Opening Statement

Case No. ER-2010-0355/0356 True-Up Proceeding

KCPL Exhibit No. KCPL 119

Date 3/3/11 Reporter 1-B

File No. E2-2010-0355 \$ 0356

KCP&L True-Up

Original Request--\$92.1 million

KCP&L True-Up Request--\$66.1 Million

Reasons For Decline in Revenue Requirment

- Lower fuel and purchased power costs, primarily driven by new freight rates lower than anticipated;
- Congressional extension of bonus depreciation which significantly increases accumulated deferred income taxes which is a rate base offset;
- Cutting off the true-up of latan 2 and Common, as of October 31, 2010 per August 18 2010 Stipulation;
- Lowered ROE from 11.0% to 10.75%.

Staff Revenue Requirement

For KCP&L Case:

\$3.4 Million at Staff mid-point

GMO True-Up

- MPS Original Request--\$75.8 Million
- True-Up Request--\$66.0 Million
- L&P Original Request--\$22.1 Million
- True-Up Request--\$23.1 Million

Reasons for Changes in GMO

- MPS's request is lower because:
 - Lower than anticipated transmission costs
 - Lower than anticipated non-latan plant additions
 - Lower ROE request from 11.0% to 10.75%

Staff Revenue Requirements for GMO True-Up

- For GMO Case:
- MPS Revenue Requirement—
 - \$2.0 Million at Staff's mid-point
- L&P Revenue Requirement—
 - \$27.1 Million at Staff's mid-point

Issues in True-Up Proceeding

- latan Construction Audit and Prudence Review Issues
- latan Common Plant
- Spearville Legal Costs
- latan Regulatory Assets
- Rate Case Expenses
- Off-system Sales Margins
- Recovery of actual Long-Term Debt Costs

Iatan Construction Audit and Prudence Review Issues

- No new facts alleged;
- Staff substantially increases the "unexplained cost overrun" adjustment;
- Staff attempts to re-argue prudence of KCP&L's decision-making on Alstom Unit 2 Settlement;
- Staff proposes to increase it Alstom Unit 2 Settlement adjustment by 15 times its original adjustment;
- Substantially increased its Liquidated Damages adjustment;
- Substantially increased its AFUDC adjustments.

Iatan Common Plant Costs

- Staff proposes five adjustments to latan Common Plant.
- KCP&L agrees with the first four adjustments since they reflect a fair and reasonable allocation of Common Costs, including Indirect Costs to Common Plant.
- KCP&L is amenable to any reasonable and fair allocation of Indirect Costs to Common Plant.

latan Common Plant

- Staff proposes to disallow \$19.6 Million of common costs because of inadequate documentation above the "Jones' Book estimate".
- KCP&L adamantly disagrees with this adjustment.
- The original estimate of Common Plant was on a budgeted basis.
- Actual additional Common Plant costs have been identified, tracked and booked.

Spearville Legal Costs

- Spearville 2 Project was successfully completed on time and on budget;
- Staff recommends disallowance of legal costs associated with Spearville Wind Project;
- Staff provides no analysis of why the legal costs were expended;
- No analysis of why legal costs were "unreasonable".

latan Regulatory Assets

- Staff previously proposed to disallow the latan 1 Regulatory Asset.
- In True-Up, Staff now proposes a new issue to extend its disallowance to include a partial disallowance of both the latan Common and latan 2 Regulatory Assets.
- By excluding all or a portion of the various latan Regulatory Assets, Staff has proposed additional disallowances over and above the prudence disallowances it has proposed in this case.

Rate Case Expenses

- Staff is introducing completely new disallowance theories related to rate case expense in the True-Up.
- Staff excludes legal costs associated with the Case No. EO-2010-0259 case which was rolled into this rate case.
- Staff alleges that duplicative legal expenses were included in rate case expense.
- Staff substitutes a non-legal consultant's hourly rate for a law firm's hourly rate without any basis.
- Excludes witness training costs without any basis for exclusion.

| | KCP&L | MPS | L&P | |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|--------|-------|
| Company Direct case | 92.1 | 75.8 | 22.1 | 190.0 |
| Company True Up case | 66.1 | 66.0 | 23.1 | 155.2 |
| Issues | | | | |
| ROE | 26.8 | 18.9 | 5.2 | 50.9 |
| Prudence on latan (excl Dep.) | 12.6 | 1.6 | 5.1 | 19.3 |
| Off-system Sales | 11.5 | | | 11.5 |
| Crossroads/Phantom Turbines | | 12.5 | | 12.5 |
| FAC Rebasing | | 6.5 | 2.5 | 9.0 |
| latan 2 Allocation | | 19.8 | (19.8) | _ |
| Merger Transition Costs | 3.9 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 8.4 |
| DSM Costs | 4.6 | 8.0 | 0.1 | 5.5 |
| Other | 3.1 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 5.4 |
| Staff True Up Case | 3.6 | 2.0 | 27.1 | 32.7 |
