

SEP 2 9 2006

Misseuri Public Bervice Commission

Exhibit No.: Issues: Witness: Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared: June 30, 2006

Rate Design David Roos ER-2006-0315

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

DAVID C. ROOS

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2006-0315

Jefferson City, Missouri June 2006

____Exhibit No.____ Case No(s). <u>FR-</u> Date <u>9-05-06</u> 315

<u>BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION</u>

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of The Empire District Company of) Joplin, Missouri for authority to file tariffs) increasing rates for electric service provided to) customers in Missouri service area of the Company.)

Case No. ER-2006-0315

AFFIDAVIT OF DAVID ROOS

SS.

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	
COUNTY OF COLE)	

David Roos, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

David Roos

Example sworn to before me this $\frac{29}{10}$ day of June 2006. Notary Public ne 1, 2009 expires

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3 4	DIRECT TESTIMONY
5	OF
6	DAVID BOOS
8	DAVID ROOS
9	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY
10	CASE NO. ED 2006 0215
12	CASE NO. ER-2000-0515
13	
14	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
15	BACKGROUND
14	
16	CLASS REVENUES: IEC CONTINUATION SCENARIO
17	CLASS REVENUES: IEC TERMINATION SCENARIO
18	CLASS RATE VALUES
19	CLASS REVENUES AND RATE VALUES: ADOPTION OF STAFF'S METHODS 6
20	IMPACT OF SCENARIOS ON THE TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER

4

ç

i

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY
3	OF
5	DAVID ROOS
6 7	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY
8 9	CASE NO. ER-2006-0315
10 11 12	Q. Please state your name and business address.
13	A. My name is David C. Roos and my business address is Missouri Public
14	Service Commission, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
15	Q. What is your present position with the Missouri Public Service
16	Commission?
17	A. I am a Regulatory Economist III in the Economic Analysis Section,
18	Energy Department, Operations Division of the Missouri Public Service Commission.
19	Q. Would you please review your educational background and work
20	experience?
21	A. I graduated from the University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana,
22	with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Chemical Engineering in May 1983, and I
23	graduated from the University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri in December 2005, with
24	a Master of Arts in Economics. I have been employed at the Missouri Public Service
25	Commission as a Regulatory Economist III since March 2006. Prior to joining the Public
26	Service Commission I taught introductory economics and conducted economic research
27	as a graduate teaching assistant and graduate research assistant at the University of
28	Missouri. Prior to the University of Missouri, I was employed by several private firms

.

.

ī

1

i.

.

٦

ç

ĩ

ç

i

ł

,

ł

.

	David 1005
1	where I provided consulting, design and construction oversight of environmental projects
2	for private and public sector clients.
3	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
4	Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony in this filing?
5	A. The purpose of my direct testimony and schedules is to illustrate how to
6	apply each of the rate design recommendations described in the direct testimony of Staff
7	witness James A. Busch to Empire District Electric Company's (Empire) Missouri rate
8	schedules. Mr. Busch's recommendations describe how to determine class revenues and
9	the associated rate values under two basic scenarios:
10	1. The current Commission-approved Interim Energy Charge (IEC) remains in
11	place (IEC Continuation Scenario); and,
12	2. The Commission determines that the IEC should be terminated (IEC
13	Termination Scenario).
14	Schedules DCR-1 (class revenues) and DCR-2 (rate values) illustrate the
15	application of Staff's recommendations for the IEC Continuation Scenario to the rate
16	revenues and revenue requirement change presented in the June 23, 2006 direct testimony
17	of Staff Witnesses Curt Wells and Mark L. Oligschlaeger, respectively.
18	Similarly, Schedules DCR-3 (class revenues) and DCR-4 (rate values) illustrate
19	the application of Staff's recommendations for the IEC Termination Scenario to the
20	Staff's revenue and accounting data, presented in the direct testimony of Staff witnesses
21	Curt Wells and Mark L. Oligschlaeger, respectively.
22	Schedule DCR-5 presents how the monthly electric bill for a typical residential
23	customer would appear, if the rate values associated with each scenario were

ĩ

implemented. This schedule also compares that monthly electric bill to the bills that this
 "typical" residential customer would pay under the tariffs in effect for the other regulated
 investor-owned electric utilities in Missouri.

4 BACKGROUND 5 Q. Where did you get the data you used to illustrate how Staff's rate design 6 recommendations would be applied? 7 Α. The \$4,049,869 change in revenue requirement for the IEC Termination 8 scenario was taken from the first page of Accounting Schedule 1, filed in this case on 9 June 23, 2006. The IEC Continuation Scenario revenue requirement change of 10 (\$22,939,520) is shown on Schedule 2, attached to the direct testimony of Staff witness 11 Mark L. Oligschlaeger. Missouri rate revenues by class were taken from Staff witness 12 Curt Wells' Schedule CW-1. Mr. Wells also provided me with IEC revenues and billing 13 units by rate.

Q. Are Staff's rate design recommendations applicable to all existing Empire
Missouri rate classes?

A. Yes. However Schedules DCR-2 and DCR-3 only present the results for
the six largest rate classes; namely, (1) Residential (RG); (2) Commercial (CB); (3) Small
Heating (SH); (4) Total Electric Building (TEB); (5) General Power (GP); and (6) Large
Power (LP).

Q. What is the relationship between the rate classes used by Staff and
Empire's existing rate schedules?

A. Each rate class used by Staff corresponds to one of Empire's existing rate
schedules.

1 **CLASS REVENUES: IEC CONTINUATION SCENARIO** 2 Q. What are the proposed changes to the IEC in the IEC Continuation Scenario? 3 4 Α. The IEC remains in place and is applied to all customers at the current rate 5 of \$0.00213 per kilowatt hour (kWh). 6 Q. What method does Staff recommend be used to determine class revenues in the IEC Continuation Scenario? 7 8 Α. As explained in Staff witness Busch's rate design testimony, if the 9 Commission decides to keep the existing IEC in place, then any change in overall 10 revenues should be assigned to each customer class in proportion to that class' current share of permanent revenues. 11 12 Q. Please describe Schedule DCR-1. 13 A. Schedule DCR-1 displays the calculation of the "target" revenues to be collected by new permanent rates for each customer class in the IEC Continuation 14 15 Scenario. In this illustration, existing permanent rates collect \$284,423,930 and the existing IEC collects \$8,766,358 (see column 2). Under this scenario, the IEC continues 16 17 to collect \$8,766,358, but the revenues collected through permanent rates should be 18 \$216,484,410 (column 4), or \$22,939,520 (column 5) less than current permanent 19 revenues. The revenues to be collected by each class will decrease by 8.07% (column 6), 20 which is the result of applying Staff's method of determining each class' share of any 21 overall increase or decrease in permanent revenues.

1 **CLASS REVENUES: IEC TERMINATION SCENARIO** 2 Q. How does the Staff propose to collect the revenues that were being 3 collected through the IEC in the IEC Termination Scenario? 4 Α. Since the IEC ceases to exist, all prudently incurred costs should be 5 recovered through permanent rates. 6 Q. What method does Staff recommend be used to determine class revenues 7 in the IEC Termination Scenario? 8 Α. As further described in Mr. Busch's rate design testimony, if the 9 Commission decides to terminate the IEC, then any change in overall revenues should be 10 assigned to customer classes in proportion to each class' current share of total rate 11 revenues. The amount of total revenues includes both the revenues collected through 12 permanent rates and the revenues collected through the IEC. 13 Q. Please describe Schedule DCR-3. 14 Schedule DCR-3 displays the calculation of the "target" revenues to be Α. 15 collected by new permanent rates for each customer class in the IEC Termination 16 Scenario. In this illustration, existing permanent rates collect \$284,423,930 and the 17 existing IEC collects \$8,766,358 (see column 2). According to Staff Accounting 18 Schedule 1, overall revenues should increase by \$4,049,869 at the same time that the IEC 19 is terminated. The net effect on overall revenues is a decrease of \$4,716,489 (\$4,049,869 20 increase in permanent rates less \$8,776,358 through termination of the IEC) in permanent 21 revenues (column 7). The revenues to be collected by each class will decrease by 1.61%22 (column 8), which is the result of applying Staff's method of determining each class' 23 share of any overall increase or decrease in total (permanent plus IEC) revenues.

;

1

1

I

1

1

-

1	CLASS RATE VALUES
2	Q. How were the rate values shown on Schedules DCR-2 (IEC Continuation
3	Scenario) and DCR-4 (IEC Termination Scenario) calculated?
4	A. I followed the Staff's recommendation to determine the specific rate
5	values for each class by adjusting all existing demand and energy charges, but not
6	customer charges, by whatever uniform percentage results in collecting the class "target"
7	revenues shown on Schedules DCR-1 (IEC Continuation Scenario) and DCR-3 (IEC
8	Termination Scenario), respectively.
9	Q, Why does this methodology result in a uniform (same) percentage change
10	to demand and energy charges within a class but not necessarily between classes?
11	A. Because the proportion of total revenues being collected by the customer
12	charge differs from class to class.
13	CLASS REVENUES AND RATE VALUES: ADOPTION OF STAFF'S METHODS
14	Q. Is Staff recommending that the Commission adopt the results shown in
15	Schedules DCR-1 through DCR-4?
16	A. Not necessarily. Whether or not the Commission accepts Staff's
17	quantification of rate revenues and revenue requirement change, Staff recommends that
18	the methods for determining class revenues and rate values illustrated in Schedules DCR-
19	1 and DCR-2 be adopted in the event that the Commission determines that the IEC
20	should be continued. Similarly, in the event that the Commission determines that the IEC
21	should be terminated; Staff recommends adoption of the methods for determining class
22	revenues and rate values illustrated in Schedules DCR-3 and DCR-4.

1

IMPACT OF SCENARIOS ON THE TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER

2 Q. How does each Scenario affect the electric bills incurred by residential
3 customers served by Empire?

A. Schedule DCR-5 compares the monthly electrical bill that a typical
residential customer would incur under current Empire rates (permanent plus IEC) with
the monthly bills generated by the IEC Continuation Scenario residential rates shown on
Schedule DCR-2-1 and the IEC Termination Scenario residential rates shown on
Schedule DCR-4-1. The typical residential customer defined for these computations uses
1,000 kWh each summer (June-September) month and 750 kWh per month during the
remaining eight months.

The typical residential customer's average electricity bill is \$74.33 per month when billed on current Empire rates. Under the IEC Continuation Scenario, the average monthly electricity bill for this typical residential customer would be \$68.65. The average monthly electricity bill would be \$73.16 under the IEC Termination Scenario.

Q. How do the electric bills incurred by residential customers served by
Empire compare to the electric bills paid by residential customers served by the other
regulated Missouri electric utilities?

A. Schedule DCR-5 also presents the average monthly electric bills that the typical residential customer would incur if served by each of the other Missouri regulated investor-owned electric utilities (Aquila Networks - L&P Division, Aquila Networks -MPS Division, Kansas City Power and Light Company, and Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE). These bills, in addition to the three Empire bills described above, are displayed in order from the highest (rank=1) to the lowest (rank=7). The schedule shows

that current Empire rates produce the highest average monthly bill and that current
 Aquila-MPS rates produce the second highest average monthly bill. For this illustration,
 both Empire scenarios produce average monthly bills that are lower (ranked 4 and 3
 respectively) than Aquila-MPS (ranked 2).

5

7

1

Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony on the issue of Rate Design in

- 6 this case?
 - A. Yes, it does.

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY -- CASE NO. ER-2006-0315 "TARGET" REVENUE TO BE COLLECTED BY NEW RATES

-

_- _

5

.

IEC CONTINUATION SCENARIO

Change in Revenue Requirement: (\$22,939,520)

Sources of Rate Revenue	Current	Class Share of \$ from	"Target"	Revenue	Percant
MO Rate Schedule	Revenue	Permanent Rates	Revenue	Difference	Difference
RG- Residential	\$129,598,362	45.57%	\$119,145,921	(\$10,452,441)	-8.07%
CB-Commercial	\$28,159,955	9.90%	\$25,888,782	(\$2,271,173)	-8.07%
SH-Small Heating	\$6,928,204	2.44%	\$6,369,427	(\$558,777)	-8.07%
PFM- Feed Mill/ Grain Elev	\$56,694	0.02%	\$52,122	(\$4,573)	-8.07%
MS- Traffic Signals	\$57,566	0.02%	\$52,923	(\$4,643)	-8,07%
GP-General Power	\$53,633,607	18.86%	\$49,307,919	(\$4,325,688)	-8.07%
TEB- Total Electric Building	\$22,573,232	7.94%	\$20,752,642	(\$1,820,589)	-8.07%
LP-Large Power	\$36,211,703	12.73%	\$33,291,136	(\$2,920,567)	-8.07%
SC-P PRAXAIR	\$2,435,500	0.86%	\$2,239,071	(\$196,429)	-8.07%
SPL- Municipal St. Lighting	\$1,242,402	0.44%	\$1,142,199	(\$100,203)	-8.07%
PL- Private Lighting	\$3,385,197	1.18%	\$3,093,786	(\$271,412)	-8.07%
LS- Special Lighting	\$161,508	0.06%	\$148,482	(\$13,026)	-8.07%
Billed \$ from Permanent Rates	\$284,423,930	100.00%	\$261,484,410	(\$22,939,520)	
\$ from IEC Charge	\$8,766,358		\$8,766,358	\$0	0.00%
Other Rate Revenue]		
CP-Cogeneration Purchase	(\$165)		(\$165)	\$0 }	0.00%
Excess Facilities Charges	\$1,741,643		\$1,741,643	\$0	0.00%
Interruptible Credits	(\$342,912)	1	(\$342,912)	\$0]	0.00%
MO Other Rate Revenue	\$1,398,566		\$1,398,566	\$0	
Total Missouri Rate Revenue	\$294,588,854		\$271,649,334	(\$22,939,520)	

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY - CASE NO. ÉR-2006-0315 ILLUSTRATIVE RATES FOR IEC CONTINUATION SCENARIO

CLASS: RG - RESIDENTIAL

	Current Rates	Current Rates	Final Rates[1]
Customer Charge	\$10.3 5	0.00%	\$10.35
Permanent Energy Charges:			
Summer	\$0.07770	-9.13%	\$0.07061
Winter			
First 600 kWh	\$0.07770	-9.13%	\$0.07061
Over 600 kWh	\$0.05220	-9.13%	\$0.04743
Interim Energy Charge	\$0.00213	0.00%	\$0.00213

[1] These rates, when applied to test year billing units, result in the "target" revenues shown on Schedule DCR-1.

CLASS: CB - COMMERCIAL SERVICE

Customer Chame	Current Rates	Percent Change to Current Rates	Final Rates[1]
Customer Charge	@14.00	0.00%	
Permanent Energy Charges:			
Summer	\$0.0867 0	-9.04%	\$0.07886
Winter			
First 700 kWh	\$0.08670	-9.04%	\$0.07886
Over 700 kWh	\$0.06530	-9.04%	\$0.05940
Interim Energy Charge	\$0.00213	0.00%	\$0.00213

[1] These rates, when applied to test year billing units, result in the "target" revenues shown on Schedule DCR-1.

CLASS: SH - SMALL HEATING

Customer Charge	Current Rates \$14.60	Percent Change to Current Rates 0.00%	Final Rates[1] \$14.60
Permanent Energy Charges: Summer	\$0.08670	-8.68%	\$0.07917
First 700 kWh Over 700 kWh	\$0.08670 \$0.05040	-8.68% -8.68%	\$0.07917 \$0.04602
Interim Energy Charge	\$0.00213	0.00%	\$0.00213

[1] These rates, when applied to test year billing units, result in the "target" revenues shown on Schedule DCR-1.

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY - CASE NO. ER-2006-0315 ILLUSTRATIVE RATES FOR IEC CONTINUATION SCENARIO

CLASS: TEB - TOTAL ELECTRIC BUILDING

	Percent Change to			
Cun	rent Rates	Current Rates	Final Rates[1]	
Customer Charge	\$49.61		\$49.61	
Demand Charge				
Summer	\$4,22	-8.25%	\$3.87	
Winter	\$3.47	-8.25%	\$3.18	
Permanent Energy Charges: Summer				
First 150HU	\$0.08140	-8.25%	\$0.07469	
Next 200HU	\$0.05140	-8.25%	\$0.04716	
All Add'i kWh	\$0.04630	-8.25%	\$0.04248	
Winter				
First 150HU	\$0.04260	-8.25%	\$0.03909	
Next 200HU	\$0.04010	-8.25%	\$0.03679	
All Add'i kWh	\$0.03880	-8.25%	\$0.03560	
Interim Energy Charge	\$0.00213	0.00%	\$0.00213	

· - ---

[1] These rates, when applied to test year billing units, result in the "target" revenues shown on Schedule DCR-1.

CLASS: GP - GENERAL POWER

		Percent Change to	
	Current Rates	Current Rates	Final Rates[1]
Customer Charge	\$49.61		\$49.61
Demand Charge			
Summer	\$6.76	-8.21%	\$6.20
Winter	\$5.28	-8.21%	\$4.85
Primary Discount	(\$0.234)	-8.21%	(\$0.215)
Permanent Energy Charges: Summer			
First 150HU	\$0.06950	-8.21%	\$0.06379
Next 200HU	\$0.04380	-8.21%	\$0.04020
All Add'i kWh Winter	\$0.03940	-8.21%	\$0.03616
First 150HU	\$0.04080	-8.21%	\$0.03745
Next 200HU	\$0.03940	-8.21%	\$0.03616
All Add'I kWh	\$0.03840	-8.21%	\$0.03525
Interim Energy Charge	\$0.00213	0.00%	\$0.00213

÷,

[1] These rates, when applied to test year billing units, result in the "target" revenues shown on Schedule DCR-1.

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY - CASE NO. ER-2008-0315 ILLUSTRATIVE RATES FOR IEC CONTINUATION SCENARIO

CLASS: LP - LARGE POWER

	Percent Change to			
0	Current Rates	Current Rates	Final Rates[1]	
Customer Charge	\$183.44		\$183.44	
Demand Charge				
Summer	\$10.85	-8.21%	\$9.95	
Winter	\$5.98	-8.21%	\$5.48	
Secondary Adder	\$0.23	-8.21%	\$0.21	
Substation Facilities Credit	(\$ 1.1 1)	-8.21%	\$1.02	
Permanent Energy Charges: Summer				
First 350HU	\$0.04310	-8.21%	\$0.03951	
All Add'i kWh	\$0.02230	-8.21%	\$0.02044	
Winter	• • • • • • • • •		•••••	
First 350HU	\$0.03800	-8.21%	\$0.03483	
Ali Add'i kWh	\$0.02150	-8.21%	\$0.01971	
Interim Energy Charge	\$0.00213	0.00%	\$0.00213	

-i

. ___ - - - -

[1] These rates, when applied to test year billing units, result in the "target" revenues shown on Schedule DCR-1.

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY -- CASE NO. ER-2006-0315 "TARGET" REVENUE TO BE COLLECTED BY NEW RATES

IEC TERMINATION SCENARIO

Changes in Revenue Requirement:

Net Change:

\$4,049,869 Increase to Permanent Rates (\$8,766,358) Decrease from Terminating IEC (\$4,716,489)

Sources of Rate Revenue	Current	IEC Revenue	Total	Class Share of	"Target"	Revenue	Percent
MO Rate Schedules	Revenue	by Class	Revenue	Total Revenue	Revenue	Difference	Difference
RG. Residential	\$129.598.362	\$3,559,290	\$133,157,660	45.42%	\$131,015,581	(\$2,142,079)	-1.61%
CB-Commercial	\$28,159,955	\$691,959	\$28,851,914	9.84%	\$28,387,779	(\$464,135)	-1.61%
SH-Small Heating	\$6,928,204	\$201,682	\$7,129,887	2.43%	\$7,015,190	(\$114,697)	-1.61%
PEM, Seed Mill/ Grain Elev	\$56,694	\$1.024	\$57,718	0.02%	\$56,790	(\$928)	-1.61%
MS- Traffic Signals	\$57,566	\$1,809	\$59,376	0.02%	\$58,421	(\$955)	-1. 61%
GP-General Power	\$53,633,807	\$1,812,913	\$55,446,520	18.91%	\$54,554,564	(\$891,956)	-1.61%
TEB- Total Electric Building	\$22,573,232	\$752,909	\$23,326,140	7.96%	\$22,950,897	(\$375,243)	-1.61%
I P. I prop Power	\$36,211,703	\$1,545,344	\$37,757,047	12.88%	\$37,149,658	(\$607,390)	-1.61%
	\$2,435,500	\$127,183	\$2,562,683	0.87%	\$2,521,457	(\$41,225)	-1.61%
SDI - Municipal St. Lighting	\$1,242,402	\$34,800	\$1,277,202	0.44%	\$1,256,656	(\$20,546)	-1.61%
DI - Brivate Lighting	\$3,365,197	\$34,207	\$3,399,404	1.16%	\$3,344,719	(\$54,685)	-1.61%
I.S. Special Lighting	\$161,508	\$3,230	\$164,738	0.06%	\$162,088	(\$2,650)	-1.61%
Billed \$ from Permanent Rates	\$284,423,930	\$8,766,358	\$293,190,288	100.00%	\$288,473,799	(\$4,716,489)	
\$ from IEC Charge	\$8,766,358	(\$8,766,358)			\$0		-100.00%
Other Rate Revenue							
CP-Cogeneration Purchase	(\$165)		(\$165)		(\$165)	\$ 0	0.00%
Excess Facilities Charges	\$1,741,643		\$1,741,643		\$1,741,643	\$0	0.00%
Internuotible Credits	(\$342,912)		(\$342,912)		(\$342,912)	\$ 0	0.00%
NO Other Rate Revenue	\$1,398,566		\$1,398,566		\$1,398,566	\$0	
Total Missouri Rate Revenue	\$294,588,854		\$294,588,854		\$289,8 72,365	(\$4,716,489)	

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY - CABE NO. ER-2006-0315 ILLUSTRATIVE RATES FOR IEC TERMINATION SCENARIO

CLASS: RG - RESIDENTIAL

Customer Charge	Current Rates \$10.35	Effect to Rates of IEC Termination	Rates Equivalent to Current Rates [1] \$10.35	Percent Change to Equivalent Rates 0.00%	Final Rates (2) \$10.35
Permanent Energy Charges:					
Summer	\$0.07770	\$0.00213	\$0.07983	-1.82%	\$0.07838
Winter					
First 600 kWh	\$0.07770	\$0.00213	\$0.07983	-1.82%	\$0 .07838
Over 600 kWh	\$0.05220	\$0.00213	\$0.05433	-1.82%	\$0.05334
Interim Energy Charge	\$0.00213	(\$0.00213)	\$0.00000		

(1) These rates collect the same revenues from the same customers as current rates.

÷

[2] These rates, when applied to test year billing units, result in the "target" revenues shown on Schedule DCR-3.

CLASS: CB - COMMERCIAL SERVICE

Customer Charge	Current Rates \$14.60	Effect to Rates of IEC Termination	Rates Equivalent to Current Rates [1] \$14.60	Percent Change to Equivalent Rates 0.00%	Final Rates [2] \$14.60
Permanent Energy Charges:					
Summer	\$0.08670	\$0.00213	\$0.08883	-0.05%	\$0.08878
Winter					
First 700 kWh	\$0.08670	\$0.00213	\$0.08883	-0.05%	\$0.08878
Over 700 kWh	\$0.06530	\$0.00213	\$0.06743	-0.05%	\$0.06739
Interim Energy Charge	\$0.0 0213	(\$0.00213)	\$0.00000		

[1] These rates collect the same revenues from the same customers as current rates.

[2] These rates, when applied to test year billing units, result in the "target" revenues shown on Schedule DCR-3.

CLASS: SH - SMALL HEATING

Customer Charge	Current Rates \$14.80	Effect to Rates of IEC Termination	Rates Equivalent to Current Rates [1] \$14.60	Percent Change to Equivalent Rates 0.00%	Final Rates [2] \$14.60
Permanent Energy Charges:					
Summer	\$0.08670	\$0.00213	\$0.08683	-1.72%	\$0.08730
Winter					
First 700 kWh	\$0.08670	\$0.00213	\$0.08883	-1.72%	\$0.08730
Over 700 kWh	\$0.05040	\$0.00213	\$0.05253	-1.72%	\$0.05163
Interim Energy Charge	\$0.00213	(\$0.00213)	\$0.00000		

[1] These rates collect the same revenues from the same customers as current rates.

[2] These rates, when applied to test year billing units, result in the "target" revenues shown on Schedule DCR-3.

Schedule DCR-4-1

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY - CASE NO. ER-2006-0315 ILLUSTRATIVE RATES FOR IEC TERMINATION SCENARIO

CLASS: TEB - TOTAL ELECTRIC BUILDING

	Current Rates	Effect to Rates of IEC Termination	Rates Equivalent to Current Rates [1]	Percent Change to Equivalent Rates	Final Rates [2]
Customer Charge	\$49.61		\$49.61	0.00%	\$49.61
Demand Charge					
Summer	\$4.22	\$0.00	\$4.22	-1.64%	\$4.15
Winter	\$3.47	\$0.00	\$3.47	-1.64%	\$3.41
Permanent Energy Charges: Summer					
First 150HU	\$0.08140	\$0.00213	\$0.08353	-1.64%	\$0.08216
Next 200HU	\$0.05140	\$0.00213	\$0.05353	-1.64%	\$0.05265
All Add'i kWh Winter	\$0.04630	\$0.00213	\$0.04843	-1.64%	\$0.04764
First 150HU	\$0.04260	\$0.00213	\$0.04473	-1.64%	\$0.04400
Next 200HU	\$0.04010	\$0.00213	\$0.04223	-1.64%	\$0.04154
All Add'i kWh	\$0.03880	\$0.00213	\$0.04093	-1.64%	\$0.04026
Interim Energy Charge	\$0.00213	(\$0.00213)	\$0.00000		

[1] These rates collect the same revenues from the same customers as current rates.

[2] These rates, when applied to test year billing units, result in the "target" revenues shown on Schedule DCR-3.

CLASS: GP . GENERAL POWER

		Effect to Rates of	Rates Equivalent	Percent Change to	
	Current Rates	IEC Termination	to Current Rates [1]	Equivalent Rates	Final Rates [2]
Customer Charge	\$49.61		\$49.61	0.00%	\$49.61
Demand Charge					
Summer	\$6.76	\$0.00	\$6.76	-1.64%	\$6.65
Winter	\$5.28	\$0.00	\$5.28	-1.64%	\$5.19
Primary Discount	(\$0.234)	\$0.00	(\$0.234)	-1.64%	(\$0.23017)
Permanent Energy Charges: Summer					
First 150HU	\$0.06950	\$0.00213	\$0.07163	-1.64%	\$0.07046
Next 200HU	\$0.04380	\$0.00213	\$0.04593	-1.64%	\$0.04518
All Add'i kWh Winter	\$0.03940	\$0.00213	\$0.04153	-1.64%	\$0.04085
First 150HU	\$0.04080	\$0.00213	\$0.04293	-1.64%	\$0.04223
Next 200HU	\$0.03940	\$0.00213	\$0.04153	-1.64%	\$0.04085
All Add'l kWh	\$0.03840	\$0.00213	\$0.04053	-1.64%	\$0.03987
Interim Energy Charge	\$0.00213	(\$0.00213	> \$0.00000		

These rates collect the same revenues from the same customers as current rates.
 These rates, when applied to test year billing units, result in the "target" revenues shown on Schedule DCR-3.

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY - CASE NO. ER-2006-0315 ILLUSTRATIVE RATES FOR IEC TERMINATION SCENARIO

CLASS: LP - LARGE POWER

		Effect to Rates of	Rates Equivalent	Percent Change to	
	Current Rates	IEC Termination	to Current Rates [1]	Equivalent Rates	Final Rates [2]
Customer Charge	\$183.44	0	\$183.44	0.00%	\$183.44
Demand Charge					
Summer	\$10.85	\$0.00	\$10.85	-1.62%	\$10.67
Winter	\$5.88	\$0.00	\$5,98	-1.62%	\$5.88
Secondary Adder	\$0.23	\$0.00	\$0.23	-1.62%	\$0.23
Substation Facilities Credit	(\$1.11)	\$0.00	(\$1.11)	-1.62%	(\$1.09)
Permanent Energy Charges: Summer					
First 350HU	\$0.04310	\$0.00213	\$0.04523	-1.62%	\$0.04450
All Add't kWh	\$0.02230	\$0.00213	\$0.02443	-1.62%	\$0.02404
Winter		•••••	•••		
First 350HU	\$0.03800	\$0.00213	\$0.04013	-1.62%	\$0.03948
All Add'l kWh	\$0.02150	\$0.00213	\$0.02363	-1.62%	\$0.02325
Interim Energy Charge	\$0.00213	(\$0.00213)	\$0.00000		

.

÷

Ì

ł

į

These rates collect the same revenues from the same customers as current rates.
 These rates, when applied to test year billing units, result in the "target" revenues shown on Schedule DCR-3.

Schedule DCR-4-3

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY - CASE NO. ER-2006-0315 AVERAGE MONTHLY BILLS FOR A TYPICAL¹ RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER

Utility/ Scenario	Average Summer	Average Winter	12-Month Average	Rank
Empire/ Current	\$90.18	\$66.40	\$74.33	1
Aquila MPS	\$91.15	\$65.72	\$74.19	2
Empire/ Terminated IEC	\$88.73	\$65.38	\$73.16	3
Empire/ Continued IEC	\$83.09	\$61.43	\$68.65	4
KCPL	\$80.11	\$52.04	\$61.40	5
Aquila L&P	\$77.96	\$52.42	\$60.93	6
UĖ	\$79.75	\$47.90	\$58.52	7

Ranked by Average Monthiy Bill for 12 Consecutive Months of Service

Ranked by Average Summer Monthly Bill

ļ

I

Utility/ Scenario	Average Summer	Average Winter	12-Month Average	Rank
Aquila MPS	\$91.15	\$65.72	\$74.19	1
Empire/ Current	\$90.18	\$66.40	\$74.33	2
Empire/ Terminated IEC	\$88.73	\$65.38	\$73.16	3
Empire/ Continued IEC	\$83.09	\$61.43	\$68.65	4
KCPL	\$80.11	\$52.04	\$61.40	5
UE	\$79.75	\$47. 9 0	\$58.52	6
Aquila L&P	\$77.96	\$52.42	\$60.93	7

Ranked by Average Winter Monthly Bill

Utility/ Scenario	Average Summer	Average Winter	12-Month Average	Rank
Empire/ Current	\$90.18	\$66.40	\$74.33	1
Aquila MPS	\$91.15	\$65.72	\$74.19	2
Empire/ Terminated IEC	\$88.73	\$65,38	\$73.16	3
Empire/ Continued IEC	\$83.09	\$61.43	\$68.65	4
Aquila L&P	\$77.96	\$52.42	\$60.93	5
KCPL	\$80.11	\$52.04	\$61.40	6
UE	\$79.75	\$47.90	\$58.52	7

1. A "typical" residential customer is defined as a customer in the residential general class that uses 1000 kWh per month during four Summer months and 750kWh during eight Winter months.