

Commissioners

KELVIN L. SIMMONS Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

SHEILA LUMPE

STEVE GAW

BRYAN FORBIS

## Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.state.mo.us

March 8, 2002

ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

RE: Case No. GR-2001-394

FILED<sup>3</sup>
MAR 0 8 2002

Se Missouri Bublic

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and eight (8) conformed copies of a PROPOSED SECOND REVISION TO PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED TREATMENT.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Dennis L. Frey

Associate General Counsel

(573) 751-8700

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

dfrey03@mail.state.mo.us

DLF:ccl Enclosure

cc: Counsel of Record

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

F/LED3
MAR O 8 2002
Se Mesouri Public

In the matter of Greeley Gas Company's
Purchased Gas Adjustment Factors to be
Reviewed in Its 2000-2001 Actual Cost
Adjustment

Case No. GR-2001-394

## PROPOSED SECOND REVISION TO PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED TREATMENT

COME NOW the Staff ("Staff") of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") and Greeley Gas Company ("Greeley" or "Company") and respectfully state as follows:

1. On November 29, 2001, the Staff filed a request to extend the procedural schedule in this Actual Cost Adjustment proceeding as follows:

January 18, 2002

Staff Recommendation

February 19, 2002

Company Response

March 13, 2002

Direct Testimony (all parties wishing to file)

April 22, 2002

Rebuttal Testimony (all parties wishing to file)

May 10, 2002

Issues List

May 17, 2002

Position Statements (all parties)

June 3, 2002

Surrebuttal Testimony (all parties wishing to file)

June 11, 2002

Hearing

2. On November 30, 2001, in its Order Changing Procedural Schedule, the Commission adopted the above schedule.

36

- 3. On January 18, 2002, the Staff timely filed its Recommendation. The Commission, on January 31, 2002, directed Greeley to file its response to Staff's Recommendation no later than March 4, 2002, and the Company complied on that date.
- 4. As shown above, the procedural schedule currently in effect contemplated the filing of a Company response by February 19, 2002. Unfortunately, the schedule failed to take account of the time required for the Commission to issue its order in response to the Staff's January 18, 2002 Recommendation. As a result, the Staff and the Company believe that the current filing deadlines for Direct and Rebuttal testimony are too ambitious to permit a thorough consideration of the issues raised, along with the preparation of testimony. This is especially true because of the need for a coordinated effort between Staff and its consultant with respect to the prudence of Greeley's gas supply planning process and decision making during the 2000-2001 winter season.
- 5. The Staff and the Company therefore propose that the filing of Direct and Rebuttal testimony be respectively delayed until April 2, and April 26, 2002. No delays in any of the other remaining scheduled dates, including the June 11, 2002 hearing date, are believed necessary. Accordingly, the proposed revised procedural schedule for the remaining dates in this proceeding is as follows:

April 2, 2002 Direct Testimony (all parties wishing to file)

April 26, 2002 Rebuttal Testimony (all parties wishing to file)

May 10, 2002 Issues List

May 17, 2002 Position Statements (all parties)

June 3, 2002 Surrebuttal Testimony (all parties wishing to file)

June 11, 2002 Hearing

- 6. The Office of the Public Counsel was consulted and has no objection to the proposed changes.
- 7. Because of the brief time period before the current filing date for Direct testimony, the Staff and the Company would ask that this proposal receive expedited treatment.

WHEREFORE, the Staff and Greeley respectfully request that the Commission issue an order revising the procedural schedule as requested herein, and that this request be accorded expedited treatment.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Dennis L. Frey, Mo. Bar No. 44697

Associate General Counsel

Missouri Public Service Commission

P. O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

(573) 751-8700 Phone

(573) 751-9285 Fax

dfrey03@mail.state.mo.us

Attorney for the Staff of the

Missouri Public Service Commission

James M. Fischer, Mo Bar. No. 27543

Fischer & Dority, P.C.

101 Madison

Suite 400

Jefferson City, MO 65101

(573) 636-6758 Phone

(573) 636-0383 Fax

ifischerpc@aol.com

Attorney for Greeley

Gas Company

## Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 8th day of March 2002.

Jennes L Frey

Service List for Case No. GR-2001-394 Revised: March 8, 2002 (ccl)

Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

James M. Fischer Fischer & Dority, P.C. 101 Madison Street, Suite 400 Jefferson City, MO 65101 Bobby J. Cline Greeley Gas Company Penn Center, Suite 800 1301 Pennsylvania Street Denver, CO 80203-5015