## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of	)	
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company	)	
d/b/a AT&T Missouri, for Approval of an	)	File No. TK-2019-0195
Amendment to an Interconnection	)	
Agreement Under the Telecommunications	)	
Act of 1996	)	

## ORDER APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

Issue Date: January 15, 2019 Effective Date: January 25, 2019

This order approves the amendments to the interconnection agreement between the parties filed by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a AT&T Missouri (AT&T).

On December 21, 2018, AT&T filed an application with the Commission for approval of amendments to its interconnection agreement with Matrix Telecom, LLC (Matrix). AT&T and Matrix currently have a Commission-approved interconnection agreement between them. In the current application, the parties have agreed to amend the interconnection agreement. The amendments were filed pursuant to Section 252(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.<sup>1</sup> The amendments would modify certain provisions related to Operations Support Systems and/or Data Connection Security requirements, replace Notices provisions, and change the listed name of Matrix within the underlying agreement.<sup>2</sup> AT&T and Matrix hold certificates of service authority or are registered to provide basic local exchange telecommunications services in Missouri.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 251, et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> AT&T Missouri's Application for Approval of an Amendment to an Interconnection Agreement, p. 2-3.

Although Matrix is a party to the agreement, they did not join in the application. On December 26, 2018, the Commission issued an order making Matrix a party in this case and directing any party wishing to request a hearing to do so no later than January 10, 2019. No requests for hearing were filed.

Under Section 252(e) of the Act, any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation must be submitted to the Commission for approval. The Commission may reject an agreement if it finds that the agreement is discriminatory or that it is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

On January 8, 2019, the Staff of the Commission filed a recommendation. Staff recommends that the amendment to the agreement be approved, and notes that the agreement meets the limited requirements of the Act in that it is not discriminatory toward nonparties and is not against the public interest. Staff recommends that the Commission direct the parties to submit any further amendments to the Commission for approval.

### Findings of Fact

The Commission has considered the application, the supporting documentation, and Staff's recommendation. Based upon that review, the Commission finds that the agreement as amended meets the requirements of the Act in that it does not discriminate against a nonparty carrier and implementation of the agreement as amended is not inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. The Commission finds that approval of the agreement as amended shall be conditioned upon the parties submitting any further amendments to the Commission for approval pursuant to the procedure set out below.

### **Amendment Procedure**

The Commission has a duty to review all interconnection agreements, whether arrived at through negotiation or arbitration, as mandated by the Act.<sup>3</sup> In order for the Commission's role of review and approval to be effective, the Commission must also review and approve or recognize amendments to these agreements. The Commission has a further duty to make a copy of every interconnection agreement available for public inspection.<sup>4</sup>

The parties to each interconnection agreement must maintain a complete and current copy of the agreement, together with all amendments, in the Commission's offices. Any proposed amendment must be submitted pursuant to Commission rules 4 CSR 240-28.020(5) and 4 CSR 240-28.080.

### **Conclusions of Law**

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e)(1) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996,<sup>5</sup> is required to review negotiated interconnection agreements. It may only reject a negotiated agreement upon a finding that its implementation would be discriminatory to a nonparty or inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.<sup>6</sup> Based upon its review of the amendments to the agreements between AT&T and Matrix and its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the agreement as amended is neither discriminatory nor inconsistent with the public interest and shall be approved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 252.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 252(h).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A).

### THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

- 1. The amendments to the interconnection agreement between Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a AT&T Missouri and Matrix Telecom, LLC, filed on December 21, 2018, are approved.
- 2. Any changes or amendments to this agreement shall be submitted in compliance with 4 CSR 240-28.020(5) and 4 CSR 240-28.080.
  - 3. This order shall become effective on January 25, 2019.
  - 4. This file may be closed on January 26, 2019.

BY THE COMMISSION

STATE OF THE OF

Morris L. Woodruff Secretary

Morris I Woodul

Charles Hatcher, Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 2016.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 15<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2019.

### STATE OF MISSOURI

### OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 15<sup>th</sup> day of January 2019.

SION OF THE OF INTERNATIONS OF THE OFFICE AND THE O

Morris L. Woodruff

Secretary

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION January 15, 2019

#### File/Case No. TK-2019-0195

### Missouri Public Service Commission

Staff Counsel Department 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

staffcounselservice@psc.mo.gov

### Office of the Public Counsel

Marc Poston 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 P.O. Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102 opcservice@ded.mo.gov

#### **AT&T Missouri**

Leo J Bub 1010 Pine Street, Room 19E-D-01 St. Louis, MO 63101 leo.bub@att.com

orris I Woodry

### Missouri Public Service Commission

Lexi Klaus 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Lexi.Klaus@psc.mo.gov

### VarTec Telecom (LLC)

Legal Department 433 E. Las Colinas Blvd., Ste. 400 Irving, TX 75039

Enclosed find a certified copy of an Order or Notice issued in the above-referenced matter(s).

Sincerely,

Morris L. Woodruff Secretary

Recipients listed above with a valid e-mail address will receive electronic service. Recipients without a valid e-mail address will receive paper service.