```
Page 1
 1
                 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
                        STATE OF MISSOURI
 2
                    TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 3
                       DISCOVERY CONFERENCE
     Cheri Meadows,
                                  )
 4
 5
               Complainant,
                                     File No. EC-2025-0136
 6
 7
                                  )
          v.
 8
     Grain Belt Express, LLC,
 9
10
               Respondent.
11
12
                     THURSDAY, JUNE 12, 2025
                            2:00 p.m.
13
                        Jefferson City, MO
14
                            via WebEx
15
                            VOLUME 4
16
                 (Due to the quality of the recorded media,
17
    portions were unable to be transcribed and include
18
19
    inaudible portions. The transcript may also include
2.0
    misinterpreted words and/or unidentified speakers.
21
    The transcriber was not present at the time of the
2.2
    recording; therefore, this transcript should not be
23
    considered verbatim.)
24
25
    TRANSCRIBED BY: CAROL A. BECKMANN, CSR
```



(Beginning audio recording 1 2 EC-2025-0136-Meadows v. Grain Belt Express-Discovery 3 Conference-20250612 1952-1.) 4 JUDGE CLARK: Can everyone hear me okay? 5 Yep, I can hear you, Judge. MR. PRINGLE: 6 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Pringle. 7 think I've got everybody necessary to start. Am I 8 waiting on anyone for which anyone is aware? 9 I'm not sure if Ms. Meadows MR. PRINGLE: 10 is on yet. 11 JUDGE CLARK: Good question. Thank you. 12 I don't know if I see her. MS. HANSON: 13 I'm going to give JUDGE CLARK: I do not. it a couple of minutes for Ms. Meadows to show up. 14 15 (Short break.) MS. HANSON: Good afternoon. 16 I show a call-in user. Ms. Meadows, is that you? 17 18 MS. MEADOWS: Yes. 19 MS. HANSON: Perfect. Thank you. 20 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. So I'm -- Now it 21 appears we may have everybody we need. Okay. 22 going to go -- Well, I'm going to say this before we go on the record. We do not a court reporter present 23 for this discovery conference, this is a common 24 25 occurrence, this is something that we have elected to

1 | do.

- Today's date is June 12th of 2025. And the current time is 2:03 p.m.
- The Commission has set aside this time for
- 5 a Webex Discovery Conference in the case captioned as
- 6 | Cheri Meadows, Complainant, versus Grain Belt Express,
- 7 LLC, Respondent. And that is Case No. EC-2025-0136.
- 8 My name is John Clark. I'm the Regulatory
- 9 Law Judge overseeing this case. I'm going to begin by
- 10 asking the attorneys to make their entry of appearance
- 11 | for the record. Starting with Ms. Meadows who is
- 12 pro se. Ms. Meadows, you're here; correct?
- MS. MEADOWS: Yes.
- 14 JUDGE CLARK: And you are representing
- 15 | yourself; correct?
- MS. MEADOWS: Yes.
- 17 JUDGE CLARK: On behalf of Grain Belt
- 18 | Express?
- 19 MS. CALLENBACH: Yes. Good afternoon,
- 20 Judge. Anne Callenbach of the Law Firm
- 21 | Polsinelli, PC, appearing on behalf of Grain Belt
- 22 | Express, LLC.
- JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Grain Belt. On
- 24 behalf of the Commission Staff.
- MS. HANSON: So we have me, Andrea Hanson,

Т	we also have Travis Pringle, and we have a staff
2	member, and then a number of interns who are observing
3	as well.
4	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. That's great. Thank
5	you, Staff. Is there anyone present from the Office
6	of the Public Counsel? I hear none. They participate
7	in these kinds of cases at their whim, and so just
8	because they're not participating now does not mean
9	that they may not necessarily take an interest in this
10	case in the future, but they're entitled to
11	participate in any proceeding regarding this case.
12	Is there anyone I've missed? I don't
13	believe so.
14	Okay. I'm going to remind everyone that
15	the Presiding Officer, which is me, can rule on
16	substantive issues at a prehearing conference, which
17	would include this kind of conference, pursuant to
18	Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-2.090, Subsection 6.
19	This discovery conference was called to
20	discuss Ms. Meadows' motion to compel and her
21	subsequent request for a discovery conference.
22	With that, Ms. Meadows, I'm going to kind
23	of just start and go through this and then I'll ask
24	the parties to kind of weigh in.
25	You had filed this Motion to Compel



Page 5

- discovery at -- I don't remember the exact date you filed it, but I -- in any normal pleading ten days are given to allow other parties to respond, so I waited ten days to see if any of the parties would respond and no parties responded to your Motion to Compel discovery.
 - I was still tempted to just summarily deny it, and the main reason for denying it is honestly not really the content as much as it is the procedure that you went through. Pursuant to the Commission's rules in these kinds of cases, normally any Motion to Compel can't be filed until after you have attempted to resolve your discovery dispute with the opposing party have represented that to the presiding officer and have asked for an immediate discovery conference via phone.

That didn't happen in this case, you did request a discovery conference, and so I decided to wait to deny yours on procedural grounds largely because I think there is some stuff in here that it's -- quite possibly you might be entitled to in the way of discovery, and I thought we could discuss that before further motions are filed.

MS. MEADOWS: Yeah. No, I didn't --

JUDGE CLARK: Do you understand --

Page 6 I didn't do that correctly, 1 MS. MEADOWS: 2 and I'm sorry. I'll note for future reference, but, 3 yeah, I kind of did one before the other accidentally, 4 so, yeah, that was my fault there, in filing the 5 Motion to Compel without doing the Meet and Confer I believe it's called first? 6 7 JUDGE CLARK: I don't know that it has a 8 particular name, but I do agree with you. 9 Who is on discovery? Hold on just a 10 second, let me look -- And then I'll go over that 11 section, Except when authorized by an order of the 12 Commission, the Commission will not entertain any 13 discovery motions until the following requirements have been satisfied. And have you seen that section 14 15 of the Commission's --I have. I still did -- After 16 MS. MEADOWS: 17 the fact, but yes. 18 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. So you're aware of 19 that now? 2.0 MS. MEADOWS: I am. 21 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. 22 MS. MEADOWS: And, I'm sorry, I'm sorry I 23 didn't do that correctly, I'm doing my best here. 24 JUDGE CLARK: Ms. Meadows --25 -- although I missed that. MS. MEADOWS:

1	JUDGE CLARK: you don't have to
2	apologize, there is nothing to apologize for, this
3	happens all of the time, attorneys do this with
4	regularity, so it's not I wouldn't
5	MS. MEADOWS: So
6	JUDGE CLARK: It is certainly something
7	that is navigateable in a variety of different ways.
8	Grain Belt, do you disagree with anything
9	I've said so far regarding this?
10	MS. CALLENBACH: No, Judge, not really.
11	We, in fact we received Ms. Meadows' Motion to
12	Compel that was filed on May 23rd, and we originally
13	reached out to her and let her know of the regulation
14	that requires a meet-and-confer process. We also did
15	request that she withdraw the Motion to Compel, as it
16	was filed on May 23rd and the responses to the data
17	requests that she was trying to compel weren't even
18	due until May 27th, so we did think that it was both
19	procedurally improper and also premature from a timing
20	standpoint. We also did have a meet-and-confer I'm
21	just I'm saying meet-and-confer, that's just
22	the vernacular
23	JUDGE CLARK: Yeah, I'm not interested in
24	the vernacular, I'm just curious because you mentioned
25	that it predated, but it looks like if this was



- Page 8
- 1 | if this motion predates a discussion, it looks like
- 2 | what she's listed in here are objections to answering,
- 3 | so that would kind of -- well, put a kind of an ending
- 4 point on that is discovery going to be provided or
- 5 | not. In other words, I'm not sure that the date
- 6 | matters if she had already received an objection to
- 7 answering.
- 8 MS. CALLENBACH: She had received --
- 9 MS. MEADOWS: Correct.
- 10 MS. CALLENBACH: Yes, she did receive a
- 11 | timely-filed objection, but she also did receive
- 12 | answers which were filed subsequent -- sorry,
- 13 | submitted to her subsequent to the filing of the
- 14 | Motion to Compel.
- 15 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Can I ask what she's
- 16 | received? And if it's out -- if that's out of bounds
- 17 | for me, please let me know. I ask a lot of questions
- 18 | that I may not be allowed to ask, but I do it anyway.
- 19 MS. CALLENBACH: No. No, certainly that's
- 20 | fine for you to ask. She had requested the Motion to
- 21 | Compel responses to DR No. 18, and we responded to
- 22 | that on May 27th indicating that without waiving the
- 23 | foregoing objection, there were no notes, emails, text
- 24 memos, etc., that were responsive to the request other
- 25 | than those that were covered by attorney/client

1	privilege.
2	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. And why would those be
3	covered by attorney/client privilege? If neither
4	MS. CALLENBACH: She re
5	JUDGE CLARK: Of them are attorneys?
6	MS. CALLENBACH: Because to the extent that
7	there were emails from either of those Invenergy
8	employees were really Greg Smith was from CLS, that
9	were copied that we were copied on, then they would be
10	covered by privilege.
11	JUDGE CLARK: Okay.
12	MS. CALLENBACH: So there are no notes, or
13	emails, texts, etc., of anything not privileged that
14	we can provide.
15	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. But she would still be
16	entitled to call this person as a witness; correct?
17	MS. CALLENBACH: Certainly. In fact, Jason
18	Brown is one of our witnesses.
19	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. So, Ms. Meadows, you
20	would be able to ask Mr. Brown those questions at the
21	hearing, you understand that?
22	MS. MEADOWS: I do. But here is where I
23	ran into a little bit of problems with their response
24	to, There were no notes, there were no anything,
25	because Jason brown came to my house and in front of



1 me he said he -- him and Greg Smith had talked about 2 me, and I'm quoting, A lot. So that means more than 3 one or two, or even three times, that's several times. So I wanted to know, after I've literally met Greg 4 5 Smith in person at my house, and him and Jason have had discussions, I'm assuming about my property, and 6 7 he even admitted they talked a lot, how come I can't 8 get any information on that, but Grain Belt supplied 9 -- let me see my notes here -- eight attempted calls, 10 visits, and letters from a different land agent, Rick 11 Phillips, who I've never seen or spoken to, but yet 12 Greg Smith actually showed up at my house, and they 13 didn't have any documentation about that other than he 14 came to my house? 15 I mean, it just didn't make any sense that 16 they have all of this documentation on someone else 17 that came here, or tried calling, or whatever, but the 18 guy who actually came here, he didn't take any notes, 19 he didn't make any notes of anything, and him and 20 Jason brown had all of these discussions, but yet they 21 don't have anything to submit? I mean, it doesn't 22 seem right. 23 JUDGE CLARK: Well, and it may not, and I'm 24 going to say first off saying that somebody talked a 25 lot, doesn't necessarily mean that they talked

- 1 multiple times, it could mean that they talked at 2 length about yours in a single visit, but assuming --3 but that's neither here nor there. I do not go around all day taking notes on all of my conversations with 4 5 everybody I talk to, that's not something that people normally do, and even in the prospects of business, 6 7 they may strike up conversations that are not 8 recorded. 9 I think what Grain Belt is getting to, and 10 they'll correct me if I'm wrong, is that they're not 11 required to manufacturer something for you that 12 doesn't exist. In other words, they're not required 13 to come up with a transcript of a conversation for 14 which there is no transcript existing before.
- 15 MS. MEADOWS: True.
 - You are certainly entitled to JUDGE CLARK: propound discovery asking kind of as a gist what was said, you can do that. I believe you can also, as I indicated before, ask the person directly questions on the stand, You talked to such and such, what did you Those are certainly things that you can do.
- 22 MS. MEADOWS: Does a privilege log apply to
- 23 this case, Judge?

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 24 JUDGE CLARK: I'm sorry?
- 25 Does a privilege log apply in MS. MEADOWS:

- 1 | this particular instance?
- 2 JUDGE CLARK: Does a privilege log? Okay.
- 3 | I follow what you're saying.
- 4 MS. MEADOWS: Yes.
- JUDGE CLARK: It certainly could. I see
- 6 | those in cases generally where there is a lot more
- 7 | evidence than here, but usually, yes, they are
- 8 required if they are asserting privilege to explain
- 9 | briefly what the privilege is. They -- At least in
- 10 | their -- at least in the response as you've put it
- 11 | forth to me, it looks like they have done that, they
- 12 | say that it is a privileged communication in that it
- 13 was between this person apparently and their attorney,
- 14 or it was between their attorney and someone regarding
- 15 | this, any of those would correctly fall under the
- 16 | privilege.
- MS. MEADOWS: Yeah, they referred to Work
- 18 | Product Doctrine and some other things in their reply.
- 19 | Like I said, it's just -- it's gotten to the point
- 20 | where they haven't -- I mean, Invenergy is a billion
- 21 | dollar -- multi-billion dollar company, and I've
- 22 | worked for a couple of companies, they weren't as
- 23 | large as those, but whenever we talked with customers,
- 24 | we had to make notes on the phone of why they called,
- 25 | what it was about, any resolutions, who we transferred

them to, whatever. I mean, and those were just
companies that sold work comp insurance, or sold
products to customers, or whatever, those weren't a
transmission line company having talked -- having
conversations with people whose lives were going to be

affected by their line in it.

It seems like for two years I basically protested this line, brought up concerns, worked with I thought the person who could get the line moved off of me, and yet here we are, I'm literally on Data Request No. 21, and I haven't seen a single piece of information about any of those discussions that were take -- that took place between the land agent at Grain Belt and I, in the numerous conversations and meetings we had.

So I'm to the point that I almost feel like I got brushed off for two years, and he didn't make a single note, or talk to anyone about my concern, that he just made me think he cared and was listening, and was trying to do whatever he could. And so that's part of the reason why the Motion to Compel was because I'm kind of frustrated at this point because I've sent all of these data requests trying to get where my property was discussed, and what they were saying.



1	Because all I want is a fair hearing here,
2	and I'm not getting anything, and it either doesn't
3	exist apparently, or they're finding ways to avoid
4	turning it over. So that's how I feel about that.
5	And that's why now I'm researching, okay, well, so
6	it's privileged, so can you share a privilege log of
7	what you talked about that's so secretive that you
8	can't share it? I mean, it should have just been,
9	hopefully, it was just about my property, it wasn't
10	anything personal or whatever that they don't want to
11	share.
12	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Well
13	MS. MEADOWS: Does that all make sense?
14	JUDGE CLARK: we kind of jumped in, and
15	it's partially my fault, I kind of jumped in and
16	started addressing the subject of the Motion to
17	Compel, I heard at one point that they had asked you
18	to withdraw your Motion to Compel, but you chose not
19	to do so.
20	Grain Belt, do you want to address the
21	Motion to Compel today?
22	MS. CALLENBACH: You know, Judge, we're
23	happy to do that, but as we explained to Ms. Meadows
24	when we spoke on the phone on June 3rd, we indicated
25	that these responses that we submitted on May 27th



- Page 15
- 1 were verified by the witness, and to the extent we say
- 2 | that there are no notes of those conversations, that
- 3 | that is the truth, there are no notes that are not
- 4 privileged. As you noted, they -- there were --
- 5 perhaps there were discussions between Jason Brown and
- 6 Greg Smith, but there were no notes of those
- 7 | conversations, or transcriptions, or etc., so there is
- 8 simply nothing tangible to provide.
- JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Well, the --
- 10 MS. MEADOWS: But I -- Excuse me, I didn't
- 11 | mean to interrupt you.
- 12 JUDGE CLARK: You're fine. What about
- 13 Ms. Meadows' request to -- that you provide a
- 14 discovery or a privilege log?
- 15 MS. CALLENBACH: I don't think we have any
- 16 | objection to doing that.
- 17 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. I think that would be
- 18 | appropriate, at least then she would have general idea
- 19 of why you're objecting to turning that over. Because
- 20 | she just said you either -- that they either don't
- 21 exist or you're finding ways not to turning them over.
- 22 | And I'm going to caveat that with finding ways to not
- 23 | turn them over is not illegal, it's not even immoral
- 24 | if it's done pursuant to the rules. I mean, saying
- 25 | that something is privileged, or is a trial tactic, or

1 is work product, those are all reasonable reasons to 2 not have to turn something over to you if it would 3 disadvantage them in a way that would be unfair. So -- But if it comes down to it, and you are not 4 5 satisfied with the privilege log, then it's possible that we could have a situation where I would have to 6 7 look at the information and determine whether it met 8 the privilege. 9 So at least with DR 18, it doesn't sound 10 like there is information that was not privileged, and 11 I'm going to ask Grain Belt to provide a privilege log 12 in regards to the DR 18. 13 And, Grain Belt, are you okay with that? 14 Yes, Judge. MS. CALLENBACH: Thank you. 15 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. I believe there was also a DR 13, which is: Provide all documents, maps, 16 17 memos, communications, transcripts report, risk 18 assessments, notes, and any other documents, including 19 electronic documents in the possession of Grain Belt 20 Express, its routing contractor, or any other persons 21 involved in the Tiger Connector Routing project that 22 discussed my property. 23 And Grain Belt said it was overly broad, 24 unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to

the -- to lead to the discovery of admissible

25

-	Page 17
1	evidence. The request contains no temporal bounds and
2	is not narrowed to focus on any particular issue which
3	related to a matter before the issue. And my
4	immediate thing to look at that and say is to say,
5	I disagree because it simply at the end states "That
6	discussed my property." Why, Grain Belt, would you
7	find that that would be overly broad if it's
8	restricted to her parcel of land?
9	MS. CALLENBACH: Well, first I'd like to
10	point out, the DR 13 is not part of the Motion to
11	Compel, it was simply referenced in here, but it is
12	not part of her request for relief in the Motion.
13	JUDGE CLARK: Okay.
14	MS. CALLENBACH: She did not re that 13
15	be compelled, so I don't believe that that's actually
16	on the table this afternoon.
17	JUDGE CLARK: Okay.
18	MS. CALLENBACH: But to answer your
19	question from a substantive standpoint, "Discussed her
20	property," that would include all notes from our
21	routing team going back three years, every detail, and
22	graphic, and discussion that went into the preparation
23	of the 100-plus page routing study, all of those could
24	reasonably be construed to have, quote, "Discussed her

property." It -- we do feel that it's extremely

- 1 broad. I think "Discussed my property," is overbroad,
- 2 | and since there is no time constraint on it, that
- 3 | could go back to four years ago when we established
- 4 | the routing team and we were doing windshield
- 5 drive-bys on various routes.
- 6 JUDGE CLARK: Let me think about that for a
- 7 | little bit. I'm going to put a star on that. As you
- 8 | pointed out (technical difficulty). Give me just one
- 9 second, I want to make a note. All right. You're
- 10 | correct, she only asks that responses to Request 18
- 11 and 19, so at this point, for right now at least,
- 12 | we'll skip over 13.
- Now 19 was a request regarding tower
- 14 | placement. And I believe -- and, Ms. Meadows, I had a
- 15 | little bit of trouble following this, so correct me if
- 16 | I'm wrong, I'm going to kind of summarize it. My
- 17 | understanding is that you had a route explained to you
- 18 | and that -- or shown to you and that route indicated
- 19 there would be a tower on your neighbor's property,
- 20 and then at some point you saw another map that showed
- 21 no tower there, and you are asking for a rendering --
- 22 | Just a second. Can you provide the rendering of the
- 23 | line being extended west from the 34, slash, 3 tower
- 24 and remaining along the roadway approximately 700
- 25 | feet?

As I explained at the beginning of this,
you can ask for things that they have, but you can't
require them to manufacture things for you, so if a
map doesn't exist of that, I'm not going to require
them to make one. So I'm not sure what to do with
your request at this time because you're saying
essentially, I saw something previously that is not
the way it is being shown to me now; is that correct?
MS. MEADOWS: Well, basically going back to
we've I've been in discussions with Grain Belt for
over two years now, they haven't once provided a map
of the alternative route not going on me. I've
provided a alternate route of the line not going on
me, but I thought this was interesting, because when I
mentioned that in the February 20th procedural
conference, bam, they made a map of it. But for over
two years I've been saying, Please, don't risk my
life, just move the line south of me where it's not
going to block my drive, or burn my house down if it
falls, and yet, no map, nothing.
So once again it goes back to is anyone
even listening to me? I mean, I really feel like at
this point I was just being listened to for the, I
don't know, fact of just let her talk and then we'll
keep doing what we're already planning on doing

- 1 | We're not going to make any effort to move this line
- 2 or have a discussion about these possible risks or
- 3 anything. So I just thought, how is it that they can
- 4 draw a map up lickety-split based on that one
- 5 conversation, but yet no others in over two years of
- 6 | me trying to get this line moved.
- 7 And also the fact in the response they said
- 8 that they didn't have possession, custody, or control
- 9 of this and it would be more easily prepared by other
- 10 parties using publicly acceptable records.
- JUDGE CLARK: When you say "This," what are
- 12 | you meaning "this"? Define that with particularity
- 13 | for me --
- MS. MEADOWS: Well --
- 15 JUDGE CLARK: -- when you say "They don't
- 16 | have this in their possession, " what do they not have
- 17 | in their possession?
- 18 MS. MEADOWS: I guess the map. Because it
- 19 | didn't make sense to me either to be honest with you.
- JUDGE CLARK: You're talking about a map of
- 21 | an alternative route?
- MS. MEADOWS: Correct.
- JUDGE CLARK: Why would that be relevant to
- 24 | whether or not --
- 25 | MS. MEADOWS: Because to date I have not

- 1 | seen a single map of a possible alternative route.
- 2 And even at this point --
- JUDGE CLARK: Well, I guess why does --
- 4 | Your complaint, and it is a complaint, complaints are
- 5 | limited to whether or not Grain Belt violated a
- 6 | Commission rule, tariff, order, law subject to the
- 7 | Commission's authority, that would be the limitation
- 8 of that. In regards -- So the question really before
- 9 the Commission is largely: Are they violating the
- 10 order, or some rule, tariff, law provision, by running
- 11 | it across your land. So I'm kind of struggling to see
- 12 | what the relevance would be of an alternative route
- 13 other than an attack on the Commission's previous
- 14 order granting them the authorization to construct the
- 15 | line along a preset route.
- MS. MEADOWS: Well, the preset --
- 17 JUDGE CLARK: So is there another purpose
- 18 or relevance to that?
- 19 MS. MEADOWS: I'm trying to keep up here.
- 20 | So basically the route that they have across my
- 21 | property is across my drive, during that procedural
- 22 | conference, I mentioned if they really have to go
- 23 across my property, why can't they just go north of my
- 24 house where it's not over my electric line, not over
- 25 | my drive, I don't walk every day with my animals, why

```
1
    don't they --
 2
                              I remember you saying that.
                JUDGE CLARK:
 3
                MS. MEADOWS:
                              That would have been a much
 4
    easier pill to swallow here. And so they immediately
 5
    drew up a map for that, but for the last two years
    I've said, All you have to do is move it south of me a
 6
 7
    few hundred feet, and then I have no risk.
                                                 There is
 8
    zero risk to me of ever getting blockaded in, or
 9
    falling on my existing -- I mean, you know, there is
    just so many benefits of this not being across my
10
11
    property and blocking my drive and stuff.
12
                And so I'm like, How can you come up with a
13
    map that quick and -- for that question, but you can't
    for me for over the past two years, and then go on to
14
15
    say you don't have that within your ability, and it's
16
    burdensome, and there is other parties using publicly
17
    acceptable records that could more easily prepare it.
    And I'm like, Well, tell me who that is. Because I
18
19
    thought the people building the line would have the
20
    ability and ease of putting the map together, but
21
    apparently it's supposed to be someone else.
22
                JUDGE CLARK:
                              What are you asking for a map
    of again, an alternative route, or a proposed
23
24
    alternative route by yourself?
```

And, Judge, I don't mean to

MR. PRINGLE:

25

- Page 23
- 1 | interrupt, real quick, but just Ms. Hanson is going to
- 2 | be on line for Staff but I need to go to another
- 3 | meeting real quick.
- 4 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you for letting me
- 5 know, Mr. Pringle. And for everybody's information,
- 6 | I, too, have another meeting coming up shortly, but --
- 7 | so we may have to continue this at a future date if we
- 8 can't resolve something now. But, thank you,
- 9 Mr. Pringle, you're excused.
- 10 MR. PRINGLE: Thank you, Judge.
- JUDGE CLARK: Okay.
- 12 MS. CALLENBACH: Judge, may I respond? I'm
- 13 | sorry, go ahead.
- 14 JUDGE CLARK: No, you may go ahead and
- 15 respond and then I'll ask my question again.
- MS. CALLENBACH: Okay. Ms. Meadows has
- 17 | said that -- I don't recall the exact wording, but
- 18 | that there was a question about a route north of her
- 19 property, and we prepared it I believe she said
- 20 | lickety-split. That map was prepared in response to a
- 21 | request from Staff for -- I believe it was
- 22 | Staff DR 12. And, Ms. Hanson, correct me if I'm
- 23 | wrong, for a -- had we ever considered a route that
- 24 | went north of Ms. Meadows' property. And we did, I
- 25 | believe, prepare that, and we did explain why there

- were certain issues with that route as far as
 additional turning structures, and crossing through
- 3 agricultural fields, and unnoticed landowners, etc.,
- 4 so we did prepare that for Staff, but that was really
- 5 the only purpose.
- 6 The reason that we objected to this DR 19
- 7 is it is a request for a very specific rendering
- 8 extending the line west from one tower remaining along
- 9 the roadway for 700 feet before crossing the road at a
- 10 gap in the trees, etc., that is a rendering that we
- 11 | have not done, it's a very specific --
- 12 JUDGE CLARK: Is that -- Ms. Callenbach, is
- 13 | that a rendering of a route that she is proposing?
- MS. CALLENBACH: That is our understanding,
- 15 yes, sir.
- JUDGE CLARK: Is that correct, Ms. Meadows?
- 17 | Is this -- that you're wanting a rendering of a route
- 18 | you're proposing.
- MS. MEADOWS: Well, it's a possible --
- 20 | yeah, it's a proposal of avoiding my property by just
- 21 | continuing the line south of me before crossing over
- 22 | instead of coming across the road and crossing over
- 23 me. Do you have --
- JUDGE CLARK: Why would --
- MS. MEADOWS: I'm sorry. Do you have this

1	map?
2	JUDGE CLARK: Why would they prepare your
3	map for why would they prepare a map of your route
4	for you when you're capable of preparing that map?
5	MS. MEADOWS: Because I don't know where
6	towers would need to be or any of the I mean, they
7	put all of the details in their map that
8	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. So you believe that
9	you lack you can propose an alternative route, but
10	you're saying you lack the technical expertise to
11	place the towers for that route; is that correct?
12	MS. MEADOWS: Correct.
13	JUDGE CLARK: Such that you believe the map
14	would be satisfactory?
15	MS. CALLENBACH: Well, Judge, I'd also
16	point out that Ms. Meadows has already provided a map
17	with a route that is south of her property, it was
18	submitted in response to her November 26th, '24,
19	reply. It does not have tower placement on it, but it
20	is a proposed southern route on a map.
21	MS. MEADOWS: Are you talking about the one
22	that I drew myself?
23	JUDGE CLARK: Again, Ms. Meadows, I'm going
24	to have to say, you can't the fact that they chose
25	to prepare something for Staff doesn't mean that they



- Page 26
- 1 | are compelled to provide something to you that would
- 2 | be different. So they were asked a question by Staff,
- 3 | it sounds like there is a number of ways they could
- 4 | have answered that, including as simply as "Yes" we
- 5 have considered a route to the north, but instead they
- 6 decided to answer that question by preparing a map.
- 7 Discovery does not require them to do that, and so me
- 8 requiring them to create a map that they have not
- 9 previously created is not something that discovery
- 10 | contemplates.
- MS. MEADOWS: Okay. So who would this
- 12 other party using publicly accessible records that
- 13 | could more easily prepare it, who would that be? Who
- 14 | would have the public --
- 15 JUDGE CLARK: I --
- MS. MEADOWS: -- records of the towers and
- 17 | stuff then?
- 18 JUDGE CLARK: I don't know, you would have
- 19 | to ask Grain Belt since they're proposing it.
- 20 Grain Belt, do you have an answer to that,
- 21 | why was a third party thrown in here?
- 22 | MS. CALLENBACH: Judge, I believe that's
- 23 | just the standard language that we utilize when we
- 24 make the indication that it's not in our possession,
- 25 | custody, or control, but it could be done by other

1	means. As Ms. Meadows has already shown by providing
2	a southern rendering in her November reply.
3	JUDGE CLARK: So basically you're just
4	saying a third party could do it, but it's not our
5	responsibility, if Ms. Meadows wants to hire a third
6	party to do so, she can do so; is that correct?
7	MS. CALLENBACH: Yes, for the most part. I
8	wouldn't say that we indicated she should hire
9	somebody, but we said that it would be she's
10	clearly created one map already, so she clearly has
11	the ability to create a second one.
12	MS. MEADOWS: But it says "Using publicly
13	accessible records," so there is public records of
14	where these towers are going to be now, or how they'll
15	need to be
16	JUDGE CLARK: I think Here is the
17	problem I think you're running into, Ms. Meadows, is
18	you're postulating a route that they may or may not
19	have considered. They've certainly indicated that
20	they don't have a map of it of this proposed route
21	of yours to provide you, and so it doesn't sound like
22	they have a map of any towers along the route you've
23	contemplated, so you are in essence asking them to
24	take your map and essentially place towers as they
25	should be appropriately placed along that route. I

1 think you can ask them at the hearing, subject to 2 objection, to point out where they might put towers 3 along a map that you provide, but I don't think that they are required to provide where they might put 4 5 towers along a route that you've proposed --Well, they did indicate --6 MS. MEADOWS: 7 JUDGE CLARK: -- outside of that for 8 discovery purposes. 9 They did indicate in one of MS. MEADOWS: 10 their data requests, and I don't have that one in 11 front of me unfortunately, but they indicated one of 12 the reasons that it would be a problem moving the line 13 is because of having to -- because of the towers, and how they would have to do turning structures, and I 14 15 don't know, it was way over my head what they were 16 talking about. But it sounded like to me they had 17 already had a map that they had drawn up and they knew 18 that, or maybe they were just able to -- I don't know how any of this works, maybe they were just able to 19 20 look at a map and be like, Oh, yeah, if we put a line 21 there, we'd have to (technical difficulty,) maybe they 22 don't actually have to map it out to know that, I 23 don't know. 24 But again, we're going on me going back and 25 forth, Please move this line, please (technical

- 1 | difficulty,) you'll see the detriment that this is
- 2 going to cause, this -- why can't you just move the
- 3 | line a little south of me. And yet no maps were ever
- 4 drawn of that potential. And is that going to be the
- 5 excuse they have if hopefully the hearing -- after the
- 6 hearing, the PSC agrees this should be moved? Well,
- 7 | we don't have a map, so we can't move it. I mean, I
- 8 don't know how this works maybe, that's not even an
- 9 excuse.
- 10 JUDGE CLARK: Well, I'm not going to get to
- 11 | what kind of relief would be available to the
- 12 | Commission if it's determined that Grain Belt violated
- 13 | a rule, order, tariff, law, so I'm not going to get
- 14 | into what relief would be done, but certainly one
- 15 | would assume that if the Commission ordered an
- 16 | alternative route, that we would have to design a map
- 17 | thereof.
- 18 | MS. MEADOWS: Okay. That's fine.
- 19 JUDGE CLARK: Here is what I'd like to do
- 20 | with this right now, because I am running around --
- 21 out of time on this with another conference, it sounds
- 22 | like for DR 18 they're going to submit a privilege log
- 23 | for me to look at. And that's correct,
- 24 Ms. Callenbach?
- MS. CALLENBACH: Yes, Judge.



Page 30 And if they have -- they have 1 JUDGE CLARK: 2 voiced an objection to answering DR 19 because it asks 3 to -- it asks them to render something that currently does not exist for them, and I'm not going to require 4 5 them to do that. However, if you can somehow come up with different questions that they might be able to 6 7 answer around that subject, that might be something 8 you could do. 9 And since Ms. Callenbach correctly said 10 that while you have added DR 13, or that Request 13, 11 from January 13, that is not something you asked them 12 to compel in regard to providing all maps, memos, 13 communications. It sounds like from them, I asked 14 them if "Discuss my property," narrowed it 15 sufficiently. And I still have my thoughts on that 16 going both directions as to whether I think what 17 they're saying in that regard is reasonable or not, 18 but certainly I think there is room to narrow down 19 that request timewise or subjectwise in such a way to 20 give them another chance to answer that. 21 MS. MEADOWS: Okay. 22 JUDGE CLARK: What I would --23 Because if it's as --MS. MEADOWS: What I would like to do --24 JUDGE CLARK: 25 MS. MEADOWS: -- easy as submitting a new



- Page 31
- 1 data request, I'm not opposed that, I just -- I don't
- 2 | want the same response back or whatever if I do that
- 3 | that --
- 4 JUDGE CLARK: I can't quarantee that. I
- 5 | mean, they're allowed to object to any response they
- 6 | don't like, and I'll determine whether or not their
- 7 | objections are valid or not, I'm asking them to submit
- 8 a privilege log as to that one where they have to at
- 9 | least in a general kind of way indicate to me what the
- 10 privilege is such that I can see how it would apply.
- 11 | That's generally I believe the requirement for a
- 12 | discovery log.
- But in regards to DR 13 and DR 19, it
- 14 | sounds like -- what I would like you to do is to
- 15 communicate with Grain Belt and see if you can --
- 16 Ms. Callenbach, will you guys see if you can help her
- 17 | narrow it down to something where you might be able to
- 18 | provide her something?
- 19 MS. CALLENBACH: Yes, we can talk with her
- 20 | about that.
- 21 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. And the answer may be,
- 22 | you know, "no", there is nothing we can narrow it down
- 23 | to that we can provide. But again, you know, you may
- 24 have to -- you may have to submit your reasons for
- 25 | that, and then I'll have to determine whether those

reasoning -- that reasoning is correct. Not in terms of the privilege log, but in regard to overly broad or burdensome.

But it sounds like, as I had indicated before, Ms. Meadows, I was going to deny this motion on its face for failure to follow procedures, but then Grain Belt did not respond or object, and then you asked for a discovery conference. I don't like having to force parties to provide stuff, I prefer them to provide it in good faith, I would like to -- for you to make another stab at getting the information from them, and then if that doesn't work, if you'll follow the rule, and to that effect, ask for an immediate telephone conference with me, or, I honestly don't mind having things noticed up for a discovery conference, so we can certainly have another one of those.

But it's -- I'm in a very interesting situation in that I am talking about the substance of a motion that I would have to rule on but for the fact that it was procedurally deficient, but at the same time I think there is some merit in there, and so if you can work to resolve that without me having to make a ruling on it, I think that keeps communication open better between the parties. But certainly, you know,

1 you're opposing parties, and you're allowed to dig 2 into that position, and if that's what you choose to 3 do, then I will be happy to rule on Motions to Compel. Give me just a second to think. Given that 4 5 we're currently scheduled, I believe, for what, August 19th, I think I lists -- I think I put out the 6 7 procedural schedule today that was agreed to by the 8 parties, given that we're scheduled for August the 9 19th, and we're now in the mid part of June, 10 Ms. Meadows, would you attempt to resolve your 11 discovery issues with Grain Belt by perhaps narrowing 12 the scope of what you're asking for, or trying to 13 reach with them an agreement as to what they are 14 willing to provide before the end of the month, and then if that is -- if you guys are unable to agree to 15 16 something, Ms. Meadows, you can at that time file 17 another motion which I will take up. 18 MS. MEADOWS: Okay. That's fine. 19 JUDGE CLARK: Is that reasonable? 20 MS. MEADOWS: Sure. 21 JUDGE CLARK: And so, if you are unable to 22 resolve it by the 30th of June, you can file a motion 23 on July the 1st if you'd like or any time thereafter. 24 Grain Belt, is that an acceptable 25 resolution to you or would you like me to go ahead and

1	rule on this motion and then do all of that outside of
2	the
3	MS. CALLENBACH: Excuse me, Judge. Well, I
4	mean, as I indicated, we thought the Motion to Compel
5	was premature to begin with, which is why we did not
6	file a written response to it since we had conferred
7	with Ms. Meadows and requested that it be withdrawn.
8	JUDGE CLARK: And I believe you said that
9	was because you had until May 27th to answer; is that
10	correct?
11	MS. CALLENBACH: Yes, sir. And this was
12	filed on May 23rd.
13	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. So are you I guess
14	the bottom line question, are you opposed to the way
15	I'm addressing this?
16	MS. CALLENBACH: No, sir, we are not
17	opposed. If I could just ask one clarifying question,
18	you asked that narrowing to occur if possible by
19	June 30th, is that the date you'd like our privilege
20	log as well or is that some other date?
21	JUDGE CLARK: I would say, if ever having
22	communicated with Ms. Meadows in regard to discovery
23	she wants, there is still discovery for which you are
24	unwilling to provide because it would fall under
25	privilege, or work product, or some other privilege,



- 1 | that at that time you would provide a privilege log.
- 2 | I'm not -- If you guys reach an agreement whereby
- 3 | she's satisfied that you provided sufficient
- 4 | information, and you don't believe you need to claim
- 5 privilege as to what's been asked, then that -- I
- 6 don't see why that would be necessary, but if it's
- 7 | still an outstanding issue like it is where you're
- 8 objecting to answer on privileged grounds, then, yes,
- 9 | I think the privilege -- I think the -- I think prior
- 10 to me ruling on the Motion to Compel I would need to
- 11 | see the privilege log.
- MS. CALLENBACH: Okay. So, I'm sorry, I
- 13 | thought you had said that you would like a privilege
- 14 | log on DR 18, but I'm sorry to be --
- 15 JUDGE CLARK: I did say that. I did in
- 16 | fact actually say that --
- 17 MS. CALLENBACH: Okay.
- 18 | JUDGE CLARK: -- but it does not sound like
- 19 | that is what's going to be necessary, so --
- 20 MS. CALLENBACH: Okay. I'm just trying to
- 21 | clarify what you --
- JUDGE CLARK: If you you're attempting to
- 23 | work things out, I don't want you to have to prepare a
- 24 document that may ultimately be unnecessary --
- MS. CALLENBACH: Okay.



1	JUDGE CLARK: but if you're unable to
2	work things out, then, yeah, I'm going to need to see
3	that document just so that I can say satisfactory to
4	Ms. Meadows, Yes, this meets of the privilege
5	requirement.
6	MS. CALLENBACH: Okay. Thank you. I
7	appreciate the clarification.
8	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. And that's acceptable?
9	MS. CALLENBACH: Yes, that's acceptable.
10	JUDGE CLARK: Because I know I do things in
11	a rather unorthodox manner sometimes and that I'm not
12	getting on and saying, I'm going to deny this motion
13	but you can file another one, because I don't see the
14	need to add unnecessarily additional procedure.
15	MS. CALLENBACH: Understood.
16	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Well, I do have
17	another conference to get to, and I apologize for
18	that.
19	Are there other matters that the Commission
20	needs to take up at this time?
21	MS. HANSON: Judge Clark, just one
22	clarifying question. So Grain Belt and Ms. Meadows
23	would be trying to resolve, let's see, 13, 18, and 19
24	by June 30th, and if that's not it's not resolved
25	by then, then she would Cheri would file the

- 1 motion?
- 2 JUDGE CLARK: Then I'm authorizing her to
- 3 | file a Motion to Compel.
- 4 MS. HANSON: Okay. Perfect. Thank
- 5 you.
- 6 JUDGE CLARK: Then we don't have to do all
- 7 of the other stuff and I will consider that as having
- 8 occurred here. In other words, we're doing things
- 9 backwards, we're doing the -- what would normally be
- 10 the immediate phone conference now, and then working
- 11 | back from that, we are doing the trying to work it out
- 12 | with Grain Belt, and then finally a Motion to Compel
- 13 | could be filed on the 1st provided that neither of
- 14 | those things are satisfied.
- 15 MS. HANSON: Great. Okay. Thank you.
- 16 JUDGE CLARK: Did I make sense?
- MS. HANSON: Yes.
- 18 JUDGE CLARK: All right. Is there anything
- 19 else the Commission needs to take up at this time?
- 20 | MS. CALLENBACH: No, Judge --
- MS. MEADOWS: No.
- 22 | JUDGE CLARK: One at a time, please. I'll
- 23 | just start with, Ms. Meadows, anything that I need to
- 24 | take up at this time?
- MS. MEADOWS: My (inaudible) is still

Page 38

1 stemming from all of this from trying to digest 2 because you just keep saying a new motion, you're 3 meaning another Motion to Compel I would need to file; 4 correct? 5 JUDGE CLARK: Essentially. Because 6 essentially what I'm asking the parties to do is to 7 work together, you're pro se, but the rules say that 8 you have to follow the same rules as an attorney --9 MS. MEADOWS: Sure. 10 JUDGE CLARK: -- I can't bend or break 11 those, but it -- when parties communicate, it makes 12 everything easier, so I'm asking you and Grain Belt to 13 communicate to see if you could work out the discovery 14 issues without involving me, and then if you're unable 15 to work -- if you're unable to work those out, I would 16 like you to file a Motion to Compel. If this --17 MS. MEADOWS: Okay. 18 JUDGE CLARK: -- Motion is still accurate, you can use most of this motion, if the requests have 19 20 been narrowed or changed that they are refusing to 21 answer, then you would need to point out how those 22 have been narrowed or changed --23 MS. MEADOWS: Sure. Yeah. 24 JUDGE CLARK: -- for me to go on --25 MS. MEADOWS: Yeah, I got all of that, but

- 1 | you just kept --
- 2 JUDGE CLARK: So you would need to, you
- 3 | know --
- 4 MS. MEADOWS: -- saying a motion, and I
- 5 | wanted to make sure I understood exactly when you said
- 6 motion you meant Motion to Compel, not some other
- 7 | motion that --
- 8 JUDGE CLARK: Just like you did here, this
- 9 is a great Motion to Compel. Again, I'm always
- 10 | impressed with your legal work.
- 11 MS. MEADOWS: Okay. Okay. I just want to
- 12 | make sure I understand exactly what you're saying, so
- 13 | that all sounds good with me, I'm fine with that.
- 14 | Thank you.
- 15 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you,
- 16 Ms. Meadows.
- 17 | Anything additional from Grain Belt?
- 18 | MS. CALLENBACH: No, Judge. Thank you.
- 19 JUDGE CLARK: Anything from Commission
- 20 | Staff?
- MS. HANSON: No, Judge. Thank you.
- 22 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you all for
- 23 | being here today. I appreciate you taking the time.
- 24 | I'm sorry that I am a little bit rushed today, and I
- 25 | -- we will go off the record and I will adjourn this

```
Page 40
    discovery conference.
 1
                  (End of audio recording
 2
    EC-2025-0136-Meadows v. Grain Belt Express-Discovery
 3
 4
    Conference-20250612 1952-1.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```





24

25

	Audio T	ranscript June 12, 202	5Index: 100-plusbeginnin
		agreed 33:7	assume 29:15
1 100-plus 17:23	4240-2.090 4:18	agreement 33:13 35:2	assuming 10:6 11:2
100-pius 17.23 12 23:22	4240-2.090 4.10	agrees 29:6	attack 21:13
12 23.22 12th 3:2	6	agricultural 24:3	attempt 33:10
13 16:16 17:10,14 18:12 30:10,11	6 4:18	ahead 23:13,14 33:25	attempted 5:12 10:9
31:13 36:23	7	allowed 8:18 31:5 33:1	attempting 35:22
18 8:21 16:9,12 18:10 29:22 35:14	700 18:24 24:9	alternate 19:13	attorney 12:13,14 38:8
36:23 19 18:11,13 24:6	A	alternative 19:12 20:21 21:1,12	attorney/client 8:25 9:3
30:2 31:13 36:23 1952-1 2:3	ability 22:15,20	22:23,24 25:9 29:16	attorneys 3:10 7:3 9:5
19th 33:6,9	27:11	Andrea 3:25	audio 2:1
1st 33:23 37:13	acceptable 20:10 22:17 33:24 36:8,	animals 21:25	August 33:6,8
	9	Anne 3:20	authority 21:7
2	accessible 26:12 27:13	answering 8:2,7 30:2	authorization 21:14
20 4:18	accidentally 6:3	answers 8:12	authorized 6:11
2025 3:2	accurate 38:18	apologize 7:2	authorizing 37:2
20th 19:15	add 36:14	36:17	avoid 14:3
21 13:11	added 30:10	apparently 12:13 14:3 22:21	avoiding 24:20
23rd 7:12,16 34:12	additional 24:2	appearance 3:10	aware 2:8 6:18
24 25:18	36:14 39:17	appearing 3:21	
26th 25:18	address 14:20	appears 2:21	В
27th 7:18 8:22 14:25 34:9	addressing 14:16 34:15	apply 11:22,25 31:10	back 17:21 18:3 19:9,21 28:24
2:03 3:3	adjourn 39:25	appropriately	31:2 37:11
3	admissible 16:25	27:25	backwards 37:9
	admitted 10:7	approximately	bam 19:16
3 18:23	affected 13:6	18:24	based 20:4
30th 33:22 34:19 36:24	afternoon 2:16 3:19 17:16	asks 18:10 30:2,3	basically 13:7
34 18:23	agent 10:10 13:13	asserting 12:8	19:9 21:20 27:3
3rd 14:24	agree 6:8 33:15	assessments 16:18	begin 3:9 34:5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	agico 0.0 00.10	10.10	beginning 2:1



	7.00.0 1.	- Carlo 12, 2020	maon bonamion voicano
19:1	call 9:16	8:15 9:2,5,11,15,	13:21 14:17,18,21
behalf 3:17,21,24	call-in 2:17	19 10:23 11:16,24	17:11 30:12 33:3
Belt 2:2 3:6,17,21,	called 4:19 6:6	12:2,5 14:12,14 15:9,12,17 16:15	34:4 35:10 37:3, 12 38:3,16 39:6,9
23 7:8 10:8 11:9	12:24	17:13,17 18:6	compelled 17:15
13:14 14:20	Callenbach 3:19,	20:11,15,20,23	26:1
16:11,13,19,23	20 7:10 8:8,10,19	21:3,17 22:2,22	_
17:6 19:10 21:5 26:19,20 29:12	9:4,6,12,17 14:22	23:4,11,14 24:12,	Complainant 3:6
31:15 32:7 33:11,	15:15 16:14 17:9,	16,24 25:2,8,13, 23 26:15,18 27:3,	complaint 21:4
24 36:22 37:12	14,18 23:12,16 24:12,14 25:15	16 28:7 29:10,19	complaints 21:4
38:12 39:17	26:22 27:7 29:24,	30:1,22,24 31:4,	concern 13:18
bend 38:10	25 30:9 31:16,19	21 33:19,21 34:8,	concerns 13:8
benefits 22:10	34:3,11,16 35:12,	13,21 35:15,18,22	Confer 6:5
billion 12:20	17,20,25 36:6,9,	36:1,8,10,16,21 37:2,6,16,18,22	
	15 37:20 39:18	38:5,10,18,24	conference 2:24
bit 9:23 18:7,15 39:24	calling 10:17	39:2,8,15,19,22	3:5 4:16,17,19,21 5:15,18 19:16
	calls 10:9	CLS 9:8	21:22 29:21 32:8,
block 19:19	capable 25:4	Commission 3:4,	14,16 36:17 37:10
blockaded 22:8	captioned 3:5	24 4:18 6:12 21:6,	Conference-
blocking 22:11	cared 13:19	9 29:12,15 36:19	20250612 2:3
bottom 34:14		37:19 39:19	conferred 34:6
bounds 8:16 17:1	case 3:5,7,9 4:10, 11 5:17 11:23	Commission's	Connector 16:21
break 2:15 38:10		5:10 6:15 21:7,13	considered 23:23
	cases 4:7 5:11 12:6	common 2:24	26:5 27:19
briefly 12:9		communicate	constraint 18:2
broad 16:23 17:7	caveat 15:22	31:15 38:11,13	
18:1 32:2	chance 30:20	communicated	construct 21:14
brought 13:8	changed 38:20,22	34:22	construed 17:24
brown 9:18,20,25	Cheri 3:6 36:25	communication	contemplated
10:20 15:5	choose 33:2	12:12 32:24	27:23
brushed 13:17	chose 14:18 25:24	communications	contemplates 26:10
building 22:19	claim 35:4	16:17 30:13	
burdensome	clarification 36:7	comp 13:2	content 5:9
16:24 22:16 32:3		companies 12:22	continue 23:7
burn 19:19	clarify 35:21	13:2	continuing 24:21
business 11:6	clarifying 34:17 36:22	company 12:21	contractor 16:20
		13:4	control 20:8 26:25
С	Clark 2:4,6,11,13,	compel 4:20,25	conversation
	/		
calculated 16:24	20 3:8,14,17,23 4:4 5:25 6:7,18,	5:5,11 6:5 7:12, 15,17 8:14,21	11:13 20:5



		<u>.</u>	25Index: conversationsfa
conversations	decided 5:18 26:6	20 13:12 15:5	end 17:5 33:14
11:4,7 13:5,14 15:2,7	deficient 32:21	19:10	ending 8:3
copied 9:9	Define 20:12	dispute 5:13	entertain 6:12
correct 3:12,15	deny 5:7,19 32:5 36:12	Doctrine 12:18 document 35:24	entitled 4:10 5:21 9:16 11:16
8:9 9:16 11:10 18:10,15 19:8	denying 5:8	36:3	entry 3:10
20:22 23:22 24:16 25:11,12 27:6	design 29:16	documentation 10:13,16	essence 27:23
29:23 32:1 34:10 38:4	detail 17:21 details 25:7	documents 16:16, 18,19	essentially 19:7 27:24 38:5,6
correctly 6:1,23	determine 16:7	dollar 12:21	established 18:3
12:15 30:9	31:6,25	draw 20:4	everybody's 23:5
Counsel 4:6	determined 29:12	drawn 28:17 29:4	evidence 12:7 17:1
couple 2:14 12:22	detriment 29:1	drew 22:5 25:22	exact 5:1 23:17
court 2:23 covered 8:25 9:3,	difficulty 18:8 28:21 29:1	drive 19:19 21:21, 25 22:11	excuse 15:10
10	dig 33:1	drive-bys 18:5	29:5,9 34:3 excused 23:9
create 26:8 27:11 created 26:9 27:10	digest 38:1 directions 30:16	due 7:18	exist 11:12 14:3
crossing 24:2,9,	directly 11:19	E	15:21 19:4 30:4
21,22	disadvantage		existing 11:14 22:9
CSR 4:18	16:3	ease 22:20	expertise 25:10
curious 7:24	disagree 7:8 17:5	easier 22:4 38:12	expertise 25:10 explain 12:8 23:25
current 3:3 custody 20:8	discovery 2:24 3:5 4:19,21 5:1,6,13,	easily 20:9 22:17 26:13	explained 14:23
26:25	15,18,22 6:9,13	easy 30:25	18:17 19:1
customers 12:23	8:4 11:17 15:14 16:25 26:7,9 28:8	EC-2025-0136 3:7	Express 3:6,18,22 16:20
13:3	31:12 32:8,15 33:11 34:22,23	EC-2025- 0136—MEADOWS 2:2	Express—discove y 2:2
D	38:13	effect 32:13	extended 18:23
data 7:16 13:10,23 28:10 31:1	discuss 4:20 5:22 30:14	effort 20:1	extending 24:8
date 3:2 5:1 8:5	discussed 13:24 16:22 17:6,19,24	elected 2:25	extent 9:6 15:1 extremely 17:25
20:25 23:7 34:19,	18:1	electric 21:24	
20	1'	electronic 16:19	F
20 day 11:4 21:25	discussion 8:1 17:22 20:2	emails 8:23 9:7,13	



	Audio T	ranscript June	12, 2025Index: factJudge
fact 6:17 7:11 9:17	frustrated 13:22		13:12 16:7,10
19:24 20:7 25:24	future 4:10 6:2	Н	23:5 32:11 35:4
32:20 35:16	23:7	Hanson 2:12,16,	instance 12:1
failure 32:6		19 3:25 23:1,22	insurance 13:2
fair 14:1	G	36:21 37:4,15,17	interest 4:9
faith 32:10	gap 24:10	39:21	interested 7:23
fall 12:15 34:24	general 15:18 31:9	happen 5:17	interesting 19:14
falling 22:9	generally 12:6	happy 14:23 33:3	32:18
falls 19:20	31:11	head 28:15	interns 4:2
fault 6:4 14:15	gist 11:17	hear 2:4,5 4:6	interrupt 15:11
February 19:15	give 2:13 18:8	heard 14:17	23:1
feel 13:16 14:4	30:20 33:4	hearing 9:21 14:1	Invenergy 9:7
17:25 19:22	good 2:11,16 3:19	28:1 29:5,6	12:20
feet 18:25 22:7	32:10 39:13	hire 27:5,8	involved 16:21
24:9	Grain 2:2 3:6,17,	Hold 6:9	involving 38:14
fields 24:3	21,23 7:8 10:8 11:9 13:14 14:20	honest 20:19	issue 17:2,3 35:7
file 33:16,22 34:6	16:11,13,19,23	honestly 5:8 32:14	issues 4:16 24:1
36:13,25 37:3 38:3,16	17:6 19:10 21:5	house 9:25 10:5,	33:11 38:14
filed 4:25 5:2,12,	26:19,20 29:12 31:15 32:7 33:11,	12,14 19:19 21:24	J
23 7:12,16 8:12	24 36:22 37:12	hundred 22:7	
34:12 37:13	38:12 39:17		January 30:11
filing 6:4 8:13	granting 21:14	I	Jason 9:17,25
finally 37:12	graphic 17:22	idea 15:18	10:5,20 15:5
find 17:7	great 4:4 37:15	illegal 15:23	John 3:8
finding 14:3	39:9	immediately 22:4	Judge 2:4,5,6,11,
15:21,22	Greg 9:8 10:1,4,12	immoral 15:23	13,20 3:9,14,17, 20,23 4:4 5:25
fine 8:20 15:12	15:6	impressed 39:10	6:7,18,21,24 7:1,
29:18 33:18 39:13	grounds 5:19 35:8	improper 7:19	6,10,23 8:15 9:2,
Firm 3:20	guarantee 31:4	inaudible 37:25	5,11,15,19 10:23 11:16,23,24 12:2,
focus 17:2	guess 20:18 21:3 34:13	include 4:17 17:20	5 14:12,14,22
follow 12:3 32:6,			15:9,12,17 16:14,
12 38:8	guy 10:18	including 16:18 26:4	15 17:13,17 18:6 20:11,15,20,23
force 32:9	guys 31:16 33:15 35:2	indicating 8:22	21:3,17 22:2,22,
foregoing 8:23		indication 26:24	25 23:4,10,11,12,
front 9:25 28:11		information 10:8	14 24:12,16,24 25:2,8,13,15,23
			26:15,18,22 27:3,
Í			



20 21:1 22:5,13,

20,22 23:20 25:1,

16 28:7 29:10,19, 25 30:1,22,24 31:4,21 33:19,21 34:3,8,13,21 35:15,18,22 36:1, 8,10,16,21 37:2,6, 16,18,20,22 38:5, 10,18,24 39:2,8, 15,18,19,21,22 **July** 33:23 jumped 14:14,15 **June** 3:2 14:24 33:9,22 34:19 36:24 Κ kind 4:17,22,24 6:3 8:3 11:17 13:22 14:14,15 18:16 21:11 29:11 31:9 **kinds** 4:7 5:11 knew 28:17 L lack 25:9,10 land 10:10 13:13 17:8 21:11

landowners 24:3 language 26:23 large 12:23 largely 5:19 21:9 law 3:9,20 21:6,10 29:13 **lead** 16:25

legal 39:10

length 11:2

letters 10:10

letting 23:4 lickety-split 20:4 23:20 **life** 19:18 limitation 21:7 limited 21:5 listed 8:2 listened 19:23 listening 13:19 19:22 **lists** 33:6 literally 10:4 13:10 **lives** 13:5 **LLC** 3:7,22 **log** 11:22,25 12:2 14:6 15:14 16:5. 11 29:22 31:8,12 32:2 34:20 35:1, 11,14 **lot** 8:17 10:2,7,25 12:6 М **made** 13:19 19:16 **main** 5:8

make 3:10 10:15, 19 12:24 13:17 14:13 18:9 19:5 20:1,19 26:24 32:11,23 37:16 39:5,12 **makes** 38:11 manner 36:11 manufacture 19:3 manufacturer 11:11 map 18:20 19:4, 11,16,20 20:4,18,

3,4,7,13,16,20 26:6.8 27:10.20. 22,24 28:3,17,20, 22 29:7.16 maps 16:16 29:3 30:12 matter 17:3 matters 8:6 36:19 **Meadows** 2:9,14, 17,18 3:6,11,12, 13,16 4:22 5:24 6:1,16,20,22,24, 25 7:5 8:9 9:19,22 11:15,22,25 12:4, 17 14:13,23 15:10 18:14 19:9 20:14, 18,22,25 21:16,19 22:3 23:16 24:16, 19,25 25:5,12,16, 21,23 26:11,16 27:1,5,12,17 28:6, 9 29:18 30:21,23, 25 32:5 33:10,16, 18,20 34:7,22 36:4,22 37:21,23, 25 38:9,17,23,25 39:4,11,16 Meadows' 4:20 7:11 15:13 23:24 meaning 20:12 38:3 means 10:2 27:1 meant 39:6 **Meet** 6:5 meet-and-confer 7:14,20,21 **meeting** 23:3,6 meetings 13:15 **meets** 36:4

member 4:2 memos 8:24 16:17 30:12 mentioned 7:24 19:15 21:22 merit 32:22 met 10:4 16:7 **mid** 33:9 mind 32:15 minutes 2:14 missed 4:12 6:25 month 33:14 motion 4:20,25 5:5,11 6:5 7:11,15 8:1,14,20 13:21 14:16,18,21 17:10,12 32:5,20 33:17,22 34:1,4 35:10 36:12 37:1, 3,12 38:2,3,16,18, 19 39:4,6,7,9 **motions** 5:23 6:13 33:3 **move** 19:18 20:1 22:6 28:25 29:2,7 **moved** 13:9 20:6 29:6 **moving** 28:12 multi-billion 12:21 multiple 11:1 Ν **narrow** 30:18 31:17,22 narrowed 17:2 30:14 38:20,22



narrowing 33:11

34:18

personal 14:10 persons 16:20 Phillips 10:11 phone 5:16 12:24 14:24 37:10 piece 13:11 pill 22:4 place 13:13 25:11 27:24 7 placement 18:14 25:19 7 planning 19:25 pleading 5:2 point 8:4 12:19 13:16,22 14:17 17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21 pointed 18:8	prepared 20:9 23:19,20 preparing 25:4 26:6 present 2:23 4:5 preset 21:15,16 presiding 4:15 5:14 previous 21:13 previously 19:7 26:9 Pringle 2:5,6,9 4:1 22:25 23:5,9,10 prior 35:9 privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14 16:5,8,11 29:22
Phillips 10:11 phone 5:16 12:24 14:24 37:10 piece 13:11 pill 22:4 place 13:13 25:11 27:24 placement 18:14 25:19 planning 19:25 pleading 5:2 point 8:4 12:19 13:16,22 14:17 17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	preparing 25:4 26:6 present 2:23 4:5 preset 21:15,16 presiding 4:15 5:14 previous 21:13 previously 19:7 26:9 Pringle 2:5,6,9 4:1 22:25 23:5,9,10 prior 35:9 privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
phone 5:16 12:24 14:24 37:10 piece 13:11 pill 22:4 place 13:13 25:11 27:24 placement 18:14 25:19 planning 19:25 pleading 5:2 point 8:4 12:19 13:16,22 14:17 17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	26:6 present 2:23 4:5 preset 21:15,16 presiding 4:15 5:14 previous 21:13 previously 19:7 26:9 Pringle 2:5,6,9 4:1 22:25 23:5,9,10 prior 35:9 privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
14:24 37:10 piece 13:11 pill 22:4 place 13:13 25:11	present 2:23 4:5 preset 21:15,16 presiding 4:15 5:14 previous 21:13 previously 19:7 26:9 Pringle 2:5,6,9 4:1 22:25 23:5,9,10 prior 35:9 privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
piece 13:11 pill 22:4 place 13:13 25:11 27:24 placement 18:14 25:19 planning 19:25 pleading 5:2 point 8:4 12:19 13:16,22 14:17 17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	preset 21:15,16 presiding 4:15 5:14 previous 21:13 previously 19:7 26:9 Pringle 2:5,6,9 4:1 22:25 23:5,9,10 prior 35:9 privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
pill 22:4 place 13:13 25:11 27:24 placement 18:14 25:19 planning 19:25 pleading 5:2 point 8:4 12:19 13:16,22 14:17 17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	presiding 4:15 5:14 previous 21:13 previously 19:7 26:9 Pringle 2:5,6,9 4:1 22:25 23:5,9,10 prior 35:9 privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
place 13:13 25:11 27:24 placement 18:14 25:19 planning 19:25 pleading 5:2 point 8:4 12:19 13:16,22 14:17 17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	5:14 previous 21:13 previously 19:7 26:9 Pringle 2:5,6,9 4:1 22:25 23:5,9,10 prior 35:9 privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
7 placement 18:14 25:19 7 planning 19:25 pleading 5:2 point 8:4 12:19 13:16,22 14:17 17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	previously 19:7 26:9 Pringle 2:5,6,9 4:1 22:25 23:5,9,10 prior 35:9 privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
placement 18.14 25:19 7 planning 19:25 pleading 5:2 point 8:4 12:19 13:16,22 14:17 17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	26:9 Pringle 2:5,6,9 4:1 22:25 23:5,9,10 prior 35:9 privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
7 planning 19:25 pleading 5:2 point 8:4 12:19 13:16,22 14:17 17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	Pringle 2:5,6,9 4:1 22:25 23:5,9,10 prior 35:9 privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
pleading 5:2 point 8:4 12:19 13:16,22 14:17 17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	22:25 23:5,9,10 prior 35:9 privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
point 8:4 12:19 13:16,22 14:17 17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	prior 35:9 privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
13:16,22 14:17 17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	privilege 9:1,3,10 11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
17:10 18:11,20 19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	11:22,25 12:2,8,9, 16 14:6 15:14
19:23 21:2 25:16 28:2 38:21	
28:2 38:21	16:5,8,11 29:22
nainted 19:0	31:8,10 32:2
pointed 16.6	34:19,25 35:1,5,9,
Polsinelli 3:21	11,13 36:4
position 33:2	privileged 9:13
possession 16:19	12:12 14:6 15:4, 25 16:10 35:8
1 20:8,16,17 26:24	pro 3:12 38:7
possibly 5:21	problem 27:17
12 postulating 27:18	28:12
4, potential 29:4	problems 9:23
predated 7:25	procedural 5:19
predates 8:1	19:15 21:21 33:7
, prefer 32:9	procedurally 7:19
prehearing 4:16	32:21
premature 7:19	procedure 5:9 36:14
34:5	
preparation 17:22	procedures 32:6 proceeding 4:11
prepare 22:17	
25 26:13 35:23	process 7:14
9	product 12:18 16:1 34:25
	1 20:8,16,17 26:24 possibly 5:21 postulating 27:18 4, potential 29:4 predated 7:25 predates 8:1 prefer 32:9 prehearing 4:16 premature 7:19 34:5 prepare 22:17 23:25 24:4 25:2,3, 25 26:13 35:23



products 13:3	put 8:3 12:10 18:7	ranscript June 12 recording 2:1	2, 2025Index: productsRic 18:10,13 19:6
•	25:7 28:2,4,20		23:21 24:7 30:10,
project 16:21	33:6	records 20:10 22:17 26:12,16	19 31:1
property 10:6 13:24 14:9 16:22	putting 22:20	27:13	requested 8:20
17:6,20,25 18:1,		reference 6:2	34:7
19 21:21,23 22:11 23:19,24 24:20	Q	referenced 17:11	requests 7:17 13:23 28:10 38:19
25:17 30:14	question 2:11	referred 12:17	
proposal 24:20	17:19 21:8 22:13 23:15,18 26:2,6	refusing 38:20	require 19:3,4 26:7 30:4
propose 25:9	34:14,17 36:22	regard 30:12,17 32:2 34:22	required 11:11,12 12:8 28:4
proposed 22:23	questions 8:17		
25:20 27:20 28:5	9:20 11:19 30:6	regularity 7:4	requirement 31:11 36:5
proposing 24:13,	quick 22:13 23:1,3	regulation 7:13	
18 26:19	quote 17:24	Regulatory 3:8	requirements 6:13
propound 11:17	quoting 10:2	related 17:3	requires 7:14
prospects 11:6		relevance 21:12,	requiring 26:8
protested 13:8	R	18	researching 14:5
provide 9:14 15:8,	ran 9:23	relevant 20:23	resolution 33:25
13 16:11,16 18:22 26:1 27:21 28:3,4	re- 9:4 17:14	relief 17:12 29:11,	resolutions 12:25
31:18,23 32:9,10	reach 33:13 35:2	remaining 18:24	resolve 5:13 23:8
33:14 34:24 35:1	reached 7:13	24:8	32:23 33:10,22 36:23
provided 8:4		remember 5:1	resolved 36:24
19:11,13 25:16 35:3 37:13	real 23:1,3	22:2	
	reason 5:8 13:21 24:6	remind 4:14	respond 5:3,4 23:12,15 32:7
providing 27:1 30:12		render 30:3	•
	reasonable 16:1 30:17 33:19		responded 5:5 8:21
provision 21:10		rendering 18:21, 22 24:7,10,13,17	
PSC 29:6	reasoning 32:1	27:2	Respondent 3:7
public 4:6 26:14	reasons 16:1 28:12 31:24	reply 12:18 25:19	response 9:23 12:10 20:7 23:20
27:13	recall 23:17	27:2	25:18 31:2,5 34:6
publicly 20:10 22:16 26:12 27:12		report 16:17	responses 7:16
purpose 21:17	receive 8:10,11	reporter 2:23	8:21 14:25 18:10
24:5	received 7:11 8:6, 8,16	represented 5:14	responsibility 27:5
purposes 28:8	record 2:23 3:11	representing 3:14	responsive 8:24
pursuant 4:17	39:25	request 4:21 5:18	_
5:10 15:24	recorded 11:8	7:15 8:24 13:11	restricted 17:8
	Ī	15:13 17:1,12	Rick 10:10



	714410 1	ranscript sune	72, 202311dex. 113ktriirigs
risk 16:17 19:17 22:7,8	sense 10:15 14:13 20:19 37:16	staff 3:24 4:1,5 23:2,21,22 24:4	sufficiently 30:15
risks 20:2	set 3:4	25:25 26:2 39:20	summarily 5:7
road 24:9,22	share 14:6,8,11	stand 11:20	summarize 18:16
roadway 18:24	short 2:15	standard 26:23	supplied 10:8
24:9	shortly 23:6	standpoint 7:20	supposed 22:21
room 30:18	show 2:14,16	17:19	swallow 22:4
route 18:17,18	showed 10:12	star 18:7	
19:12,13 20:21 21:1,12,15,20	18:20	start 2:7 4:23 37:23	table 17:16
22:23,24 23:18,23	shown 18:18 19:8 27:1	started 14:16	tactic 15:25
24:1,13,17 25:3,9, 11,17,20 26:5	simply 15:8 17:5,	Starting 3:11	taking 11:4 39:23
27:18,20,22,25	11 26:4	states 17:5	talk 11:5 13:18
28:5 29:16	single 11:2 13:11,	stemming 38:1	19:24 31:19
routes 18:5	18 21:1	strike 11:7	talked 10:1,7,24,
routing 16:20,21 17:21,23 18:4	sir 24:15 34:11,16	structures 24:2	25 11:1,20 12:23
rule 4:15,18 21:6,	situation 16:6	28:14	13:4 14:7
10 29:13 32:13,20	32:19	struggling 21:11	talking 20:20 25:21 28:16 32:19
33:3 34:1	skip 18:12	study 17:23	tangible 15:8
rules 5:10 15:24	slash 18:23	stuff 5:20 22:11	tariff 21:6,10
38:7,8	Smith 9:8 10:1,5, 12 15:6	26:17 32:9 37:7	29:13
ruling 32:24 35:10	sold 13:2	subject 14:16 21:6 28:1 30:7	team 17:21 18:4
running 21:10 27:17 29:20	sound 16:9 27:21	subjectwise 30:19	technical 18:8
rushed 39:24	35:18	submit 10:21	25:10 28:21,25
	sounded 28:16	29:22 31:7,24	telephone 32:14
S	sounds 26:3 29:21	submitted 8:13	temporal 17:1
satisfactory 25:14	30:13 31:14 32:4 39:13	14:25 25:18	tempted 5:7
36:3	south 19:18 22:6	submitting 30:25	ten 5:2,4
satisfied 6:14 16:5	24:21 25:17 29:3	Subsection 4:18	terms 32:1
35:3 37:14	southern 25:20	subsequent 4:21	text 8:23
schedule 33:7	27:2	8:12,13	texts 9:13
scheduled 33:5,8	specific 24:7,11	substance 32:19	thereof 29:17
scope 33:12	spoke 14:24	substantive 4:16 17:19	thing 17:4
secretive 14:7	spoken 10:11	sufficient 35:3	things 11:21 12:18 19:2,3 32:15
section 6:11,14	stab 32:11		35:23 36:2,10



violating 21:9

37:8,14
thought 5:22 13:9 19:14 20:3 22:19 34:4 35:13
thoughts 30:15
thrown 26:21
Tiger 16:21
time 3:3,4 7:3 18:2 19:6 29:21 32:22 33:16,23 35:1 36:20 37:19,22,24 39:23
timely-filed 8:11
times 10:3 11:1
timewise 30:19
timing 7:19
today 14:21 33:7 39:23,24
Today's 3:2
tower 18:13,19,21, 23 24:8 25:19
towers 25:6,11 26:16 27:14,22,24 28:2,5,13
transcript 11:13, 14
transcriptions 15:7
transcripts 16:17
transferred 12:25
transmission 13:4
Travis 4:1
trees 24:10
trial 15:25
trouble 18:15
True 11:15
truth 15:3

.
Audio turn 15:23 16:2
turning 14:4 15:19,21 24:2 28:14
U
ultimately 35:24
unable 33:15,21 36:1 38:14,15
understand 5:25 9:21 39:12
understanding 18:17 24:14
understood 36:15 39:5
unduly 16:24
unfair 16:3
unnecessarily 36:14
unnecessary 35:24
unnoticed 24:3
unorthodox 36:11
unwilling 34:24
user 2:17
utilize 26:23
V
valid 31:7
variety 7:7

V
valid 31:7
variety 7:7
verified 15:1
vernacular 7:22, 24
versus 3:6
violated 21:5 29:12

violating 21.9
visit 11:2
visits 10:10
voiced 30:2
W
wait 5:19
waited 5:3
waiting 2:8
waiving 8:22
walk 21:25
wanted 10:4 39:5
wanting 24:17
ways 7:7 14:3 15:21,22 26:3
Webex 3:5
weigh 4:24
west 18:23 24:8
whim 4:7
windshield 18:4
withdraw 7:15 14:18
withdrawn 34:7
witnesses 9:18
wording 23:17
words 8:5 11:12 37:8
work 12:17 13:2 16:1 32:12,23 34:25 35:23 36:2 37:11 38:7,13,15 39:10
worked 12:22 13:8
working 37:10
works 28:19 29:8

2, 2025inde	x. inougniyears	
written 34:6		
wrong 23:23	11:10 18:16	
	Υ	



