:17,	141:2,7 151:9 156:19		R
24 227:7 247:12,22	171:21 172:3 175:15	questions 35:14 41:8	
prudently 53:21	177:5 201:5 227:11	47:23 48:6 52:3 56:17,	R-O-B-E-R-T-O
PSC 30:16 135:16	229:16 231:6	20,22 65:5,7 75:6,8	193:17
212:7	puto 100.17	77:22,24 78:8 80:22 82:25 84:18,23 85:1	
Z Z.1	puts 189:17	90:15 92:7 104:13,15,	raise 79:25 121:20
public 23:5 25:14,17,	putting 170:16,17	90.15 92.7 104.13,15, 18,24 105:20,22	154:20 218:8 222:7
20 29:1,9 38:3,4,18	231:11	109:1,12,20 110:18	225:5 241:18
43:17 44:17,25 46:7,9		111:24 115:2 116:4	raised 44:25 55:14
47:4,19 48:18 55:3	Q	117:16 118:4,8 119:2,	66:10 192:22
61:2,6 62:25 63:9,13	<u> </u>	19 120:1,9,11,12,21	•
64:12,15,21,25 65:9		122:18 124:3,7 130:20	raises 71:11
67:6 68:25 70:5 75:20	qualification 249:18	131:9 132:3,6,7,8	ran 26:14 106:6 194:7
76:3 77:16 80:16	qualified 90:17	133:16 139:17,21,23,	
85:11 93:16 109:25	107:19 184:4	25 140:7,17 145:18,25	range 152:23,24
118:20 119:10 132:11		146:2,4,14 153:22,24	rapidly 114:18
133:9 136:17 137:3,14	qualify 53:11 54:6	155:20 156:2 162:12,	
138:8 146:6 155:11,	210:7	15 163:10,22 164:1	rata 86:14
14,18 157:6,7,19	quality 114:12	169:14,22,23 170:3	rate 40:18,22 41:21
158:12 159:22 164:4,	autifica 10.5	172:20,22,24,25	42:7,10 43:3,4,7 44:12
22 165:5,14,19,24	quantifies 42:5	179:25 180:1,3,7,12,	50:17 51:19 61:15
166:6,16,18,22 168:12	quarter 60:15 174:15	17,19 195:13 196:9,	67:14 187:25 202:20
173:6 178:11 180:15	197:24 198:2,4,5	11,12,15 198:20,22,24	208:3 211:4 214:12
181:8,18 183:19	199:8,18 208:2,5	199:2,3,5,24 200:5,13	215:8,23 216:5,13
185:12 187:13 191:15	246:5,6,23 247:2,8	203:1 204:18 205:25	217:1,3,14 246:9,14,
194:4,5,13 196:20 198:10 200:14 202:7,	quarterly 43:3 62:14,	209:14,16,17,20,23	24 247:7
198.10 200.14 202.7, 11,22 203:9 204:5	18 129:5 130:18	210:12,15,18,21,22,	ratemaking 214:12,
209:22 215:2 218:21	171:5,8 174:10,12,20	23,24 213:17,18,19,21	14 216:4,7
209.22 215.2 218.21	211:8,21	214:16 215:7,18,19	·
225:20 227:20 233:4		216:16,23 219:7,16	ratepayers 66:7 69:2
236:19 238:5 248:13	quarters 60:19 171:6	220:18,21,22,25	74:6,24 97:16 98:16
251:19	207:9 246:3	221:2,4,6,9,11,13	rates 39:23 60:16,17
	question 33:7 36:19	223:6,25 224:6,15	62:15 64:22,23 67:13
PUC 187:17 188:15	53:14 67:19 83:1	226:5,21 230:19	128:23 129:6,16,24
pure 216:6	84:13 86:17,22 91:8	232:20,23,25 235:4	130:1,12 171:9,10
-	98:9 104:20,25 113:25	236:6,7,9,12,15	183:2 207:19 208:11
purpose 88:15 96:4,	116:7,14 118:9 119:4	237:23 239:14,15	retionale 050:40
5,25 97:11 195:6	126:11,23 128:7 130:5	242:23 244:15,19 245:6 248:2 8 10 17	rationale 250:18
purposes 98:13	133:15,16,17,24	245:6 248:2,8,10,17 251:5 7 11 17	raw 214:13 216:6
••		251:5,7,11,17	

EU-2020-0350, VolIndex: reach..referenced

reach 46:14.21 96:14 202:15 204:5.25 207:1 recommendations recovery 39:14 40:17 176:15 218:24 220:8 222:24 47:5 49:19.21 50:7 44:11 49:13 50:11 223:15 237:2 242:13, 65:3 70:11,19 71:2,6 51:15,17 59:20,23 reached 73:17 142:23 18 243:5,22 245:12 76:2 118:23 141:15 61:14 67:9 70:4,23 143:17 145:8 236:25 249:12 183:8.13 184:20 185:1 90:18,24 111:5,8 reaching 96:14 236:18 237:4 144:7,23 151:19 recall 103:11 110:18 135:25 152:14 163:12,14 113:3 137:2,14,17 recommended 75:19 187:4,5 195:8 197:19 146:19 148:3 150:10 118:21 181:18 187:17 read 95:13 139:2,4 199:12 217:10 152:8 197:20 213:11 237:9 141:17 148:5 166:11 202:18 **recross** 109:7 receivable 98:20 recommending 145:19,21 146:6,14 111:17 230:4 119:12 156:22 readily 31:3 180:2 199:25 200:4 receivables 99:2.9 recommends 37:22 213:19 214:17 215:3 reading 133:15 166:10 167:4 131:2 48:18 51:11 68:14 237:18 251:9,12 69:5,10,20 **RECROSSreceive** 32:12 87:22 ready 75:11 79:5 82:17 89:8 116:14 89:4,10 reconnect 83:24 EXAMINATION 117:16 120:25 154:5, 101:23 102:1 228:25 214:2 215:5 received 71:15,16 18,19 189:17 192:19, reconnection 42:1,6 82:15 88:4,17 89:9,23 recurring 252:23 21,23,24 199:2 201:6 123:23 134:22 135:7,9 47:6 59:12 221:20,22 224:22 redirect 110:7,12 136:5,21 142:8,10,11 record 23:3,10 24:8, 239:12 114:23 146:12,16 162:2 188:7 190:10 14,21 25:15,22 27:11, 180:22,24 200:19,21 reaffirmation 158:14 191:2 192:6 196:4 12 29:8,22 32:19 216:19,22 238:11,12 204:9 220:10 223:17 reaffirmed 159:4 56:14,15 65:19 79:5, 251:25 252:2,3,5,6 226:16 244:9 21 82:3,10,13,16 real 112:10 212:19 reduce 68:15 98:17 receives 127:7 128:8 92:19 116:10,17 215:4 243:18 112:9 146:24 149:3 117:15 122:4 123:16, receiving 135:18 208:13 250:5 realized 179:3 21,22,24 135:24 recent 211:4 214:11 136:22 148:23 157:10 **reduced** 39:25 42:13 reason 27:23 29:2 215:24 250:8 159:17 161:3 162:1,3 54:16 55:12 59:17 55:19 64:7 92:20 186:25 190:8,11,23 63:3 163:6 recently 37:7 41:24 121:7 133:23 134:2 191:3,7,10,11 192:5,7, 47:1 60:2 136:2 reducing 95:14,17,19 144:12 161:11 178:20 16 193:15 196:3,5 126:4 150:1 202:2 204:3,6,10 reasonable 48:17 recess 79:2 116:10 117:12 191:7,8 218:17 220:9,11 reduction 54:17 53:21 67:13.15 68:8 222:17 223:16,18 113:10 250:18 70:21 84:15 96:21 **recirculate** 203:17,23 225:15 226:17 240:5, 97:11 113:3 123:14 reductions 42:14 10,19,20 241:5,7 recognize 45:13 131:5,25 149:11,15,21 44:7 125:25 126:7 242:3 243:13,19 54:19 138:11 163:18 179:3 185:17, 144:22 147:4,5,8,16 244:5,7,9 21 209:4 229:6,12 recognized 37:20 207:14.16 230:17 247:23 recordable 53:19 50:14,18 reevaluate 106:18 54:2 reasonableness recognizes 46:23 60:23 84:3 refer 87:16 133:2 recorded 39:22 43:8 recollection 167:4 240:21 147:6.17 150:13 reasons 38:7 44:21 53:8 61:7 100:7 147:9 171:11 174:24 recommend 49:3,18 reference 93:12 249:13 51:1 68:22 111:12 113:1 164:17 **recover** 39:5,23 165:8 51:23 68:4 70:3 75:24 rebuttal 46:23 48:11 recommendation 143:14 56:15 66:15 75:5 44:15 51:21 116:22 referenced 52:11 138:12 147:20,22 173:13 182:9 70:9 97:21 98:12 recovered 71:3 164:11 195:3,6,11,25 110:19 165:9 197:25

EU-2020-0350, ModexIIreferences..required

references 189:4 241:7	reject 64:8 rejected 38:10 59:19,	remarked 235:12 remember 93:14	reported 94:25 175:9 229:25
referencing 46:5	22,25 60:1	106:5,8	reporter 31:15,18
referral 179:19	relate 188:25 189:8	remembered 235:5	32:14 79:4,16 110:9 165:1 167:21 168:4
referred 52:6 62:12 138:15 165:16 170:14 182:2 197:1 211:11 245:7	related 23:10 40:3 41:13,16,21 42:24 43:10,12 45:1 47:5 48:22 49:7 52:23	remind 105:23 115:8 177:3 remotely 101:18,20	202:24 235:18,19 reporting 44:5 49:10 53:16 83:16 130:21, 24,25 131:4 145:7,10
referring 86:1 87:1 93:21 137:20 139:5,9, 14 164:22 185:15 215:6,7	53:21 54:4 55:8 61:13, 22 62:10 66:6 67:2 70:23 71:25 87:13 125:10 144:22 147:3, 5,10,15,20 177:17	remove 202:16 removed 214:13 rename 36:4 render 184:4	228:8 229:16,18 230:4,15 236:16,18 237:4,6,10,14,15,16 reports 37:10 174:23
refers 62:4 178:10 179:11 185:14 249:21	179:16,17 181:25 182:3 183:2 206:9,13	rendered 184:7	175:4,5 211:10,22 214:7 216:10
reflect 24:21 62:17 63:16 216:4 240:5 241:6,7	211:20 212:24 228:7 234:23 relates 111:23 208:2	renew 26:20,22,23 27:1 75:11,13 76:4 77:11 85:7 109:21	represent 24:17 25:13 26:11 48:9 52:15 56:1 81:21
reflected 148:19 243:9 247:3	relation 118:19 119:8 120:24 141:25 178:20	132:8 136:14 146:3 164:2 180:13 196:18 200:12 209:19 214:23	123:9 Representative
reflecting 240:12	relative 68:16 83:9	221:3 224:6 233:1	153:2
reflects 240:10 241:5	104:10 227:12 229:17 230:25	238:3 248:11 251:18, 19	representatives 101:17,19
refrain 181:19,23	relaxed 40:3	renewal 208:18	representing 26:19,
refresh 233:24	releasing 63:13	renewed 42:19	23 27:1 65:15
reg 187:4	relevant 175:5 178:19	renewing 69:20	represents 57:23
regard 53:5 118:24 132:19 145:7 173:2 178:11 181:14 182:8 183:18,25 184:4,9 186:3,13 199:7	reliable 95:25 158:20 reliance 46:10 relied 45:12 137:23 138:1 166:22 168:16	reopen 94:20 repeat 91:8 98:9 119:1 126:23 135:4 158:2 168:3 227:15	request 44:13 51:8 53:2,5 61:5,7 76:23,24 88:2 90:8 131:23 133:7 134:17 136:14 161:7 163:11,12
regulation 54:11,19	relief 65:20 69:5,6	235:15,21 246:12	167:17 168:1,8 176:2 177:15 187:4,18
Regulations 189:11		reported 105.10	177.10 107.4,10
	72:6,22 73:22 93:1	repeated 135:12	requested 52:16
regulatory 23:11 40:11 41:11 42:12 43:9 45:7,8 48:21	72:6,22 73:22 93:1 107:6 126:15 rely 138:5 158:22 164:14	rephrase 86:17 138:22 233:20 replace 202:17	requested 52:16 61:12 71:14 75:3 137:15 138:25 139:10 152:14 186:18 234:22
regulatory 23:11 40:11 41:11 42:12	107:6 126:15 rely 138:5 158:22	rephrase 86:17 138:22 233:20 replace 202:17 replaced 81:17	61:12 71:14 75:3 137:15 138:25 139:10
regulatory 23:11 40:11 41:11 42:12 43:9 45:7,8 48:21 53:12 54:7,23 64:20 90:10 122:9 125:19 127:5 155:8 159:6 168:25 173:24 183:17 184:1,3,10 186:24	107:6 126:15 rely 138:5 158:22 164:14 relying 46:6 62:20	rephrase 86:17 138:22 233:20 replace 202:17 replaced 81:17 replacement 46:19 reply 136:6 181:15,16	61:12 71:14 75:3 137:15 138:25 139:10 152:14 186:18 234:22 requesting 68:17 144:21 183:1 186:16 requests 40:8 52:21 54:22 55:19 167:7
regulatory 23:11 40:11 41:11 42:12 43:9 45:7,8 48:21 53:12 54:7,23 64:20 90:10 122:9 125:19 127:5 155:8 159:6 168:25 173:24 183:17	107:6 126:15 rely 138:5 158:22 164:14 relying 46:6 62:20 148:1,13,14 157:13,16 remain 28:10,16	rephrase 86:17 138:22 233:20 replace 202:17 replaced 81:17 replacement 46:19	61:12 71:14 75:3 137:15 138:25 139:10 152:14 186:18 234:22 requesting 68:17 144:21 183:1 186:16 requests 40:8 52:21

EU-2020-03500dexVorlequirement..Schallenberg

234:12	responsible 174:18	164:16 207:21,25	route 29:7 72:14
requirement 186:7	186:11	234:18	rule 43:16 46:17 50:21
requirements 145:7	rest 89:9 136:9	reviews 40:19	62:7 171:22 210:4,8, 10 228:4 233:10,12,
229:16,19 237:11,14, 16	restate 161:10 165:4	revised 143:13 192:11	16,17,22,23 234:12
-	restaurants 37:4	-	ruled 46:12 161:7
requires 28:12 241:8	94:21	revisions 195:10	rules 54:7 57:13,22
rescheduled 29:16	restore 102:6	revisit 109:22	158:16
research 45:11 117:2 231:10	restrictions 40:2	rights 70:24	run 86:13 229:3
reserve 44:10	result 40:12 67:21 71:3 73:12 75:23	rise 75:16 198:6	running 170:20
residential 39:25	94:11 97:9 102:24	risk 54:11,15,18 64:22 93:18,24,25 94:1,4,14,	232:10
73:3 83:17,24 228:4	146:25 149:4 150:2,6 179:6	16 96:13,16 191:19	runs 233:17
residents 66:19	resulting 54:1 59:17	230:9	Rupp 23:16,18,20,22,
resolution 37:22 38:1	68:5 72:6 144:5	Rob 33:4 76:21 79:8	25 104:22,23,24 105:15,19 117:24
resolve 32:9 56:9	186:25	110:9 121:11 143:24 146:13 219:15 223:21	118:7,9 140:1,2,4,13,
resolves 55:13	results 74:17	226:20 237:20	15 169:21,24 170:2,3 172:19
resource 175:5	resume 117:1	Robert 24:22 63:5	Ryan 23:13
resources 67:21	retained 195:7	64:15	
respect 105:5 184:20	242:10	Roberto 53:5 115:13, 20 121:3,4 192:20,25	S
185:16 228:1	retention 39:17	193:2,10,16,17,18	safe 95:25
respectful 104:18	retire 68:21	195:2,17,22 196:23,25 198:9 199:6 200:22	
respectfully 44:13	return 54:13,16 191:5	Roberto's 53:8	safety 59:8
55:19	Returning 145:5	195:25	sale 53:1,7
respond 66:11 67:4	revenue 52:18 53:1,6,	Robertson 27:11,14,	sales 54:1,6,12
70:18 77:22 158:18 184:1	10,19,25 54:4,10,20, 21,23 55:9,11,16	15,22 28:1	satisfied 120:19
responded 219:3	59:17 95:24 140:21	Robin 51:25 221:21	save 24:12,17 69:16 108:13
responding 138:24	143:19 144:4,6,16,21 163:11 182:14,22	222:12,18,23	saving 179:6 183:15
responds 183:8	195:8 197:19 199:12	Roger 47:13 66:15 75:21	saving 49:9 51:14,
response 38:11,13,	revenues 42:12 49:8	role 183:19	20 62:11 125:13,16,
17 47:9,17 61:23 63:9	52:19,24 56:6 59:12, 20,21,23,24 60:3	roll 102:3,6 104:16	20,22,23,24 126:2
65:2 67:22 71:18,22 72:19 91:6 96:3 99:24	61:14 70:4 143:12	120:7 200:2	146:19 147:3,15 176:8,14,24 178:12,
110:21 111:11 131:23	163:2,5,15 171:13 172:16 182:1,5,19,25	rolls 95:20	17,22 179:3,9,15,23
133:24 158:8,25	205:10	Ronald 121:25 122:5	183:4 187:6 205:10 206:5,7,9,12 213:5,12,
183:24 212:15 215:6 231:5 235:23 237:8	review 31:1 44:11	room 25:5 76:11	14 217:13 245:24
responses 219:17	65:2 75:4 87:23	77:19 127:21,22	247:10
responsibility 77:21	188:11,14 227:21 234:14,21,25 236:3	140:11	scenario 75:1
	reviewed 108:7	roughly 66:25 144:6 206:25	Schallenberg 63:6 64:15 65:1

EU-2020-0350, Vol.Index: schedule..simply

schedule 116:15 182:1 183:13 186:22 69:1 105:8.9 143:17. sierra 27:9 37:19 41:4 202:17 249:2 250:3 18 177:19.24 44:16 48:14 52:12.15 scheduled 140:9 53:4 55:5,14,19 77:9 **separate** 30:9,16 settlements 57:17,20 schedules 211:5 85:3,4 109:19 115:5 64:4,5 90:20 160:1 59:3,14 60:7 105:11 214:11 215:8,11,16,22 121:2 132:5 146:1 167:17 175:9 215:13, 216:3,24 217:1,5 severe 35:12 72:7 163:25 180:11 188:7 15,16 94:17 99:13 189:18,20 191:6 schools 37:6,7 94:20 193:11 195:7 196:4 separately 42:3 share 86:14 103:10 **scope** 47:18 49:23 51:17 150:19 200:20 209:15,17 70:19 71:4 184:22 **shared** 32:5 72:9 214:20,23 221:1 224:4 September 43:1 232:24 238:1 239:15 185:2 171:14 60:19 128:21 150:14, 248:9 251:16 Scott 23:15 24,25 174:16 175:7, shareholder 47:10 sign 75:15 108:6 14,23,25 176:12,25 103:16,22,24 screen 36:15 76:17 177:2 207:12 140:11 154:4 shareholders 41:25 signatories 42:20,22 sequestration 41:18 44:10 58:4,9 47:21 69:2 74:6 152:3 season 172:15 series 205:25 245:23 signatory 42:22 **sheet** 45:6 91:11 seats 154:9 48:13 246:8 152:22 176:3,4 243:17 SEC 174:15,20,23,25 serve 86:15 100:13 shelter 36:23 signature 133:12 175:1,5,6 signed 55:2 74:3 served 187:22 194:24 **shoo** 28:13 secondary 207:9 156:17 172:2 **servers** 30:17 **short** 73:6 112:12 208:19 231:15 246:1, significance 54:5 11 serves 86:2 short-term 134:14 section 130:4 138:24 significant 72:25 service 23:5 25:14 shorter 207:4 245:14, 74:1 94:2,14 96:6,9 181:14 182:21 183:4 38:20 41:20 46:18 17 100:11,12 101:5,10 184:15 192:11 206:1 55:3 67:18 69:14 104:2 106:24 112:19 244:21 245:3 shortfall 59:12 74:11 86:7 95:22,25 113:15,22 142:16 security 46:19 66:21 101:17,20 102:6 **shortly** 89:12 170:15,20 205:3 113:13 114:17 153:2 **seek** 39:22 60:9 **show** 33:6 78:12 significantly 58:18, 164:23 165:5,24 106:14 151:19 182:22 148:24 22 94:10,11 99:13 166:7,16,18,22 168:12 247:19 100:18 112:9 250:16. 173:6 177:22 181:8,18 **showed** 141:5 17 seeking 75:24 182:3 183:19 194:7,13 showing 140:5 198:10 202:7,19 signing 71:10 Seemingly 62:25 218:21 222:21 225:20 shown 64:18 silence 28:21 selling 53:22 services 39:11 65:25 **shows** 40:24 63:1 186:11 **Silvey** 23:13 **Senate** 194:23 78:12 send 30:15,18 36:7 set 23:5 28:22 50:22, **similar** 47:2 59:4 shut 116:24 24 64:23 68:22 102:12 60:11 61:18 67:13 87:18 88:8,9 89:20 shutdown 95:3 112:7 155:21 188:21 69:22 74:20 93:20 90:7 135:19 136:1 172:12 191:5 206:2 207:23 103:15 114:6 166:8 sending 89:2 135:3, 228:14 244:25 245:24 183:18 189:5 234:6.8 Sibley 137:5 138:12. 13 246:10 237:9 247:10 250:20 14,18 147:21 senior 193:22 **setting** 107:12 **similarly** 60:1 127:6 **side** 106:3 113:5 168:15,19 250:21 181:19,23 seniority 104:19 sidebar 161:7 settlement 55:20 simple 149:13 sense 121:5 171:25 sides 108:4 57:11,13,15,23 58:4,8, **simply** 24:15 46:3 sentence 43:19 148:5 11 59:1,5,7,10,16 60:6 51:16 57:14,20,23,24

EU-2020-0350, Vol. IIndex: single..stated

		-	5
60:8 112:20 120:3 125:16 158:11,14 159:1,2 207:18 single 62:4 99:7,8	158:24 178:15 203:21 219:23 source 214:9	Spire 24:9 28:3 37:24 47:2 57:16 59:3,13 60:6,8,9 61:19,20,23 69:1 74:2 105:1,8	207:1 209:3 212:16 213:4 220:10 223:17 226:16 237:6 stage 177:10
sir 27:15 79:21 80:13	sources 214:3,4,8	170:5 172:6 230:24 Spire's 71:8 170:12	stakeholders 71:19,
81:19 85:12,23 110:4 121:20,22 144:1 145:6	South 165:14 168:12, 14,16 187:12,17	split 72:24 151:23	21 84:11 101:15
146:1,6 154:21 162:19	188:14,18,21,23 190:4,19	spoke 197:10,15	stand 28:18 81:23 121:12 123:11 156:19
169:25 177:12 179:25 182:15 184:17 189:16	speak 23:17 28:18	206:24	192:25
197:2 218:8,10 220:18 221:19 241:21 244:16	29:18 31:13 36:1 64:18,21 76:12 77:6	spoken 56:4 162:7 197:11	standard 46:8 57:9 61:8 64:19 189:5
245:12	79:18 104:7,17,20 117:22 132:17 159:5	sponsor 240:19	standards 61:4,6
sit 100:22,25 104:10 sitting 127:23 152:19	168:20 172:8,23 180:1 197:6,17,23 210:6	sponsored 240:24	64:7,18
situation 28:11 71:22	231:2 233:12 235:1	sponsoring 239:24 240:1	standpoint 179:15 stands 161:22
95:5 99:15,19 101:23 103:18 105:1 107:24	speaker 231:23 232:2,6	spot 114:11	start 24:7 36:13 77:23
120:2 148:7 172:6,7, 12 212:15	speakers 167:20	spring 71:23 73:7	78:25 99:6,18 118:4 138:24 141:9 176:6
six-month 129:12	speaking 28:24 31:7	squarely 54:12	239:19
Sixth 54:18	32:19 36:17 160:3 197:20	St 92:3	started 24:18 36:12 85:11 94:20 98:19
size 103:6,17	speaks 227:24	staff 25:11,13 37:18 40:25 41:2 43:17	100:14 101:1,14 114:9
skills 79:20	specific 47:5 48:23	44:16 45:21 46:22	117:14,18 118:4 119:2 141:6,10 239:11
skipping 43:13	68:13 75:19 76:1,2 86:12 103:8 137:20	48:10,11,13,16,18 49:3,18,24 50:3,4	starting 36:9 93:23
slide 40:23	141:15,17 169:3 174:5	51:1,11,19,21,24 55:3 57:4 70:14 71:11	95:8 145:20 165:9 220:13
slot 115:17	175:1 183:14 211:2 233:13	77:13 82:18,24 83:10	starts 85:24 184:18
slowed 99:17	specifically 47:14	84:6,10 108:5 109:8 115:20,22 119:25	219:25
small 39:15 73:1 83:17,24 102:7 160:22	49:16 59:7 60:14 103:6 118:19 119:8	120:1 124:1 145:14,20 153:8 162:10 180:3	state 37:16 45:12 57:13 60:8 64:11 66:1
228:2	134:7 181:22 186:22	181:17 182:9 187:16,	79:20 122:4 127:7
so-called 70:4,8 144:16	227:18 242:10 specifics 167:15	19,23,24 188:16,17,21 189:3 196:10,11 200:8	128:8 151:18 155:4 167:10 186:9 187:16,
social 65:24	168:6	201:23 202:11 203:8 207:21 208:23 210:11	20 193:14 194:25 198:11,12 202:1
solution 31:1 240:18	spell 193:15	213:10 215:9,11	218:17 222:16 225:14 242:3
solutions 249:22	spelled 202:3	217:12,21 218:6,13, 21,24 219:13 221:20	242:3 state's 67:1
sort 63:22 212:4	spelling 188:5	222:13,25 223:11 224:23 225:11,24	stated 70:20 93:18
sought 59:21,22	spending 69:11 150:2,6	226:10 227:2,13,20,25	99:22,23 100:22
sound 78:18 178:13	spent 97:13,15	229:9,17,22 231:14 235:2,10 248:4 251:12	134:17 138:4 145:1 156:13 184:21 205:1,8
sounds 32:4,8 78:3,4 85:16 103:4 117:21	spike 94:25	staff's 48:7 52:1 190:4 201:5 204:2,9	212:20 249:3 250:5
		· ·	

EU-2020-0350, Vol.Index: statement..table

statement 45:3,5	108:2,6 123:1,8,13	195:2 199:13 246:21	80:14 85:20,22 93:11,
48:5,7 56:20 61:9 75:5	124:16,24 125:12,15	subsections 206:2	17 99:21 110:15
146:23 185:19 192:2,3 249:9	127:3 128:14 129:15 130:6 131:18 144:3,10	Subsequent 194:6	111:1,3 122:12 123:12,19 144:8
	147:13 153:14 156:2,	•	155:10,16 156:20
statements 33:23	3,14,16,23 162:22,23	subset 163:15	157:6,7,12,19,20
35:5,9,10,20 36:9,13 48:4 63:13 65:8	163:20 166:9 167:2	substantial 40:21	158:6 163:13,15
48.4 63.13 65.8 117:18 119:4,25	168:22 169:8 170:5,8,	58:2 93:19	164:11,12,13 165:12,
120:4,6,17 157:13,16	10 171:4 179:21 181:2	substantially 80:24	17 166:23 168:17
178:11	186:18 205:23 206:17	122:20	225:23 226:14 236:24
states 37:12 39:1	207:22 208:17,24 212:20 227:3,10		237:3 242:14 243:6,22 248:22
42:8,11 43:20 50:6	228:15 229:2,15	success 96:22	-
65:19 74:12 86:15	230:16 231:14 235:2,	suffered 67:1	surveillance 173:16
100:15 194:6 234:15	11 236:3 237:9,10,11,	sufficient 230:20	174:2,11 175:4 211:8, 10,17,22 214:7,13
states' 184:10,11	14,17 244:20 245:23	suggest 34:1 78:21	216:10
190:22 234:18	246:13 247:13,22	98:1 115:16 116:9	
statewide 95:3	stipulations 57:8,12	174:20	suspect 172:7
	stop 232:1 239:12	suggested 182:2	suspend 73:10
stating 24:15 158:12	249:20		suspended 107:21
status 29:25	stoppage 177:20	suggesting 216:25	171:20
statute 183:10,20	stopped 113:24	suggests 71:5	suspension 69:21
184:5 192:10	171:7	sum 74:8	186:9,12,25
Statutes 192:11	storm 46:19 51:9	summarize 41:6	sustain 159:13
statutory 67:12	58:21 59:24 63:24	66:12	sustainability
stay 36:23 40:3 97:11	STP 179:11,17	summarized 56:3	178:12 179:12
178:6	strain 65:24 73:4	summarizing 95:10	swapping 115:24
step 69:4	strategies 69:8	summer 64:2 71:23	swear 79:23 201:17
steps 68:14,18 69:22,	-	72:3 73:18 96:8 114:3	225:5 241:18
25 70:25 74:16,20	streams 95:24	152:6	sworn 80:1,6 121:21
101:9 103:4 149:11,	stress 97:5 114:13	superstitious 116:24	122:1 154:22 155:1 192:22 193:6,8,12
15,22	strikes 56:7	supplying 131:7,8	201:20,23 218:9,13
Stip 151:9 153:4 156:21	strong 239:4	support 39:4,8 56:2	219:22 222:8,13
stipulate 90:13	structures 184:10	57:15 76:5 95:24 227:2 237:10 247:21	225:6,11 241:20 242:1
stipulation 37:22	struggle 106:20	supported 47:19	Synapse 193:20 194:9
38:2,4,7 40:13,15	struggling 73:22	58:1 158:13 247:24	system 44:21 53:12,
41:4,7,9 43:20 44:9,14	stuff 199:14	supporting 39:15	18 54:3 58:13 76:22,
48:15,17,20,25 49:2,7,		57:24 167:2 235:2	24 135:20 137:19,24
17,20,22 50:8 51:12 55:1,2,6,13,17,20	subissues 52:20		139:6 148:2 189:9
56:3,7,9,12,19 57:5,21	subject 106:2 108:14 111:18 161:19 187:18	supports 69:3 208:24	
58:3 60:4,24 61:16,18 64:8 69:24 71:8,10	230:5	supposed 88:5	T
74:13 75:14,16 81:10,	submit 167:6 241:9	surprised 77:19	table 144:19
13,20,25 82:6 83:2,7,		surrebuttal 40:23	IANIC 144.13
13,21,23 84:2 105:14	submitted 53:4 188:1	48:11 50:5 56:16	

EU-2020-0350, Vol. II Index: tail..timing

	E0-2020-035	0, VOI. II III.	
tail 171:19,24 172:1 178:1	tells 76:22	78:25 80:13,14,17,19, 23 81:24 85:20 93:11,	103:2,3 104:8 113:18 144:24 150:3 177:16
	temporary 186:8	18 94:1,2 99:21	182:5 206:10 214:14
takes 32:14 108:23 161:13	ten 78:21 116:1,6	110:15 115:3 120:25	216:12
	tender 75:7 82:4	122:11,12,16 123:12,	thinking 252:11
taking 65:16 76:14	123:16 157:2 195:16	19 137:25 138:12,18	-
149:11 218:1 244:3	226:11 240:7 243:6	140:25 141:4,17	thought 152:21 188:4
talk 32:11,25 61:11	244:10	143:10 144:8,14 147:20 148:19 154:1,	thousand 150:1
119:17 151:7 169:2	tendered 158:15	2,11 155:10,13,16,24	152:24 177:6
197:21 204:6 228:13	195:22 204:12	156:14,19 157:5,7,8,	thousands 37:10
talked 34:6 92:17		12,19,22 158:7,19	114:10,11
129:3,4 144:7 146:18	tenders 203:9 219:14 223:12	163:12 164:10,15,20	threat 112:3,8,21
149:18 167:22 170:22	-	165:6,12 166:4,23	
179:9 181:1 214:5,6	tens 114:10	168:17 170:14 173:19	threshold 53:17
217:9	term 68:23 198:14,15	178:10 179:4 184:4 189:15 191:14 192:12	129:13
talking 112:15	249:17	195:3,6,11,25 198:1,	thrilled 108:24
183:12,13 197:18	terminated 42:19	18 199:13 201:2	thrust 148:1
211:15 219:25 220:15		202:10,14,15 204:5	
250:3	termination 181:19,	205:1 211:12,19	tie 163:9
talks 125:1 182:9,24	23 228:16	215:9,14 217:8,19	Tim 26:25
184:23 187:2	terms 40:15 45:8	218:24 219:3 220:8	time 23:4,5,14,20
targeted 73:24	48:16,19 49:6 59:1,2	221:19 222:24 223:3, 15 224:20 225:23	24:12,17 26:9 35:11,
101:21	103:21,24 107:20 111:16 114:6 156:24	226:2,15 234:14,17	16 36:1 41:8 47:1
targeting 69:6,12	183:19 249:7	236:23 237:3 238:15	50:17 51:9 52:3 58:25
		240:16 241:3 242:13,	60:24 66:5 77:25
tariff 46:18	territory 86:7 113:13 170:17 177:22	14,17,18 243:5,6,18	78:15 80:20 83:12,22
task 102:13 116:3	170:17 177:22	248:22 249:12,21,23,	87:15,17 91:8 94:10 96:19 99:2 101:25
tax 126:17,20 127:2	test 60:12 116:23	25 250:4,19 252:7	108:13,23 109:4
team 90:10	128:22 247:2	testing 252:24	112:12,17 116:12,17
tech 28:22	testified 64:16 80:7 122:2 137:9 155:2	text 136:6 160:6	120:13 121:6 122:15 126:6 127:20 128:24
technical 27:16 29:4,	193:12 194:10,13,20	textbook 157:17	129:2 131:3 133:4
23 30:9 79:20 158:16	199:17 201:24 218:14	theaters 37:4	134:19 136:15 145:12 157:2 161:13,24
216:12	222:14 225:12 242:1 250:14	theme 184:24	165:18 169:22,23
technically 31:7	testify 146:24	theoretically 126:3	172:15 175:22 177:22
192:2	testifying 64:19	216:9	178:20 189:15 191:5, 12 205:12,15,20
technology 41:15	testimonies 122:19	theory 44:19	210:22 212:17 215:22
tedious 144:17	testimony 28:19	thereon 187:6	217:19 221:14 235:19 238:15 252:5
tee 105:16	31:4,10 35:12 38:9,16	thing 32:11 35:5 84:9	
teed 36:15	40:20,24 44:18 45:18	108:3,15 112:14 115:4 116:3 118:14 192:19	times 36:22 37:15 38:11,15 40:7 64:17
telephone 28:7	46:23 47:15 48:3,11 50:5 51:24 53:4,8	213:1 232:18	75:17 95:19 106:19 243:16,18
telling 63:13 99:23	55:14 56:16 58:5	things 31:25 51:13	timing 53:15 195:24
211:19 251:16	59:16 60:20 63:5 64:14 66:16,24 69:4	63:21 72:11 96:19	231:3
	70:11 75:5 76:10	101:3,7,9 102:16	

EU-2020-0350, Vol. IIndex: title..unmuted

title 240:23	tragic 37:11	11 182:12 184:15	underfunded 250:16
today 23:3 24:11,25	training 39:17 101:16	185:5 187:11 188:20 206:1 248:25 249:24	underneath 114:18,
27:24 28:5,22 29:1	125:21 193:25		20
31:2 34:4,10 35:25	tranche 152:1	turned 126:25 232:7	understand 85:4
36:17 47:25 52:2 56:2 65:15,18 80:22 98:25	transformation	Turning 47:12	108:12 137:13 140:4,
100:22 101:1 110:7	178:12 179:12	turns 98:2 219:19	21 142:19 143:11
115:3,14,15 117:15			163:4 165:2 186:10
119:4 120:8 121:3	transition 68:13	twelve-month 102:19	215:21 232:4 239:1,20
122:19 135:11 136:7	treated 142:24 152:12		understanding 30:7,
140:6 144:15 154:1 155:20 156:22 170:23	184:5	two-quarter 172:1	8 32:13 34:1,15 45:18 124:21 130:23 131:13,
171:14 178:4 179:4	treating 140:23	two-way 208:8	21 188:10 215:22
195:13 198:18 200:24	treatment 42:25	type 179:22 192:12	216:24 219:17 241:14
201:1 203:1 205:6,12	45:16 46:2,5 54:6 57:7	types 52:18 87:10	246:6 250:25 252:25
207:18 211:12 219:7 221:11,16,24 223:6	63:17 108:18 177:16	108:22 119:9 142:20	understood 31:14
224:20,25 226:5 232:4	182:6,11 186:17 247:5,10	typical 58:18,22	161:10 213:12
237:19 238:14 239:2		172:13	undertake 68:7
242:23 252:1	treatments 164:18	typically 38:22	undertaken 167:10
toggled 78:14	trends 94:6	243:12	undertaking 44:4
told 85:3	trick 103:19	typo 43:19	undertook 104:9
tomorrow 115:10,11,	triggers 129:10	typographical 81:13	172:10
12 159:12 239:4,7	trite 85:16	123:4 156:7	
252:16,20,25	Trove 96:11 102:9		unearned 52:25 53:6, 10,11,19,25 54:4,21,
tonight 252:9		U	23 55:16
top 167:23 231:3	truck 95:20 102:3,6		unemployment
topic 44:25 199:11,15	true 66:23 81:3	Uh-huh 81:8	65:21,23 72:8 74:17
	122:22 148:8 149:17 203:5 208:16 219:10	ultimately 48:12 97:9	uniform 44:21 53:12,
tornado 51:9 58:21	223:8 226:7 243:1	106:20 112:12 171:19	18 54:2 58:13 99:7
total 41:19 72:21 94:9	245:12 246:20	186:11	137:18,24 139:6 148:2
98:24 99:5,9 130:10	trust 26:15,19,23 38:3	unacceptable 64:12	189:9
133:16 152:25 170:18 175:21	43:18 47:12,13 65:12,	69:24	uniformly 54:22
	15 92:9 110:3 116:25	unanimous 57:13,15	United 37:12 39:1
totals 246:17,22	118:23 119:12 136:9 139:19 146:9 169:16	71:8	65:19 74:11 100:15
track 42:2 48:21 49:4	180:18 198:23 200:17	uncertainty 229:13	194:6
142:18	210:17 216:15 221:8	uncollectible 42:25	universities 37:6
tracked 41:10 42:15	224:12 227:21,25	43:2,8 66:5 68:3 70:8	unknown 93:18,25
150:19	236:8 238:8 248:15	73:12 74:1,23 94:17	205:9
tracker 64:5 166:8	251:20	149:4 181:20,24	unlike 51:3,7 53:20
trackers 46:16	Trust's 250:4	186:17 207:15	61:20
tracking 131:16,20	truth 157:16	uncollectibles	unmute 76:23,24
142:5 167:12 181:20,	turn 43:6 79:16 85:21	149:4,7,14,16,21 150:1 182:5,10 231:16	78:13 218:3
23,25	95:7 118:10 138:7,17	250:19	unmuted 76:11 78:12
tradeoff 150:4	147:21 164:19 165:18		
	166:13 168:11 181:7,		
	I	I	l .

EU-2020-0B:50ex: Voodobjectionable..Woodsmall

ehicle 46:17 erified 133:11 erify 246:21 ersion 80:16 155:17, 18 159:21 160:6 203:18,25 204:3 ersions 155:11 191:15 202:22 204:4 ersus 128:23 156:14 207:19 fice 155:7 184:2 ideo 26:5 27:3,4,5 28:7,9,10,12,16,17,19 35:25 109:11 116:25 121:14 126:25 201:12 218:2 220:1 225:1 232:1,13	235:17 waiting 89:25 167:25 waive 83:24 waived 41:25 202:18 waiver 71:15 228:25 waiving 38:21 44:4 47:5 83:14 228:21 walk 227:7 walked 49:2 wanted 33:11 35:4,22 96:20 97:3 107:3 109:8,10,15,22 115:25 116:2 119:16 120:8 128:12 130:20 131:9 143:10 145:2 200:1 213:20	West 23:8 24:19 42:4 129:25 133:8,18 150:20,25 174:7 175:2,10 176:6,17 207:24 West's 246:9 Westar 179:8 Westenkirtner 188:5 whichever 34:8 wide 177:5 widely 69:9 William 23:14 win 62:19 wins 62:21
erify 246:21 ersion 80:16 155:17, 18 159:21 160:6 203:18,25 204:3 ersions 155:11 191:15 202:22 204:4 ersus 128:23 156:14 207:19 'ice 155:7 184:2 ideo 26:5 27:3,4,5 28:7,9,10,12,16,17,19 35:25 109:11 116:25 121:14 126:25 201:12 218:2 220:1 225:1	 waive 83:24 waived 41:25 202:18 waiver 71:15 228:25 waiving 38:21 44:4 47:5 83:14 228:21 walk 227:7 walked 49:2 wanted 33:11 35:4,22 96:20 97:3 107:3 109:8,10,15,22 115:25 116:2 119:16 120:8 128:12 130:20 131:9 143:10 145:2 200:1 213:20 	150:20,25 174:7 175:2,10 176:6,17 207:24 West's 246:9 Westar 179:8 Westenkirtner 188:5 whichever 34:8 wide 177:5 widely 69:9 William 23:14 win 62:19
ersion 80:16 155:17, 18 159:21 160:6 203:18,25 204:3 ersions 155:11 191:15 202:22 204:4 ersus 128:23 156:14 207:19 fice 155:7 184:2 ideo 26:5 27:3,4,5 28:7,9,10,12,16,17,19 35:25 109:11 116:25 121:14 126:25 201:12 218:2 220:1 225:1	 waived 41:25 202:18 waiver 71:15 228:25 waiving 38:21 44:4 47:5 83:14 228:21 walk 227:7 walked 49:2 wanted 33:11 35:4,22 96:20 97:3 107:3 109:8,10,15,22 115:25 116:2 119:16 120:8 128:12 130:20 131:9 143:10 145:2 200:1 213:20 	175:2,10 176:6,17 207:24 West's 246:9 Westar 179:8 Westenkirtner 188:5 whichever 34:8 wide 177:5 widely 69:9 William 23:14 win 62:19
18 159:21 160:6 203:18,25 204:3 ersions 155:11 191:15 202:22 204:4 ersus 128:23 156:14 207:19 'ice 155:7 184:2 ideo 26:5 27:3,4,5 28:7,9,10,12,16,17,19 35:25 109:11 116:25 121:14 126:25 201:12 218:2 220:1 225:1	 waiver 71:15 228:25 waiving 38:21 44:4 47:5 83:14 228:21 walk 227:7 walked 49:2 wanted 33:11 35:4,22 96:20 97:3 107:3 109:8,10,15,22 115:25 116:2 119:16 120:8 128:12 130:20 131:9 143:10 145:2 200:1 213:20 	West's 246:9 Westar 179:8 Westenkirtner 188:5 whichever 34:8 wide 177:5 widely 69:9 William 23:14 win 62:19
203:18,25 204:3 ersions 155:11 191:15 202:22 204:4 ersus 128:23 156:14 207:19 /ice 155:7 184:2 ideo 26:5 27:3,4,5 28:7,9,10,12,16,17,19 35:25 109:11 116:25 121:14 126:25 201:12 218:2 220:1 225:1	 waiving 38:21 44:4 47:5 83:14 228:21 walk 227:7 walked 49:2 wanted 33:11 35:4,22 96:20 97:3 107:3 109:8,10,15,22 115:25 116:2 119:16 120:8 128:12 130:20 131:9 143:10 145:2 200:1 213:20 	Westenkirtner 188:5 whichever 34:8 wide 177:5 widely 69:9 William 23:14 win 62:19
191:15 202:22 204:4 ersus 128:23 156:14 207:19 'ice 155:7 184:2 ideo 26:5 27:3,4,5 28:7,9,10,12,16,17,19 35:25 109:11 116:25 121:14 126:25 201:12 218:2 220:1 225:1	47:5 83:14 228:21 walk 227:7 walked 49:2 wanted 33:11 35:4,22 96:20 97:3 107:3 109:8,10,15,22 115:25 116:2 119:16 120:8 128:12 130:20 131:9 143:10 145:2 200:1 213:20	Westenkirtner 188:5 whichever 34:8 wide 177:5 widely 69:9 William 23:14 win 62:19
ersus 128:23 156:14 207:19 'ice 155:7 184:2 ideo 26:5 27:3,4,5 28:7,9,10,12,16,17,19 35:25 109:11 116:25 121:14 126:25 201:12 218:2 220:1 225:1	walked 49:2 wanted 33:11 35:4,22 96:20 97:3 107:3 109:8,10,15,22 115:25 116:2 119:16 120:8 128:12 130:20 131:9 143:10 145:2 200:1 213:20	whichever 34:8 wide 177:5 widely 69:9 William 23:14 win 62:19
207:19 'ice 155:7 184:2 ideo 26:5 27:3,4,5 28:7,9,10,12,16,17,19 35:25 109:11 116:25 121:14 126:25 201:12 218:2 220:1 225:1	wanted 33:11 35:4,22 96:20 97:3 107:3 109:8,10,15,22 115:25 116:2 119:16 120:8 128:12 130:20 131:9 143:10 145:2 200:1 213:20	wide 177:5 widely 69:9 William 23:14 win 62:19
ideo 26:5 27:3,4,5 28:7,9,10,12,16,17,19 35:25 109:11 116:25 121:14 126:25 201:12 218:2 220:1 225:1	96:20 97:3 107:3 109:8,10,15,22 115:25 116:2 119:16 120:8 128:12 130:20 131:9 143:10 145:2 200:1 213:20	William 23:14 win 62:19
28:7,9,10,12,16,17,19 35:25 109:11 116:25 121:14 126:25 201:12 218:2 220:1 225:1	109:8,10,15,22 115:25 116:2 119:16 120:8 128:12 130:20 131:9 143:10 145:2 200:1 213:20	win 62:19
35:25 109:11 116:25 121:14 126:25 201:12 218:2 220:1 225:1	128:12 130:20 131:9 143:10 145:2 200:1 213:20	
121:14 126:25 201:12 218:2 220:1 225:1	143:10 145:2 200:1 213:20	wins 62:21
		winter 71:24
iew 230:15	-	wintertime 95:1
iews 69:23	-	witness's 178:9
ignette 197:18	61:19 72:10 105:2	240:16 241:2
irtual 37:7	170:6 172:5 230:25	witnesses 28:15,17 33:24 37:2 51:24 52:2
irtually 40:6 59:13	Water's 105:9 170:12	63:5 64:14 65:6,9 115:17 121:1,9 201:5,
irus 37:11,14	wave 96:19	7 236:19 252:14,15
isible 28:16	ways 70:18	wondering 115:12
oice 27:25	weather 43:16 46:17	199:19,23 236:17 248:25
olume 79:17 144:22	10 228:4 233:10,12,	Woo-hoo 140:15
olumetric 53:1,7	16,17,21	
54:12	weatherization	Woodsmall 26:1,2,4 34:5,6,11 57:2,3 85:1
oluntarily 108:15		109:15,17 124:10,11,
		13,15 126:22,24 127:1,12,16,19,24
2	-	128:1,2,5,6 132:2
		145:6,24,25 146:18 162:17,18 163:22,25
	232:10	180:10 196:17 200:11
69:10 70:17	week 98:22 99:8	209:14 214:19 220:25 224:3 232:23 237:25
		238:22 239:6,11,24
W	-	240:2,6 241:15,16,23 242:2 243:4,11,16,24
/age 66:18	weeks 106:21	244:10 252:3,5
	iews 69:23 ignette 197:18 irtual 37:7 irtually 40:6 59:13 irus 37:11,14 isible 28:16 oice 27:25 olume 79:17 144:22 olumetric 53:1,7 54:12 oluntarily 108:15 171:1 oluntary 108:17 126:5 olunteer 38:19 ulnerable 66:4 69:10 70:17 W	232:1,13 wanting 145:9 iew 230:15 watching 89:3 95:2 iews 69:23 water 24:9 37:25 47:3 ignette 197:18 61:19 72:10 105:2 irtual 37:7 170:6 172:5 230:25 irtually 40:6 59:13 Water's 105:9 170:12 irtus 37:11,14 wave 96:19 isible 28:16 ways 70:18 oice 27:25 Water's 105:9 170:12 olumetric 53:1,7 weather 43:16 46:17 62:7 171:22 210:4,7, 10 228:4 233:10,12, 16,17,21 weatherization 69:14,16 251:1 69:14,16 251:1 Webstream 29:12 webstream 29:12 week 98:22 99:8 197:7,9,10 203:13 weekly 29:15 weeks 106:21

EU-2020-0350, ModexIIWoodsmall's..Zobrist

Woodsmall's 200:10	Y
word 130:4 230:12 242:19 251:14	·
	Y2k 46:19
words 67:3 81:15 112:17 202:16 231:6	Yay 140:16
work 30:24 41:17 78:24 80:9,11 96:12 102:12 113:20 140:8 159:18 161:15 172:3 178:6 189:19 194:9 205:2 215:25 217:7 227:20 241:12	year 84:8 113:20,21 114:4 123:6 137:9,11, 13 153:6,15 168:10 172:15 182:24 197:11, 24 198:3 211:2 years 114:5 174:19 179:13 198:16 211:6
worked 78:22 114:7 185:25	216:1 yield 214:4
workforce 39:17	·
working 37:2 91:16 201:12 217:23	Z
works 154:12 189:20 240:25 247:1	Zobrist 24:22 25:4,7, 9 89:13,15,24 154:14, 17 155:3 157:1,21
workshop 72:1,6,8	158:10 159:1 160:13,
world 62:17	14,15,23 161:6,17 165:23 166:15 180:23,
worry 97:7	24 183:24 184:13
worse 63:21	188:2,4,8,10,12,13 189:13,24 190:6,12, 14,17 191:1 192:8,15, 17 196:9 200:5 204:18,21,24 209:7 213:21 220:14 244:14, 18 248:1 251:11
worst 72:16	
worth 71:13 73:19 184:8	
worthless 58:3	
wrap 115:18	
write-offs 43:2,5 128:24 129:9,11 171:20 208:2,3,4,5 246:15,23,24 247:3,6, 7	
writing 234:17	
written 81:16 128:16 241:7	
wrong 106:1,13	
X	
Xcel 73:15	