In the Matter of:

KCP& L Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase

ER-2018-0145 & ER-2018-0146

October 03, 2018



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	On-the-record Presentation
7	October 3, 2018
8	Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 14
10	
11	
12	In The Matter of Kansas City) Power & Light Company's Request) File No. ER-2018-0145
13	For Authority To Implement a) General Rate Increase for)
14	Electric Service)
15	In The Matter of KCP&L Greater) Missouri Operations Company's) File No. ER-2018-0146
16	Request for Authority to) Implement a General Rate)
17	Increase for Electric Service)
18	RONALD D. PRIDGIN, Presiding DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
19	RON SILVEY, Chairman WILLIAM P. KENNEY,
20	DANIEL Y. HALL, SCOTT T. RUPP,
21	MAIDA J. COLEMAN, COMMISSIONERS
22	COMINT 22TONER2
23	DEDODTED DV.
24	REPORTED BY: Lisa M. Banks, CCR No. 1081
25	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	ROBERT J	
2	ROGER W.	STEINER Kansas City Power & Light Company
3		1200 Main, 16th Floor Kansas City, Missouri 64105
		816.556.2791
4	FOR:	Kansas City Power & Light Company
5	JAMES M.	FISCHER Fischer & Dority, PC
6		101 Madison, Suite 400 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
7		573.636.6758
8	FOR:	Kansas City Power & Light Company
	LEWIS MII	LLS
9		Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner 221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101
10		Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 573.556.6627
11	FOR: M:	issouri Industrial Energy Consumers
12	DAVID WOO	
13		Woodsmall Law Office 308 E. High Street, Suite 204
14		Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 573.797.0005
15	FOR:	Midwest Energy Consumers Group
Т2	MARC POST	r∩n
16	111110 100	301 West High Street
17		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.522.3304
18	FOR:	Missouri Division of Energy
10	TIM OPIT	Z
19		409 Vandiver Drive Building 5, Suite 205
20	EOD •	Columbia, Missouri 65202 Renew Missouri Advocates
21	FOR:	
22	MARK W. (Newman, Comley & Ruth
23		601 Monroe Street, Suite 301 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0537
24	FOR: Ac	573.634.2266 dvance Energy Management Alliance
	FOR• AC	Availee Ellergy Mallagement Attrailee
25		

1	PEGGY A. WHIPPLE
2	Healy Law Offices, LLC 514 East High Street, Suite 22
3	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 573.415.8379
4	FOR: Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission
5	CARL J. LUMLEY
6	Curtis Heinz 130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200
	Clayton, Missouri 63105
7	314.725.8788 FOR: Dogwood Energy, LLC
8	NATHAN WILLIAMS
9	RYAN SMITH HAMPTON WILLIAMS
10	CALEB HALL Department of Economic Development
11	Office of the Public Counsel
12	200 Madison Street PO Box 2230
13	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.526.4975
14	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
15	MARK JOHNSON NICOLE MERS
16	Department of Economic Development Public Service Commission
17	200 Madison Street PO Box 360
18	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 573.751.7431
	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Good morning. We
2	are on the record. This is the on-the-record
3	presentation for Files No. ER-2018-0145 and 0146.
4	I'm Ron Pridgin, the regulatory law judge assigned
5	to preside over this on-the-record that is being
6	held Wednesday, October 3rd, 2018. We are in the
7	Governor Office Building in Jefferson City,
8	Missouri. The time is approximately 9:00 a.m.
9	I would like to get entries of appearance
10	from counsel, please. I'll just kind of go through a list
11	here and I'll see if I've missed anyone. On behalf of KCP&L
12	and GMO, please.
13	MR. FISCHER: Yes, Judge. On behalf of the
14	companies my name is Jim Fischer and I'm representing the
15	companies along with Rob Hack and Roger Steiner. Our
16	contact information has been given to the court reporter.
17	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer, thank you.
18	On behalf of the Staff of the Commission,
19	please.
20	MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Judge. On behalf
21	of the staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, Mark
22	Johnson and Nicole Mers.
23	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Johnson, thank you.
24	On behalf of the Office of Public Counsel,
25	please.

1	MR. HAMPTON WILLIAMS: Thank you, Judge.
2	My name is Hampton Williams appearing along side with Nathan
3	Williams, Ryan Smith and Caleb Hall for the Office of the
4	Public Counsel. Our contact information has been provided
5	to the reporter.
6	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Williams, thank you.
7	On behalf of Renew Missouri, please.
8	MR. OPITZ: Thank you, Judge. For Renew
9	Missouri I'm Tim Opitz. My address is 409 Vandiver Drive,
10	Building 5, Suite 205, Columbia, Missouri 65202.
11	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Opitz, thank you.
12	On behalf of Missouri Division of Energy,
13	please.
14	MR. POSTON: Thank you. Marc Poston for
15	the Division of Energy.
16	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Poston, thank you.
17	On behalf of the Midwest Energy Consumers
18	Group, please.
19	MR. WOODSMALL: Good morning, Your Honor.
20	David Woodsmall on behalf of MECG.
21	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Woodsmall, thank you.
22	On behalf of Missouri Industrial Energy
23	Consumers, please.
24	MR. MILLS: Thank you, Judge. Lewis Mills
25	of the law firm Bryan, Cave, Leighton, Paisner. My address

1	is 221 Bolivar Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.
2	Thank you.
3	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Mills, thank you.
4	On behalf of Dogwood Energy, please.
5	MR. LUMLEY: Good morning. Carl Lumley.
6	Curtis, Heinz law firm.
7	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Lumley, thank you.
8	On behalf of AEMA, please.
9	MR. COMLEY: Appearing for Advance Energy
10	Management Alliance, Mark Comley of Newman, Comley and Ruth.
11	Our business address is 601 Monroe, Suite 301, Jefferson
12	City.
13	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Comley, thank you.
14	On behalf of MJMEUC, please.
15	MS. WHIPPLE: Good morning, Your Honor.
16	Peggy Whipple on behalf of MJMEUC and my contact information
17	has been given to the court reporter.
18	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Very good. Did I overlook
19	anyone? All right. Thank you.
20	Before we begin bench questions there's
21	a couple of things. I think last week Commissioner
22	Kenney had some questions and mentioned a late-filed
23	exhibit that I think he wanted filed and I was a little
24	unclear on whom to order to file that because I am not
25	sure who had easiest access to that, but reviewing the

1 transcript, Volume 12 Page 59 Ms. Lange referred to the 2. electric service quide for residential construction and I think that is the document that Commissioner Kenney 3 wanted late-filed. Would someone be able to reasonably 4 5 file that later this week perhaps? 6 MR. JOHNSON: Judge, Staff can have that filed this week. 7 8 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Very good. Thank you. And 9 exhibits -- I'll be glad to maybe to this after the 10 on-the-record. I'm assuming parties will want to go through 11 and offer or make sure we're all clear on what has been 12 offered and admitted. We can do that after the 13 on-the-record. I see people nod their heads. Okay. Very 14 good. 15 What I'm considering doing since we don't 16 have any disputes is probably canceling the reply brief 17 requirements since the parties don't seem to have any 18 disputes anymore and then perhaps just order Staff and the Company to file briefs roughly about the time they are due 19 20 now and then permit other parties to file briefs if they 21 want, but not require them since the only issues are the commission issues. And I think Staff and the Company are 2.2 23 the only parties who presented any witnesses. But if anyone sees a better path, I'm glad to listen. I'm trying to make 24 2.5 this a little bit easier and not have you file briefs that

1	you really don't want or need to file because you don't have
2	a position on those issues. I'm considering ordering that.
3	I at least wanted to run that by the parties.
4	Mr. Fischer?
5	MR. FISCHER: Judge, just to clarify,
6	you're talking about just briefing the commission-raised
7	issues?
8	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Correct.
9	MR. FISCHER: Okay.
10	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Correct. Which would be
11	the line extension tariff and the solar rebates, I think,
12	that we discussed last week.
13	Anything further from the bench or from
14	counsel before we kind of open this up?
15	I've got a port open. Nobody else has
16	called in but I understand there are people who are
17	available to call in at a moment's notice if the
18	commissioners have questions that folks in the room can't
19	answer. I've got the port open.
20	Anything further before we see if Chairman
21	Silvey has any questions? Questions for the chairman?
22	Okay. Commissioner Hall? Would you prefer some sort of
23	opening about the stipulations?
24	COMMISSIONER HALL: Are they preparing to?
25	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I don't know. I'm just

1	kind of judging by the last time when the commission did
2	kind of an on-the-record on the Ameren stipulations, kind of
3	open it up for questions. But if parties would like to
4	briefly explain their position and what they think the
5	stipulations do and that way that may prompt questions from
6	the bench.
7	COMMISSIONER HALL: I mean, if they weren't
8	planning to.
9	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Do the parties and maybe
10	that's my misunderstanding just looking at the Ameren stip.
11	People were just ready to answer questions immediately.
12	MR. FISCHER: Judge, I'm happy to summarize
13	the any or all of the four stipulations, but I think it
14	might be more efficient to go to your areas of interest.
15	But I certainly can go through and highlight the various
16	items if you prefer to do it that way, which ever
17	COMMISSIONER HALL: I don't care. I just
18	thought they
19	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. If you just want to
20	briefly summarize the stipulations and that will probably
21	prompt some more questions that way. Thank you.
22	MR. FISCHER: Okay. Good morning. I'm Jim
23	Fischer and today with me is Rob Hack and Roger Steiner
24	representing the companies. I also have with me a number of
25	our witnesses, our subject matter experts. In the room we

have Darren Ives, Ron Klote, Tim Rush, Marisol Miller, Brad Lutz and Kim Winslow. And as Judge Pridgin mentioned, we have available on the phone the other -- or many of the other witnesses that if you do have questions that you specifically would like to address to them.

2.2

2.5

We would like to address whatever questions, whatever issues you have today and hope that you will find our four stipulations to be in the public interest and approve them. I'm going to talk about them in more generic terms. The revenue requirement stipulation was filed on September the 19th. The pension and OPEB stipulation was filed on September 21st. The rate design and time of use stipulation was filed on September 25th. And then on September 27th we filed a class revenue shift stipulation. So I'll probably talk in those generic terms if that would be okay.

The non-unanimous partial stipulation that was filed on September 19th included the revenue requirement information. The companies and the parties have agreed that the revenue requirement for KCPL will be reduced by \$21 million. Now, that revenue requirement was later adjusted in the last stipulation agreement to be 21.1 million in our class revenue shift stipulation, which was filed on the 27th.

GMO's revenue requirement will be reduced

1	by 24 million. The stub period tax benefits will be
2	returned to customers for both companies. The full amount
3	for KCPL is 38.7 million and it will be returned by
4	offsetting various regulatory assets.
5	For GMO
6	COMMISSIONER HALL: Let me ask you a
7	question there. To what extent are the stub period benefits
8	incorporated into that revenue requirement reduction?
9	MR. FISCHER: There are two aspects of it.
10	There is the revenue requirement reduction itself. There's
11	a return of the stub period through either offsetting
12	amortization or a one-time tax credit depending on which
13	company we're talking about.
14	COMMISSIONER HALL: Well, it's my
15	understanding that part KCP&L there was going to be an
16	offset of some regulatory liabilities?
17	MR. FISCHER: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER HALL: Which, that to me would
19	result in a revenue requirement reduction?
20	MR. FISCHER: On a going-forward basis.
21	COMMISSIONER HALL: Going forward. But for
22	GMO where you've got a reduction in rates going forward,
23	that's not part of revenue requirement reduction.
24	MR. FISCHER: Well, we do have you are
25	correct. I think we do have a revenue requirement reduction

1 in both companies, but for GMO we're having a one-time tax 2 credit back like we did in the merger to reflect that stub period benefit. That was a negotiated item among the 3 parties. Parties have different views about how much should 4 5 be treated in the different buckets. 6 And for GMO there are less regulatory 7 assets available to be amortized against or offset against. 8 I can ask Darren Ives to explain that in some greater detail 9 if you like but that was a negotiated item and then there's 10 a little bit of both depending on your perspective. 11 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. I think I'm good 12 for now. MR. FISCHER: Okay. Like I said, for KCPL 13 14 the stub period amount is 38.7 million and that's returned 15 by offsetting the various regulatory assets. For GMO the 16 full amount is 29.3 million and that will be returned by the 17 one-time bill credits beginning on the first billing cycle 18 that starts following 60 days after the effective date of 19 the tariffs sheets, so that's whenever that would occur. 20 As I mentioned that was a negotiated 21 provision and various parties had different perspectives on 2.2 how to handle those items. From the Company's perspective 23 this method made sense for GMO in particular since GMO 24 didn't have as many regulatory assets to offset the 29.3 2.5 million.

1	Now, with regard to the clean charge
2	network, the parties have agreed that the clean charge
3	network assets not already in rate base will be reflected in
4	rate base, but there's going to be a new customer class for
5	electric vehicle charging stations which will be
6	established. There's an agreement that no other customer
7	class will bear any of the costs related to that service.
8	On the Crossroads issue we'll continue to
9	treat Crossroads as we've done in the past cases. KCPL has
10	also agreed to exclude certain costs and revenues associated
11	with the hydro purchase power agreement from KCPL's fuel
12	adjustment clause calculations.
13	The Company will perform a study
14	investigating the consolidation of KCPL and GMO rates and
15	will make a recommendation regarding consolidation within
16	two years. There are also agreements on revenues and
17	billing determinants, perspective tracking of regulatory
18	assets and liabilities, asset retirement obligations, the
19	GMO capital structure and a \$7.2 million GMO amortization.
20	That will be ending and applied to steam production plant
21	accounts.
22	There are also agreements regarding working
23	with stakeholders regarding customer bill presentations,
24	customer privacy issues, and income eligible weatherization
25	programs.

Now, with regard to solar facilities, the
companies have agreed to investigate solar installations and
other renewable generation resources at any plant site that
closes prior to the next rate case. And we've also agreed
to include a low income component to solar investment
required under this Section 393.1665.
COMMISSIONER HALL: What does that mean? A
low income component to the construction of a utility-owned
solar facility? I don't understand.
MR. FISCHER: No. It is not the facility
itself. It's the solar rebate programs that are going
forward under the statute.
COMMISSIONER HALL: But the statutory site
doesn't refer to the solar rebates. It refers to the
utility solar facility.
MR. FISCHER: Maybe that is one I should
send to my subject matter expert to make sure I'm correct on
that.
MR. HACK: If I may, this is Rob Hack for
KCP&L. This section of Senate Bill 564 calls for the
construction of solar facilities. So what we envision is a
the use of a portion of those required solar facilities
through a some kind of solar subscription service that
would be available to either low income folks or or maybe
and/or entities, organizations that provide services to low

1	income people. So it's I mean, it will be a
2	collaborative effort as we move forward.
3	COMMISSIONER HALL: Yeah. I'm not sure I
4	understand what you guys have agreed to there, but that's
5	I mean, to me it would be much more significant if there had
6	been an agreement for a low income component for the solar
7	rebate, which is what you said and I was excited when you
8	said it. But unfortunately that is not what you have agreed
9	to.
10	MR. HACK: We have included in our net
11	metering solar rebate filing that is currently pending some
12	provision for low income applications and kind of
13	reserving
14	COMMISSIONER HALL: Somewhat consistent
15	with the working docket that we had on that issue?
16	MR. HACK: Yes. Yes. We're trying to do
17	it, I guess, from a couple of different angles.
18	COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. But that is not
19	part of this this stipulation?
20	MR. HACK: That is correct.
21	MR. FISCHER: Why don't I just open it up
22	for other questions if you have them about the revenue
23	requirement stipulation before I go to other issues.
24	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any bench questions on
25	revenue requirement for now at least?

1	COMMISSIONER HALL: Yeah. Concerning the
2	KCP&L reduction of 21 million and the GMO reduction of 24
3	million, what are the tax cut reductions that are
4	incorporated into those?
5	MR. FISCHER: I'm going to ask Darren Ives
6	to give you the specifics on that.
7	MR. IVES: From here or there?
8	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Anywhere you are near a
9	microphone would be great.
10	MR. IVES: Okay. So I'm Darren Ives, vice
11	president of regulatory for the companies. So the annual
12	amount that's in those revenue requirements for KCP&L MO is
13	about \$53 million and for GMO it's right around \$39 million.
14	That's the effect of the change in the rate as well as the
15	impact of the treatment for that excess of deferreds and how
16	the fall back over the periods that were agreed to in the
17	settlement.
18	COMMISSIONER HALL: Were there any other
19	drivers of this revenue requirement reduction other than the
20	tax cut?
21	MR. IVES: There were a number of items
22	a number of items obviously that factored into that. One of
23	the things that you talked about earlier with Mr. Fischer
24	was the stub period effect of the taxes on the KCPL MO side
25	and the fact that we got there by writing down some

1	regulatory assets that would have otherwise had amortization
2	in rates.
3	COMMISSIONER HALL: On the KCP&L side?
4	MR. IVES On the KCPL side.
5	COMMISSIONER HALL: But that didn't factor
6	into the revenue requirement for GMO?
7	MR. IVES: That's correct because we did
8	those on the one-time bill credits.
9	COMMISSIONER HALL: Any other significant
10	I mean, I don't need every single three cent reduction on
11	pencils or whatever, but were there any other major drivers
12	of the revenue requirement reduction other than the tax cut?
13	MR. FISCHER: I think the clean network
14	charge, if you look at the offset the tax credits actually
15	had a negative impact but it wasn't significant.
16	COMMISSIONER HALL: And the fact that you
17	are not coming up with something quickly leads me to believe
18	it's probably mainly the tax cut, and that's fine.
19	MR. IVES: It is the biggest one by far.
20	COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay.
21	MR. IVES: I guess on GMO the other one
22	and Mr. Fischer alluded to this is there was some
23	amortization that was in rates from the last case about \$7.2
24	million that we discontinued in agreement with the parties
25	of this case. That's something that was in rates that will

1	no longer be.
2	COMMISSIONER HALL: Concerning the clean
3	charge network, signatories agree that no other customer
4	class shall bear any costs related to this service. That
5	means that all of the costs related to the clean charge
6	network will be borne at the pump?
7	MR. FISCHER: We have a Darren, do you
8	want to take it? We have agreed on a rate and for
9	service, but that doesn't necessarily assure that all costs
10	are recovered.
11	COMMISSIONER HALL: So either shareholders
12	or at the pump is what has been agreed to hear; is that
13	correct?
14	MR. IVES: Yeah, at this stage for this
15	case and then as Mr. Fischer alluded to in the next case
16	we'll evaluate them as a separate class. We'll look at them
17	in the class cost of service and whether or not they are
18	contributing at the level necessary to cover the cost. But
19	the point would be as agreed to with the parties that there
20	will be no cost flowing over to other classes from the clean
21	charge network.
22	COMMISSIONER HALL: Could you explain to me
23	on Page 4 of the stip what the 169 million goodwill
24	adjustment is for GMO capital structure? Is that related
25	way back to the Aquila merger or what is that about?

1	MR. Ives: It is related to the Aquila
2	merger and it is the amount of goodwill that is reflected on
3	the regulated books of the legacy Aquila, now GMO. So it is
4	an adjustment to the equity component in the capital
5	structure of GMO for the regulated business.
6	MR. WOODSMALL: Mr. Commissioner, it is
7	important to understand why this was put in there. There's
8	a provision in 564 that allows the utilities to opt into
9	PISA and it says for purposes of calculating PISA you use
LO	the capital structure for the utility as of December 31st,
11	2017. So this provision excluding that amount of goodwill
12	was put in so that we know how to calculate the capital
13	structure in the event they opt-in to PISA.
14	COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. Thank you. That
15	is some good lawyering. On the on Page 5 concerning
16	Crossroads, does this is this essentially continues the
17	exclusion of the transmission charges from Crossroads; is
18	that correct?
19	MR. FISCHER: To the extent they were
20	excluded in the past. We are continuing the treatment that
21	we've had in past cases.
22	COMMISSIONER HALL: Concerning the hydro
23	contract, the CNPPID hydro contract, that facility is in
24	Kansas. Correct?
25	MR. IVES: The facility is in Nebraska.

1	COMMISSIONER HALL: In Nebraska? And why
2	are the costs and revenues being excluded? Well, are they
3	being excluded because there's no benefit to KCP&L and GMO
4	repairs?
5	MR. FISCHER: That was a negotiated
6	settlement item that was an issue that the Public Counsel
7	raised we've agreed to do that.
8	MR. HAMPTON WILLIAMS: Commissioner, I
9	believe that that's in if you review Lena Mantle's direct
LO	testimony she'll discuss the terms of that contract. It's
11	association with meeting Kansas's renewable energy
12	requirement and the Company we're able to agree to a
L3	treatment of that that protects Missouri ratepayers.
L4	COMMISSIONER HALL: Why are KCP&L and GMO
15	required to track it on their FAC monthly reporting?
16	MR. FISCHER: I think that's just an
L7	understanding among the parties so that we know what's going
18	on on that topic. The Company's perspective might be a
L9	little different on whether it's benefiting the Missouri
20	ratepayers as well, but we have agreed to do that.
21	COMMISSIONER HALL: On Page 9 concerning
22	the consolidation study, this is a study of whether to
23	consolidate rates, not whether to consolidate the two
24	subsidiaries; is that correct?
25	MR. FISCHER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Why are we not
considering consolidating the two subsidiaries?
MR. FISCHER: That was not a topic that was
specifically addressed in the case. The request was to look
at the rate jurisdictions and consider consolidation.
Certainly the Company is always looking ahead and looking
toward the future, but this is a step we are going to take
to look at how it would impact our customers particularly if
we decided to try to consolidate GMO and KCPL rates.
COMMISSIONER HALL: I mean, from my
perspective GMO does not have any employees whatsoever, the
consolidation of the two really does make sense in terms of
transparency.
MR. FISCHER: There are significant
allocation issues on power plants and things like that that
you have to work through. And of course you can consolidate
rates, but you may have significant customer impact so we
are very aware of that so we want to study that.
COMMISSIONER HALL: And also consolidate
the two entities and not consolidate rates?
MR. FISCHER: I guess you could do that
too.
MR. HACK: There are a lot of factors that
come into play in consolidating subsidiaries. Management
has an evaluation of, you know, could be legacy legal

1	positions between the companies, difference in generation
2	allocations and a lot of things management will look at to
3	see wither we can ultimately collapse or change legal
4	entities. But something that these parties are probably
5	interested in evaluating is whether or not we can get to a
6	consolidation of rates at a jurisdictional level. We've
7	agreed to study that, acknowledging as we get did in the
8	case, you know, there is a fair amount of complexity in
9	consolidation of rates as we found even when we went through
10	the consolidation at GMO. But it is certainly is worth
11	studying and evaluating what steps it would take to get to
12	that point.
13	COMMISSIONER HALL: Isn't it true that
14	after a merger the original thought was to consolidate and
15	it was in fact the Commission that did not want that to
16	occur at that time?
17	MR. FISCHER: There was discussion like
18	that years ago and there was opposition from some of the
19	folks, some of the stakeholders and we did not pursue that.
20	COMMISSIONER HALL: Again concerning the
21	clean charge I think this is the last set of questions I
22	have on this stip the tariffed rate in Exhibit B, that is
23	at, above, or below the market rate for charging?
24	MR. FISCHER: I think that is fairly
25	typical of what you see around the country.

1	COMMISSIONER HALL: For level II and level
2	III?
3	MR. FISCHER: It is my understanding, yes.
4	COMMISSIONER HALL: And so under this
5	agreement the clean charge network gets rolled into rate
6	base, but the depreciation on that only gets paid by
7	shareholders and users of the facility?
8	MR. FISCHER: I think that is true of all
9	of the cost associated, not just depreciation.
10	COMMISSIONER HALL: I think those are all
11	the questions I have on that stip. Thank you.
12	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Rupp?
13	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Good morning. I don't
14	have a question, more of a comment, really one that deals
15	with clean charge network. Seriously wanted to say hat tip
16	to you guys. The electrical vehicle adoption rate in your
17	region is three times what it is on the other side of state
18	and it's strictly because of what you have done that clean
19	charge network. I'm very pleased that they are included in
20	rate base. It is something I have believed for a long time.
21	I would encourage you in the future because I'm going to
22	make an assumption that this is probably the last rate case
23	that I'll be sitting here. I don't see you being back in
24	the next year and four months.
25	Is to continue to try to get this into all

2.2

2.5

rate base, continue to build out this network and use the ability to have it in rate base to build it in places that maybe doesn't make the most economic sense because that is where no one else is going to build and that is how you get an infrastructure built. So you've done a great job on that. I'm pleased that it's starting to be recognized inside rate base and I hope that you continue to push for more, and that you continue to build out that network especially in places that your shareholders kind of bear the brunt of the cost because they won't be utilized as much in the beginning. You've done a great job on that.

MR. FISCHER: Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any further bench questions for KCP&L on revenue requirement? Mr. Fischer, any comments on your rate designs?

MR. FISCHER: I can turn to that and summarize that or go directly to questions, whatever you'd like. The rate design and time of use stipulation was one of the major efforts, I think, that came out of this case. I believe the parties have taken significant steps to enable a successful implementation of time of day pricing for both KCPL and GMO. Of course the companies as you are aware, it already deployed a substantial number of AMI meters for residential customers and we've also now implemented the one CIS solution project, which is another important part of

implementing time of use.

2.2

2.5

The companies also completed significant studies regarding time of use rates including the four that we filed in the case. Under that rate design and time of use stipulation KCPL and GMO will offer effective October 1st, 2019, a residential time of use of service originally proposed in this case as a pilot by the Company but is going to be now in opt-in rate that will be available to all residential customers that have AMI meters. The regular rates will continue to be available as well, so it is an opt-in rate.

The Company will develop a comprehensive customer research, education and marketing plan which is summarized at some length in the stipulation. The Company is going to meet with the Staff, Public Counsel, DE and Renew Missouri to review that customer research plan. And by the end of the first quarter of October of 2019 we'll be launching a customer research program.

The Company will also develop a process to solicit feedback from the customers availing themselves of the time of use rates and those who that choose not to take the service of the time of use rate. We will develop with stakeholder input metrics to gauge changes in customer behavior under the time of use rate structure.

The Company will also develop a business

2.2

2.5

case for the implementation of shadow billing determining whether it is feasible with the goal of implementing shadow billing for all residential customers. By the end of the first quarter of 2019 the Company will finalize its business case for shadow billing and share it with the stakeholders to define our next steps.

The Company will also provide details of the education, marketing, and outreach efforts and customer time of use subscription numbers will be given to the commission at an on-the-record presentation in December of 2019 and also again in September of 2020. So we want to keep the Commission fully apprised of how the project is going and come before you to answer your questions.

If by December 31st, 2019, KCPL and GMO do not have at least 750 customers per company signed up for the time of use rate, the shareholders will discuss and consider changes to the education and outreach plan and make changes to the program if it is desirable.

COMMISSIONER HALL: The stakeholders will?

MR. FISCHER: The stakeholders will discuss those changes, yes. Again, if an additional thousand customers per company are not signed up by December 31st, 2020, then we will again with the stakeholders review the education and outreach efforts. KCPL will file a rate design case limited to time of use rates by June 30th, 2020.

1 That is the same timeframe of the GMO rate design case which 2 is already scheduled and will be expanded to include time of use rates. And that will begin June 30, 2020. 3 The Companies will complete and EM&V report 4 5 by the end of the year 2021. The Companies will be 6 authorized to differ to recovery program costs -- or excuse 7 me, the program costs associated with the time of use rate. 8 In the next rate case the Companies will be authorized to 9 recover prudently incurred program costs at the level 10 represented by the percentage of customers enrolled in the 11 time of use rates compared to the targeted levels. 12 I can answer questions on that, if you 13 don't follow what I'm saying. If we hit the target, we'll 14 get our program costs; if not we will get the percentage 15 that we fell short of. 16 MR. HACK: Commissioner, did you have a 17 question? 18 COMMISSIONER HALL: I do have a couple questions. 19 20 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Chairman. 21 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: On the marketing section 2.2 you talk about the plan went through marketing specific end 23 uses that might benefit the time of use rate plan such as electric vehicle charging space condition. How are you 24 going to get the information on which customers to target 2.5

1	with that marketing?
2	MR. FISCHER: I might ask Kim Winslow to
3	come up and address specifics of these questions. We'll be
4	dealing with the stakeholders on all of these types of
5	questions in developing a plan.
6	MS. WINSLOW: Hi. Good morning.
7	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Good morning.
8	MS. WINSLOW: We currently have quite a bit
9	of information on our customers through some initial
10	marketing segmentation that we have as well as, you know,
11	you mentioned the clean charge network. We would try and
12	target some of those EV drivers as well. We do have
13	significant information with respect to those drivers that
14	have signed up with our clean charge network. So we would
15	be marketing to those customers as well as we mentioned
16	you mentioned the space heat rate. So those are those
17	customers that may be all electric type customers and we
18	have that information as well. So we will be utilizing a
19	lot of information that the utility currently has.
20	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Data that you already
21	have?
22	MS. WINSLOW Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Any plans to go out and
24	seek additional data streams?
25	MS. WINSLOW Yeah. Yeah. I mean, I think

1	again, that will be part of the planning process, but I
2	mean I think that we feel like we do already have a pretty
3	good idea of the different areas of our customers in the
4	segmentation, but as we get into this if we see that there
5	is a need to do that we certainly would.
6	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. Second kind of
7	follow-up question: A little farther down it says the
8	Company will keep customer documentation and records on all
9	customer feedback to the degree possible regarding its
10	post-implementation of the time of use in a format that can
11	be shared with stakeholders upon request. Is that
12	information that you intend to also share with the
13	Commission or would we have to specifically request that
14	information?
15	MS. WINSLOW: No. As part of that, the
16	stipulation agreement, we have included that all of the
17	deliverables that we share with stakeholders at those
18	various checkpoints that those also be filed with the
19	Commission.
20	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. Perfect. Thank
21	you, Judge.
22	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Further questions thus far?
23	Commissioner Hall?
24	COMMISSIONER HALL: Well, I will say for
25	what it's worth I am somewhat disappointed with the

1 agreement on time of use rates. I think the Commission on 2 numerous occasions made it clear that we would like to move significantly faster on this rate design mechanism and back 3 in 2014 we ordered a study of this issue. I mean, this has 4 5 been going on for four years so far so now under this 6 stipulation we've got an opt-in that I sincerely doubt very 7 many people will take advantage of. I could be proven 8 wrong. And then we're looking at two more years. 9 I must say I would not be terribly shocked 10 sitting somewhere else watching what happens here two years 11 from now that there's some other reason why you're not going 12 to get mandatory time of use rates on the books. I 13 understand that KCP&L has moved significantly quicker on 14 this issue than our other utilities in the state and I 15 appreciate that. But there's also a whole lot of money in 16 rate base for those smart meters which leads me to my first 17 question. 18 How much have the two companies spent on 19 the smart meters so far? 20 MR. FISCHER: I'll have to differ to 21 subject matter expert, but while they're coming up I would 2.2 just suggest Judge or Commissioner that the companies are --23 have a very keen interest in time of use rates and they see 24 that as a way for the future. We want to do it in a 2.5 thoughtful and careful way because failure to take the time

1 needed to understand customer impacts could ultimately cause 2 adverse customer reaction to the whole concept. And based on what we've seen in other 3 states where some folks are even further ahead than KCPL, it 4 takes time to roll this out. If you look at Oklahoma for 5 6 example, they have a 20 percent penetration rate but they've 7 been doing it since 2008. We are very, very concerned that 8 our customers accept the way we price our products. And we 9 want to do this in a thoughtful, careful way and ultimately 10 try to get to those goals. We understand how adverse 11 customer reaction can taint a whole project like this box. 12 MR. IVES: So just directly to your 13 question we can get you the number on the meters. We don't 14 have that readily available as to each company's investment 15 at this point. We could look into that and get it for you 16 certainly. 17 COMMISSIONER HALL: It's in the hundreds of 18 millions, isn't it? 19 MR. IVES: I don't think it is that high 20 for AMI meters. But we will get you that number. I would 21 just echo Mr. Fischer's comments, you know, what did a 2.2 number of studies certainly at the direction of this 23 commission. Across the board the studies said nowhere in 24 the country are utilities of this size implementing mandatory TOU rates for many of the reasons that Mr. Fischer 2.5

articulated.
COMMISSIONER HALL: 2000
MR. HACK: The gradual movements and steps
towards getting TOU adopted by customers is what has
happened across the country and makes sense at least from
our perspective for adoption.
COMMISSIONER HALL: You raise the concern
of customer backlash and I totally understand that concern.
I guess wasn't in Colorado where they tried to do it too
quickly and there was a significant customer backlash and in
the end it
MR. FISCHER: I also understood from David
Springy's comments at FRI that they now have Fort Collins
which is planning to it on a mandatory basis, but I think it
is one of the few places, certainly investor owned
COMMISSIONER HALL: California 2019 they
will be. Correct?
MR. IVES: Yeah, after many more years of
evaluation than what we have done in our marketplace.
COMMISSIONER HALL: What are the advantages
to smart meters other than the capacity to do time of use
rates?
MR. FISCHER: You want to take it or shall
I?

1	outages the interface with outage map that the ability to
2	identify outages from the meter rather than people having to
3	call in, the ability to see when we have gone through an
4	area that we have one that hasn't come back on, the ability
5	to get the 15-minute interval data which will help with data
6	analytics at a lot of people level not only for TOU rates
7	but for other types of customer beneficial rate design on a
8	going forward basis, data that they would've never had that
9	type of visibility to. I'm sure the
10	MR. FISCHER: For customers that want to
11	know about their usage, want to really do a deep dive this
12	kind of information, these meters are going to provide that
13	information if they want to look at and that's going to be a
14	good customer education tool, I think. Not everybody is
15	interested, but some people certainly are.
16	COMMISSIONER HALL: So the concern about
17	customer backlash, which I have agree is a concern, but I
18	think that was addressed by the Staff's proposal that had a
19	much less significant differential and was based upon cost
20	as opposed to attempting to move behavior. If you look at
21	Staff's proposal is there a legitimate concern about a huge
22	customer backlash when the differential is so slight?
23	MR. FISCHER: Yes. Commissioner, I think
24	that's the customer impact of having a 14-hour peak and a
25	10-hour off peak which is such a long period and not very

1	much change in the rates themselves, for the Company's
2	perspective we had concerns that that was really it was
3	time differentiated but it's not a traditional time of use
4	rate where you're trying to encourage customers to shift
5	their usage.
6	COMMISSIONER HALL: I disagree with that.
7	That's not the only reason why you do time of use rates.
8	There's two reasons: One is to move behavior to low peak,
9	but also to address the cost of providing the electricity.
10	The cost is less at off-peak. I mean, there's two reasons
11	time of use rates and we should not neglect that fact.
12	MR. FISCHER: For with a 14-hour peak
13	period that is a very high level average even on a cost
14	basis.
15	COMMISSIONER HALL: That is true. That is
16	true.
17	MR. FISCHER: Anyway, from our perspective
18	we wanted to do something that would give us good
19	information about how customers will react and the parties
20	have agreed to go along with this kind of proposal. We
21	think it is in the public interest to do this in an
22	incremental way.
23	COMMISSIONER HALL: So tell me what you
24	will do if you don't get the subscription or the opt-in that
25	meets those thresholds.

1	MR. FISCHER: Initially, we will be talking
2	to all the stakeholders in this room about how to improve it
3	and how to get more people to sign up and what their
4	suggestions are then initially
5	COMMISSIONER HALL: And those conversations
6	won't occur prior to that date and not meeting that test?
7	MR. FISCHER: No. They're going to
8	COMMISSIONER HALL: All right. So what
9	will happen that wouldn't otherwise happen if you don't meet
10	those thresholds?
11	MR. FISCHER: A portion of it will be we'll
12	go back to the drawing board to talk to the stockholders.
13	There is also a portion of that related to the recovery of
14	the program costs that will be affected by that scenario.
15	But I mean, ultimately the main, I think, idea is we will be
16	sitting down with everybody, all the smart people in the
17	room in trying to do a better job with that.
18	COMMISSIONER HALL: I mean, I would have
19	hoped that that conversation would have started two years
20	ago and that we would be here today with the data and
21	analysis being able to move forward.
22	MR. FISCHER: Well, certainly we have been
23	doing analysis for several years and I can ask Darren or Kim
24	Winslow to address that, but there are significant studies
25	in the record and we have been working on it. But mandatory

1	time of use rates raises a lot of issues from the Company's
2	perspective. We want to take we want to carefully
3	consider, customer impact being one of the main ones.
4	COMMISSIONER HALL: And I assume you're
5	also concerned about the ability to meet your revenue
6	requirement?
7	MR. FISCHER: Certainly, yeah. That's the
8	other thing. We don't have time differential billing
9	determinants to ensure that will meet the revenue
10	requirement. That's one of the efforts that we'll be
11	working on here to try to develop that.
12	COMMISSIONER HALL: Couldn't that concern
13	have been addressed through decoupling?
14	MR. FISCHER: I think it is a different way
15	to address it, but as far as as far as time of use rates,
16	we need to know the billing determinants in order to make
17	sure that we're going to get the recovery.
18	COMMISSIONER HALL: So there was no
19	discussion about trying to address that concern through
20	decoupling, which is authorized by 564?
21	MR. IVES: Not at this stage, no. From the
22	Company's perspective Mr. Fischer said it, but from the
23	Company's perspective it is just too premature to go into a
24	large scale TOU model without better information, better
25	understanding of the design networks. Every study we did

1	indicates that a gradual approach moving into this space is
2	the right way to go about it if we want to move towards a
3	successful not only TOU program, but continued adoption of,
4	you know, a number of customer option rates that will best
5	serve their lifestyle and their needs.
6	COMMISSIONER HALL: So is your hope
7	Mr. Ives that two years from now there is a much more
8	aggressive time of use proposal submitted by the company?
9	MR. IVES: It is my hope that it will
10	continue to grow and develop absolutely based on the
11	feedback and research we can get in our own territory. I
12	will just reiterate Mr. Fischer's earlier comment, you know,
13	OG&E is held out at as being really good at TOU rates. They
14	have been doing since 2008. They have had recovery of not
15	only cost, but of incentive opportunities and throughput
16	disincentive from the adoption of TOU and they're at a 20
17	percent penetration to the residential customers after ten
18	years.
19	COMMISSIONER HALL: But this proposal or
20	this program in the stipulation does not involved MEEIA.
21	Correct?
22	MR. IVES: It does not. It is not nearly
23	as robust of a design as the OG&E proposal, that's for sure.
24	MR. FISCHER: And that was something of
25	course the Company suggested early on that we would like,

1	but we've modified our position.
2	COMMISSIONER HALL: Do you anticipate
3	getting opt-ins above those thresholds as you sit here
4	today? I guess I'll ask the Company first and I'd be
5	interested in any of the other parties?
6	MR. IVES: Yeah. We set them at areas
7	where we think is reasonable based upon rolling it out. We
8	haven't done the customer research at the detailed level yet
9	that Ms. Winslow talked about. We haven't done the
10	development of the marketing plan yet, the development of
11	the segmentation to customer classes that will be most
12	likely. That's all part of what's laid out
13	COMMISSIONER HALL: But you anticipate
14	meeting those thresholds?
15	MR. IVES: Yes, that's our goal for sure,
16	meeting or exceeding those.
17	COMMISSIONER HALL: And what is the
18	differential that is in the proposal?
19	MR. FISCHER: Are you talking about time of
20	day rates, the summer off-peak and off-peak?
21	COMMISSIONER HALL: Yes.
22	MR. FISCHER: Correct me if I'm oh, you
23	have it?
24	MR. IVES: I just heard from the back that
25	it is three to one differential.

1	COMMISSIONER HALL: That is significantly
2	larger than the Staff proposal.
3	MR. IVES: It is.
4	MR. FISCHER: In the Staff's testimony was
5	indicated they really weren't intending to shift usage.
6	This is designed to try to shift usage.
7	COMMISSIONER HALL: Right. Well, I would
8	encourage the Company and the other parties to work really
9	hard on this issue. I think that there is a lot of national
10	data out there that time of use rates is a significantly
11	powerful mechanism to affect demand and ultimately the cost
12	to generate electricity. It is a program that is coming.
13	This company has been a leader in Missouri on this and I
14	really encourage it to be extremely aggressive.
15	MR. FISCHER: Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER RUPP: I was just waiting. I
17	thought the differential was less than two?
18	MR. FISCHER: I think it depends on which
19	of the companies we are looking at.
20	MR. IVES: I think it is pretty similar for
21	both. Right?
22	MR. FISCHER: Yeah.
23	MR. IVES: For both we're at about three to
24	one.
25	MR. FISCHER: Do you want to give them then

1	cents per KWH or
2	MR. IVES: I don't have them.
3	MR. FISCHER: Okay.
4	MR. IVES: We can get it.
5	MR. FISCHER: We can get you the specifics
6	if you would like that.
7	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Yeah, I would because
8	the way I was looking at it I thought the differential
9	between on-peak and off-peak was less than two to one. I
10	would like to have I would like to revisit that. On the
11	TOU rating viewpoint, I'm going to be with Commissioner Hall
12	on his comments of the disappointment. How long has AMI
13	meters been in the field?
14	MR. IVES: Well, we're not fully deployed
15	at GMO. We're only a little over 60 percent deployed there
16	today. But the AMI meters have were installed roughly
17	two years ago, right in that timeframe. At least to the
18	level that we are now. We have plans to get the remainder
19	of our installation done, I think 2020, which is primarily
20	left in the GMO area.
21	COMMISSIONER RUPP: You know, so you have
22	had a significant chunk in the field for two years at least?
23	You know, you talked about the ability to have data
24	collection with the AMI meters. You've had two years of
25	data collection, maybe not fully across. So your time of

1 use rate is not going to be available until 10/01 of '19. 2 This commission in previous cases have told you to go and do this, so all the legwork that you're going to do between 3 today and 10/01 of '19 should have already been done. You 4 had data that you could start to look at in the design. You 5 6 haven't looked at your customers' response. You haven't 7 thought about what your marketing plan is going to be. This 8 commission told you guys this is where we want you head. So 9 I'm disappointed that all of that had not been done. 10 I agree with the Company that I did not 11 like Staff's approach in a proposal because I don't think 12 it's going to change behavior. I do understand Commissioner 13 Hall's commentary that that cost is a factor, but changing 14 behavior is what time of use -- is the biggest in my opinion 15 reason for them. And I frankly believe that you will not 16 hit your targets but then again there is no penalty if you don't hit your targets. And then if you don't hit your 17 18 targets them you're going to get back together and you're 19 going to have stakeholders come back in and you're going to 20 talk about why you didn't hit your targets in your marketing 21 But if we had done the work beforehand and you had a better program you're much more likely to hit your 2.2 23 targets. 24 You know, an opt-in rate just isn't going to work. If you want to change people's behavior there's so 2.5

2.2

many studies out there about behavioral economics and opt-in is not going to work. You're only gonna get a certain portion of people that even pay attention to this that they want to opt-in. I understand your concern that you don't want to rush it too quickly, but what are they going to do, complain about their rates? I mean, they do that now. You go to every local public hearing and that's all people do is complain about their rates.

And so yeah, it might not be the smoothest rollout in history, but with your shadow billing you could roll this out in three years and show people this is what your rates are going to be. Under the current system this is what they're going to change and give them three years or two years of seeing the different bill determinants and to get that done. I'm a big proponent of mandatory time of use rates and just allow people the time to get into that.

I think the rate differential has to be greater than what it is here in order to change behavior. I think there's an argument that can be made that the ratepayers are paying for these AMI meters and it is the shiny new toy to put on the side of the house, but if you're not having time of use rates, you're not getting the value out of it so maybe the penalty for not hitting your goals is to remove the AMI meters from rate base because there's no reason to have the ratepayers pay for it, you know. Or

1 maybe just take out the percentage if there is an outage and 2. calculate the cost and the benefit to that and deny the --3 and use that as a way. I would like somebody to calculate using 4 5 the table that was in the settlement agreement to show me 6 the math because maybe my math was wrong. When I came up 7 less than two to one. If somebody can show me that at least 8 it removes one of my concerns that the rate differential 9 wasn't greater than two to one. I'll reserve the right to say that I was wrong on that one if someone can show me the 10 11 math. That's all I have now. 12 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN SILVEY: So clearly there seems to 13 14 be some concern about adoption or participation in this 15 program. Do you guys have a ballpark figure of how much you 16 are looking at spending on the marketing component? I mean 17 not necessarily all the backend data stuff, but getting the 18 message to people. 19 MR. IVES: I don't know that we have a 20 great figure on that right now because we haven't done the 21 research component. We've have done the segmentation. 2.2 haven't developed the plan, but --23 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Surely you've got 24 something, you know, penciled in for we will not spend more 2.5 than X?

1	MR. IVES: We really don't, but I think it
2	would not be unreasonable to think that it will take three
3	to 5,000,000 dollars to do the research, put the plan
4	together and do the outreach to customers necessary to get
5	this program moving.
6	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Can you give me an idea
7	of what some of the possible outreach options are? I mean,
8	is this just going to be a note on your bill? Are you going
9	to be doing commercials? I mean, I understand you don't
10	have a comprehensive plan but
11	MR. IVES: I think you'll see it on you
12	know, through all sorts of forms of media. I think it'd be
13	social media, website, contact on bill, individual outreach
14	to some of the segmentation customers like the EV or others.
15	I mean, it's intended to be a robust outreach through a
16	bunch of different forums to try to get customer education
17	done and customers the information that they need to be able
18	to evaluate the benefit of TOU to them and their lifestyle.
19	I would say Commission Rupp, I understand
20	I understand your concern with our hesitation. You know,
21	we have done a lot of research on this. I mean this
22	commission the speed of adoption to a mandatory rate that
23	this commission is asking for is truly something that no IUO
24	in the country has done yet and no IOU to the best of our
25	knowledge has a plan to do with the exception of California

2.2

2.5

whose been studying it for years and is moving through legislative action. I mean, the opt-in approach has been highly successful to the tune of 100,000 customers for OG&E. It's 20 percent participation, but 100,000 customers is seen as one of the resounding successes at the TOU level. And people in our size and scale at this level of customer base just are not moving people on a mandatory basis at a flash cut.

MR. FISCHER: Commissioner, I would also mention, you know, when we did the GMO consolidation of the rates, we spent a lot of time -- the parties spent a lot of time looking at customer impact data trying to mitigate unintended consequences. And that, I think is the major concern of folks in -- even in FRI -- I heard a consumer advocate say they've got concerns about the customer impacts at the mandatory because not everybody can change their usage habits. And you may get hit with a big bill because we changed the rate structure.

COMMISSIONER RUPP: To follow-up on Mr. Ives comment, that's why you guys are an innovative company. You guys innovate all the time. It's rough, you've got this straight A student that comes home and they get a C on a test and you feel bad for saying because you guys are more innovative. From my standpoint if we don't push you, who's going to push you?

1	MR. IVES: Understood. I mean, we are
2	trying and we are moving and I mentioned earlier we have
3	plans that we want to continue to look at customer options
4	and programs beyond just TOUs so that we have more customer
5	selection and options that fits their lifestyle. But doing
6	that through a succession and a plan that isn't confusing
7	and overwhelming makes a ton of sense to our team in
8	allowing customers to get the education and see the
9	materials and react to it. We'll have a chance to react to
10	it too. Right? If it is working well they'll be able to
11	accelerate. If it's not working well, we will be able to
12	look at the design and react and move forward.
13	I do I do have the tariff. I have the
14	KCPL MO in front of us and it in the summer season the
15	peak/off-peak differential before recalculating the rates
16	for the reductions that we're talking about in the case on a
17	revenue requirement bases is right at a three to one. The
18	peak is 33.326 in the tariff that was originally submitted
19	and the off-peak is 11.109. I'm told that the GMO
20	differential is extremely similar to that.
21	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Apparently I didn't
22	carry the four.
23	COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: Are you ready for
24	me?
25	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Coleman, yes,

1	ma	'am

2.2

2.5

COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: So I talk to a lot of people all the time relative to time of use. They don't know we're talking about TOU because they are regular customers and they could care less what the acronym TOU or the initials TOU stand for. They just know their bills keep getting higher and higher. I spoke to a woman recently while I was in the room with a woman who was talking to her daughter and her daughter on the phone said she was washing clothes and the mother started screaming and saying you know we only washing clothes at night. Why are you washing clothes at two o'clock in the afternoon in the summer?

People are practicing reducing their usage or using things at a time that, you know, it's going to keep their bills slower. So I do think that there are a large segment of your customer base that's probably in tune and anxious for the Company to help them help themselves as Jerry McGuire would say.

Certainly I think the comments that my fellow commissioners have made our right in line with where I am. I particularly was interested in mandatory TOU.

There have been comments made about stakeholder talks.

You know, I agree with the comments regarding what I see has been plenty of time to come up with some sort of basis. Certainly this company is innovative

1	and has looked down the road as far as cost and marketing
2	and all of the things that's going to go into consideration.
3	There's got to be some data somewhere and there's got to be
4	more data that I think you all are suggesting that's
5	available after two years or so of this work. I'd like to
6	see you better motivated to do more in this area. These
7	talks you know, Mr. Fischer mentioned the stakeholder,
8	we'll get back together and talk. We can talk all day, it
9	doesn't make a difference. Let's talk about some solutions
10	and come up with something that's really going to move this
11	forward. Thank you.
12	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner, thank you.
13	Any further bench question or comments on rate design?
14	Commission Rupp.
15	COMMISSIONER RUPP: I am just blown away
16	that two other commissioners agree with my position, so this
17	is new for me.
18	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Hall.
19	COMMISSIONER HALL: Are were you going
20	to continue the rate design?
21	MR. FISCHER: I certainly can or I can go
22	to other questions if you prefer to do that. But the next
23	part would be residential rate design, I guess.
24	The parties are agreeing to a customer
25	charge of 1147 on a per month basis. For KCPL the parties

1	are recommending a reduction in the first block for summer
2	rates with the second block about 1.5 cents higher. Winter
3	rates are also being flattened. Similarly, for GMO the
4	parties recommend the first two blocks be decreased with the
5	third block being a penny per kilowatt higher. Winter rates
6	are also being flattened in that regard.
7	COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. So you're moving
8	to inclining block rates for both companies in both seasons?
9	MR. FISCHER: Well, we are reducing the
10	first blocks. So that has the effect of creating a
11	declining block appearance, yes.
12	COMMISSIONER HALL: Let me make sure I
13	understand. So it's for both companies, both seasons?
14	MR. FISCHER: No.
15	MR. IVES: So we had inclining block rates
16	in KCPL Mo coming out of the last case for all summer usage
17	over 600 kilowatt hours a month. And the differential was
18	2.5 cents for those kilowatt hours over 600. We did not
19	have inclining block rates at GMO on the last case. In this
20	which I'll give my appreciation to the parties, somewhat
21	in response to some of the significant customer outcry for
22	high bills this summer, we reevaluated the IBR also
23	considering we were moving to an opt-in TOU to move that
24	block out at KCPL to kilowatt hours greater than a thousand
25	rather than the 600 and to move the differential to 1.4

1	cents. But then we went over to GMO
2	COMMISSIONER HALL: Stop. So KCPL under
3	the stipulation inclining block rates in both seasons?
4	MR. FISCHER: Summer.
5	COMMISSIONER HALL: And in the winter?
6	MR. FISCHER: Not inclined, flattened.
7	COMMISSIONER HALL: So what does flattened
8	mean?
9	MR. FISCHER: Not as including as it was, I
10	think is what the effect is.
11	MR. IVES: We have declining block rates in
12	the winter.
13	COMMISSIONER HALL: For KCP&L?
14	MR. IVES: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER HALL: But this this
16	stipulation compared to the current tariff flattens that
17	decline; is that correct?
18	MR. FISCHER: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER HALL: And why why not go
20	take that next step and go to inclining in the winter for
21	KCP&L?
22	MR. IVES: Well, from a company perspective
23	we don't believe there's any rationale to move to an
24	inclining block in the winter. We're not a winter peaking
25	utility. We don't have resource constraints by a long shot

1	in the winter, would lead to needing to have any sort of
2	change in behavior from a cost to produce or an investment
3	standpoint.
4	COMMISSIONER HALL: Is it the concern about
5	space heating?
6	MR. IVES: We have spacing rates for sure.
7	COMMISSIONER HALL: Separate and apart from
8	this?
9	MR. IVES: We have separate space heating
10	rates. We believe the rate structure that's in place and
11	has been in place for quite a while in the winter is
12	reflective of the cost causation in the winter for us and
13	our non-peaking nature.
14	COMMISSIONER HALL: Do believe that
15	inclined block rates is also designed not just to capture
16	cost, but also to move behavior?
17	MR. IVES I do believe that, but I don't
18	believe we need to move behavior based on the resources that
19	are already in place.
20	COMMISSIONER HALL: So that is KCP&L. And
21	then GMO?
22	MR IVES: Very similar.
23	MR. FISCHER: First two blocks are being
24	decreased with the third block being about a penny per
25	kilowatt higher.

1	COMMISSIONER HALL: In the summer?
2	MR. FISCHER: In the summer. Winter rates
3	are also being flattened to a degree.
4	COMMISSIONER HALL: So less declining?
5	MR. FISCHER: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you.
7	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Rupp?
8	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Yeah, I want to follow
9	up with the chairman's comments on that. This commission
10	has I think the majority of us has shown a positive
11	liking to declining block rates. I will say that you guys
12	have listened to us and I appreciate that and even though
13	you are not there in the winter, your flattening them out
14	shows the progression of where this commission is wanting
15	you to go, so that is encouraging.
16	MR. FISCHER: Commissioner, I appreciate
17	your comments. The Company did of course have the
18	Natelle Deitrich's testimony talked about significant
19	customer adverse reaction we had this year of this summer.
20	We had a very hot summer. But it also came on the heels of
21	implementing declining block rates at the 600 block level,
22	which meant that if you hand an air conditioner you were
23	paying a higher rate. And we had about 70,000 people sign
24	petitions concerned about their high bills and certainly the
25	hot weather was part of it and inclining block rates had an

1	impact as well. So we want to evaluate customer impact
2	across-the-board.
3	COMMISSIONER RUPP: And if we would have
4	had time of use rates in place during the summer that would
5	have been a huge motivator for people to change their
б	behavior. It would have been a good summer to have that
7	rolled out.
8	MR. IVES: I just want to add, at a
9	600-hour kilowatt hour block, the change in behavior that it
10	would take to not fall into that IBR would be turning your
11	air conditioner off. When we had 50 days over 90 degrees,
12	so I don't think there would have been a lot of change in
13	behavior that would have kept people out of it at the block
14	that they were setting coming out of the last case.
15	MR. FISCHER: And that's one of the reasons
16	we wanted to move it to a 1,000 in this case, to get it out
17	of that 600 block. Other questions on that?
18	MR. COMLEY: Judge Pridgin, I have a
19	witness availability issue.
20	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I understand.
21	MR. COMLEY: Commissioner Hall during his
22	during testimony and presentation last mentioned he had
23	questions about the Indiana model agreement and
24	Mr. Papanastassiou is unavailable after 10:30 this morning.
25	I thought if there are questions about that Indiana model if

1 it's possible to push that before 10:30, that would be 2 appreciated. JUDGE PRIDGIN: I was keeping an eye on the 3 4 clock. Thank you, Mr. Comley. I was going to see when 5 Mr. Fischer was done if we can get AEMA up to the podium and 6 see if there are any bench questions for that witness. 7 Thank you. 8 MR. FISCHER: There's not too much that I 9 can get through quickly. We're withdrawing the restoration 10 charge, the real-time pricing will continue but will not be 11 available to new customers. Line extensions, there is a 12 line extension for underutilized infrastructure tariffs 13 that's been agreed to and we will be offering a standard 14 constriction allowance for the line extension process for ED 15 make-ready facilities. There's a renewable energy and solar 16 subscription that's been agreed to. Standby service rider, 17 we've agreed to that too and then there's economic 18 development rider, that's additional reporting requirements. 19 And then the next topic would be the -- what some people 20 call the Indiana model. 21 That might be a good time JUDGE PRIDGIN: 2.2 to have Mr. Comley come to the podium and give a quick 23 opening on the Indiana model and see if there any bench questions for your witness. 24 2.5 MR. COMLEY: Sure.

1	MR. FISCHER: Thank you very much.
2	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.
3	MR. COMLEY: I didn't mean to take you
4	away.
5	MR. FISCHER: That's all right.
6	MR. COMLEY: Commissioner Hall,
7	Mr. Papanastassiou is available by telephone but speaking on
8	behalf of the Alliance, the Alliance thinks that the
9	agreement captures two of the key elements of the Indiana
10	model. It facilitates aggregator participation and captures
11	it commits rather the company to a transparent method to
12	establish compensation under its demand response initiative
13	program. And this is considered to be two essential
14	elements to maximizing effective demand response
15	participation.
16	There are other elements of the Indiana
17	model; clear dispatch triggers, minimum leadtimes. The
18	Alliance thinks that those are secondary at this point and
19	believes the stipulation effectively accomplishes a great
20	deal, particularly the fact that a tariff is going to be
21	filed in this proceeding. I will do the best I can to
22	answer any questions but I must confess to you this is a
23	field in which I have not studied greatly. This is not a
24	law school class.
25	Commissioner Hall?

1	COMMISSIONER HALL: So is it envisioned
2	that this will be dispatchable?
3	MR. COMLEY: I don't I'll have to get
4	Mr. Papanastassiou on the telephone and he can answer those
5	questions.
6	COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay.
7	JUDGE PRIDGIN: The bridge is open and
8	Mr. Comley, you texted?
9	MR. COMLEY: Yes.
10	JUDGE PRIDGIN: So he should be calling in
11	shortly?
12	MR. COMLEY: Yes.
13	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER HALL: While we're waiting,
15	could someone get me a copy of the exemplary tariffs
16	attached to Ms. Winslow's testimony?
17	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Chairman, I have
18	copies. Good morning. I think I heard somebody call in?
19	This is Ron Pridgin with the Public Service Commission.
20	MR. PAPANASTASSIOU: Hi, this is Nick
21	Papanastassiou with AEMA.
22	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Good morning, sir. Could I
23	trouble you to state and spell your name for the court
24	reporter, please?
25	MR. PAPANASTASSIOU Absolutely. My name is
J	

1	Nicholas Papanastassiou. That's spelled N-I-C-H-O-L-A-S,
2	P-A-P-A-N-A-S-T-A-S-S-I-O-U.
3	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. I believe some
4	commissioners will have some questions for you, sir.
5	Commissioner Hall?
6	COMMISSIONER HALL: Good morning. So my
7	understanding is that this program is aggregation
8	exclusively of commercial and industrial, not residential;
9	is that correct?
10	MR. PAPANASTASSIOU: Thank you,
11	Commissioner. That is correct. The demand response
12	incentive program that is described in the stipulation
13	agreement is targeted at commercial and industrial
14	customers.
15	COMMISSIONER HALL: Is it envisioned that
16	the aggregation would be dispatched by SPP?
17	MR. PAPANASTASSIOU In terms of the demand
18	response incentive program the dispatch of that program
19	would be controlled by KCP&L not SPP.
20	COMMISSIONER HALL: So that would just
21	result in a reduction of KCP&L's load and their need for
22	generation from SPP.
23	MR. PAPANASTASSIOU: That is correct,
24	Commissioner.
25	COMMISSIONER HALL: So how will the pricing

1	be determined?
2	MR. PAPANASTASSIOU The pricing is in
3	compensation under that program per the stipulation
4	agreement will be discussed at a later time period to
5	determine a transparent methodology for that. We have
6	AMA has some suggestions on how that can look and other
7	stakeholders do as well. So we're looking forward to a
8	conversation around that. We've advocated for a transparent
9	methodology that ties program compensation to a percentage
LO	of KCPL's net CONE and that would ensure that the program is
11	remains at a cost effective level but we believe could
12	even attract greater customer participation than currently,
13	consistent with the goals of MEEIA.
L4	COMMISSIONER HALL: So is it envisioned
15	that this program would run through MEEIA?
16	MR. PAPANASTASSIOU: I believe that that is
L7	an option. I believe that KCPL plans to run those programs
18	through MEEIA. That's correct.
L9	COMMISSIONER HALL: So the details as to
20	the penalty if an aggregator is unable to provide what has
21	been contracted for, details such as that are not set yet.
22	Correct? That will be subject to negotiations and
23	ultimately set forth in tariff submitted to the Commission;
24	is that correct?
25	MR. PAPANASTASSIOU: I believe that that is

1 something that could be determined in the request for 2 proposal process that KCPL has continued to issue for an 3 aggregator, yes. COMMISSIONER HALL: So what makes this the 4 Indiana model in a nutshell is that it is going through a 5 6 utility approved aggregators; is that correct? 7 MR. PAPANASTASSIOU: That's correct, 8 Commissioner. You know, I think the two key elements of the 9 Indiana model that we see here are that it facilitates 10 aggregator participation the way the utility in that it 11 commits to establishing a transparent methodology to 12 establish compensation and we believe that those two 13 elements are really key elements to maximizing cost 14 effective customer participation. We believe that the 15 Indiana model is well incorporated into KCPL's demand 16 response incentive program. 17 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. Well, I think 18 this is really exciting stuff. This is again KCP&L on the 19 cutting edge in Missouri. Demand response aggregation is 20 again the wave of the future. It's an excellent way to 21 reduce the need for peaking facilities. Is it in the 2.2 customer's best interest and I really appreciate the Company 23 and the stakeholders work on this program. I look forward to watching its eventual success. Thank you. 24 2.5 Thank you. Any further JUDGE PRIDGIN:

1	bench questions for this witness? All right. Thank you.
2	Mr. Comley, thank you.
3	MR. COMLEY: Thank you.
4	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you very much for
5	calling in, sir. We appreciate it.
6	MR. PAPANASTASSIOU: Thank you very much.
7	JUDGE PRIDGIN: This looks to be a natural
8	time to kind of take a mid-morning break. I show the clock
9	here in the room to be 10:25. If we can resume and see if
10	we have another bench questions here at 10:40. Anything
11	further from the bench or from counsel before we take a
12	break? All right. If there's nothing further, we will
13	stand in recess until 10:40. Thank you. We're off the
14	record.
15	(OFF THE RECORD.)
16	JUDGE PRIDGIN. Good morning. We are back
17	on the record. When we went off the record I believe
18	correct me if I am wrong I think the Company had finished
19	answering all of the bench questions. We're ready to see if
20	there are any other parties that had any comments or if the
21	bench had any further questions for any other parties.
22	Anything further before Commissioner Hall, I'm sorry.
23	COMMISSIONER HALL: Yeah, I actually had a
24	few more
25	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Certainly.

1	COMMISSIONER HALL: questions of the
2	Company related to some rate design issues. Nothing
3	terribly elaborate.
4	MR. FISCHER: You want me to come up?
5	COMMISSIONER HALL: However you want to
6	handle it.
7	MR. FISCHER: Okay.
8	COMMISSIONER HALL: So tell me what is
9	happening with the customer charge.
10	MR. FISCHER: 1147 in both companies.
11	COMMISSIONER HALL: And how does that
12	relate to the current customer charge?
13	MR. FISCHER: A little bit lower.
14	MR. IVES: It's a little bit lower at KCPL.
15	It's an increase at GMO.
16	MR. FISCHER: Thank you. I'm sorry.
17	COMMISSIONER HALL: How much of an increase
18	at GMO?
19	MR. FISCHER: I'm told it's currently 1043.
20	MR. IVES: A hair over a dollar.
21	COMMISSIONER HALL: So I assume that the
22	Company had thought there was an interest in having the
23	customer charge be the same for both companies or why else
24	was that done?
25	MR. IVES: It was an interest by the

1	parties in the discussions that that would be a good first
2	step at looking at how rates might come together moving
3	forward.
4	COMMISSIONER HALL: On Page 9 of the
5	residential rate design, concerning KCP&L, there's the
6	statement that the signatories agree that parties can argue
7	and the Commission can order a rate decrease and there are
8	couple of options here. Is that language superseded by a
9	subsequent stipulation?
10	MR. FISCHER: Yes. The last stipulation we
11	filed addressed class revenue shifts and that would
12	effectively superseded it because we're now agreeing that
13	residentials will not have an increase.
14	COMMISSIONER HALL: Concerning the line
15	extension tariff for underutilized infrastructure. Does
16	this only relate to non-residential customers?
17	MR. IVES: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER HALL: And the 10 percent
19	construction allowance, is that what was in the Company's
20	originally filed tariff?
21	MR. IVES: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER HALL: To what extent does the
23	stipulated agreement take into account Staff's concern about
24	this program?
25	MR. FISCHER: Maybe that's one the Staff

1 can answer. 2 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. I think we'll need to have our technical staff here. We have Sarah Lange here to 3 4 answer questions. MS. LANGE: Yes, Commissioner. If you'll 5 6 turn -- or if you have it in front of you, it's Exhibit A 7 Page 2 of 2. But essentially we've agreed to work with the 8 Company to identify the specific areas where there are 9 concerns of the nature we discussed the other day. Being that there's a significant amount of vacant buildings that 10 11 are suitable for reuse or rehabilitation and while we 12 haven't had an opportunity to fully vet those areas yet, we 13 expect to do that pretty promptly. 14 COMMISSIONER HALL: So it's your 15 understanding that there would still be the 50 percent 16 requirement, but on top of Staff would make sure that it is 17 not a greenfield? That is a --18 MS. LANGE: Yes, so we would be targeting 19 those areas and that would be a place that if a developer 20 was interested in knowing where are these areas -- I believe 21 -- and I may have this wrong, I will defer to, you know, 2.2 whether Mr. Lutz -- if I'm getting this wrong. I thought 23 that we were going to attempt to within the underutilized 24 areas reflect those -- you know, that that would kind of 2.5 capture both concepts. It's just that the underutilized

1 areas might change from time to time, so that this would be 2 a one-stop shop that if you are looking to do some rehabilitation, that you can see here are the areas where I 3 will qualify for this additional discount. 4 5 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'm looking at Page 1. 6 MS. LANGE: Yes. 7 It says underutilized COMMISSIONER HALL: 8 areas are defined as those served by circuits having at 9 least 50 percent of rated capacity available. 10 MS. LANGE: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. So you have that 12 as the definition of the areas that eligible, but it's going to be further refined by Staff essentially; is that correct? 13 No. Again, this is one of 14 MS. LANGE: 15 those areas that I'm pretty confident on what we were 16 talking about but exactly how these two go together -- so 17 the next page where it identifies the underutilized areas, I 18 believe they're going to put some pretty significant effort 19 to those maps that will result from this identifying where 20 those 50 percent circuits are at this time. So it could be 21 that two or three years from now development has occurred, 2.2 those areas no longer fit this criteria but others do. 23 expect the Company to come in with a tariff filing to update 24 the second page. 2.5 COMMISSIONER HALL: Well, it was my

1	understanding that simply focusing on the 50 percent doesn't
2	capture Staff's concerns?
3	MS. LANGE: No. No. I'm sorry. The
4	applicability in the underutilized areas are if it is the
5	Venn diagram of those two, it's the area that encompasses
6	both where it's an underutilized area. I'm explaining this
7	very poorly. I don't know Kansas City geography well enough
8	to give a great example. Let's say for purposes of this
9	that there is an area with a lot of vacant businesses, you
10	know, on the south side of town.
11	COMMISSIONER HALL: Maybe I'm understanding
12	this. In other words, what's going to be relevant for this
13	potential construction allowance reduction is that
14	individuals or companies that want to take advantage of it
15	are going to look at what's listed as the underutilized
16	areas. There's going to be some kind of map
17	MS. LANGE: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER HALL: on a website
19	somewhere and they'll be able to go to that map and figure
20	out where they could get that 10 percent reduction?
21	MS. LANGE: Yes. Exactly.
22	COMMISSIONER HALL: And when that when
23	the list of underutilized areas when that list is put
24	together there will be the 50 percent requirement?
25	MS. LANGE: Yes, but

1	COMMISSIONER HALL: Yes, and is what I'm
2	trying to understand. So yes, and there's going to be the
3	goal that simply putting some additional infrastructure in a
4	greenfield wouldn't qualify?
5	MS. LANGE: Correct.
6	COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay.
7	MS. LANGE: And the thing I am fuzzy on is
8	whether when we define underutilized areas you know, so
9	let's say that there is a chunk between Highway 65 and
LO	Highway 270. I really don't know another Highway. I
11	really don't know Kansas City geography. I apologize.
12	Within that, if we can on the underutilized area we would
13	make the map very refined to identify just those circuits
L4	that are already under 50 percent. So that would be ideal.
15	Whether we will be able to do that or whether it will have
L6	to be here is the area between these two highways and within
L7	that it's the areas under 50 percent. Does that help?
18	COMMISSIONER HALL: I think so. So there
L9	will be a map?
20	MS. LANGE: That is my intention. I doubt
21	it will be in the tariff. It will be on the website.
22	COMMISSIONER HALL: Right. Is 10 percent
23	enough of an incentive?
24	MS. LANGE: This gets tricky. I know this
25	is not the answer the commissioners would like to hear but

1	it is kind of one of those things of we'll see how it works
2	and we'll see if it was or not.
3	COMMISSIONER HALL: Well, this is another
4	policy area that we've been working on for close to four
5	years. So I'm I'm excited to see this provision in the
6	stipulation. I look forward to seeing how it works. I do
7	have a little concern that 10 percent may not be enough to
8	move development into these areas, but hopefully it will.
9	Hopefully if it does work this could again be a model for
10	other utilities in Missouri and elsewhere. Thank you.
11	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Mr. Fischer,
12	did you have anything further?
13	MR. FISCHER: I don't unless the Commission
14	does.
15	JUDGE PRIDGIN: If there are no further
16	bench questions I'm thinking maybe the best way to
17	proceed from here, let me go party by party and if counsel
18	could kind of briefly summarize your thoughts on the
19	stipulations and tell what witnesses, if any, would be
20	available to answer bench questions. Maybe we can proceed
21	that way.
22	So Mr. Johnson, any other witnesses or any
23	other comments on the stipulations for bench questions?
24	MR. JOHNSON: Well, I would just say that
25	Staff appreciates the work the parties put into this

1	agreement. There was a lot of long nights that went into
2	it. I think we've come up with something that is going to
3	be in the best interest of all parties. Staff also has here
4	today Sarah Lange has she has been up here already. Robin
5	Kliethermes, Jay Lubbert, and Keith Majors. Keith Majors is
6	here to discuss the revenue requirement or auditing issues.
7	Robin Kliethermes is available for rate design and
8	allocations. Jay Lubbert will be here to discuss the
9	Indiana model if there are any other questions for Staff.
10	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Johnson. Thank you.
11	Let's see if we have any bench questions. Mr. Chairman?
12	Commissioner Hall?
13	COMMISSIONER HALL: Yeah, a couple. On the
14	clean charge network I'm trying to understand if there is a
15	difference between the prior situation where all costs and
16	revenues were treated below the line and what is set forth
17	in this stipulation where it's above the line, but it's
18	segregated to users. Is there really a difference between
19	those two?
20	MR. JOHNSON: There is some difference in
21	that if they do collect revenue associated with rates being
22	charged they will be able to earn the return and any other
23	cost associated if the usage makes up for that. If there's
24	a shortfall, the shareholders would eat it.
25	COMMISSIONER HALL: I am not sure I

1 understood that, but you -- so are you saying that if 2 revenues exceed costs, then all ratepayers could potentially benefit, but if revenues are below costs then shareholders 3 cover the difference? 4 MR. JOHNSON: Essentially. And 5 6 Ms. Kliethermes may be better able to explain this, but my 7 understanding is to the extent the rates charged in the 8 electric vehicle charging rate cover the expenses and the 9 return, the Company will earn that. If they are 10 insufficient -- there's essentially a revenue imputation, so 11 if they're unable to meet the necessary revenue based off of 12 the rates established in this case, the shareholders would make up the difference. 13 14 COMMISSIONER HALL: Does the Company agree 15 with that summary? 16 MR. IVES: At a high level, yes. I mean, you know, the difficulty is in this case that the revenue 17 18 requirements settlement, so there is no specific amount of, 19 you know, overall rate base included or any other cost of 20 service. But what's important and what was important to the 21 parties when we put this agreement together was to 2.2 acknowledge that the Court's decision and take a step that 23 would recognize that the stations are eligible for inclusion 24 in rates, but at the same time looking at it as a separate 2.5 class where when we are back in we will have to evaluate

1 that just like we would any other class for recovery of the 2 cost of service. COMMISSIONER HALL: So I quess I'm just --3 4 I'm trying to understand from the impact on ratepayers who 5 are not EV users, is there a difference between the prior situation where everything was below the line and the 6 7 stipulation where it's above the line but segregated? 8 MR. IVES: No, not at this point. 9 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. And that's not bad. I just want to make sure I understood --10 11 MR. IVES: Not at this point. 12 COMMISSIONER HALL: -- what you guys have 13 agreed to. 14 MR. IVES: You know, what the impact is, 15 just to be clear, for nonusers today right, is that any home 16 charging that's occurring that manifested itself from higher 17 adoption rates of EVs in the region because of the clean 18 charge network, those are revenues that are benefiting all 19 customers today because it's home charging just like it 20 would have when it was below the line. The question will 21 be, you know, is there a tipping point in the future with 2.2 the adoption rates where we can look at that home charging 23 and identify a piece of that that maybe should be recognized with the station subclass. That will be how we talk about 24 2.5 class cost of service the next time we're altogether.

1	COMMISSIONER HALL: That is all I have for
2	Staff.
3	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Any further
4	bench questions for staff counsel or witnesses? All right.
5	Thank you.
6	Public Counsel any comments, any witness
7	availability? Mr. Williams or Mr. Williams?
8	MR. NATHAN WILLIAMS: Yes. Thank you.
9	Basically, Public Counsel believes that the resolution in
10	this case is reasonable as set forth in the stipulations and
11	agreements. And we have Dr. Marke available if the
12	commission has any specific questions regarding rate design
13	issues or the clean charge network et cetera that I that may
14	not be able to handle.
15	MR. HAMPTON WILLIAMS: Additionally, Judge.
16	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Williams, yes, sir.
17	MR. HAMPTON WILLIAMS: I want to also just
18	make a comment on the level of customer engagement that I
19	perceived during the course of this proceeding. I think our
20	office fielded over 250 customer comments which we tried to
21	file in the EFIS system once we received them. We were not
22	able to do them all within the disposition of this case.
23	As I mentioned earlier there was an online
24	petition which incurred a lot of engagement. The concerns
25	were rate prices, rate increases. This resolution in this

1 case will decrease rates across-the-board, particularly for 2. residential, in a manner that I think will address a lot of concerns. The driver for the rate decrease is the 2017 Tax 3 Cuts and Jobs Act. Empire and Ameren have already commenced 4 5 proceedings to pass those rate reductions on to ratepayers. 6 Approvals of these stipulations will accomplish the 7 legislatures intent for 564, will accomplish the goal of the 8 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, pass those benefits onto 9 ratepayers addressing many of the price concerns that were 10 expressed over the summer. 11 In addition with any of the rate design 12 elements that we were able to reach an agreement, I believe 13 that the concerns that were expressed from customers regarding the level at which inclining block rates were set 14 15 I think addresses the move from 600 kilowatts to 1000 16 kilowatts will address this kind of summer peaking issue, 17 but it also maintains the structure of the program to 18 accomplish I think the policy goals the commission is 19 seeking to accomplish. 20 I think all around the sum total this is a 21 positive -- this is a public interest. It will pass rate 2.2 benefits onto customers and address many of the concerns that were expressed to my office directly as well as through 23 24 customer comments through EFIS to the commission. 2.5 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Any bench

1	questions for Public Counsel? Mr. Chairman? Commissioner
2	Mr. Hall?
3	COMMISSIONER HALL: No questions.
4	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you so
5	much. Renew Missouri any comments and witness availability?
6	MR. OPITZ: Thank you, Judge. I'll just
7	say Renew Missouri was a signatory to all of these and we
8	supported the stipulations. I want to note my appreciation
9	to the parties for addressing many of these large issues
10	here. Taking through some of the items included here, there
11	have been other utilities who have addressed them in
12	multiple cases such as solar subscriber or wind subscription
13	program. And the fact that we were able to accomplish all
14	of that in this case was something that I was very
15	appreciative of.
16	We do have Mr. Owen available for any
17	particular questions and I have Ms. Scripps available via
18	phone if need be. Thank you.
19	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Any bench
20	questions for renew Missouri? Commissioner Hall.
21	COMMISSIONER HALL: Which stipulation has
22	the solar and renewable subscriber programs? Here we go.
23	MR. OPITZ: I believe that was the one, the
24	third stipulation. Let me try and find the title of it.
25	It's the non-unanimous particle stipulation agreement

1	concerning rate design issues.
2	COMMISSIONER HALL: I assume that you were
3	very engaged on those two particular issues?
4	MR. OPITZ: Yes, we were interested in
5	those issues.
6	COMMISSIONER HALL: On the renewable energy
7	rider is that similar to the one in Ameren's tariff?
8	MR. OPITZ: So I guess let me first state
9	we didn't offer testimony on that, but I can tell you my
10	understanding of it. I do believe it gets similar to it.
11	Ameren, their rider has an option for the company to build a
12	certain amount of this. I would say a difference with this
13	case KCPL and GMO one is that it's I'll say a PPA only.
14	COMMISSIONER HALL: And under this program
15	a subscriber what would pay his/her/its bill and then a
16	subscription price on top of that; is that correct?
17	MR. OPITZ: I believe that's accurate. I
18	mean, I would point out that it could also be a credit
19	depending on how the market shapes up.
20	COMMISSIONER HALL: I guess asking the
21	Company on that program, are you aware of customers who are
22	going to be interested in this program?
23	MR. IVES: Yes. We've had discussion with
24	customers. We expect there to be a solid demand for this
25	and we are excited to get out there and do it. We've had

1	customers including, I think, you know, at least some of
2	Mr. Woodsmall's customers that have been asking for
3	something like this for a number of cases now probably.
4	COMMISSIONER HALL: So under this program
5	this program is essentially below the line?
6	MR. IVES: Only to the extent that there is
7	unsigned or unsigned up for I mean, otherwise it will be
8	directly for the benefit of the large utility customers.
9	COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. And then on the
10	solar subscription rider, is this will will the
11	construction of these two systems is that pursuant to
12	Senate Bill 564?
13	MR. OPITZ: No. Well, I'll speak from my
14	understanding. That is not pursuant to that. There is
15	language in here that says, you know, they'll look at when
16	they do have to build their system pursuant to 564, they'll
17	look at co-locating it or at the same sites.
18	COMMISSIONER HALL: So it's in addition to
19	whatever requirement exists under 564?
20	MR. OPITZ: That's my understanding.
21	COMMISSIONER HALL: Does the company agree
22	with that?
23	MR. FISCHER: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER HALL: And on the solar rider
25	the parties agree that it will be shared that the costs

1	will be shared between customers and shareholders, I guess
2	only for the unsubscribed capacity again, 75/25.
3	MR. IVES: That's correct. Just the
4	unsubscribed.
5	COMMISSIONER HALL: And does the company
6	also aware of customers that are also interested in that
7	rider as well?
8	MR. IVES: Yes. We've had customers
9	inquire about such a program. We think once we get this
10	nailed down and the specific terms available for customers
11	we will have adoption that will allow us to start
12	construction.
13	COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you.
14	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Any further
15	questions on for Renew Missouri from the bench?
16	Division of Energy, any comments and
17	witnesses available?
18	MR. POSTON: Thank you, Judge. The
19	Division of Energy sign onto the revenue requirements
20	stipulation, the rate design stipulation as well as the
21	class allocation stipulation. We do not oppose the pension
22	OBEP stipulation. We see a lot of good things in these
23	stipulations. We sign on to regarding clean charge network,
24	customer privacy, income eligible weatherization, plant
25	closures, time of use rates and standby service riders.

1	Those were all issues important to us. We have all of our
2	witnesses here today, Jane Epperson and Barbara Meyer on
3	standby service rates, Lisa Kremer on the customer privacy
4	issues, Sharlet Kroll income eligible weatherization and
5	Martin Hyman on residential rate design, EV and a number of
6	other issues.
7	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Poston, thank you. Any
8	questions for Division of Energy Mr. Chairman or
9	Commissioner Hall?
10	COMMISSIONER HALL: Good morning. On the
11	clean charge network what is the Division's position on
12	whether the tariffed rates are below, at, or above market
13	rates?
14	MR. POSTON: I would ask that my technical
15	expert to come answer that for you. He should be familiar
16	with that if I could ask Mr. Hyman to come up?
17	COMMISSIONER HALL: Sure.
18	MR. HYMAN: I didn't want to bump my old
19	boss out of his chair.
20	My understanding is in line with what has
21	been expressed by Staff and by the companies in that the
22	clean charge network, the users of the network, be they the
23	host site or the actual drivers depending on the setup,
24	would be paying towards the cost of the clean charge
25	network. And if that was in excess, of the revenues ended

1 up in excess of the cost that can be looked at and spread 2 evenly to everyone else perhaps at some point in the future. Anything below that would be absorbed by shareholders. 3 The agreement also contained as has been 4 5 discussed a provision that this will be looked at as a 6 separate class in the next case. There are a few items in 7 the stipulation that ensure that it will be treated like other cases in terms of allocation of joint and common 8 9 costs. So for example, not assuming that a transformer that 10 serves somebody who happens to have a charging then has to 11 be borne entirely by that charging station customer or the 12 drivers, but that it's allocated consistent with other 13 classes. 14 COMMISSIONER HALL: But the actual per 15 kilowatt hour charge that's set forth in the tariff, is that 16 amount above, at, or below the market rate? 17 MR. HYMAN: I don't know the answer to 18 that. I'm not sure if anybody would. I think it was what 19 was put forward by the companies originally and I think 20 ultimately what we will be looking at from the perspective 21 of creating a new class will be how to determine the market 2.2 rate. At this point there may not be billing determinants 23 to reasonably create that at this time. COMMISSIONER HALL: What is the Division's 24 2.5 view on whether or not this stipulation, if approved by the

1 commission, what effect what would have on potential 2 competitors for EV charging stations? MR. HYMAN: Well, it's something that was 3 mentioned -- I believe it was by Commissioner Rupp -- is 4 5 something that we would absolutely agree with, which is that in the future we believe that network expansion should be 6 7 focused on underserved areas. This approach I think was a bit more focused on who was interested in having one. 8 9 think in the future it would be absolutely reasonable for 10 the commission to look at a network expansion to highway 11 corridor rural areas that might not otherwise be served by a 12 competitive market. And to the extent that a competitive 13 market emerges in other areas, let that market take hold. 14 At this point though, I think the data has shown that 15 absence this deployment by KCP&L we would not have had

COMMISSIONER HALL: Is it safe to -- the Commission's prior order on this issue which was obviously reversed by the Western District and one of the concerns raised was the impact of subsidizing EV charging stations, the effect that that could have on competition. My understanding of this stipulation where there is no ratepayer subsidy, that concern is alleviated. Would you agree with that?

nearly the adoption or ability to service customers, say if

you're just driving to the state.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

1	MR. HYMAN: I think that gets to the
2	question of what is a subsidy in the regulatory context. In
3	the regulatory
4	COMMISSIONER HALL: And I'm speaking
5	specifically about a ratepayer subsidy. I mean, if it's
6	shareholder subsidy that's kind of an oxymoron, but a
7	ratepayer subsidy.
8	MR. HYMAN: What I was going to say is that
9	a ratepayer subsidy or cross subsidy in the regulatory
10	context is really only when someone is paying below their
11	marginal cost and not contributing to incremental costs.
12	One of things that can be looked at in the context of
13	setting rates for EV as a subclass is making sure that they
14	contribute to their incremental costs. I think in the
15	context of the stipulation at hand there won't be any
16	concerns with the cross subsidization in that regard. And
17	it's certainly something we can look at as an EV class is
18	created.
19	COMMISSIONER HALL: Another line of inquiry
20	concerns the time of use rate. What is the Division's view
21	of what's agreed to in the stipulation?
22	MR. Hyman: Well, I'll start by saying I
23	think we share some of the Commission's the frustration
24	that has been expressed today with the pace of
25	implementation and we would have liked to see a bit faster

2.

2.2

2.5

implementation at this point in time. However, what is done is done and we're not at the point where we can reasonably roll out an opt out a mandatory rate to everybody without having to worry about bill impacts. We don't frankly have enough data in this case to look at bill impacts because of issues with just basically not having enough of the integral data that would be needed to run those analyses in a robust manner.

I think the key thing is that while there may be frustration with the pace of implementation, that shouldn't spill over until potential deleterious effects on customers that also has been mentioned could create a backlash against TOU rate. I am not saying I agree necessarily with the Company's view on what caused a lot of the bill complaints, but I will say that those complaints do show that we need to be careful about choices in rate design that could create customer backlash and limit future deployment.

I think that this is -- given the circumstances this is a reasonable first step in deploying TOU rates and I do believe that eventually we should have an opt out or mandatory TOU rates. But I don't think that we are in a situation where we could do that at this time without much more extension customer outreach and education. All the sorts of things that the companies and parties have

1	committed to work together on in a referring basis.
2	COMMISSIONER HALL: How confident are you
3	that two years from now we will have the data analysis
4	necessary to do either a mandatory or an opt out TOU for our
5	residential customers?
6	MR. HYMAN: I think it is theoretically
7	possible that you could have the data. I think part of that
8	will be on the parties and the companies to make sure that
9	that data is being collected. I think the other point to
10	consider though is again this issue of education that
11	customers would need to that there is an opt out or
12	mandatory rate coming. That and also as has been expressed
13	the issue with customers such as the stay-at-home seniors
14	who couldn't necessarily adjust to something because they
15	are at home.
16	It's partly a data issue. It's also just
17	an issue of looking at how to mitigate some of those impacts
18	and how to get customers aware of the rate. If we did a
19	flash cut today we would nobody would we wouldn't have
20	a lot of customers that were prepared for it.
21	COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you.
22	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Any further
23	bench questions for Division of Energy witnesses? All
24	right. Thank you.
25	MECG any comments or witnesses?

1	MR. WOODSMALL: I have no prepared
2	comments. Just a couple of things. First off, like others
3	I appreciate the work of other parties. I've been after
4	doing this 26 years and this was the toughest negotiation
5	I've ever been through. It's a reflection of the issues
6	involved, the interest involved and it is impressive that we
7	were able to get through it.
8	One thing that I don't think that has been
9	addressed that I think the Commission should be aware of is
LO	in the revenue requirement settlement there is an FAC
11	provision on Page 7. It's important to realize the FAC has
12	increased both for KCP the FAC base is increased both for
13	KCP&L and GMO significantly in this case. That's important
L4	because without increasing that we're going to see larger
15	adjustments going forward. So increasing that FAC base will
16	hopefully lead to smaller FAC adjustments going forward. So
L7	it's another benefit to ratepayers reflected in this revenue
18	requirement settlement.
19	I can answer any questions. I didn't bring
20	any witnesses into town because they were all from out of
21	town, but I can attempt to answer any questions you may
22	have.
23	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Woodsmall, thank you.
24	Any bench questions? Mr. Chairman? Commissioner Hall?
25	COMMISSIONER HALL: Concerning the class

1 revenue shifts, we heard your opening of that issue. 2 did this settlement reflect your concerns? MR. WOODSMALL: The settlement reflects my 3 concerns mainly from a position of risk mitigation. We were 4 asking for much more of a shift of cost to residential 5 customers. This makes some shift, not as far as we wanted 6 7 to go, but I recognize the Commission in the last case went 8 with BIP so there was the risk there. What it does do is on the KCP&L side is it 9 provides for LGS and LP to get greater than twice as much of 10 11 a rate reduction than the residential customers received. 12 The other thing that it reflects is under everybody's class cost of service study the SGS class with paying rates above 13 14 cost of service. What the provision does is make a 15 significant movement in my mind to bringing SGS class rates 16 closer to cost. 17 COMMISSIONER HALL: So from your 18 perspective how much of a subsidy is left? 19 MR. WOODSMALL: Significant. From our 20 perspective the LP class was paying rates that were 10 21 percent above cost. That's under the A&E method provided by 2.2 Mr. Brubaker and by the Company. So it was 10 percent above 23 cost. This moves that some. Let me grab the right one. The residential class is receiving a 1.43 percent reduction, 24 whereas the overall reduction was 2.39, I think, for KCP&L. 2.5

1	LP and LGS is getting 2.99 percent. So of the 10 percent
2	that we quantified prior to this case, this moves .6 it
3	moves the LGS and LP class from 2.39 percent rate reduction
4	to a 2.99 percent rate reduction. So it is going to be an
5	issue going forward still.
6	COMMISSIONER HALL: And on GMO it's an
7	equal percentage decrease for all classes?
8	MR. WOODSMALL: And that is for two primary
9	reasons; one being the subsidies if you will, the disparity
10	from costs under the GMO studies were much closer to cost of
11	service under both studies. We didn't see a 10 percent
12	residential subsidy any party arguing that kind of subsidy.
13	The other thing is, by going with equal percent there's some
14	acknowledgement of Staff's concern that we don't have
15	sufficient data since the GMO consolidation to perform an
16	accurate study. I don't know if we agreed with that but by
17	going with equal percent there's some reflection of
18	COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you.
19	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Any further
20	bench questions for MIEC? All right. Thank you.
21	MIEC any comments and witness availability?
22	MR. MILLS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
23	In terms of witness availability I have Maurice Brubaker who
24	testified on nonresidential rate design and class cost
25	allocations available by phone should the commissioners have

1	questions for him. In terms of the agreements the MIEC
2	supports or doesn't oppose all four of the stipulation and
3	agreements as reasonable resolutions of the issues in the
4	case. I'd be happy to answer any questions.
5	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Mills, thank you.
6	Bench questions for MIEC? Mr. Chairman? Commissioner Hall?
7	COMMISSIONER HALL: No questions.
8	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Rupp?
9	Commissioner Coleman? All right. Thank you.
10	Dogwood, any comments, witness
11	availability?
12	MR. LUMLEY: Good morning again, Carl
13	Lumley for Dogwood. We don't oppose any of the settlements.
14	Obviously as a ratepayer we're interested in all of them and
15	find them to be reasonable. Our specific interest in the
16	case was the preservation of our real-time pricing tariff,
17	it's a time of use tariff. It is very by the hour time
18	of use tariff. It's very important to us and the
19	stipulation allows us to preserve that so we endorse that
20	particular resolution, you know, specifically. We have Greg
21	Meyer here in person if there's questions.
22	Theoretically, I could get out other
23	witness here, Rob Janssen on the phone. He had several
24	things going on this morning but if we had to I could get
25	him on the phone as well.

1	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Bench questions
2	for Dogwood? Chairman?
3	COMMISSIONER HALL: What party opposed
4	continuation of the real-time pricing tariff for Dogwood?
5	Did any party oppose it?
6	MR. LUMLEY: The Company proposed to
7	discontinue it. I don't think there was any other party
8	that was opposing our effort to keep it going.
9	COMMISSIONER HALL: Why did the Company
10	propose discontinuing it?
11	MR. HACK: I'll start. I may bring an
12	expert up if we go too deep, but
13	COMMISSIONER HALL: I don't think we need
14	to get too deep.
15	MR. HACK: So coming into this proceeding
16	we have two customers at KCPL on the RTP rate and two
17	customers at GMO on RTP rate. It's not a highly utilized
18	rate. It's not not been pursued for additional adoption
19	by parties and has some complexity in the billing components
20	of it as structured today. So one of the items that we
21	agreed to in this was to continue the RTP frozen. It had
22	been frozen. Continue it frozen for the customers and then
23	to also work on development of a new approach to real-time
24	pricing that we'll be able to deal with a little bit more
25	systematically rather than some of manual intervention that

1	this current construct requires.
2	COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. Thank you.
3	MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, it's important
4	to recognize just as you are interested in TOU for changing
5	customer behavior, we were very adamant about getting an
6	RTP, not eliminated here, but getting it rolled out in a
7	broader fashion going forward. In our mind what it does is
8	it provides incentives for C&I customers to look at market
9	to get on the program, look at market cost and then make
10	changes to their behavior to use energy off-peak, if you
11	will. So having that preserved for current customers and
12	getting it rolled out in a greater fashion in a future case
13	was very important to MECG.
14	COMMISSIONER HALL: So is the real-time
15	price just the wholesale price?
16	MR. WOODSMALL: Now it is. The provision
17	the RTP tariff has been around for well over a decade.
18	It precedes the SPPIM, so what it compares against has
19	changed over time, but now effectively it is SPP market
20	price as I understand it.
21	COMMISSIONER HALL: I think I understand
22	why the company doesn't want didn't want to continue it.
23	MR. LUMLEY: There's more to it than that.
24	There are fixed and demand charges as well. For us, you
25	know, it's a 650 megawatt generation station. We are the

1	definition of the unique customer. We have very unique
2	needs. When we are up and running we serve ourselves. It
3	really is important to us to have a good relationship with
4	the Company.
5	COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you.
6	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any further bench questions
7	for Dogwood? Thank you. I believe we have heard from AEMA.
8	MJMEUC any comments, any witnesses?
9	MS. WHIPPLE: We offered no witnesses. As
10	a part owner of the Dogwood plant we intervened to align
11	with them to preserve the real-time pricing tariff. We're
12	pleased that we were able to do that. And we look forward
13	to working with the companies to make it even better in the
14	future.
15	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Any bench
16	questions Mr. Chairman? Commissioner Hall? All right. I
17	think we have gone through all of the parties.
18	Are there any other bench questions or
19	anything further from counsel?
20	MR. FISCHER: Judge, exhibits?
21	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Yes. I was going to mark
22	through exhibits with you after we made sure we didn't have
23	any more bench questions or any other things.
24	Okay. We can go through exhibits then and
25	one further thing. I believe Commissioner Rupp had some

1	questions earlier about something is was that something			
2	that's going to be in the exhibits to be offered or will			
3	that need to be a late-filed exhibit?			
4	MR. HACK: The pricing differential? I			
5	think it was a late-filed exhibit. If that's the one I			
6	remember.			
7	COMMISSIONER RUPP: I just wanted to see			
8	the math because I apparently did the math wrong.			
9	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Thank you. That			
10	will be a company late-filed exhibit; is that correct,			
11	Mr. Hack?			
12	MR. HACK: Yes.			
13	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Do you think we'll be able			
14	to get that in by the end of the week?			
15	MR. HACK: Certainly.			
16	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. All right. If			
17	we can march through the exhibits and then I think that will			
18	be all we need to do today. Okay.			
19	Did the Company want to go through its			
20	exhibits?			
21	MR. FISCHER: Judge, I think we provided an			
22	exhibit list to the court reporter and she's marked all the			
23	exhibits and we move they be admitted.			
24	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. So what I am hearing			
25	is Mr. Fischer has offered the Exhibits 100 through 179.			

1	They have been offered. Any objections?
2	MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, wouldn't it be
3	180 for the late-filed exhibit?
4	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Actually, I've got a 180
5	that was marked earlier, but not offered or admitted. It
6	was a revenue summary. I think it was just demonstrative.
7	I think it's been labeled as 180. We'll have some
8	late-filed exhibits offered and I'll give the parties a
9	chance to review and object to those later. I just want to
10	go through what we've got as of now.
11	What I'm understanding is we've got
12	Exhibits 170 through 179 being offered. Am I understanding
13	correctly?
14	MR. FISCHER: I think that's right, Judge.
15	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Exhibits 100 through
16	179 have been offered. Any objections? Hearing none,
17	Exhibits 100 through 179 are admitted.
18	(WHEREIN; KCPL's Exhibits 100 through 179
19	are received into evidence.)
20	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Johnson, for Staff.
21	MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Judge. Staff has
22	also provided its exhibit list and exhibits to the court
23	reporter to be marked. At this time we would move that
24	Staff Exhibits Number 200 through 248 be admitted into
25	evidence.

1	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections? Hearing			
2	none, Exhibits 200 through 248 are admitted.			
3	(WHEREIN; Staff Exhibits 200 through 248			
4	are received into evidence.)			
5	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Public Counsel?			
6	MR. NATHAN WILLIAMS: Public Counsel offers			
7	Exhibits 300 through 322.			
8	JUDGE PRIDGIN: 300 to 322 have been			
9	offered. Any objections? Hearing none, Exhibits 300			
10	through 322 are admitted.			
11	(WHEREIN; OPC Exhibits 300 to 322 are			
12	received into evidence.)			
13	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Renew Missouri?			
14	MR. OPITZ: Thank you, Judge. I've also			
15	provided mine to the court reporter along with the list.			
16	I'd offer Exhibits 400 through 407.			
17	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Exhibits 400 for 407 have			
18	been offered. Any objection? Hearing none, Exhibits 400			
19	through 407 are admitted.			
20	(WHEREIN; Renew Missouri Exhibits 400			
21	through 407 are received into evidence.)			
22	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Division of Energy?			
23	MR. POSTON: Thank you. I have my exhibits			
24	here. I will give them to the court reporter in a moment.			
25	We would offer Exhibits 450 through 460.			

1	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Exhibits 450 through 460			
2	have been offered. Any objections? Hearing none, Exhibits			
3	450 through 460 are admitted.			
4	(WHEREIN; Division of Energy Exhibits 450			
5	through 460 are received into evidence.)			
6	JUDGE PRIDGIN: MECJ?			
7	MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you, Your Honor. I			
8	previously provided my prefiled testimony to the court			
9	reporter and would offer Exhibits 500 through 515.			
10	JUDGE PRIDGIN: 500 through 515 have been			
11	offered. Any objections? Hearing none, Exhibits 500			
12	through 515 are admitted.			
13	(WHEREIN; MECJ Exhibits 500 through 515 are			
14	received into evidence, but have not been marked by a court			
15	reporter.)			
16	JUDGE PRIDGIN: MIEC?			
17	MR. MILLS: Judge, I would offer Exhibits			
18	550 through 553. I have copies here that I'll take up to			
19	the court reporter.			
20	JUDGE PRIDGIN: 550 through 553 have been			
21	offered. Any objections? Hearing none, 550 through 553 are			
22	admitted.			
23	(WHEREIN; MIEC Exhibits 550 through 553 are			
24	received into evidence.)			
25	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Dogwood Energy?			

1	MR. LUMLEY: Thank you, Judge. We'd offer
2	the public and confidential versions of 600 and 601. I have
3	copies for the reporter.
4	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. So that will be, if
5	I am understanding correctly will bee 600-HC, 600 NP; is
6	that correct?
7	MR. LUMLEY: I have them marked at 600,
8	660-C, 601, 601-C.
9	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Very good. Okay. So 600,
10	600-C, 601, 601-C are being offered. Any objections? Okay.
11	600, 600-C, 601, 601-C are admitted.
12	(WHEREIN; Dogwood Energy Exhibits 600,
13	600-C, 601, and 601-C are received into evidence.)
14	JUDGE PRIDGIN: AEMA, any exhibits?
15	MR. COMLEY: Yes. I delivered the exhibit
16	list. I think among the parties, the court reporter may
17	lack it but I would offer 650 and 651 into the record.
18	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Exhibits 650 and 651
19	have been offered. Any objections? Hearing none, 650 and
20	651 are admitted.
21	(WHEREIN; AEMA Exhibits 650 and 651 are
22	received into evidence.)
23	JUDGE PRIDGIN: MJMEUC, any exhibits? No
24	exhibits from MJMEUC?
25	MR. COMLEY: She left.

1	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Very good. Did
2	I overlook anyone? I understand we will have some
3	late-filed exhibits by the end of week and I assume that the
4	parties will simply label those numerically, you know, as we
5	have left off. If it helps any I've shown from my exhibit
6	list some of these may have been admitted more than once.
7	But 149, 160, 219 and 229 were all offered and admitted
8	earlier in the hearing. I had 180 labeled, but not offered
9	but not offered or not admitted.
10	MR. FISCHER: That was just an opening
11	statement, illustrative exhibit.
12	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Correct. Correct. So
13	we'll have some late-filed exhibits I think filed by the end
14	of the week. Anything else from the parties before we go
15	off the record?
16	MR. STEINER: Judge, could you give me a
17	little clarity or give the parties a little clarity on the
18	initial brief on the commission questions? Are you going to
19	issue an order on what you want and what date those are due?
20	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I planned on it because
21	I mean, this transcript's going to take a little time and so
22	I previously considered moving the date up, but I don't
23	think I'll do that. That will give you some more time with
24	this transcript. I my thoughts were to order the Company
25	and Staff to brief the Commission issues. Commissioner Rupp

1	and Commissioner Kenney had some concerns on some issues			
2	that the parties have addressed. I will issue a written			
3	order to try to clarify, but I basically just want those			
4	parties perspectives on what, if anything, you think the			
5	Commission should order and what legal authority the			
б	Commission would have to order that. I would permit other			
7	parties to comment on that in briefs if they want or not as			
8	they see fit. I'm just trying to make your life easier			
9	since you've arrived at so many stipulations and not order			
10	you to file briefs that simply say we don't have a position.			
11	MR. STEINER: I appreciate that. That			
12	clarifies it. Thank you.			
13	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Does that help parties any?			
14	And then cancel reply brief requirements since you've			
15	arrived at these stipulations and you don't have any			
16	disputes.			
17	MR. STEINER: That make sense to me.			
18	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Williams?			
19	MR. NATHAN WILLIAMS: Judge, do you want			
20	the stipulations and agreements to be evidence in the			
21	record?			
22	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I guess I would leave that			
23	up to the parties. I don't need it. It's simply your			
24	agreements and the Commission can take notice of the			
25	agreements. You can offer them or not if you wish. I don't			

need you to offer them if you don't feel the need to. Okay. Anything further from the parts before we go off the record? Going once, going twice. right. Thank you very much. We are off the record. (WHEREIN; the hearing has concluded.) (WHEREIN; the hearing has concluded.)	
right. Thank you very much. We are off the record. (WHEREIN; the hearing has concluded.) 7	All
5 (WHEREIN; the hearing has concluded.) 6 7	
6 7	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	EXHIBIT INDEX		DEGLE
2	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY AND KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS:	/IARKED	REC D
3 4	KCPL Exhibit 100 Archibald Direct Testimony		252
5	KCPL Exhibit 101 Archibald Direct Testimony		252
6 7	KCPL Exhibit 102 Archibald Rebuttal Testimony		252
8	KCPL Exhibit 103 Bass Direct Testimony 252		
9	KCPL Exhibit 104 Bass Direct Testimony 252		
11	KCPL Exhibit 105 Bass Rebuttal Testimony		252
12 13	KCPL Exhibit 106 Busser Surrebuttal Testimony		252
14	KCPL Exhibit 107 Caisley Direct Testimony		252
15 16	KCPL Exhibit 108 Caisley Direct Testimony		252
17	KCPL Exhibit 109 Caisley Surrebuttal Testimony		252
18 19	KCPL Exhibit 110-C Crawford Direct Testimony, Confidential		252
20	KCPL Exhibit 111 Crawford Direct Testimony		252
21	KCPL Exhibit 112-C Crawford Direct Testimony, Confidential		252
23	KCPL Exhibit 113 Crawford Direct Testimony		252
24 25	KCPL Exhibit 114 Crawford Supplemental Direct Testimony 252		

1	KCPL Exhibit 115 Crawford Supplemental Direct Testimony 252	
2		
3	KCPL Exhibit 116-C Crawford Rebuttal Testimony, Confidential	252
4		232
5	KCPL Exhibit 117 Crawford Rebuttal Testimony 252	
6	KCPL Exhibit 118 Crawford Surrebuttal Testimony	252
7	-	232
8	KCPL Exhibit 119 Danforth Rebuttal Testimony 252	
9	KCPL Exhibit 120-C	0.5.0
10	Fangman Rebuttal Testimony, Confidential KCPL Exhibit 121	252
11	Fangman Rebuttal Testimony	252
12	KCPL Exhibit 122 Fangman Surrebuttal Testimony	252
13		232
14	KCPL Exhibit 123 Frerking Rebuttal Testimony 252	
15	KCPL Exhibit 124 Frerking Surrebuttal Testimony	252
16		
17	KCPL Exhibit 125 Gilligan Surrebuttal Testimony	252
18	KCPL Exhibit 126	
19	Hardesty Rebuttal Testimony 252	
20	KCPL Exhibit 127 Hardesty Surrebuttal Testimony	252
21	KCPL Exhibit 128	
22	Herrington Rebuttal Testimony	252
23	KCPL Exhibit 129 Hevert Direct Testimony	252
24	KCPL Exhibit 130	
25	Hevert Direct Testimony KCPL Exhibit 131	252
	Hevert Rebuttal Testimony	252

1 2	KCPL Exhibit 132 Hevert Surrebuttal Testimony	252
3	KCPL Exhibit 133 Higley Surrebuttal Testimony	252
4	KCPL Exhibit 134 Ives Direct Testimony 252	
5 6	KCPL Exhibit 135 Ives Direct Testimony 252	
7	KCPL Exhibit 136-C Ives Rebuttal Testimony, Confidential	252
8 9	KCPL Exhibit 137 Ives Rebuttal Testimony	252
10	KCPL Exhibit 138 Ives Surrebuttal Testimony	252
11	KCPL Exhibit 139 Ives Surrebuttal Testimony	252
13	KCPL Exhibit 140 Johnson Rebuttal Testimony	252
14 15	KCPL Exhibit 141 Johnson Surrebuttal Testimony	252
16	KCPL Exhibit 142 Klote Direct Testimony	252
17 18	KCPL Exhibit 143 Klote Direct Testimony	252
19	KCPL Exhibit 144 Klote Rebuttal Testimony	252
20	KCPL Exhibit 145 Klote Surrebuttal Testimony 252	
22	KCPL Exhibit 146 Klote True-Up Direct Testimony	252
23 24	KCPL Exhibit 147 Lutz Direct Testimony 252	
25	KCPL Exhibit 148 Lutz Direct Testimony 252	

1 2	KCPL Exhibit 150 Lutz Rebuttal Testimony	252
3	KCPL Exhibit 151 Lutz Surrebuttal Testimony	252
4 5	KCPL Exhibit 152 Miller Direct Testimony	252
6	KCPL Exhibit 153 Miller Direct Testimony	252
7 8	KCPL Exhibit 154 Miller Rebuttal Testimony	252
9	KCPL Exhibit 155 Miller Surrebuttal Testimony	252
10 11	KCPL Exhibit 156 Nunn Direct Testimony 252	
12	KCPL Exhibit 157 Nunn Direct Testimony 252	
13	KCPL Exhibit 158 Nunn Rebuttal Testimony	252
14 15	KCPL Exhibit 159 Nunn Surrebuttal Testimony	252
16	KCPL Exhibit 161-C Rush Direct Testimony, Confidential	252
17 18	KCPL Exhibit 162 Rush Direct Testimony 252	
19	KCPL Exhibit 163-C Rush Direct Testimony, Confidential	252
20 21	KCPL Exhibit 164 Rush Direct Testimony 252	
22	KCPL Exhibit 165 Rush Rebuttal Testimony	252
23 24	KCPL Exhibit 166 Rush Surrebuttal Testimony	252
25	KCPL Exhibit 167 Rush Surrebuttal Testimony	252

1	KCPL Exhibit 168 Sullivan Direct Testimony	252
2	KCPL Exhibit 169	
3	Sullivan Surrebuttal Testimony	252
4	KCPL Exhibit 170-C Tucker Direct Testimony, Confidential	252
5	KCPL Exhibit 171-C	
6	Tucker Direct Testimony, Confidential	252
7	KCPL Exhibit 172-C Tucker Direct Testimony, Confidential	252
8	KCPL Exhibit 173-C	
9	Tucker Direct Testimony, Confidential	252
10	KCPL Exhibit 174 Winslow Direct Testimony	252
11	KCPL Exhibit 175	
12	Winslow Direct Testimony	252
13	KCPL Exhibit 176 Winslow Supplemental Direct Testimony	252
14	KCPL Exhibit 177	232
15	Winslow Supplemental Direct Testimony	252
16	KCPL Exhibit 178 Winslow Rebuttal Testimony	252
17	KCPL Exhibit 179	232
18	Winslow Surrebuttal Testimony	252
19	RENEW MISSOURI ADVOCATES:	
20	Renew MO Exhibit 400 Direct Testimony of Jamie Scripps	253
21	Renew MO Exhibit 401	255
22	Rebuttal Testimony of Jamie Scripps	253
23	Renew MO Exhibit 402 Surrebuttal Testimony of Jamie Scripps 253	
24	Renew MO Exhibit 403	
25	Direct Testimony of Philip Fracica	253

1	Renew MO Exhibit 404 Rebuttal Testimony of Philip Fracica	253
2	Renew MO Exhibit 405 Surrebuttal Testimony of Philip Fracica	253
4	Renew MO Exhibit 406 Rebuttal Testimony of James Owen 253	
5 6	Renew MO Exhibit 407 Surrebuttal Testimony of James Owen	253
7	MISSOURI DIVISION OF ENERGY:	
8	Missouri Division of Energy Exhibit 450 Direct Testimony of Martin Hyman254	
10	Missouri Division of Energy Exhibit 451 Rebuttal Testimony of Martin Hyman	254
11 12	Missouri Division of Energy Exhibit 452 Rebuttal Testimony of Martin Hyman	254
13	Missouri Division of Energy Exhibit 453 Surrebuttal Testimony of Martin Hyman	254
14	Missouri Division of Energy Exhibit 454 Direct Testimony of Jane Epperson	254
15 16	Missouri Division of Energy Exhibit 455 Rebuttal Testimony of Jane Epperson	254
17	Missouri Division of Energy Exhibit 456 Surrebuttal Testimony of Jane Epperson254	
18 19	Missouri Division of Energy Exhibit 457 Surrebuttal Testimony of Barbara Meyer254	
20	Missouri Division of Energy Exhibit 458 Direct Testimony of Sharlet Kroll	254
21 22	Missouri Division of Energy Exhibit 459 Rebuttal Testimony of Sharlet Kroll	254
23	Missouri Division of Energy Exhibit 460 Rebuttal Testimony of Lisa Kremer	254
24 25	ADVANCED ENERGY MANAGEMENT ALLIANCE:	

1		
1	AEMA Exhibit 650 Rebuttal Testimony of Nicholas Papanastassiou	255
2	AEMA Exhibit 651 AEMA Surrebuttal Testimony of Papanastassiou	255
4	DOGWOOD ENERGY:	
5	Dogwood Exhibit 600	
6	Rebuttal Testimony of Robert Janssen	255
7	Dogwood Exhibit 600-C Rebuttal Testimony of Robert Janssen, Confidential	255
8	Dogwood Exhibit 601 Rebuttal Testimony of Greg Meyer255	
9	Dogwood Exhibit 601-C	
10	Dogwood Exhibit 001-C Dogwood Testimony of Greg Meyer, Confidential	255
11	MISSOURI INDUSTRIAL ENERGY CONSUMERS:	
12	MIEC Exhibit 550 Direct Testimony of Maurice Brubaker	254
13	MIEC Exhibit 551	231
14	Direct Testimony of Maurice Brubaker	254
15	MIEC Exhibit 552 Rebuttal Testimony of Maurice Brubaker254	
16	MIEC Exhibit 553	
17	Surrebuttal Testimony of Maurice Brubaker	254
18	STAFF:	
19	Staff Exhibit 200 Direct Testimony of Natelle Dietrich	253
20	Staff Exhibit 201-C	
21	Staff Report, Confidential	253
22	Staff Exhibit 201 Staff Report	253
23	Staff Exhibit 202	
24	Staff Accounting Schedules	253
25	Staff Exhibit 203 Direct Testimony of Natelle Dietrich	253

1	Staff Exhibit 204 Staff Direct Class Cost of Service Report	253
2	Staff Exhibit 205	
3	Rebuttal Testimony of Natelle Dietrich 253	
4	Staff Exhibit 206-C Rebuttal Testimony of Cary G.	
5	Featherstone, Confidential	253
6	Staff Exhibit 206 Rebuttal Testimony of Cary C. Featherstone	253
7	Staff Exhibit 207	
8	Rebuttal Testimony of Robin Kliethermes	253
9	Staff Exhibit 208-C Rebuttal Testimony of Shawn E. Lange, Confidential	253
10	Staff Exhibit 208	233
11	Rebuttal Testimony of Shawn E. Lange	253
12	Staff Exhibit 209-C Rebuttal Testimony of Karen Lyons, Confidential	253
13	Staff Exhibit 209	233
14	Rebuttal Testimony of Karen Lyons	253
15	Staff Exhibit 210-C Rebuttal Testimony of Keith Majors, Confidential	253
16	Staff Exhibit 210	
17	Rebuttal Testimony of Keith Majors	253
18	Staff Exhibit 211 Rebuttal Testimony of Stephen Moilanen	253
19	Staff Exhibit 212-C	
20	Rebuttal Testimony of Antonija Nieto, Confidential	253
21	Staff Exhibit 212 Rebuttal Testimony of Antonija Nieto	253
22		200
23	Staff Exhibit 213 Rebuttal Testimony of Charles T. Poston	253
24	Staff Exhibit 214	252
25	Rebuttal Testimony of Brooke Richter	253
	Staff Exhibit 215	

1	Rebuttal Testimony of Michael L. Rush	253
2	Staff Exhibit 216-C Rebuttal Testimony of Jeffrey Smith, Confidential25	3
3	Staff Exhibit 216	
4	Rebuttal Testimony of Jeffrey Smith	253
5	Staff Exhibit 217 Rebuttal Testimony of Seoung Joun Won	253
6 7	Staff Exhibit 218 Rebuttal Testimony of Deborah Ann Bernsen	253
8	Staff Exhibit 219 Rebuttal Testimony of Cedric E. Cunigan	253
9	Staff Exhibit 220	233
10	Rebuttal Testimony of Claire M. Eubanks	253
11	Staff Exhibit 221 Rebuttal Testimony of Brad J. Fortson	253
12	Staff Exhibit 222 Rebuttal Testimony of Robin Kliethermes	253
13 14	Staff Exhibit 223 Rebuttal Testimony of Sarah L.K. Lange 253	
15	Staff Exhibit 224 Rebuttal Testimony of Catherine F. Lucia	253
16 17	Staff Exhibit 225 Rebuttal Testimony of Byron M. Murray	253
18	Staff Exhibit 226 Rebuttal Testimony of Brooke Richter	253
19	Staff Exhibit 227	233
20	Surrebuttal Testimony of Alan J. Bax	253
21	Staff Exhibit 228 True-Up Direct Testimony of Kim Cox	253
22	Staff Exhibit 229	
23	Surrebuttal Testimony of Cedric E. Cunigan	253
24	Staff Exhibit 230 Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony	0.50
25	Of Natelle Dietrich	253

1	Staff Exhibit 231 Surrebuttal Testimony of Claire M. Eubanks	253
2		
3	Staff Exhibit 232-C Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony of Cary G. Featherstone, Confidential	253
4	Staff Exhibit 232	
5	Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony of Cary G. Featherstone	253
6	Staff Exhibit 233-C	
7	Surrebuttal Testimony of Robin Kliethermes, Confidential	253
8	Staff Exhibit 233	
9	Surrebuttal Testimony of Robin Kliethermes	253
10	Staff Exhibit 234-C Surrebuttal Testimony of Sarah L.K. Lange,	
11	Confidential	253
12	Staff Exhibit 234 Surrebuttal Testimony of Sarah L.K. Lange	253
13	Staff Exhibit 235	
14	True-Up Direct Testimony of Shawn E. Lange	253
15	Staff Exhibit 236 Surrebuttal and True-Up Testimony of	
16	Brooke Mastrogiannis	253
17	Staff Exhibit 237	
18	Correct Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony of Catherine F. Lucia	253
19	Staff Exhibit 238-C	
20	Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony of Karen Lyons, Confidential	253
21	Staff Exhibit 238 Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony	
22	of Karen Lyons	253
23	Staff Exhibit 239-C	
24	Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony of Keith Majors, Confidential	253
25	Staff Exhibit 239 Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony	

ER-2018-0145 & ER-2018-0146

1	of Keith Majors	253
2	Staff Exhibit 240 Surrebuttal Testimony of Stephen B. Moilanen	253
3	Staff Exhibit 241-C	233
4	Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony of Antonija Nieto, Confidential	253
5	Staff Exhibit 241	233
6	Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony of Antonija Nieto	253
7	Staff Exhibit 242	233
8	Surrebuttal Testimony of Mark L. Oligschlaeger	253
9	Staff Exhibit 243 Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony	
10	of Charles T. Poston	253
11	Staff Exhibit 244 Surrebuttal Testimony of John A. Rogers	253
12	Staff Exhibit 245	
13	Surrebuttal Testimony of Jeffrey Smith 253	
14	Staff Exhibit 246 Surrebuttal Testimony of Byron M. Murray	253
15	Staff Exhibit 247-C	
16	Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony of Matthew R. Young, Confidential	253
17	Staff Exhibit 247	
18	Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony of Matthew R. Young	253
19	Staff Exhibit 248	
20	Staff True-Up Accounting Schedules	253
21	OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL:	
22	OPC Exhibit 300C Direct Testimony of Amanda C. Conner	253
23	OPC Exhibit 301	
24	Rebuttal Testimony of Amanda C. Conner253	
25		

ER-2018-0145 & ER-2018-0146

1	OPC Exhibit 302 Surrebuttal/True-Up Testimony of Amanda C. Conner253	
2	OPC Exhibit 303-C Direct Testimony Lena M. Mantle, Confidential	253
4	OPC Exhibit 304-C Rebuttal Testimony of Lena M. Mantle, Confidential	253
5	OPC Exhibit 305-C	233
6	Surrebuttal Testimony of Lena M. Mantle, Confidential	1 253
7	OPC Exhibit 306 Direct Testimony of Geoff Marke	253
8 9	OPC Exhibit 307 Rebuttal Testimony of Geoff Marke	253
10	OPC Exhibit 308 Rebuttal Testimony of Geoff Marke	253
11	OPC Exhibit 309-C Surrebuttal Testimony of Geoff Marke	253
13	OPC Exhibit 310 Direct Testimony of Karl R. Pavlovic	253
14 15	OPC Exhibit 311 Rebuttal Testimony of Karl R. Pavlovic253	
16	OPC Exhibit 312 Surrebuttal Testimony of Karl R. Pavlovic	253
17 18	OPC Exhibit 313 Direct Testimony of John S. Riley	253
19	OPC Exhibit 314 Rebuttal Testimony of John S. Riley	253
20	OPC Exhibit 315-C	
21	Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony of John S. Riley	253
22	OPC Exhibit 316-C Direct Testimony of John A. Robinett, Confidential	253
24	OPC Exhibit 317-C	
25	Rebuttal Testimony of John A. Robinett, Confidential	253

ER-2018-0145 & ER-2018-0146

1	OPC Exhibit 318-C Surrebuttal and True-Up Direct Testimony of John	
2	A. Robinett	253
3	OPC Exhibit 319 Direct Testimony of Keri Roth	253
4	OPC Exhibit 320	
5	Direct Testimony of Robert Schallenberg	253
6	OPC Exhibit 321-C Rebuttal Testimony of Robert Schallenberg,	
7	Confidential	253
8	OPC Exhibit 322-C Surrebuttal and True-UP Direct Testimony of Robert	
9	Schallenberg, Confidential	253
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Lisa M. Banks, CCR within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

2.5

Lin Bank S

Lisa M. Banks, CCR No. 1081

	109 259:17	137 261:8	169 179:23 263:2
\$	10:25 221:9	138 261:10	170 252:12
\$21 171:20	10:30 214:24 215:1	139 261:11	170-C 263:4
\$39 177:13	10:40 221:10,13	14-hour 194:24	171-C 263:5
\$53 177:13	11.109 207:19	195:12	172-C 263:7
\$7.2 174:19 178:23	110-C 259:18	140 261:13	173-C 263:8
	111 259:20	141 261:14	174 263:10
0	112-C 259:21	142 261:16	175 263:11
0146 165:3	113 259:23	143 261:17	176 263:13
	114 259:24	144 261:19	177 263:14
1	1147 209:25 222:10	145 261:20	178 263:16
1 225:5	115 260:1	146 261:22	179 251:25 252:12,16,
1,000 214:16	116-C 260:2	147 261:23	17,18 263:17
1,000 214.16 1.4 210:25	117 260:4	148 261:25	180 252:3,4,7 256:8
1.4 210.25 1.43 245:24	118 260:6	149 256:7	19 202:1,4
1.5 210:2	119 260:7	15-minute 194:5	19th 171:11,18
1.3 210.2 10 223:18 226:20	12 168:1	150 262:1	1st 186:6
227:22 228:7 245:20,	120-C 260:9	151 262:2	2
22 246:1,11	121 260:10	152 262:4	
10-hour 194:25	122 260:12	153 262:5	2 224:7
10/01 202:1,4	123 260:13	154 262:7	2.39 245:25 246:3
100 251:25 252:15,17, 18 259:3	124 260:15	155 262:8	2.5 210:18
100,000 206:3,4	125 260:16	156 262:10	2.99 246:1,4
1000 233:15	126 260:18	157 262:11	20 192:6 198:16 206:4
101 259:5	127 260:19	158 262:13	200 252:24 253:2,3
101 259.5 102 259:6	128 260:21	159 262:14	265:19
103 259:8	129 260:22	160 256:7	2000 193:2
104 259:9	130 260:24	161-C 262:16	2008 192:7 198:14
1043 222:19	131 260:25	162 262:17	201 265:22
105 259:11	132 261:1	163-C 262:19	201-C 265:20
	133 261:2	164 262:20	2014 191:4
106 259:12	134 261:4	165 262:22	2017 180:11 233:3,8
107 259:14	135 261:5	166 262:23	2018 165:6
108 259:15	136-C 261:7	167 262:25	2019 186:6,17 187:4, 11,14 193:16
1081 272:18		168 263:1	, -

202 265:23	226 267:18	11,12,14,15,17,18,20,	307 270:8
2020 187:11,23,25	227 267:19	21,23,24 261:1,3,4,6, 7,9,10,12,13,15,16,18,	308 270:10
188:3 201:19	228 267:21	19,21,22,24 262:1,3,4,	309-C 270:11
2021 188:5	229 256:7 267:22	6,7,9,10,12,13,15,16, 18,19,21,22,24 263:1,	30th 187:25
203 265:25	230 267:24	3,4,6,7,9,10,12,13,15,	310 270:13
204 266:1	231 268:1	16,18	311 270:14
205 166:10 266:2	232 268:4	253 263:20,22,23,25 264:1,3,4,6 265:19,21,	312 270:16
206 266:6	232-C 268:2	22,24 266:1,3,5,6,8,9,	313 270:17
206-C 266:4	233 268:8	11,12,14,15,17,18,20, 21,23,24 267:1,4,5,7,	314 270:19
207 266:7	233-C 268:6	8,10,11,12,14,15,17,	315-C 270:20
208 266:10	234 268:12	18,20,21,23,25 268:1, 3,5,7,9,11,12,14,16,	316-C 270:22
208-C 266:9	234-C 268:10	18,20,22,24 269:1,2,4,	317-C 270:24
209 266:13	235 268:13	6,8,10,11,13,14,16,18, 20,22 270:3,4,6,7,9,	318-C 271:1
209-C 266:12	236 268:15	10,12,13,16,18,19,21,	319 271:3
21 177:2	237 268:17	23,24 271:2,3,5,7,9	31st 180:10 187:14,22
21.1 171:22	238 268:21	254 264:10,11,13,14, 16,20,22,23 265:12,	320 271:4
210 266:16	238-C 268:19	14,17	321-C 271:6
210-C 266:15	239 268:25	255 265:1,3,5,7,10	322 253:7,8,10,11
211 266:18	239-C 268:23	25th 171:13	322-C 271:8
212 266:21	24 172:1 177:2	26 244:4	33.326 207:18
212-C 266:19	240 269:2	270 227:10	38.7 172:3 173:14
213 266:22	241 269:5	27th 171:14,24	393.1665 175:6
214 266:24	241-C 269:3	29.3 173:16,24	3rd 165:6
216 267:3	242 269:7		
216-C 267:2	243 269:9	3	4
217 267:5	244 269:11	30 188:3	4 179:23
218 267:6	245 269:12	300 253:7,8,9,11	400 253:16,17,18,20
219 256:7 267:8	246 269:14	300C 269:22	263:20
21st 171:12	247 269:17	301 167:11 269:23	401 263:21
220 267:9	247-C 269:15	302 270:1	402 263:23
221 167:1 267:11	248 252:24 253:2,3	303-C 270:2	403 263:24
222 267:12	269:19	304-C 270:4	404 264:1
223 267:13	250 232:20	305-C 270:5	405 264:2
224 267:15	252 259:4,5,7,8,10,11,	306 270:7	406 264:4
225 267:16	13,14,16,17,19,20,22, 23,25 260:1,3,5,6,8,9,		407 253:16,17,19,21

264:5	60 173:18 201:15	4 197:5 201:23 240:16	address 166:9,25
409 166:9	600 210:17,18,25	272:8	167:11 171:5,6 189:3 195:9 196:24 197:15,
450 253:25 254:1,3,4	213:21 214:17 233:15 255:2,5,7,9,11,12	absence 240:15	19 233:2,16,22
264:8	265:5	absolutely 198:10 217:25 240:5,9	addressed 182:4
451 264:9	600-C 255:10,11,13	absorbed 239:3	194:18 197:13 223:11 234:11 244:9 257:2
452 264:11	265:6	accelerate 207:11	addresses 233:15
453 264:12	600-HC 255:5	accept 192:8	addressing 233:9
454 264:14	600-hour 214:9		234:9
455 264:15	601 167:11 255:2,8,	access 167:25	adjust 243:14
456 264:17	10,11,13 265:8	accomplish 233:6,7, 18,19 234:13	adjusted 171:21
457 264:18	601-C 255:8,10,11,13 265:9	accomplishes	adjustment 174:12
458 264:20	65 227:9	216:19	179:24 180:4
459 264:21	650 249:25 255:17,18,	account 223:23	adjustments 244:15,
460 253:25 254:1,3,5	19,21 265:1	Accounting 265:24	16
264:23	651 255:17,18,20,21	269:20	admitted 168:12 251:23 252:5,17,24
	265:2	accounts 174:21	253:2,10,19 254:3,12,
5	65101 167:1	accurate 235:17	22 255:11,20 256:6,7, 9
5 166:10 180:15	65202 166:10	246:16	
5,000,000 205:3	660-C 255:8	acknowledge 230:22	adopted 193:4
50 214:11 224:15		acknowledgement 246:14	adoption 184:16 193:6 198:3,16 204:14
225:9,20 226:1,24	7	acknowledging	205:22 231:17,22
227:14,17	7 244:11	183:7	237:11 240:16 248:18
500 254:9,10,11,13	70,000 213:23	acronym 208:5	Advance 167:9
515 254:9,10,12,13	75/25 237:2	across-the-board	ADVANCED 264:24
550 254:18,20,21,23 265:12	750 187:15	214:2 233:1	advantage 191:7 226:14
551 265:13		Act 233:4,8	
552 265:15	9	action 206:2 272:10,	advantages 193:20
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	14	adverse 192:2,10 213:19
553 254:18,20,21,23 265:16	9 181:21 223:4	actual 238:23 239:14	advocate 206:15
564 175:20 180:8	90 214:11	adamant 249:5	advocated 219:8
197:20 233:7 236:12,	9:00 165:8	add 214:8	ADVOCATES 263:19
16,19	Α	addition 233:11 236:18	AEMA 167:8 215:5
59 168:1		additional 187:21	217:21 250:7 255:14,
6	A&e 245:21	189:24 215:18 225:4	21 265:1,2,3
	a.m. 165:8	227:3 248:18	affect 200:11
6 246:2	ability 185:2 194:1,3,	Additionally 232:15	affected 196:14

afternoon 208:12

aggregation 218:7, 16 220:19

aggregator 216:10 219:20 220:3,10

aggregators 220:6

aggressive 198:8 200:14

agree 179:3 181:12 194:17 202:10 208:23 209:16 223:6 230:14 236:21,25 240:5,25 242:13

agreed 171:19 174:2, 10 175:2,4 176:4,8 177:16 179:8,12,19 181:7,20 183:7 195:20 215:13,16,17 224:7 231:13 241:21 246:16 248:21

agreeing 209:24 223:12

agreement 171:22 174:6,11 176:6 178:24 184:5 190:16 191:1 204:5 214:23 216:9 218:13 219:4 223:23 229:1 230:21 233:12 234:25 239:4

agreements 174:16, 22 232:11 247:1,3 257:20,24,25

ahead 182:6 192:4

air 213:22 214:11

Alan 267:20

align 250:10

alleviated 240:24

Alliance 167:10 216:8,18 264:24

allocated 239:12

allocation 182:15 237:21 239:8

allocations 183:2

229:8 246:25

allowance 215:14 223:19 226:13

ER-2018-0145

allowing 207:8

alluded 178:22 179:15

altogether 231:25

AMA 219:6

Amanda 269:22,24 270:1

Ameren 170:2,10 233:4 235:11

Ameren's 235:7

AMI 185:23 186:9 192:20 201:12,16,24 203:20.24

amortization 172:12 174:19 178:1,23

amortized 173:7

amount 172:2 173:14,16 177:12 180:2,11 183:8 224:10 230:18 235:12 239:16

analyses 242:7

analysis 196:21,23 243:3

analytics 194:6

and/or 175:25

angles 176:17

Ann 267:7

annual 177:11

answering 221:19

anticipate 199:2,13

Antonija 266:20,21

_

269:4,6

anxious 208:17

anymore 168:18

apologize 227:11

apparently 207:21 251:8

&

appearance 165:9 210:11

appearing 166:2 167:9

appears 272:6

applicability 226:4

applications 176:12

applied 174:20

appreciated 215:2

appreciates 228:25

appreciation 210:20 234:8

appreciative 234:15

apprised 187:12

approach 198:1 202:11 206:2 240:7 248:23

Approvals 233:6

approve 171:9

approved 220:6 239:25

approximately 165:8

Aquila 179:25 180:1,3

Archibald 259:4,5,7

area 194:4 201:20 209:6 226:5,6,9 227:12,16 228:4

areas 170:14 190:3 199:6 224:8,12,19,20, 24 225:1,3,8,12,15,17, 22 226:4,16,23 227:8, 17 228:8 240:7,11,13

argue 223:6

arguing 246:12

argument 203:19

arrived 257:9,15

articulated 193:1

aspects 172:9

asset 174:18

assets 172:4 173:7, 15,24 174:3,18 178:1

assigned 165:4

association 181:11

assume 197:4 222:21 235:2 256:3

assuming 168:10 239:9

assumption 184:22

assure 179:9

attached 217:16

attempt 224:23 244:21

attempting 194:20

attention 203:3

attorney 272:12

attract 219:12

auditing 229:6

authority 257:5

authorized 188:6,8 197:20

availability 214:19 232:7 234:5 246:21,23 247:11

availing 186:20

average 195:13

aware 182:18 185:22 235:21 237:6 243:18 244:9

В

back 173:2 177:16 179:25 184:23 191:3 194:4 196:12 199:24 202:18,19 209:8 221:16 230:25

backend 204:17

backlash 193:8.10 170:6 176:24 185:13 **books** 180:3 191:12 194:17,22 242:13,17 209:13 215:6.23 C borne 179:6 239:11 221:1,10,11,19,21 **bad** 206:23 231:10 228:16,20,23 229:11 **boss** 238:19 C&i 249:8 232:4 233:25 234:19 ballpark 204:15 **box** 192:11 Caisley 259:14,16,17 237:15 243:23 244:24 **Banks** 272:4.18 246:20 247:6 248:1 **Brad** 171:1 267:11 calculate 180:12 250:6,15,18,23 **Barbara** 238:2 264:19 204:2,4 break 221:8,12 beneficial 194:7 **base** 174:3,4 184:6,20 calculating 180:9 **bridge** 217:7 185:1,2,7 191:16 benefit 173:3 181:3 calculations 174:12 203:24 206:6 208:16 briefing 169:6 188:23 204:2 205:18 230:19 244:12,15 Caleb 166:3 230:3 236:8 244:17 **briefly** 170:4,20 **based** 192:3 194:19 228:18 California 193:16 benefiting 181:19 198:10 199:7 212:18 205:25 231:18 briefs 168:19,20,25 230:11 257:7,10 **call** 169:17 194:3 **benefits** 172:1,7 **bases** 207:17 215:20 217:18 233:8.22 bring 244:19 248:11 **basically** 232:9 242:6 called 169:16 Bernsen 267:7 bringing 245:15 257:3 calling 217:10 221:5 big 203:15 206:17 broader 249:7 basis 172:20 193:14 calls 175:20 194:8 195:14 206:7 **biggest** 178:19 **Brooke** 266:24 208:25 209:25 243:1 202:14 267:18 268:16 cancel 257:14 **bill** 173:17 174:23 **Bass** 259:8,10,11 Brubaker 245:22 canceling 168:16 175:20 178:8 203:14 246:23 265:12,14,17 Bax 267:20 capacity 193:21 205:8,13 206:17 Brubaker254 265:15 225:9 237:2 235:15 236:12 242:4, bear 174:7 179:4 185:9 5,15 **brunt** 185:10 capital 174:19 179:24 180:4,10,12 **billing** 173:17 174:17 **bee** 255:5 **Bryan** 166:25 187:1,3,5 197:8,16 **capture** 212:15 **begin** 167:20 188:3 **buckets** 173:5 203:10 239:22 248:19 224:25 226:2 beginning 173:17 **build** 185:1,2,4,8 **bills** 208:6,15 210:22 **captures** 216:9,10 185:11 235:11 236:16 213:24 care 170:17 208:5 behalf 165:11,13,18, **Building** 165:7 **BIP** 245:8 20,24 166:7,12,17,20, 166:10 careful 191:25 192:9 **bit** 168:25 173:10 22 167:4,8,14,16 242:16 buildings 224:10 189:8 222:13,14 240:8 216:8 carefully 197:2 241:25 248:24 **built** 185:5 behavior 186:24 Carl 167:5 247:12 **block** 210:1,2,5,8,11, 194:20 195:8 202:12, **bump** 238:18 15,19,24 211:3,11,24 14,25 203:18 212:2, carry 207:22 **bunch** 205:16 212:15,24 213:11,21, 16,18 214:6,9,13 **Cary** 266:4,6 268:3,5 25 214:9,13,17 233:14 249:5,10 **business** 167:11 180:5 186:25 187:4 **case** 175:4 178:23,25 behavioral 203:1 **blocks** 210:4,10 179:15 182:4 183:8 212:23 businesses 226:9 believed 184:20 184:22 185:19 186:4,7 **blown** 209:15 **Busser** 259:13 187:1,5,25 188:1,8 **believes** 216:19 207:16 210:16,19 board 192:23 196:12 232:9 Byron 267:17 269:14

Bolivar 167:1

bench 167:20 169:13

214:14,16 230:12,17

232:10,22 233:1

cases 174:9 180:21 202:2 234:12 236:3 239:8

Catherine 267:15 268:18

causation 212:12

caused 242:14

Cave 166:25

CCR 272:4,18

Cedric 267:8,23

cent 178:10

cents 201:1 210:2,18 211:1

CERTIFICATE 272:2

certify 272:5

cetera 232:13

chair 238:19

chairman 169:20,21 188:20,21 189:7,20,23 190:6,20 204:12,13,23 205:6 217:17 229:11 234:1 238:8 244:24 247:6 248:2 250:16

chairman's 213:9

chance 207:9 252:9

change 177:14 183:3 195:1 202:12,25 203:13,18 206:16 212:2 214:5,9,12 225:1

changed 206:18 249:19

changing 202:13 249:4

charge 174:1,2 178:14 179:3,5,21 183:21 184:5,15,19 189:11,14 209:25 215:10 222:9,12,23 229:14 231:18 232:13 237:23 238:11,22,24 239:15

charged 229:22 230:7

charges 180:17 249:24

charging 174:5 183:23 188:24 230:8 231:16,19,22 239:10, 11 240:2,21

Charles 266:23 269:10

checkpoints 190:18

choices 242:16

choose 186:21

chunk 201:22 227:9

circuits 225:8,20 227:13

circumstances 242:20

CIS 185:25

City 165:7 167:1,12 226:7 227:11 259:2

Claire 267:10 268:1

clarifies 257:12

clarify 169:5 257:3

clarity 256:17

class 171:14,23 174:4,7 179:4,16,17 216:24 223:11 230:25 231:1,25 237:21 239:6,21 241:17 244:25 245:12,13,15, 20,24 246:3,24 266:1

classes 179:20 199:11 239:13 246:7

clause 174:12

clean 174:1,2 178:13 179:2,5,20 183:21 184:5,15,18 189:11,14 229:14 231:17 232:13 237:23 238:11,22,24

clear 168:11 191:2 216:17 231:15

clock 215:4 221:8

close 228:4

δε

closer 245:16 246:10

closes 175:4

closures 237:25

clothes 208:10,11,12

CNPPID 180:23

co-locating 236:17

Coleman 207:23,25 208:2 247:9

collaborative 176:2

collapse 183:3

collect 229:21

collected 243:9

collection 201:24,25

Collins 193:13

Colorado 193:9

Columbia 166:10

Comley 167:9,10,13 214:18,21 215:4,22,25 216:3,6 217:3,8,9,12 221:2,3 255:15,25

commenced 233:4

comment 184:14 198:12 206:20 232:18 257:7

commentary 202:13

comments 185:14 192:21 193:13 201:12 208:19,22,23 209:13 213:9,17 221:20 228:23 232:6,20 233:24 234:5 237:16 243:25 244:2 246:21 247:10 250:8

commercial 218:8,13

commercials 205:9

commission 165:18, 21 168:22 170:1 183:15 187:10,12 190:13,19 191:1 192:23 202:2,8 205:19,22,23 209:14 213:9,14 217:19 219:23 223:7 228:13 232:12 233:18,24 240:1,10 244:9 245:7 256:18,25 257:5,6,24

Commission's 240:19 241:23

commission-raised 169:6

Commissioner

167:21 168:3 169:22, 24 170:7,17 172:6,14, 18,21 173:11 175:7,13 176:3,14,18 177:1,18 178:3,5,9,16,20 179:2, 11,22 180:6,14,22 181:1,8,14,21 182:1, 10,19 183:13,20 184:1,4,10,12,13 187:19 188:16,18 190:23,24 191:22 192:17 193:2,7,16,20 194:16,23 195:6,15,23 196:5,8,18 197:4,12, 18 198:6,19 199:2,13, 17.21 200:1.7.16 201:7,11,21 202:12 206:9,19 207:21,23,25 208:2 209:12,15,18,19 210:7,12 211:2,5,7,13, 15,19 212:4,7,14,20 213:1,4,6,7,8,16 214:3,21 216:6,25 217:1,6,14 218:5,6,11, 15,20,24,25 219:14,19 220:4,8,17 221:22,23 222:1,5,8,11,17,21 223:4,14,18,22 224:5, 14 225:5,7,11,25 226:11,18,22 227:1,6, 18,22 228:3 229:12, 13,25 230:14 231:3,9, 12 232:1 234:1,3,20,

&

21 235:2,6,14,20 236:4,9,18,21,24 237:5,13 238:9,10,17 239:14,24 240:4,18 241:4,19 243:2,21 244:24,25 245:17 246:6,18 247:6,7,8,9 248:3,9,13 249:2,14, 21 250:5,16,25 251:7 256:25 257:1

commissioners

169:18 208:20 209:16 218:4 227:25 246:25

commits 216:11 220:11

committed 243:1

common 239:8

companies 165:14, 15 170:24 171:19 172:2 173:1 175:2 177:11 183:1 185:22 186:2 188:4,5,8 191:18,22 200:19 210:8,13 222:10,23 226:14 238:21 239:19 242:25 243:8 250:13

company 168:19,22 172:13 174:13 181:12 182:6 186:7,12,14,19, 25 187:4,7,15,22 190:8 198:8,25 199:4 200:8,13 202:10 206:21 208:17,25 211:22 213:17 216:11 220:22 221:18 222:2, 22 224:8 225:23 230:9,14 235:11,21 236:21 237:5 245:22 248:6,9 249:22 250:4 251:10,19 256:24 259:2

company's 173:22 181:18 192:14 195:1 197:1,22,23 223:19 242:14

compared 188:11 211:16

compares 249:18 compensation

216:12 219:3,9 220:12

competition 240:22

competitive 240:12

competitors 240:2

complain 203:6,8

complaints 242:15

complete 188:4

completed 186:2

complexity 183:8 248:19

component 175:5,8 176:6 180:4 204:16,21

components 248:19

comprehensive 186:12 205:10

concept 192:2

concepts 224:25

concern 193:7,8 194:16,17,21 197:12, 19 203:4 204:14 205:20 206:14 212:4 223:23 228:7 240:24 246:14

concerned 192:7 197:5 213:24

concerns 195:2 204:8 206:15 224:9 226:2 232:24 233:3,9, 13,22 240:20 241:16, 20 245:2,4 257:1

concluded 258:5

condition 188:24

conditioner 213:22 214:11

CONE 219:10

confess 216:22

confident 225:15 243:2

confidential 255:2 259:19,22 260:3,9 261:7 262:16,19 263:4,6,7,9 265:7,10, 21 266:5,9,12,15,20 268:3,7,11,20,24 269:4,16 270:3,4,6,23, 24 271:7,9

Confidential253 267:2

confusing 207:6

Conner 269:22

Conner253 269:24 270:1

consequences 206:13

consideration 209:2

considered 216:13 256:22

consistent 176:14 219:13 239:12

consolidate 181:23 182:9,16,19,20 183:14

consolidating 182:2,

consolidation

174:14,15 181:22 182:5,12 183:6,9,10 206:10 246:15

constraints 211:25

constriction 215:14

construct 249:1

construction 168:2 175:8,21 223:19 226:13 236:11 237:12

consumer 206:14

Consumers 166:17, 23 265:11

contact 165:16 166:4 167:16 205:13

contained 239:4

context 241:2,10,12,

15

continuation 248:4

continue 174:8 184:25 185:1,7,8 186:10 198:10 207:3 209:20 215:10 248:21, 22 249:22

continued 198:3 220:2

continues 180:16

continuing 180:20

contract 180:23 181:10

contracted 219:21

contribute 241:14

contributing 179:18 241:11

controlled 218:19

conversation 196:19 219:8

conversations 196:5

copies 217:18 254:18 255:3

copy 217:15

correct 169:8,10 172:25 175:17 176:20 178:7 179:13 180:18, 24 181:24 193:17 198:21 199:22 211:17 218:9,11,23 219:18, 22,24 220:6,7 221:18 225:13 227:5 235:16 237:3 251:10 255:6 256:12 268:17

correctly 252:13 255:5

corridor 240:11

cost 179:17,18,20 184:9 185:10 194:19 195:9,10,13 198:15 200:11 202:13 204:2 209:1 212:2,12,16 219:11 220:13 229:23

230:19 231:2,25 238:24 239:1 241:11 245:5,13,14,16,21,23 246:10,24 249:9 266:1

costs 174:7,10 179:4, 5,9 181:2 188:6,7,9,14 196:14 229:15 230:2,3 236:25 239:9 241:11, 14 246:10

counsel 165:10,24 166:4 169:14 181:6 186:15 221:11 228:17 232:4,6,9 234:1 250:19 253:5,6 269:21 272:9,12

country 183:25 192:24 193:5 205:24

couple 167:21 176:17 188:18 223:8 229:13 244:2

court 165:16 167:17 217:23 251:22 252:22 253:15,24 254:8,14,19 255:16

Court's 230:22

cover 179:18 230:4,8

Cox 267:21

Crawford 259:19,20, 22,23,25 260:1,3,5,6

create 239:23 242:12, 17

created 241:18

creating 210:10 239:21

credit 172:12 173:2 235:18

credits 173:17 178:8,

criteria 225:22

cross 241:9,16

Crossroads 174:8,9 180:16,17

Cunigan 267:8,23

current 203:12 211:16 222:12 249:1, 11

Curtis 167:6

customer 174:4,6,23, 24 179:3 182:17 186:13,16,18,23 187:8 190:8,9 192:1,2,11 193:8,10 194:7,14,17, 22,24 197:3 198:4 199:8,11 205:16 206:6,12,15 207:3,4 208:16 209:24 210:21 213:19 214:1 219:12 220:14 222:9,12,23 23:18,20 233:24 237:24 238:3 239:11 242:17,24 249:5 250:1

customer's 220:22

customers 172:2
182:8 185:24 186:9,20
187:3,15,22 188:10,25
189:9,15,17 190:3
192:8 193:4 194:10
195:4,19 198:17
205:4,14,17 206:3,4
207:8 208:5 215:11
218:14 223:16 231:19
233:13,22 235:21,24
236:1,2,8 237:1,6,8,10
240:16 242:12 243:5,
11,13,18,20 245:6,11
248:16,17,22 249:8,11

customers' 202:6

cut 177:3,20 178:12, 18 206:8 243:19

Cuts 233:4,8

cutting 220:19

cycle 173:17

D

Danforth 260:8

Darren 171:1 173:8 177:5,10 179:7 196:23

data 189:20,24 194:5, 8 196:20 200:10 201:23,25 202:5 204:17 206:12 209:3,4 240:14 242:5,7 243:3, 7,9,16 246:15

date 173:18 196:6 256:19,22

daughter 208:9

David 166:20 193:12

day 185:21 199:20 209:8 224:9

days 173:18 214:11

DE 186:15

deal 216:20 248:24

dealing 189:4

deals 184:14

Deborah 267:7

decade 249:17

December 180:10 187:10,14,22

decided 182:9

decision 230:22

decline 211:17

declining 210:11 211:11 213:4,11,21

decoupling 197:13,

decrease 223:7 233:1,3 246:7

decreased 210:4 212:24

deep 194:11 248:12,

defer 224:21

deferreds 177:15

define 187:6 227:8

defined 225:8

definition 225:12

250:1

degree 190:9 213:3

degrees 214:11

Deitrich's 213:18

deleterious 242:11

deliverables 190:17

delivered 255:15

demand 200:11 216:12,14 218:11,17 220:15,19 235:24 249:24

demonstrative 252:6

deny 204:2

depending 172:12 173:10 235:19 238:23

depends 200:18

deployed 185:23 201:14,15

deploying 242:20

deployment 240:15 242:18

deposition 272:6,11

depreciation 184:6,9

design 171:12 185:18 186:4 187:25 188:1 191:3 194:7 197:25 198:23 202:5 207:12 209:13,20,23 222:2 223:5 229:7 232:12 233:11 235:1 237:20 238:5 242:16 246:24

designed 200:6 212:15

designs 185:15

desirable 187:18

detail 173:8

detailed 199:8

details 187:7 219:19, 21

determinants 174:17 197:9,16 203:14 239:22

determine 219:5 239:21

determined 219:1 220:1

determining 187:1

develop 186:12,19, 22,25 197:11 198:10

developed 204:22

developer 224:19

developing 189:5

development 199:10 215:18 225:21 228:8 248:23

diagram 226:5

Dietrich 265:19 266:3 267:25

differ 188:6 191:20

difference 183:1 209:9 229:15,18,20 230:4,13 231:5 235:12

differential 194:19, 22 197:8 199:18,25 200:17 201:8 203:17 204:8 207:15,20 210:17,25 251:4

differentiated 195:3

difficulty 230:17

direct 181:9 259:4,5, 8,10,14,16,19,20,22, 23,25 260:1,23,24 261:4,6,16,18,22,24 262:4,6,10,12,16,18, 19,21 263:1,4,6,7,9, 10,12,13,15,20,25 264:8,14,20 265:12, 14,19 266:1 267:21,24 268:3,5,14,17,19,21, 23 269:4,6,9,16,18,22 270:3,7,13,18,21,23 271:1,3,5,8 **direction** 192:22 272:9

directly 185:17 192:12 233:23 236:8

disagree 195:6

disappointed 190:25 202:9

disappointment 201:12

discontinue 248:7

discontinued 178:24

discontinuing 248:10

discount 225:4

discuss 181:10 187:16,20 229:6,8

discussed 169:12 219:4 224:9 239:5

discussion 183:17 197:19 235:23

discussions 223:1

disincentive 198:16

disparity 246:9

dispatch 216:17 218:18

dispatchable 217:2

dispatched 218:16

disposition 232:22

disputes 168:16,18 257:16

District 240:20

dive 194:11

Division 166:12,15 237:16,19 238:8 243:23 253:22 254:4 264:7,8,9,11,12,14,15, 17,18,20,21,23

Division's 238:11 239:24 241:20

docket 176:15

document 168:3

documentation

Dogwood 167:4 247:10,13 248:2,4 250:7,10 254:25 255:12 265:4,5,6,8,9,

dollar 222:20

dollars 205:3

doubt 191:6 227:20

drawing 196:12

Drive 166:9

driver 233:3

drivers 177:19 178:11 189:12,13 238:23 239:12

driving 240:17

due 168:19 256:19

duly 272:6

Ε

earlier 177:23 198:12 207:2 232:23 251:1 252:5 256:8

early 198:25

earn 229:22 230:9

easier 168:25 257:8

easiest 167:25

eat 229:24

echo 192:21

economic 185:3 215:17

economics 203:1

ED 215:14

edge 220:19

education 186:13

187:8,17,24 194:14 205:16 207:8 242:24 243:10

effect 177:14,24 210:10 211:10 240:1, 22

effective 173:18 186:5 216:14 219:11 220:14

effectively 216:19 223:12 249:19

effects 242:11

efficient 170:14

effort 176:2 225:18 248:8

efforts 185:19 187:8, 24 197:10

EFIS 232:21 233:24

elaborate 222:3

electric 168:2 174:5 188:24 189:17 230:8

electrical 184:16

electricity 195:9 200:12

elements 216:9,14,16 220:8,13 233:12

eligible 174:24 225:12 230:23 237:24 238:4

eliminated 249:6

EM&V 188:4

emerges 240:13

Empire 233:4

employed 272:10,12

employee 272:12

employees 182:11

enable 185:20

encompasses 226:5

encourage 184:21 195:4 200:8,14

encouraging	213:15
-------------	--------

end 186:17 187:3 188:5,22 193:11 251:14 256:3,13

ended 238:25

ending 174:20

endorse 247:19

energy 166:12,15,17, 22 167:4,9 181:11 215:15 235:6 237:16, 19 238:8 243:23 249:10 253:22 254:4, 25 255:12 264:7,8,9, 11,12,14,15,17,18,20, 21,23,24 265:4,11

engaged 235:3

engagement 232:18, 24

enrolled 188:10

ensure 197:9 219:10 239:7

entities 175:25 182:20 183:4

entries 165:9

envision 175:21

envisioned 217:1 218:15 219:14

Epperson 238:2 264:14,16

Epperson254 264:17

equal 246:7,13,17

equity 180:4

ER-2018-0145 165:3

essential 216:13

essentially 180:16 224:7 225:13 230:5,10 236:5

establish 216:12 220:12

established 174:6 230:12

establishing 220:11

ER-2018-0145

&

224:6 251:3,5,10,22 252:3,22 255:15

256:5,11 259:1,3,5,6,

8,9,11,12,14,15,17,18,

20,21,23,24 260:1,2,4,

6,7,9,10,12,13,15,16,

261:1,2,4,5,7,8,10,11,

13,14,16,17,19,20,22,

23,25 262:1,2,4,5,7,8,

10,11,13,14,16,17,19,

20,22,23,25 263:1,2,4,

5,7,8,10,11,13,14,16,

17,20,21,23,24 264:1,

2,4,5,8,9,11,12,14,15,

17,18,20,21,23 265:1,

2,5,6,8,9,12,13,15,16,

19,20,22,23,25 266:1,

2,4,6,7,9,10,12,13,15,

267:2,3,5,6,8,9,11,12,

13,15,16,18,19,21,22,

12,13,15,17,19,21,23,

24 268:1,2,4,6,8,10,

25 269:2,3,5,7,9,11,

12,14,15,17,19,22,23

270:1,2,4,5,7,8,10,11,

13,14,16,17,19,20,22,

250:20,22,24 251:2,

17,20,23,25 252:8,12,

15,17,18,22,24 253:2,

3,7,9,11,16,17,18,20,

23,25 254:1,2,4,9,11,

13,17,23 255:12,14,

18,21,23,24 256:3,13

exists 236:19

235:24

expanded 188:2

expenses 230:8

238:15 248:12

experts 170:25

179:22 230:6

expansion 240:6,10

expect 224:13 225:23

expert 175:17 191:21

explain 170:4 173:8

24 271:1,3,4,6,8

exhibits 168:9

16,18,19,21,22,24

18,19,21,22,24,25

Eubanks 267:10 268:1

EV 189:12 205:14 231:5 238:5 240:2,21 241:13,17

evaluate 179:16 205:18 214:1 230:25

evaluating 183:5,11

evaluation 182:25 193:19

evenly 239:2

event 180:13

eventual 220:24

eventually 242:21

everybody's 245:12

evidence 252:19,25 253:4,12,21 254:5,14, 24 255:13,22 257:20

EVS 231:17

exceed 230:2

exceeding 199:16

excellent 220:20

exception 205:25

excess 177:15 238:25 239:1

excited 176:7 228:5 235:25

exciting 220:18

exclude 174:10

excluded 180:20 181:2,3

excluding 180:11

exclusion 180:17

exclusively 218:8

excuse 188:6

exemplary 217:15

exhibit 167:23 183:22

explaining 226:6

expressed 233:10, 13,23 238:21 241:24 243:12

extension 169:11 215:12,14 223:15 242:24

extensions 215:11

extent 172:7 180:19 223:22 230:7 236:6 240:12

extremely 200:14 207:20

eye 215:3

F

FAC 181:15 244:10, 11,12,15,16

facilitates 216:10 220:9

facilities 175:1,21,22 215:15 220:21

facility 175:9,10,15 180:23,25 184:7

fact 177:25 178:16 183:15 195:11 216:20 234:13

factor 178:5 202:13

factored 177:22

factors 182:23

failure 191:25

fair 183:8

fairly 183:24

fall 177:16 214:10

familiar 238:15

Fangman 260:9,11, 12

farther 190:7

fashion 249:7.12

tashion 249:7,12

faster 191:3 241:25

feasible 187:2

Featherstone 266:5, 6 268:3,5

feedback 186:20 190:9 198:11

feel 190:2 206:23 258:1

fell 188:15

fellow 208:20

field 201:13,22 216:23

fielded 232:20

figure 204:15,20 226:19

file 167:24 168:5,19, 20,25 169:1 187:24 232:21 257:10

filed 167:23 168:7 171:11,12,13,14,18,23 186:4 190:18 216:21 223:11,20 256:13

Files 165:3

filing 176:11 225:23

finalize 187:4

financially 272:13

find 171:8 234:24 247:15

fine 178:18

finished 221:18

firm 166:25 167:6

Fischer 165:13,14,17 169:4,5,9 170:12,22, 23 172:9,17,20,24 173:13 175:10,16 176:21 177:5,23 178:13,22 179:7,15 180:19 181:5,16,25 182:3,14,21 183:17,24 184:3,8 185:12,14,16 187:20 189:2 191:20 192:25 193:12,23 194:10,23 195:12,17 196:1,7,11,22 197:7, 14,22 198:24 199:19, 22 200:4,15,18,22,25 201:3,5 206:9 209:7, 21 210:9,14 211:4,6,9, 18 212:23 213:2,5,16 214:15 215:5,8 216:1, 5 222:4,7,10,13,16,19 223:10,25 228:11,13 236:23 250:20 251:21, 25 252:14 256:10

Fischer's 192:21 198:12

fit 225:22 257:8

fits 207:5

fixed 249:24

flash 206:7 243:19

flattened 210:3,6 211:6,7 213:3

flattening 213:13

flattens 211:16

flowing 179:20

focused 240:7,8

focusing 226:1

folks 169:18 175:24 183:19 192:4 206:14

follow 188:13 213:8

follow-up 190:7 206:19

foregoing 272:6

format 190:10

forms 205:12

Fort 193:13

Fortson 267:11

forums 205:16

forward 172:21,22 175:12 176:2 194:8 196:21 207:12 209:11 219:7 220:23 223:3 228:6 239:19 244:15, 16 246:5 249:7 250:12 found 183:9

Fracica 263:25 264:1, 3

frankly 202:15 242:4

Frerking 260:14,15

FRI 193:13 206:14

front 207:14 224:6

frozen 248:21,22

frustration 241:23 242:10

fuel 174:11

full 172:2 173:16

fully 187:12 201:14,25 224:12

future 182:7 184:21 191:24 220:20 231:21 239:2 240:6,9 242:17 249:12 250:14

fuzzy 227:7

G

gauge 186:23

generate 200:12

generation 175:3 183:1 218:22 249:25

generic 171:10,15

Geoff 270:7,9,10,12

geography 226:7 227:11

Gilligan 260:17

give 177:6 195:18 200:25 203:13 205:6 210:20 215:22 226:8 252:8 253:24 256:16, 17,23

glad 168:9,24

GMO 165:12 172:5,22 173:1,6,15,23 174:14, 19 177:2,13 178:6,21 179:24 180:3,5 181:3,

14 182:9,11 183:10 185:22 186:5 187:14 188:1 201:15,20 206:10 207:19 210:3, 19 211:1 212:21 222:15,18 235:13 244:13 246:6,10,15 248:17

GMO's 171:25

goal 187:2 199:15 227:3 233:7

goals 192:10 203:23 219:13 233:18

going-forward 172:20

good 165:1 166:19 167:5,15,18 168:8,14 170:22 173:11 180:15 184:13 189:6,7 190:3 194:14 195:18 198:13 214:6 215:21 217:18, 22 218:6 221:16 223:1 237:22 238:10 247:12 250:3 255:9 256:1

goodwill 179:23 180:2,11

Governor 165:7

grab 245:23

gradual 193:3 198:1

great 177:9 185:5,11 204:20 216:19 226:8

greater 173:8 203:18 204:9 210:24 219:12 245:10 249:12 259:2

greatly 216:23

greenfield 224:17 227:4

Greg 247:20 265:8,10

Group 166:18

grow 198:10

guess 176:17 178:21 182:21 193:9 199:4 209:23 231:3 235:8,20 237:1 257:22 **guys** 176:4 184:16 202:8 204:15 206:20, 21,24 213:11 231:12

н

habits 206:17

Hack 165:15 170:23 175:19 176:10,16,20 182:23 188:16 193:3 248:11,15 251:4,11, 12,15

hair 222:20

Hall 166:3 169:22,24 170:7,17 172:6,14,18, 21 173:11 175:7,13 176:3,14,18 177:1,18 178:3,5,9,16,20 179:2, 11,22 180:14,22 181:1,14,21 182:1,10, 19 183:13,20 184:1,4, 10 187:19 188:18 190:23,24 192:17 193:2,7,16,20 194:16 195:6,15,23 196:5,8, 18 197:4,12,18 198:6, 19 199:2,13,17,21 200:1,7 201:11 209:18,19 210:7,12 211:2,5,7,13,15,19 212:4,7,14,20 213:1,4, 6 214:21 216:6,25 217:1,6,14 218:5,6,15, 20,25 219:14,19 220:4,17 221:22,23 222:1,5,8,11,17,21 223:4,14,18,22 224:14 225:5,7,11,25 226:11, 18,22 227:1,6,18,22 228:3 229:12,13,25 230:14 231:3,9,12 232:1 234:2,3,20,21 235:2,6,14,20 236:4,9, 18,21,24 237:5,13 238:9,10,17 239:14,24 240:18 241:4,19 243:2,21 244:24,25 245:17 246:6,18 247:6,7 248:3,9,13

249:2,14,21 250:5,16

Hall's 202:13

Hampton 166:1,2 181:8 232:15,17

hand 213:22 241:15

handle 173:22 222:6 232:14

happen 196:9

happened 193:5

happening 222:9

happy 170:12 247:4

hard 200:9

Hardesty 260:18,20

hat 184:15

head 202:8

heads 168:13

hear 179:12 227:25

heard 199:24 206:14 217:18 245:1 250:7

hearing 203:7 251:24 252:16 253:1,9,18 254:2,11,21 255:19 256:8 258:5

heat 189:16

heating 212:5,9

heels 213:20

Heinz 167:6

held 165:6 198:13

helps 256:5

Herrington 260:21

hesitation 205:20

Hevert 260:23,24 261:1

high 192:19 195:13 210:22 213:24 230:16

higher 208:7 210:2,5 212:25 213:23 231:16

highlight 170:15

highly 206:3 248:17

highway 227:9,10 240:10

highways 227:16

Higley 261:3

his/her/its 235:15

history 203:10

hit 188:13 202:16,17, 20,22 206:17

hitting 203:23

hold 240:13

home 206:22 231:15, 19,22 243:15

Honor 166:19 167:15 246:22 249:3 252:2 254:7

hope 171:7 185:7 198:6,9

hoped 196:19

host 238:23

hot 213:20,25

hour 214:9 239:15 247:17

hours 210:17,18,24

house 203:21

huge 194:21 214:5

hundreds 192:17

hydro 174:11 180:22, 23

Hyman 238:5,16,18 239:17 240:3 241:1,8, 22 243:6 264:10,11,13

Hyman254 264:8

IBR 210:22 214:10

idea 190:3 196:15

205:6

ideal 227:14

identifies 225:17

identify 194:2 224:8 227:13 231:23

identifying 225:19

II 184:1

III 184:2

illustrative 256:11

immediately 170:11

impact 177:15 178:15 182:8,17 194:24 197:3 206:12 214:1 231:4,14 240:21

impacts 192:1 206:15 242:4,5 243:17

implementation

185:21 187:1 241:25 242:1,10

implemented 185:24

implementing 186:1 187:2 192:24 213:21

important 180:7 185:25 230:20 238:1 244:11,13 247:18 249:3,13 250:3

impressive 244:6

improve 196:2

imputation 230:10

incentive 198:15 218:12,18 220:16 227:23

incentives 249:8

inclined 211:6 212:15

inclining 210:8,15,19 211:3,20,24 213:25 233:14

include 175:5 188:2

included 171:18 176:10 184:19 190:16 ER-2018-0145

&

230:19 234:10

including 186:3 211:9 236:1

inclusion 230:23

income 174:24 175:5, 8,24 176:1,6,12 237:24 238:4

incorporated 172:8 177:4 220:15

increase 222:15,17 223:13

increased 244:12

increases 232:25

increasing 244:14,15

incremental 195:22 241:11.14

incurred 188:9 232:24

INDEX 259:1

Indiana 214:23,25 215:20,23 216:9,16 220:5,9,15 229:9

individual 205:13

individuals 226:14

industrial 166:22 218:8,13 265:11

information 165:16 166:4 167:16 171:19 188:25 189:9,13,18,19 190:12,14 194:12,13 195:19 197:24 205:17

infrastructure 185:5 215:12 223:15 227:3

initial 189:9 256:18

initially 196:1,4

initials 208:6

initiative 216:12

innovate 206:21

innovative 206:20,24 208:25

input 186:23

inquire 237:9

inquiry 241:19

inside 185:7

installation 201:19

installations 175:2

installed 201:16

insufficient 230:10

integral 242:6

intend 190:12

intended 205:15

intending 200:5

intent 233:7

intention 227:20

interest 170:14 171:8 191:23 195:21 220:22 222:22,25 229:3 233:21 244:6 247:15

interested 183:5 194:15 199:5 208:21 224:20 235:4,22 237:6 240:8 247:14 249:4 272:14

interface 194:1

interval 194:5

intervened 250:10

intervention 248:25

investigate 175:2

investigating 174:14

investment 175:5 192:14 212:2

investor 193:15

involved 198:20 244:6

IOU 205:24

issue 174:8 176:15 181:6 191:4,14 200:9 214:19 220:2 233:16 240:19 243:10,13,16, 17 245:1 246:5 256:19 257:2

issues 168:21,22 169:2,7 171:7 174:24 176:23 182:15 197:1 222:2 229:6 232:13 234:9 235:1,3,5 238:1, 4,6 242:6 244:5 247:3 256:25 257:1

item 173:3,9 181:6

items 170:16 173:22 177:21,22 234:10 239:6 248:20

IUO 205:23

Ives 171:1 173:8 177:5,7,10,21 178:4,7, 19,21 179:14 180:1,25 192:12,19 193:18,25 197:21 198:7,9,22 199:6,15,24 200:3,20, 23 201:2,4,14 204:19 205:1,11 206:20 207:1 210:15 211:11,14,22 212:6,9,17,22 214:8 222:14,20,25 223:17, 21 230:16 231:8,11,14 235:23 236:6 237:3,8 261:4,6,7,9,10,12

J

James 264:4,6

Jamie 263:20,22,23

Jane 238:2 264:14,16,

Janssen 247:23 265:5,7

Jay 229:5,8

Jefferson 165:7 167:1,11

Jeffrey 267:2,4 269:13

Jerry 208:18

Jim 165:14 170:22

job 185:5,11 196:17

Jobs 233:4,8

John 269:11 270:18, 19,21,23,24 271:1

Johnson 165:20,22, 23 168:6 224:2 228:22,24 229:10,20 230:5 252:20,21 261:13,15

ioint 239:8

Joun 267:5

judge 165:1,4,13,17, 20,23 166:1,6,8,11,16, 21,24 167:3,7,13,18 168:6,8 169:5,8,10,25 170:9,12,19 171:2 176:24 177:8 184:12 185:13 188:20 190:21, 22 191:22 204:12 207:25 209:12.18 213:7 214:18,20 215:3,21 216:2 217:7, 10,13,17,22 218:3 220:25 221:4,7,16,25 228:11,15 229:10 232:3,15,16 233:25 234:4,6,19 237:14,18 238:7 243:22 244:23 246:19 247:5,8 248:1 250:6,15,20,21 251:9, 13,16,21,24 252:4,14, 15,20,21 253:1,5,8,13, 14,17,22 254:1,6,10, 16,17,20,25 255:1,4,9, 14,18,23 256:1,12,16, 20 257:13,18,19,22

judging 170:1

June 187:25 188:3

jurisdictional 183:6

jurisdictions 182:5

Κ

Kansas 180:24 226:7 227:11 259:2

Kansas's 181:11

Karen 266:12,14 268:20,22

Karl 270:13,15,16

KCP&L 165:11 172:15 175:20 177:2, 12 178:3 181:3,14 185:14 191:13 211:13, 21 212:20 218:19 220:18 223:5 240:15 244:13 245:9,25 259:2

KCP&L's 218:21

KCP-- 244:12

KCPL 171:20 172:3 173:13 174:9,14 177:24 178:4 182:9 185:22 186:5 187:14, 24 192:4 207:14 209:25 210:16.24 211:2 219:17 220:2 222:14 235:13 248:16 259:3,5,6,8,9,11,12, 14,15,17,18,20,21,23, 24 260:1,2,4,6,7,9,10, 12,13,15,16,18,19,21, 22,24,25 261:1,2,4,5, 7,8,10,11,13,14,16,17, 19,20,22,23,25 262:1, 2,4,5,7,8,10,11,13,14, 16,17,19,20,22,23,25 263:1,2,4,5,7,8,10,11, 13,14,16,17

KCPL's 174:11 219:10 220:15 252:18

keen 191:23

keeping 215:3

Keith 229:5 266:15,17 268:24 269:1

Kenney 167:22 168:3 257:1

Keri 271:3

key 216:9 220:8,13 242:9

kilowatt 210:5,17,18, 24 212:25 214:9

239:15

kilowatts 233:15,16

Kim 171:2 189:2 196:23 267:21

kind 165:10 169:14 170:1,2 175:23 176:12 185:9 190:6 194:12 195:20 221:8 224:24 226:16 228:1,18 233:16 241:6 246:12

Kliethermes 229:5,7 230:6 266:8 267:12 268:7.9

Klote 171:1 261:16, 18,19,21,22

knowing 224:20

knowledge 205:25

Kremer 238:3 264:23

Kroll 238:4 264:20,22

KWH 201:1

L

L.K. 267:14 268:10,12

label 256:4

labeled 252:7 256:8

lack 255:17

laid 199:12

Lange 168:1 224:3,5, 18 225:6,10,14 226:3, 17,21,25 227:5,7,20, 24 229:4 266:9,11 267:14 268:10,12,14

language 223:8 236:15

large 197:24 208:15 234:9 236:8

larger 200:2 244:14

late-filed 167:22 168:4 251:3,5,10 252:3,8 256:3,13 launching 186:18

law 165:4 166:25 167:6 216:24

lawyering 180:15

lead 212:1 244:16

leader 200:13

leads 178:17 191:16

leadtimes 216:17

leave 257:22

left 201:20 245:18 255:25 256:5

legacy 180:3 182:25

legal 182:25 183:3 257:5

legislative 206:2

legislatures 233:7

legitimate 194:21

legwork 202:3

Leighton 166:25

Lena 181:9 270:3,4,6

length 186:14

level 179:18 183:6 184:1 188:9 194:6 195:13 199:8 201:18 206:5,6 213:21 219:11 230:16 232:18 233:14

levels 188:11

Lewis 166:24

LGS 245:10 246:1,3

liabilities 172:16 174:18

life 257:8

lifestyle 198:5 205:18 207:5

LIGHT 259:2

liking 213:11

limit 242:17

limited 187:25

Lisa 238:3 264:23 272:4,18

list 165:10 226:23 251:22 252:22 253:15 255:16 256:6

listed 226:15

listen 168:24

listened 213:12

load 218:21

local 203:7

long 184:20 194:25 201:12 211:25 229:1

longer 179:1 225:22

looked 202:6 209:1 239:1,5 241:12

lot 182:23 183:2 189:19 191:15 194:6 197:1 200:9 205:21 206:11 208:2 214:12 226:9 229:1 232:24 233:2 237:22 242:14 243:20

low 175:5,8,24,25 176:6,12 195:8

lower 222:13,14

LP 245:10,20 246:1,3

Lubbert 229:5,8

Lucia 267:15 268:18

Lumley 167:5,7 247:12,13 248:6 249:23 255:1.7

Lutz 171:2 224:22 261:24 262:1,3

Lyons 266:12,14 268:20,22

M

made 173:23 191:2 203:19 208:20,22 250:22

millions 192:18

Mills 166:24 167:3

mind 245:15 249:7

minimum 216:17

Missouri 165:8,21

166:7,9,10,12,22

167:1 181:13,19

228:10 234:5,7,20

237:15 253:13,20

186:16 200:13 220:19

259:2 263:19 264:7,8,

20,21,23 265:11 272:5

9,11,12,14,15,17,18,

misunderstanding

mitigate 206:12

mitigation 245:4

170:10

243:17

missed 165:11

mine 253:15

246:22 247:5 254:17

main 196:15 197:3 maintains 233:17 **major** 178:11 185:19 206:13 majority 213:10 **Majors** 229:5 266:15, 17 268:24 269:1 **make** 168:11,24 174:15 175:17 182:12 184:22 185:3 187:17 197:16 209:9 210:12 224:16 227:13 230:13 231:10 232:18 243:8 245:14 249:9 250:13 257:8,17 make-ready 215:15 makes 193:5 207:7 220:4 229:23 245:6 **making** 241:13 management 167:10 182:24 183:2 264:24 mandatory 191:12 192:25 193:14 196:25 203:15 205:22 206:7, 16 208:21 242:3,22 243:4,12 manifested 231:16 manner 233:2 242:8 Mantle 270:3,4,6 Mantle's 181:9 manual 248:25 map 194:1 226:16,19 227:13,19 maps 225:19 Marc 166:14 march 251:17 marginal 241:11

Marisol 171:1

250:21 269:8

mark 165:21 167:10

Marke 232:11 270:7. meets 195:25 9.10.12 megawatt 249:25 marked 251:22 252:5, **mention** 206:10 23 254:14 255:7 259:1 mentioned 167:22 market 183:23 235:19 171:2 173:20 189:11. 238:12 239:16.21 15,16 207:2 209:7 240:12,13 249:8,9,19 214:22 232:23 240:4 marketing 186:13 242:12 187:8 188:21,22 merger 173:2 179:25 189:1,10,15 199:10 180:2 183:14 202:7,20 204:16 209:1 **Mers** 165:22 marketplace 193:19 message 204:18 **Martin** 238:5 264:8, 10,11,13 meter 194:2 Mastrogiannis metering 176:11 268:16 meters 185:23 186:9 materials 207:9 191:16,19 192:13,20 193:21 194:12 201:13, math 204:6,11 251:8 16,24 203:20,24 matter 170:25 175:17 **method** 173:23 191:21 216:11 245:21 Matthew 269:16,18 9 220:11 265:10 7,9

morning 165:1 166:19 167:5,15 170:22 184:13 189:6,7 214:24 217:18,22 218:6 221:16 238:10 247:12,24

mother 208:10

motivated 209:6

motivator 214:5

move 176:2 191:2 194:20 195:8 196:21 198:2 207:12 209:10 210:23,25 211:23 212:16,18 214:16 228:8 233:15 251:23 252:23

moved 191:13

movement 245:15

movements 193:3

moves 245:23 246:2,

moving 198:1 205:5 206:1,7 207:2 210:7, 23 223:2 256:22

multiple 234:12

Murray 267:17 269:14

Ν

N-I-C-H-O-L-A-S

218:1

nailed 237:10

Natelle 213:18 265:19 266:3 267:25

Nathan 166:2 232:8 253:6 257:19

national 200:9

natural 221:7

nature 212:13 224:9

Nebraska 180:25 181:1 **necessarily** 179:9 204:17 242:14 243:14

needed 192:1 242:7

needing 212:1

negative 178:15

neglect 195:11

negotiated 173:3,9, 20 181:5

negotiation 244:4

negotiations 219:22

net 176:10 219:10

network 174:2,3 178:13 179:3,6,21 184:5,15,19 185:1,8 189:11,14 229:14 231:18 232:13 237:23 238:11,22,25 240:6,10

networks 197:25

Newman 167:10

Nicholas 218:1 265:1

Nick 217:20

Nicole 165:22

Nieto 266:20,21 269:4,6

night 208:11

nights 229:1

nod 168:13

non-peaking 212:13

non-residential

223:16

non-unanimous

171:17 234:25

nonresidential

246:24

nonusers 231:15

note 205:8 234:8

notice 169:17 257:24

NP 255:5

number 170:24 177:21,22 185:23 192:13,20,22 193:25 198:4 236:3 238:5 252:24

numbers 187:9

numerically 256:4

numerous 191:2

Nunn 262:10,12,13,15

nutshell 220:5

0

OBEP 237:22

object 252:9

objection 253:18

objections 252:1,16 253:1,9 254:2,11,21

255:10,19

obligations 174:18

occasions 191:2

occur 173:19 183:16

196:6

occurred 225:21

occurring 231:16

October 165:6 186:5,

off-peak 195:10 199:20 201:9 207:19 249:10

offer 168:11 186:5 235:9 253:16,25 254:9,17 255:1,17 257:25 258:1

offered 168:12 250:9 251:2,25 252:1,5,8,12, 16 253:9,18 254:2,11, 21 255:10,19 256:7,8,

offering 215:13

offers 253:6

office 165:7,24 166:3 232:20 233:23 269:21

offset 172:16 173:7, 24 178:14

offsetting 172:4,11 173:15

OG&E 198:13,23 206:3

Oklahoma 192:5

Oligschlaeger 269:8

on-peak 201:9

on-the-record 165:2, 5 168:10,13 170:2 187:10

one-stop 225:2

one-time 172:12 173:1,17 178:8

online 232:23

OPC 253:11 269:22, 23 270:1,2,4,5,7,8,10, 11,13,14,16,17,19,20, 22,24 271:1,3,4,6,8

OPEB 171:11

open 169:14,15,19 170:3 176:21 217:7

opening 169:23 215:23 245:1 256:10

OPERATIONS 259:2

opinion 202:14

Opitz 166:8,9,11 234:6,23 235:4,8,17 236:13,20 253:14

opportunities 198:15

opportunity 224:12

oppose 237:21 247:2, 13 248:5

opposed 194:20 248:3

opposing 248:8

opposition 183:18

opt 180:8 242:3,22 243:4,11

opt-in 180:13 186:8, 11 191:6 195:24 202:24 203:1,4 206:2 210:23

opt-ins 199:3

option 198:4 219:17 235:11

options 205:7 207:3, 5 223:8

order 167:24 168:18 197:16 203:18 223:7 240:19 256:19,24 257:3,5,6,9

ordered 191:4

ordering 169:2

organizations 175:25

original 183:14

originally 186:6 207:18 223:20 239:19

outage 194:1 204:1

outages 194:1,2

outcome 272:14

outcry 210:21

outreach 187:8,17,24 205:4,7,13,15 242:24

overlook 167:18 256:2

overwhelming 207:7

Owen 234:16 264:4,6

owned 193:15

owner 250:10

oxymoron 241:6

Ρ

P-A-P-A-N-A-S-T-A-S-S-I-O-U 218:2

pace 241:24 242:10

paid 184:6

Paisner 166:25

221:6 265:1,3

Papanastassiou 214:24 216:7 217:4, 20,21,25 218:1,10,17, 23 219:2.16.25 220:7

part 172:15,23 176:19 185:25 190:1,15 199:12 209:23 213:25

243:7 250:10 **partial** 171:17

participation 204:14 206:4 216:10,15 219:12 220:10,14

particle 234:25

parties 168:10,17,20, 23 169:3 170:3,9 171:19 173:4,21 174:2 178:24 179:19 181:17 183:4 185:20 195:19 199:5 200:8 206:11 209:24,25 210:4,20 221:20,21 223:1,6 228:25 229:3 230:21 234:9 236:25 242:25 243:8 244:3 248:19 250:17 252:8 255:16 256:4,14,17 257:2,4,7, 13,23 258:2 272:10,13

partly 243:16

party 228:17 246:12 248:3,5,7

pass 233:5,8,21

past 174:9 180:20,21

path 168:24

Pavlovic 270:13,16

Pavlovic253 270:15

pay 203:3,25 235:15

paying 203:20 213:23 238:24 241:10 245:13, 20

peak 194:24,25 195:8, 12 207:18

peak/off-peak 207:15

peaking 211:24 220:21 233:16

Peggy 167:16

penalty 202:16 203:23 219:20

penciled 204:24

pencils 178:11

pending 176:11

penetration 192:6 198:17

penny 210:5 212:24

pension 171:11 237:21

people 168:13 169:16 170:11 176:1 191:7 194:2,6,15 196:3,16 203:3,7,11,16 204:18 206:6,7 208:3,13 213:23 214:5,13 215:19

people's 202:25

perceived 232:19

percent 192:6 198:17 201:15 206:4 223:18 224:15 225:9,20 226:1,20,24 227:14, 17,22 228:7 245:21, 22,24 246:1,3,4,11,13,

percentage 188:10, 14 204:1 219:9 246:7

Perfect 190:20 **perform** 174:13

246:15

period 172:1,7,11 173:3,14 177:24 194:25 195:13 219:4

periods 177:16

permit 168:20 257:6

person 247:21

perspective 173:10, 22 174:17 181:18 182:11 193:6 195:2,17 197:2,22,23 211:22 239:20 245:18,20

perspectives 173:21 257:4

petition 232:24

petitions 213:24

Philip 263:25 264:1,3

phone 171:3 208:9 234:18 246:25 247:23, 25

piece 231:23

pilot 186:7

PISA 180:9,13

place 212:10,11,19 214:4 224:19

places 185:2,9 193:15

plan 186:13,16 187:17 188:22,23 189:5 199:10 202:7 204:22 205:3,10,25 207:6

planned 256:20

planning 170:8 190:1 193:14

plans 189:23 201:18 207:3 219:17

plant 174:20 175:3 237:24 250:10

plants 182:15

play 182:24

250:12

plenty 208:24

podium 215:5,22

point 179:19 183:12 192:15 216:18 231:8, 11,21 235:18 239:2,22 240:14 242:1,2 243:9

policy 228:4 233:18

poorly 226:7

port 169:15,19

portion 175:22 196:11,13 203:3

position 169:2 170:4 199:1 209:16 238:11 245:4 257:10

positions 183:1

positive 213:10 233:21

postimplementation 190:10

Poston 166:14.16 237:18 238:7,14 253:23 266:23 269:10

potential 226:13 240:1 242:11

potentially 230:2

power 174:11 182:15 259:2

powerful 200:11

PPA 235:13

practicing 208:13

precedes 249:18

prefer 169:22 170:16 209:22

prefiled 254:8

premature 197:23

prepared 243:20

244:1

preparing 169:24

presentation 165:3 187:10 214:22

presentations 174:23

presented 168:23

preservation 247:16

preserve 247:19 250:11

preserved 249:11

preside 165:5

president 177:11

pretty 190:2 200:20 224:13 225:15,18

previous 202:2

previously 254:8 256:22

price 192:8 233:9 235:16 249:15,20

prices 232:25

pricing 185:21 215:10 218:25 219:2 247:16 248:4,24 250:11 251:4

Pridgin 165:1,4,17,23 166:6,11,16,21 167:3, 7,13,18 168:8 169:8, 10,25 170:9,19 171:2 176:24 177:8 184:12 185:13 188:20 190:22 204:12 207:25 209:12, 18 213:7 214:18,20 215:3,21 216:2 217:7, 10.13.17.19.22 218:3 220:25 221:4,7,16,25 228:11,15 229:10 232:3,16 233:25 234:4,19 237:14 238:7 243:22 244:23 246:19 247:5,8 248:1 250:6, 15,21 251:9,13,16,24 252:4,15,20 253:1,5,8, 13,17,22 254:1,6,10, 16,20,25 255:4,9,14, 18,23 256:1,12,20 257:13.18.22

primarily 201:19 primary 246:8

&

prior 175:4 196:6 229:15 231:5 240:19 246:2

privacy 174:24 237:24 238:3

proceed 228:17,20

proceeding 216:21 232:19 248:15

proceedings 233:5

process 186:19 190:1 215:14 220:2

produce 212:2

production 174:20

products 192:8

program 186:18 187:18 188:6,7,9,14 196:14 198:3,20 200:12 202:21,22 204:15 205:5 216:13 218:7,12,18 219:3,9, 10,15 220:16,23 223:24 233:17 234:13 235:14,21,22 236:4,5 237:9 249:9

programs 174:25 175:11 207:4 219:17 234:22

progression 213:14

project 185:25 187:12 192:11

prompt 170:5,21

promptly 224:13

proponent 203:15

proposal 194:18,21 195:20 198:8.19.23 199:18 200:2 202:11 220:2

propose 248:10

proposed 186:7 248:6

protects 181:13

proven 191:7

provide 175:25 187:7 194:12 219:20

provided 166:4 245:21 251:21 252:22 253:15 254:8

providing 195:9

provision 173:21 176:12 180:8,11 228:5 239:5 244:11 245:14 249:16

prudently 188:9

public 165:21,24 166:4 171:8 181:6 186:15 195:21 203:7 217:19 232:6,9 233:21 234:1 253:5,6 255:2 269:21

pump 179:6,12

purchase 174:11

purposes 180:9 226:8

pursuant 236:11,14, 16

pursue 183:19

pursued 248:18

push 185:7 206:25 215:1

put 180:7,12 203:21 205:3 225:18 226:23 228:25 230:21 239:19

putting 227:3

Q

qualify 225:4 227:4

quantified 246:2

quarter 186:17 187:4

question 172:7 184:14 188:17 190:7 191:17 192:13 209:13 231:20 241:2

questions 167:20,22 169:18,21 170:3,5,11, 21 171:4,7 176:22,24 183:21 184:11 185:13, 17 187:13 188:12,19 189:3,5 190:22 209:22 214:17,23,25 215:6,24 216:22 217:5 218:4 221:1,10,19,21 222:1 224:4 228:16,20,23 229:9.11 232:4.12 234:1,3,17,20 237:15 238:8 243:23 244:19, 21,24 246:20 247:1,4, 6,7,21 248:1 250:6,16, 18,23 251:1 256:18

quick 215:22

quicker 191:13

quickly 178:17 193:10 203:5 215:9

R

raise 193:7

raised 181:7 240:21

raises 197:1

rate 171:12 174:3,4 175:4 177:14 179:8 182:5 183:22,23 184:5,16,20,22 185:1, 2,7,15,18 186:4,8,11, 22,24 187:16,24 188:1,7,8,23 189:16 191:3,16 192:6 194:7 195:4 202:1,24 203:17,24 204:8 205:22 206:18 209:13, 20.23 212:10 213:23 222:2 223:5,7 229:7 230:8,19 232:12,25 233:3,5,11,21 235:1 237:20 238:5 239:16, 22 241:20 242:3,13,16 243:12,18 245:11 246:3,4,24 248:16,17,

rated 225:9

ratepayer 240:24 241:5,7,9 247:14

ratepayers 181:13,20 203:20,25 230:2 231:4 233:5,9 244:17

rates 172:22 174:14 178:2,23,25 181:23 182:9,17,20 183:6,9 186:3,10,21 187:25 188:3,11 191:1,12,23 192:25 193:22 194:6 195:1,7,11 197:1,15 198:4,13 199:20 200:10 203:6,8,12,16, 22 206:11 207:15 210:2,3,5,8,15,19 211:3,11 212:6,10,15 213:2,11,21,25 214:4 223:2 229:21 230:7, 12,24 231:17,22 233:1,14 237:25 238:3.12.13 241:13 242:21,22 245:13,15, 20

rating 201:11

rationale 211:23

reach 233:12

react 195:19 207:9,12

reaction 192:2,11 213:19

readily 192:14

ready 170:11 207:23 221:19

real-time 215:10 247:16 248:4,23 249:14 250:11

realize 244:11

reason 191:11 195:7 202:15 203:25

reasonable 199:7 232:10 240:9 242:20 247:3,15

reasons 192:25 195:8,10 214:15 246:9 **rebate** 175:11 176:7, 11

rebates 169:11 175:14

&

Rebuttal 259:7,11 260:3,5,8,9,11,14,18, 21 261:7,9,13,19 262:1,7,13,22 263:16, 22 264:1,4,10,11,16, 22,23 265:1,5,7,8,15 266:3,4,6,8,9,11,12, 14,15,17,18,20,21,23, 24 267:1,2,4,5,7,8,10, 11,12,14,15,17,18 269:24 270:4,9,10,15, 19,24 271:6

REC'D 259:1

recalculating 207:15

received 232:21 245:11 252:19 253:4, 12,21 254:5,14,24 255:13,22

receiving 245:24

recently 208:7

recess 221:13

recognize 230:23 245:7 249:4

recognized 185:6 231:23

recommend 210:4

recommendation 174:15

recommending 210:1

record 165:2 196:25 221:14,15,17 255:17 256:15 257:21 258:3,4

records 190:8

recover 188:9

recovered 179:10

recovery 188:6 196:13 197:17 198:14 231:1 reduce 220:21

reduced 171:20,25 272:8

reducing 208:13 210:9

reduction 172:8,10, 19,22,23,25 177:2,19 178:10,12 210:1 218:21 226:13,20 245:11,24,25 246:3,4

reductions 177:3 207:16 233:5

reevaluated 210:22

refer 175:14

referred 168:1

referring 243:1

refers 175:14

refined 225:13 227:13

reflect 173:2 224:24 245:2

reflected 174:3 180:2 244:17

reflection 244:5 246:17

reflective 212:12

reflects 245:3,12

regard 174:1 175:1 210:6 241:16

region 184:17 231:17

regular 186:9 208:4

regulated 180:3,5

regulatory 165:4 172:4,16 173:6,15,24 174:17 177:11 178:1 241:2,3,9

rehabilitation 224:11

reiterate 198:12

relate 222:12 223:16

related 174:7 179:4,5, 24 180:1 196:13 222:2 272:9

relationship 250:3

relative 208:3 272:12

relevant 226:12

remainder 201:18

remains 219:11

remember 251:6

remove 203:24

removes 204:8

renew 166:7,8 186:16 234:5,7,20 237:15 253:13,20 263:19,20, 21,23,24 264:1,2,4,5

renewable 175:3 181:11 215:15 234:22 235:6

repairs 181:4

reply 168:16 257:14

report 188:4 265:21, 22 266:1

reporter 165:16 166:5 167:17 217:24 251:22 252:23 253:15,24 254:9,15,19 255:3,16 272:2

reporting 181:15 215:18

represented 188:10

representing 165:14 170:24

request 182:4 190:11,13 220:1

require 168:21

required 175:6,22 181:15

requirement 171:10, 18,20,21,25 172:8,10, 19,23,25 176:23,25 177:19 178:6,12 181:12 185:14 197:6, 10 207:17 224:16 226:24 229:6 236:19 244:10.18

ER-2018-0145 &

requirements 168:17 177:12 215:18 230:18 237:19 257:14

requires 249:1

research 186:13,16, 18 198:11 199:8 204:21 205:3,21

reserve 204:9

reserving 176:13

residential 168:2 185:24 186:6,9 187:3 198:17 209:23 218:8 223:5 233:2 238:5 243:5 245:5,11,24 246:12

residentials 223:13

resolution 232:9,25 247:20

resolutions 247:3

resounding 206:5

resource 211:25

resources 175:3 212:18

respect 189:13

response 202:6 210:21 216:12,14 218:11,18 220:16,19

restoration 215:9

result 172:19 218:21 225:19

resume 221:9

retirement 174:18

return 172:11 229:22 230:9

returned 172:2,3 173:14,16

reuse 224:11

revenue 171:10,14, 18,20,21,23,25 172:8, 10,19,23,25 176:22,25 177:12,19 178:6,12 185:14 197:5,9 207:17 223:11 229:6,21 230:10,11,17 237:19 244:10,17 245:1 252:6

revenues 174:10,16 181:2 229:16 230:2,3 231:18 238:25

reversed 240:20

review 181:9 186:16 187:23 252:9

reviewing 167:25

revisit 201:10

Richter 266:24 267:18

rider 215:16,18 235:7, 11 236:10,24 237:7

riders 237:25

Riley 270:18,19,21

risk 245:4,8

road 209:1

Rob 165:15 170:23 175:19 247:23

Robert 265:5,7 271:5, 6,8

Robin 229:4,7 266:8 267:12 268:7,9

Robinett 270:23,24 271:2

robust 198:23 205:15 242:7

Roger 165:15 170:23

Rogers 269:11

roll 192:5 203:11 242:3

rolled 184:5 214:7 249:6,12

rolling 199:7

rollout 203:10

Ron 165:4 171:1 217:19

room 169:18 170:25 196:2,17 208:8 221:9

Roth 271:3

rough 206:21

roughly 168:19 201:16

RTP 248:16,17,21 249:6,17

run 169:3 219:15,17 242:7

running 250:2

Rupp 184:12,13 200:16 201:7,21 205:19 206:19 207:21 209:14,15 213:7,8 214:3 240:4 247:8 250:25 251:7 256:25

rural 240:11

rush 171:1 203:5 262:16,18,19,21,22,24 267:1

Ruth 167:10

Ryan 166:3

S

safe 240:18

Sarah 224:3 229:4 267:14 268:10,12

scale 197:24 206:6

scenario 196:14

Schallenberg 271:5, 6.9

scheduled 188:2

Schedules 265:24 269:20

school 216:24

Schedule SLKL-s4, Page 132 of 137

scream	ing	208:10

Scripps 234:17 263:20,22,23

season 207:14

seasons 210:8,13 211:3

secondary 216:18

section 175:6,20 188:21

seek 189:24

seeking 233:19

sees 168:24

segment 208:16

segmentation

189:10 190:4 199:11 204:21 205:14

segregated 229:18 231:7

selection 207:5

Senate 175:20 236:12

send 175:17

seniors 243:13

sense 173:23 182:12 185:3 193:5 207:7 257:17

Seoung 267:5

separate 179:16 212:7,9 230:24 239:6

September 171:11, 12,13,14,18 187:11

serve 198:5 250:2

served 225:8 240:11

serves 239:10

service 165:21 168:2 174:7 175:23 179:4,9, 17 186:6,22 215:16 217:19 230:20 231:2, 25 237:25 238:3 240:16 245:13,14 246:11 266:1 services 175:25

set 183:21 199:6 219:21,23 229:16 232:10 233:14 239:15

ER-2018-0145

&

setting 214:14 241:13

settlement 177:17 181:6 204:5 230:18 244:10,18 245:2,3

settlements 247:13

setup 238:23

SGS 245:13,15

shadow 187:1,2,5 203:10

shapes 235:19

share 187:5 190:12, 17 241:23

shared 190:11 236:25 237:1

shareholder 241:6

shareholders 179:11 184:7 185:9 187:16 229:24 230:3,12 237:1 239:3

Sharlet 238:4 264:20, 22

Shawn 266:9,11 268:14

she'll 181:10

sheets 173:19

shift 171:14,23 195:4 200:5,6 245:5,6

shifts 223:11 245:1

shiny 203:21

shocked 191:9

shop 225:2

short 188:15

shortfall 229:24

shortly 217:11

shot 211:25

show 203:11 204:5,7, 10 221:8 242:16

shown 213:10 240:14 256:5

shows 213:14

side 166:2 177:24 178:3,4 184:17 203:21 226:10 245:9

sign 196:3 213:23 237:19,23

signatories 179:3 223:6

signatory 234:7

signed 187:15,22 189:14

significant 176:5 178:9,15 182:14,17 185:20 186:2 189:13 193:10 194:19 196:24 201:22 210:21 213:18 224:10 225:18 245:15,

significantly 191:3, 13 200:1,10 244:13

Silvey 169:21 188:21 189:7,20,23 190:6,20 204:13,23 205:6

similar 200:20 207:20 212:22 235:7,10

Similarly 210:3

simply 226:1 227:3 256:4 257:10,23

sincerely 191:6

single 178:10

sir 217:22 218:4 221:5 232:16

sit 199:3

site 175:3,13 238:23

sites 236:17

sitting 184:23 191:10 196:16 **situation** 229:15 231:6 242:23

size 192:24 206:6

slight 194:22

slower 208:15

smaller 244:16

smart 191:16,19 193:21 196:16

Smith 166:3 267:2,4 269:13

smoothest 203:9

social 205:13

solar 169:11 175:1,2, 5,9,11,14,15,21,22,23 176:6,11 215:15 234:12,22 236:10,24

solicit 186:20

solid 235:24

solution 185:25

solutions 209:9

sort 169:22 208:25 212:1

sorts 205:12 242:25

south 226:10

space 188:24 189:16 198:1 212:5,9

spacing 212:6

speak 236:13

speaking 216:7 241:4

specific 188:22 224:8 230:18 232:12 237:10 247:15

specifically 171:5 182:4 190:13 241:5 247:20

specifics 177:6 189:3 201:5

speed 205:22

spell 217:23

spelled 218:1

spend 204:24

spending 204:16

spent 191:18 206:11

spill 242:11

spoke 208:7

SPP 218:16,19,22 249:19

SPPIM 249:18

spread 239:1

Springy's 193:13

staff 165:18,21 168:6, 18,22 186:15 200:2 223:25 224:3,16 225:13 228:25 229:3,9 232:2,4 238:21 252:20,21,24 253:3

252:20,21,24 253:3 256:25 265:18,19,20, 21,22,23,24,25 266:1, 2,4,6,7,9,10,12,13,15, 16.18.19.21.22.24

16,18,19,21,22,24 267:2,3,5,6,8,9,11,12, 13,15,16,18,19,21,22, 24,268:1,2,4,6,8,10,

12,13,15,17,19,21,23, 25,269:2,3,5,7,9,11, 12,14,15,17,19,20

Staff's 194:18,21 200:4 202:11 223:23 226:2 246:14

stage 179:14 197:21

stakeholder 186:23 208:22 209:7

stakeholders 174:23 183:19 187:5,19,20,23 189:4 190:11,17 196:2 202:19 219:7 220:23

stand 208:6 221:13

standard 215:13

standby 215:16 237:25 238:3

standpoint 206:24 212:3

start 202:5 237:11 241:22 248:11

ER-2018-0145

started 196:19 208:10

starting 185:6

starts 173:18

state 184:17 191:14 217:23 235:8 240:17 272:4

statement 223:6 256:11

states 192:4

station 231:24 239:11 249:25

stations 174:5 230:23 240:2,21

statute 175:12

statutory 175:13

stay-at-home 243:13

steam 174:20

Steiner 165:15 170:23 256:16 257:11, 17

step 182:7 211:20 223:2 230:22 242:20

Stephen 266:18 269:2

steps 183:11 185:20 187:6 193:3

stip 170:10 179:23 183:22 184:11

stipulated 223:23

stipulation 171:10, 12,13,15,17,22,23 176:19,23 185:18 186:5,14 190:16 191:6 198:20 211:3,16 216:19 218:12 219:3 223:9,10 228:6 229:17 231:7 234:21,24,25 237:20,21,22 239:7,25 240:23 241:15,21 247:2,19 **stipulations** 169:23 170:2,5,13,20 171:8 228:19,23 232:10 233:6 234:8 237:23 257:9,15,20

stockholders 196:12

Stop 211:2

&

straight 206:22

streams 189:24

Street 167:1

strictly 184:18

structure 174:19 179:24 180:5,10,13 186:24 206:18 212:10 233:17

structured 248:20

stub 172:1,7,11 173:2,14 177:24

student 206:22

studied 216:23

studies 186:3 192:22, 23 196:24 203:1 246:10,11

study 174:13 181:22 182:18 183:7 191:4 197:25 245:13 246:16

studying 183:11 206:1

stuff 204:17 220:18

subclass 231:24 241:13

subject 170:25 175:17 191:21 219:22

submitted 198:8 207:18 219:23

subscriber 234:12, 22 235:15

subscription 175:23 187:9 195:24 215:16 234:12 235:16 236:10

subsequent 223:9

subsidiaries 181:24 182:2.24

subsidies 246:9

subsidization 241:16

subsidizing 240:21

subsidy 240:24 241:2,5,6,7,9 245:18 246:12

substantial 185:23

success 220:24

successes 206:5

successful 185:21 198:3 206:3

succession 207:6

sufficient 246:15

suggest 191:22

suggested 198:25

suggesting 209:4

suggestions 196:4 219:6

suitable 224:11

Suite 166:10 167:11

Sullivan 263:1,3

sum 233:20

summarize 170:12, 20 185:17 228:18

summarized 186:14

summary 230:15 252:6

summer 199:20 207:14 208:12 210:1, 16,22 211:4 213:1,2, 19,20 214:4,6 233:10, 16

superseded 223:8,

Supplemental 259:25 260:1 263:13, 15

supported 234:8

supports 247:2

Surely 204:23

Surrebuttal 259:13, 17 260:6,12,15,17,20 261:1,3,10,12,15,21 262:3,9,15,24 263:3, 18,23 264:3,6,13,17, 19 265:3,17 267:20, 23,24 268:1,3,5,7,9, 10,12,15,17,19,21,23 269:2,4,6,8,9,11,13, 14,16,18 270:6,12,16, 21 271:1,8

Surrebuttal/true-up 270:1

sworn 272:6

system 203:12 232:21 236:16

systematically 248:25

systems 236:11

Т

table 204:5

taint 192:11

takes 192:5

Taking 234:10

talk 171:9,15 188:22 196:12 202:20 208:2 209:8.9 231:24

talked 177:23 199:9 201:23 213:18

talking 169:6 172:13 196:1 199:19 207:16 208:4,8 225:16

talks 208:22 209:7

target 188:13,25 189:12

targeted 188:11 218:13 targeting 224:18

targets 202:16,17,18, 20.23

tariff 169:11 207:13, 18 211:16 216:20 219:23 223:15,20 225:23 227:21 235:7 239:15 247:16,17,18 248:4 249:17 250:11

tariffed 183:22 238:12

tariffs 173:19 215:12 217:15

tax 172:1,12 173:1 177:3,20 178:12,14,18 233:3,8

taxes 177:24

team 207:7

technical 224:3 238:14

telephone 216:7 217:4

ten 198:17

terms 171:10,15 181:10 182:12 218:17 237:10 239:8 246:23 247:1

terribly 191:9 222:3

territory 198:11

test 196:6 206:23

testified 246:24

testimony 181:10 200:4 213:18 214:22 217:16 235:9 254:8 259:4,5,7,8,10,11,13, 14,16,17,19,20,22,23, 25 260:1,3,5,6,8,9,11, 12,14,15,17,18,20,21, 23,24 261:1,3,4,6,7,9, 10,12,13,15,16,18,19, 21,22,24 262:1,3,4,6, 7,9,10,12,13,15,16,18, 19,21,22,24 263:1,3,4, 6,7,9,10,12,13,15,16, 18.20.22.23.25 264:1. 3,4,6,8,10,11,13,14, 16,17,19,20,22,23 265:1,3,5,7,8,10,12, 14,15,17,19 266:3,4,6, 8,9,11,12,14,15,17,18, 20,21,23,24 267:1,2,4, 5,7,8,10,11,12,14,15, 17,18,20,21,23,24 268:1,3,5,7,9,10,12, 14,15,18,19,21,23 269:2,4,6,8,9,11,13, 14,16,18,22,24 270:1, 3,4,6,7,9,10,12,13,15, 16,18,19,21,23,24 271:1,3,5,6,8 272:5,7

texted 217:8

theoretically 243:6 247:22

thereto 272:13

thing 197:8 227:7 242:9 244:8 245:12 246:13 250:25

things 167:21 177:23 182:15 183:2 208:14 209:2 228:1 237:22 241:12 242:25 244:2 247:24 250:23

thinking 228:16

thinks 216:8,18

thought 170:18 183:14 200:17 201:8 202:7 214:25 222:22 224:22

thoughtful 191:25 192:9

thoughts 228:18 256:24

thousand 187:21 210:24

thresholds 195:25 196:10 199:3,14

throughput 198:15

ties 219:9

Tim 166:9 171:1

time 165:8 168:19 170:1 171:13 183:16 184:20 185:18,21 186:1,3,4,6,21,22,24 187:9,16,25 188:2,7, 11,23 190:10 191:1, 12,23,25 192:5 193:21 195:3,7,11 197:1,8,15 198:8 199:19 200:10 201:25 202:14 203:15, 16.22 206:11.12.21 208:3,14,24 214:4 215:21 219:4 221:8 225:1,20 230:24 231:25 237:25 239:23 241:20 242:1,23 247:17 249:19 252:23 256:21,23

timeframe 188:1 201:17

times 184:17

tip 184:15

tipping 231:21

title 234:24

today 170:23 171:7 196:20 199:4 201:16 202:4 229:4 231:15,19 238:2 241:24 243:19 248:20 251:18

told 202:2,8 207:19 222:19

ton 207:7

tool 194:14

top 224:16 235:16

topic 181:18 182:3 215:19

total 233:20

totally 193:8

TOU 192:25 193:4 194:6 197:24 198:3, 13,16 201:11 205:18 206:5 208:4,5,6,21 210:23 242:13,21,22 243:4 249:4

toughest 244:4	typical 183:25	utilities 180:8 191:14	weather 213:25
TOUS 207:4		192:24 228:10 234:11	weatherization
town 226:10 244:20,	U	utility 175:15 180:10 189:19 211:25 220:6,	174:24 237:24 238:4
21 toy 203:21	ultimately 183:3 192:1,9 196:15 200:11	10 236:8	website 205:13 226:18 227:21
track 181:15	219:23 239:20	utility-owned 175:8	Wednesday 165:6
tracking 174:17	unable 219:20 230:11	utilized 185:10 248:17	week 167:21 168:5,7 169:12 251:14 256:3,
traditional 195:3	unavailable 214:24	utilizing 189:18	14
transcript 168:1	unclear 167:24		Western 240:20
256:24	underserved 240:7	V	whatsoever 182:11
transcript's 256:21 transformer 239:9	understand 169:16 175:9 176:4 180:7	vacant 224:10 226:9	Whipple 167:15,16 250:9
transmission 180:17	191:13 192:1,10 193:8	Vandiver 166:9	wholesale 249:15
	202:12 203:4 205:9, 19,20 210:13 214:20	vehicle 174:5 184:16	
transparency 182:13	227:2 229:14 231:4	188:24 230:8	Williams 166:1,2,3,6 181:8 232:7,8,15,16,
transparent 216:11 219:5,8 220:11	249:20,21 256:2	Venn 226:5	17 253:6 257:18,19
treat 174:9	understanding 172:15 181:17 184:3	versions 255:2	wind 234:12
treated 173:5 229:16	197:25 218:7 224:15	vet 224:12	Winslow 171:2 189:2,
239:7	226:1,11 230:7 235:10	vice 177:10	6,8,22,25 190:15 196:24 199:9 263:10,
treatment 177:15	236:14,20 238:20 240:23 252:11,12	view 239:25 241:20	12,13,15,16,18
180:20 181:13	255:5	242:14	Winslow's 217:16
tricky 227:24	understood 193:12	viewpoint 201:11	winter 210:2,5 211:5,
triggers 216:17	207:1 230:1 231:10	views 173:4	12,20,24 212:1,11,12
trouble 217:23	underutilized 215:12 223:15 224:23,25	visibility 194:9	213:2,13
true 183:13 184:8	225:7,17 226:4,6,15,	Volume 168:1	withdrawing 215:9
195:15,16	23 227:8,12	w	wither 183:3
True-up 261:22 267:21,24 268:3,5,14,	unintended 206:13		witnesses 168:23 170:25 171:4 228:19,
15,17,19,21,23 269:4,	unique 250:1	waiting 200:16	22 232:4 237:17 238:2
6,9,16,18,20 270:21	unreasonable 205:2	217:14	243:23,25 244:20 250:8,9
271:1,8	unsigned 236:7	wanted 167:23 168:4	,
Tucker 263:4,6,7,9	unsubscribed 237:2,	169:3 184:15 195:18 214:16 245:6 251:7	woman 208:7,8
tune 206:3 208:16	4	wanting 213:14	Won 267:5
turn 185:16 224:6	update 225:23	washing 208:9,11	Woodsmall 166:19, 20,21 180:6 244:1,23
turning 214:10	usage 194:11 195:5 200:5,6 206:17 208:13	watching 191:10	245:3,19 246:8 249:3,
type 189:17 194:9	210:16 229:23	220:24	16 252:2 254:7
types 189:4 194:7	users 184:7 229:18	wave 220:20	Woodsmall's 236:2
typewriting 272:8	231:5 238:22		words 226:12

work 182:16 200:8 202:21,25 203:2 209:5 220:23 224:7 228:9,25 243:1 244:3 248:23 working 174:22 176:15 196:25 197:11 207:10,11 228:4 250:13 works 228:1,6 worry 242:4 worth 183:10 190:25 **would've** 194:8 **writing** 177:25 written 257:2 wrong 191:8 204:6,10 221:18 224:21,22 251:8 Υ **year** 184:24 188:5 213:19 years 174:16 183:18 191:5,8,10 193:18 196:19,23 198:7,18 201:17,22,24 203:11, 13,14 206:1 209:5 225:21 228:5 243:3 244:4 Young 269:16,18