

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

| | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| In the Matter of the Application of Evergy |) | |
| Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri |) | |
| West and Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy |) | |
| Missouri Metro for Permission and Approval |) | File No. EA-2025-0075 |
| of a Certificate of Public Convenience and |) | |
| Necessity for Natural Gas Electrical |) | |
| Production Facilities |) | |

MECG’S STATEMENT OF POSITIONS

COMES NOW, the Midwest Energy Consumers Group, (“MECG”) and offers the following statement of positions:

A. Does the evidence establish that (1) the advanced 710 megawatt (“MW”) combined cycle gas turbine (“CCGT”) generating facility to be located in Sumner County, Kansas ("Viola"), (2) a 440 MW simple-cycle gas turbine (“SCGT”) generating facility located in Nodaway County, Missouri (“Mullin Creek #1”), and (3) the 710 MW CCGT generation facility to be located in Reno County, Kansas (“McNew”) (collectively, “Projects”) for which Evergy Missouri West is seeking a certificate of convenience and necessity (“CCN”) are necessary or convenient for the public service?

Position: Yes, subject to the conditions outlined in the testimony of the PSC staff.

B. If the Commission grants the CCN for the Projects, what conditions, if any, should the Commission impose on the CCN?

Position: The CCN should be subject to the conditions outlined in the testimony of the PSC staff.

C. Should the Commission grant Evergy Missouri West's request that its decision to acquire, construct, own and operation the Projects is prudent under Section 2(C) of Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-20.045?

Position: MECG takes no position at this time but reserves the right to do so based on the evidence presented at hearing.

D. Should the Commission grant Evergy Missouri West's requested variances from Commission Rules 20 CSR 4240-20.045(3)(C), 6(I), and 6(J) so that Evergy Missouri West's plans for restoration of safe and adequate service, as well as as-built drawings, can be provided closer to the time when the Projects will commence commercial operations?

Position: MECG takes no position at this time but reserves the right to do so based on the evidence presented at hearing.

E. Should the Commission authorize Evergy Missouri West to implement construction accounting pursuant to Section 393.140(4), RSMo?

Position: MECG understands that due to recent legislation, Evergy is no longer seeking its initially requested special accounting treatment.

WHEREFORE, MECG respectfully submits its statement of positions.

Respectfully,

/s/ Tim Opitz

Tim Opitz, Mo. Bar No. 65082

Opitz Law Firm, LLC

308 E. High Street, Suite B101

Jefferson City, MO 65101

T: (573) 825-1796

tim.opitz@opitzlawfirm.com

ATTORNEY FOR MIDWEST
ENERGY CONSUMERS GROUP

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, emailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record this 23rd day of May 2025:

/s/ Tim Opitz
