Exhibit No. 121

Staff – Exhibit 121 Charles Poston Rebuttal Testimony File No. GR-2021-0108

Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Allocators & Therms

vs CCFs

Witness: Charles T. Poston, PE

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: GR-2021-0108

Date Testimony Prepared: June 17, 2021

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

CHARLES T. POSTON, PE

SPIRE MISSOURI INC., d/b/a SPIRE SPIRE EAST and SPIRE WEST GENERAL RATE CASE

CASE NO. GR-2021-0108

Jefferson City, Missouri June 2021

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		CHARLES T. POSTON, PE
4		SPIRE MISSOURI INC., d/b/a SPIRE
5 6		SPIRE EAST and SPIRE WEST GENERAL RATE CASE
7		CASE NO. GR-2021-0108
8	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
9	A.	My name is Charles T. Poston and my business address is Public Service
10	Commission	, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
12	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission as a
13	Senior Profe	ssional Engineer in the Engineering Analysis Department of the Industry
14	Analysis Div	vision
15	Q.	Are you the same Charles T. Poston who sponsored part of the
16	Staff Report	- Class Cost of Service, which was filed as direct testimony on May 26, 2021?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
19	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to some of the allocators
20	used by Spir	re in its Class Cost of Service Study (COSS) and to comment on Spire's proposal
21	to convert S ₁	pire East to CCF-based billing.
22	Q.	What testimony did Spire submit regarding these issues?
23	A.	Spire witness Wesley E. Selinger briefly discusses the COSS on pages 20 and 21
24	of his direct	testimony and then in much greater detail in his Appendix C and Schedule WES-2.

My analysis also included a review of his supporting workpapers. Spire witness Trisha E. Lavin discusses the proposed conversion of Spire East from therms to CCF billing on pages 13 to 15 of her direct testimony.

METERS/SERVICES AND MAINS ALLOCATORS

- Q. During your work on Staff's Class Cost of Service Report, did you become aware of any errors in the allocation of meter and service related costs in Spire's COSS model?
- A. Yes. Staff discovered that the costs for meters, meter installation, regulators, and services have been incorrectly imported into the spreadsheet that calculated Spire's allocation percentages for that costs between classes.
 - Q. Was the Company notified after Staff discovered this error?
- A. Yes. Staff notified Spire of the error on March 11, 2021 and on April 14, 2021 Spire provided Staff with an updated COSS model workpaper via email that included corrections to the meter and service related allocation factors.
- Q. In general terms, what are the impacts of the errors in the meter and service related allocation factors in Spire's different classes of customers?
- A. The incorrect meter and service cost data result in far too much cost being allocated to the residential class. Consequently, Spire's meter and service related allocation factors for the residential class are too high and the allocation factors for the small general service, large general service, and transportation classes are too low. In the COSS model that Spire filed in its direct case, errors in cost data for meters, meter installations, regulators, and services resulted in the calculation of numerous inaccurate allocators. Some of these errors were very significant. **

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	**
10	Q. Are you aware of any other issues with allocators in the Spire COSS model?
11	A. Yes. Staff also discovered errors in the study that is used by Spire to calculate
12	the mains allocation factor. **
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	** Since the source
19	data used by Spire to calculate its mains allocator is faulty, the results are necessarily suspect.
20	Like the errors in the meters/services allocators, an incorrect mains allocator will propagate
21	errors throughout the COSS.
22	Q. What are the implications of the errors that Staff discovered in Spire's direct
23	filed COSS?

A. Errors in the meters/services and mains allocators propagate throughout Spire COSS and impact many calculations including, but not limited to, net revenue requirement, O&M expense, rate base, and rate of return. Therefore, the results presented in Spire's COSS should not be relied on to make changes in rate design.

CONVERSION OF SPIRE EAST FROM THERMS TO CCF BILLING

- Q. What are the measurement units for billing natural gas usage by Spire East and Spire West?
- A. Currently, Spire East bills its customers in therms while Spire West bills customers in CCFs. Therms are a measure of heat energy while CCFs are a measure of volume equal to one hundred cubic feet.
- Q. Has Staff previously examined a proposal from Spire to change the billing units in one of its Missouri service territories?
- A. Yes. In Case Nos. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216, Spire witness Scott A. Weitzel proposed that Spire West (then Missouri Gas Energy, "MGE") would convert from billing in CCFs to billing in therms. At the time, Staff opposed that proposal because it found that the work necessary to accomplish the conversion had not been done and would not be completed by the operation of law date.¹
- Q. How does Spire's proposal in Case No. GR-2021-0108 differ from its proposal in Case Nos. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216?
- A. As stated in Ms. Lavin's direct testimony, Spire is seeking to convert Spire East to billing on a CCF basis. This is opposite to the request in the earlier cases where it was

 $^{^1}$ Case Nos. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216, Rebuttal Testimony of Daniel I. Beck, page 7, line 21 through page 8, line 14.

proposed to convert Spire West to billing in therms. The primary result from either of the proposals would be to create consistent measurement units between Spire's two Missouri service territories. Staff notes that consistent measurement units would be necessary for any future plans to consolidate the tariffs for Spire East and Spire West.

- Q. What is Staff's position regarding the proposed conversion of Spire East's billing from therms to CCFs?
- A. Staff does not oppose the change in Spire East's billing units from therms to CCFs. The gas meters in use by Spire East already measure usage in terms of CCFs and therefore the information necessary to accomplish the conversion to CCF-based billing is already available. Consistent with its position in Case Nos. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216, Staff finds that, through its prior approval of tariffs for natural gas utilities, the Commission has determined that both units of measurement are acceptable. Staff also continues to maintain that any change in billing should be accompanied with a customer education program to explain the change. If such a change is ordered by the Commission, Staff recommends that Spire be required to engage with the affected customers to educate them about the change in billing units. Staff maintains that its position on this issue does not constitute an endorsement of Spire's proposal to consolidate its Missouri tariffs.
 - Q. Does this complete your rebuttal testimony?
 - A. Yes.

² Case Nos. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216, Rebuttal Testimony of Daniel I. Beck, page 2, lines 1-14.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Final delications services a proper p			
n the Matter of Spire Missouri Inc.'s d/b/a)		
Spire Request for Authority to Implement a)	Case No. GR-2021-0108	
General Rate Increase for Natural Gas)	¥	
Service Provided in the Company's) ,		
Missouri Service Areas)		

AFFIDAVIT OF CHARLES T. POSTON, PE

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
•)	SS
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW CHARLES T. POSTON, PE and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing *Rebuttal Testimony of Charles T. Poston, PE*; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

CHARLES T. POSTON, PE

JURAT

D. SUZIE MANKIN
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025
Commission Number: 12412070

Notary Public