Exhibit No.: 123NP Issue(s):

Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

Fuel Adjustment Clause – Nuclear Fuel Costs Randall J. Irwin Union Electric Company FAC Rebuttal Testimony ER-2010-0036 February 26, 2010

> FILED April 22, 2010 Missouri Public Service Commission

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Case No. ER-2010-0036

FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

RANDALL J. IRWIN

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

ų,

DENOTES HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Date 3-22-10 Reporter XS File No. XX

St. Louis, Missouri February 26, 2010

NP

1	FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2		OF	
3 4		RANDALL J. IRWIN	
5		CASE NO. ER-2010-0036	
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
7	А.	My name is Randall J. Irwin. My business address is One Ameren Plaza,	
8	1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63103.		
9	Q.	Are you the same Randall J. Irwin who filed rebuttal testimony in this	
10	case on February 11, 2010 relating to nuclear fuel costs, and who also filed direct		
11	testimony in Case Number ER-2008-0318?		
12	Α.	Yes, I am.	
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony relating to AmerenUE's	
14	fuel adjustment clause in this case?		
15	А.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to testimony filed by other	
16	parties on February 22, 2009, in response to the Commission's February 17, 2010 Order		
17	Directing Parties To Submit Testimony Concerning the Appropriateness of		
18	AmerenUE	's Current Fuel Adjustment Clause (Order). I will discuss AmerenUE's cost	
19	exposure to the nuclear fuel markets and the reasons why continuation of AmerenUE's fuel		
20	adjustment clause (FAC) in substantially its current form is still needed to protect AmerenUE		
21	from the uncertainties of the nuclear fuel markets. Attached to this testimony as Schedule		
22	RJI-FRI is my direct testimony from the Company's last rate case. While some of the		
23	numbers in that testimony are now out-of-date, the substance of the points I made remains		

FAC Rebuttal Testimony of Randall J. Irwin

valid and is relevant to the Commission's Order and the direct testimonies filed by other
parties on February 22, 2010.

3 In direct testimony submitted in the prior case, Case No. ER-2008-0318, 0. you discussed volatility in the nuclear fuel markets, particularly the uranium market, 4 5 and the general continued increase in nuclear fuel costs. Is that discussion still valid? 6 Α. Yes, most definitely. As stated in that testimony, the then-current spot price 7 of uranium was approximately \$80/lb. Since 2008, uranium prices have decreased sharply 8 and are now in the low \$40/lb. range. Spot prices are forecast to again increase as new 9 demand enters the marketplace. The massive expansion of nuclear power plants in China 10 and India will place significant demand upon uranium supplies. The timing of such increase 11 is uncertain, although China has already begun a significant buying program. This new 12 demand creates uncertainty (volatility) in the uranium market. The uranium market is 13 worldwide, and is affected by such factors as worldwide growth of nuclear power, 14 government disposition of fuel inventories, exchange rates, and international economics. 15 Q. Does the Company have any control over these markets? 16 No. The Company has no influence over these volatile markets as they Α. 17 remain beyond the control of management. 18 Q. What has been the trend in nuclear fuel costs since your direct testimony in Case No. ER-2008-0318 was filed? 19 20 Nuclear fuel costs are expected to continue to increase. This is reflected in Α. 21 the table below:

2

FAC Rebuttal Testimony of Randall J. Irwin

1	**
2	1
3	Consistent with the expectations as presented in 2008, annual nuclear fuel costs are still
4	forecast to increase. It should be noted that the current annual cost values are about **
5	** than as predicted two years ago.
6	Q. Is a similar trend also evident in the total cost of a nuclear fuel reload?
7	A. Yes. Table 2 below provides the expected costs for the 2010 and 2011
8	nuclear fuel reloads, specific to the cases identified.
9	**
0	

- 11 The cost of reloads is expected to continue to increase. However, the increase is now
- 12 forecast to be less than that indicated in 2008.

¹ The Case No. ER-2008-0318 figures are those forecasted during that case. The Case No. ER-2010-0036 figure for 2010 is the nuclear fuel costs for 2010, and the 2011 and 2012 figures reflect the Company's current forecast of nuclear fuel costs in each of those years as of this time.

² Table 2 includes the cost of the uranium, conversion, enrichment and fabrication, i.e., the total reload procurement costs.

FAC Rebuttal Testimony of Randall J. Irwin

•

.

i

ł

1

.

i

T.

ļ

1	Q. Are there other sources of uncertainty in addition to those of the uranium
2	market?
3	A. Yes. In addition to uranium, enrichment services is a major component of
4	overall nuclear fuel costs. Since early 2008, the spot market price for enrichment services
5	has increased from about \$145/SWU to almost \$165/SWU currently. The resurgence of
6	nuclear power is also creating increased demand in the enrichment market. New nuclear
7	facilities are being built and increased prices are reflective of the needs for such new
8	construction. A portion of AmerenUE's contracts for enrichment supply contain a price
9	component related to market indicators. As volatility in the market occurs, the prices paid
10	for enrichment are affected. Even contracts with a base escalated price mechanism can be
11	impacted as base price adjustments can occur based on changes in market parameters.
12	Another source of uncertainty is the status of fees paid to the Department of
13	Energy (DOE) for Decommissioning and Decontamination (D&D) of government
14	enrichment facilities. In the past, AmerenUE made annual payments of almost \$2 million to
15	DOE for these charges. The requirement for those payments has currently expired.
16	However, recent U.S. government budget planning is proposing a reinstatement of such
17	D&D payments. It is not known whether, and in what amount, any such payments will be
18	approved.
19	Q. Has the Commission's approval of an FAC for AmerenUE in the prior
20	rate case changed the manner in which the Company purchases nuclear fuel?
21	A. No. Procurement of nuclear fuel, and the management of price and supply
22	risk, has not changed and is still in accordance with AmerenUE's Risk Management Policy.
23	Also, procurements continue to be overseen by a Risk Management Steering Committee

4

FAC Rebuttal Testimony of Randall J. Irwin

- 1 which is comprised of senior level management. Our nuclear fuel procurement methods have
- 2 remained essentially unchanged since the implementation of the FAC. We continue to do the
- 3 best job we can to procure nuclear fuel for the Callaway Plant, at appropriate prices,
- 4 consistent with prudent management of the risks associated with procuring nuclear fuel.
 - Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- 6 A. Yes, it does.

5

÷

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE's Tariffs to Increase its Annual Revenues for Electric Service.) Case No. ER-2010-0036

) Tracking No. YE-2010-0054

) Tracking No. YE-2010-0055

AFFIDAVIT OF RANDALL J. IRWIN

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

Randall J. Irwin, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

 My name is Randall J. Irwin. I work in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, and I am employed by Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE as Supervising Engineer, Fuel Cycle Management in Nuclear Generation.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Additional Rebuttal Testimony on AmerenUE's Fuel Adjustment Clause on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of <u>5</u> pages and Schedule RJI-FR-1, all of which have been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-referenced docket.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct.

Bandall J. Irwin J. Acuvin

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\frac{26}{26}$ day of February, 2010.

Notary Public

My commission expires: 4-1-2010

ictary Se leffenson County Commission #06397820 My Commission Expires 4/1/2010

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ļ

1

Case No. ER-2010-0036

FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

RANDALL J. IRWIN

SCHEDULE RJI-FR1 IS DEEMED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL