

EO-2024-0244
Change Of Supplier

I appreciate Evergy's undersigned Counsel's response to my Change Of Supplier, as I addressed in a filing on March 27, 2024 I expected an uphill battle on this matter. Realistically I didn't foresee a Change of Supplier being granted. I have no issues with Evergy's stance, as they service seven businesses that I own between the territories of Kansas and Kansas City, Missouri. Never had a problem with them or a billing problem etc.

Now, lets talk about Ameren's undersigned counsel's filing, as it doesn't address anything I've stated at all. In fact, her response is written just like I would expect a Con Artist to do. That's because Ameren Missouri is a con artist and a shill. To be fair the word con artist and shill is a wide variety.

Counsel for Ameren mentions EC-2023-0395. Pretty sure when I go through EC-2023-0395, that nice exhibit I mentioned called Exhibit D NO MPSC 0027, was not used in any decision making. In fact , Ameren didn't use in at all in the evidentiary hearing.

I don't see anything from the Commissioners or the Commission, Staff of the Commission that states specifically to that document remotely to the findings of the PAG terms of table border, cellspacing, cellpadding color or wutable. Nothing explains that in a filing.

In addition, there is nothing mentioned about www2.ameren.com as being an email address or even being investigated.

Also March 14th and March 15th weren't part of EC-2023-0395.

That's because Ameren's undersigned counsel is a pathological liar, herself.

Also, Ameren was never investigated in EC-2023-0395, for double billing on the last day of the billing cycle, charging to end and start on the SAME day.

They also weren't investigated for being a Con Artist or Shill Company.

Seems Ameren's Counsel would rather want to diminish clarifying or simply stating yes or no to an invalid email address of www2.ameren.com. Because it would prove that I was telling the truth and this exhibit D that produced is a forgery copy.

While Ameren is allowed to make profit , it doesn't allow them to produce counterfeit or forgery documents to steal money or extort money from people.

Her Motion to Dismiss further interferes with the findings that www2.ameren.com is not a valid email address or a senable or receivable email address, therefore any documents presumed or submitted by Ameren Missouri wouldn't send to a party.

Her Motion to dismiss should be denied by the Commission until she answers the truth about the document and tells the real truth?

Also matter EC-2024-0217, lists a violation that was errored on in EC-2023-0395 for denial of service letters.

I'll ask Ameren's undersigned Counsel again, Please file a response to I and the Commission and the Honorable Judge Clark with the following sequence.

1) Please admit that Exhibit D NO MPSC 0027, is a counterfeit and forged document?

- 2) Please admit that Exhibit D NO MPSC 0027, doesn't contain the correct payment installments and terms amount?
- 3) Please admit that EXhibit D NO MPSC 0027 is actually a copy forged by Aubrey Krmcar and not a "senior software engineer?"
- 4) Please admit that Exhibit D NO MPSC 0027 is not only a forged copy, but www2.ameren.com is not an email address?
- 5) Please admit that DONOTReply@ameren.com is the actual email address that in which Ameren Missouri utilizes to send out documents?
- 6) Please admit Exhibit D NO MPSC 0027, was not investigated in EC-2023-0395 and not included in the evidenitary hearing?
- 7) Pleae admit Exhibit D NO MPSC 0027, was used to extort, steal and commit theft of funds from Complainant?

Only after Ameren Missouri answers those seven questions should the Commission even think about dismissing this matter, in which when Ameren's Counsel answers these questions it will further show that Ameren engaged in deceptive , deceiving and fraudulent practices.

Thus, the Complainant is allowed to seek that another utility provider is permitted to conducting business in the Eastern part of Missouri.

The Complainant and furthermore, is entitled to utility services from a utility provider that doesn't engage in Con Artist deceptive business practices and doesn't forge documents.

Oh and for the record, I don't care if its disparaging or not the only Motion to Dismiss that Ameren's undersigned counsel should be wanting to dismiss, is submitting her resignation letter and stepping down from Ameren Missouri before this matter gets brought before the Chief Disciplinary Counsel.

Also she might want some better reading glasses to find out were www2.ameren.com or their so called email was investigated.

Again, I apologize to Evergy's undersigned for being subjected to having to deal with this.

I do not apoligze to Ameren Missouri for the foolishness and the criminal behavior they've subjected me through. Oh and she should respond to the Attorney General's Office as well. As far as I'm concernd Ameren Missouri is a coward and they are even bigger of a patholigcal liar if they remotely think www2.ameren.com is an email address.

They are Con Artists and shills and I shouldn't be subjected to having services or give money to Con Artists and Shills. Excuses and more excuses. What a circus clown show they are putting on.

Brett Felber
March 28, 2024

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Brett Felber', written in a cursive style.