Exhibit No.: Issues: Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: File No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

LED Street Lighting Hojong Kang MO PSC Staff Rebuttal Testimony ER-2012-0166 September 7, 2012

Filed October 23, 2012 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

HOJONG KANG

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a Ameren Missouri

FILE NO. ER-2012-0166

Jefferson City, Missouri September 2012

Statt Exhibit No. 226 Date -27-12-Reporter XF File NO FR- 202-0166

EXHIBIT 226

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company) d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to) Increase Its Revenues for Electric Service)

Case No. ER-2012-0166

AFFIDAVIT OF HOJONG KANG

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)

Hojong Kang, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Surrebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of $\underline{4}$ pages of Surrebuttal Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Surrebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\frac{1}{2}$ day of September, 2012.

My Commission Expires: October 03, 2014 Commission Number: 10942086 Vinnoo Vawaliao for Callaway County REVENDED IN TO A CONTRACT A CONTRACT OF A CO

Jotary Public

1 2	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
3 4		OF
5		HOJONG KANG
6 7 8 9		UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI
10 11 12		FILE NO. ER-2012-0166
13 14	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
15	А.	My name is Hojong Kang, and my business address is Missouri Public Service
16	Commissio	n, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
17	Q.	What is your present position at the Missouri Public Service Commission?
18	А.	I am a Regulatory Economist in the Energy Unit of the Regulatory Review
19	Division.	
20	Q.	Are you the same Hojong Kang that contributed to Staff's Revenue
21	Requirement Cost-of-Service Report filed on July 6, 2012, and Staff's rebuttal testimony on	
22	August 14, 2012?	
23	А.	Yes, I am.
24	Q.	Would you please summarize the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?
25	A.	I continue to provide an update related to Union Electric Company d/b/a
26	Ameren Mi	ssouri's ("Ameren Missouri's") compliance with the Commission's order in Case
27	No. ER-2011-0028 concerning Light Emitting Diode ("LED") street lighting tariff provisions.	
28	Q.	What did the Commission order concerning LED street lighting tariff
29	provisions in Case No. ER-2011-0028?	

Surrebuttal Testimony of Hojong Kang

In its July 13, 2011 Report and Order. "...the Commission agreed with Staff 1 A. 2 that LED street lighting is an exciting technology that should be examined and implemented if appropriate.¹" The Commission directed "...Ameren Missouri to either file an LED street 3 4 lighting tariff by July 31, 2012, or to provide a status report to Staff by that date, indicating 5 when it will be able to file such a tariff.²" Further, the Commission emphasized that 6 "... Ameren Missouri does not have to file a tariff until it is appropriate to do so. If its further 7 study of the potential of LED street lighting reveals that such lighting will not be a benefit to its customers, Ameren Missouri may inform the Staff of that conclusion in its status report.³" 8

9

Q. Did Ameren Missouri file a LED street lighting tariff by July 31, 2012?

10 No, it did not. The Company provided a short "Report to Staff" on July 31, Α. 11 2012, that is attached to my rebuttal testimony as Schedule HJK-R1. In its Report to Staff, 12 Ameren Missouri stated that it expects the Electric Power Research Institute ("EPRI") to 13 publish its nationwide LED street lighting pilot program report in September 2012, which 14 included testing of eleven (11) LED street lights in the city of Ballwin, Missouri. Ameren 15 Missouri also stated that it "...has not determined it is appropriate to offer a LED tariff at this 16 time. There are still a plethora of issues that the Company must resolve before a [LED] tariff can be offered.⁴" Ameren Missouri's list of issues includes regulatory framework issues, 17 18 initial costs, operation issues, procurement issues, lighting types, and cost-effectiveness.

19

20

Q Did Ameren Missouri describe what it views as the regulatory framework issues?

21

No, it did not. Staff issued its Data Request No. 0476 and requested, in part:

 2 Id.

Α.

 3 Id.

⁴ Rebuttal Testimony Schedule HJK-R1, Report to Staff, p. 1.

¹ File No. ER-2011-0028, Report and Order, p. 94.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Hojong Kang

b. The complete list of regulatory framework issues that Ameren Missouri and the Commission still have to address; and c. Ameren Missouri's plan for addressing the complete list of regulatory framework issues that Ameren Missouri and the Commission still have to address.

In its response to Staff's data request, the Company stated:

B. A complete list of regulatory framework issues has not been developed.C. Ameren Missouri is still conducting analysis to address all items above. A specific plan does not exist.

9 10 11

12

1

2 3 4

5 6

7

8

Q. Please describe the LED street lighting research project Ameren Missouri is currently involved in.

As mentioned above, Ameren Missouri is collaborating with EPRI and other 13 A. 14 utilities to test and evaluate the potential of currently available LED lighting through EPRI's National Demonstration Project, which includes nine (9) national sites with twelve (12) LED 15 16 lights normally installed per site. However, Ameren Missouri installed eleven (11) LED 17 lights in Ballwin, Missouri. This project started in the summer of 2009 and ended in the 18 fourth quarter of 2011. As a project participant, Ameren Missouri is interfacing with EPRI 19 for data collection in metering and photometric measurement of the LED lighting. EPRI will 20 provide a final report in September 2012. This research with EPRI is Ameren Missouri's only LED street lighting research. 21

Q. Does Staff know of any other LED street lighting research projects involving
other Missouri utilities?

A. Yes. Kansas City Power & Light ("KCPL") and KCP&L Greater Missouri
Operations ("GMO") have several LED pilot programs in their service territories. KCPL and
GMO participated in not only the EPRI project, which Ameren Missouri has, but also its own
pilot program and the project with the Mid-America Regional Council ("MARC").
Furthermore, KCPL and GMO cooperate with the city of Kansas City, Missouri, and other

3

Surrebuttal Testimony of Hojong Kang

cities to install municipal LED street lights. With these pilot projects, KCPL and GMO have
 installed more than 2,200 LED street lights and are expected to install more than 4,000 high
 efficiency street lights in total by December, 2012.

The Empire District Electric ("Empire") has a LED street lighting pilot program in
Branson, Missouri.

6

7

Q. Does Staff have a recommendation for the Commission regarding Ameren Missouri's response to the Commission's order regarding LED street lighting?

8 Yes. The Commission's Report and Order in Case No. ER-2011-0028 found A. 9 that "Ameren Missouri is not as enthusiastic about the future of LED lighting" as Staff. Staff 10 has concerns that this may create delays in Ameren Missouri's analysis and implementation of a LED lighting tariff. Staff recommends that the Commission order Ameren Missouri to: 1) 11 complete it evaluation of all issues⁵ the Company identified in its Report to Staff not later than 12 January 31, 2013 and 2) file either a compliance LED lighting tariff, or a status report as to 13 when it anticipates filing such tariff, not later than March 31, 2013. 14 Staff is not 15 recommending that Ameren Missouri offer a LED street lighting demand-side program unless 16 Ameren Missouri's analysis shows that a LED street lighting demand-side program would be 17 cost-effective. Staff further recommends that Ameren Missouri file a proposed tariff sheet(s) 18 that would provide LED street lighting services at cost plus the return authorized by the Commission to its customers. 19

20

21

Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

A.

Q.

Yes, it does.

⁵ Rebuttal Testimony Schedule HJK-R1, *Report to Staff*, p. 1.

4