Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Variable Fuel and Purchased Power Expense Witness: Shawn E. Lange Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case No.: ER-2024-0261 Date Testimony Prepared: July 2, 2025

# **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

## **INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION**

# **ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY** 

# OF

## SHAWN E. LANGE, PE

# THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty

CASE NO. ER-2024-0261

Jefferson City, Missouri July 2025

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	SHAWN E. LANGE, PE
4 5	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty
6	CASE NO. ER-2024-0261
7	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY1
8	VARIABLE FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER EXPENSE2
9	

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY				
2	OF				
3	SHAWN E. LANGE, PE				
4 5	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty				
6	CASE NO. ER-2024-0261				
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.				
8	A. My name is Shawn E. Lange and my business address is Missouri Public Service				
9	Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.				
10	Q. What is your present position with the Missouri Public Service				
11	Commission ("Commission")?				
12	A. I am a Senior Professional Engineer in the Engineering Analysis Department,				
13	Industry Analysis Division.				
14	Q. Would you please review your educational background and work experience?				
15	A. A list of the cases in which I have filed testimony and my credentials can be				
16	found in Schedule SEL-d1.				
17	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY				
18	Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?				
19	A. The purpose of my testimony is to address Staff's calculation of variable fuel				
20	and purchased power expense.				
21	Q. In this testimony, do you provide any recommendations for expense levels to be				
22	reflected in the revenue requirement ordered in this case?				

1 A. Yes. It is my recommendation that the revenue requirement determined by the 2 Commission in this case should reflect Staff's calculation of variable fuel and purchased power 3 expense, equal to \$97,004,495. 4 Q. In this testimony, do you describe the development of a work product which you 5 provided to another Staff witness for the development of an issue? A. I provided the production cost model results to Staff witness 6 Yes. 7 Brooke Mastrogiannis for use in determining the appropriate percentage of transmission 8 expense for the Empire District Electric Company, d/b/a Liberty ("Empire") to recover, and to 9 develop Staff's recommended Fuel Adjustment Clause Base Factor. I also provided the 10 production cost model results to Staff witness Antonija Nieto to include fuel expense in the 11 calculation of Staff's revenue requirement. VARIABLE FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER EXPENSE 12 13 Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony regarding variable fuel and purchased power expense? 14 15 A. The purpose of this section of my direct testimony is to describe how Staff 16 calculated its recommended variable fuel and purchased power expense for Empire through the 17 use of a production cost model. Staff recommends that the revenue requirement chosen by the 18 Commission include a variable fuel and purchased power expense of \$97,004,495. 19 Q. Explain what variable fuel and purchased power expense is and how it affects 20 Staff's calculation of the recommended revenue requirement for Empire. 21 A. Variable fuel and purchased power expense are the normalized and annualized 22 amount of fuel expense as well as market energy sales revenue and market energy purchase

1	expenses that is reasonably expected to be incurred given the assumptions associated with				
2	Staff's production cost model.				
3	Q. What is the purpose of a production cost model?				
4	A. Staff uses a production cost model to perform a simulation of a utility's energy				
5	generation, energy sales, and energy purchases. The simulation results are used to calculate the				
6	indicated revenues and expenses.				
7	The revenues and expenses calculated from the results of Staff's production cost				
8	modeling are:				
9	• The purchase of the fuel necessary to support the generation of electricity at				
10	power plants;				
11	• The costs and revenues from the purchases and sales of energy within				
12	integrated marketplace; and,				
13	• The purchases of energy through purchased power agreements.				
14	Fixed expenses such as those related to the recovery of capital are not included in the results of				
15	Staff's production cost model.				
16	Q. What production cost modeling software does Staff use?				
17	A. Staff uses the PLEXOS® software for production cost modeling. This is the				
18	fourth time Staff has used the PLEXOS® software for an Empire rate case.				
19	Q. What modeling software is Empire using?				
20	A. Empire is using Encompass® software for the third time in a rate case setting.				
21	Both software modeling packages are commonly used in the industry.				
22	Q. What inputs are necessary for Staff's production cost model?				

A. Staff's production cost model includes input data developed by multiple Staff
 witnesses. These include: market prices from Staff witness Justin Tevie, fuel prices from Staff
 witness Antonija Nieto, and system load from Staff witness Michael L. Stahlman. I developed
 the remaining inputs: generation from wind farms, planned and forced outages, and power
 plant characteristics.

6

7

Q. How did you adapt the output from wind, solar, and hydro facilities for use in Staff's production cost model?

8 A. Typically, historic hourly generation data for each of the wind, solar, and hydro 9 facilities that Empire owns or purchases energy from was used to create representative average 10 output profiles unique to each site. If historical hourly data was missing or not available, Staff 11 used monthly data maintained in the commission-approved Renewable Energy Credit ("REC") tracking system North American Renewable Registry<sup>TM</sup> to scale a representative output profile 12 13 for that site. For sites that Empire purchases power from, the prices paid for the energy from 14 the purchased power agreement ("PPA") were taken from the contract that Empire entered into 15 with the site owner.

16 Q. How were planned and forced outages accounted for in Staff's production17 cost model?

18 A. Planned and forced outages are infrequent in occurrence and variable in
19 duration. In order to capture that variability, the outages experienced at each power plant were
20 normalized by averaging seven years of historic data.

21

22

23

Q. How were power plant characteristics for Staff's production cost model derived?
A. Staff relied on Empire for responses to Data Requests and data supplied to comply with 20 CSR 4240-3.190 for inputs relating to each generating unit such as:

Page 4

1	• Unit capacity;				
2	• Unit heat rate curve;				
3	• Primary and startup fuels;				
4	• Ramp rates;				
5	• Startup costs; and,				
6	• Variable operating and maintenance expense.				
7	Definitions of the bulleted terms above are included in Schedule SEL-d2.				
8	Q. What are the industry best practices related to the calculation of variable fuel				
9	and purchased power expenses?				
10	A. Production cost modeling software is widely used throughout the electric power				
11	industry in the United States and throughout the world for the calculation of variable fuel and				
12	purchased power expenses. Similar software is used by electric utilities, regional transmission				
13	operators, regulatory agencies, universities, and research laboratories for evaluating the costs				
14	related to the generation, transmission, and consumption of electricity. The use of modeling				
15	software allows for the calculation of the lowest cost method by which customer needs can be				
16	satisfied while considering a given utility's generating resources, load requirements, and				
17	other constraints.				
18	Q. What was the Commission's decision regarding variable fuel and purchased				
19	power in Empire's previous general rate case, ER-2021-0312?				

1 The Commission made no specific decision regarding variable fuel and A. 2 purchased power in Empire's previous general rate case. The Commission did approve the 3 stipulation and agreement resolving Fuel Adjustment Clause issue<sup>1</sup>. 4 Q. What is the recommended variable fuel and purchased power expense that 5 resulted from Staff's production cost modeling? 6 A. Staff calculated that the variable fuel and purchased power expense for Empire 7 for the 12-month period, ending September 30, 2023, updated through September 30, 2024, to 8 be \$97,004,495. The revenue requirement determined by the Commission should reflect Staff's 9 calculation of variable fuel and purchased power expense. 10 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony? 11 A. Yes, it does.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ER-2021-0312 Fourth Partial Stipulation and Agreement filed February 5, 2022, and Commission filed an order adopting all stipulations and agreements on March 9, 2022.

#### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

#### **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

)

)

)

)

SS.

In the Matter of the Request of The Empire District Electric Company d/b/a Liberty for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in Its Missouri Service Area

Case No. ER-2024-0261

## AFFIDAVIT OF SHAWN E. LANGE, PE

## STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) COUNTY OF COLE )

**COMES NOW SHAWN E. LANGE, PE** and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing *Direct Testimony of Shawn E. Lange, PE*; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

SHAWN E. LANGE, PE

#### JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 264 day of June 2025.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missour Commissioned for Cole My Commission Expires Commission Number

sellankin

Notary Public 4

# CREDENTIALS AND CASE PARTICIPATION OF SHAWN E. LANGE, PE

## **PRESENT POSITION:**

I am a Professional Engineer in the Engineering Analysis Department, Industry Analysis Division, of the Missouri Public Service Commission.

## EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND WORK EXPERIENCE:

In December 2002, I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Missouri, at Rolla now known as the Missouri University of Science and Technology. I joined the Commission Staff in January 2005. I am a registered Professional Engineer in the State of Missouri and my license number is 2018000230.

Case Number	Utility	Testimony	Issue
ER-2005-0436	Aquila Inc.	Direct	Weather Normalization
		Rebuttal	Weather Normalization
		Surrebuttal	Weather Normalization
ER-2006-0314	Kansas City Power & Light Company	Direct	Weather Normalization
		Rebuttal	Weather Normalization
ER-2006-0315	ER-2006-0315 Empire District Electric Company	Direct	Weather Normalization
		Surrebuttal	Weather Normalization
ER-2007-0002	Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	Direct	Weather Normalization
ER-2007-0004	Aquila Inc.	Direct	Weather Normalization
ER-2007-0291	Kansas City Power &	Staff Report	Weather Normalization
	Light Company	Rebuttal	Weather Normalization
ER-2008-0093	Empire District Electric Company	Staff Report	Weather Normalization
ER-2008-0318	Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	Staff Report	Weather Normalization

## **TESTIMONY FILED:**

cont'd Case Participation of Shawn E. Lange, PE

Case Number	Utility	Testimony	Issue
ER-2009-0089	Kansas City Power & Light Company	Staff Report	Net System Input
ER-2009-0090	KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company	Staff Report	Net System Input
ER-2010-0036	Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	Staff Report	Net System Input
ER-2010-0130	Empire District	Staff Report	Variable Fuel Costs
	Electric Company	Surrebuttal	Variable Fuel Costs
ER-2010-0355	Kansas City Power & Light Company	Staff Report	Variable Fuel Costs
ER-2010-0356	KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company	Staff Report	Engineering Review- Sibley 3 SCR
ER-2011-0004	Empire District Electric Company	Staff Report	Variable Fuel Costs
ER-2011-0028	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Staff Report	Net System Input
ER-2012-0166	Union Electric	Staff Report	Weather Normalization
	Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Surrebuttal	Weather Normalization
			Maryland Heights In- Service
ER-2012-0174	Kansas City Power & Light Company	Staff Report	Weather Normalization Net System Input Variable Fuel Costs
		Surrebuttal	Weather Normalization
ER-2012-0175 KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company	Staff Report	Weather Normalization Net System Input	
	Surrebuttal	Weather Normalization	
ER-2012-0345	Empire District	Rebuttal	Interim Rates
	Electric Company	Staff Report	Weather Normalization
EC-2014-0223	Noranda Aluminum v. Ameren Missouri	Rebuttal	Weather Normalization
EA-2014-0207	EA-2014-0207 Grain Belt Express CCN	Rebuttal	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility
	Surrebuttal	Analysis	

cont'd Case Participation of Shawn E. Lange, PE

Case Number	Utility	Testimony	Issue
ER-2014-0258	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Staff Report	Net System Input Variable Fuel Costs
ER-2014-0351	Empire District Electric Company	Staff Report	Net System Input Variable Fuel Costs
ER-2014-0370	Kansas City Power & Light Company	Staff Report	Net System Input Variable Fuel Costs
		True-up Direct	Variable Fuel Costs La Cygne In-service
EA-2015-0146	ATXI CCN	Rebuttal	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility
		Surrebuttal	Analysis
ER-2016-0023	Empire District Electric Company	Staff Report	Net System Input Variable Fuel Costs
		Surrebuttal	Variable Fuel Costs
ER-2016-0179	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Staff Report	Variable Fuel Costs
EA-2016-0385	Grain Belt Express CCN	Rebuttal	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility
		Surrebuttal	Analysis
ER-2018-0145	Kansas City Power & Light Company	Staff Report	Variable Fuel Costs Market Prices
		Rebuttal	Variable Fuel Costs Market Prices
		True-up Direct	Variable Fuel Costs Market Prices
EA-2018-0327	ATXI CCN	Rebuttal	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
EA-2019-0021	Ameren CCN	Staff Report	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
EA-2019-0010	Empire District Electric Company CCN	Staff Report	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
EC-2020-0408	MLA v. Grain Belt Complaint	Staff Recommendation	Formal Complaint
EA-2021-0167	ATXI CCN	Staff Recommendation	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis

cont'd Case Participation of Shawn E. Lange, PE

Case Number	Utility	Testimony	Issue
EA-2021-0087	ATXI CCN	Staff Report	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
ER-2021-0240	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Staff Report	Variable Fuel Costs Atchison wind farm Construction Audit and in-service review
		Rebuttal	Atchison in-service and Variable Fuel Costs
ER-2021-0312	Empire District Electric Company	Staff Report	Transmission and Distribution Investment
EA-2022-0043	Evergy Metro and Evergy West Hawthorn Solar CCN	Staff Report	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
EA-2022-0099	ATXI CCN	Staff Direct Testimony	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
EA-2022-0244	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Staff Report	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
EA-2022-0245	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Staff Rebuttal Testimony	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
ER-2022-0337	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Direct Testimony Rebuttal Testimony	Variable fuel Costs Variable fuel Costs
		Surrebuttal/True- up Direct	Variable fuel Costs
		True-up Rebuttal	Variable fuel Costs
EA-2022-0328	Evergy West	Staff Rebuttal Testimony	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
EA-2023-0017	GrainBelt Express	Staff Rebuttal Testimony	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis

cont'd Case Participation of Shawn E. Lange, PE

Case Number	Utility	Testimony	Issue
EA-2023-0226	Ameren Missouri	Staff Memo	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
ET-2023-0249	Ameren Missouri	Staff Memo	Cogeneration and Net Metering rate
EA-2024-0286	Ameren Missouri	Rebuttal Testimony	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
EF-2024-0021	Ameren Missouri	Rebuttal	Financing Order Authorizing the Issue of Securitized Utility Tariff Bonds
ER-2024-0189	Evergy Missouri West	Rebuttal	Variable Fuel Cost
EA-2024-0237	Ameren Missouri	Staff Memo/Report	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
ER-2024-0319	Ameren Missouri	Staff Direct	Variable Fuel Costs
		Staff True-up Direct	Variable Fuel Cost
EA-2024-0302	ATXI	Staff Memo/Report	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
EA-2024-0292	Evergy Missouri West	Staff Memo/Report	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
EA-2025-0075	Evergy Missouri West	Staff Memo/Report	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis
EA-2025-0028	Ameren Missouri	Staff Memo/Report	Certificates of Convenience/Feasibility Analysis

### **Definitions**

#### Unit capacity:

The maximum capacity of a power plant is equal to its maximum level of energy output in megawatts ("MW").

### Unit heat rate curve:

The heat rate of a power plant, typically measured in BTU/kWh, is a measure of efficiency. It shows how much energy from the fuel consumed by the power plant is required to generate one kWh of electricity. The larger the magnitude of the heat rate, the less efficient a power plant is.

### Primary and startup fuels:

A power plant's primary fuel is the main source of energy that it uses to generate electricity. For example, a coal-fired power plant will have coal as its primary fuel. This is distinct from startup fuel which may be used sparingly during limited periods of time while the power plant is being started. Fuel oil might be used as a startup fuel while a coal plant is being started. Once a certain power level is achieved, the startup fuel will stop being used, and the power plant will operate solely on its primary fuel.

#### Ramp rates:

Ramp rates describe how quickly a power plant can change its output power level and are typically given in units of megawatts per hour or megawatts per minute. Large coal or nuclear power plants have lower ramp rates than smaller natural gas-fired combustion turbines.

Case No. ER-2024-0261 Schedule SEL-d2 Page 1 of 2

### Startup costs:

Startup costs are the operations and maintenance costs associated with the startup of a power plant. The magnitude of startup costs can influence how a power plant is dispatched within a market. All other factors being equal, high startup costs would tend to make a power plant less likely to be dispatched in a given situation.

### Variable operating and maintenance expense:

Variable operations and maintenance expenses ("VOM") are a part of the incremental cost of running a power plant. They represent the costs related to the equipment replacement and servicing that are necessarily incurred by the wear and tear that occurs when a power plant operates. These costs are measured in dollars per megawatt-hour (\$/MWh) and will affect the price at which energy from a power plant is offered into the market. All other factors being equal, high VOM costs would tend to make a power plant less likely to be dispatched in a given situation.