Schedule CB-3

1898 CO

Memorandum

Date: February 5, 2025

To: Brad Lutz, Evergy

From: Craig Brown & Ryan Collins, 1898 & Co.

Subject: Large Customer Analysis

Project Background

1898 & Co., part of Burns & McDonnell, has been retained by Evergy, Inc. (Evergy) to analyze the impact on cost of service allocations and rate revenue in the event of adding a very large new customer (Customer) to the system. The analysis is based on the Missouri West jurisdiction, and the customer is assumed to be part of the Large Power Service (LPS) rate class. 1898 & Co. used the most recent Missouri West Class Cost of Service (CCOS) model for the analysis. Detailed calculations and assumptions are shown in the CCOS model and supporting workpapers. This memo outlines the assumptions and summarizes the results.

Compilation of Data and Assumptions

1898 & Co. submitted a data request to Evergy to gather the required information, which is grouped into the following:

- New customer information
- New infrastructure required
- Changes to operating expenses
- Changes to current rates

New Customer Information

Based on discussions with Evergy, the following assumptions were made for the Customer:

- Takes service at transmission voltage (69 kV or higher)
- 384 megawatts (MW) non-coincident peak (NCP) demand
- 90% coincidence factor with system peak
- 85% monthly load factor
- An additional \$9.64 per kW-month from a new System Support Rider to offset incremental power supply costs.

With an 85% load factor, the Customer will add approximately 2.9 million megawatt hours (MWh) per year to the LPS class. These assumptions and Evergy's current rate tariff for the Missouri West jurisdiction were used to calculate the Customer's base rate revenue projections. The CCOS model includes a detailed revenue calculation summarized in Table 1.



Memorandum

Table 1: Annual Base Rate Revenue

Base Rate Reven	ue Summary
Customer Charge	\$8,100
Demand Charge	\$39,966,720
Energy Charge	\$114,312,200
Total Base Revenue	\$154,287,020

The customer charge is based on one meter, assuming that metering will be totalized/aggregated if needed. Demand charges are modeled assuming the full load of 384 MW each month. Energy charges are modeled based on the 85% load factor assumption and the corresponding hours in each month of the year. The Fuel Adjustment Clause (FAC) and Demand Side Investment Mechanism Rider (DSIM) have been excluded from the calculation as they are excluded from class revenues in the CCOS model.

Evaluated Scenarios

Based on additional discussions with Evergy, 1898 & Co. evaluated two separate cost scenarios related to the potential addition of the Customer.

<u>Scenario 1 - No New Generation:</u> This scenario assumes that Evergy does not build any new generation assets specific to this load and instead bases additional costs on assumed increases in purchased capacity costs and incremental energy costs associated with serving the Customer.

<u>Scenario 2 - New Combined Cycle Power Plant</u>: Assumes that Evergy will be building a new combined cycle gas turbine (CCGT) to help service this load. In this scenario, a 400 MW share of a newly built CCGT is added to the jurisdiction's rate base along with assumed depreciation expense, operation & maintenance expense, property insurance, and property taxes.

Scenario 1 - No New Generation

Under Scenario 1, it was assumed that no new generation assets will be built and any new infrastructure required to serve the Customer will be paid for by the Customer. This includes any transmission line upgrades, substation additions, and distribution additions to the Evergy system within the Missouri West jurisdiction. Based on these assumptions, infrastructure additions and other correlated costs specifically to serve the Customer have been excluded from the analysis as there would be no net increase to Evergy's MO West rate base.

1898 CO ®

Memorandum

Changes to Operating Expenses

Operating expenses have been adjusted based on assumed changes in power supply and operating capacity costs. These changes have been reflected in FERC account 555.00 - Purchased Power Expense. To account for increased capacity costs, \$11.00 per kilowatt (kW) per month was added to FERC account 555.00 - Purchased Power Energy and Capacity. The Evergy team provided this \$11.00/kW-month assumption for the analysis. For purchased energy, \$25.00/MWh was also added to FERC account 555.00. This value is based on a separate study evaluating the incremental impact of adding large loads within Evergy's jurisdiction.

Additionally, a system support charge of \$9.64 per kW was assessed, generating \$44,421,120 in additional revenues. This charge is intended to offset the unforeseen power supply and capacity cost impact on other classes caused by serving the new customer. Table 2 summarizes the assumed changes in operating expenses.

Table 2: Scenario 1 Additional Operating Expense Summary

Revenue Requirement Summary			
555.00 Purchased Power - Energy & Capacity			
Original TY Value	\$277,495,703		
Large Customer Additional Expense			
555.00 Purchased Power Expense - Incremental Energy & Capacit	<u>ty</u>		
Additional Capacity : \$11.00/kW-month	\$50,688,000		
Additional Energy : \$0.025/kWh	\$71,481,600		
Total 555 - Incremental Purchased Power Energy & Capacity	\$122,169,600		
Total 555 - Purchased Power Energy & Capacity	\$399,665,303		
447.012 Firm Bulk Sales (Capacity & Fixed)			
System Support Rider Revenue	(\$44,421,120)		
Total 447.012 Firm Bulk Sales (Capacity & Fixed)	(\$44,421,120)		
Total Revenue Requirements Added	\$77,748,480		

1898 CO B PART OF BURNS MEDONNELL

Memorandum

Class Cost of Service Modeling

After determining the additional revenue and operating expense, 1898 & Co. input these values into the existing CCOS model. Where appropriate, we updated the allocation factors to account for the potential addition of the Customer's load profile. This included updating demand, energy, and customer allocators for the LPS rate class. The impact on the LPS rate class of adding the Customer under the established assumptions and updated allocation factors is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Scenario 1 LPS Class Cost of Service Comparison

	Including LPS Test		
Large Power Service	TY Original Values	Customer	Change
Revenue	\$122,364,301	\$276,651,321	\$154,287,020
Net Revenue Requirement	\$101,695,748	\$205,515,323	\$103,819,576
Net Operating Income	\$20,668,554	\$71,135,998	\$50,467,444
Rate Base	\$347,973,280	\$537,882,848	\$ 189,909,568
Rate of Return at Present Rates	5.94%	13.23%	7.29%

As shown in Table 3, adding the Customer increases the LPS class's net operating income by approximately \$50 million. The return on rate base at present rates increases from 5.94% to 13.23%, indicating that the LPS class benefits from the addition of the Customer under this set of assumptions and inputs. Notably, the addition of the Customer will cause the class to continue over-recovering its return from a class cost-of-service perspective.

Table 4 provides a rate of return under present rates comparison for each rate class and the entire Missouri West system. As a note, this comparison is with current 2024 rates and a 2023 test year revenue requirement. As shown, each rate class except for the Electric Vehicle rate class benefits from adding the Customer. The 2.70% overall system increase in the rate of return indicates that the Customer's potential addition to the system would be a net benefit for Evergy and its current ratepayers under Scenario 1.

1898 CO PART OF BURNS MEDONNELL

Memorandum

Table 4: Scenario 1 Class Rates of Return

	Rate	lates	
Class		Including LPS Test	
	TY Original Values	Customer	Change
Residential	2.64%	3.94%	1.30%
Small General Service	9.29%	11.42%	2.13%
Large General Service	7.58%	9.88%	2.31%
Large Power Service	5.94%	13.23%	7.29%
Thermal	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Special Contracts	9.00%	8.99%	0.00%
Electric Vehicle	-59.93%	-60.04%	-0.11%
MO West Retail	4.64%	4.64% 7.34%	

A unit cost comparison is shown in Table 5. On a per-unit basis, customer costs increase by \$5.99, energy costs decrease slightly, and demand costs decrease by \$2.31. The energy and demand decreases for the LPS class are a result of adding the Customer's additional 2.9 million MWh energy sales.

Table 5: Scenario 1 Unit Costs at Present Rates

Unit Costs at Present Rates					
		Including LPS Test			
Large Power Service Unit Costs	TY Original Values	Customer	Change		
Customer Costs	\$49.25	\$55.24	\$5.99		
Excluding Local Facilities	\$37.70	\$41.71	\$4.01		
Average Cost per kWh	\$0.03037	\$0.02881	(\$0.00156)		
Demand Cost Per Billing kW	\$14.75	\$12.44	(\$2.31)		
Production Demand	\$6.13	\$6.00	(\$0.14)		
Transmission Demand	\$4.84	\$4.62	(\$0.22)		
Distribution Demand	\$3.77	\$1.82	(\$1.95)		



Memorandum

Scenario 2 - New CCGT Plant

Under Scenario 2, it was assumed that Evergy will build a new combined cycle gas turbine plant and add a 400 MW share to its jurisdictional rate base to serve the Customer. Like Scenario 1, the Customer will pay for any subsequent new infrastructure required to serve the Customer upfront. This includes any transmission line upgrades, substation additions, and distribution additions to the Evergy system within the Missouri West jurisdiction.

Changes to Operating Expenses

To account for the new plant in rate base, 1898 & Co. added \$629 million to FERC account 344. The \$629 million is based on an estimated 400 MW share of a CCGT. Multiple adjustments to operating expenses have been made based on the addition of the CCGT. These include changes to depreciation expense, fixed operation and maintenance (O&M) expense, variable O&M expense, property insurance expense, and property tax expense. Expense estimates were based on 1898 & Co.'s experience and discussed with Evergy.

Additionally, a system support charge of \$9.64 per kW-month was assessed, generating an additional \$44,421,120 in other revenues. This charge offsets unforeseen power supply cost and capacity cost impacts on other classes due to serving the Customer. Table 6 summarizes the assumed changes in rate base and the corresponding operating expenses.



Memorandum

Table 6: Scenario 2 Additional Operating Expense Summary

Revenue Requirement Summary	
Rate Base Additions	
344 - Generators	\$040.470
Original TY Value	\$610,470
Large Customer Additional Expense	\$000 574 400
400 MW Share of CC Plant	\$628,571,429
Total 344 - Generators	\$629,181,898
Revenue Requirement Additions	
403 - Depreciation Expense - Production	\$40.504.070
Original TY Value	\$46,591,379
Large Customer Additional Expense Annual Depreciation Expense	¢20 0E2 201
Total 403 - Depreciation Expense Production	\$20,952,381 \$67,543,760
Total 403 - Depreciation Expense Production	\$67,543,760
549 - Oth Pwr Gen Ops Oth Misc	
Original TY Value	\$214,690
Large Customer Additional Expense	* 4
Fixed O&M	\$4,800,000
Total 549 - Oth Pwr Gen Ops Oth Misc	\$5,014,690
553 - Oth Pwr Gen Maint Elec Equip	
Original TY Value	\$33,149
Large Customer Additional Expense	
Variable O&M	\$14,343,807
Total 553 - Oth Pwr Gen Maint Elec Equip	\$14,376,956
924 - Property Insurance	
Original TY Value	\$1,685,589
Large Customer Additional Expense	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Property Insurance	\$3,142,857
Total 924 - Property Insurance	\$4,828,447
408.1 - Totit - Property Tax Elec	
Original TY Value	\$54,971,391
Large Customer Additional Expense	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Property Taxes	\$4,761,905
Total 408.1 - Totit - Property Tax Elec	\$59,733,295
555.00 Purchased Power - Energy & Capacity	
Original TY Value	\$277,495,703
Large Customer Additional Expense	\$71,481,600
Total 555 - Energy	\$348,977,303
447 Firm Bulk Sales (Capacity & Fixed) - Revenue	
Original TY Value	(\$6,514,900
Large Customer Additional Expense	••••
System Support Rider	(\$44,421,120
Total 447 - Firm Bulk Sales	(\$50,936,020
Total Revenue Requirements Added	\$75,061,430
	+,0,001,400

1898 CO B PART OF BURNS MEDONNELL

Memorandum

Class Cost of Service Modeling

After determining the additional revenue and operating expense, 1898 & Co. input these values into the existing CCOS model. Where appropriate, 1898 & Co. updated the allocation factors to account for the potential addition of the Customer's load profile. This included updating demand, energy, and customer allocators for the LPS rate class. The impact on the LPS rate class of adding the Customer under the established assumptions and updated allocation factors is shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Scenario 2 LPS Class Cost of Service Comparison

Large Power Service	TY Original Values	Including LPS Test Customer	Change
Revenue	\$122,364,301	\$276,651,321	\$154,287,020
Net Revenue Requirement	\$101,695,748	\$204,768,686	\$103,072,938
Net Operating Income	\$20,668,554	\$71,882,635	\$51,214,082
Rate Base	\$347,973,280	\$704,336,154	\$ 356,362,874
Rate of Return at Present Rates	5.94%	10.21%	4.27%

As shown in Table 7, adding the Customer increases the LPS class's net operating income by approximately \$51 million. The return on rate base at present rates increases from 5.94% to 10.21%, indicating that the LPS class benefits from the addition of the Customer under this set of assumptions and inputs. Notably, the addition of the Customer will cause the class to continue over-recovering its return from a class cost-of-service perspective.

Table 8 provides a rate of return under present rates comparison for each rate class and the total Missouri West system. Keep in mind that this comparison is with current 2024 rates and a 2023 test year revenue requirement. As shown, each rate class except for the Special Contracts rate class benefits from adding the Customer. The 1.48% overall system increase in the rate of return indicates that the Customer's potential addition to the system would be a net benefit for Evergy and its current ratepayers under Scenario 2.

1898 CO

Memorandum

Table 8: Scenario 2 Class Rates of Return

	Rate of Returns at Present Rates			
Class		Including LPS Test		
	TY Original Values	Customer	Change	
Residential	2.64%	3.38%	0.74%	
Small General Service	9.29%	9.54%	0.25%	
Large General Service	7.58%	8.12%	0.54%	
Large Power Service	5.94%	10.21%	4.27%	
Thermal	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Special Contracts	9.00%	8.99%	0.00%	
Electric Vehicle	-59.93%	-58.76%	1.17%	
MO West Retail	4.64%	6.12%	1.48%	

Table 9 compares the unit costs at present rates between the original test year and Scenario 2, which includes the addition of the LPS Customer. On a per-unit basis, customer costs increase by \$3.66, energy costs decrease slightly, and demand costs decrease by \$1.96. The energy and demand decreases for the LPS class are a result of adding the Customer's additional 2.9 million MWh energy sales.

Table 9: Scenario 2 Unit Costs at Present Rates

Unit Costs at Present Rates				
Large Power Service Unit Costs	TY Original Values	Customer	Change	
Customer Costs	\$49.25	\$52.91	\$3.66	
Excluding Local Facilities	\$37.70	\$40.18	\$2.48	
Average Cost per kWh	\$0.03037	\$0.02877	(\$0.00160)	
Demand Cost Per Billing kW	\$14.75	\$12.79	(\$1.96)	
Production Demand	\$6.13	\$6.728	\$0.59	
Transmission Demand	\$4.84	\$4.355	(\$0.49)	
Distribution Demand	\$3.77	\$1.71	(\$2.06)	

1898 CO PART OF BURNS MEDONNELL

Memorandum

Summary

Table 10 summarizes the results at the system level under each scenario. As outlined above, the potential addition of the Customer benefits the Evergy MO West system and its corresponding ratepayers in both Scenario 1 and Scenario 2. As details about the customer become more available, a more detailed analysis should be conducted with a more detailed estimate of how Evergy will serve the load and additional consideration of other costs that may change.

Table 10: Rates of Return Results Summary

	Rates of Return at Present Rates				
Class	Test Year Original	No Generation Investment		With CCGT Investment	
	Values	Including LPS Test	Change	Including LPS Test	Change
		Customer		Customer	Onlango
Residential	2.64%	3.94%	1.30%	3.38%	0.74%
Small General Service	9.29%	11.42%	2.13%	9.54%	0.25%
Large General Service	7.58%	9.88%	2.31%	8.12%	0.54%
Large Power Service	5.94%	13.23%	7.29%	10.21%	4.27%
Thermal	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Special Contracts	9.00%	8.99%	0.00%	8.99%	0.00%
Electric Vehicle	-59.93%	-60.04%	-0.11%	-58.76%	1.17%
MO West Retail	4.64%	7.34%	2.70%	6.12%	1.48%