NOV 1 3 2006 Missouri Public Service Commission

(``;

Exhibit No.: Issue: Incentive Compensation; Executive Compensation Witness: David Cross Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Kansas City Power & Light Company Case No.: ER-2006-0314 Date Testimony Prepared: September 8, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO.: ER-2006-0314

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

DAVID CROSS

ON BEHALF OF

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Kansas City, Missouri September 2006

"** Information has been Removed. "Highly Confidential" Information has been Removed from Certain Schedules Attached to this Testimony Designated ("HC") Pursuant To The Standard Protective Order.

Case No(s). Date_____ no.

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

(];

· · · _____

\mathbf{OF}

DAVID CROSS

Case No. ER-2006-0314

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is David Cross. My business address is 1717 Arch St. 27th Floor, Philadelphia,
3		Pennsylvania 19103.
4	Q.	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
5	A.	I am employed by Mercer Human Resource Consulting in the Human Capital Advisory
6		Services business. Within that business I am a Principal and senior consultant.
7	Q.	What are your responsibilities?
8	A.	I am the senior consultant working with Mercer clients in the area of executive
9		compensation. Specifically, I provide consulting services to senior executives and
10		Boards of Directors regarding issues related to the level and character of executive
11		compensation programs. To be even more specific, I specialize in providing such
12		services to the utility industry where I have worked with over 50 companies in that
13		industry. This includes utilities in electric and gas operations in both the regulated and
14		non-regulated environments.
15	Q.	Please describe your education, experience and employment history.
16	A.	I earned my B.A. in Political Science from Cleveland State University in 1983. I have
17		also completed all coursework towards a Ph. D. in Political Science from the University
18		of Maryland. I began my career in Human Resources when I was hired as the Manager

$\int 1$		of Human Resource Information at the Edison Electric Institute ("EEI") in 1987. In that
2		capacity I was responsible for the development and administration of all compensation
3		and benefit surveys for the investor-owned utility industry. Subsequent to my work at
4		EEI, I was hired in 1993 by Towers Perrin in Washington, DC and then transferred to
5		their office in Philadelphia. My role at Towers Perrin was as an Associate with
6		responsibility for project management on executive compensation related consulting
7		assignments. In 1998 I left Towers Perrin and joined Mercer Human Resource
8		Consulting (then known as William M. Mercer) as a Senior Associate. In 1999 I was
9		promoted to Principal which meant I was the primary or most senior consultant on
10		Mercer engagements. As a senior consultant at Mercer I am the firm's senior and most
11		experienced consultant on issues related to executive compensation within the utility
12		industry.
13	Q.	Have you previously testified in a proceeding at the Missouri Public Service
14		Commission ("MPSC") or before any other utility regulatory agency?
15	А.	I have never testified before the MPSC, but I have testified before the Illinois Public
16		Utility Commission.
17	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
18	A.	I am providing rebuttal testimony to MPSC Staff's proposed disallowance of short-term
19		
		and long-term incentive compensation in the rate structure of Kansas City Power & Light
20		and long-term incentive compensation in the rate structure of Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCPL" or "Company"). In my professional opinion, the use of incentives
20 21		
		Company ("KCPL" or "Company"). In my professional opinion, the use of incentives

range of customer service measures and is why financial metrics such as earnings, Return on Equity and Total Shareholder Return are the most common measures used in incentive plans across the utility industry.

4

1

2

3

Q. Please describe the Incentive Compensation issue.

5 In short, I disagree with Staff witness Harris' assertion that the measures in KCPL's A. 6 incentive plans do not support customer interests. In my professional opinion and in 7 support of KCPL, I disagree with the Staff's disallowance of performance-based 8 incentive compensation that is awarded on the basis of achieving financial goals tied to 9 earnings per share ("EPS") or in the use of equity as part of the Long-Term Incentive 10 component. Staff witness Harris appears to believe that achieving financial objectives 11 does not significantly benefit customers and does not improve utility operations as a 12 whole.

13 Q. Why do you disagree with the Staff's proposed disallowance of incentive

14 compensation that is based on financial goals tied to earnings per share?

A. I disagree with the Staff's proposed disallowance of incentive compensation that is based
on the financial goals tied to EPS because a financially sound and stable company
provides a direct benefit to all stakeholders including, employees, customers,

18 shareholders and the community in which the company operates.

19 Q. Please explain how customers benefit from KCPL achieving financial objectives.

20 A. Customers benefit in a number of ways by KCPL achieving its financial objectives:

KCPL must provide a market competitive compensation plan to attract and retain
 highly qualified and experienced employees. It is to the benefit of the customers to
 provide a competitive salary package, including incentives, to build a strong management

team and a team of highly qualified employees who are driven to provide high levels of customer service and who deliver safe and reliable electric service. If KCPL fails to hire highly qualified people, the Company's operations would most certainly suffer which would have a direct impact on customers.

1

2

3

4

5 2) Because of KCPL's solid financial performance from an earnings perspective, it is 6 in a position to undertake the Comprehensive Energy Plan, directly benefiting the 7 customers and the community. The Plan will supply predictable, low-cost, clean and 8 efficient energy to meet the needs of the growing Kansas City area for years to come, and 9 maintain the region's ability to retain and create jobs through continued economic 10 growth. The Plan also reduces the potential that KCPL will have to rely on the volatile 11 wholesale energy market to meet future demand.

Customers benefit when the Company is strong financially as the Company is
 able to raise the capital needed to fund the ongoing construction projects associated with
 the Comprehensive Energy Plan. A solid financial foundation means that the Company
 receives more favorable rates on capital, reducing the overall costs that ultimately get
 charged to customers.

17 4) In my view, a utility can only deliver strong financial success through strong
18 operational performance. In effect, by measuring financial performance you are
19 measuring operational performance. I have worked with many utilities that have built
20 their incentive plans to be based on financial performance because there is the explicit
21 recognition that such measures reflect the performance of a wide range of operations.
22 One common set of financial measures provides a simple incentive structure while
23 reflecting the kind of operational performance that KCPL customers want.

KCPL takes a balanced approach in their incentive plan goals. The Company
strives to be strong both financially and operationally, therefore the Company uses a mix
of scorecard goals to focus results on these two areas. It is to the benefit of KCPL's
customers for the Company to provide solid financial results to help maintain a high level
of performance in key operational measures such as customer satisfaction, system
reliability, plant availability and safety.

7 Q. What incentive compensation plans does KCPL offer to its employees?

8 A. KCPL and its parent company, Great Plains Energy ("GPE"), provided the following 9 annual or short-term incentive compensation plans to its employees in 2005: (i) GPE / 10 KCPL Annual Incentive Plan - Company Executives ("Officer Plan"): (ii) ValueLink 11 Incentive Plan – management (non-union) employees ("ValueLink"); (iii) PMG Incentive 12 Plan - management (non-union) employees in the Power Sales & Services department 13 ("PMG"); and (iv) Rewards Incentive Plan - bargaining unit (union) employees 14 ("Rewards"). The Company also provides a long-term incentive plan ("LTIP") for 15 Company executives.

16 Q. Why does the Company offer incentive compensation?

A. GPE / KCPL is a performance driven company. As such, it strives to have a
compensation system that rewards high levels of performance. The Company's
compensation philosophy is designed to recognize and reward outstanding performance,
support the Company's goals for employees, customers and shareholders and to support

21 the Company's strategic intent to demonstrate leadership in supplying and delivering

electricity and energy solutions to meet customer needs.

In order to support and fulfill its strategic intent, the Company must attract and
 retain highly qualified and experienced employees and align pay with performance. To
 do this, the Company offers a market competitive total compensation package that
 includes base pay, short-term incentives and employee benefits. For officers, the
 Company includes a long-term incentive plan as well.

6 Incentive compensation is 'at-risk,' which means that it is not guaranteed. The 7 Company requires employees to achieve strong financial and operations results in order 8 to earn this portion of their overall compensation. If employees do not meet these goals, 9 no incentive compensation is paid. The absence of these incentive plans would put GPE / 10 KCPL at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace in which it competes for talent. 11 The Company believes that in the absence of these incentive plans, it would have to 12 increase base wages to remain competitive, which would add to fixed costs and would 13 decrease the emphasis on key business objectives.

14 Q. How common is the use of incentive compensation?

15 Α. The use of incentive compensation is a common practice in the utility industry and in 16 general industry. As noted before, I specialize in the utility industry and am not currently 17 aware of any public investor-owned utility that does not offer base salary, annual 18 incentives, and some type of long-term (multi-year) incentive plan as part of its standard 19 executive package. Furthermore, it is common for utilities to offer annual incentive plans 20 to non-executive employees. Based on our surveys of incentive practices, for employees 21 below the officer level, we find that over 90% of all utilities provide annual incentives for 22 Directors and Managers and approximately 65% of technical staff have such programs.

23 Q. How are the short-term incentive plans funded?

(<u> </u>	A.	The 2005 Officer, ValueLink and Rewards plans were funded based on GPE EPS.
l	2		Funding was based on a sliding scale from a threshold level of **
	3		level of ** ** and a maximum level of ** **. The plan would
	4		fund at 50% of target at the threshold level, 100% of target at the target level and 150%
	5		of target at the maximum level. Unless the EPS threshold level of **
	6		met, there would not have been a payout under the plans. The 2005 plan funded at the
	7		maximum level based on an EPS of ** EXAMPLE**. By using EPS as the funding
	8		mechanism, the Company must be profitable in order to pay a short-term incentive.
	9	Q.	On p. 7, lines 6-9, of his direct testimony, witness Harris compares KCPL's EPS and
I	10		ROE to that of GPE. Please comment.
	11	A.	I do not understand the reason for this comparison. Of course the EPS and ROE of the
	12		two companies will be different. Mr. Harris notes that had KCPL's EPS been used in the
	13		benchmark, no KCPL employee would have received incentive compensation in 2005.
	14		KCPL's EPS did not fund the plan, but was, in fact, used as a financial scorecard goal in
	15		the KCPL employees' incentive plans.
	16	Q.	Once the plans were funded, how did participants earn an incentive award?
	17	A.	Once the plan has been funded, participants in the Officer, ValueLink, and Rewards
ļ	18		incentive plans earned the incentive based on their incentive target established for their
ľ	19		position, achievement of selected key GPE and KCPL scorecard goals and their
	20		individual performance.
	21		The scorecard goals are taken from a set of performance metrics, which KCPL
	22		uses to track performance. These performance metrics were not designed specifically for
	23		use in the Company incentive plans, contrary to Mr. Harris' statement of p. 9, line 22 of
1			

•

1 his direct testimony. Rather the performance metrics are used to measure business 2 performance in other contexts as well. Because the Company is a performance-driven 3 company, the decision was made to use a select number of key financial and operational 4 goals from the GPE, KCPL and Divisional scorecards in the incentive plan to motivate 5 employees to achieve these key goals and to align the focus of the goals at all levels of 6 the organization. By using these measures the Company proposes that strong operational 7 performance across a wide range of measures will lead to financial success - but central 8 to the definition of performance are sound customer-oriented measures.

9 Q. What were the plan goals and weights for the 2005 incentive plans?

10 A: Plan goals and weights for the Officer plan were:

GPE Officers:		KCPL Officers:		
GPE Scorecard Goals 80%		GPE Scorecard Goals	20%	
Individual 20%		KCPL Scorecard Goals	60%	
		Individual	20%	

11

GPE COO / KCPL CEO	(W.H. Downey):
GPE Scorecard Goals	40%
KCPL Scorecard Goals	40%
Individual	20%

12

Plan goals and weights for the ValueLink and Rewards plans:						
ValueLink:		Rewards:				
KCPL Scorecard Goals	40%	KCPL Scorecard Goals	50%			
Division Scorecard Goals	40%	Division Scorecard Goals	50%			
Individual Performance	20%					

13

Plan goals and weights for the PGM I	
Generation Division Scorecard Goals	Either 40% Generation and 40% PMG
PMG Net Profit	Profit or 20% Generation and 60%
	PMG Profit depending on the position.
Individual Performance 20%	

par.s.	1		The scorecard goals for the GPE, KCPL and Divisional levels reflected a
	2		balanced mix of goals from each of the four scorecard driver categories: Financial,
	3		Customer, Internal and Learning & Innovation.
	4		Individual performance contributed to the remaining incentive earned and was
	5		weighted at 20% for all short term plans except the Rewards plan. Individual
	6		performance was earned based on the employee's contribution to plan goals and their
	7		demonstration of core competencies (e.g., commitment to the customer, leadership,
	8		teamwork). In the case of the ValueLink and PMG incentive plans, the individual
	9		performance incentive was directly linked to the employee's overall performance rating
	10		from their yearly performance appraisal.
	11	Q.	Explain what happened with funded but 'unearned' incentive dollars.
	12	А.	Incentive dollars that were funded but 'unearned' were redistributed back to plan
-	13		participants. The redistribution was based on scorecard goal achievement. Those
	14		individuals or divisions that had higher levels of scorecard achievement receive a higher
	15		proportion of the redistributed funds. The redistribution in the ValueLink and Rewards
	16		plans were minimal as the cumulative achievement of the KCPL scorecard goals were
	17		100% met and a majority of divisions achieved 100% of their scorecard goals.
	18		Redistribution within the Officer plan was fairly evenly distributed with the GPE officers
	19		receiving a proportionally higher share based on a slightly higher level of overall
	20		scorecard achievement.
	21	Q.	One of Mr. Harris' criticisms is that "EPS is the primary goal for all of the GPE and
	22		KCPL incentive plans." (p. 6, line 18). How much weight did the EPS scorecard goal
	23		carry in the overall incentive?

(

A. On the GPE Scorecard, the financial category included four goals equally weighted at
 10% for a total weight of 40%. GPE EPS was one of the four goals in the financial
 category. On the KCPL Scorecard, KCPL EPS was the only financial goal weighted at
 40%.

For GPE officers, the GPE EPS goal represented only 8% of the overall incentive,
(80% GPE Scorecard times 10% GPE EPS goal weight). For KCPL officers, EPS (GPE
and KCPL) represented 26% of the overall incentive, (20% GPE Scorecard times
10% GPE EPS goal weight, plus 60% KCPL Scorecard times 40% KCPL EPS goal
weight). For Mr. Downey, EPS (GPE and KCPL) represented 20% of the overall
incentive, (40% GPE Scorecard times 10% GPE EPS goal weight, plus 40% KCPL
Scorecard times 40% KCPL EPS goal weight).

In the Valuelink Plan KCPL EPS represented 16% of the overall incentive 40% times 40% and in the Rewards Plan, KCPL EPS represented 20% of the overall incentive, 50% times 40%.

15 While EPS was a primary goal by weight for most groups, it was not the primary 16 goal for all of the GPE and KCPL incentive compensation plans. For example, the KCPL 17 JD Powers Customer Satisfaction Index from the Customer category of the GPE 18 Scorecard goal actually carried a greater weight, at 10% total (80% GPE Scorecard times 19 12.5% goal weight), for GPE officers than did GPE EPS. In the Valuelink and Rewards 20 plans, the Net Generation goal carried a total weight of 22% and 27.5%, respectively, for 21 employees in the Generation Services group. Aside from the Rewards plan, individual 22 performance carried a greater total weight than EPS.

23 Q. Has the plan design changed for the 2006 short-term incentive plans?

A. Yes, the plan design has changed for all short-term incentive plans. KCPL evaluates the
 incentive plans annually, making changes as appropriate to ensure that they continue to
 support the Company's performance and strategic goals.

4 Q. Can you please explain the plan design changes for 2006?

A. For the Officer plan, EPS will no longer fund the entire plan. EPS will still be a
component of the plan to ensure the financial strength of the Company, but the incentive
plan will fund independently based on achievement of plan goals. The plan design is as
follows:

GPE Officers:	KCPL Officers:
50% - Financial Objectives (GPE Core EPS)	50% - Financial Objectives (KCPL Core EPS)
30% - Key Business Objectives	30% - Key Business Objectives
20% - Individual Performance	20% - Individual Performance

9

10 The key business objectives consist of six select scorecard goals focused on operational 11 excellence, reliability, customer satisfaction and a commitment to the Company's 12 strategic intent. There are no EPS-specific goals included in the Key Business 13 Objectives. 14 For the ValueLink and Rewards plans, EPS will continue to fund the plan, but 15 EPS has been eliminated as a specific scorecard plan goal. As stated earlier, using EPS 16 as the funding mechanism simply means that KCPL must be profitable in order to pay a 17 short-term incentive. For 2006, KCPL EPS will fund the plan for all KCPL employees to 18 enhance the plan by basing it on measures which people believe they can more directly 19 impact. We typically refer to this concept as 'line of sight'.

20 The scorecard weighting will remain the same for 2006.

ValueLink:		Rewards:	
KCPL Scorecard Goals	40%	KCPL Scorecard Goals	50%
Division Scorecard Goals	40%	Division Scorecard Goals	50%
Individual Performance	20%		

The selected goals from the 2006 KCPL and Divisional scorecards are focused on
operational excellence, reliability, customer satisfaction and a commitment to the
Company's strategic intent.

1

5 Q. Why does KCPL disagree with the Staff's proposed disallowance of incentive 6 compensation that is based on individual performance?

7 A. Even if the Commission were to agree with Staff's theory that an incentive plan tied to 8 EPS does not benefit customers, the Commission should reject Staff's adjustment 9 concerning individual performance. Individual performance is a key component of the 10 Company's compensation philosophy. Performance pay enables the Company to 11 recognize and reward the outstanding performance of its officers and employees. The 12 individual performance piece of incentive compensation provides motivation for 13 executives and employees to achieve strong short-term and long-term financial and 14 operational goals. It helps align management interests with those of our stakeholders, 15 including fellow employees, customers and the community at-large.

While no formal objectives were identified for the individual component of the 2005 Officer Plan, officers earned this piece of the incentive through their demonstrated commitment to achieving their division's operational goals, their commitment to creating a winning culture, their contributions to the Comprehensive Energy Plan and their community commitment. Board members made a determination, with input from the CEO, of not just what was achieved, but how it was achieved.

The Officers' commitment to creating a winning culture enhances employees' involvement and engagement, creating an environment where the employee is encouraged to act on behalf of the customers by providing a high level of customer service and by promoting teamwork and innovative thinking in maintaining reliable electric service.

1

2

3

4

5

A key component of the community strategy is engaging our officers in efforts
that help improve the communities in our service territory. Officers are encouraged to
take leadership roles with agencies that support the Community Strategy focus areas.
They dedicate their time as board and committee members offering their expertise in
many areas as well as assisting groups with ongoing programming needs.

11 The objectives used to pay the individual performance piece of the ValueLink 12 plan are very well defined. An employee's year-end performance rating is directly linked 13 to the award an employee receives for the individual performance component. An 14 employee's performance is assessed annually against predetermined and specific 15 individual performance goals and core Company competencies. Please see Schedule 16 DC-1 and Schedule DC-2 for examples of actual individual performance plan goals. 17 There is a direct link between these performance goals and a benefit to customers. These 18 performance-based goals serve as the core of the performance pay philosophy and align 19 with Company and division scorecard goals, reflecting a balanced mix of financial, 20 customer, operational and developmental goals related to KCPL business objectives.

\sim	1		There are six rating categories used to assign an overall rating for employees.			
₹.÷	2		The ratings from top to bottom are:			
	3		• Distinguished			
	4		• Successful +			
	5		Successful			
	6		• Successful –			
	7		Needs Improvement			
	8		• Unacceptable			
	9		The plan has established a targeted incentive level based on the employee's			
	10		position and overall performance. While management has some discretion available to			
	11		them in determining individual awards, they generally must follow a set of published			
	12		guidelines that ensure that the approximate target level of individual incentive is			
	13		achieved. See Schedule DC-3 for a copy of these guidelines. These decisions are			
	14		typically made by a group of Division Managers and are approved by senior			
	15		management.			
	16		The individual performance component is tied to specific performance goals that			
	17		are outlined in an annual performance plan. Because these goals are linked to key			
	18		operational and customer goals, they directly benefit customers as well as shareholders.			
	19		Therefore, the costs associated with this piece of the incentive should not be disallowed.			
	20	Q.	Do you have any comments regarding Staff's testimony regarding the Long-Term			
	21		Incentive Plan under review?			
	22		Yes, I do. The comments I wish to make relate to the importance of Long-Term			
	23		Incentives for KCPL and that these incentives should be in the regulated rate structure.			

In our survey information, Long-Term Incentives are the most prominent element of
executive compensation. Long-Term Incentives make up 40% to 60% of an executive's
Total Direct Compensation (salary and short-term incentives make up the balance of
Direct Compensation). If Long-Term Incentive is excluded, GPE / KCPL will clearly be
at a competitive disadvantage in the Company's ability to attract and retain high caliber
executive talent. Having the right talent could have an even greater impact on the
Company's ability to support its customers' needs.

8 The balance of my comments are, to a great extent, similar to those made related 9 to the Short-Term Incentive Plan and incentives in general. Long-Term Incentive plans 10 by their nature are more complex than annual plans because they are typically 11 denominated in stock. Because of that complexity, I believe Staff witness Harris 12 misunderstands several aspects of the plan that need additional clarity here. First, as I 13 testified earlier, the application of financial metrics in any incentive plan is good for a 14 number of reasons: strong financial performance can best be achieved in a utility 15 environment by strong, sustained operational performance – this benefits customers. 16 Furthermore, financial measures across an entire executive population are appropriate to 17 ensure a common performance focus. Virtually all regulated utilities recognize the need 18 for Long-Term Incentive Plans as a necessary cost of doing business and is why our 19 survey information suggests that over 80% of all utilities have such plans and 100% of 20 the utilities we reviewed in our review for KCPL. Secondly, Mr. Harris testifies that 21 since Long-Term Incentives are delivered in stock, it is not cash and thus should be 22 disallowed. The view that this is not cash may be accurate, but there is clearly an 23 expense to any equity grant in today's corporate environment. In short, just because a

1 plan is delivered in stock does not mean that there is no associated cost. Third, 2 Mr. Harris states that the expense in the review year reflects a 'double' payment, which 3 again may be accurate, but it is misleading. The 'double' payment is reflective of the fact 4 that there was no equity payment to GPE / KCPL executives in 2004 and the double 5 payment was for both 2004 and 2005. The reason for the missed payment year was due 6 to the development of a new plan and to ensure that this new plan was both reasonable 7 and appropriate for GPE / KCPL customers and shareholders alike. It was responsible to 8 KCPL customers and shareholders that the Company not hastily put in a plan in 2004 9 until it was clearly recognized as being right for all stakeholders. This meant waiting 10 through 2004 and putting the plan in place in 2005, which in fairness to KCPL executives 11 warrants a 'double' payment.

12 Q. Does that conclude your testimony?

13 A. Yes, it does.

ł

IDEAL

MO Schedule DC - 1

.

(;

NAME:	TITLE: Shift Foreman	REVIEW PERIOD		
DEPT:	LOCATION:	FROM:	TO:	
EVALUATOR:		DIVISION: Generation Service	es	

Performance Review

Objectives (50%)

Financial (Co	st control and fi	nancial respons	ibility)	Weight:	
		Obje	ctive		
 Station non-ft. Station capita Contribute to repairs. Speci Maintain an a 	iel O&M ¢/kwh and station I actual within 10% of Ger the development of the ca fic emphasis is on the 200	n O&M actual within 5% on n. Services Operating Buo pital and O&M budget by 07/2008 budget cycle. s of station budget (O&M	of Gen. Services Opera dget r identifying justifiable p and Capital). Use that	card. Measures and examp ting Budget projects and system/equipme awareness in decision-mak	ent upgrades and
Mid-Year Results	en ander ander ander State ander ander ander	5月1日(5月27日) 1月1日(5月27日) 1月1日(5月1日) 1月1日) 1月1日(5月1日) 1月1日(5月1日) 1月1日) 1月1日(5月1日) 1月1日(5月1日) 1月1日) 1月1日(5月1日) 1月1日) 1月1日(5月11日) 1月1日) 1月1日(5月11日) 1月1日) 1月1日(5月11日) 1月1日) 1月1日(5月11日) 1月1日) 1月1日(5月11日) 1月1日) 1月1日(5月11日) 1月1日) 1月1日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日) 1月11日(5月11日) 1月11日)	Year-End Resul	ts	
				·····	
Distinguished	Successful +	Successful	Successful -	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
Improve station perform Ensure powe	ustomer focused hance through activities the r dispatcher is informed o im to full load.	Obje at are customer focused.		Weight: are: Iso ensure the power dispate	cher is kept informed of
Ensure prope Ensure accur Ensure accur Ensure accur Ensure unit is	er action is taken to mainta ate and timely NERC rep rate and time plant incider	orting is completed. It reports are completed.) outage scheduled start	time (i.e., cool-down, I	water and waste policies and notes policies and notes placed, boiler doors op	-
Mid-Year Results			Year-End Resu	lts	
Distinguished	Successful +	Successful	Successful -	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable



Internal (Proc	ess Improvemei	nts and efficient	ies)	Weight:	and a straight of the second secon
and the strength of the		Obje	ctive		Market Careford
 Ensure weekly Ensure timely Monitor and m Take action to cycle chemist Participate in Contribute to timely fashion Consistently p maintain stati 	y equipment changes are accurate and thorough v naintain and awareness of o optimize station heat rate minimize EAL due BTF. ry limits, etc.) the weekly planning process maximizing station net gen)	done. work requests are submitt f controllable losses and f e. (ex, control unit ramp ra ess. en. & EAF. (ex., identify ed	ed. take action to minimize te, ensure sound sooth quip. problems before t or your assigned area	nowing practices, control Sh hey cause a de-rate, return of responsibility and ensure	H & RH temps.,maintain unit to full load in a
Mid-Year Results			Year-End Resul	ls	
Distinguished	Successful +		Successful	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
Contribute to learning a Identify and c Participate in Attend fire bri Conduct effer awareness pr Ensure suppl	igade leader and confined ctive shift briefings and pr rogram, etc.) that ensures lemental training is sched enhance internal commu	Obje ion. Examples of activities active. Ining and safety such as I I space rescue refresher ovide other safety manag an OSHA incident rate o uled and completed in act nications. Examples of thi	ctive include: DCS enhancements, P raining. ement actions (improm f 3.18 or tess. cordance with JATC gu s include communication	D updates, automated hold uptu meetings, counseling, s idelines.	ponsor personal safety
 Improve and departments, 	providing updates on sta	tus of the station, division	and corporate balance	ed scorecard, etc.	
 Improve and departments, Conduct and representativ Submit and c Attend a mini investigations 	document an annual emp es any required training th complete one approved sa imum of 11 Monthly Safet s, OSHA type inspections	ployee safety review for e hat is identified through the afety recommendation. y Meetings and participate	ach employee you are is process e in other safety initiati programs).	assigned. Coordinate with F	Plant Services
 Improve and departments, Conduct and representativ Submit and c Attend a mini 	document an annual emp es any required training th complete one approved sa imum of 11 Monthly Safet s, OSHA type inspections	ployee safety review for e hat is identified through the afety recommendation. y Meetings and participate	ach employee you are is process e in other safety initiati	assigned. Coordinate with F	Plant Services

(



(Competencies (50%)

.

IDEAL

I Inspired Leader	ship		Weight 10%		
 Pre- Lea Enc Cre Bec 	uide with a passionate sty serves values that demon ds by example and obtain courages honesty and ope ates an environment whe comes less adverse to risk courages innovation at all	strate high integrity as results through teamwo enness re trust can grow	rk		
Mid-Year Results			Year-End Result	変化 あんがい かんしん ひんしゃ しゅんがん たいやく	
	an tu Yatayan an ta ana ang a	12 p. 19. 1. There is a set of the second	inandina in the experimental state of	aa. 2011.1221.1123.11	
Distinguished	Successful +	Successful	🗋 Successful –	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
D Disciplined Pr	erformance Manage	iment	Weight 10%		
the employee: Striend De Con	engthens our spirit of tean velops long-term skills, ind nsiders the impact of indiv	nwork and collaboration a cluding personal growth, r /idual work beyond one's	cross all departments necessary to improve p own group	gularty deliver "Tier 1" perfor erformance through training heir work and organizational	and development
Mid-Year Results	in a start and		Year-End Resul	ts	
Distinguished	Successful +		Successful –	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
E Engaged			Weight 10%	a Marina ang sanat	建化化 建成了相关 计分
balance. This means the Work of the Work o	ne employee: orks across departments t s a "Let's try this" attitude eates an environment of d deavors to create an envi	o create customer-driven liversity and inclusiveness	solutions	e Company, and strives for k	a healthy work-life
Mid-Year Results			Year-End Resul	CANADA A REPORT OF A CASE OF A PARTY OF A CASE AND A CA	
				<u></u>	
		RYSELECT:			
Distinguished	Successful +	Successful	Successful –	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable



Accountability	どうし しょうかいがい かいかい あたいか かくれた ひろう ひろう ひろう		Weight 10%		
		and performance, accepts	responsibility for resul	its and lives our core values	of integrity and
excellence. This means Acti	ions are consistent with co	ore values			
♦ Dev	velops an environment that	t supports straight talk an			
	s standards for individual l cuses on customer-driven	-		ntable	
	sures employee understan			cts and assignments	······································
Mid-Year Results			Year-End Result	5	
ತ್ರ ಸ್ವಾಮಾನ್ ಸ್ವಾಮಾನ		a sa a sa sa ta			a ka
Distinguished			Successful -	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
L					
L Loyalty			Weight 10%		
				ncourages employee growth	and strengthens
	mers, communities and sl velops and maintains an e				
• Cu	Itivates loyal, dedicated h	ard-working professionals			
	eserves practices that sho llows through on personal		munity, environment a	and safety	
	ills together in times of cris				
Mid-Year Results	arnal Automatica	and sing to state the	Year-End Resul	ts	NE WARE ALL REAL PROPERTY OF
				<u></u>	<u></u>
Distinguished	Successful +	Successful	Successful	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable

- --- --- --

(

Int opt IDEAL

MO Schedule DC - 2

().

NAME:	TITLE: Field Construction Supervisor	REVIEW	PERIOD	
DEPT:	LOCATION:	FROM:	то:	
EVALUATOR:		DIVISION: Distribution Oper	ations	

.....

Performance Review

Objectives (50%)

States and a state of	an a	Obje	ective		
nplement our work p	lans in Field Operations to	achieve the financial met	rics in our Distribution	Scorecard:	
&M Cost per Custor otal Cost per Custor	-				
Vid-Year Result	S		Year-End Resu	lts	
Distinguished	Successful +		Successful –	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
	ner conduct and a recent strategy and where the				
The Property of the second second	关系的标志。如果有19-101,KE-19-37,在20-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-	a particular second second second second second			Contract Variation of the second
Customer (I	Customer focuse	d initiatives)	alle and the second	Weight: 12.5%	
Customer (Customer focuse		ective	Weight: 12.5%	
		Obj		Weight: 12.5%	er Satisfaction Goals:
Monitor project const JD Powers Rating (R	ruction and track status, se es) : 97 - 101	Obj e that work is assigned a			ar Satisfaction Goals:
Monitor project const JD Powers Rating (R New Projects (STOR	ruction and track status, se es) : 97 - 101 MS) In-Service Dates Achie	Obj e that work is assigned a			ar Satisfaction Goals:
Monitor project const JD Powers Rating (R New Projects (STOR CAIDI (Southland) : 8	ruction and track status, se es) : 97 - 101 MS) In-Service Dates Achie 11.1	Obj e that work is assigned a	nd manage crews to a	chieve the following Custome	r Satisfaction Goals:
Monitor project const JD Powers Rating (R	ruction and track status, se es) : 97 - 101 MS) In-Service Dates Achie 11.1	Obj e that work is assigned a		chieve the following Custome	r Satisfaction Goals:
Monitor project const ID Powers Rating (R New Projects (STOR CAIDI (Southland) : 8	ruction and track status, se es) : 97 - 101 MS) In-Service Dates Achie 11.1	Obj e that work is assigned a	nd manage crews to a	chieve the following Custome	r Satisfaction Goals:
Monitor project const ID Powers Rating (R New Projects (STOR CAIDI (Southland) : 8	ruction and track status, se es) : 97 - 101 MS) In-Service Dates Achie 11.1	Obj e that work is assigned a	nd manage crews to a	chieve the following Custome	r Satisfaction Goals:



Weight: 12-5%

24 Martin 6 Martin 6

18.2	62	22	1.6	2.2	S. 10	84.2	899
		- 61	15	1.		25	4

ernal (Process improvements and efficiencies)

Objective

Build a positive business relationship with all internal departments and gain an understanding of how your workforce activities impact others.

Spend 1 day/quarter in field with scheduling coordinator and planning supv to review projects and build teamwork.

13.1 : Create a common understanding of the performance metrics that will improve productivity of KCPL crews and contractors by creating a crew efficiency rating of 80%.

Report crew productivity measures to all employees.

Mid-Year Results		an ann an An An Ann an Ann	Year-End Resul	ts	
Distinguished	Successful +		Successful -	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable

Learning & Innovation (Employee development and involvement) Weight: 12.5%

(Build a Winning Culture within Field Operations that promotes Safety as a central value to our daily lives. Help employees to identify areas where training and learning will build personal morale and confidence to help achieve the GPE IDEAL.)

L1.1: Ensure safety is engrained at all levels of the organization. Build upon the DuPont safety initiatives. Reinforce the value of field safety by performing at least 6 safety checks each month.

Other Goals: Supv perform joint audits with Supt. & Safety Coordinator 4 days/year; Supv/Union Safety Rep perform joint audits 2 days/year Achieve an OSHA incident rate at or below 4.0. (Division)

L2.1 Develop leadership skills by implementing innovation project to 75% completion.

Develop a program for our all center meeting in which we talk about all the positive things we do on a daily basis that impacts our performance. Engage our employees to talk about those positive things and tie that back to "GPE IDEAL" and the "Winning Culture" we are working towards. Along the way devising a way to measure how successful these group sessions have been.

Participate in three classes and or seminars to strengthen my management skills.

Mid-Year Results	si i i i i entre sub-		Year-End Resul	ts +	
·					
Distinguished	Successful +	Successful	Successful -	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable



C Competencies (50%)

IDEAL

I inspired Leader	ship		Weight 10%	saningstan Sanista Sanara (1415) - Antonis Sanara	
♦ Pre	guide with a passionate st serves values that demon ads by example and obtain	istrate high integrity		ans the employee:	
	courages honesty and ope eates an environment whe comes less adverse to risk	re trust can grow ‹	1		
Mid-Year Results	courages innovation at all	levels for greater persona	Year-End Result	CONTRACTOR STORES FOR STORES & CONTRACTORS	
	Successful +		Successful -	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
D.Disciplined Pr	erformance Manage	iment	Weight 10%		
Employees give their be the employee:	est effort, understand wha	t needs to be done to acc		gularly deliver "Tier 1" perfor	mance. This means
• Der • Cor	nsiders the impact of indiv	cluding personal growth, r vidual work beyond one's	necessary to improve p own group	performance through training	
Mid-Year Results		100 expectations and the	Year-End Resul	REAL TO THE REAL OF A DAY AND A	Silategy
	- MARING CONTRACTOR				
Distinguished	Successful +		Successful -	Needs Improvement	
E Engaged			Weight 10%/ *		
Everyone at Great Plair balance. This means th	he employee:			ne Company, and strives for	a healthy work-life
	orks across departments to is a "Let's try this" attitude eates an environment of d	liversity and inclusiveness	3	a.	
Mid-Year Results	deavors to create an envi		Year-End Resul	TO WHILE A 15 MILLS FOR THE COMPLEX SIZE A DECIDE OF SECTION SOUTH AND THE ADDRESS OF A	
		niselyanin si	ALCOS ALCON (C		
Distinguished	Successful +	Successful	Successful -	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable



◆ D ◆ S ◆ F	ctions are consistent with of evelops an environment th ets standards for individua ocuses on customer-driver insures employee understa S	at supports straight talk I behavior and performant in solutions and team-driv	nce and holds self accorrent results	ountable ojects and assignments	
Distinguished	Successful +	Successful	🗍 Successful –	Needs Improvement	Unacceptab
L. Loyalty Employees and Grea	t Plains Energy commit to	an environment of mutua	Weight 10%	t encourages employee growt	h and strengthens
] + (+ + +	stomers, communities and s Develops and maintains an Cultivates loyal, dedicated I Preserves practices that sh Follows through on persona Pulls together in times of cr	environment of loyal, de nard-working profession ow our commitment to c al commitments	dicated employees	t and safety	
• • •	WHERE A STREET AND A REAL AND A		Year-End Res	ults	
Mid-Year Result				nanggang anggang ing ing ing panggang panggang pangganggang panggang pangganggang panggang panggang panggang p Panggang panggang pang	
THE REPORT AND A REPORT OF A REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A					

-- --

.

•

ļ

SCHEDULE DC-3

 \bigcirc

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Modify Its Tariff to Begin the Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan

Case No. ER-2006-0314

AFFIDAVIT OF DAVID CROSS

)

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF JACKSON)

David Cross, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

1. My name is David Cross. I work in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and I am

employed by Mercer Human Resource Consulting an am a Principal with the firm.

- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal Testimony Sixteen (16) on behalf of Kansas City Power & Light Company consisting of SKAKAKAK (K7) pages, having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-captioned docket.
- 3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Toss

Subscribed and sworn before me this 7th day of September 2006.

Leller Notary Public

My commission expires:

BELVA FELKER REG. No. 5075306 NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF NEW YORK QUALIFIED IN STEUBEN COUNTY My Commission Expires March 31, 2007

