BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FILE NO. ER-2024-0261

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND SCHEDULES OF MICHAEL MURRAY ON BEHALF OF RENEW MISSOURI

July 21, 2025

Direct Testimony of Michael Murray File No. ER-2024-0261 July 21, 2025 Page 2 of 21

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY AND SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	3
II.	TARIFF FOR GREEN BUTTON CONNECT	4
А.	TARIFF OUTLINE	4
В.	TARIFF JUSTIFICATION	4
IV.	CONCLUSION	. 19

1 I. <u>PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY AND SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, TITLE AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

3 A. My name is Michael Murray. I am the President of Mission:data Coalition

4 ("Mission:data"). My business address is 1752 NW Market Street #1513, Seattle, WA 98107.

5 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME PERSON WHO FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY ON JULY

6 2, 2025, CONCERNING LIBERTY'S REVENUE REQUIREMENT?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. Having established the need for Green Button Connect My Data ("GBC") and its associated
revenue requirement in prior testimony, I now turn to the policies that are necessary to bring GBC
into effective operation. I propose a tariff that describes the rules and responsibilities for The
Empire District Electric Company ("Liberty" or the "Company") in making GBC available to all
ratepayers. I also present justifications for each section of the proposed tariff and consider likely
negative outcomes if the Commission declines to require a tariff.

1 II. TARIFF FOR GREEN BUTTON CONNECT

2 A. TARIFF OUTLINE

3 Q. WHAT TARIFF DO YOU PROPOSE?

4 A. I propose a tariff governing Liberty's provision of its GBC implementation, given as

5 Schedule MM-D1 hereto.

6 Q. PROVIDE AN OUTLINE OF THE TARIFF YOU PROPOSE.

- 7 A. The tariff has the following sections:
- Definitions of terms, including a definition of "standard customer data," which refers to
 all information about a customer's account and usage that I argue should be portable, i.e.
 transferable to third parties authorized by the customer;
- Data portability, which details Liberty's obligation to electronically transfer a customer's
 standard customer data to a third party upon request;
- 13 3. Eligibility criteria of third parties;
- 4. Liability, which limits Liberty's liability for the acts of a third-party data recipient
 authorized by customers;
- 16 5. Data quality and integrity; and
- General, which covers miscellaneous topics such as the applicability of other terms and
 conditions, certification to the GBC standard, uptime and termination.
- 19 20

B. TARIFF JUSTIFICATION

21 Q. WHY IS A TARIFF NECESSARY FOR GREEN BUTTON CONNECT?

A. In my experience, utilities in other jurisdictions have sought to evade regulatory oversight
on matters involving Green Button Connect (GBC). If the Commission were to order Liberty to
offer GBC, that requirement by itself would not be sufficient because terms could be subsequently

imposed by Liberty that could be unfair, onerous, or could render GBC itself of little value. Any 1 of these outcomes would undermine the purpose of the Commission's orders in the first place. By 2 3 establishing a detailed tariff at the outset, the Commission can ensure that ratepayers get the most 4 value from investments in advanced metering as well as avoid the need for subsequent litigation of the type seen in other jurisdictions. 5 6 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE EXAMPLES OF TERMS AND CONDITIONS OUTSIDE OF 7 TARIFFS THAT YOU BELIEVE ARE PROBLEMATIC. 8 A. I have seen utilities across the country impose the following problematic terms on data 9 recipients: 10 Broad disclaimers on data accuracy, meaning that the utility is not obligated to provide • 11 correct information about customers' energy usage and account information 12 • The utility may unilaterally terminate access for any reason at any time 13 The utility asserts the right to unilaterally change their terms at any time without notice • The utility asserts proprietary rights or intellectual property rights in customer data, 14 • thereby prohibiting third parties from analyzing energy usage to help ratepayers save on 15 16 their monthly bills 17 • The utility asserts the right to inspect and audit third party software applications, forcing 18 the disclosure of competitively-sensitive information that a monopoly utility could use to 19 unfairly compete in adjacent markets, such as energy efficiency

1 Q. WHY ARE THE ABOVE EXAMPLES PROBLEMATIC?

A. Because they erect unnecessary barriers to customers or to customer-authorized third parties. Rather than putting customers in charge of their own information, the examples above undermine the central purpose of data portability, which is empowering consumers. Instead, the examples above subordinate third parties to unjustified or anti-competitive acts of the utility while depriving third parties of due process.

7 Q. WHAT ARE OTHER REASONS WHY YOU BELIEVE A TARIFF IS 8 NECESSARY FOR GREEN BUTTON CONNECT?

9 A. Today, Liberty does not provide any standardized method for customers to share their
10 energy information with any other entity. The business or technical process, eligibility
11 requirements, software requirements and other critical topics need to be addressed in order for
12 GBC to provide benefits to consumers. A tariff would resolve and clarify these matters.

A tariff would also detail the precise types of customer information that may be shared, so 13 14 that expectations to both customers and customer-authorized third parties are clearly established in a publicly-accessible document. In my experience, many utilities around the country do not 15 16 clearly state what information is actually available, leading to confusion and unnecessary effort. 17 Of course, usage data is of primary importance, but there are other types of customer data that are 18 critical to have access to. For example, a distributed energy resources (DER) aggregator wishing 19 to operate in Southwest Power Pool (SPP) markets needs to have billing-quality interval usage 20 data, the customer's premise address and the pricing node or PNode of each customer. Other 21 energy management services, operating outside of SPP markets, often need information such as the customer's account number, particularly for multi-site commercial customers where matching 22

numerous meters to billing accounts is important. Still other applications may need 24 months of billing history in order to benchmark costs per square foot as compared with facilities in other jurisdictions. Given the variety of energy management applications in the market today, it is necessary to clearly and publicly articulate what is available with customer consent, including variations for different customer types as applicable. The tariff I propose would provide essential information addressing these topics.

7 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR DEFINITIONS OF STANDARD CUSTOMER DATA.

A. I propose a comprehensive definition of "standard customer data" that includes usage,
account and billing information; information needed for demand response participation at SPP;
and any other customer-specific information, such as rate codes, that determine a customer's
eligibility to participate in a renewable energy or demand management program.

12 Q. WHY IS DEFINING STANDARD CUSTOMER DATA IN THIS WAY13 IMPORTANT?

14 A. By defining standard customer data broadly, software applications that are authorized by 15 customers could quickly and efficiently assess a customer's situation and provide a range of 16 actions that a customer could take, ranging from bill savings to energy efficiency incentives to 17 demand response. In my experience, one of the key failures of utilities in other jurisdictions regarding GBC has been to provide an insufficient range of customer data in an electronic form, 18 19 which limits its utility. For example, Ameren Illinois and Commonwealth Edison provide interval 20 usage data in kilowatt-hours for each customer via GBC. But this is insufficient for many, if not 21 most, energy management applications, because:

1	•	Customers with multiple meters (e.g., commercial) are unable to make use of their interval
2		energy usage data because they cannot match usage to a particular building or facility, or
3		a particular account number;
4	•	Billing-quality interval usage data is needed by SPP, so it is important that the right type
5		and quality of usage data is made available from GBC so that DER aggregators can reduce
6		peak power and transmission costs;
7	•	Cost reduction services that analyze your bills and identify savings opportunities need to
8		know bill amounts in dollars and the applicable rate code. For customers on time-varying
9		rates, appliances such as water heaters need to know the applicable rate code in order to
10		optimize correctly.

11 Q. WHY DID YOU INCLUDE CUSTOMER PREMISE ADDRESS IN THE12 DEFINITION OF STANDARD CUSTOMER DATA?

A. According to the *Market Protocols for SPP Integrated Marketplace*, SPP outlines various
requirements of dispatchable demand response resources and block demand response resources,
including PNode, meter settlement location (i.e., the premise address), and usage data.¹ While
PNode and premise address could, in theory, be provided by DER aggregators without
involvement of Liberty, it is important that Liberty's records be used because Liberty can deny
customer participation in a DER aggregation if there are mismatches between records.

¹ *Market Protocols for SPP Integrated Marketplace*. Southwest Power Pool. Revision 112, updated May 28, 2025, Section 6.1.4 and 6.1.5 at 1006-1008.

1 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE TARIFF'S SECTION ON DATA PORTABILITY.

A. This section describes the GBC service in more detail, outlining the authorization process,
authentication details (i.e. how customer identity is established), and how a customer can revoke
an authorization. Many of these provisions reinforce what is required under the GBC standard.

5 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE TARIFF'S SECTION ON ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA OF 6 THIRD PARTIES.

7 A. This section describes what a third party must do in order to be eligible to receive customer 8 data. This is a policy matter, not a technical matter. I propose five requirements: (i) demonstrate 9 technical capability to interact securely with Liberty's servers; (ii) provide contact information and 10 federal tax identification numbers; (iii) acknowledge receipt and review of the tariff; (iv) not have 11 been disqualified as an authorized third party provider in the past by the Commission; and (v) adopt and comply with the most updated version of the 2015 Department of Energy's Voluntary 12 Code of Conduct Final Concepts and Principles for Data Privacy and the Smart Grid (the 13 14 "DataGuard Seal") or a similar nationally accepted eligibility standard approved by the Commission. 15

16

Q. WHY ARE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA IMPORTANT?

A. Because without clearly defined eligibility criteria, Liberty could engage in discriminatory
handling of third parties. For example, Liberty could refuse to allow access to a certain third party
for unfair or anti-competitive reasons – for example, if a third party was engaged in lawful
deployment of renewable energy projects that Liberty might perceive to be competitively
threatening. Well-defined eligibility criteria help ensure that each third party is treated equally.

1 Q. WHAT IS DATAGUARD?

A. DataGuard is a privacy statement that originated with the U.S. Department of Energy.² It consists of a comprehensive statement regarding privacy and the appropriate use of customer data to which companies attest they comply. This attestation is enforceable by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission, which has authority to police unfair or deceptive business acts or practices. State attorneys general may also be able to take enforcement actions based upon similar authority.

7 Q. HAVE OTHER STATES ADOPTED ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA SIMILAR TO8 WHAT YOU PROPOSE?

9 A. Yes. California and New Hampshire have very similar requirements. Texas does as well,
10 although Texas does not require adherence to DataGuard.

11 Q. DOES THE COMMISSION HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO REQUIRE THIRD12 PARTIES TO AGREE TO DATAGUARD?

A. I am not a lawyer, but I believe it is acceptable because DataGuard is connected to Liberty's
practices of administering GBC, and Liberty is clearly under the jurisdiction of the Commission.
I also note that other states whose commissions do not have regulatory authority over third parties
have required DataGuard or substantially similar privacy requirements, such as California and
New Hampshire.

² Available at https://www.missiondata.io/s/DataGuard VCC Concepts and Principles 2015 01 08 FINAL.pdf

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE SECTION ON LIABILITY AND WHY IT IS IMPORTANT.

A. The tariff states that Liberty is not liable for the acts of a third party that has received
customer data with customer permission. If a customer authorizes a third party and, after data
transfer, experiences a data breach of some kind, then Liberty is immunized, except in cases where
Liberty has acted recklessly.

An important distinction must be made between customer-authorized third parties and *vendors* to a utility. Customer-authorized third parties are at arm's length to a utility and have no contractual relationship with a utility, other than the tariff. Liberty cannot therefore control a third party, and nor should they. It is precisely the innovation that comes from outside the structure of regulated monopolies that this platform seeks to nurture in Missouri in order to benefit ratepayers. Making Liberty liable for a third party's actions would put the utilities in the role of "policeman," which is both undesirable and unworkable.

14 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN DATA QUALITY AND INTEGRITY, AND WHY IT IS15 IMPORTANT.

A. Data quality and integrity refer to high-quality, complete records of energy usage and
account data that is important to downstream economic decisions. For example, if a customer signs
up for a competitive demand response service, the customer can receive payments from a DER
aggregator, via SPP, for its load curtailment. Through its monopoly on metering and billing,
Liberty is the exclusive "source of truth" for metering information. Providing incorrect interval
usage data via GBC creates a significant risk to demand response aggregators, who may face fines
and liquidated damages at SPP for Liberty's failure to provide accurate information.

Another example is residential or commercial building energy efficiency retrofits. 1 2 Modeling energy savings before a retrofit, and verifying savings afterward, are important market 3 functions that are undermined by incorrect or incomplete billing records. It would be unfair to a building owner who, say, makes a multi-million-dollar decision to improve HVAC systems or 4 building envelope insulation based on energy usage data that was false and imprudently handled 5 by Liberty. Similarly, residential efficiency rebates from the Inflation Reduction Act, Section 6 7 50121 require the Missouri Department of Natural Resources to pay rebates according to "the 8 monthly and hourly (if available) weather-normalized energy use of a home before and after the implementation of a home energy efficiency retrofit..."³ My understanding is that Missouri 9 applied to receive \$75.7 million for these retrofits,⁴ the funds for which were *not* recently retracted 10 11 by Congress in the "Big, Beautiful Bill." Accurate energy data from utilities is essential to 12 administering these funds.

13 Q. HOW DO YOU ADDRESS DATA QUALITY AND INTEGRITY IN THE TARIFF?

A. I address this topic by obligating Liberty to provide standard customer data under a "best
available" standard. The "best available" standard acknowledges that information can change over
time (such as when contact records are updated, or meter reading validation processes or re-billing
occurs) but Liberty would be required to provide the then-current and accurate information for the
customer requested and transmit updates in a timely manner after updates in its systems occur.

³ Inflation Reduction Act (2022) Section 50121.

⁴ <u>https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2024-05/IRA-50121-50122-home-energy-rebates-state-allocations.pdf</u>

1 Q. DO OTHER STATES REQUIRE A "BEST AVAILABLE" DATA STANDARD?

2 A. Yes, the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission approved a settlement agreement in

- 3 Docket No. DE 19-197 that references this standard.⁵ Liberty's affiliate in New Hampshire agreed
- 4 to the aforementioned settlement agreement.

5 Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, WHAT OTHER STATES HAVE ESTABLISHED

6 TARIFFS FOR GREEN BUTTON CONNECT OFFERED BY UTILITIES?

7 A. I am aware of several states with regulator-approved tariffs. These are provided in Table 1

8 below.

State	Is there a GBC- specific tariff?	Have utilities established terms and conditions not approved by the utility's regulator?
California	Yes	Yes (Pacific Gas & Electric, Southern California
		Edison and San Diego Gas & Electric)
Colorado	No	Yes (Xcel Energy)
Illinois	Yes	Yes (Commonwealth Edison)
		Not observed (Ameren Illinois)
Kentucky	No	Yes (LG&E-KU) ⁶
Minnesota	No	Yes (Xcel Energy)
New Jersey	No	Yes (Rockland Utilities)
New York	Yes ⁷	Unknown
Texas	No	Yes (AEP Texas, Centerpoint, Entergy Texas, Oncor,
		Texas-New Mexico Power Co and Xcel Energy)

9 10 Table 1: States and utilities with a Green Button Connect tariff and other terms established by utilities without approval of their regulator.

⁶ Per communication with Accelerated Innovations, LG&E-KU's vendor for Green Button Connect My Data, there are no terms and conditions during the online third-party registration process, but LG&E-KU require third parties to agree to certain terms through an offline process.

⁷ Although technically not called a tariff, the New York Public Service Commission has approved a "data security agreement" which outlines the terms and conditions under which entities authorized by account holders may access data.

⁵ <u>https://www.puc.nh.gov/Regulatory/Docketbk/2019/19-197/LETTERS-MEMOS-TARIFFS/19-197_2021-04-</u> 28_EVERSOURCE_JT_SETTLEMENT_AGREEMENT.PDF

1 Q. WHAT DO YOU OBSERVE ABOUT TABLE 1 ABOVE?

A. Most utilities – even those offering GBC under a regulator-approved tariff – have imposed
their own separate terms and conditions upon data recipients.

4 Q. IS IT A PROBLEM FOR UTILITIES TO MAKE THEIR OWN TERMS AND

5 CONDITIONS GOVERNING GREEN BUTTON CONNECT?

A. Yes, I believe it is. Monopolies have an incentive to exploit adjacent markets, such as
digital energy management and demand response, by unfairly leveraging their regulated functions
to diminish competition. Without Commission review, the terms could be anti-competitive, as I
have described above.

10 Q. DOES YOUR PROPOSED TARIFF ADDRESS THIS ISSUE OF TERMS AND11 CONDITIONS?

A. Yes. In the final section ("General") my proposed tariff clearly states that separate termsand conditions that Liberty may demand have no force or effect.

Q. IN TABLE 1 ABOVE, FOR THE STATES AND UTILITIES WITH NO TARIFF, ARE YOU SAYING THERE ARE NO RULES OR REQUIREMENTS ESTABLISHED WHATSOEVER FOR GREEN BUTTON CONNECT?

A. No. All of the state regulators in Table 1 have issued orders of some kind requiring GBC.
The only exception is New Jersey, which is currently considering a data portability rule. Rockland
Utilities began offering GBC because its New York-based affiliate, Consolidated Edison, was
already required to provide GBC, and the firm appears to share common information technology
infrastructure across operating companies.

1 My point is that a regulatory requirement for a utility to offer GBC is fundamentally distinct 2 from a tariff because a tariff forms a contract between a utility and a third party. Mediating the 3 relationship between monopoly utilities and competitive market actors is an important 4 responsibility of utility regulators, which an order to merely compel GBC from a utility does not 5 address.

6 Q. ARE OTHER STATES IN THE PROCESS OF CONSIDERING TARIFFS7 GOVERNING GREEN BUTTON CONNECT OFFERED BY UTILITIES?

8 A. Yes. I am aware of efforts underway in Colorado, Connecticut and New Hampshire.

9 Q. WHAT ARE THE OTHER PROVISIONS OF THE SECTION TITLED10 "GENERAL"?

A. Section (f)(2) calls for Liberty to obtain independent, periodic certification that it adheres to the GBC standard. Section (f)(3) sets uptime requirements for the GBC platform, ensuring it is usable at least 99% of the time. And finally, Section (f)(4) concerns termination and vests customers and the Commission, but not Liberty, with the ability to terminate a data-sharing authorization.

16 Q. WHAT IS CERTIFICATION AND WHY IS IT IMPORTANT?

A. Certification is an important part of following any technical standard. Rather than relying
merely on Liberty's claim that it adheres to the GBC standard, the Commission should require
testing by an independent body, the non-profit Green Button Alliance. Certification involves
passing numerous technical tests.⁸ Certification helps ensure interoperability with other GBC

⁸ Information on the certification process is available at <u>https://www.greenbuttonalliance.org/testing</u>.

implementations in other states across the country, making it more likely that Missouri ratepayers
 will be able to take advantage of energy management offerings available elsewhere. Certification
 also helps reduce administrative and technical support costs because third parties will have clear
 expectations about what to expect in terms of technical functionality.

5 Q. WHAT IS THE COST OF CERTIFICATION?

6 A. According to the Green Button Alliance website, GBC certification costs only \$3,200.

7 Q. WHAT ARE THE UPTIME REQUIREMENTS IN SECTION (f)(3)?

8 A. I propose a 99.0% uptime requirement, with exceptions for scheduled maintenance
9 windows. This ensures that of the 8,760 hours in a year, GBC will only be offline for 87.6 hours,
10 or about three and a half days.

11 Q. WHY ARE UPTIME REQUIREMENTS IMPORTANT?

A. This type of commitment, often called a service level agreement, is common in information technology applications. It is important as an accountability measure because it gives confidence to third parties that they can invest time and resources in Missouri without undue interruption. If a GBC platform is only operational 60% of the time, it will scare away software providers and undermine the purpose of GBC. It also helps the Commission ensure that ratepayer funds are spent prudently.

18 **Q**.

WHY IS 99.0% UPTIME REASONABLE?

A. 99.0% uptime, along with 200 hours per year of scheduled maintenance windows for
security improvements and software updates, is reasonable and achievable because many
enterprise software agreements are at least as stringent. I would anticipate that Liberty's existing

software vendors would be able to easily meet this requirement. I note that the New Hampshire
 Public Utilities Commission approved a design of a GBC platform with a 99.5% uptime
 requirement.⁹

4 (

Q. WHAT ARE THE TERMINATION PROVISIONS OF SECTION (f)(4)?

5 A. The termination provision states that Liberty is prohibited from terminating an active 6 customer authorization. It says that, if Liberty has a reasonable suspicion that a third party is 7 violating the law or customer privacy, then it can bring these suspicions to the Commission for 8 review and/or action. It also clarifies that the customer and the Commission, and not Liberty, may 9 terminate activate customer authorizations.

10 Q. WHY ARE THESE PROVISIONS NECESSARY?

A. It is necessary to prevent Liberty, or any utility, from unilaterally terminating an
authorization because of its potential for abuse. Liberty could use customer privacy as a pretext to
interrupt data access to third parties, who might be considered competitors to Liberty, without due
process. Moreover, I note that in its consideration of this topic back in 2013, the California Public
Utilities Commission came to a similar conclusion:

16 To clarify further, it is reasonable for the Commission, in its oversight of the 17 utilities and smart meters, to take responsibility for ordering the suspension of third-18 party access to customer data. Under the procedures adopted in this decision, it is 19 not necessary nor is it reasonable for a utility to suspend access to customer data 20 based on *suspicion* that a third party may be violating tariffs.¹⁰

⁹ See, e.g., settlement agreement at Bates page 45-46:

https://www.puc.nh.gov/VirtualFileRoom/ShowDocument.aspx?DocumentId=88f6ce79-16df-4277-b256-8c8cbc881b76

¹⁰ Emphasis in original. California Public Utilities Commission, Decision D.13-09-025 (Sept 23, 2013) at 53. Available at <u>https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M077/K191/77191980.PDF</u>

1	If there is genuine "bad actor" who is violating customer privacy, then it is reasonable
2	for the Commission to use its fact-finding abilities to investigate the matter. If a violation
3	is ultimately found, then the Commission can order the termination of data sharing.
4	However, delegating this "policing" function to Liberty would be put the utility in the
5	powerful position of surveilling, investigating and potentially terminating connections with
6	adjacent market actors, some of whom Liberty might consider its competitors. Fairness
7	thus demands that Liberty be stripped of the ability to terminate data sharing.

8 Q. WAS A TARIFF FOR GREEN BUTTON CONNECT DISCUSSED IN AMEREN

9 MISSOURI'S RECENT RATE CASE, FILE NO. ER-2024-0319?

10 A. Yes. The settlement agreement approved by the Commission reads:

55. The Company shall implement Green Button Connect My Data ("GBC")
no later than December 31, 2026. No tariff will be implemented in this
proceeding. Ameren Missouri will meet with Renew Missouri and other
interested stakeholders to discuss possible implementation of a future tariff to
become effective 60 days before GBC implementation...

16 Q. WHAT DO YOU RECOMMEND WITH REGARD TO COORDINATING THE17 TARIFFS APPLICABLE TO LIBERTY AND AMEREN MISSOURI?

A. There are significant benefits to standardization across the two utilities. The
business terms, eligibility requirements, and performance requirements should be identical
so that there is operational and policy consistency among the state's major electric utilities.
It would be unfair and arbitrary if, for example, customers in Ameren Missouri's territory

were able to use energy management software or smartphone "apps" while customers in 1 2 Liberty's territory were not, simply because tariff differences resulted in inconsistent or 3 unfavorable operating conditions in Liberty's territory. In my view, the only allowable 4 differences between the tariffs should pertain to specific types of customer data that each 5 utility might maintain for its respective regional transmission organization, such as Liberty having customers' PNodes while Ameren Missouri has customers' Commercial Pricing 6 7 Nodes (CPNodes). Thus, the Commission should establish a consistent GBC tariff among 8 the two utilities, with only the limited exceptions I describe.

9 IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

10 Q. WHAT ARE POSSIBLE NEGATIVE OUTCOMES IF THE COMMISSION DOES 11 NOT ESTABLISH A GREEN BUTTON CONNECT TARIFF AS YOU HAVE 12 PROPOSED?

A. The tariff I have proposed provides critical requirements to ensure fairness in the relationship between Liberty and customer-authorized data recipients. Without clarity about certain provisions such as service guarantees or non-discriminatory application of eligibility criteria, monopolies such as Liberty can abuse their power and unfairly diminish the ability of energy management firms to compete on a level playing field. A tariff is necessary to ensure that ratepayers have the ability to choose energy management providers that best suit them without undue interference.

- 20 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 21 A. Yes.

Direct Testimony of Michael Murray File No. ER-2024-0261 July 21, 2025 Page 20 of 21

1 2

Direct Testimony of Michael Murray File No. ER-2024-0261 July 21, 2025 Page 21 of 21

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Request of The Empire District Electric Company d/b/a Liberty for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers In its Missouri Service Area

File No. ER-2024-0261 Tracking No. JE-2025-0069

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL MURRAY

STATE OF WASHINGTON **COUNTY OF OKANOGAN**

SS

COMES NOW Michael Murray, and on his oath states that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he prepared the foregoing Direct Testimony; and that the same is true and correct to the

best of his knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

MEMurray Michael Murray

Subscribed and sworn before me this 18th day of July 2025.

Monia a. Jonon

My commission expires: 2/25/29



1