

**Exhibit No.:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Issue(s):** Fuel Adjustment Clause (FAC)  
**Witness/Type of Exhibit:** Schaben/Surrebuttal  
**Sponsoring Party:** Public Counsel  
**Case No.:** ER-2024-0319

**SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**ANGELA SCHABEN**

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

**UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY**  
**D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI**

CASE NO. ER-2024-0319

February 14, 2025

**SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**ANGELA SCHABEN**

**AMEREN MISSOURI, INC.**

**CASE NO. ER-2024-0319**

1 **Q. Please state your name, title, and business address.**

2 A. Angela Schaben, Utility Regulatory Auditor, Office of the Public Counsel (the “OPC”), P.O.  
3 Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

4 **Q. Are you the same Angela Schaben who filed direct and rebuttal testimony for the OPC**  
5 **in this case?**

6 A. Yes.

7 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

8 A. The purpose of my testimony is to respond to Staff of the Public Service Commission of the  
9 State of Missouri (“Staff” and the “Commission,” respectively) witness Teresa Denney’s  
10 rebuttal testimony regarding Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri’s (“Ameren  
11 Missouri”) fuel adjustment clause (“FAC”) reporting.

12 **Q. Will you please summarize your recommendations?**

13 A. In this testimony, I am reiterating my direct testimony recommendation that the  
14 Commission order that Ameren Missouri continue to provide additional monthly fuel  
15 reporting to include information relating to all generation resources added between rate  
16 cases, as well as hourly day ahead and real-time locational marginal prices (“LMP”) for  
17 Ameren Missouri’s load and each generation resource, as agreed in the Stipulation and  
18 Agreement from Ameren Missouri’s previous rate case, Case Number ER-2022-0337.

1 **Q. Please summarize the section of Ms. Denney's rebuttal testimony to which you are**  
2 **responding.**

3 A. On page 3, line 20 through page 4, line 8, Ms. Denney concurs with my recommendation in  
4 direct testimony pertaining to the continuance of reports agreed upon within the Stipulation  
5 and Agreement of Case Number ER-2022-0337.

6 **Q. Did Ameren respond to the continuation of reporting requirements outlined in your**  
7 **direct testimony?**

8 A. Not that I have found.

9 **Q. Can you summarize why continuing the day ahead and real time LMP reporting**  
10 **provides value?**

11 A. As I stated in direct, the hourly day ahead and real-time LMP pricing shows the dynamic  
12 energy market pricing by node, which demonstrates a more complete representation of  
13 overall fuel and purchased power costs, in addition to load and generation values at different  
14 locations on the transmission system.

15 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

16 A. Yes.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**


In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a )  
Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Adjust Its ) Case No. ER-2024-0319  
Revenues for Electric Service )

**AFFIDAVIT OF ANGELA SCHABEN**


**STATE OF MISSOURI )**  
**) ss**  
**COUNTY OF COLE )**

Angela Schaben, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:


1. My name is Angela Schaben. I am a Utility Regulatory Auditor for the Office of the Public Counsel.
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my surrebuttal testimony.
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Angela Schaben  
Utility Regulatory Auditor

Subscribed and sworn to me this 13<sup>th</sup> day of February 2025.



My Commission expires August 8, 2027.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Tiffany Hildebrand  
Notary Public