In the Matter of:

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri, et al.

ET-2018-0132 VOL. V

October 09, 2019



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

```
1
             BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 2
                      STATE OF MISSOURI
 3
 4
                  TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 5
 6
                  On-The-Record Presentation
 7
                       October 9, 2019
 8
                   Jefferson City, Missouri
 9
                           Volume 5
10
11
12
    In The Matter of the
                                   ) File No. ET-2018-0132
13
   Application of Union Electric )
14
   Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri )
    for Approval of Efficient
   Electrification Program
15
16
                     NANCY DIPPELL, Presiding
17
                         SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
18
                     RYAN A. SILVEY, Chairman,
                     WILLIAM P. KENNEY,
                     DANIEL Y. HALL,
19
                     SCOTT T. RUPP,
                     MAIDA J. COLEMAN,
20
                         COMMISSIONERS
21
22
   REPORTED BY:
   Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939
23
   TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	PAULA N. JOHNSON 1901 Chouteau Avenue, MC 1310
3	St. Louis, Missouri 63103 314.554.3533
4	FOR: Ameren Missouri
5	DIANA C. CARTER 428 E. Capitol Avenue, Suite 303
6	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 573.289.1961
7	FOR: The Empire District Electric Company
8	SHAWNA BLIGH 1101 Riverside Drive
9	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.522.5464
10	FOR: Missouri Divison of Energy
11	HENRY B. ROBERTSON, via phone 319 N. 4th Street, Suite 800
12	St. Louis, Missouri 63102 314.231.4181
13	FOR: NRDC and Sierra Club
14	TIMOTHY OPITZ 409 Vandiver Drive, Suite 205
15	Columbia, Missouri 65202 573.825.1796
16	FOR: Renew Missouri
17	ANDREW J. LINHARES, via phone 3115 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 600
18	St. Louis, Missouri 63118 314.471.9973
19	FOR: Renew Missouri
20	LEWIS MILLS, via phone 221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101
21	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101-1574 573.556.6627
22	FOR: Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
23	
24	
25	

1	MARC D	. POSTON
2		Department of Commerce & Insurance 200 Madison Street, Suite 650
3		PO Box 2230 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.526.1445
4	FOR:	Office of the Public Counsel
5	NICOLE	MERS Department of Economic Development
6		200 Madison Street, Suite 800 PO Box 360
7		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 573.526.4887
8	FOR:	Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. We can go ahead
2	and go on the record. Good morning. This is Case
3	Number ET-2018-0132 in the matter of the application
4	of Union Electric Company, doing business as Ameren
5	Missouri, for approval of Efficient Electrification
6	Program.
7	My name is Nancy Dippell. I'm the
8	Regulatory Law Judge presiding over this presentation
9	today. We've come here today because there were
10	additional tariffs filed with in a Stipulation and
11	Agreement with regard to some EV charging
12	applications.
13	And the Commission wanted to review the
14	workshop docket information that was filed on
15	September 30th and then have the opportunity to hear
16	about the tariffs and ask any questions. And so
17	that's what brings us here this morning.
18	We'll begin with entries of appearance.
19	Ameren?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Good morning. Paula
21	Johnson appearing on behalf of Union Electric Company,
22	doing business as Ameren Missouri. 1901 Chouteau
23	Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commission Staff.
25	MS. MERS: Nicole Mers appearing on

```
behalf of the Commission Staff. And my information
1
 2
   has been provided to the court reporter.
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Office of Public Counsel.
 3
                 MR. POSTON: Good morning. Marc Poston
 4
   appearing for the Office of the Public Counsel.
 5
 6
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: And I have some parties
 7
   on the phone. Renew Missouri?
 8
                 MR. OPITZ: Tim Opitz for Renew Missouri.
 9
   Andrew Linhares as well.
10
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm sorry. I didn't
   realize Mr. Opitz was in the -- in the room. So I'll
11
12
    let him make the entry. Sorry about that,
   Mr. Linhares. Go ahead.
13
14
                 MR. OPITZ: For Renew Missouri, Tim
15
   Opitz. And Andrew Linhares is on the phone as well.
16
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy?
17
                 MS. BLIGHT: Shawna Blight -- Shawna
   Bligh for Department -- or Department of Natural
18
   Resources, Division of Energy.
19
20
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: And then Sierra Club and
   National Resources Defense Council is on the phone.
21
   Mr. Robertson.
22
23
                 MR. ROBERTSON: Henry Robertson for NRDC
   and Sierra Club.
24
25
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: And I apologize. I will
```

```
put the microphone on that in the future. Empire?
1
 2
                 MS. CARTER: Diana Carter for The Empire
   District Electric Company. And my contact information
 3
    is on the written entry being provided to the court
 5
    reporter.
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: And Missouri Industrial
 6
 7
    Energy Consumers. Also, Mr. Mills is on the phone.
 8
                 MR. MILLER: Thank you, Judge.
                                                 Lewis
 9
    Mills with the law firm Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner.
    My address is 221 Bolivar Street, Jefferson City,
10
    Missouri 65101. Appearing on behalf of Missouri
11
12
    Industrial Energy Consumers.
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: I don't know how this is
13
    going to work. I may have to move the speakerphone
14
15
    over to the witness stand.
16
                 Is there anyone here from KCPL GMO?
17
    Spire had asked to be excused, as had ChargePoint and
18
    so they are excused.
                 All right. I generally just thought we
19
20
    would start by having you all present the tariffs and
    tell us about them and the Stipulation and Agreement.
21
    I was hoping we could start with the company.
22
23
                 MS. JOHNSON:
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
    Chair Silvey, Commissioners, as -- once again, I'm
24
25
   Paula Johnson. I'm the attorney for Ameren Missouri.
```

1 With me today are Tom Byrne, senior director of 2 Regulatory Affairs; Steve Wills, director of Rates and Analysis; and Pat Justis, manager of Efficient 3 Electrification Development. In case we have any 5 specific questions, I may defer to one of them to 6 address those for you. 7 But on behalf of the Company, I want to 8 thank you for the opportunity to talk to you today 9 about the electric vehicle or EV settlement that we've reached with Commission Staff and the Office of the 10 Public Counsel that we're asking you to approve. 11 12 As you know and as an Ameren Missouri has 13 made clear over the years, we are committed to 14 spurring EV growth in the state of Missouri. You have 15 already approved our corridor charging incentive proposal in this docket to help assuage potential EV 16 17 drivers' range anxiety and provide more opportunities along the main travel corridors in the state. 18 You also initiated an EV workshop to 19 20 examine potential models for facilitating additional EV development in File Number EW-2019-0229. And on 21 22 September 30th, 2019, the Commission Staff issued a 23 report in that workshop docket in which it noted the following key themes that arose during the workshop. 24

25

First, any action by the Commission

should allow for flexibility. Any action should be 1 2 technology neutral to the greatest extent possible. Robust data collection and the eventual use of that 3 data are key to successful development. Enhanced 5 customer education is a must. And approval of pilot programs may be the best path forward for the -- for 6 7 Commission involvement. These workshop observations are reflected in the Settlement Agreement that Ameren 8 Missouri reached with Staff and the OPC. 9 To very briefly discuss the program --10 there are a lot more nuances in this, but I wanted to 11 12 give you a high level -- the main goals for achieving through the settlement. 13 14 We are establishing for a three-year 15 period three additional categories of EV charger installation incentives, as noted in our tariffs. 16 17 are allocating a 2 million dollar budget for workplace charging, which will allow businesses to install EV 18 chargers for employers, visitors -- employees, 19 20 visitors, fleet vehicles, et cetera. We're allocating 1 million dollars to 21 multi-family; in other words, apartment buildings. 22 23 And we're allocating 3 million dollars of incentives for businesses, agencies, et cetera to install 24 chargers for the general public's use. For example, 25

business customers or visitors to an attraction to try
to encourage around-town availability.

We agree to program -- program process guidelines that we can adjust as necessary over the period to make sure we are providing effective education and outreach to our customers and others regarding EV benefits and incentives. And we agreed, of course, to a lot of reporting requirements, including quarterly, annual and a final report addressing the program utilization, the system impacts we're seeing and a variety of other valuable learnings.

We also agreed to explore whether automated emissions reduction technology can be utilized by our customers in concert with the incentives to encourage vehicle charging at lower emission periods. And we agreed to run this program over a three-year period and if we are seeing positive results, then we're going to collaborate with Staff and OPC to see if an additional two-year period extension of the program is possible.

And while it's not specifically presented in this Charge Ahead case, I'd also like to draw your attention to our rate case in File Number ER-2019-0335 where we presented two time of use rate structures;

one of which is specifically designed with EV drivers 1 2 in mind to promote overnight EV charging. So how do these settlement provisions 3 match with the key observations that Staff made from 4 the workshop? For one thing, they're encouraging 5 6 flexibility. While we're putting guardrails around 7 the incentives with regard to when a customer 8 qualifies, the amount of the incentives and the application of the incentives -- the application of 9 the incentives first-of-the-line extension charge, the 10 qualifying customers can still have somewhat freedom 11 in the utilization of the funds in a manner they see 12 fit to offset their installation costs. 13 14 We are remaining technology neutral. We're not making this dependent on the adoption of a 15 particular technology, while we are encouraging --16 17 while we are making the incentives paid on certain levels -- at certain levels of charging, we aren't --18 and we're giving some preference to Energy Star 19 20 certified equipment, we're not limiting these incentives to any particular charging equipment 21 manufacturer or vendor. 22

reporting, we are obviously trying to gather as much

data as we can to have a robust data collection

As far as data collection, with the

23

24

25

process. There might be times when equipment or 1 2 software or other constructs limit what we can reasonably collect, but we believe in this program and 3 we know that data collection analysis will be vital to 5 any potential continuation of it. So we're taking 6 this very seriously. 7 Customer education. As you can see in 8 Attachment B to the settlement, the document titled 9 Program Process, we've agreed to build in significant education and guidance opportunities for our 10 11 customers. And not only that, but we're planning 12 parallel outreach to others who can help customers who are interested in EVs, including auto dealers, 13 electricians, building design professionals and 14 15 charging station suppliers. And these incentives are providing us unique education opportunities, so we 16 17 plan to take full -- make full use of that. And then when -- Staff's point about 18 pilot programs, you know, what we've proposed is a 19 20 series of incentives applicable on a time-limited basis, specifically structured to present some unique 21 learning opportunities. We think we can learn a lot 22 23 about our customer interest, responsiveness to education, EV market impacts, distribution system 24 impacts, and other information that could be vital in 25

1 determining whether this type of incentive structure 2 works in the state of Missouri.

So with that said, I just want to reiterate, and try to allow enough time for others to also speak, we are excited about these opportunities and we're pleased that the settlement we've reached with Staff and the OPC aligned so well with the workshop discussions and the observations noted in Staff's report.

But the settlement also aligns well with what Ameren Missouri has stated as its overall goals for an EV program. As we noted in the workshop docket, we believe there are three key points in aiding EV adoption in the state of Missouri.

We need a holistic charging eco system.

We think that is going to be vital for increasing EV adoption rates. We strongly believe utility incentives can be a driving force in creating and sustaining that holistic charging eco system. And customer education is vital to successful EV adoption, regardless of the charging system model used.

So we're excited to have crafted a settlement that meets the observations of many of the objectives by so many in the workshop. And we're excited we're able to come back to the Charge Ahead

docket with a settlement that incorporates more of the 1 2 programs that we had initially proposed but modified 3 in a way to garner support from a diverse group of interests. 4 5 Not only have we reached an agreement 6 with Staff and OPC, but today no party has objected to 7 the settlement and we've had filings from Renew 8 Missouri, ChargePoint, Sierra Club and NRDC either 9 supporting or expressing non-opposition to the settlement. So we're now asking for your approval so 10 we can move forward with this program so we can let 11 12 you know if we find this is an effective construct. And with that, we have plenty of folks 13 here available to answer any questions you might have 14 and I'll conclude my remarks. 15 16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Would there be questions at this time for Ameren, Mr. Chairman? 17 18 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you. Briefly. The Commission delayed adopting your initial program 19 20 so that we could have this working group. And we asked that the -- that the working group consider 21 other options, like utility-owned models or make ready 22 23 tariffs. And here we are back about nine months 24 25 later and what you've reached settlement on is

```
virtually identical to -- in structure -- I mean the
1
 2
   numbers are different, allocated differently, but
   virtually identical to what we looked at back in
 3
   February. So can you explain to me why you believe
5
    this model is superior to those other two models?
                 MS. JOHNSON: I -- I think that this
6
 7
   model is what works best for us in this circumstance.
8
   And I might defer to Tom Byrne in a moment, but I know
9
    for Ameren Missouri, this is a construct that works
   best.
10
                 I think one of the key reasons that
11
12
    flexibility is so important is because this may not be
    the model that works as well for other utilities.
13
    feel like our service territory is built out in a way
14
    that's sufficient and that we have enough potential
15
16
    interest that we can spur some growth in this manner.
17
    We know that for KCPL or Empire, that might be
   different.
18
                 So I do want to be clear, we're promoting
19
20
    this model for us. This is what we feel strongly is
    going to support -- support the adoption in Missouri.
21
    But I don't know if, Tom, you had any other statements
22
23
    about that?
                             I -- you know, of course the
24
                 MR. BYRNE:
25
   model that we proposed was one of -- one of the models
```

```
that was talked about in the -- in the workshop, as
1
 2
   well as the other ones. But I think there's an
    advantage to the Commission in having different -- you
 3
   know, this is different than what Kansas City Power &
 5
   Light Company is doing. And I think there's an
    advantage to trying different things in different
 6
    utilities to see -- to see maybe what works best.
 8
                 And for us, we believe this works best,
 9
   but -- but it's -- it's not one size fits all.
10
                 MS. JOHNSON: I quess I will note too in
    California they have several different models
11
12
    operating at once, and I think there is value in that
    to see what works best. It might be geographically
13
14
    specific, it might be company specific. But we view
    this as one aspect of an opportunity for the entire
15
    state to learn from.
16
17
                 CHAIRMAN SILVEY:
                                   Thank you.
18
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there other
    Commissioner questions? Commissioner Kenney?
19
20
                 COMMISSIONER KENNEY:
                                       I have none.
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Hall?
21
22
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: Yeah. So you -- you
23
    would characterize this as a make ready program.
    Correct?
24
                 MS. JOHNSON: We believe it meets one of
2.5
```

```
the very definitions to make ready, yes.
1
 2
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: Yeah. Well, I mean,
   and I've been a long-time advocate for that type of
 3
   program so obviously I'm applauding this -- this
5
    initiative, but I am curious. You -- you both said a
6
   couple times this is the program that works best for
 7
         Why? Why does this work better for you than
8
   utility-owned infrastructure?
9
                 MS. JOHNSON: I'm going to let Tom
    address that from a policy perspective.
10
11
                 MR. BYRNE: I think one -- one reason we
12
   believe this works best for us is we're not -- it's
   not in our area of expertise to operate these
13
14
    stations. There are companies out there, ChargePoint
15
    and others, that -- that that's their job and they're
16
    good at it.
17
                 And -- and our -- you know, our -- our
    job and our focus is on -- is on building and
18
    operating generation transmission and distribution
19
20
    facilities. And -- and to get into a whole different
   business did not seem to us to be the right use of our
21
   resources and our focus, you know. There -- there are
22
23
    experts who know how to do this and we should leverage
    that expertise.
24
25
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: Could you or one of
```

your colleagues walk me through on Sheet 164.3 the 1 2 four criteria that you intend to use if you get more applications than -- than you could accept? 3 4 MS. JOHNSON: I will -- I'm going to let 5 Steve Wills address this more specifically since he's the mastermind behind the tariff. 6 7 MR. WILLS: Is this -- is this on here? 8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes. 9 MR. WILLS: Thank you. Commissioner, I think the four criteria really -- and this really only 10 comes into play if there actually becomes like a wait 11 12 list that there's enough applications for the incentives that there's actually going to be 13 14 constraints on who can receive them. 15 But in that event, there are certain criteria that we felt would potentially provide either 16 17 greater system benefits or be more cost effective ways of deploying this. So the first is if a customer 18 would opt for service under a time of day rate. And 19 20 so hopefully the benefits of that are -- you know, are clear in that it would encourage folks to charge 21 during off-peak times and reduce, you know, the stress 22 23 that these chargers would put on the system. 24 The second is to use an Energy Star certified EVSE equipment. And so that is obviously 25

just trying to get, you know, more energy efficiency 1 2 to drive -- you know, even though you're adding electric load, you're displacing gas-powered load, but 3 you'll add less electric load and you'll improve the overall efficiency of -- of the -- kind of the 5 6 transaction, so to speak. Demand mitigation solutions are things 7 8 like battery storage or -- or load automation software 9 that can help -- again, similar to time of use rates -- avoid stressing the system at times of peak 10 demand so that you can either rely on the battery 11 12 storage or some sort of software that manages the level of demand during high peak demands. That's also 13 14 going to lower system demand and -- and help enhance the benefits of the program. 15 16 And finally, the AER is Automated 17 Emissions Reductions technology. And that's something 18 that we've agreed to explore as a part of the program. There's a company right now that's developing a -- a 19 20 solution that is designed to kind of interact with wholesale markets and understand what the marginal 21 fuel mix is at any given time and that information can 22 23 be communicated to the charger. 24 And to the extent that you're charging and you have flexibility on when you -- your vehicle 25

```
can accept the charge, if you don't need it
1
 2
    immediately, it can time -- time that charging to
 3
    when, for example, maybe, you know, a lower emissions
    fuel is on the margin.
5
                 So if you were -- you know, if you were
 6
    to time when there was a lot of renewables on the
 7
    system or if you were to time when even more efficient
    coal versus less efficient coal or whatever the case
 8
 9
   may be, they can kind of look at the market mix on the
    wholesale market, what's going on with the fuel mix
10
    and try to charge when you're reducing emissions.
11
12
                 So that would be a way of trying to
    optimize the emissions reductions that would result
13
14
    from the electrification program.
15
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: Those are -- those
    are excellent criteria. I mean, I guess what I -- if
16
    I was writing this, which I'm not, I might have just
17
    required those in the application. But your -- I
18
    quess your -- your consideration or your concern was
19
20
    that that might inhibit the number of applications?
                 MR. WILLS: Well, I think -- I mean,
21
    certainly to require all four because --
22
23
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: Or one of the four,
    two of the four.
24
25
                 MR. WILLS: Yeah. I think what we've
```

really tried to do is provide flexibility to the 1 2 marketplace. Because we -- we're trying to, you know, by ha-- the incentives will only cover a portion of 3 the cost, but other folks, private -- you know, 5 private businesses have to make an investment decision 6 on their own. So we were trying to give them some 7 flexibility in how they choose to structure this. 8 I mean, just as an example, I -- you 9 know, I think some of these might apply better to some types of businesses than to others. For some folks, 10 the time of use rates are only going to hurt them. 11 12 Because we really are going to have some businesses that are probably going to have folks charging during 13 14 peak hours. As long as their rate structure supports them paying their cost fairly, that's okay. We don't 15 want to eliminate them from the program. 16 And in each of these cases, I can imagine 17 there being circumstances where there are businesses 18 that that doesn't work for and yet, we still wanted 19 20 them to have the flexibility if they want to invest their money and kind of match the program dollars, 21 they can participate. 22 23 COMMISSIONER HALL: Yeah. That -- that makes sense. So my understanding is -- is that the 24 25 incentive is largely going to go to covering line

extension charges that --1 2 MR. WILLS: Well, to the extent that they're incurring -- I mean so every circumstance that 3 someone comes with an application might be different, but to the extent that line extension charges are 5 incurred, the line -- the incentive will go first to 6 that. 8 But then it can go to other -- and as --9 as Ms. Johnson mentioned, make ready can have a few different meanings, but it can go towards those make 10 ready activities on the site, whether it be trenching 11 12 and boring and conduit. But it is only going to cover whatever the total project cost is up to half. So 13 14 kind of the pecking order is line extension, make ready, and then the supply equipment itself. 15 COMMISSIONER HALL: And then I understand 16 17 that -- that this -- this program is -- is -- is 18 technology neutral, I quess, to use Ms. Johnson's term. And I under-- I understand that and I think I 19 20 support that. But isn't there also some concern that you might have so many different types of charging 21 stations out there, that you're not going to be able 22 23 to get them to coordinate well with the overall system? I mean isn't that one danger in not mandating 24

a certain type of technology?

25

1 I probably would be better MR. WILLS: 2 served to have Mr. Justis maybe weigh on this, but I'll try and see if -- see if -- if he gives me any 3 4 looks. 5 I mean I think that there's -- there's certain interfaces that are still re-- I mean it --6 7 it -- it's technology neutral in terms of any vendor, 8 any vendor's equipment and we have a variety of 9 different charging levels. But I think they still have to be standard interfaces that will -- that will 10 be capable of charging, you know, most mass market EV 11 12 vehicles. 13 So it's not unfettered, you know, any --14 anything you call an electric vehicle charger. You're 15 going to have certain connection capabilities to interface with most cars. I guess I've pulled -- put 16 17 Mr. Justis up here. MR. JUSTIS: Good morning. I would just 18 add to that that we're seeing technology development 19 20 out there that will allow you to take a basic charger that doesn't have intelligence and will be able to 21 become a smart charger. So an in-line device you can 22 23 imagine that plugs right onto the end of the charger 24 and now you've got a smart charger. To -- to burden right now the program to 25

```
force all smart charging might be detrimental to
1
 2
    getting adoption going of putting charging in because
 3
    of the expense. It can be significantly more
    expensive to put the smart charging in now and the
    regular fees associated with keeping those connected.
 5
 6
                 So we think it's a smarter play to use
 7
    the smart charging now where it's appropriate, allow
 8
    the basic charging. And we expect it will be able to
 9
    retrofit to take advantage of programs as it becomes
   more affordable.
10
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: That's reasonable.
11
12
    And I think my last question is do you quys have a
    ball park estimate for the total number of charging
13
14
    stations you're going to get out of this 6.6 million?
15
                 MR. JUSTIS: Ball park is a thousand
16
   ports.
17
                 COMMISSIONER HALL:
                                     Okay.
                                            Thank you.
18
                 MR. JUSTIS: And we'll see, hopefully.
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: Yeah. Well, I did --
19
20
    I did ask for stations, as Commissioner Rupp noted.
   mean, that's relevant --
21
22
                 MR. JUSTIS: Some may be -- some may be
23
    single station, some may be dual ports. But really
24
    knowing how many ports, how many cars you can serve at
25
    one time is what we want to focus on, how many ports.
```

```
In some cases it will be better to have a dual port
1
 2
    that's more cost effective if you're going to go with
   more than one, but we'll see. I mean we'll certainly
 3
   be doing quarterly reporting on this and we'll see how
    it takes shape.
5
 6
                 MR. WILLS: And I thought I would add, I
 7
    think you -- as far as the number of different
 8
    locations it's going to be at, it's going to be
 9
    largely dependent on the way folks engage in the
   program. Because you can't -- you know, an apartment
10
    complex could put in I think it's up to ten at that
11
12
    location.
                 So if you have a thousand out there but
13
14
    some apartment complexes ask for ten incentives, you
15
    know, versus if they were just asking for two or
16
    three, you're going to have more unique places but
17
    less -- less ports in any given spot. But that's
18
    largely going to be dictated by the way folks want to
    engage with the program.
19
20
                 MR. JUSTIS: But ball park number of
    applications that we expect to fulfill, 350 to 400
21
    different locations throughout the territory for a
22
23
    thousand ports. So some will have more than one.
24
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. Well, I
25
    just -- I applaud Ameren for this program. I think
```

```
this is really, really exciting and I look forward to
1
 2
   watching it develop. Thank you.
 3
                 MR. JUSTIS: Thank you.
 4
                 MR. WILLS: Thank you.
5
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Rupp, did
6
   you have any --
 7
                 COMMISSIONER RUPP: He answered it.
 8
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Coleman?
9
                 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: No, thank you.
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Chairman.
10
                 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you. Just a
11
12
    quick follow-up. I think probably you might be suited
    to answer this better. But what type of data are you
13
14
    going to be tracking to show that it has actually
    increased adoption of EVs? Obviously you'll know how
15
16
   many ports are out there, but --
17
                 MR. WILLS: So --
                 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: -- how will we know
18
   more -- more people are actually driving EVs?
19
20
                 MR. WILLS: I left -- I left my paper
21
   back there, but -- so we are tracking registrations in
    the territory. So we're going to know both baseline
22
23
   before the program started and as it goes on how many
   vehicle registrations are within our territory of
24
   different types, including plug-in electric and -- and
25
```

```
pure electric vehicles. So that's probably the
1
 2
   biggest piece is just tracking the registrations.
 3
                 Obviously, you know, we'll want to do
 4
    some maybe comparisons to other regions to see if our
 5
    adoption accelerates relative to those, but we'll
    see -- we'll see the proliferation of EVs in that
 6
    registration data.
 7
 8
                 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. Thank you.
 9
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right.
                                             Okay. I
    apologize that we -- we ran a little longer. I
10
    actually thought we might wrap this up before agenda,
11
12
   but doesn't look like we're going to be able to do
    that. So what we're going to do then is take a recess
13
14
    and allow the Commissioners to have their agenda and
    we will reconvene let's say 10 minutes after agenda
15
    ends. Is that --
16
17
                 (Discussion held out of the hearing of
    the court reporter.)
18
19
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. We've had a
20
    reconsideration and the Commissioners have graciously
    decided that they will push agenda back and let us
21
    continue. So I guess for those listening in, the
22
23
    agenda will convene some time shortly after we finish
24
    up here.
25
                 Okay then.
                             Thank you, Ameren. We can
```

continue. Let's go ahead and ask Staff if you have 1 2 some remarks to make about the tariffs and stipulation? 3 MS. MERS: Again, Nicole Mers for Staff. 4 I also have with me Natelle Dietrich, Sarah Lange, 5 6 Robin Kliethermes, and Byron Murray if you have more 7 technical questions. But just real briefly at a high 8 level, we did oppose the -- the last iteration of 9 this, but the improvements that we've seen that made us comfortable to sign on, I'll quickly go over. 10 11 This stipulation, it limits and clarifies 12 what equipment and site improvements are eligible for 13 rebates, so there's a lot more transparency and parameters around the program. It limits and 14 clarifies how the money is spent. And to Staff, we 15 think it prioritizes public charging in a way that the 16 17 previous program did not. And we think that there are more 18 protections against free ridership in the 19 20 concentration of a rebate being in a single location or entity. We think that this recognizes the 21 infrastructure costs to the distribution system, so 22 23 the increase in demand. Limits total unseen spending through the construction allowance portion. 24 of limits that to 2 million. That's in paragraph 7 of 25

```
the stip. It also prioritizes participation to
1
 2
    customers that are willing to limit the demand and
    input in mitigation measures.
 3
                 We also thought that the data is -- is
 4
   very important. It's an extensive part of the
 5
 6
    stipulation and we think that that will be very
 7
   helpful to evaluate the program going forward and to
 8
    evaluate this model versus, you know, the other models
 9
    that we -- we discussed in the report.
10
                 We also are going to be receiving bill
    impact and cha-- charging cost information. That's
11
12
    discussed in paragraph 4 of the stipulation. And then
    also a big thing for us was the business solutions
13
14
   program was strapped and we had a problem with that,
15
   but without that, we were able to sign on and go
    forward.
16
17
                 So if you have any questions, I can try
18
    to answer them.
19
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Chairman, did you
20
   have questions for Staff?
21
                 CHAIRMAN SILVEY:
                                   So were none of the
    things that you just mentioned in the previous
22
   proposal back in February?
23
                 MS. MERS: We didn't think -- Staff
24
25
    didn't believe that the parameters around the proposal
```

back in February were as strictly defined or as 1 2 clearly laid out, but we see more of that in the tariff. And there's a lot more transparency and I 3 think strictness that -- that Staff was able to feel more comfortable with this iteration. 5 6 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: So you specifically 7 asked for the working group; Staff did. 8 MS. MERS: Uh-huh. 9 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: And prior to that, you were advocating for a make ready tariff with separate 10 meters. And here we are back with pretty much the 11 12 same thing that we saw in February with those few exceptions that you just mentioned. So is this what 13 14 you had envisioned? Is that the type of make ready tariff that -- that you were wanting before? 15 MS. MERS: I think this is closer to the 16 17 make ready tariff Staff had envisioned. But I might defer that to Robin Kliethermes and Sarah Lange to 18 explain what Staff envisions as a make ready. 19 20 But as Ameren said, and I'll echo this, I do think one of the constant things that -- that we've 21 heard and taken into consideration and our position 22 23 going forward was from other stakeholders in that working group process, that perhaps one model might 24 not be the solution and that we might not have enough 25

```
data at this point to evaluate. So what works for one
1
 2
   utility may not work for all of them, so although
    there might be differences in how we would define a
 3
   make ready program, trying to evaluate how this
5
   program works, I think there's value to that.
6
                 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Well, I'll be honest.
7
   You know, what was proposed back in February is
8
    something I probably could have supported. And I know
9
   at least one Commissioner publicly stated on the day
    that we set up the working group that they would vote
10
    for that that day.
11
12
                 MS. MERS: Uh-huh.
                 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: In setting up the
13
14
   working group, I made it clear that I didn't want to
    see just a needless delay. And here we are nine
15
   months later with essentially what we could have
16
17
   passed back in February with a few tweaks and I feel
18
    like we may have done a needless delay.
                 So I'm not real thrilled with -- with the
19
20
   way that this has played out. I am happy with the
   program, but I think that we probably should have done
21
    it back in February in retrospect. So thank you.
22
23
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Did you want to hear from
    either Ms. Kliethermes or Ms. Lange?
24
25
                 CHAIRMAN SILVEY:
                                   Yeah.
```

```
1
                 MS. LANGE: Chairman, I will politely
 2
    rephrase my counsel's answer to your question and say
    this is significantly different than what was proposed
 3
    in February. Each of those elements listed were not
 4
 5
    in the initial application. They are important
 6
    elements Staff views to allowing flexibility, but
 7
   mitigating free ridership, giving the tools for people
 8
   who are interested in developing charging stations to
 9
   move forward, but not allowing those who would have
   moved forward anyway to just receive utility funds
10
    cart blanche.
11
12
                 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. Thank you.
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Did you -- does somebody
13
14
    else have -- Ms. Lange, just one moment. I think
15
    Commissioner Hall had --
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: Well, I don't know if
16
17
    this is to you or -- but you say that this mitigates
18
    free ridership compared to the earlier program.
    you could just elaborate on that.
19
20
                 MS. LANGE:
                             Sure. If I could direct you
    to the tariff -- there's -- this is done in a number
21
    of places, but the clearest place to see it is if you
22
23
    look at Sheet 164.1. Site development costs are very
    clearly defined and excludes the sort of situation
24
   where let's say I was going to build a convenience
25
```

```
store and add some electric charging station. Under
1
 2
    the fluidity of the proposed Ameren tariff, I could
   have included my curb cuts, I could have included the
 3
   normal costs of developing a convenience store in
 5
    that.
 6
                 This strictly limits it to, if you will,
 7
    the but for costs of installing the charging
 8
    infrastructure. That -- that's the cleanest way to
 9
    see it. To -- you know, to get deeper into the weeds
    if you'd like, also the limitation on the number -- if
10
    you look at Sheet 164.2, that quantity table, that to
11
12
    us is a big improvement in making sure that this
    doesn't just all go to -- to one concentrated entity
13
14
    that may have been looking at fully electrifying their
    fleet anyway. This makes sure that the program
15
16
    rebates are spread around.
17
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay.
                                            Thank you.
18
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any other Commission
    questions for Staff? Commissioner Rupp? Commissioner
19
2.0
    Coleman?
                 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: No, thank you.
21
22
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Thank you.
                 And Public Counsel, would you like to
23
    make some remarks?
24
2.5
                 MR. POSTON: Yes.
                                    Thank you. We clearly
```

```
support the stipulation. We echo the comments that
1
 2
   Staff made. We think this -- in particular, the data
   gathering, we think that will be very helpful, the
 3
   customer education component, and the fact that this
5
   will not harm competition among the charging station
   market.
6
 7
                 With me today is Geoff Marke.
                                                He was
8
    instrumental in working on this stipulation and would
9
   be happy to answer any questions you have.
10
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Chairman, did you
   have questions for Public Counsel?
11
12
                 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Yeah. Did -- did the
   working group have any impact on OPC's position
13
    changing to be now supportive, or is it just the
14
15
    allocation of the numbers differently and some of
    those other things that have been added?
16
17
                 MR. POSTON: If I could kick that to --
    to -- to Geoff, he was in the working groups so he
18
    could help answer that.
19
20
                 MR. MARKE:
                             I would -- Chairman, I would
    say that the negotiations with the Company and with
21
22
    Staff probably had more of an impact on the final
23
   outcome here. I think the working group reinforced a
    lot of our original positions.
24
25
                 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: So it was more just
```

```
having more time to work on it than -- than actually
1
 2
   what came out of the working group?
                 MR. MARKE: Our initial position in the
 3
    last EV docket, we were actually in support of it.
 4
 5
    We -- we had argued that the Company should have skin
 6
    in the game and we had said that recovery -- cost
 7
    recovery should be tied to actual EV adoption.
 8
                 As a result of settlement and largely
 9
   with a lot of stuff that Staff's already articulated,
    as well as an opportunity to go ahead and gather more
10
    information -- it's already been alluded to by Ameren,
11
12
   but the automated emission reductions we think would
   be something that we're having interested in seeing
13
14
   how it's going to play out and its applicability to
15
    other programs.
                 And the fact that the program is confined
16
17
    to a certain dollar amount and a certain temporal
   period at this point before we make a decision one way
18
    or the other. For all of those reasons, that's why we
19
20
    were comfortable signing on.
21
                 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you.
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any questions
22
23
    for Public Counsel?
24
                 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No, thank you.
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Hall?
25
```

```
1
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: Yeah. I have one
 2
    question. And that is on page 3 of the stipulation
   near the bottom where it says that the signatories
 3
    further agree any investments related to EV charging
    stations installations are associated with new revenue
 5
 6
   and so do not meet the definition of qualifying plant
 7
    under Section 393.1400. What is the significance of
8
    that?
9
                 MR. BYRNE: I can answer that if you
   want.
10
11
                 MR. POSTON: Yeah. Perhaps another party
12
   can answer that.
                 MR. BYRNE: Do you want -- want me to
13
14
    try?
15
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: Sure.
                 MR. BYRNE: I mean, it basically means we
16
17
    can't get PISA treatment for these -- for these
    facilities.
18
19
                 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay.
                                            Thank you.
20
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any other
    questions for Public Counsel? All right. Thank you
21
22
    very much.
23
                 Would Renew Missouri like to make some
24
    comments? Mr. Opitz?
25
                 MR. OPITZ: We support the Company's
```

ET-2018-0132 VOL. V

```
1
    efforts in this area and ask the Commission to approve
 2
    the stipulation.
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Any Commission
 3
    questions for Renew?
 4
                 Would Sierra Club or National Resources
5
 6
   Defense Council like to make any statements?
   Mr. Robertson?
 8
                 MR. ROBERTSON: Well, we supported the
 9
    original application and we were not privy to the
   negotiations of the stipulation, but we filed a
10
    statement in support and so we ask the Commission to
11
12
    approve.
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Thank you.
13
    Would there be any Commission questions for -- no.
14
15
                 Is there any remarks from Empire?
16
                 MS. CARTER: No.
                                   Thank you.
17
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Anything from Division of
18
    Energy?
19
                 MS. BLIGH: Division of Energy does not
20
    oppose the application and has no comments.
21
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Is there anything
22
    from MIEC, Mr. Mills?
23
                 MR. MILLER: Judge, the MIEC has no
    objection to the Stipulation and Agreement and I've
24
    got nothing further to add at this time.
25
```

ET-2018-0132 VOL. V

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Are there any
2	other Commission questions for any of the parties?
3	Okay. Seeing none, any did I miss any remarks from
4	anyone? Seeing none, then I believe that concludes
5	this presentation and thank you all for your
6	attendance and your your information. We can go
7	off the record.
8	(WHEREUPON, the On-The-Record
9	Presentation was adjourned.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Tracy Thorpe Taylor, CCR No. 939, within the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Tracy Thorpe Taylor, CCR

	accept 521:3 523:1	538:10	apply 524:9
1	achieving 512:12	aiding 516:14	approval 508:5 512:5
1 512:21	action 511:25 512:1	aligned 516:7	517:10
10 530:15	activities 525:11	aligns 516:10	approve 511:11 540:1,12
164.1 535:23	actual 538:7	allocated 518:2	approved 511:15
164.2 536:11	add 522:4 526:19	allocating 512:17,21,	area 520:13 540:1
164.3 521:1	528:6 536:1 540:25	23	argued 538:5
1901 508:22	added 537:16	allocation 537:15	arose 511:24
	adding 522:2	allowance 531:24	around-town 513:2
2	additional 508:10 511:20 512:15 513:20	allowing 535:6,9	articulated 538:9
2 512:17 531:25	address 510:10 511:6	alluded 538:11	aspect 519:15
2019 511:22	520:10 521:5	Ameren 508:4,19,22 510:25 511:12 512:8	assuage 511:16
221 510:10	addressing 513:10	516:11 517:17 518:9 528:25 530:25 533:20	Attachment 515:8
	adjourned 541:9	536:2 538:11	attendance 541:6
3	adjust 513:4	amount 514:8 538:17	attention 513:24
3 512:23 539:2	adopting 517:19	analysis 511:3 515:4	attorney 510:25
30th 508:15 511:22	adoption 514:15 516:14,17,20 518:21	Andrew 509:9,15	attraction 513:1
350 528:21	527:2 529:15 530:5	annual 513:9	auto 515:13
393.1400 539:7	538:7	anxiety 511:17	automated 513:14
	advantage 519:3,6 527:9	apartment 512:22	522:16 538:12
4	advocate 520:3	528:10,14	automation 522:8
4 532:12	advocating 533:10	apologize 509:25 530:10	availability 513:2
400 528:21	AER 522:16	appearance 508:18	Avenue 508:23
	Affairs 511:2	appearing 508:21,25	avoid 522:10
6	affordable 527:10	509:5 510:11	В
6.6 527:14	agencies 512:24	applaud 528:25	
63103 508:23	agenda 530:11,14,15,	applauding 520:4	back 516:25 517:24 518:3 529:21 530:21
65101 510:11	21,23	applicability 538:14	532:23 533:1,11
	agree 513:3 539:4	applicable 515:20	534:7,17,22
	agreed 513:7,13,17 515:9 522:18	application 508:3 514:9 523:18 525:4	ball 527:13,15 528:20
7 531:25	agreement 508:11	535:5 540:9,20	baseline 529:22
	510:21 512:8 517:5	applications 508:12	basic 526:20 527:8
A	540:24	521:3,12 523:20 528:21	basically 539:16
accelerates 530:5	ahead 508:1 509:13 513:23 516:25 531:1		basis 515:21

battery 522:8,11 begin 508:18 behalf 508:21 509:1 510:11 511:7 benefits 513:7

521:17,20 522:15 **big** 532:13 536:12

biggest 530:2

bill 532:10

blanche 535:11

Bligh 509:18 540:19

Blight 509:17

Bolivar 510:10

boring 525:12

bottom 539:3

briefly 512:10 517:18 531:7

brings 508:17

Bryan 510:9

budget 512:17

build 515:9 535:25

building 515:14 520:18

buildings 512:22

built 518:14

burden 526:25

business 508:4,22 513:1 520:21 532:13

businesses 512:18, 24 524:5,10,12,18

Byrne 511:1 518:8,24 520:11 539:9,13,16

Byron 531:6

C

California 519:11 **call** 526:14

capabilities 526:15

capable 526:11

cars 526:16 527:24

cart 535:11

Carter 510:2 540:16

case 508:2 511:4 513:23,24 523:8

cases 524:17 528:1

categories 512:15

Cave 510:9

certified 514:20 521:25

cetera 512:20,24

cha-- 532:11

Chair 510:24

Chairman 517:17,18 519:17 529:10,11,18 530:8 532:19,21 533:6,9 534:6,13,25 535:1,12 537:10,12, 20,25 538:21

changing 537:14

characterize 519:23

charge 513:23 514:10 516:25 521:21 523:1, 11

Chargepoint 510:17 517:8 520:14

charger 512:15 522:23 526:14,20,22, 23.24

chargers 512:19,25 521:23

charges 525:1,5

charging 508:11 511:15 512:18 513:16 514:2,18,21 515:15 516:15,19,21 522:24 523:2 524:13 525:21 526:9,11 527:1,2,4,7, 8,13 531:16 532:11 535:8 536:1,7 537:5 539:4

choose 524:7

Chouteau 508:22

circumstance 518:7 525:3

circumstances 524:18

City 510:10 519:4

clarifies 531:11,15

cleanest 536:8

clear 511:13 518:19 521:21 534:14

clearest 535:22

closer 533:16

Club 509:20,24 517:8 540:5

coal 523:8

Coleman 529:8,9 536:20,21

collaborate 513:19

colleagues 521:1

collect 515:3

collection 512:3 514:23,25 515:4

comfortable 531:10 533:5 538:20

comments 537:1 539:24 540:20

Commission 508:13, 24 509:1 511:10,22,25 512:7 517:19 519:3 536:18 540:1,3,11,14 541:2

Commissioner

519:19,20,21,22 520:2,25 521:9 523:15,23 524:23 525:16 527:11,17,19, 20 528:24 529:5,7,8,9 534:9 535:15,16 536:17,19,21 538:24, 25 539:1.15.19

Commissioners 510:24 530:14,20

committed 511:13

communicated 522:23

companies 520:14

company 508:4,21 510:3,22 511:7 519:5, 14 522:19 537:21 538:5

Company's 539:25

compared 535:18

comparisons 530:4

competition 537:5

complex 528:11

complexes 528:14

component 537:4

concentrated 536:13

concentration 531:20

concern 523:19 525:20

concert 513:15

conclude 517:15

concludes 541:4

conduit 525:12

confined 538:16

connected 527:5

connection 526:15

consideration 523:19 533:22

constant 533:21

constraints 521:14

construct 517:12 518:9

construction 531:24

constructs 515:2	532:2	developing 522:19	524:21
Consumers 510:7,12	cuts 536:3	535:8 536:4	draw 513:23
contact 510:3		development 511:4, 21 512:4 526:19	drive 522:2
continuation 515:5	D	535:23	drivers 514:1
continue 530:22 531:1	danger 525:24	device 526:22	drivers' 511:17
convene 530:23	data 512:3,4 514:23, 25 515:4 529:13 530:7	Diana 510:2	driving 516:18 529:19
convenience 535:25	532:4 534:1 537:2	dictated 528:18	dual 527:23 528:1
536:4	day 521:19 534:9,11	Dietrich 531:5	E
coordinate 525:23	dealers 515:13	differences 534:3	
Correct 519:24	decided 530:21	differently 518:2 537:15	earlier 535:18
corridor 511:15	decision 524:5	Dippell 508:1,7,24	echo 533:20 537:1
corridors 511:18	538:18	509:3,6,10,16,20,25	eco 516:15,19
cost 521:17 524:4,15	deeper 536:9	510:6,13 517:16 519:18,21 521:8	education 512:5 513:6 515:7,10,16,24
525:13 528:2 532:11 538:6	Defense 509:21 540:6	529:5,8,10 530:9,19 532:19 534:23 535:13	516:20 537:4
costs 514:13 531:22 535:23 536:4,7	defer 511:5 518:8 533:18	536:18,22 537:10 538:22,25 539:20	effective 513:5 517:12 521:17 528:2
Council 509:21 540:6	define 534:3	540:3,13,17,21 541:1	efficiency 522:1,5
Counsel 509:3,5	defined 533:1 535:24	direct 535:20	efficient 508:5 511:3
511:11 536:23 537:11	definition 539:6	director 511:1,2	523:7,8
538:23 539:21	definition 539.6	discuss 512:10	efforts 540:1
counsel's 535:2		discussed 532:9,12	elaborate 535:19
couple 520:6	delay 534:15,18	discussion 530:17	electric 508:4,21
court 509:2 510:4 530:18	delayed 517:19	discussions 516:8	510:3 511:9 522:3,4 526:14 529:25 530:1
cover 524:3 525:12	demand 522:7,11,13, 14 531:23 532:2	displacing 522:3	536:1
covering 524:25	demands 522:13	distribution 515:24	electricians 515:14
crafted 516:22	Department 509:18	520:19 531:22	electrification 508:5 511:4 523:14
creating 516:18	dependent 514:15	District 510:3	electrifying 536:14
criteria 521:2,10,16	528:9	diverse 517:3	elements 535:4,6
523:16	deploying 521:18	Division 509:16,19 540:17,19	eligible 531:12
curb 536:3	design 515:14	docket 508:14	eliminate 524:16
curious 520:5	designed 514:1 522:20	511:16,23 516:13 517:1 538:4	emission 513:17
customer 512:5 514:7 515:7,23 516:20	determining 516:1	document 515:8	538:12
521:18 537:4	detrimental 527:1	dollar 512:17 538:17	emissions 513:14 522:17 523:3,11,13
customers 513:1,6, 15 514:11 515:11,12	develop 529:2	dollars 512:21,23	Empire 510:1,2
I		1	

518:17 540:15 event 521:15 534:7.17.22 535:4 funds 514:12 535:10 employees 512:19 eventual 512:3 **feel** 518:14,20 533:4 future 510:1 534:17 employers 512:19 **EVS** 515:13 529:15,19 530:6 fees 527:5 G **encourage** 513:2,16 **EVSE** 521:25 felt 521:16 521:21 game 538:6 EW-2019-0229 File 511:21 513:24 encouraging 514:5, **garner** 517:3 511:21 **filed** 508:10,14 540:10 gas-powered 522:3 end 526:23 **examine** 511:20 **filings** 517:7 gather 514:24 538:10 ends 530:16 excellent 523:16 **final** 513:9 537:22 gathering 537:3 energy 509:16,19 exceptions 533:13 **finally** 522:16 510:7,12 514:19 general 512:25 **excited** 516:5,22,25 521:24 522:1 540:18, find 517:12 generally 510:19 exciting 529:1 finish 530:23 generation 520:19 engage 528:9,19 excludes 535:24 firm 510:9 Geoff 537:7,18 **enhance** 522:14 excused 510:17.18 first-of-the-line geographically Enhanced 512:4 expect 527:8 528:21 514:10 519:13 **entire** 519:15 expense 527:3 **fit** 514:13 **give** 512:12 524:6 entity 531:21 536:13 fits 519:9 expensive 527:4 giving 514:19 535:7 **entries** 508:18 fleet 512:20 536:15 **expertise** 520:13,24 **GMO** 510:16 **entry** 509:12 510:4 **experts** 520:23 **flexibility** 512:1 514:6 **goals** 512:12 516:11 518:12 522:25 524:1. envisioned 533:14, **explain** 518:4 533:19 7.20 535:6 **good** 508:2,20 509:4 17 **explore** 513:13 520:16 526:18 fluidity 536:2 envisions 533:19 522:18 graciously 530:20 focus 520:18,22 **equipment** 514:20,21 expressing 517:9 527:25 **greater** 521:17 515:1 521:25 525:15 extension 513:21 526:8 531:12 **folks** 517:13 521:21 greatest 512:2 514:10 525:1,5,14 524:4,10,13 528:9,18 ER-2019-0335 **group** 517:3,20,21 extensive 532:5 513:24 **follow-up** 529:12 533:7,24 534:10,14 extent 512:2 522:24 537:13,23 538:2 essentially 534:16 **force** 516:18 527:1 525:2,5 **groups** 537:18 establishing 512:14 forward 512:6 517:11 529:1 532:7,16 533:23 **growth** 511:14 518:16 **estimate** 527:13 F 535:9.10 quardrails 514:6 ET-2018-0132 508:3 **free** 531:19 535:7,18 facilitating 511:20 guess 519:10 523:16, **EV** 508:11 511:9,14, **freedom** 514:11 facilities 520:20 19 525:18 526:16 16,19,21 512:15,18 539:18 530:22 513:7 514:1,2 515:24 fuel 522:22 523:4.10 516:12,14,16,20 fact 537:4 538:16 guidance 515:10 **fulfill** 528:21 526:11 538:4,7 539:4 **fairly** 524:15 guidelines 513:4 full 515:17 evaluate 532:7.8 February 518:4 **guys** 527:12 534:1,4 fully 536:14 532:23 533:1,12

Н	improve 522:4	installing 536:7	K
	improvement 536:12	instrumental 537:8	
ha 524:3	improvements	intelligence 526:21	Kansas 519:4
half 525:13	531:9,12	intend 521:2	KCPL 510:16 518:17
Hall 519:21,22 520:2,	in-line 526:22	interact 522:20	keeping 527:5
25 523:15,23 524:23 525:16 527:11,17,19 528:24 535:15,16	incentive 511:15 516:1 524:25 525:6	interest 515:23 518:16	Kenney 519:19,20 538:24
536:17 538:25 539:1, 15,19	incentives 512:16,23 513:7,16 514:7,8,9,10, 17,21 515:15,20	interested 515:13 535:8 538:13	key 511:24 512:4 514:4 516:13 518:11
happy 534:20 537:9	516:18 521:13 524:3	interests 517:4	kick 537:17
harm 537:5	528:14	interface 526:16	kind 522:5,20 523:9
hear 508:15 534:23	included 536:3	interfaces 526:6,10	524:21 525:14 531:24
heard 533:22	including 513:9 515:13 529:25	invest 524:20	Kliethermes 531:6 533:18 534:24
hearing 530:17	incorporates 517:1	investment 524:5	knowing 527:24
held 530:17	increase 531:23	investments 539:4	
helpful 532:7 537:3	increased 529:15	involvement 512:7	L
Henry 509:23	increasing 516:16	issued 511:22	laid 533:2
high 512:12 522:13	incurred 525:6	iteration 531:8 533:5	Lange 531:5 533:18
531:7	incurring 525:3		534:24 535:1,14,20
holistic 516:15,19	Industrial 510:6,12	J	largely 524:25 528:9,
honest 534:6	information 508:14	Jefferson 510:10	18 538:8
Honor 510:23	509:1 510:3 515:25	job 520:15,18	law 508:8 510:9
hoping 510:22	522:22 532:11 538:11 541:6	Johnson 508:20,21	learn 515:22 519:16
hours 524:14	infrastructure 520:8	510:23,25 518:6 519:10,25 520:9 521:4	learning 515:22
hurt 524:11	531:22 536:8	519.10,25 520.9 521.4	learnings 513:12
	inhibit 523:20	Johnson's 525:18	left 529:20
· •	initial 517:19 535:5	Judge 508:1,8,24	Leighton 510:9
identical 518:1,3	538:3	509:3,6,10,16,20,25	level 512:12 522:13
imagine 524:17	initially 517:2	510:6,8,13 517:16 519:18,21 521:8	531:8
526:23	initiated 511:19	529:5,8,10 530:9,19	levels 514:18 526:9
immediately 523:2	initiative 520:5	532:19 534:23 535:13 536:18,22 537:10	leverage 520:23
impact 532:11 537:13,22	input 532:3	538:22,25 539:20	Lewis 510:8
impacts 513:10	install 512:18,24	540:3,13,17,21,23 541:1	Light 519:5
515:24,25	installation 512:16	Justis 511:3 526:2,	limit 515:2 532:2
important 518:12 532:5 535:5	514:13 installations 539:5	17,18 527:15,18,22 528:20 529:3	limitation 536:10

limiting 514:20

limits 531:11,14,23, 25 536:6

Linhares 509:9,13,15

list 521:12

listed 535:4

listening 530:22

load 522:3,4,8

location 528:12 531:20

locations 528:8,22

long 524:14

long-time 520:3

longer 530:10

looked 518:3

lot 512:11 513:8 515:22 523:6 531:13 533:3 537:24 538:9

Louis 508:23

lower 513:16 522:14 523:3

М

made 511:13 514:4 531:9 534:14 537:2

main 511:18 512:12

make 509:12 513:5 515:17 517:22 519:23 520:1 524:5 525:9,10, 14 531:2 533:10,14, 17,19 534:4 536:24 538:18 539:23 540:6

makes 524:24 536:15

making 514:15,17 536:12

manager 511:3

manages 522:12

mandating 525:24

manner 514:12 518:16

manufacturer 514:22

Marc 509:4

margin 523:4

marginal 522:21

Marke 537:7,20 538:3

market 515:24 523:9, 10 526:11 537:6

marketplace 524:2

markets 522:21

mass 526:11

mastermind 521:6

match 514:4 524:21

matter 508:3

meanings 525:10

means 539:16

measures 532:3

meet 539:6

meets 516:23 519:25

mentioned 525:9 532:22 533:13

Mers 508:25 531:4 532:24 533:8,16 534:12

meters 533:11

microphone 510:1

MIEC 540:22,23

MILLER 510:8 540:23

million 512:17,21,23 527:14 531:25

Mills 510:7,9 540:22

mind 514:2

minutes 530:15

Missouri 508:5,22,23 509:7,8,14 510:6,11, 25 511:12,14 512:9

516:2,11,14 517:8 518:9,21 539:23

mitigates 535:17

mitigating 535:7

mitigation 522:7 532:3

mix 522:22 523:9,10

model 516:21 518:5, 7,13,20,25 532:8 533:24

models 511:20 517:22 518:5,25 519:11 532:8

modified 517:2

moment 518:8 535:14

money 524:21 531:15

months 517:24 534:16

morning 508:2,17,20 509:4 526:18

move 510:14 517:11 535:9

moved 535:10

multi-family 512:22

Murray 531:6

Ν

Nancy 508:7

Natelle 531:5

National 509:21 540:5

Natural 509:18

needless 534:15,18

negotiations 537:21 540:10

neutral 512:2 514:14 525:18 526:7

Nicole 508:25 531:4

non-opposition

517:9

normal 536:4

note 519:10

noted 511:23 512:16 516:8,12 527:20

NRDC 509:23 517:8

nuances 512:11

number 508:3 511:21 513:24 523:20 527:13 528:7,20 535:21 536:10

numbers 518:2 537:15

0

objected 517:6

objection 540:24

objectives 516:24

observations 512:7 514:4 516:8.23

off-peak 521:22

Office 509:3,5 511:10

offset 514:13

On-the-record 541:8

OPC 512:9 513:20 516:7 517:6

OPC's 537:13

operate 520:13

operating 519:12 520:19

Opitz 509:8,11,14,15 539:24,25

opportunities

511:17 515:10,16,22 516:5

opportunity 508:15 511:8 519:15 538:10

oppose 531:8 540:20

optimize 523:13 **options** 517:22 order 525:14 original 537:24 540:9 **outcome** 537:23 outreach 513:6 515:12 overnight 514:2 Ρ paid 514:17 Paisner 510:9 paper 529:20 paragraph 531:25 532:12 **parallel** 515:12 parameters 531:14 532:25 park 527:13,15 528:20 part 522:18 532:5 participate 524:22 participation 532:1 parties 509:6 541:2 party 517:6 539:11 **passed** 534:17 Pat 511:3 **path** 512:6 Paula 508:20 510:25 **paying** 524:15 **peak** 522:10,13 524:14 pecking 525:14 people 529:19 535:7

period 512:15 513:5,

18.20 538:18

opt 521:19

perspective 520:10 **phone** 509:7,15,21 510:7 piece 530:2 **pilot** 512:5 515:19 **PISA** 539:17 **place** 535:22 places 528:16 535:22 **plan** 515:17 planning 515:11 plant 539:6 **play** 521:11 527:6 538:14 played 534:20 pleased 516:6 **plenty** 517:13 plug-in 529:25 **plugs** 526:23 **point** 515:18 534:1 538:18 **points** 516:13 **policy** 520:10 politely 535:1 port 528:1 portion 524:3 531:24 ports 527:16,23,24,25 528:17,23 529:16 position 533:22 537:13 538:3 positions 537:24

positive 513:18

537:17 539:11

515:5 518:15

Poston 509:4 536:25

potential 511:16,20

potentially 521:16

periods 513:17

Power 519:4 preference 514:19 **present** 510:20 515:21 presentation 508:8 541:5,9 presented 513:22,25 presiding 508:8 pretty 533:11 **previous** 531:17 532:22 **prior** 533:9 prioritizes 531:16 532:1 **private** 524:4,5 privy 540:9 **problem** 532:14 process 513:3 515:1, 9 533:24 professionals 515:14 program 508:6 512:10 513:3,10,17,21 515:3,9 516:12 517:11,19 519:23 520:4,6 522:15,18 523:14 524:16,21 525:17 526:25 528:10, 19,25 529:23 531:14, 17 532:7,14 534:4,5, 21 535:18 536:15 538:16

520:4,6 522:15,18 523:14 524:16,21 525:17 526:25 528:10 19,25 529:23 531:14, 17 532:7,14 534:4,5, 21 535:18 536:15 538:16 programs 512:6 515:19 517:2 527:9 538:15 project 525:13 proliferation 530:6 promote 514:2 promoting 518:19 proposal 511:16 532:23,25 proposed 515:19
517:2 518:25 534:7
535:3 536:2
protections 531:19
provide 511:17
521:16 524:1
provided 509:2 510:4
providing 513:5
515:16
provisions 514:3
public 509:3,5 511:11
531:16 536:23 537:11
538:23 539:21
public's 512:25
publicly 534:9

pure 530:1 push 530:21 put 510:1 521:23 526:16 527:4 528:11

pulled 526:16

putting 514:6 527:2

Q

qualifies 514:8
qualifying 514:11
539:6
quantity 536:11
quarterly 513:9 528:4
question 527:12
535:2 539:2
questions 508:16

questions 508:16 511:5 517:14,17 519:19 531:7 532:17, 20 536:19 537:9,11 538:22 539:21 540:4, 14 541:2

quick 529:12 quickly 531:10

	reflected 512:8	retrofit 527:9	shortly 530:23
R	regard 508:11 514:7	retrospect 534:22	show 529:14
ran 530:10	regions 530:4	revenue 539:5	Sierra 509:20,24
range 511:17	registration 530:7	review 508:13	517:8 540:5
rate 513:24,25 521:19	registrations 529:21,	ridership 531:19	sign 531:10 532:15
524:14	24 530:2	535:7,18	signatories 539:3
rates 511:2 516:17 522:10 524:11	regular 527:5	Robertson 509:22,23 540:7,8	significance 539:7
re 526:6	Regulatory 508:8 511:2	Robin 531:6 533:18	significant 515:9
reached 511:10 512:9	reinforced 537:23	robust 512:3 514:25	significantly 527:3 535:3
516:6 517:5,25	reiterate 516:4	room 509:11	signing 538:20
ready 517:22 519:23	related 539:4	run 513:17	Silvey 510:24 517:18
520:1 525:9,11,15 533:10,14,17,19 534:4	relative 530:5	Rupp 527:20 529:5,7	519:17 529:11,18 530:8 532:21 533:6,9
real 531:7 534:19	relevant 527:21	536:19	534:6,13,25 535:12
realize 509:11	rely 522:11		537:12,25 538:21
reason 520:11	remaining 514:14		similar 522:9
reasonable 527:11	remarks 517:15	Sarah 531:5 533:18	single 527:23 531:20
reasons 518:11	531:2 536:24 540:15 541:3	Section 539:7	site 525:11 531:12 535:23
538:19	Renew 509:7,8,14	senior 511:1	situation 535:24
rebate 531:20	517:7 539:23 540:4	sense 524:24	size 519:9
rebates 531:13 536:16	renewables 523:6	separate 533:10	skin 538:5
receive 521:14	rephrase 535:2	September 508:15 511:22	smart 526:22,24
535:10	report 511:23 513:9	series 515:20	527:1,4,7
receiving 532:10	516:9 532:9	serve 527:24	smarter 527:6
recess 530:13	reporter 509:2 510:5 530:18	served 526:2	software 515:2
recognizes 531:21	reporting 513:8	service 518:14	522:8,12 solution 522:20
reconsideration	514:24 528:4	521:19	533:25
530:20	require 523:22	set 534:10	solutions 522:7
reconvene 530:15	required 523:18	setting 534:13	532:13
record 508:2 541:7	requirements 513:8	settlement 511:9	sort 522:12 535:24
recovery 538:6,7 reduce 521:22	resources 509:19,21 520:22 540:5	512:8,13 514:3 515:8 516:6,10,23 517:1,7,	speak 516:5 522:6
reducing 523:11	responsiveness	10,25 538:8	speakerphone 510:14
reduction 513:14	515:23	shape 528:5	specific 511:5 519:14
reduction 513:14	result 523:13 538:8	Shawna 509:17	specifically 513:22
523:13 538:12	results 513:19	Sheet 521:1 535:23 536:11	514:1 515:21 521:5

533:6 532:6.12 537:1.8 22 523:2.6.7 524:11 Т 539:2 540:2,10,24 527:25 530:23 538:1 spending 531:23 540:25 **storage** 522:8,12 **spent** 531:15 table 536:11 time-limited 515:20 store 536:1,4 **Spire** 510:17 takes 528:5 times 515:1 520:6 strapped 532:14 521:22 522:10 **spot** 528:17 **taking** 515:5 **Street** 510:10 **spread** 536:16 **titled** 515:8 talk 511:8 **stress** 521:22 today 508:9 511:1,8 spur 518:16 talked 519:1 stressing 522:10 517:6 537:7 spurring 511:14 tariff 521:6 533:3,10, **Tom** 511:1 518:8,22 **strictly** 533:1 536:6 15,17 535:21 536:2 **St** 508:23 520:9 strictness 533:4 tariffs 508:10,16 **Staff** 508:24 509:1 tools 535:7 510:20 512:16 517:23 strongly 516:17 511:10,22 512:9 531:2 total 525:13 527:13 513:19 514:4 516:7 518:20 531:23 517:6 531:1,4,15 technical 531:7 **structure** 516:1 518:1 532:20,24 533:4,7,17, tracking 529:14,21 524:7,14 technology 512:2 19 535:6 536:19 530:2 513:14 514:14,16 537:2,22 structured 515:21 522:17 525:18,25 transaction 522:6 **Staff's** 515:18 516:9 structures 513:25 526:7,19 transmission 520:19 538:9 stuff 538:9 temporal 538:17 stakeholders 533:23 transparency 531:13 successful 512:4 ten 528:11,14 533:3 **stand** 510:15 516:20 term 525:19 travel 511:18 standard 526:10 sufficient 518:15 terms 526:7 treatment 539:17 **Star** 514:19 521:24 **suited** 529:12 territory 518:14 trenching 525:11 **start** 510:20,22 superior 518:5 528:22 529:22,24 tweaks 534:17 **started** 529:23 suppliers 515:15 themes 511:24 two-year 513:20 **state** 511:14,18 516:2, **supply** 525:15 thing 514:5 532:13 14 519:16 **type** 516:1 520:3 533:12 **support** 517:3 518:21 525:25 529:13 533:14 **stated** 516:11 534:9 525:20 537:1 538:4 **things** 519:6 522:7 539:25 540:11 **types** 524:10 525:21 532:22 533:21 537:16 statement 540:11 529:25 supported 534:8 thought 510:19 528:6 statements 518:22 540:8 530:11 532:4 540:6 U supporting 517:9 thousand 527:15 station 515:15 527:23 528:13,23 536:1 537:5 supportive 537:14 **Uh-huh** 533:8 534:12 three-year 512:14 stations 520:14 supports 524:14 under-- 525:19 513:18 525:22 527:14,20 sustaining 516:19 understand 522:21 535:8 539:5 thrilled 534:19 525:16,19 **system** 513:10 Steve 511:2 521:5 tied 538:7 515:24 516:15,19,21 understanding **stip** 532:1 521:17,23 522:10,14 **Tim** 509:8,14 524:24 523:7 525:24 531:22 stipulation 508:10 unfettered 526:13 time 513:25 516:4 510:21 531:3,11 517:17 521:19 522:9,

Union 508:4,21	wanting 533:15
unique 515:16,21	watching 529:2
528:16	ways 521:17
unseen 531:23	weeds 536:9
utilities 518:13 519:7	weigh 526:2
utility 516:17 534:2 535:10	wholesale 522:21 523:10
utility-owned 517:22 520:8 utilization 513:10	Wills 511:2 521:5,7,9 523:21,25 525:2 526:1 528:6 529:4,17,20
514:12	with 508:10
utilized 513:15	words 512:22
V	work 510:14 520:7 524:19 534:2 538:1
valuable 513:11	working 517:20,21 533:7,24 534:10,14
variety 513:11 526:8	537:8,13,18,23 538:2
vehicle 511:9 513:16 522:25 526:14 529:24	workplace 512:17
vehicles 512:20 526:12 530:1	works 516:2 518:7,9, 13 519:7,8,13 520:6, 12 534:1,5
vendor 514:22 526:7	workshop 508:14
vendor's 526:8	511:19,23,24 512:7
versus 523:8 528:15 532:8	514:5 516:8,12,24 519:1
view 519:14	wrap 530:11
views 535:6	writing 523:17
virtually 518:1,3	written 510:4
visitors 512:19,20 513:1	Y
vital 515:4,25 516:16, 20	years 511:13
vote 534:10	
W	
wait 521:11	
walk 521:1	
wanted 508:13 512:11 524:19	