MGE-626

Exhibit No.: Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Missouri Gas Energy Date Testimony Prepared: September 5, 2012

Issue: Residential Rate Design Witness: F. Jay Cummings Case No.: ER-2012-0174

> Filed December 04, 2012 Data Center **Missouri Public** Service Commission

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2012-0174

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

F. JAY CUMMINGS

ON BEHALF OF

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

September 5, 2012

MGE Exhibit No. 626 Date 10 1712 Reporter MA File No. ER-2012 - 0174

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF F. JAY CUMMINGS

CASE NO. ER-2012-0174

SEPTEMBER 5, 2012

| 1 | Q. | PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. |
|---------------------|------------|--|
| 2 | A. | My name is F. Jay Cummings. |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | Q. | ARE YOU THE SAME F. JAY CUMMINGS WHO FILED DIRECT |
| 5 | | TESTIMONY IN THE PROCEEDING ON AUGUST 16, 2012? |
| 6 | A. | Yes. |
| 7 | | |
| 0 | Q. | WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY. |
| 8 | ~ • | |
| 8 9 | A. | I address the parties' direct testimony, filed on August 16, 2012, related to the |
| | - | I address the parties' direct testimony, filed on August 16, 2012, related to the issues I discussed in my direct testimony. The analysis and recommendations in |
| 9 | - | |
| 9 10 | - | issues I discussed in my direct testimony. The analysis and recommendations in |
| 9 10 11 | - | issues I discussed in my direct testimony. The analysis and recommendations in my direct testimony pertained to: (1) cost-based, revenue-neutral Residential rate |
| 9 10 11 12 | - | issues I discussed in my direct testimony. The analysis and recommendations in my direct testimony pertained to: (1) cost-based, revenue-neutral Residential rate adjustments at current revenue; (2) the availability of the separate Residential |

1

1 Q. WHICH PARTIES ADDRESS THESE RESIDENTIAL RATE ISSUES IN

2 **THEIR DIRECT TESTIMONY**?

A. The Missouri Public Service Commission Staff ("Staff") is the only party that
 discusses any of the specific issues that I analyzed in my direct testimony.¹ The
 Staff recommends a winter revenue shift toward Space Heat services as follows:

Staff recommends the first winter block of RESB (residential general use and space heat - one meter) and the winter season separately metered space heat rate of RESC (residential general use and space heat - 2 meters be increased by an additional 5%. These rates are being adjusted to bring residential rate classes RESB and RESC closer to its cost of service for the winter season.²

13

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

14 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY OBSERVATIONS REGARDING STAFF'S 15 RECOMMENDED REVENUE SHIFT?

16 A. Yes. Staff appropriately recognizes that Kansas City Power & Light Company's

17 ("KCP&L's") Residential Space Heat services are underpriced in the winter.

18 However, Staff's recommended winter revenue shift toward these services does

19 not go far enough when compared to the Staff cost of service results.

¹ Rate design direct testimony was filed in this case by Michael S. Scheperle on behalf of the Commission Staff, Barbara A. Meisenheimer on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel, Maurice Burbaker on behalf of Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers and Midwest Energy Consumer's Group, and Dennis W. Goins on behalf of the U. S. Department of Energy.

² Direct Testimony of Michael S. Scheperle, page 3, lines 11-15 and Staff's Rate Design and Class Costof-Service Report, page 1, lines 27-31.

1

2

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY STAFF'S RECOMMENDED REVENUE SHIFT DOES NOT GO FAR ENOUGH.

A. Rebuttal Schedule FJC-1 shows Staff's recommended winter revenue shift and the
shift required by the Staff cost of service based on no Residential revenue
increase.³ Staff's recommended Space Heat - One Meter winter revenue shift is
only 27 percent of that required by the Staff cost of service, and its recommended
Space Heat - 2 Meters winter revenue shift is only 12 percent of that required by
the Staff cost of service.

9

Another point of reference is provided by the Staff cost of service based on its highest recommended revenue requirement and the resulting required 16 percent increase in annual retail revenue for the Residential class.⁴ For Space Heat - One Meter, the Staff cost of service shows that annual retail revenue must increase by 15 percent while winter retail revenue must increase by 30 percent at this revenue requirement. For Space Heat - 2 Meters, annual retail revenue must increase by 25 percent while winter retail revenue must increase by 36 percent.

³ The Staff cost of service results are provided by rate schedule and season based on Staff's highest recommended revenue requirement, or an overall revenue increase of \$33.72 million. Rebuttal Schedule FJC-1 restates the Staff cost of service based on no revenue increase to the Residential class. This no revenue increase calculation is based on setting the rate of return so that the annual Residential class cost of service in the Staff model equals the annual current Residential class revenue. At this rate of return, the Staff model produces the cost of service by Residential rate schedule annually and by season based on the Staff seasonal allocation of the cost of service and winter revenue by Residential rate schedule.

⁴ The Staff cost of service is contained in its Excel file named "Scheperle - Staff CCOS.xlsx." The Residential total and rate schedule percentage changes are calculated from the data shown in the sheets labeled "RESA," "RESB," "RESC," and "RES TOD."

1 As explained in my direct testimony, the KCP&L cost of service similarly requires winter revenue shifts to the Space Heat services.⁵ Clearly, winter 2 3 revenue shifts to Residential Space Heat services are needed so that customers on 4 other rate schedules do not inequitably pay a portion of the cost to provide Space 5 Heating services. Both the Staff cost of service and the KCP&L cost of service 6 show that these inequities exist today. Furthermore, these inequities have 7 persisted at least since KCP&L's last rate case and should be addressed in this 8 case.6

9

10 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER OBSERVATIONS REGARDING STAFF'S 11 RECOMMENDATION?

A. Yes. I have two more observations. First, Staff's revenue shift to Space Heat -One Meter is accomplished by increasing the first block winter rate by five percent. No explanation is provided for this rate design change that increases the rate differential between the first and second rate block. Staff's recommendation continues the historical pattern of an increasingly-pronounced winter declining block rate structure, as explained in my direct testimony.⁷ No support has been provided for continuing this trend. As a result, I recommend no change in the

⁵ Direct Testimony of F. Jay Cummings, Case No. ER-2012-0174, page 10, line 15 - page 16, line 9; page 12, line 14 - page 13, line 6; page 18, lines 4-14; page 23, line 3 - page 24, line 12, and Schedules FJC-3 and FJC-8.

⁶ Id, page 11, line 11 - page 12, line 12.

⁷ Id., page 7, lines 10-14 and Schedule FJC-2.

1 2 current rate design with the revenue shift assigned to rate blocks to maintain the current rate block differentials.⁸

3

Second, Staff does not provide an assessment of the reasonableness of the continuation of Residential Space Heat services. Staff only addresses winter revenue shifts toward Space Heat services to move them closed to their cost of service. I concluded in my direct testimony that these services should be eliminated based not only on cost of service results but also on ratemaking and public policy considerations.

10

Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY OBSERVATIONS RELATED TO STAFF'S REVENUE REQUIREMENT RECOMMENDATION AS IT RELATES TO YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

A. Yes. If the Commission-approved revenue increase for KCP&L falls within
Staff's recommended revenue requirement range, the Residential rate changes
will very likely be smaller than those shown in Schedule FJC-9, included with my
direct testimony.⁹

⁸ Id., page 23, line 5 - page 24, line 18.

⁹ Staff Report: Revenue Requirement Cost of Service, Appendix 2: Staff Accounting Schedules, filed August 2, 2012, Accounting Schedule: 01 provides this revenue increase recommendation.

| 1 | Q. | DO YOU HAVE CHANGES IN THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT YOU | | | | | | |
|---|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | MADE IN YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY AS A RESULT OF THE | | | | | | |
| 3 | | PARTIES' DIRECT TESTIMONY PERTAINING TO RATE DESIGN? | | | | | | |
| 4 | A. | No. | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Q. | DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY? | | | | | | |
| 7 | A. | Yes. | | | | | | |

Rebuttal Schedule FJC-1

Kansas City Power & Light Company Case No. ER-2012-0174 Winter Residential Shift at Current Revenue

| Line | Description | General Use and Other Use | General Use and Space Heat - One Meter | General Use and Space Heat - 2 Meters | Time of Day | Total | Source/Explanation |
|--------|--|---------------------------------|---|--|-------------|-----------|---|
| | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) | (g) |
| 1 2 | Staff Cost of Service- Required Revenue Shift | 3,582,026 | 3,151,250 | 1,318,500 | 1,713 | 8,053,489 | Based on Scherple - CCOS.xlsx adjusted to reflect |
| 2 | | 5,502,020 | 5,151,250 | 1,510,500 | 1,710 | 0,000,100 | no annual revenue increase for the Residential class. |
| 3 | Staff Recommended Shift | - | 859 , 097 | 160,669 | - | 1,019,766 | Calculated based on a 5% increase in the Space Heat - One Meter first block winter rate, a 5% increase in the Space Heat - 2 meters separately metered winter heat rate, and the kWh by block provided in KCP&L's Response to MGE-4. |

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service

File No. ER-2012-0174

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF TEXAS SS) COUNTY OF DALLAS)

I, F. Jay Cummings, state that I am employed by Ruhter & Reynolds, Inc., Consulting Economists as a Senior Economist; that the Rebuttal Testimony and schedules attached hereto have been prepared by me or under my direction and supervision on behalf of Southern Union Company, d/b/a Missouri Gas Energy; and, that the answers to the questions posed therein are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4^{tk} day of September, 2012.

handis

Notary Public

SUSAN R LANDIS My Commission Expires July 29, 2015

My Commission Expires:

129/2015