## v Response

It's no surprise what Ameren's "legal counsel" is trying to do here. I believe I explained numerous fats pertaining to protecting Missourians from being forced off a rate plan, and being subjected to Ameren's way of raising rates on them too. I also believe i stated that my best interest is for Missourians and that I would rather intervene as myself, rather than my non-profit that I run. It's pretty clear what I've stated.

However, Ms.Grubbs goes of the subject matter and wishes to dive into another matter of mine that isn't subjected to the complant. While I am no stranger, as an individual and a Missourian I have a right to look out as a person for the best of Missourians.

Lets talk facts here. Forcing someone to automatically be converted without their consent is communism and illegal. It would constitute breach of contract. While the Commission doesn't hear matters before breaches of contract, this would open a clear wave towards a class action lawsuit being filed against Ameren.

In the end, they want to confuse customers, bait and switch them without their consent and think they are giving them a "value" of savings, when in reality they are going to end up paying more. There is no example or factual evidence that Ameren has submitted that is going to reduce the cost of customers electric bills. They've purely submitted opinions in this matter, nothing factual.

Ameren's Counsel is upset because I have the right to take an interest to matters and have the right to intervene in the matter, as does any Missourian that freely wishes to take stance and help protect other Missourians from unfair customer service, rates, etc.

Is this matter to argue how many filings I've submitted to the PSC on different or list of issues, or the issue of topic of the complaint?m The deflect respondents counsel gives, is that way Ameren can continue their deceptive business practices that they've been doing for a long time.

If you want a reference, just ask about the smart meter and regular meter issue. People that opted out of "smart"meters were forced into fees and additional fee's. Some Missourians were forced into getting "smart" meters. If counsel wants to discuss those she can feel free to respond to me about it and we will talk at a later date. However, as a person, a Missourian myself to protect and raise awareness within our community I as a person have a valid right to intervene, if it pertains to the subject.

The point is, these time based rates, time of use plans are confusing to the customers themselves. It is no different that the cellular institutional way of confusing the customer. This no different than pretending that they are a cellular company with off-peak, on-peak, nights and weekends, etc. Cellular company's got rid of this many years ago for flat based proces. Ameren should do the same thing. Nobody should be forced into a plan they don't want or kicked off a plan they don't want to convert to and especially raises the rates. Ameren is simply trying to become a communist monoply and order you to pay your bill and abide by their deceptive rates and then they'll tell you to "reduce" your usuage.

FYI, since she decided to bring up my "utility " matters, I do have electric. I encourage Respondents Counsel to see the wonderful Holiday or Christmas Display that I have. If she wants to have a discussion about the "electric" I have she can personally email me or call me.

Its a pure deflect and since we are going to air out my other matters, their outside legal counsel from Banks Law LLC is a pervert who made lewd comments during the evidentiary hearing to my daughter.

Brett Felber 636-699-0626