

DEC 2 9 2006

Missouri Public Service Commission Exhibit No.:

Witness:

Type of Exhibit:

Issue:

Sponsoring Parties:

Case No.:

Albert Owen

Direct Testimony Rate Design

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers

ER-2007-0002

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area

Case No. ER-2007-0002

FILED®

Direct Testimony of

APR 2 5 2007

Albert Owen

Misseuri Public Service Cemmission

On Behalf of

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers

December 29, 2006

MIEC EXEMPTED 7/4

John 3/12/12 Care No. ER-2017-0002

Toporter



Diana M. Vuylsteke Voice (314) 259-2543 dravuylsteke@bryancave.com

FILED4

DEC 28 2006

Belvies Cultimission

BY HAND DELIVERY

December 29, 2006

Cully Dale Secretary/Chief Administrative Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

RE: Case No. ER-2007-0002

Dear Judge Dale:

Attached for filing on behalf of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers in the above-referenced case are an original and eight (8) copies each of the following:

- Direct Testimony of William Hinckley
- · Direct Testimony of Gareth Kajander,
- Direct Testimony of Albert Owen

Diana M. Vuylstike

- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Maurice Brubaker on Cost of Service, Revenue Allocation and Rate Design
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Maurice Brubaker on Fuel Adjustment,
 and
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Jim Dauphinais (NP and HC versions)

Thank you for your assistance in bringing these filings to the attention of the Commission.

Very truly yours,

Diana M. Vuylsteke DMV:ln

Attachments cc: All Parties

Bryan Cave LLP

One Metropolitan Square

211 North Broadway

Suite 3600

St. Louis, MO 63102-2750

Tel (314) 259-2000

Fax (314) 259-2020

www.bryancave.com

Chicago

Hong Kong

irvine

Jefferson City

Kansas City

Kuwait

Los Angeles

New York

Phoenix

Shanghai

.

St. Louis

Washington, DC

And Bryan Cave, A Multinational Partnership,

London

In the matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area) Case No. ER-2007-0002)
STATE OF MISSOURI) SS COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS)	
Affidavit of Albert C	c. Owen
Albert C. Owen, being first duly sworn, on his oa	th states:
	nergy Manager for The Boeing Company . S221-1400, P.O. Box 516, St. Louis, ember of the Missouri Industrial Energy
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereo prepared in written form for introduction into evidence Case No. ER-2007-0002.	f for all purposes is my direct testimony in Missouri Public Service Commission
3. I hereby swear and affirm that this testi matters and things purported herein.	mony is true and correct and shows the
Subscribed and sworn to before this 28th day of Decen	Notary Public
My Commission Expires:	

In the matter of Union Electric Company
d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File
Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric
Service Provided to Customers in the
Company's Missouri Service Area
)

Case No. ER-2007-0002

Direct Testimony of Albert C. Owen

1	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.				
2	Α	My name is Albert Owen and my business address is P.O. Box 516 MC S221-1400,				
3		St. Louis, Mo 63166-0516.				
4	Q	WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?				
5	Α	I am Energy Manager for The Boeing Company.				
6	Q	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPER-				
7		IENCE.				
8	Α	These are set forth in Appendix A to my testimony				
9	Q	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING IN THIS PROCEEDING?				
10	Α	This testimony is presented on behalf of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers				
11		(MIEC). Member companies purchase substantial quantities of electricity from				
12		AmerenUE, principally under the Large Primary Service (LPS) Rate Schedule,				
13		Rate 11.				
14	Q	WHAT IS THE SUBJECT OF YOUR TESTIMONY?				
15	Α	My testimony discusses the impact of AmerenUE's rate increase proposal on Boeing.				
16		The fact that I do not address an issue should not be interpreted as approval of any				
17		position taken by AmerenUE.				

7	Q	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATION.
2	Α	The Missouri Public Service Commission should consider the negative effect that
3		AmerenUE's rate increase proposal will have on Boeing and other Missouri industry,
4		as well as Missouri's economy as a whole.
5	Q	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE BOEING COMPANY'S MISSOURI OPERATIONS AND
6		IMPACT ON MISSOURI'S ECONOMY.
7	Α	The headquarters of the Integrated Defense Systems (IDS) division of The Boeing
8		Company is located in St. Louis, Missouri and is a significant contractor for the US
9		Department of Defense. Electric power for our facilities is provided by AmerenUE. In
0		addition to IDS executive and program management functions, the St. Louis/St.
1		Charles site involves manufacturing, product and software engineering, research and
2		development, and information technology.
13		Boeing has a large positive economic impact on the Missouri economy. Based on
14		calendar year 2005 information, we employ 16,000 highly skilled employees with a
15		payroll of approximately \$1.3 billion per year, and have paid over \$858 million to
16		1,162 Missouri suppliers. In addition, Boeing and its employees made more than \$7
17		million in charitable contributions.
18	Q	HOW WILL AMERENUE'S RATE PROPOSALS IMPACT THE BOEING
19		COMPANY?
20		AmerenUE has proposed a rate increase of 43 percent for its Large Primary
21		customers (Rate 11). Boeing is gravely concerned that AmerenUE's proposal is not
22		fully justified or fair to all customers. As with any manufacturer, utility expenses are a
23		significant cost of doing business. Unwarranted increases will have negative effect
24		not only on our long term ability to maintain and grow business within Missouri, but
25		also for our 1,100+ statewide suppliers.

Boeing recognizes that continuity of utility service is vital to all businesses in the state. The cost of service should be reasonable and must always be fully justified. Regulated utilities ensure their customers reliable service by obtaining a reasonable profit based on their equity and actual costs of providing the service. Given the extremely large amount of AmerenUE's proposed increase and the anticompetitive consequences of utility rates that are out of sync with the total cost of providing the service, it is essential that the Missouri Public Service Commission give the highest scrutiny to AmerenUE's rate increase request.

DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

10 A Yes, it does.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

Q

Appendix A

Qualifications of Albert C. Owen

'	Q	FLEASE STATE TOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A	Albert C. Owen, Jr. My business address is P.O. Box 516 MC S221-1400, St. Louis,
3		Mo 63166-0516.
4	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR OCCUPATION.
5	Α	I am Energy Manager for The Boeing Company. In this capacity, I am responsible
6		for the overall coordination of energy management activities, including energy
7		conservation, utility rate issues, energy related legislation, and fuel procurement for
8		the Boeing St. Louis/St. Charles facilities. In addition, I have managed both
9		design and construction projects and functioned as an in-house consultant for
10		central plant operations during my twenty-seven year career in St. Louis.
11	Q	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERI-
12		ENCE.
13	Α	My educational and work background is in engineering, having recently earned a
14		Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Missouri-Rolla.
15		Prior to my career with Boeing, I was a mechanical design engineer in the field of
16		heating and air conditioning, process piping, and plumbing for commercial and
17		industrial construction.

	•			
l				

FILED³

DEC, 2.9 2006

Missouri Public Service Commission Exhibit No.:

Witness:

Type of Exhibit:

Issue:

Sponsoring Parties:

Case No :

Albert Owen Direct Testimony

Rate Design

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers

ER-2007-0002

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area

Case No. ER-2007-0002

Direct Testimony of

Albert Owen

On Behalf of

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers

December 29, 2006



Diana M. Vuylsteke Voice (314) 259-2543 dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com

FILED

DEC 2 9 2006

BY HAND DELIVERY

December 29, 2006

Cully Dale Secretary/Chief Administrative Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

RE: Case No. ER-2007-0002

Dear Judge Dale:

Attached for filing on behalf of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers in the above-referenced case are an original and eight (8) copies each of the following:

- Direct Testimony of William Hinckley
- Direct Testimony of Gareth Kajander,
- Direct Testimony of Albert Owen

Diana M. Vuylstike

- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Maurice Brubaker on Cost of Service, Revenue Allocation and Rate Design
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Maurice Brubaker on Fuel Adjustment, and
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Jim Dauphinais (NP and HC versions)

Thank you for your assistance in bringing these filings to the attention of the Commission.

Very truly yours,

Diana M. Vuylsteke

DMV:ln

Attachments cc: All Parties

Beivies estimission

Bryan Cave LLP

One Metropolitan Square

211 North Broadway

Suite 3600

St. Louis, MO 63102 2750

Tel (314) 259-2000

Fax (314) 259-2020

www.bryancave.com

Chicago

Hong Kong

Irvine

Jefferson City

Kansas City

Kuwait

Los Angeles

New York

Phoenix

Shanghai

. . .

St. Louis

Washington, DC

And Bryan Cave, A Multinational Partnership

London

In the matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area)) Case No. ER-2007-0002))			
STATE OF MISSOURI)) SS COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS)				
Affidavit of Albert C	. Owen			
Albert C. Owen, being first duly sworn, on his oat	th states:			
1. My name is Albert C. Owen, Jr. I am Energy Manager for The Boeing Company in St. Louis. My principal place of business is M.C. S221-1400, P.O. Box 516, St. Louis, Missouri 63166-0516. The Boeing Company is a member of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers (MIEC) and is a party to this proceeding.				
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereotoprepared in written form for introduction into evidence Case No. ER-2007-0002.	f for all purposes is my direct testimony in Missouri Public Service Commission			
3. I hereby swear and affirm that this testir matters and things purported herein.	mony is true and correct and shows the			
Subscribed and sworn to before this 28th day of Decem	Notary Public			
My Commission Expires:				

In the matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area

Case No. ER-2007-0002

Direct Testimony of Albert C. Owen

1	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	Α	My name is Albert Owen and my business address is P.O. Box 516 MC S221-1400,
3		St. Louis, Mo 63166-0516.
4	Q	WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?
5	Α	I am Energy Manager for The Boeing Company.
6	Q	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPER-
7		IENCE.
8	Α	These are set forth in Appendix A to my testimony
9	Q	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING IN THIS PROCEEDING?
10	Α	This testimony is presented on behalf of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
11		(MIEC). Member companies purchase substantial quantities of electricity from
12		AmerenUE, principally under the Large Primary Service (LPS) Rate Schedule,
13		Rate 11.
14	Q	WHAT IS THE SUBJECT OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
15	Α	My testimony discusses the impact of AmerenUE's rate increase proposal on Boeing.
16		The fact that I do not address an issue should not be interpreted as approval of any
17		position taken by AmerenUE.

7	Q	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATION.
2	Α	The Missouri Public Service Commission should consider the negative effect that
3		AmerenUE's rate increase proposal will have on Boeing and other Missouri industry,
4		as well as Missouri's economy as a whole.
5	Q	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE BOEING COMPANY'S MISSOURI OPERATIONS AND
6		IMPACT ON MISSOURI'S ECONOMY.
7	Α	The headquarters of the Integrated Defense Systems (IDS) division of The Boeing
8		Company is located in St. Louis, Missouri and is a significant contractor for the US
9		Department of Defense. Electric power for our facilities is provided by AmerenUE. In
0		addition to IDS executive and program management functions, the St. Louis/St.
1		Charles site involves manufacturing, product and software engineering, research and
2		development, and information technology.
3		Boeing has a large positive economic impact on the Missouri economy. Based on
14		calendar year 2005 information, we employ 16,000 highly skilled employees with a
15		payroll of approximately \$1.3 billion per year, and have paid over \$858 million to
16		1,162 Missouri suppliers. In addition, Boeing and its employees made more than \$7
17		million in charitable contributions.
18	Q	HOW WILL AMERENUE'S RATE PROPOSALS IMPACT THE BOEING
19		COMPANY?
20		AmerenUE has proposed a rate increase of 43 percent for its Large Primary
21		customers (Rate 11). Boeing is gravely concerned that AmerenUE's proposal is not
22		fully justified or fair to all customers. As with any manufacturer, utility expenses are a
23		significant cost of doing business. Unwarranted increases will have negative effect
24		not only on our long term ability to maintain and grow business within Missouri, but
25		also for our 1,100+ statewide suppliers.

Boeing recognizes that continuity of utility service is vital to all businesses in the state. The cost of service should be reasonable and must always be fully justified. Regulated utilities ensure their customers reliable service by obtaining a reasonable profit based on their equity and actual costs of providing the service. Given the extremely large amount of AmerenUE's proposed increase and the anticompetitive consequences of utility rates that are out of sync with the total cost of providing the service, it is essential that the Missouri Public Service Commission give the highest scrutiny to AmerenUE's rate increase request.

DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

10 A Yes, it does.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

Q

Appendix A

Qualifications of Albert C. Owen

'	W	PLEASE STATE TOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A	Albert C. Owen, Jr. My business address is P.O. Box 516 MC S221-1400, St. Louis,
3		Mo 63166-0516.
4	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR OCCUPATION.
5	Α	I am Energy Manager for The Boeing Company. In this capacity, I am responsible
6		for the overall coordination of energy management activities, including energy
7		conservation, utility rate issues, energy related legislation, and fuel procurement for
8		the Boeing St. Louis/St. Charles facilities. In addition, I have managed both
9		design and construction projects and functioned as an in-house consultant for
10		central plant operations during my twenty-seven year career in St. Louis.
11	Q	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERI-
12		ENCE.
13	Α	My educational and work background is in engineering, having recently earned a
14		Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Missouri-Rolla.
15		Prior to my career with Boeing, I was a mechanical design engineer in the field of
16		heating and air conditioning, process piping, and plumbing for commercial and
17		industrial construction.

		 	 	 •	••
	•				
1					
İ					
i					
:					
1					
:					
1					
!					
i					
İ					
,					