

CURTIS, HEINZ, GARRETT & O'KEEFE, P.C.

Attorneys at Law
130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200
St. Louis, Missouri 63105
(314) 725-8788
(314) 725-8789 (FAX)

Carl J. Lumley
Principal

clumley@lawfirmemail.com

September 8, 2004

Secretary of the Commission
Missouri Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street, Suite 100
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

RECEIVED

SEP 10 2004

*Records
Public Service Commission*


Re: Amendment to Interconnection Agreement between
SBC Missouri and the MCI CLECs
MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.
(Case No. TO-2002-222 - Approved October 24, 2002)

Dear Secretary of the Commission:

MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. and SBC Missouri, entered into an Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement (assumed by MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC in connection with the conclusion of the WorldCom bankruptcy) known as Amendment 13 State Recip.Comp, which upon approval will be effective as of June 1, 2004. Through the Amendment the parties wish to amend, modify and supersede certain compensation, interconnection and trunking provisions of the ICAs that are addressed in the Amendment and also incorporate the terms of this Amendment in future interconnection agreements between the Parties in such states through September 30, 2004. Ten copies of said Amendment are being filed herewith. Please file stamp the extra copy received and return to the undersigned in the enclosed, self-addressed, stamped envelope.

Any questions regarding this filing may be directed to the undersigned at 314-725-8788.
Thank you.

Very truly yours,


Carl J. Lumley

CJL:dn

Enclosure

cc. Paul Lane, SBC (W/Enclosure)

*Records**Public Service Commission*

NAME ON AGREEMENT	STATE	Processing Manager
Brooks Fiber of Arkansas, Inc.	AR	Agy Okotie
MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc.	AR	Agy Okotie
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	AR	Agy Okotie
MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc.	WI	Agy Okotie
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	WI	Agy Okotie
Brooks Fiber Communications (One Interconnectinon prepared for Brook Fiber of Bakersville Fresno, Stockton, Sacramento and San Jose)	CA	Brenda Grant
MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc.	CA	Brenda Grant
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	CA	Brenda Grant
Brooks Fiber of Missouri, Inc.	KS	Janet Hadaway
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	KS	Janet Hadaway
Brooks Fiber of Tulsa, Inc.; and Brooks Fiber Communications of Oklahoma, Inc.	OK	Janet Hadaway
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	OK	Janet Hadaway
Brooks Fiber of Texas, Inc.	TX	LaShana Evans
MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc.	TX	LaShana Evans
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	TX	LaShana Evans
Intermedia Communications, Inc.	TX	LaShana Evans
Brooks Fiber of Michigan, Inc.	MI	Les Conlon
MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc.	MI	Les Conlon
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	MI	Les Conlon
MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc.	IN	Linda Campbell
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	IN	Linda Campbell
Intermedia Communications, Inc.	IN	Linda Campbell
MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc.	IL	Lora Mach
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	IL	Lora Mach
Intermedia Communications, Inc.	IL	Lora Mach
Brooks Fiber of Missouri, Inc.	MO	Mary Marshall
MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc.	MO	Mary Marshall
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	MO	Mary Marshall
Intermedia Communications, Inc.	MO	Mary Marshall
Brooks Fiber Communications of Nevada, Inc.	NV	Mary Marshall
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	NV	Mary Marshall
Brooks Fiber of Connecticut, Inc.; MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc.; and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	CT	Wanda Dedner
Intermedia Communications, Inc.	CT	Wanda Dedner
Brooks Fiber of Ohio, Inc.	OH	Zach Fleming
MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc.	OH	Zach Fleming
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC	OH	Zach Fleming
Intermedia Communications, Inc.	OH	Zach Fleming

**AMENDMENT
SUPERSEDING CERTAIN RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION,
INTERCONNECTION AND TRUNKING TERMS**

This Amendment Superseding Certain Reciprocal Compensation, Interconnection and Trunking Terms (Amendment) is applicable to this and any future Interconnection Agreement between Illinois Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a SBC Illinois, Indiana Bell Telephone Company Incorporated, d/b/a SBC Indiana, Michigan Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a SBC Michigan, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a SBC Ohio, Wisconsin Bell Inc. d/b/a SBC Wisconsin, Nevada Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a SBC Nevada, Pacific Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a SBC California, The Southern New England Telephone Company, d/b/a SBC Connecticut and Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a SBC Arkansas, SBC Kansas, SBC Missouri, SBC Oklahoma and/or SBC Texas and any of its future affiliates or subsidiaries which are the Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (hereinafter "ILEC") and Brooks Fiber Communications of Arkansas, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Bakersfield, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Connecticut, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Fresno, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Michigan, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Missouri, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Nevada, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Ohio, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Oklahoma, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Sacramento, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of San Jose, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Stockton, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Texas, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Tulsa, Inc.; MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC, f/n/a MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc. or MCIIm Access Transmission Services, Inc. or MCImetro ATS, Inc.; MCI WORLD.COM Communications, Inc., f/k/a MFS Communications Company, Inc. or MFS Intelenet of Connecticut, Inc. or WorldCom Technologies, Inc. or MCI WorldCom Technologies, Inc., Intermedia Communications LLC and any of its future affiliates or subsidiaries which are a Certified Local Exchange Carrier (hereinafter "CLEC") in: California, Nevada, Texas, Missouri, Oklahoma, Kansas, Arkansas, Illinois, Wisconsin, Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, or Connecticut through September 30, 2004, whether negotiated, arbitrated, or arrived at through the exercise of Section 252 (i) "Most Favored Nation" (MFN) rights. ILEC and CLEC may be referred to individually as "Party" or collectively as the "Parties".

WHEREAS, ILEC and CLEC entered into an interconnection agreement pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act") that was approved by the state commission (the "ICA"); and

WHEREAS, for the states of California, Nevada, Texas, Missouri, Oklahoma, Kansas, Arkansas, Illinois, Wisconsin, Michigan, Indiana, Ohio or Connecticut the Parties wish to amend, modify and supersede certain compensation, interconnection and trunking provisions of the ICAs that are addressed in this Amendment and also incorporate the terms of this Amendment in future interconnection agreements between the Parties in such states through September 30, 2004.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the premises, mutual promises and covenants contained in this Amendment, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

1. The Parties agree that this Amendment will act to supersede, amend and modify the applicable provisions currently contained in this ICA. This Amendment shall also be incorporated into and become a part of, by exhibit, attachment or otherwise, any future interconnection agreement between the Parties through September 30, 2004, whether negotiated, arbitrated, or arrived at through the exercise of Section 252(i) "Most Favored Nation" (MFN) rights. Any inconsistencies between the provisions of this Amendment and other provisions of the current ICA or future interconnection agreements described above, through September 30, 2004, will be governed by the provisions of this Amendment, unless this Amendment is specifically and expressly superseded by a future amendment between the Parties. Provided, however, if the underlying ICA or interconnection agreement expires sooner than September 30, 2004, the Parties agree that the Amendment shall not extend or otherwise alter the term and termination rights of the underlying ICA or interconnection agreement, but instead, the Amendment will be incorporated into any successor interconnection agreement between the Parties through September 30, 2004. Also, the Parties recognize that an MFN interconnection agreement often receives quicker state public utility commission (PUC) approval than the negotiated Amendment which will be affixed to that interconnection agreement. To the extent that the date of state PUC approval of the underlying MFN interconnection agreement precedes the date of state PUC approval of the Amendment, the Parties agree that the rates, terms and conditions of the Amendment will, upon state PUC approval of the Amendment, apply retroactively to the date of such state PUC approval of the underlying interconnection agreement, or June 1, 2004, whichever is later, so that the Rate Schedule will apply uninterrupted from June 1, 2004 through September 30, 2004.
2. During the term of this Amendment period, June 1, 2004 through September 30, 2004, the Parties agree that neither of the Parties will seek, directly or indirectly, to obtain alternate terms and conditions to those stated in this Amendment. CLEC hereby waives its section 252(i) MFN rights for any reciprocal compensation, points of interconnection (POIs) or trunking requirements that are subject to this Amendment; provided, however, that if such other rates, terms, and conditions have been voluntarily agreed to by ILECs or their Affiliates across the thirteen-state region as a whole, CLEC or its Affiliate(s) may exercise its rights under section 252(i) of the Act to obtain the rates, terms, and conditions in their entirety governing reciprocal compensation, POIs or trunking requirements to which ILEC(s) or its Affiliates have agreed. This waiver includes, but is not limited to, any material sale of CLEC's assets, in which case CLEC shall obtain the purchaser's consent to be bound by the reciprocal compensation terms and conditions set forth herein.

3. During the period June 1, 2004 through September 30, 2004, and except as stated in this Section 3, the Parties waive any rights they may have under the Intervening/Change of Law provisions of the ICAs or interconnection agreements with respect to any reciprocal compensation or compensable traffic (as defined herein), POIs or trunking requirements that are subject to this Amendment. The Parties specifically acknowledge their awareness of various pending regulatory actions which may affect the nature of reciprocal compensation and treatment of internet service provider (ISP) traffic and other compensable traffic for compensation purposes. Each Party specifically acknowledges that this Amendment is intended to be a binding agreement, without regard to the standards set forth in subsections (b) and (c) of Section 251, made pursuant to Section 252 (a)(1) of the Act, and each Party further acknowledges that this Amendment is intended to and shall remain unaffected by and survive whatever regulatory, legislative or judicial results or orders, including, without limitation, the Federal Communications Commission's Order on Remand and Report and Order, In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Intercarrier Compensation for ISP-Bound Traffic, FCC 01-131, CC Docket Nos. 96-98, 99-68 (rel. April 27, 2001), may occur during its term regarding such compensation. In consideration of the additional covenants herein and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, both Parties covenant not to sue or arbitrate to enforce or interpret any subsequent rulings of any regulatory, legislative or judicial body against a Party to this Amendment in contravention of the terms and conditions herein, during its term. The Parties agree, however that the provisions of this Section 3. do not apply to state PUC required changes in the geographic scope or definition of local calling areas. Where the local calling scope has changed as a result of state PUC action, either Party may exercise the right to renegotiate the number and location of POIs required under this Amendment.
4. **POI Requirements:**
 - 4.1. In order to qualify for receipt of the reciprocal compensation at the rates provided in the Rate Schedule, attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit A, CLEC must achieve and maintain the minimum points of interconnection and trunk engineering guidelines set forth in Sections 4. through 6. of this Amendment.
 - 4.2. Compliance with the provisions of this Amendment shall be on a local calling area by local calling area basis, which means that CLEC's eligibility to receive reciprocal compensation shall not be restricted except for the particular local calling area for the same period during which it is not in compliance with Sections 4. through 6. of this Amendment.

- 4.3. CLEC will exert commercially reasonable efforts in each ILEC state to establish a physical POI in each mandatory local calling area in which it has listed telephone numbers (NPA/NXXs) in the Local Exchange Routing Guide (LERG) or from where CLEC ports telephone numbers listed in the LERG by other local exchange carriers (including ILEC companies).
 - 4.3.1. In California, Nevada, Connecticut, Michigan, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois and Wisconsin, the Parties agree that Section 4. is satisfied, as to all sub-tending end offices and rate centers in which CLEC has established a dialable telephone number local to the rate center or ports any number established by other local exchange carriers (including ILEC companies), if a physical POI is established at the appropriate local or access tandem serving, or at any mutually agreed end office within, the rate center.
 - 4.3.2. In Arkansas, Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma and Texas, the Parties agree that Section 4. is satisfied, as to all sub-tending end offices and rate centers where CLEC has established a dialable telephone number local to the rate center or ports any number established by other local exchange carriers (including ILEC companies), if a physical POI is established at the appropriate tandem, if applicable, or any mutually agreed end office within, the local exchange area.
- 4.4. When establishing a POI required under Section 4. of this Amendment, the Parties agree:
 - 4.4.1. CLEC may utilize existing interconnection arrangements at existing POIs, including the mid-span fiber meet architecture in service or being currently jointly planned; or
 - 4.4.2. CLEC may utilize its collocation facilities in end offices or local tandems within the local calling area or tandem serving area, including, but not limited to fiber cable handoffs. Where CLEC has spare fiber cable in an existing collocation space, CLEC may establish interconnection by terminating such fiber cable to an ILEC fiber optic terminal (FOT). This fiber cable handoff from CLEC's collocation facility to an ILEC FOT shall be in accordance with the applicable collocation provisions in the ICA, interconnection agreement or state tariff. If there are no provisions in the ICA, interconnection agreement or state tariff, then the fiber cable hand-off will be as mutually agreed upon by the Parties; or
 - 4.4.3. CLEC may utilize new, mutually agreed upon, mid-span fiber meets, where CLEC will connect to the ILEC FOT by providing fiber cable at the last entrance (or agreed upon) manhole outside of

the tandem, or at the last entrance (or agreed upon) manhole outside of an end office in the rate center where the Parties agree to interconnection at an end office; or

- 4.4.4. CLEC may utilize its existing facilities or the existing facilities of CLEC's interexchange carrier affiliate(s) (IXC), at the serving wire center locations where CLEC or its IXC have a facilities presence for switched and/or dedicated access traffic; or
- 4.4.5. CLEC may by purchase Special Access or switched dedicated access transport facilities and services from ILEC as provided for in Section 4.8; or
- 4.4.6. CLEC may utilize the transport facilities from a third party; or
- 4.4.7. CLEC may utilize any other arrangement that the Parties may agree meets the requirements of Section 4.
- 4.5. When establishing a POI required by Section 4, ILEC will allow CLEC to establish local interconnection trunk groups to transport local or intraLATA traffic utilizing the facilities of any of CLEC's multiple CLEC affiliates; provided, however, that each CLEC affiliate's traffic will be assigned a separate trunk group on the facility. ILEC will also allow CLEC to establish local interconnection trunk groups to transport local and intraLATA traffic utilizing the access facilities of CLEC's IXC affiliate(s); provided, however, that each CLEC affiliate's traffic will be assigned a separate trunk group and CLEC may not combine local interconnection and inter-exchange access traffic over the same trunk group on the IXC facility.
- 4.6. Where CLEC and ILEC have an existing interconnection architecture that meets the POI requirements described above, this existing interconnection architecture cannot be changed without the mutual agreement of both Parties; provided, however, nothing herein shall prevent CLEC from eliminating or decommissioning a POI at its option.
- 4.7. When a new POI is established under Section 4, ILEC shall be responsible for the provisioning and cost of facilities on its side of the POI and CLEC shall be responsible for the provisioning and cost of facilities from its side of the POI back to the CLEC facilities and network.
- 4.8. When CLEC establishes a POI by purchasing Special Access facilities and services or switched dedicated access transport facilities and services from ILEC, these facilities shall be considered available for local interconnection trunks; provided, however, that CLEC shall be responsible for the ordering and cost. CLEC may purchase these facilities and

services out of the ILEC's intrastate access tariffs or interstate access tariffs, access contracts or other access pricing plans as authorized by the FCC. Except as provided in Section 4.8.1 below, CLEC will submit orders to the applicable ILEC Access Service Center (ASC) and the orders will be governed by the ordering and provisioning terms of the applicable FCC Access tariff.

4.8.1. Where CLEC establishes a new POI by purchasing Special Access facilities from ILEC, the Parties agree that where facilities exist between the new POI to be established and an existing CLEC POI, the new POI may be established as a "Billing POI" by utilizing existing facilities without physically moving trunks onto a newly established dedicated facility. When establishing such a "Billing POI", the CLEC will issue an order to the applicable ILEC ASC for its use of bandwidth on the existing facility, if the facilities were to be installed. In this manner, the Parties agree that new facilities need not be physically established and any ordering and installation and engineering charges shall not apply.

4.8.2. The Parties reserve their rights to challenge in any manner the rates, terms and conditions upon which the dedicated services or facilities referred to in this Section 4.6 are provided by ILEC, including but not limited to challenges pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of the applicable ICA or interconnection agreement, regardless of the time limits contained therein.

5. During the term of this Amendment, CLEC may order and ILEC will provide, where facilities are available, sufficient dedicated services or facilities as referenced in Section 4.8 to the nearest existing CLEC POI in the Local Access and Transport Area (LATA). ILEC will choose the most efficient facility route to deliver these dedicated services or facilities to the CLEC POI. These dedicated services and facilities will be provided for the purpose of establishing trunking consistent with the traffic engineering guidelines contained in the existing ICA or interconnection agreement. Trunking services or facilities will be established prior to exchanging live traffic and the Parties agree to abide by the trunk engineering/administration guidelines as stated in the ICA or interconnection agreement.
6. When interconnecting at ILEC's digital End Offices, the Parties have a preference for use of B8ZS ESF two-way trunks for all traffic between their networks. Where available, such trunk equipment will be used for these Local Interconnection Trunk Groups. Where AMI trunks are used, either Party may request upgrade to B8ZS ESF when such equipment is available.
7. The Parties shall establish direct End Office primary high usage Local Interconnection trunk groups when end office traffic (actual or forecasted)

intended to give both Parties adequate time to plan, issue orders, and implement the orders in the 6 month transition period.

11. When establishing a POI in a New Local Calling Area, CLEC will notify its ILEC Account Manager 90 days prior to the LERG effective date for the new NPA-NXXs it wishes to activate. Joint planning meetings for the new POI will be held within 10 days of ILEC's receipt of such notification. The outcome of the joint planning meeting will be orders for facilities and trunks for the new POI.
12. Upon expiration of this Amendment, CLEC and ILEC agree to evaluate whether to add or eliminate POIs to create an effective post-Amendment architecture. Both Parties will cooperate in adding or eliminating POIs so long as they are consistent with the then effective ICA or interconnection agreement concerning interconnection between the Parties.

13. **Classifications of Traffic:**

- 13.1. Intercarrier traffic includes Section 251(b)(5) Traffic, ISP-Bound Traffic transited traffic, intraLATA toll and optional Extended Area Service (EAS) traffic (where applicable). The terms "transited traffic," "intraLATA toll" and "optional EAS traffic" will have the meaning ascribed to them in the underlying ICAs and future interconnection agreements. "Section 251(b)(5) Traffic" shall mean the traffic lawfully compensable under Section 251(b)(5) of the Act as of the Effective Date of this Amendment. "ISP-Bound Traffic" shall mean any ISP traffic that as of the Effective Date of this Amendment is lawfully compensable under the FCC's Order on Remand Report and Order, In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Compensation Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Intercarrier Compensation for ISP-Bound Traffic, FCC 01-131, CC Docket Nos. 96-98, 99-68 (released April 27, 2001).

The rates, terms and conditions for ISP-Bound Traffic are set forth in Section 14 of this Amendment.

- 13.2. If CLEC designates different points for rating and routing such that traffic that originates in one rate center is carried by ILEC to a routing point designated by CLEC in a rate center that is not local to the calling party even though the called NXX is local to the calling party, such traffic, referred to as Virtual Foreign Exchange (Virtual FX) traffic, shall be rated in reference to the rate centers associated with the NXX prefixes of the calling and called parties' numbers, and treated as Local traffic for purposes of compensation.

- 13.3. InterLATA toll and IXC carried intraLATA toll are subject to Meet Point Billing as outlined in the ICA or interconnection agreement and applicable tariffs.
- 13.4. The rates for the termination of intraLATA toll and Originating 8YY traffic are governed by the Parties' switched access tariffs.
- 13.5. Compensation for SWBT-transited minutes of use (MOU) will be governed by the ICAs and future interconnection agreements.
- 14. **Intercarrier Compensation Rate Schedule for ISP-Bound Traffic:**
 - 14.1 This Section 14. includes the rates, terms and conditions for the exchange of ISP-Bound Traffic.
 - 14.2 The rates, terms, conditions in this section apply only to the termination of ISP-Bound Traffic and ISP-Bound Traffic is subject to the growth caps, rebuttable presumption and new local market restrictions stated below. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Amendment, the growth caps, new market restrictions and the rebuttable presumption described below apply to CLEC for the term of this Amendment.
 - 14.3 The Parties agree to compensate each other for such ISP-Bound Traffic on a minute of use basis, at \$.0007 per minute of use.
 - 14.4 Payment of Reciprocal Compensation will not vary according to whether the traffic is routed through a tandem switch or directly to an end office switch. Where the terminating party utilizes a hierarchical or two-tier switching network, the Parties agree that the payment of these rates in no way modifies, alters, or otherwise affects any requirements to establish Direct End Office Trunking, or otherwise avoids the applicable provisions of the ICA or future interconnection agreements and industry standards for interconnection, trunking, Calling Party Number (CPN) signaling, call transport, and switch usage recordation.
 - 14.5 ISP-Bound Traffic Minutes Growth Cap
 - 14.5.1 On a calendar year basis, as set forth below, CLEC and ILEC agree to cap overall compensable ISP-Bound Traffic minutes of use in the future based upon the 1st Quarter 2001 ISP-Bound Traffic minutes for which LEC was entitled to compensation under its Interconnection Agreement(s) in existence for the 1st Quarter of 2001, on the following schedule.

Calendar Year 2001	1st Quarter 2001 compensable ISP-bound minutes, times 4, times 1.10
Calendar Year 2002	Year 2001 compensable ISP-bound minutes, times 1.10
Calendar Year 2003	Year 2002 compensable ISP-bound minutes
Calendar Year 2004 and thereafter	Year 2002 compensable ISP-bound minutes

14.5.2 The Parties disagree whether ISP Bound Traffic exchanged for the period from January 1, 2004 to May 31, 2004 pursuant to the Superseding Amendment should be counted toward the growth cap for ISP-Bound Traffic in Calendar Year 2004, but the Parties otherwise agree that the growth cap provisions of this Agreement shall be applicable to ISP-bound Traffic in Calendar Year 2004 and thereafter under this Agreement as described above. To the extent that either Party believes that the other Party's ISP-Bound Traffic has met or exceeded the growth cap in 2004 by counting the ISP-Bound Traffic exchanged for the period from January 1, 2004 to May 31, 2004 towards such growth cap, such Party shall notify the other Party and the Parties shall negotiate in good faith to reach agreement on the treatment of such ISP-bound Traffic under Paragraph 14.4.1 of the FCC's ISP Compensation Order within thirty (30) days of such notice. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the treatment of such traffic under Paragraph 14.4.1 within such thirty day period, either Party may submit such dispute to the appropriate regulatory or judicial authority for determination. By entering into the Amendment, both Parties reserve the right to advocate their respective positions relating to Paragraph 14.4.1 before state or federal commissions whether in bilateral complaint dockets, arbitrations under Section 252 of the Act, commission established rulemaking dockets, or before any judicial or legislative body.

14.5.3 ISP-Bound Traffic minutes that exceed the applied growth cap will be Bill and Keep. "Bill and Keep" refers to an arrangement in which neither of two interconnecting Parties charges the other for terminating traffic that originates on the other network.

14.6 Bill and Keep for ISP-Bound Traffic in New Markets

14.6.1 In the event CLEC and ILEC have not previously exchanged ISP-Bound Traffic in any one or more LATAs prior to April 18, 2001, Bill and Keep will be the reciprocal compensation arrangement for all ISP-Bound Traffic between CLEC and ILEC for the remaining term of this Amendment in any such LATAs.

14.6.2 Wherever Bill and Keep is the traffic termination arrangement between CARRIER and ILEC, both Parties shall segregate the Bill and Keep traffic from other compensable local traffic either (a) by excluding the Bill and Keep minutes of use from other compensable minutes of use in the monthly billing invoices, or (b) by any other means mutually agreed upon by the Parties.

14.6.3 The Growth Cap and New Market Bill and Keep arrangement applies only to ISP-Bound Traffic, and does not include Transit traffic, Optional EAS traffic, IntraLATA Interexchange traffic, or InterLATA Interexchange traffic.

14.7 ISP-Bound Traffic Rebuttable Presumption

14.7.1 In accordance with Paragraph 79 of the FCC's ISP Compensation Order, CLEC and ILEC agree that there is a rebuttable presumption that any of the combined Section 251(b)(5) Traffic and ISP-Bound Traffic exchanged between CLEC and ILEC exceeding a 3:1 terminating to originating ratio is presumed to be ISP-Bound Traffic subject to the compensation and growth cap terms in this Section 14.1.2. Either party has the right to rebut the 3:1 ISP presumption by identifying the actual ISP-Bound Traffic by any means mutually agreed by the Parties, or by any method approved by the Commission. If a Party seeking to rebut the presumption takes appropriate action at the Commission pursuant to section 252 of the Act and the Commission agrees that such Party has rebutted the presumption, the methodology and/or means approved by the Commission for use in determining the ratio shall be utilized by the Parties as of the date of the Commission approval and, in addition, shall be utilized to determine any appropriate true-up as described below. During the pendency of any such proceedings to rebut the presumption, CLEC and ILEC will remain obligated to pay the presumptive rates (reciprocal compensation rates for traffic below a 3:1 ratio, the rates set forth in Section 14.2 for traffic above the ratio) subject to a true-up, if any upon the conclusion of such proceedings. Such true-up shall be retroactive back to the date a Party first sought appropriate relief from the Commission.

15. Compensation for Section 251(b)(5) Traffic and Optional EAS Traffic

- 15.1 Except for the rates set forth in Exhibit A, terms and conditions relating to Section 251(b)(5) Traffic in the underlying ICAs shall apply to Section 251(b)(5) Traffic. The rates set forth in Exhibit A, hereto, shall apply to Section 251(b)(5) Traffic terminated on either Party's network.
- 15.2 The rates, terms and conditions relating to Optional EAS traffic in the underlying ICAs shall apply to Optional EAS traffic exchanged between the Parties.

16. Intrastate Access Rates:

- 16.1 For intrastate intraLATA toll traffic exchanged pursuant to the ICA's, compensation for termination of intercompany traffic will be at terminating access rates for Message Telephone Service (MTS) and originating access rates for 800 Service, including the Carrier Common Line (CCL) charge where applicable, as set forth in each Party's Intrastate Access Service Tariff, but such compensation shall not exceed the compensation contained in an ILEC's tariff in whose exchange area the End User is located. For interstate intraLATA intercompany service traffic, compensation for termination of intercompany traffic will be at terminating access rates for MTS and originating access rates for 800 Service including the CCL charge, as set forth in each Party's interstate Access Service Tariff, but such compensation shall not exceed the compensation contained in the ILEC's tariff in whose exchange area the End User is located.

17. Reservation of Rights:

- 17.1. The Parties reserve the right to raise the appropriate treatment of Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP) traffic under the Dispute Resolution provisions of this ICA or any future interconnection agreements between the Parties through September 30, 2004. The Parties further agree that this Amendment shall not be construed against either Party as a "meeting of the minds" that VOIP traffic is or is not local traffic subject to reciprocal compensation. By entering into the Amendment, both Parties reserve the right to advocate their respective positions before state or federal commissions whether in bilateral complaint dockets, arbitrations under Sec. 252 of the Act, commission established rulemaking dockets, or in any legal challenges stemming from such proceedings.
- 17.2. The Parties continue to disagree as to whether ISP calls are subject to reciprocal compensation obligations under their ICAs and interconnection agreements and Section 251(b)(5) of the Act. By entering into this

Amendment neither Party waives its right to advocate its view with respect to these issues, however neither Party will attempt in any way to overturn the provisions of this Amendment during its term. Similarly, the Parties agree that nothing in this Amendment shall be construed as an admission that ISP traffic is, or is not, subject to reciprocal compensation obligations under their ICAs and interconnection agreements or Section 251(b)(5). Therefore, ILEC payments to CLEC under the Agreement shall not be construed as agreement by ILEC that calls to ISPs constitute local traffic subject to reciprocal compensation obligations, provided, however, notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the Parties agree that for purposes of this Amendment compensation is payable as set forth in this Amendment.

- 17.3. The Parties continue to disagree as whether CLEC is required to establish a physical POI in each local calling area. By entering into this Amendment, neither Party waives its right to advocate its view with respect to this issue. Similarly, the Parties agree that nothing in this Amendment shall be construed as an admission that CLEC must or must not establish a POI in each local calling area. Therefore, CLEC's establishment of a physical POI in each local calling area under the Amendment shall not be construed as agreement by CLEC that physical POIs are required to be established in each local calling area, provided, however, notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the Parties agree that for purposes of this Amendment physical POIs will be established as set forth in this Amendment.
- 17.4 Except as specifically modified by this Amendment with respect to their mutual obligations herein, neither Party relinquishes, and each Party instead fully reserves, any and all legal rights that it had, has and may have to assert any position with respect to any of the matters set forth herein before any state or federal administrative, legislative, judicial or other legal body.
- 17.5 In entering into this Amendment and carrying out the provisions herein, neither Party waives, but instead expressly reserves, all of its rights, remedies and arguments with respect to any orders, decisions, legislation or proceedings and any remands thereof and any other federal or state regulatory, legislative or judicial action(s), including, without limitation, its intervening law rights (including intervening law rights asserted by either Party via written notice predating this Amendment) relating to the following actions, which the Parties have not yet fully incorporated into this Agreement or which may be the subject of further government review: *Verizon v. FCC, et. al*, 535 U.S. 467 (2002); *USTA v. FCC*, 290 F.3d 415 (D.C. Cir. 2002) and following remand and appeal, *USTA v. FCC*, 359 F.3d 554 (D.C. Cir. 2004); the FCC's Triennial Review Order, CC Docket Nos. 01-338, 96-98, and 98-147 (FCC 03-36), and the FCC's Biennial

Review Proceeding; the FCC's Supplemental Order Clarification (FCC 00-183) (rel. June 2, 2000), in CC Docket 96-98; and the FCC's Order on Remand and Report and Order in CC Dockets No. 96-98 and 99-68, 16 FCC Rcd 9151 (2001), (rel. April 27, 2001) ("ISP Compensation Order"), which was remanded in *WorldCom, Inc. v. FCC*, 288 F.3d 429 (D.C. Cir. 2002), and as to the FCC's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking as to Inter-carrier Compensation, CC Docket 01-92 (Order No. 01-132) (rel. April 27, 2001) (collectively "Government Actions"). Further, neither Party will argue or take the position before any state or federal regulatory commission or court that any provisions set forth in this Agreement and this Amendment constitute an agreement or waiver relating to the appropriate routing, treatment and compensation for Voice Over Internet Protocol traffic and/or traffic utilizing in whole or part Internet Protocol technology; rather, each Party expressly reserves any rights, remedies, and arguments they may have as to such issues including but not limited, to any rights each may have as a result of the FCC's Order *In the Matter of Petition for Declaratory Ruling that AT&T's Phone-to-Phone IP Telephony Services are Exempt from Access Charges*, WC Docket No. 02-361 (rel. April 21, 2004).

18. Additional Terms and Conditions:

- 18.1. This Amendment contains provisions that have been negotiated as part of an entire amendment and integrated with each other in such a manner that each provision is material to every other provision. The Parties recognize and agree that Exhibit A, hereto, applies to specified periods of time over the course of the full term of this Amendment, and is intended to be date specific. The Parties stipulate that they would not have mutually agreed to this entire Amendment if a third party carrier could later opt into this Amendment under section 252 (i) of the Act and enjoy higher rates than are in effect at that point in the rate schedule. By entering into this Amendment, ILEC neither agrees that is obligated to permit, nor waives its rights to contend that it is not obligated to permit, its tandem switching and common transport facilities to be used without compensation for the carriage of Virtual FX traffic.
- 18.2. The Parties agree that each and every rate, term and condition of this Amendment is legitimately related to, and conditioned on, and in consideration for, every other rate, term and condition in the underlying ICA or interconnection agreement. The Parties agree that they would not have agreed to this Amendment except for the fact that it was entered into on a 13-State basis and included the totality of rates, terms and conditions listed herein.
- 18.3. This Amendment is the joint work product of the Parties and has been negotiated by the Parties and their respective counsel and shall be fairly

interpreted in accordance with its terms and, in the event of any ambiguities, no inferences shall be drawn against either Party.

- 18.4. The terms contained in this Amendment and its Exhibit A, constitute the entire agreement with regard to the modification and amendment of the ICAs and incorporation into future interconnection agreements through September 30, 2004, and shall be interpreted solely in accordance with its own terms.
- 18.5. The headings of the Sections of this Amendment are strictly for convenience and shall not in any way be construed to define, modify or restrict the meaning or interpretation of the terms, provisions or conditions of this Amendment.
- 18.6. This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original; but such counterparts shall together constitute one and the same instrument.
- 18.7. This Amendment shall be filed by the Parties with the PUCs in each state listed in the introductory paragraph above. Neither Party may seek a stay of the PUCs' approval of this Amendment or in any way seek to delay, postpone or interfere with the PUCs' approval of this Amendment.

(SIGNATURES ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Amendment to be executed on the dates shown below by their respective duly authorized representatives and hereby agree that this Amendment shall be effective between the Parties (the Effective Date) upon the final signature date below.

Brooks Fiber Communications of Arkansas Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Bakersfield, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Connecticut, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Fresno, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Michigan, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Missouri, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Nevada, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Ohio, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Oklahoma, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Sacramento, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of San Jose, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Stockton, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Texas, Inc., Brooks Fiber Communications of Tulsa, Inc.; MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC; MCI WORLD.COM Communications, Inc., and Intermedia Communications Inc.

Signature: _____

Name: Michael A. Beach

Title: Vice President, Carrier Management

Date: 07/19/04

Illinois Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Illinois, Indiana Bell Telephone Company Incorporated d/b/a SBC Indiana, Michigan Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Michigan, Nevada Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Nevada, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Ohio, Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC California, The Southern New England Telephone Company, d/b/a SBC Connecticut, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a SBC Arkansas, SBC Kansas, SBC Missouri, SBC Oklahoma and SBC Texas, and Wisconsin Bell, Inc. d/b/a SBC Wisconsin, by SBC Telecommunications, Inc., its authorized agent

Signature: _____

Name: Mike Auinbauh
(Print or Type)

Title: ^{For/} President - Industry Markets

Date: 8-6-04

EXHIBIT A

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	ISP Bound Traffic (All States where FCC plan in v		\$ 0.000700			
2						
3			<u>Arkansas</u>	<u>Kansas</u>	<u>Oklahoma</u>	<u>Missouri</u>
4	End Office Switching	Zone 4	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.002391
5		Zone 3	\$ 0.001310	\$ 0.001310	\$ 0.003800	\$0.002807
6		Zone 2	\$ 0.001690	\$ 0.001690	\$ 0.002516	\$0.001949
7		Zone 1	\$ 0.002530	\$ 0.002530	\$ 0.002268	\$0.001620
8						
9	Tandem Switching		\$ 0.000789	\$ 0.000789	\$ 0.000956	\$ 0.001231
10						
11	Common Transport Termination	Zone 4	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.000132
12		Zone 3	\$ 0.000157	\$ 0.000157	\$ 0.000266	\$0.000246
13		Zone 2	\$ 0.000171	\$ 0.000171	\$ 0.000282	\$0.000232
14		Zone 1	\$ 0.000196	\$ 0.000196	\$ 0.000499	\$0.000155
15		Interzone	\$ 0.000186	\$ 0.000186	\$ 0.000147	\$0.000271
16						
17	Common Transport Facility	Zone 4	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.0000008
18	Per Minute per Mile	Zone 3	\$0.000001	\$0.000001	\$ 0.000008	\$0.0000117
19		Zone 2	\$0.000003	\$0.000003	\$ 0.000049	\$0.0000057
20		Zone 1	\$0.000006	\$0.000006	\$ 0.000027	\$0.0000016
21		Interzone	\$0.000001	\$0.000001	\$ 0.000002	\$0.0000030
22						
23			<u>California</u>	<u>Nevada</u>	<u>Michigan</u>	
24	End Office Call Set-Up		\$ 0.002142	\$ 0.003110	\$ 0.001885	
25	End Office Call Duration		\$ 0.000572	\$ 0.002506	\$ 0.000605	
26						
27	Tandem Switching Set-Up		\$ 0.000234	\$ 0.002658	\$ 0.000131	
28	Tandem Switching Duration		\$ 0.000139	\$ 0.001261	\$ 0.000234	
29						
30	Common Transport (Fixed)/Tandem Term Set-Up		\$ 0.001330	\$ 0.000305	\$ 0.000087	
31	Tandem Term Duration		n/a	n/a	\$ 0.000156	
32	Common Transport (Variable) (per mou per mile)		\$ 0.000021	\$ 0.000019	\$ 0.000002	
33						
34						
35			<u>Illinois</u>	<u>Indiana</u>	<u>Ohio</u>	<u>Wisconsin</u>
36	End Office Switching		\$ 0.003746	\$ 0.004097	\$ 0.003600	\$ 0.004241
37	Tandem Switching		\$ 0.001072	\$ 0.000307	\$ 0.000623	\$ 0.000704
38	Tandem Transport Termination		\$ 0.000201	\$ 0.000102	\$ 0.000146	\$ 0.000188
39	Tandem Transport Facility Mileage (per mou per		\$ 0.000013	\$ 0.000005	\$ 0.000006	\$ 0.000014
40						
41	<u>Texas</u>					
42	Call Set-Up		\$ 0.0010887			
43	Duration		\$ 0.0010423			
44						
45	<u>Connecticut</u>					
46	End Office Served Rate		\$ 0.003576			
47	Tandem Served Rate		\$ 0.005560			