Exhibit No. 27

Exhibit No:

Issue: Cash Working Capital

Witness: Timothy S. Lyons
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Spire Missouri Inc.
Case No: GR-2021-0108

Testimony Date: June 17, 2021

SPIRE MISSOURI INC.

CASE NO. GR-2021-0108

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

OF

TIMOTHY S. LYONS

JUNE 17, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF TIMOTHY S. LYONS	2
I. PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW OF TESTIMONY	2
II. DISCUSSION	4

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF TIMOTHY S. LYONS					
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS				
3		ADDRESS.				
4	A.	My name is Timothy S. Lyons. I am a Partner at ScottMadden, Inc. My business				
5		address is 1900 West Park Drive, Suite 250, Westborough, Massachusetts 01581.				
6	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME TIMOTHY S. LYONS WHO PREVIOUSLY				
7		SPONSORED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?				
8	A.	Yes, I am. I provided direct testimony ("Direct Testimony") before the Missouri				
9		Public Service Commission (the "Commission") on behalf of Spire Missouri, Inc.				
10		("Spire" or the "Company").				
11	I. PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW OF TESTIMONY					
12	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?				
13	A.	The purpose of this rebuttal testimony ("Rebuttal Testimony") is to respond to the				
14		Office of the Public Counsel's ("OPC") recommendation in the Direct Testimony				
15		of John S. Riley regarding the CWC requirement. I will also address the Staff of				
16		the Commission's position on CWC and the Commission's Assessment.				
17	Q.	HAVE YOU PREPARED SCHEDULES SUPPORTING YOUR				
18		TESTIMONY?				
19	A.	No.				
20	Q.	WHAT IS STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE MISSOURI				
21		PSC ASSESSMENT?				
22	A.	Staff proposes to include the PSC Assessment in the CWC requirement but exclude				
23		the PSC Assessment from prepayments. ⁵ Staff prepared a workpaper showing the				

1		Company's payment dates and amounts as well as the service period during the test						
2		year. The workpaper shows that the Company's payments in aggregate						
3		were <u>prior</u> to midpoint of the service period, consistent with prepayments.						
4	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S POSITION ON STAFF'S						
5		RECOMMENDATION?						
6		The Company believes Staff has reversed the sign in its calculation of the CWC						
7		requirement as a typographic error. Specifically, Staff's calculation of the CWC						
8		requirement shows that the Company's payments in aggregate were after the						
9		midpoint of the service period while Staff's workpaper shows the Company's						
10		payments in aggregate were <u>before</u> the midpoint of the service period.						
11		The Company has revised Staff's calculation of the CWC requirement to reflect the						
12		correct amount. Further, the Company has discussed this concern with Staff and						
13		expects this matter to be resolved without the need for further testimony.						
14	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE OPC'S RECOMMENDATION RELATED TO THE						
15		COMPANY'S PROPOSED CWC REQUIREMENT.						
16	A.	OPC proposes to increase the lead days for income tax payments from 38.00 days						
17		to 365.00 days based on its position that Spire Missouri has not been required to						
18		pay income taxes in at least the last three years. ¹						
19	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S POSITION ON THESE						
20		RECOMMENDATIONS?						

tax payments.

21

22

A.

The Company opposes OPC's recommendation to increase the lead days for income

¹ Riley Direct, page 9.

1		II. DISCUSSION				
2	Q.	WHAT IS THE OPC'S RECOMMENDATION REGARDING INCOME TAX				
3		EXPENSE IN THE CWC REQUIREMENT?				
4	A.	OPC proposes to increase the lead days for income tax payments from 38.00 days				
5		to 365.00 days based on its position that Spire has not been required to pay income				
6		taxes in at least the last three years. OPC states, "If you have no cost (payments)				
7		at any time during the year, then your lag would be an entire year. Thus, the expense				
8		lag should be negative 365 days (i.e., one full year)".2				
9	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S POSITION REGARDING OPC'S				
10		RECOMMENDATION?				
11	A.	The Company opposes OPC's proposed lead days for income tax payments because				
12		it does not reflect the Internal Revenue Service's ("IRS") payment schedule for				
13	income taxes in accordance with IRS Publication 542.3 Specifically, IRS					
14	Publication 542 states that estimated tax payments are due by the 15 th day of the					
15	4 th , 6 th , 9 th , and 12 th month of a corporation's tax year. For the Company's tax year					
16	ending September 30, the estimated tax payments are due January 15 th , March 15 th ,					
17	June 15th, and September 15th. These payment dates were used to develop the					
18		Company's lead days for income tax payments in this rate case proceeding.				
19		Regarding OPC's discussion regarding the Company's income tax expenses, if the				
20		Commission determines in this rate case proceeding that the Company has no				
21	income tax expenses, then the Company's cash working capital requirement related					

22

to income tax payments would be zero. If, on the other hand, the Commission

² Riley Direct, page 9.

³ https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/p542.pdf

- determines in this rate case proceeding that the Company has income tax expenses,
 then the Company's income tax payments would be based on the IRS's payment
 dates in IRS Publication 542. These payment dates were used by the Company to
 determine its lead days for income tax expenses and overall cash working
 requirement.
- 6 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- 7 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Spire Missouri Inc.'s Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service Provided in the Company's Missouri Service Areas)))	File No. GR-2021-0108					
	<u>AFF</u>	FIDAVIT					
STATE OF VERMONT)						
COUNTY OF CHITTENDEN)	SS.					
)						
Timothy S. Lyons, of lawful age, being first duly sworn, deposes and states:							
1. My name is Timothy S. Lyons. I am a Partner at ScottMadden, Inc. My business address							
s 1900 West Park Drive, Suite 250, Westborough, Massachusetts 01581.							
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my rebuttal testimony on behalf							
of Spire Missouri, Inc.							
3. Under penalty of perjury, I decl	are th	hat my answers to the questions contained in the					
foregoing rebuttal testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.							
		Simothy S. Lyons Timothy S. Lyons					

June 17, 2021

Date