

EC-2023-0395

Brett Felber
VS
Ameren Missouri

I would like further clarification as to why this matter should be closed? I filed a Motion to appeal, as a listed a lengthy amount of material stating why this matter should be appealed and be granted an appeal.


Why is the Commission and the Regulatory Judge not interested in the actual facts of this matter? Is it because the truth shows that Ameren committed a massive amount of fraud and were able to extort I the Complainant out of money I shouldn't have had to give Ameren?

Personally, I would like to see this matter reopened and I would like to be able to present everything from video recordings to audio recordings and physical evidence or paperwork that shows the Complainant was correct in this matter.

FYI, I also have newer evidence or exhibits that will show Ameren Missouri never reached out to SendGrid or Twilio to attempt to get a copy of the exhibit presented as the payment agreement . I was looking forward to presenting that letter from SendGrid during the appeal.

I'd really like the Regulatory Judge to reconsider reopening EC-2023-0395 therefore I can present that to show that their Master Manipulator, Aubrey Krcmar and their legal counsel further lied.

Respectfully,
Brett Felber

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'BF', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.