

Diana M. Vuylsteke Voice (314) 259-2543 dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com



DEC 29 2006

Service Commission

BY HAND DELIVERY

December 29, 2006

Cully Dale Secretary/Chief Administrative Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

RE: Case No. ER-2007-0002

Dear Judge Dale:

Attached for filing on behalf of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers in the above-referenced case are an original and eight (8) copies each of the following:

- Direct Testimony of William Hinckley
- Direct Testimony of Gareth Kajander,
- Direct Testimony of Albert Owen
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Maurice Brubaker on Cost of Service, Revenue Allocation and Rate Design
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Maurice Brubaker on Fuel Adjustmemt, and
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Jim Dauphinais (NP and HC versions)

Thank you for your assistance in bringing these filings to the attention of the Commission.

Very truly yours,

Diana M. Vuylsteke

Diana M. Vuylstike

DMV:ln

Attachments cc: All Parties

Bryan Cave LLP

One Metropolitan Square

211 North Broadway

Suite 3600

St. Louis, MO 63102-2750

Tel (314) 259-2000

Fax (314) 259-2020

www.bryancave.com

Chicago

Hong Kong

Irvine

Jefferson City

Kansas City

Kuwait

Los Angeles

New York

Phoenix

Shanghai

St. Louis

Washington, DC

And Bryan Cave,
A Multinational Partnership,

London

FILED³

DEC 2 9 2006

Exhibit No.: Witness:

Type of Exhibit:

Issue:

Gareth R. Kajander **Direct Testimony**

Rate Design

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers

Sponsoring Parties: Case No.

ER-2007-0002

Missouri Public Service Commission

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area

Case No. ER-2007-0002

Direct Testimony of

Gareth R. Kajander

On Behalf of

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers

December 29, 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

in the matter of Union Electric Company	1
d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File	í
Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric) Case No. ER-2007-0002
Service Provided to Customers in the)
Company's Missouri Service Area	Ś

STATE OF MISSOURI) SS COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS)

Affidavit of Gareth R. Kajander

Gareth R. Kajander, being first duly sworn, on his oath states:

- 1. My name is Gareth R. Kajander. I am Manager for Energy Procurement with Monsanto Company. My principal place of business is 800 North Lindbergh Blvd., St. Louis, Missouri 63167. Monsanto is a member of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers (MIEC) and is a party to this proceeding.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my direct testimony prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2007-0002.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that this testimony is true and correct and shows the matters and things purported herein.

Subscribed and sworn to before this 28th day of December, 2006.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

James R. Finks - Notary Public Notary Seal, State of Missouri - St. Charles County Commission #06435147 My Commission Expires 5/25/2010

Garethe Kyanon

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area

Case No. ER-2007-0002

Direct Testimony of Gary R. Kajander

1	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	Α	My name is Gareth R. Kajander and my business address is 800 North Lindbergh
3		Blvd., C2NF, St. Louis, Missouri 63167.
4	Q	WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?
5	Α	I am Manager, Energy Procurement for Monsanto Company.
6	Q	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPER-
7		IENCE.
8	Α	These are set forth in Appendix A to my testimony.
9	Q	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING IN THIS PROCEEDING?
10	Α	This testimony is presented on behalf of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
11		(MIEC). Member companies purchase substantial quantities of electricity from
12		AmerenUE, principally under the Large Primary Service (LPS) Rate Schedule,
13		Rate 11.
14	Q	WHAT IS THE SUBJECT OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
15	Α	My testimony discusses the impact of AmerenUE's rate increase proposal on
16		Monsanto and other Missouri companies.

1		The fact that I do not address an issue should not be interpreted as approval of any
2		position taken by AmerenUE.
3	Q	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATION.
4	Α	I recommend that the Missouri Public Service Commission carefully consider the
5		adverse impact of AmerenUE's proposals in this case on Monsanto and other
6		Missouri industrial companies, as well as the potential harm of those proposals to the
7		State's economy.
8	Q	PLEASE DESCRIBE MONSANTO AND THE BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT IN
9		WHICH IT OPERATES.
10	Α	Monsanto is an agricultural products company headquartered in Creve Coeur,
11		Missouri. Monsanto produces herbicides, seed brands, and seed trait technologies.
12		Monsanto employs approximately 5,000 Missourians. Last year, Monsanto paid over
13		\$5.5 million in Missouri taxes and made charitable contributions of nearly \$3.8 million.
14		Monsanto operates in a globally competitive environment and has no assurance that
15		it can pass the cost of doing business on to customers in its prices.
16	Q	HOW WILL AMERENUE'S RATE PROPOSALS IMPACT MONSANTO?
17		AmerenUE proposes a rate increase of 43 percent for its Large Primary customers
18		(Rate 11). This is an extremely large increase. I have managed energy procurement
19		for over twenty years and I can't recall ever seeing such a large rate increase
20		proposal by a regulated electric utility applied in a manner which is detrimental to so
21		many Missouri businesses. Such a large rate increase is disruptive to planning,
22		undermines opportunities for expansion, and makes Monsanto less competitive.
23		As Monsanto develops new agricultural traits and products to supply the increasing
24		demand from farmers, food companies and consumers, we have begun developing
25		plans to expand our research and development facilities. We have many research
26		facilities around the country, and thus many options for where we build our R&D

expansion facilities. A 43 percent rate increase in an energy-intensive business like Monsanto's biotechnology and molecular breeding R&D operations would be a deterrent to siting our expansion in Missouri.

Q

HOW WILL AMERENUE'S RATE PROPOSAL IMPACT MISSOURI'S ECONOMY?

Reasonable and stable electric rates are crucial to Monsanto's financial health and to the strength of all industrial companies in Missouri. A rate increase of the magnitude proposed by AmerenUE in this case will harm the state's business climate. This is particularly true with respect to the biotechnology industry. Missouri biotechnology accounts for more than 390 plant and life science enterprises, generates over 170,000 direct jobs and supports 260,000 indirect jobs¹.

Biotechnology companies are heavily involved in research and development, and are very energy intensive. Specialized equipment used by these companies includes powerful servers, centrifuges, enclaves for sterilization, mass spectrometers, walk-in growth chambers, freeze dryers, magnetic resonance imaging and fume exhaust hoods. In addition to specialized equipment, industry-imposed safety regulations for wet laboratories and clean room manufacturing centers require large amounts of power for air handling, exhausting and exchange. These laboratories often require a constant, complete recirculation of fresh air to protect workers and reduce product spoilage due to contamination. Energy used to maintain certain heat loads and fan air movement places large demands on chillers. In the case of biologics, air temperatures are usually maintained at or near refrigeration levels. In addition to air movement requirements, there is a great amount of fluid pumped through clean manufacturing centers for heat sanitation and cleaning operations, as well as steam exchange. A high tech life science laboratory will have nearly ten times the power

¹ Blunt Touts Missouri's Biotech Industry During Meetings with Hungarian Officials, Press Announcements, Office of the Governor, March 22, 2006.

requirements of a similarly sized standard office building. Because biotechnology companies are so energy intensive, energy costs are a major factor in their decisions on where to expand or locate new facilities. Missouri will be less attractive to biotech companies considering location or expansion if AmerenUE's proposals in this case are adopted.

Α

Missouri has sought to become a world leader in the biotechnology industry. Governor Blunt has promoted Missouri biotechnology worldwide, and has emphasized the importance of the industry's development to the state's economy². On December 19, Governor Blunt stated that "[a]bove all else, plant biotechnology and emerging opportunities for value-added agriculture hold the most potential for our state's future economic success". He charged his Advisory Council on Plant Biotechnology to analyze the state's life sciences environment to better capitalize on the industry's potential and to aggressively recruit new life-science companies to Missouri³. AmerenUE's rate increase proposal will take Missouri in the wrong direction. Increased electricity rates will weaken efforts to bring biotechnology investment to the state, and undermine Missouri's strong policy favoring the growth of the biotechnology industry.

Q WHAT ARE THE KEY CONCERNS THT YOU HAVE TO CONSIDER TODAY AS YOU PERFORM YOUR JOB WITH MONSANTO?

I am concerned about the limited supply of domestically produced natural gas. I am concerned about the slow development of new low-cost sources in this country including coal and nuclear based technologies which can be accomplished in environmentally responsible ways. I am concerned about the growing competition

² Blunt Touts Missouri's Biotech Industry During Meetings with Hungarian Officials, Press Announcements, Office of the Governor, March 22, 2006.

³ Blunt to Discuss Plant Science Opportunities and Benefits, Press Announcements, Office of the Governor, December 19, 2006.

that U.S. manufacturers face from competitors with significant advantages located in other parts of the world including the Mideast, China, and India. Lastly, I am concerned about the loss of high paying manufacturing jobs in the country and in Missouri. An article by David Nicklaus appearing in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch on December 24th touched on this last point and highlighted: 1) that the St. Louis area is significantly lagging the national average regarding job growth and 2) that the area lost 8,100 manufacturing and retail jobs in the past year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

Α

HOW DOES MONSANTO'S BUSINESS MODEL DIFFER FROM AMEREN'S AND THE REST OF THE ELECTRIC UTILITY INDUSTRY TODAY?

Monsanto is a technology company. We spend over \$1 million per day on research to hire some very smart scientists to develop new products which add value to our farm customers. There is no guarantee that this new technology will be accepted by our customers nor that they will pay the price to allow us to recover this significant expense. Conversely, electric utility generation is a mature industry with few technology risks. Little has changed over the years with the basic process of converting fuel to boil water, spin turbine, or to transmit power over transmission or distribution lines. In addition, we have very different geographic perspectives. Monsanto is targeting customers around the world and Ameren is focusing on customers in Missouri and increasingly in Illinois. Monsanto faces real threats from competitors all around the world. If we don't supply the best product at the lowest cost, our customers will go elsewhere to spend their hard-earned dollars. Missouri farmers must be very shrewd businessmen to effectively compete in their highly competitive global marketplace. We have to prove ourselves to our customers every planting season. Of course, utilities in Missouri face few competitive risks. They have legislated franchise territories with captive customers who pay, for most part,

- 1 cost-plus prices for their power. Our businesses are totally different from a risk
- 2 perspective and as such justify very different returns.
- 3 Q DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 4 A Yes, it does.

Appendix A

Qualifications of Gareth R. Kajander

1	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	Α	Gareth R. Kajander. My business address is 800 N. Lindbergh Blvd., St. Louis,
3		Missouri 63167.
4	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR OCCUPATION.
5	Α	I am Manager for Energy Procurement for Monsanto Company.
6	Q	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERI-
7		ENCE.
8	Α	I graduated from Indiana State University in 1974 with a Bachelors degree in
9		Business with an emphasis in Marketing. My entire career has been spent in the
0		Procurement field with various entities. I have worked for the Illinois State Toll
1		Highway Authority, A.E. Staley Manufacturing Company, Riverwood International,
12		Solutia, and my present employer the Monsanto Company. Over the past twenty five
13		years I have had the responsibility of managing hundreds of millions of dollars worth
14		of fuels, energy related chemicals, and utility purchases. During this time I have
15		actively participated in and/or held leadership positions in various Industrial utility
16		consumer groups including the Electricity Consumers Resource Council (Washington,
17		D.C.) and various state industrial groups in Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana,
18		Louisiana, Missouri, Pennsylvania, and Tennessee. I have previously testified before
19		state and Federal legislative and regulatory bodies on various utility issues.