1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS EVIDENTIARY HEARING
4	In the Matter of the Application of Evergy
5	Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro and
6 7	Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri We for Approval of New and Modified Tariffs for Service to Large Load Customers
8	File No. EO-2025-0154
9	
10	TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 2025
11	9:00 a.m. Governor Office Building
12	200 Madison Street, Room 310
13	Jefferson City, MO 65101
14	MOLIME 2
	VOLUME 2
15	
16	KAROLIN WALKER, Presiding
17	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
18	KAYLA HAHN, Chair, MAIDA J. COLEMAN,
19	GLEN KOLKMEYER,
20	JOHN MITCHELL, COMMISSIONERS
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported By: Jodi Wade, CCR



1	INDEX
2	WITNESS, KEVIN GUNN
3	Direct Examination by: Ms. Whipple 127 Cross-Examination by: Ms. Klaus 131 Cross-Examination by: Mr. Clizer 143
4	Commissioner Examination: Chair Hahn 145 Commissioner Examination: Ms. Coleman 158
5	Recross-Examination: Ms. Coleman 156 Redirect Examination: Ms. Whipple 165
6	Realice Examinación Ms. Willppie 105
7	WITNESS, JASON KLINDT Direct Examination By: Mr. Bailey 171
8	Commissioner Examination: Chair Hahn 173 Cross-Examination: Mr. Pringle 176
9	Redirect Examination: Mr. Hiatt 177
10	WITNESS, DEREK BROWN Direct Examination: Mr. Bailey 179
11	Cross-Examination: Pringle
12	Redirect Examination: Mr. Bailey 193
13	WITNESS, BRADLEY LUTZ Direct Examination: Mr. Fischer 196
14	Commissioner Examination: Chair Hahn 199 Cross-Examination: Ms. Klaus 202
15	Redirect Examination: Mr. Fischer 204
16	WITNESS, JIM BUSCH Direct Examination: Ms. Hansen 207
17	Commissioner Examination: Chair Hahn 211 Cross-Examination: Mr. Lowery 211
18	Cross-Examination: Mr. Fischer259 Redirect Examination: Ms. Hansen283
19	WITNESS, SHAWN LANGE
20	Direct Examination: Mr. Pringle 291 Cross-Examination: Mr. Lowery 293
21	Redirect Examination: Mr. Pringle 301
22	WITNESS, MICHAEL STAHLMAN Direct Examination: Mr. Pringle 303
23	WITNESS, BROOKE MASTROGANNIS
24	Direct Examination: Ms. Hansen 306 Cross-Examination: Mr. Clizer 307
25	Cross-Examination: Mr. Lowery 310



WITNESS, JORDAN HULL Direct Examination: Ms. Hansen 313
WITNESS, BRAD FORTSON
Direct Examination: Ms. Hansen 316
EXHIBIT INDEX
DEPOSITION CONCLUDED
CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER 320

EXHIBIT INDEX
EXHIBIT 100 - Evergy/Whipple 129
EXHIBIT 104 - Evergy/Whipple 129
EXHIBIT 208 - Staff/Klaus 129
EXHIBIT 103 - Evergy/Bailey 180
EXHIBIT 209 - Staff/Pringle
EXHIBIT 107- Evergy/Bailey 195
EXHIBIT 101 - Evergy/Fischer 197
EXHIBIT 105 - Evergy/Fischer 198
EXHIBIT 200 - Staff/Hansen 208
EXHIBIT 202 - Staff/Hansen 317
1



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	[Proceedings commencing in open court at 9:09 a.m.]
3	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Today is
4	September 29th is today the 29th? Today is
5	September 30th, 2025.
6	The Commission is set at this time for the
7	evidentiary hearing in Case Number E0-2025-0154 in
8	the matter of the application of Evergy Metro, Inc.
9	D/B/A Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West
10	D/B/A Missouri West for approval of new and modified
11	tariff service to large load customers.
12	My name is Karolin Walker, and I'm the
13	regulatory law judge that will be presiding over
14	this hearing.
15	To my left is Commissioners present.
16	Commissioner, the chair, Kayla Hahn; and
17	Commissioner Kolkmeyer. Commissioner Coleman will
18	be joining us and Commissioner Mitchell is online.
19	I'd like to start with we have a few pending
20	motions. We have a few preliminary matters. One is
21	that Liberty has filed a motion to be excused from
22	the hearing.
23	Is there anyone from Liberty here? Okay. I
24	sent an e-mail yesterday, and that motion will be
25	granted with the same conditions as for Sierra Club



1	and the data center.
2	They've given up the right to cross-examine,
3	and they will obtain any evidence that's admitted
4	from EFIS. They would like the opportunity to file
5	a brief.
6	All right, let's do entries of appearance. We
7	will start with Evergy.
8	MR. BAILEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Cole
9	Bailey. We have Jackie Whipple, Jim Fischer and
10	Chandler Hiatt for Evergy.
11	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay.
12	Commission Staff?
13	MS. HANSEN: Andrea Hansen. We also have
14	Travis Pringle and Alexandra Klaus representing
15	Staff as well.
16	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: The Office
17	of Public Counsel?
18	MR. CLIZER: John Clizer.
19	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. Union
20	Electric Company D/B/A Ameren Missouri?
21	MR. LOWERY: Thank you, Your Honor. Jim
22	Lowery, Wendy Taytro (phonetic) and Paula Johnson on
23	behalf of Ameren Missouri.
24	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Renew
25	Missouri?



1	MADAM COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I did
2	not hear that at all.
3	TECH SUPPORT: We're going to have a
4	reporter online, so everyone needs to speak in a
5	mic. Please come up here and talk into the mic
6	because we do have a full house.
7	MS. MERS: I apologize. Nichole Mers on
8	behalf of Renew Missouri.
9	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Nucor Steel
10	Sedalia (indiscernible.)
11	MS. BELL: If you'll allow me, Your Honor,
12	I'll do an entry of appearance for Marc Ellinger of
13	Ellinger Bell on behalf of Nucor Steel Sedalia.
14	He's stuck in a hearing in Cole County but will join
15	us shortly.
16	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: And you are?
17	MS. BELL: I am Stephanie Bell. I'll do
18	my entry of appearance for Velvet Tech Services.
19	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. Thank
20	you. Google, LLC?
21	MR. SCHULTE: Good morning. Andrew
22	Schulte, Frank Caro and Jarod Jevons with the
23	Polsinelli Law Firm on behalf of Google.
24	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Data Center
25	Coalition?



1	MR. VIJAYKAR: Good morning, Your Honor.
2	Nikhil Vijaykar and Alissa Greenwald from the law
3	firm of Keyes and Fox, LLP, on behalf of the Data
4	Center Coalition.
5	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: The Sierra
6	Club?
7	MS. RUBENSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor.
8	Sarah Rubinstein on behalf of Sierra Club.
9	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Please
10	silence any cell phones or other electronic devices
11	that you have.
12	Your exhibits are should be premarked
13	and continue sequentially. Does anybody need time
14	to mark them? Good.
15	As far as the order of witnesses, we're
16	going to follow the order filed by the parties and
17	their joint list of issues and witnesses.
18	Are there any pending motions that we have
19	not discussed, other than the late-filed testimony
20	motion from Evergy, which we will grant?
21	MR. PRINGLE: Oh, well, actually, Judge,
22	Staff has an objection to that motion and was
23	planning on filing a written objection later this
24	morning.
25	At an alternative to our request that if



1	that motion be rejected, we will be attaching a
2	Staff memorandum in opposition to the nonunanimous
3	stipulation agreement that our alternative relief
4	would be to enter that in the record. But it has
5	not been filed yet. It will be filed momentarily.
6	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. I'm
7	going to admit the late-filed testimony from Evergy
8	and give you an opportunity when you testify to file
9	what you would like to file in opposition to that
10	motion.
11	MR. CLIZER: Your Honor, the OPC would
12	also like to lodge an objection to the inclusion of
13	the admitted. I know that you've already ruled on
14	it, but for the sake of the record, I would like to
15	lodge our objection and request permission to late
16	file if we see fit as well.
17	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I'll note
18	your objection, and your request is granted.
19	MR. BAILEY: Your Honor, this is Cole
20	Bailey with Evergy. Is there can we have a
21	deadline for those, the filing?
22	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I'll let the
23	parties set their deadline later in the case.
24	MR. BAILEY: Okay.
25	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Please



1	remind me. Are there any other preliminary matters
2	we need to address?
3	Okay, let's start with opening statements.
4	Evergy? Are you offering this to be admitted as
5	evidence?
6	MS. WHIPPLE: No. This is just for
7	(indiscernible). I distributed copies to the
8	attorneys for the parties as well.
9	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Do you have
10	extra copies for the Commissioners so they may
11	review what oh, they are. Okay, thank you. All
12	right, you may begin.
13	MS. WHIPPLE: I will have a PowerPoint.
14	When Brian has it up, we'll I'll be able to do
15	that.
16	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay.
17	MS. WHIPPLE: Thank you so much.
18	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Perfect.
19	MS. WHIPPLE: I'll just introduce myself
20	meantime. Good morning. As we entered our
21	appearance, I am Jackie Whipple with the law firm of
22	Denton's U.S., LLP.
23	I am here with my colleague Chandler
24	Hiatt. Cole Bailey is with Evergy today. And Jim
25	Fischer of Fischer and Dority, P.C. is here as well.



1	We represent, of course, the applicants,
2	Evergy Missouri West and Evergy Missouri Metro. We
3	may be referring to them as Evergy or the Company or
4	EMM in the case of Evergy Missouri Metro or EMW in
5	the case of Evergy Missouri West.
6	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: (Inaudible.)
7	MS. WHIPPLE: Your Honor, up to you. I
8	certainly don't want to cause delay. I have passed
9	out hard copies of my opening statement and so
10	that parties' counsel and the Commission can review
11	it as I speak, and I can just, kind of, give some
12	verbal cues.
13	Or we can wait for the restart on Brian's
14	computer. How would you like to proceed, Your
15	Honor?
16	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I think
17	we're going to wait until Brian reboots his computer
18	so that everybody who is here
19	MS. WHIPPLE: Can see it.
20	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: has the
21	benefit of looking at what you have given the
22	Commission.
23	MS. WHIPPLE: Understood.
24	MADAM COURT REPORTER: Your Honor, while
25	we're waiting, can you make one announcement to



1	everyone to make sure if they do make an objection
2	or speak, if they would please say their name just
3	so that I don't get confused on who's talking?
4	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Sure.
5	MADAM COURT REPORTER: Thank you so much.
6	MS. WHIPPLE: This is Jackie Whipple for
7	Evergy. I'll be giving the opening statement.
8	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Can you
9	spell your name for the court reporter, please.
10	MS. WHIPPLE: Jacqueline Whipple,
11	J-A-C-Q-U-E-L-I-N-E. I also go by Jackie. Last
12	name is Whipple, W-H-I-P-P-L-E. And my information
13	is in the record.
14	MR. PRINGLE: And if it helps the court
15	reporter, the objection voiced earlier on behalf of
16	Staff was Travis Pringle.
17	MADAM COURT REPORTER: Thank you very
18	much. I appreciate that.
19	MR. PRINGLE: No problem.
20	MS. WHIPPLE: May it please the
21	Commission. Good morning, as explained in Evergy's
22	application and prefiled testimony, this case
23	involves Evergy's request for the Commission's
24	approval of its large load power service, also
25	referred to as LLPS, rate plan, including schedule



1	LLPS and its associated writers, along with
2	conforming changes to existing tariffs and related
3	updates to Metro's and West's general rules and
4	regulations.
5	In addition and as I will later describe
6	further, Evergy requests today the Commission's
7	approval of the September 25, 2025, nonunanimous
8	stipulation and agreement, which we may refer to as
9	the Stipulation or the Stipulation and Agreement, to
10	which the following parties are signatories:
11	Evergy; Ameren; Google; Data Center Coalition, or
12	DCC; Renew Missouri; Velvet Tech Services; Nucor
13	Steel Sedalia; the Sierra Club.
14	Likewise, Liberty has indicated that it
15	does not object to the stipulation; although, it is
16	not participating in today's hearing.
17	Today, we believe the Commission is faced
18	with a choice. Does the Commission choose to
19	encourage economic development and load growth in a
20	responsible and fair way in the state of Missouri,
21	or will the Commission cede that opportunity to
22	surrounding states including Kansas?
23	As this Commission is well aware, the
24	national electrical grid is experiencing the most
25	dramatic load growth in modern history driven by AI,

1	data center development, industrial electrification,
2	onshoring and decarbonization objectives.
3	These developments are impacting Southwest
4	Power Pool, or SPP, which has warned that demand for
5	electricity is outpacing the supply from its
6	generation fleet.
7	Even more specific to Missouri, Evergy's
8	energy pipeline includes over six gigawatts of
9	potential new load. Several customers have acquired
10	land or land rights within the state, signed letters
11	of agreement or made financial commitments to
12	Evergy, including that a large Meta facility is
13	already secured within the state of Missouri.
14	The earliest time frame that LLPS
15	customers could start to take service from Evergy is
16	first quarter of 2026.
17	While the scale of growth is
18	unprecedented, so too are the economic benefits that
19	come with it, including thousands of future
20	construction and permanent jobs, incremental tax
21	base and longer-term technology infrastructure
22	improvements.
23	The Commission and Missouri utilities are
24	well positioned to facilitate the economic
25	development driven by these large loads because of

Missouri's newly enacted Senate Bill 4 codified at Section 393.130.7.

Evergy believes, and all of the nonstate agency parties to the stipulation agree, that adopting the nonunanimous stipulation and agreement is the path forward.

Section 393.130.7 enables electrical corporations to, quote, develop and submit to the Commission schedules to include in the Electrical Corporation Service Tariff applicable to customers who are reasonably projected to have above an annual peak demand of 100 megawatts or more.

The schedules should reasonably ensure such customer's rates will reflect the customer's representative share of the costs incurred to serve the customers and prevent other customer class's rates from reflecting any unjust or unreasonable costs arising from service to such customers, end quote.

In response to the influx of large load customer interests in Missouri and in compliance with Senate Bill 4, Evergy has developed the LLPS rate plan, which is now modified by the stipulation.

As described in Evergy's application and the prefiled testimony of Evergy's witnesses, the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

1	LLPS rate plan is founded on the Commission-approved
2	structure for large commercial and industrial
3	service but is enhanced to accommodate today's very
4	large customers who are distinct in scale and
5	sophistication.
6	As I noted, the stipulation was filed as a
7	modification to Evergy's original tariff proposal.
8	Only Staff and OPC are nonsignatories to the
9	stipulation.
LO	I will highlight key aspects of the
L1	Company's modified tariff proposal, but all of the
L2	ways in which the stipulation modifies Evergy's
L3	original application are detailed by Company Witness
L4	Gunn in his supporting testimony filed in support of
L5	the stipulation on September 29 and which was
L6	granted into the record this morning.
L7	Overall, the modified LLPS rate plan can
L8	be implemented practically. It maintains the
L9	Company's and existing customer's ability to benefit
20	from these economic development opportunities in
21	Missouri, and it effectively balances costs and
22	protections among all customers.
23	Accordingly, Schedule LLPS applies to new
24	Missouri customers with monthly peak demands

reasonably expected to be at or above 75 megawatts

and for existing customers who add 75 megawatts or 1 2 more of incremental load. 3 The LLPS structure is aligned with the 4 Company's LPS rate architecture while incorporating 5 additional protective and customer-choice features, 6 including but not limited to a service agreement 7 with a minimum term that includes up to five years 8 of an optional transitional load ramp period plus 12 9 years for LLPS customers exceeding 75 megawatts. It includes minimum bill and minimum 10 11 demand commitments with defined capacity reduction 12 and termination fee frameworks to protect 13 nonparticipants from stranded cost risks. 14 It includes credit worthiness and collateral requirements. 15 It has complementary

It includes credit worthiness and collateral requirements. It has complementary writers that enable demand response, capacity management and clean energy procurement aligned to customer goals.

The path to power queue reforms for loads customers over 25 megawatts with initial evaluations; refundable deposits and \$200,000 increments, a cluster study approach; and defined priority for community interest projects.

There are also clear rules for cost responsibility of transmission costs that require



16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

1	them to be paid by the requesting large load
2	customer, excluding SPP network upgrades. The
3	general rules and regulations are updated to
4	memorialize these regulations and obligations.
5	There's also a mechanism for recovering
6	additional costs to serve the large loads, the cost
7	stabilization writer and increased demand charge.
8	Initial pricing is set in Exhibit A of the
9	stipulation.
10	The LLPS rate plan adequately responds,
11	therefore, to the unique needs of large load
12	customers while protecting existing customers and
13	ensuring large load customers pay their
14	representative shares of incremental costs.
15	The modified LLPS rate plan also positions
16	Evergy in the middle of the fairway, with respect to
17	large load plans implemented nationwide helping
18	ensure that Missouri is able to compete effectively
19	for this new load.
20	To further explain the Company's
21	application and for purposes of your later
22	questions, the Company's witnesses are Kevin Gunn,
23	vice president of regulatory and government affairs,

25

who discusses national energy trends, the LLPS rate

plans, statutory and policy alignment and the

1 | stipulation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Jason Klindt, who is senior director of external affairs. He will be adopting the testimony of Evergy witness, Jeff Martin, who is retiring.

Jason Klindt, on behalf of the Company, discusses the Company's "path to power" approach.

Derek Brown is the director of large customer strategy and planning who serves also as a key Evergy representative to the SPP. He will discuss the Company's load serving capabilities and commitments as well as comments on load related developments within SPP.

Bradley Lutz is the director of regulatory affairs. He discusses the intricacies of the LLPS rate plan and its associated writers as modified by the stipulation.

There are several issues notwithstanding the stipulation that are still present in this proceeding for the Commission's resolution.

Although Section 393.130.7 permits only utilities to submit large load tariffs for the Commission's approval, Staff in its report and recommendation filed its own proposed tariff regarding large load customers rather than engage with Evergy's tariff, which was developed after



1 years of experience with actual large load customers 2 and months of nationwide industry benchmarking, 3 unlike Staff's proposal in this case. 4 Staff invented a holding (phonetic) 5 competing proposal. In effect and contrary to the 6 governing statute, Staff has positioned this case to force the Commission to choose between Staff's and 7 8 the Company's diametrically opposed tariffs. 9 As a result, the following issues on the 10 parties jointly-filed list of issues still remain for Commission resolution. And they are listed on 11 12 the PowerPoint, and they are A., B., C., D., E.a., 13 F., G., H., I., J., K., M., N., O., P., Q., R., S. 14 and T. 15 Evergy witnesses and several other parties 16 in this case have detailed their disagreements with 17 Staff in their prefiled testimony, and Evergy's witnesses will be available for the Commission's 18 19 specific questions during this hearing. 20 So for purposes of this opening statement, 21 I will address Staff's proposal more categorically. 2.2 As Mr. Gunn and Mr. Lutz testify, Staff's tariff proposal is contrary to Section 393.130.7, 23 2.4 Missouri's economic development policies and



regulatory precedent.

1	Staff has not identified any state or
2	industry trend to support its proposal, which is
3	considerably more complex than any other large load
4	design examined by Evergy in its months of tariff
5	research.
6	Likewise, Staff developed its tariffs with
7	little to no input from real-world customers.
8	Frankly, Staff's proposal is an outlier that
9	deviates from anything Evergy has ever reviewed
10	across the country.
11	As a necessary result Staff's proposal has
12	not been tested or adopted in the United States. As
13	the customers in this proceeding even explain,
14	Staff's proposal cannot be practically implemented,
15	does not align with conventional rate-making
16	practices and instead unreasonably allocates
17	incremental costs to customers in violation of the
18	governing statute.
19	Staff expresses concern, for example, that
20	Evergy's tariff will maximize shareholder benefits
21	from, quote, positive regulatory lag, end quote,
22	associated with LLPS customers.
23	But this is misguided. As Mr. Gunn
24	explains, no statute in Missouri precludes positive
25	regulatory lag as it is a normal aspect of utility

rate making and cannot be invoked slowly to the detriment of the utility, of course.

Moreover, any new revenue collected via this tariff will mitigate associated cost impacts and provide rate stabilization for existing customers.

If the Company earns revenue above this offset, the Commission's traditional rate making processes will still apply requiring Evergy to reimburse customers in the event it exceeds its authorized rate of return.

Staff proposes that Evergy incorporate fuel adjustment clause, or FAC, LLPS adjustments to track the, quote, excess, end quote, revenue from LLPS customers as a regulatory liability.

However, such approach would be a significant departure from established regulatory policy and Commission precedent and would unduly increase regulatory burden as Mr. Lutz and Mr. Gunn both explain in their testimony.

Evergy also disagrees with Staff that all material terms should be defined in the tariffs and, subject to the Commission's approval, doing so would unduly restrict Evergy's ability to exercise reasonable discretion while servicing LLPS customers

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	since those customer's needs are highly
2	individualized and not amenable to a
3	one-size-fits-all approach.
4	As Mr. Lutz, Mr. Martin, Jason Klindt and
5	Mr. Gunn testify, Section 393.130.7 permits the
6	Company this discretion in its tariffs.
7	Staff recommends denying all of Evergy's
8	optional writers, despite their very benefits to
9	customers.
10	Instead, Staff's proposal includes
11	additional charges and rate components at price
12	levels dependent on volatile variables that are
13	beyond the LLPS customers' control, which would
14	unnecessarily increase cost uncertainty for the
15	customer.
16	Staff also recommends registering LLPS
17	customers as separate SPP commercial pricing nodes,
18	a step that, to Evergy's knowledge, is unprecedented
19	within the SPP process.
20	As Mr. Brown explains, this approach would
21	create highly volatile I'm having trouble with
22	that word highly volatile and unpredicted rates
23	and would subject LLPS customers to costs they did
24	not solely cause.



Staff risks isolating Missouri from a

1	once-in-a-generation growth opportunity, and Staff
2	is inconsistent with how other jurisdictions have
3	accommodated large loads.
4	Staff even recommends arbitrarily
5	restricting the quantity of load to be provided by
6	LLPS customers foregoing the associated benefits to
7	existing customers and to the state and while being
8	unduly discriminatory to other customers.
9	Overall, Staff's tariffs would drastically
10	chill economic development in the state of Missouri
11	from LLPS customers as Evergy's and other party's
12	witnesses have testified.
13	Therefore, the Commission should approve
14	the stipulation, reject Staff's recommendation in
15	whole and approve the Company's modified tariff
16	proposal in this case.
17	As to OPC's arguments, OPC's prefiled
18	testimony generally offers blanket support for
19	Staff's recommendations without any substantive
20	analysis.
21	Different from Staff, however, OPC Witness
22	Mark's testimony asserts that certain studies should
23	be required for customers to take service under the
24	LLPS tariff.



However, as discussed by Evergy Witness

1	Kevin Gunn, requiring such studies is not part of
2	industry standard in SPP or across the United
3	States.
4	These studies are focused on issues that
5	are behind the meter and do not provide value for
6	advanced manufacturing or other nondata center LLPS
7	customers.
8	Witness Mark also suggests service under
9	the LLPS schedule be subject to mandatory emergency
10	curtailments.
11	But as explained by Mr. Lutz, EMW and EMM
12	already have an emergency energy conservation plan
13	memorialized in their general rules and regulations.
14	These plans define an emergency and
15	highlight the major steps that will be taken during
16	an emergency called the SPP reliability coordinator.
17	OPC Witness Mantle testifies regarding
18	Evergy's FAC, which would not need to be addressed
19	by the Commission if the Commission approves
20	Evergy's tariffs proposal in this proceeding rather
21	than Staff's.
22	The Company's proposal does not alter the
23	existing FAC structure except for some immaterial
24	changes regarding certain renewable programs, as
25	Mr. Lutz explains further.

1	Importantly, existing customers will
2	actually receive an advantage in the form of
3	mitigated cost impacts and rate stabilization when
4	large load customers' revenues flow through the
5	existing FAC as it currently operates.
6	In conclusion, Evergy's modified LLPS rate
7	plan satisfies Section 393.130.7 by reasonably
8	ensuring large load customers pay their
9	representative share of costs and by preventing
10	unjust or unreasonable costs from being shifted to
11	other customers.
12	It meets the needs of Missouri's grid and
13	economy, and it does so with the protections,
14	transparency and flexibility that this Commission
15	expects.
16	Staff's and OPC's alternative proposal is
17	radical, unlawful and impractical in stark contrast.
18	It would needlessly and severely impair Missouri's
19	competitiveness at precisely the moment when prudent
20	policy can deliver durable public benefits in this
21	state.
22	Evergy respectfully requests approval of
23	the stipulation and of its application as modified
24	by the stipulation in full. Thank you.
25	MS. HANSEN: Good morning. And for the

1	court reporter, my name is Andrea Hansen, and I am
2	giving the opening statement for Staff.
3	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Will you
4	spell your name for the court reporter?
5	MS. HANSEN: Absolutely. It's
6	A-N-D-R-E-A, H-A-N-S-E-N.
7	Good morning and may it please the
8	Commission. My name is Andrea Hansen, and I am one
9	of the attorneys representing Commission Staff on
LO	this case.
L1	My colleagues, Travis Pringle and
L2	Alexandra Klaus, are also representing Commission
L3	Staff in this case.
L4	Since it was established in 1913, the
L5	Missouri Public Service Commission has had many
L6	decisions that have had far-reaching consequences.
L7	The case before the Commission today is no
L8	exception.
L9	The loads that will be served under the
20	tariffs authorized in this case will be far larger
21	than any load of a customer currently served in any
22	investor-owned utility in the state of Missouri
23	today.
24	Both Commission Staff and the OPC
25	recognize the potential impacts of this decision.



As such, both parties are aligned pertaining to the potential impacts Evergy's application will have on existing rate payers.

Evergy filed this application under Section 393.140 Subsection 11 of the revised statutes of Missouri and the Commission's general rule regarding applications.

Section 393.140 describes the general powers of the Commission in respect to gas, water, electricity and sewer services.

More specifically, Subsection 11 describes in part that the Commission has the power to require every electrical corporation to file with the Commission and to print and to keep open-to-public inspection schedules showing all rates and charges made established or enforced or to be charged or enforced and all forms of contract or agreement and all rules and regulations relating to rates, charges or service used or to be used and all general privileges and facilities granted or allowed by such electrical corporation.

Importantly, that subsection ends by stating the Commission shall also have power to establish such rules and regulations to carry into effect the provisions of the subdivision as it may



2.2

2.4

deem necessary and to modify and amend such rules or regulations from time to time.

Why is this so important? It is so important because the Commission is in the driver's seat when it comes to determining what is reasonable in this case and the Commission has options in making this determination.

For instance, we are aware that EMM; EMW; Ameren, Missouri; Google; Velvet Tech; Nucor; the Data Center Coalition; Sierra Club; Renew Missouri have entered into and filed a nonunanimous stipulation and agreement.

Staff as noted in its filed objection to that stipulation -- as Staff as noted in its filed objection to that stipulation, this stipulation will result in increases to the bills of existing customers through the FAC and will not comply with Missouri law, and Staff also intends to further brief on this issue.

Staff recommends that the Commission reject the stipulation to which neither Staff nor the OPC are a party. And if, if the Commission does order a tariff to be filed on the basic terms of this stipulation, Staff begs the Commission to require tracking of revenues and expenses to a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 regulatory deferral account to be addressed in a
2 future general rate case.

There are some additional options. These are the Commission can reject Evergy's application; the Commission can approve Evergy's application; the Commission can approve Evergy's application, subject to conditions, which here Staff would urge the Commission to order a tariff filing consistent with the tariff set out in Schedule 1 to Sarah Linge's (phonetic) sur rebuttal testimony.

Because no tariffs have been filed in this case, no deadline clock has started and the Commission needn't be rushed in its decision.

The Commission can hear all of the evidence in this case and all of the evidence in ET-2025-0184, Ameren, Missouri's large load tariff filing, and making its decision after hearing both of those cases.

While Staff does not support end-use rates, the Commission could determine that Evergy's proposed tariff applies only to data centers, as supported by OPC Witness Jeff Mark's surrebuttal testimony. Or the Commission could order a working docket to facilitate Commission input into the development of a final tariff.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1 There are three broad questions that Staff 2 encourages the Commission to keep in mind as you 3 listen today to testimony and as you make your 4 decision in the coming weeks. 5 Ouestion number one, how do we ensure that 6 in designing rates that work for data centers the 7 Commission does not order rates that create barriers 8 of entry for other large customers? 9 This case is about creating a tariff to 10 serve a diverse pool of potential customers which may include data centers, but it could also include 11 12 factories, (indiscernible), agriculture and 13 technologies that may not even exist today. 14 That potential diversity necessitates a 15 rate structure allows a reasonable rate to be billed 16 to each customer. 17 Such a rate structure will not be a flat 18 energy demand -- sorry, and flat energy charge and a 19 static minimum demand as proposed by the signatory 20 parties to the stipulation mentioned above. Evergy witnesses have painted Staff's 21 2.2 recommendation recommended rate structure as 23 complicated, but Staff's recommended rate structures



2.4

25

are far simpler than the annual billing demand and

hours-use rate elements that Evergy currently

applies to customers far smaller and far less sophisticated than potential large load power service customers, or LLPS customers.

Staff's recommendation leans on transparency and flexibility for a wide variety of industry types as opposed to the stipulation approach, which enables Evergy to capture massive amounts of revenue for shareholders between rate cases and is likely to lead to far more contentious rate cases in the future, which due to the confidential customer information could also involve significant amounts of time, days to weeks of in-camera hearing.

Question number two, what are the right rates under Senate Bill 4 codified in part as Section 393.130.7 of the -- in the revised or the Missouri revised statute Section 393.140, the statute under which Evergy filed this application.

Staff's approach facilitates rightsizing the bills of potentially diverse customers, and Staff's approach is more transparent than Evergy's proposed rate structure.

Staff's proposed rate elements are rooted in cost causation. The components used by Staff in this approach to rate structure in the incident case



2.2

2.4

are the elements that are reviewed and allocated in class cost of service studies.

The usage of these components allow customer bills across diverse industries that may be served through all the rate schedule to better reflect the cost of serving each customer.

Staff proposes demand deviation charges and imbalance charge -- charges that work better rather than a static minimum demand charge.

This approach operates in a way that benefits customers who do not have a one-hundred percent load factor without overcharging customers with a flat load.

This would better serve potentially diverse customers that are not data centers. A significant concern with the Evergy approach is the lack of transparency, especially as Evergy's approach contemplates customer agreements, which would vary the calculations of bills for LLPS customers from the tariffed calculation and potentially include a series of offsetting agreements and pricing terms.

In fact, Evergy's position statement in this case states that a form customer agreement should not be included in the Commission-approved



2.2

2.4

LLPS rate tariffs resulting from this case.

Evergy's rationale in taking this position is because, one, Evergy believes a formed customer agreement would unduly restrict Evergy's discretion in servicing large customers; two, that the elements included on a given customer's bill will inherently vary from customer to customer; and three, that the pricing within these elements is also unique from customer to customer.

Thus, in Evergy's opinion, it is not reasonable to subject the LLPS customer to a form service agreement.

This is not consistent with a filed rate doctrine. And the Commission should ask, one, does the large load tariff in this stipulation really set out the rates and terms for those customers, or, two, will customers effectively be served under special rate contracts without the prior approval and oversight that the Commission has historically exercised over special contracts.

The stipulations deference to customer service agreements does not provide the level of transparency needed for the Commission to effectively determine whether Evergy is complying with statutes and rules.



2.2

2.4

1	And this is just one small aspect of
2	Evergy's proposal. Evergy's position on this issue
3	is in contrast to Missouri's large load to Ameren
4	Missouri's large load customer proposal, which
5	includes a form agreement or ESA.
6	One should know as well that, Ameren
7	Missouri has been forthcoming in providing examples
8	of additional or supplemental agreements upon
9	request of Staff.
LO	The lack of transparency afforded by
L1	Evergy's proposed customer agreements is partly why
L2	Staff recommended an alternative under which any
L3	customer considering taking service in the EMM or
L4	EMW territories can simply look at the published
L5	tariff in conjunction with their own usage
L6	projections and readily estimate what they're bill
L7	would be without resorting to customer-specific
L8	terms and pricing.
L9	The third and last question Staff requests
20	that the Commission keep in mind today during
21	today's hearing and ultimately in making its
22	decision is: Are other customers impermissibly
23	harmed?
24	Large load customer revenues cannot
25	improve affordability if the revenues from the bills



they pay are retained by Evergy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Senate Bill 4 enacted this August and for this case codified under 393.130.7 requires each utility to have tariff provisions applicable to customers who are reasonably projected to have above an annual peak demand of 100 megawatts or more.

That reasonably ensures that customers rates will reflect the customer's representative share of the costs incurred to serve the customers and prevent other customer classes rates from reflecting any unjust or unreasonable costs arising from service to such customers and allows the Commission to order tariff schedules applicable to customers with lower annual peak demand.

If rates set for LLPS customers do not cover the new cost of service to serve those customers, then existing rate payers are worse off.

If the revenue provided by LLPS customers is retained by Evergy and not passed through the revenue requirement calculation but the increases to cost of service are, then existing rate payers are worse off even if the rates paid by LLPS customers covers the cost of service.

It's worth repeating that large load customer revenues cannot improve affordability if



1	those revenues are retained by Evergy. The
2	Commission should question and scrutinize Evergy on
3	what happens to the revenue.
4	And again, if the Commission orders a
5	tariff filing consistent with the stipulation, Staff
6	requests that this Commission require tracking of
7	revenues and expenses to a regulatory deferral
8	account to be addressed in Evergy's next rate cases.
9	Staff notes that the concepts behind
10	several of Evergy's writers are worth exploring, but
11	for now, let's assume that we can set rates for LLPS
12	customers in this case that are exactly right and
13	perfectly recognize the differences between LLPS
14	customers and existing customers.
15	The details of the interaction between
16	the detail of the interaction of these writers and
17	these hypothetical, just-right rates would need to
18	be carefully thought out and evaluated. This will
19	require a future docket. Writers that will
20	interfere with prudent planning should be rejected.
21	As some final thoughts, I would like to
22	firmly and clearly state that Staff is not against
23	economic development.
24	However, Staff is opposed to regulatory
25	lag that benefits Evergy shareholders to the



detriment of remaining rate payer base rate payer
base as well as the creation of a barrier of entry
for future nondata center large load customers,
which in actuality have greater job building
potential than data centers.

Staff does not inappropriately single out these large customers for any sort of unfair treatment. Customers of this size, whether Noranda, Nucor, Praxair or Velvet Tech have always -- have nearly always been the subject of special tariffs from Missouri utilities, and the complexities of Schedules SIL and MKT are good examples of what special tariffs look like in a (indiscernible) world.

And, again, to reiterate, Staff is not opposed to the creation of a working docket to facilitate Commission input and to the development on a final tariff.

Staff remains ready to work with stakeholders in developing large load tariffs, and it is true that Staff takes seriously Senate Bills 4 -- Senate Bill 4's charge that tariff provisions reasonably ensure such customer's rates will reflect the customer's representative share of the costs incurred to serve the customers and prevent other

2.2

2.4

1	customer classes' rates from reflecting any unjust
2	or unreasonable costs arising from service to such
3	customers.
4	Eleven members of Staff are here today to
5	answer questions, provide explanations and give
6	additional clarity to Staff's recommendation and
7	testimony.
8	The Staff witnesses you will hear from
9	today are Jim Busch, Shawn Lange, Michael Stahlman,
10	Brooke Mastrogiannis, Jordan Hull, Brad Fortson,
11	Amanda Urandia, Brodrick Niemeyer, Claire Eubanks,
12	Jay Lubert and Sarah Linge.
13	I'll do my best to answer any questions
14	you may have or try to direct you to the individual
15	who can answer those questions. Thank you again for
16	your consideration of this immensely important case.
17	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there
18	any Commission questions? Okay, hearing none, I'm
19	going to ask the Office of Public Counsel if they
20	would like to go next or last.
21	MR. CLIZER: (Inaudible.)
22	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay.
23	Ameren?
24	MR. LOWERY: Good morning, and may it
25	please the Commission. I'm Jim Lowery and along



with Wendy Tatro and Paula Johnson. And we represent Ameren Missouri in this case.

One thing I think we do agree with Staff on is that this case, and Ameren Missouri's similar case, present some really important issues for you to consider.

The resolution of those issues will likely have a profound impact on Missouri Electric

Utility's ability to do what the PSC law requires that they do, and that is provide service to all who desire in their service territory on terms and rates — and at rates that reflect just and reasonable terms and rates.

The Commission's resolution of the large load customer issues in this case and in Ameren Missouri's case as well will also likely have a profound impact on the state's ability to capture the economic development opportunities that the state clearly wants to capture.

The evidence in this case strongly supports the conclusion that businesses that are going to be a part of the 21st century economy, including large load customers who would be served under Evergy's tariff, under Ameren Missouri's similar tariff, present an immense opportunity for



2.2

2.4

Missouri to capture, literally, billions of dollars of investment.

That investment will come, with it, will come a greatly increased tax base, it will become -- it will employ Missourians, both in construction and permanent jobs and more jobs, I think, than Staff gives credit for.

That investment will also bring a substantial increase in economic activity generally driven by those investments, by goods and services consumed by the people building the facilities and by the workers, both construction and permanent workers, who will be working at those facilities. And that, in turn, will create more economic benefit throughout the communities where they locate and throughout the state, generally.

Now, I think it's always true that with these economic development opportunities, and I think that's true of any opportunity that you have, as the saying goes, nothing ventured, nothing gained.

I mean, there's a certain amount of risk that comes along with any opportunity that one pursues. That's just the way it is. And those risks do require thoughtful regulation by the



2.2

2.4

Commission. And that's why we're here today, for the Commission to exercise its regulatory authority.

Evergy's proposal and Ameren Missouri's proposal filing do address those risks by reflecting thoughtful, balanced and fair terms designed to do a couple things.

First of all, they're designed to actually capture the opportunity, to capture the economic development opportunity that the state wants to capture.

And secondly, they are designed to do so in a manner that comports with Senate Bill 4, specifically Section 130 -- 393.130.7 and to do what that statute requires: Reasonably ensure that the operation of those tariffs together with the Commission's ongoing over site over terms of service and over rates -- and that's important. The Commission does have ongoing over site -- doesn't end in this case; will mean that large load customers ultimately are fair and that rates for other customers are also fair.

Make no mistake, if we don't do the first, if we don't capture the opportunity, then what the terms and conditions and rates are won't matter because there won't be any customers to which they



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

would apply in the first place.

2.2

2.4

As Ameren Missouri Witness Rob Dixon details in his surrebuttal testimony, there are numerous state legislative and executive policy priorities that clearly establish that the policy of the state is to capture economic development opportunities because doing so is vital to the long-term prosperity of the state.

As Mr. Dixon puts it, and I quote, it is difficult to think of any Missouri governor or General Assembly in recent memory who has not made economic development one of their top priorities.

That is certainly true of both the current and immediately previous governor whose terms have coincided with the recent boom in large load and data center development, end quote.

And Mr. Dixon, I think, aptly sums up the task before the Commission in this way, quote, what the Commission should be doing here is to decide, based on the record in this case, weather Evergy's proposal will support the state's goals in promoting economic development while providing the reasonable assurance from a regulatory standpoint Senate Bill 4 requires, end quote.

I note that the federal government



similarly recognizes the importance of, for example,

data centers to national security.

You have a choice before you, and I think

that's been made pretty clear by Evergy's and

Staff's opening statements and by their cases.

You have a choice in this docket, and it's

You have a choice in this docket, and it's a binary choice, between two competing proposals that can't be reconciled with each other.

It's not -- it's not a case where you can find middle ground and somehow meld them together.

They are binary, vastly different approaches to large load customer tariffs. And there's really no getting away from that.

I'm not going to go into the details of each. Evergy and Staff have already done that quite a bit this morning.

But what I will say, is that of the two proposals, only Evergy's, as modified somewhat by the stipulation, although the basics of it remain the same as filed, is faithful to both the economic development priorities of the state and to the actual terms of 393.130.7, which when you distill the statute to its essence, it's requiring the Commission to determine that there is reasonable assurance that large load customer rates and terms

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

will be fair and reasonable assurance that the rates and terms for other customers will be fair.

Unlike Evergy's approach, Staff's proposal is not faithful to both those economic development priorities and to the statutory terms, which as Governor Kehoe said when he signed it, was actually intended to, quote, support long-term economic development of the state.

Now, why is Staff's approach not faithful? The evidence will show that it is a hodgepodge of complex, unnecessary, opaque, overly risk-averse and burdensome terms, conditions and rates that are divorced from any basis in Evergy's actual cost of service and that our biased toward overcharging large load customers.

Moreover, as has been pointed out this morning, it's uninformed by the needs of large load customers that the state seeks to attract in the first place, and therefore, it can't promote economic development. In fact, it's likely to deter economic development in the first place. Again, if you don't capture the opportunity, the terms won't matter.

We need only read Staff industry analysis director Jim Busch's testimony to appreciate why the



2.2

2.4

Staff has developed the proposal that it has put before you.

Notwithstanding what Staff counsel said a few minutes ago, Mr. Busch's testimony reflects his opinion that the economic advantages of attracting large load customers, I guess specifically data centers is what he was talking about, to -- again, attracting that investment in Missouri is simply, quote, not worth the risk. That's what he said.

And so what has Staff done? Well, Staff has developed an uncompetitive proposal that appears to substitute its view point for the state's decisions about the importance of economic development and that suffers from the (indiscernible) I just mentioned and created a situation where nothing will be ventured and nothing would be gained if that proposal were adopted.

Although Staff repeatedly -- and I would point this out, they repeatedly cite 393.130.7 as if it supports their tariff structure.

But they do so in a conclusory fashion. They never provide a cogent interpretation of what the statute means, but it's not difficult to see what they think it means when you look at their proposal.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

It ignores the actual language of the
statute, and it ignores the context in which it was
adopted. It reflects Staff's view, it appears to
me, not that large load customer tariffs should
reasonably ensure that, essentially, rates are fair
on both sides, but there should be an iron clad
guarantee that large load customers are, in effect,
ring fenced such that other customers don't
experience a single cent of cost that could even
conceivably be considered to have been caused by
service to provide them provided to them.

Nevermind that the utility has an obligation to serve those in a service territory and to not discriminate amongst those customers.

If Staff's view point of what 390.130.7 means were adopted, then the General Assembly, by adopting that statute, has in effect undone all of the economic development related statutes that the General Assembly has put in effect and Governor Kehoe's signing of Senate Bill 4 is not going to support economic development in the state as he said it would when he signed it, but instead, it's going to severely hamper it.

As Mr. Dixon puts it, adoption of Staff proposal would amount to erecting a "closed for



2.2

2.4

business" sign at the borders of Missouri.

Compare that message to the message other states are sending who have adopted tariffs that are, in effect, pretty similar to Evergy's because Evergy actually modeled their terms to a great degree on what is happening across the country, in the states with which (indiscernible) competing.

And that includes Kansas, our neighbor to the west, where a diverse group of parties, including the KCC staff and the consumer advocate in Kansas have agreed on a large load customer tariff that looks much like the proposal that is before you in this case.

I want to address one other issue this morning that's apart from the terms and conditions of Staff's and Evergy's large load tariff proposals. And that is, I wish to -- that is Staff's attempt to inappropriately bootstrap into this case the ratemaking treatment of future electric service revenues.

This case is about large load customer tariff terms and conditions. It's not about future revenue requirements and future rate cases where all relevant factors will be considered.

What Staff is proposing to do is yank away



2.2

2.4

what could be positive regulatory lag temporarily created by these customers as they ramp up their operations. And it's inappropriate for them to do so in this case. It doesn't need to be done in this case. But it's inappropriate for a number of reasons.

First of all, it's a one-sided proposal.

It's a one-way tracker, and it ignores the fact that utilities consistently and persistently face negative regulatory lag all the time. That's the way the system works. But Staff Just wants to capture one side of the equation.

Second, it's contrary to the basic reason regulatory lag exists in the first place. One of the most basic principles of public utility regulation, to inscient cost control and efficiency, as Staff, itself, has, on many occasions, indicated should be done.

And finally, it's biased and grossly overstates the possible positive regulatory lag that large load customers could provide. And I'm not going to go into all the reasons why that's the case, but Mr. Wills in his surrebuttal testimony lays out several reasons why it grossly overstates the possible positive regulator lag.

2.2

2.4

In closing, I want to circle back to where I started. This is an important case and so, too, is Ameren Missouri similar case. As you recognize in your intervention orders in both cases, that Staff has, in substance, made the same proposal in both this case and Ameren Missouri's case, ties those cases together even more closely because you've really got the same proposal made in both cases.

Consequently, in making what will be, at least from a practical perspective, policy decisions about how the state law and policy should be applied in this context, in the context of large load customers, the Commission should give strong consideration to taking its decision in this case under advisement once it's submitted, hearing Ameren Missouri's case, and only then making its decision in both cases.

Only then -- this approach will, as you, yourself, put it in one of your intervention orders, allow evaluation of, quote, both approaches to large load customer demand and ultimately issue tariffs that are in the best interest of the state and of all customers, end quote.

I appreciate your attention, and if there



2.2

2.4

1	are any questions I can answer at this time, I'll do
2	my best to do so.
3	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Can the
4	attorney for Ameren please spell his name for the
5	court reporter.
6	MR. LOWERY: Jim, J-I-M, Lowery,
7	L-O-W-E-R-Y.
8	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you.
9	Are there any Commission questions? Okay. Google?
10	Please state and spell your name for the court
11	reporter.
12	MR. SCHULTE: Thank you, Judge. I'm
13	Andrew Schulte. First name spelled as you would
14	expect, A-N-D-R-E-W, last name, S-C-H-U-L-T-E. And
15	along with Frank Caro and Jarod Jevons, we represent
16	Google, LLC, in this proceeding.
17	And good morning, Chair Hahn, Judge
18	Walker. Good morning, Commissioner Kolkmeyer, and
19	good morning to Commissioners Coleman and Mitchell
20	as they listen online.
21	We appreciate the opportunity to be here
22	today, and we appreciate the leadership that the
23	state of Missouri has shown on large loads.
24	That includes the proactive tariff filing
25	by Evergy, the direction provided by the General



1	Assembly through the passage of Senate Bill 4 and
2	the diligence of this Commission in presiding over
3	this pivotal case.
4	Before addressing the merits of the issues
5	before you today, I want to provide some background
6	on Google's efforts to develop data centers
7	responsibly.
8	Given the increased focus of data center
9	load growth and artificial intelligence, or AI more
10	broadly, we believe it is important to state the why
11	and of Google's approach to data center deployment
12	across the state, the nation and the world.
13	Google's data center development and
14	investment is defined and informed by the following
15	pillars: First, advancing vital infrastructure.
16	That means building the necessary infrastructure of
17	tomorrow to support connection, digitization and
18	prosperity for all.
19	Second, accelerating the economy. That
20	means bringing more economic activity, new business
21	and growth opportunities to the communities we call
22	home.
23	Third, investing in communities. That
24	means collaborating with each community and
25	providing long-term support through grants,



educational programs and other initiatives.

And fourth, innovative sustainable solutions. That means driving advancements in sustainability every day by accelerating the clean energy transition, continually improving efficiency and preserving natural resources.

As Google takes business decisions -- as Google makes business decisions regarding growth in the region, clear policy and signals and regulatory frameworks are key components that help Google make informed and long-term business decisions.

In Google's view, the recently passed

Senate Bill 4 lays the groundwork for what should be
a clear, objective and transparent framework for
infrastructure investment across the state.

Because of Senate Bill 4, companies like Google can explore multi-billion dollar investments with increased confidence knowing that there will be a clear regulatory path that balances flexibility with appropriate levels of Commission over site.

Additionally, Missouri's long history of affordable and reliable service coupled with its forward looking regulatory structure make it well positioned among states competing for large scale data center and AI investment.



2.2

2.4

1	This is why we view Missouri not just as a
2	location for new facilities but as a partner in
3	building the infrastructure of the digital future.
4	The current the current dynamics within
5	the energy industry and the global economy places us
6	in historic and evolving environment.
7	This dynamic requires an active commitment
8	to develop and advance new solutions, which should
9	be the result of a deliberate process that considers
10	the impact of all affected stakeholders helping us
11	to achieve our shared goals.
12	Risk management and financial risk sharing
13	structures are central to that discussion.
14	Utilities and rate payers, more broadly, have
15	historically borne the investment risks associated
16	with demand forecasting and accuracy.
17	As a good corporate and good citizen,
18	Google recognizes, however, that at current demand
19	projection levels, which include AI, cloud computing
20	and policies supporting the onshoring of advanced
21	manufacturing, it is important that the cost and
22	benefits of new infrastructure are appropriately
23	balanced and assigned.
24	While Google recognizes that projections
25	of new load growth have raised concerns among a wide

1	variety of impacted parties, we believe this
2	situation presents a unique opportunity.
3	This is a chance for regulators, customers
4	and utilities to establish partnerships that can be
5	leveraged to power the digital economy moving
6	forward.
7	Data centers are not just large consumers
8	of power. They are also economic and operational
9	partners that provide important advantages to
10	electric utilities like Evergy and their customers.
11	For example, the operational advantages
12	are numerous. They include data centers that are
13	consistent and predictable consumers of energy that
14	support the more efficient operation and planning of
15	the electric utility grid. It enables utility
16	system planners and grid operators to optimize
17	existing generation and transmission infrastructure
18	which can delay new infrastructure investments and
19	improve overall system efficiency.
20	Many large load customers engage in demand
21	management, which further enhances grid stability
22	and reliability.
23	There are also numerous economic
24	advantages associated with data centers coming



online.

25

Those advantages include data center's

1	consistent energy usage, which helps distribute
2	fixed costs across a larger energy volume;
3	contributing to a lower average cost per kilowatt
4	hour for all customers.
5	Google, in particular, is leading with
6	several strategic initiatives that provide
7	significant operational benefits to electric
8	utilities like Evergy and their customers. These
9	include long-term energy storage technology.
10	Specifically, Google recently partnered
11	with Energy Dome who developed a CO2 battery
12	technology that can continuously dispatch energy for
13	eight to twenty-four hours.
14	This technology can help stabilize the
15	grid by providing natural inertia from rotating
16	machinery, to act as a shock absorber and smooth out
17	sudden changes in frequency.
18	Google is also involved in demand-side

Google is also involved in demand-side flexibility initiatives. These include developing the capability to dynamically shift computing tasks and data consumption across its data centers to enable access to available carbon-free electricity and to provide additional capacity when requested by system operators.

Google is a major contributing partner in



19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	DCFlex, which is EPRI's, or the Electric Power
2	Research Institute's, new initiative to create
3	frameworks for data centers to support and
4	strengthen the grid through demand-side flexibility.
5	Google and other data centers developers
6	are investing in advanced transmission technologies.
7	Google recently announced an initiative to
8	accelerate deployment of next-generation
9	transmission technology, specifically advanced
10	conductors, across the U.S. electric grid to enhance
11	the capability and capacity of existing transmission
12	lines at a fraction of the cost of installing new
13	transition.
14	In their opening statements, Evergy and
15	Ameren addressed the numerous flaws with Staff's
16	proposal. Google agrees that there are numerous
17	fatal flaws with Staff's proposal, and those are
18	explained in Dr. Barry's surrebuttal testimony on
19	behalf of Google.
20	I will not burden the record here by
21	repeating all of those flaws; however, I do want to
22	say a few words about Google's unique perspective on
23	Staff's proposal.
24	Google has participated in large load

In

25

tariff proceedings in multiple jurisdictions.

the Midwest specifically, Google has participated in proceedings in Kansas, Ohio and Indiana in addition to Missouri.

In Kansas and Indiana, the parties reached unanimous global settlement agreements. The tariffs developed through each of those proceedings followed an emerging consensus on how to build -- on how to balance the benefits and opportunity of large loads versus the risks represented by those loads.

Generally speaking, the tariffs
established thresholds for tariff applicability;
minimum contract terms, including a load wrap
period; contract capacity requirements, including
permissible capacity reductions with notice and
fees; termination provisions, including significant
notice and exit fee requirements; applicable rates
and charges, including minimum monthly bills; and
customer creditworthiness and collateral or security
requirements.

Some of these large load tariffs also address procedures and processes and costs associated with interim capacity. Optional writers, including customer capacity writers, demand response writers, clean energy writers and more, and they also address requirements for customer-specific



2.2

2.4

service agreements.

2.2

2.4

The stipulation and agreement in this case is similar to the unanimous settlement agreement filed in Kansas, and we recognize that the Kansas settlement agreement is not determinative.

However, it is noteworthy that it was supported by a very diverse group of 17 stakeholders, which include the KCC staff; the citizens utility rate payer board, which is similar to the OPC in Missouri.

The Kansas settlement was also supported by existing industrial customers and existing school district customers. The broad support in Kansas and the similarities between the Kansas settlement and the Missouri stipulation and agreement underscore the fact that customer protections within the Missouri stipulation and agreement are in alignment with stakeholder expectations and widely accepted standards.

Conversely, Staff's proposal deviates from that emerging standard and sound regulatory policy. For example, it has been noted that Staff did not consult with any large load customers or utilities nor did they conduct a detailed comparison of other large load tariffs to inform or validate their



approach.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Staff assumed without sound justification that the current ratemaking framework and methods for cost allocation for large load customers will not result in an allocation based on cost causation.

However, Staff has not identified deficiencies in the current framework that would provide the basis for their proposed changes.

The emerging industry standard establishes terms and conditions that ensure large load customers contribute their representative share of costs while minimizing risks to other customers.

If the anticipated load growth fails to materialize, which is a very unlikely scenario, customers are still protected through tariff provisions that I've mentioned before, which include minimum contract terms, minimum monthly bills, collateral requirements, notice provisions and fees for any reductions in capacity.

Staff's proposal, however, fails to include minimum demand or bill charges or options to reduce contract capacity after notice and fees and it does not include options to secure renewable or clean energy.

Moreover, a complete overhaul of rates,



such as what Staff has proposed, should only occur within a formal rate case. Staff does not have the data required to determine if such a major change is needed or how it would best be implemented outside of the established regulatory framework of a rate case.

Staff's proposal is unduly discriminatory because it purposes separating large load customers from all other customers and then allocating costs and designing rates using completely different methods for the large load customers.

It is also not cost based in several respects. For example, the demand deviation charge, which is proposed by Staff, penalizes large load customers if their updated yearly demand estimates differ from their contract demand.

The charges, based on the SPP deficiency payment which applies to load-responsible entities such as Evergy, that fail to obtain sufficient capacity to meet their resource adequacy requirement, such SPP deficiency payment does not and should not apply to individual customers.

They have a similar charge called an imbalance charge that penalizes large load customers if its actual monthly demand is different from its

2.2

2.4

	September 30, 2023
1	forecasted monthly demand. And this charge is also
2	based on the wholly unrelated SPP deficiency
3	payment.
4	Staff recommends that the Commission
5	reject Evergy's four renewable and carbon-free
6	writers that are part of the LLPS proposal, but
7	Staff does not replace those writers with options
8	that are necessary to attract large load customers
9	who often have aggressive corporate clean energy
10	goals and who desire energy options that allow them
11	to manage their energy mix.
12	The Commission should adopt the
13	stipulation and agreement in this case because it
14	falls within the emerging industry standard and
15	protects existing customers.
16	Specifically, the stipulation includes a
17	minimum contract term of 12 years in addition to a
18	ramp period of five years, which is consistent with
19	the recently approved large load tariff for Indiana,
20	Michigan power in Indiana.

The stipulation implements a minimum demand charge of 80 percent of contract capacity, even if actual usage falls below that threshold.

Additionally, under the stipulation, large load customers cannot exit the system without 36



21

22

23

24

· · ·
months notice and payment of an exit fee equal to
the value of the minimum monthly bill times the
number of months remaining in the term extension
term or 12 months, whichever is greater.
Large load customers cannot reduce their
contract capacity during the first five years of the

term, but may subsequently reduce their contract capacity only within certain limits. Those limits are 25 megawatts or ten percent, whichever is lower.

But that reduction may only occur after 24

months prior notice, and reductions beyond that amount, so beyond 25 megawatts or ten percent, require 36 months notice and payment of fees based on the remaining minimum monthly bills.

These protections ensure that other customer class's rates will not reflect any unjust or unreasonable costs associated with service to large load customers.

Thank you, again, for the opportunity to be here and for your consideration of the evidence that was prefiled in this testimony and that you will hear during the evidentiary proceedings.

Google's witness, Dr. Carolyn Barry (phonetic), is in the hearing room this morning, and she looks forward to responding to your questions

2.2

2.4

1	regarding the emerging industry standards for large
2	load tariffs and the appropriate balance that is
3	struck by the stipulation filed in this case. Thank
4	you.
5	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Any
6	Commission questions? Hearing none, we will go to
7	opening statements for Data Center.
8	MR. VIJAYKAR: Thank you, Your Honor, and
9	this is Nikhil Vijaykar appearing via video. Can
10	everybody hear me?
11	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Yes. Can
12	you, please, spell your name for the court reporter.
13	MR. VIJAYKAR: Certainly. My name is
14	Nikhil, that's N-I-K-H-I-L. My last name is
15	Vijaykar, V-I-J-A-Y-K-A-R.
16	Okay, thank you and good morning and may
17	it please the Commission. My name, again, is Nikhil
18	Vijaykar, and I am one of the attorneys representing
19	the Data Center Coalition in this case.
20	My colleague, Alissa Greenwald, is also
21	online and is also representing DCC in this case,
22	and we appreciate this opportunity to present an
23	opening statement.
24	Let me start with some quick background on
25	DCC for the Commission's benefit. DCC is the



1	National Association for the data center industry.
2	It represents leading companies who own and operated
3	data center infrastructure across the country and
4	the across the globe.
5	In this opening statement, I will discuss
6	DCC's perspective on Evergy's LLPS application and
7	request the Commission approve the September 25th,
8	2025, nonunanimous stipulation that several parties,
9	several council have already addressed this morning.
10	As I will explain, that stipulation is a
11	balanced resolution of the several important policy
12	issues and questions that Evergy's application
13	raises.
14	Now, Commissioners and Your Honor, as you
15	have heard from parties already, the reason we're
16	here, the emphasis behind Evergy's application is a
17	surge in request for service from large load
18	customers, including data center customers like
19	DCC's members.
20	Now, these customers represent a massive
21	opportunity for the state of Missouri. They are
22	likely to invest billions of dollars in the
23	company's service territory, create good paying jobs
24	in construction and information technology and

25

maintenance, diversify the state's economic

industrial base and bring in significant new revenues that will help the company spread the fixed costs of its system over a larger base.

However, Missouri will only realize those benefits if the customers and the load actually show up. And I thought Office of Public Counsel Witness, Jeff Mark, put it well in his surrebuttal testimony.

He says (as read,) The benefits of data center customers to the state and to local communities have the potential to be quite large, but this is predicated on the assumption that the customer take service for long enough for those benefits to be realized.

Which brings us to the main problem that the Company is trying to solve here. The core objective of the new large load power service tariff is to mitigate the risk of stranded costs. And the cost shifting to other customer classes that could occur if large loads do not materialize as the Company expects.

That's the objective motivating the substantial new customer protections Evergy proposes in this case, including long-term contract commitments, minimum demand charges, termination provisions and termination fees and financial



2.2

2.4

security provisions.

2.2

2.4

Now, rather than negotiating those protections on a one-off basis with individual customers, as has sometime occurred historically, Evergy here proposes to move those protections into a tariff recognizing that the company has a queue of large loads requesting service.

Now, while DCC believes it is highly likely that the large loads Evergy forecasts will, in fact, materialize, DCC nevertheless supports reasonable customer protections and supports the creation of a tariff that reflects those protections.

As this Commission is likely well aware, while Evergy is certainly an early mover, regulators across the country are considering utility proposals to impose new requirements on large customers.

Those proposals generally aim to leverage the opportunities that electric load growth will bring while mitigating its risks.

DCC is active in many of those cases and has routinely supported reasonable policies that accommodate load growth in a thoughtful and deliberate manner so as to mitigate the risk of stranded costs.



That is why, as you see in the prefiled testimony of DCC's witnesses, we do not object to the customer protections Evergy proposes in concept nor do we object to the proposal to create a tariff that reflects those protections.

DCC's recommendations in their witness's prefiled testimony are generally modest and aim to ensuring that customer protections allow large customers a reasonable level of flexibility such that the company is able to attract a diverse set of large loads.

That said, DCC does not support the company's system support writer proposal as filed however because that proposal was conceptually and analytically flawed and doesn't do anything to mitigate the risk of stranded costs.

This is a focus of DCC Witness Higgins' prefiled rebuttal testimony, and I would note Staff Witness Sarah Lange also criticizes the SSR proposal in her testimony.

While I won't get into Mr. Higgins or Ms. Lange's critique of the SSR proposal in depth, there's a threshold issue that proposal has broken because it would collect revenues from large load customers without defining any specific costs to be



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

recovered.

2.2

2.4

The premise of the SSR is also broken. If focuses exclusively on the supposed acceleration of generation costs to serve large customers but ignores the acceleration of revenues that accompany those costs and the obvious relationship between revenues and costs that ultimately impacts customer rates.

The Commission should reject the SSR, but to be clear, that does not mean DCC encourages the company or this Commission to ignore the potential revenue and cost allocation impact if large loads do materialize as the company anticipates.

We just don't think this is the right venue. The logical venue to work out the allocation of cost responsibilities, after a change in the company's resource mix, is a general rate case.

The Commission should not address that issue through an abstract acceleration charge that does not reflect actual costs or actual retail load.

Now, since the time the DCC and other parties filed testimony, we were able to reach, we being DCC, was able to reach a settlement agreement with many but not all of the parties to this case.

That settlement agreement represents --



1	resembles the comprehensive, unanimous settlement
2	that parties reached in Evergy's parallel case in
3	Kansas.

Now, while I will, of course, not get into the substance of settlement negotiations, I can say that a lot of hard work and difficult conversations went into negotiating both a settlement in Kansas and, ultimately, a settlement agreement here.

But, ultimately, DCC supported the settlement agreement in Kansas and the one filed here because we felt it strikes a balance.

It gives large load customers, including DCC's members, a reasonable opportunity to do business in the Company service territory, allows customers modest flexibility but also guarantees significant minimum revenues from large loads to protect other customers, non-large load customers, from cost shifting.

Importantly, the settlement proposal does not include the system's support writer proposal, which as I discussed, DCC does not support because it is fundamentally flawed.

The sweet of customer protections that is included in the proposed settlement is not identical to the customer protections that other utilities in



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

L	other jurisdictions have adopted, which, of course,
2	is not surprising because every utility is
3	necessarily different.

However, those protections are reasonably in line with where other utilities have landed when trying to answer the same question that Evergy tries to answer with its application here.

We, therefore, urge the Commission to adopt the nonunanimous settlement as a reasonable resolution of this case.

The last thing I'll address is Staff's pressing proposal in this case. Now, while DCC appreciates Staff's efforts to address large load growth, in the company's service territory by advancing a series of pricing proposals, those proposals have multiple flaws and leave a lot of questions unanswered.

We agree with counsel for Evergy and Google where they describe Staff's proposal as different from and significantly more complex than anything we have seen in other jurisdictions grappling with large load issues across the country.

And frankly, the speed of proposals is simply unworkable for large load customers. DCC Witness Higgins addresses the flaws in Staff's



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	proposal in detail in his surrebuttal testimony.
2	At its core, Staff's pricing proposal
3	boils down to a near 25 percent markup over Staff's
4	calculation of the sum, a variable and fixed costs
5	to serve large customers.
6	Now, putting aside some of the errors in
7	Staff's calculation, which DCC points out in its
8	testimony, Staff's 25 percent markup is essentially
9	an arbitrary premium.
10	The Commission should not accept Staff's
11	speed of proposals and instead should approve the
12	nonunanimous stipulation.
13	With that, Commissioners and Your Honor, I
14	will conclude by opening statement, and I look
15	forward to presenting DCC's case through its
16	witnesses at the appropriate time.
17	Those witnesses are Kevin Higgins, a
18	principal in the firm of Energy Strategies, LLC; and
19	Shanna Ramirez, a director at Energy and
20	Environmental Economics, also known as E3.
21	Thank you for your time this morning.
22	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: We can have
23	an opening statement from Nucor Steel.
24	MR. ELLINGER: Thank you, Judge. Marc
25	Ellinger on behalf of Nucor Steel Sedalia, LLC.



1 | That's M-A-R-C, E-L-L-I-N-G-E-R.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Madam Chairman, members of the Commission, Judge, Nucor stands here as a slightly different party than everyone else to this matter.

We're not a utility. We're not a governmental entity. We're not a public interest group. We're not a data center. We're not a coalition of data centers.

We're a private steel employer located in Sedalia, Missouri. A major manufacturer with a major employment base. We're also a major user of electricity.

We really believe in economic growth and development, and we think that the nonunanimous stipulation that has been developed is one that fosters that opportunity for economic growth and development.

As you may know, Nucor currently takes electricity under a special contract under Schedule SIL of the tariff, and we support the stipulation. And we really want to start by saying thank you very much to all the parties, particularly Evergy and the parties to the stipulation who reached out and did have a negotiation over this to come to a reasonable, fair and, ultimately, beneficial for the



I think the conclusion that we all came to as a group of private parties, utilities, users and, frankly, public interest groups is this is the best interest of Missouri, it complies with what the General Assembly set as a policy, and we think that this Commission should adopt that, and we think that the proposal that's made by Staff and by the Office of Public Counsel kind of going along with it does not serve those same economic development benefits.

Nucor has been a good employer in the state of Missouri. It's an employer that has grown and potentially in the future would like to grow more. And the opportunities that are offered under the proposed nonunanimous stipulation are opportunities that we believe will foster that growth and development in the future.

With that, I urge this Commission to adopt the nonunanimous stipulation and agreement, and I'd be happy to answer any questions.

REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there any Commission questions?

COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Thank you, Judge.

Good morning, Mr. Ellinger.



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

MR. ELLINGER: Good morning, Commissioner
Kolkmeyer.
COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Two questions.
MR. ELLINGER: Yes, sir.
COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Does Nucor have
another plant smelter in Missouri, or is that just
across the line in Arkansas?
MR. ELLINGER: It's in Arkansas.
COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: And that is
served by Ameren?
MR. ELLINGER: I believe that's correct.
Although, I can't specifically say that.
COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Okay. So the one
in Sedalia is
MR. ELLINGER: served by Evergy.
COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Evergy, okay.
What is the usage at Sedalia?
MR. ELLINGER: That's
COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Or is that
confidential?
MR. ELLINGER: That's a highly
confidential matter, but it's significant usage.
COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: And you would
fall you would or would not fall under these
rates?



1	MR. ELLINGER: Depending upon where that
2	rate target was set
3	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: The threshold?
4	MR. ELLINGER: The threshold.
5	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Yeah.
6	MR. ELLINGER: future development could
7	definitely fall into that rate.
8	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Okay.
9	MR. ELLINGER: And depending on whether it
10	was applied retroactively or whether you know, we
11	believe that the SIL Tariff should continue to
12	maintain, and we would take service under the SIL
13	Tariff. It wouldn't change the existing operations.
14	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Okay. Are you
15	going to come back up and testify for Velvet or
16	MR. ELLINGER: No, I'm not.
17	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Okay. Is your
18	company your firm?
19	MR. ELLINGER: Our my partner,
20	Stephanie Bell, and our firm does represent Velvet
21	separate from
22	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Are they going to
23	testify?
24	MR. ELLINGER: Testify or make an opening
25	statement?



1	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Yes, make an
2	opening statement.
3	MR. ELLINGER: I believe she is planning
4	on making an opening statement, yes
5	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Okay. I'll save
6	a questions for her.
7	MR. ELLINGER: Okay. Thank you very much.
8	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Thank you.
9	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you,
10	Commissioner Kolkmeyer. Are there any other
11	Commission questions?
12	MR. ELLINGER: Thank you.
13	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you,
14	again. Velvet Tech?
15	MS. BELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	Stephanie Bell, that's S-T-E-P-H-A-N-I-E, BELL,
17	B-E-L-L. May it please the Commission, Stephanie
18	Bell for Velvet Tech Services, which is wholly owned
19	by Meta.
20	As you know, Velvet his Evergy's first
21	hyper-scale data center customer, and the Kansas
22	City data center is now operational.
23	The data center represents an investment
24	of over one billion in the state of Missouri, with a
25	majority of construction materials sourced right



here in the U.S.

2.2

2.4

The construction of the data center resulted in an average of 1,500 skilled trade workers on site at its peak. In addition, Meta has proudly directed more than one million to schools and nonprofits spanning Clay County, Platte County and Kansas City, Missouri.

In the words of Brad Davis, data center community and economic development director at Meta in 2022: We selected Kansas City because it offered excellent infrastructure, a robust electrical grid, a strong pool of talent for construction and operations jobs and incredible community partners. We are extremely proud to be part of this community, and we look forward to continuing to strengthen our partnership for years to come.

As you know, Velvet is a signatory to and supports the nonunanimous global stipulation and agreement. This stipulation represents lengthy negotiations among diverse stakeholders, utilities, large industrial customers, data center operators, environmental advocates. The result is a balanced framework that serves multiple critical public interests.

First, the stipulation addresses



Missouri's economic competitiveness. The LLPS
tariff creates a structured pathway for large load
customers to locate and expand in Missouri.

The 75 megawatt threshold appropriately captures customers whose load characteristics require specialized rate treatment while maintaining protections for existing customers and traditional rate payers.

Second, this stipulation includes robust customer protections. The comprehensive collateral requirements protect existing rate payers from financial risk. Credit based discounts reward credit-worthy customers while maintaining security. The framework also ensures large customers cover their cost of service and prevents subsidization by other customer classes.

Third, the stipulation aligns with many customer's clean energy goals. The renewable energy writers provide flexibility for large customers to support renewable development and meet corporate sustainability requirements.

Fourth, the settlement protects against stranded costs through carefully crafted exit provisions. The minimum term coupled with substantial exit fees ensures longterm commitments



2.2

2.4

1	while providing utilities reasonable cost recovery
2	certainty.
3	Finally, this framework includes
4	meaningful oversight mechanisms. Annual reporting
5	requirements as well as stakeholder meetings ensure
6	transparency and accountability as this new rate
7	class develops.
8	For Velvet, specifically, this tariff
9	provides the regulatory certainty needed for
10	long-term infrastructure investments while ensuring
11	we pay our fair share of system costs. The
12	alternative to this settlement is continued
13	uncertainty, delayed investment and missed economic
14	developed opportunities.
15	This stipulation strikes the right balance
16	protecting existing customers, enabling economic
17	growth and providing regulatory certainty. We
18	respectfully request the Commission to approve the
19	stipulation recognizing that's interdependent
20	provisions represent a carefully negotiated
21	compromise that best serves the public interests.
22	Thank you. Commissioner Kolkmeyer?
23	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Yes, you were
24	kind of forewarned, weren't you?
25	MS. BELL: I was.



1	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Yes, are you at
2	liberty to tell the consumption, energy consumption,
3	of your current data center?
4	MS. BELL: I'm not.
5	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Okay.
6	MS. BELL: But as you'll recognize in
7	the in the on the issue list and as part of
8	the stipulation, Velvet's current operation for
9	folks who've signed their ESA by the time this
10	tariff is approved, they're, essentially,
11	grandfathered.
12	And so the deal that Meta reached on
13	for the facility that was already built, it is,
14	essentially, grandfathered.
15	However, as you know, there's a lot of
16	data center growth. So to the extent that Meta was
17	to expand above that 75-megawatt threshold, we would
18	be under the new LLPS tariff.
19	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: That was my next
20	question.
21	MS. BELL: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: I have one more.
23	MS. BELL: Okay.
24	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: How much water do
25	you use?



1	MS. BELL: So that's a really interesting
2	question, and certainly in OPC's testimony, they
3	raised some concerns about different reporting that
4	they would like to see.
5	And I would urge you so Meta produces
6	multiple reports every year. They are really in
7	depth.
8	There's two reports that I direct you to.
9	There's a sustainability report and a data index.
10	And those are published on their website annually,
11	and they report things like water usage, like many
12	of the issues that OPC addressed in its testimony
13	publicly.
14	And in fact, in certain circumstances,
15	they are broken down by each facility. So while the
16	Kansas City facility is new, you start to see some
17	of that reporting on the most recent public reports
18	broken down by and you'll see Kansas City
19	included.
20	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: I do have one
21	more. Can you expand the counties into Lafayette
22	for taxes?
23	MS. BELL: Okay. I'll make that
24	suggestion.
25	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Okay, thank you.



1	My schools could use it.
2	MS. BELL: All right. Thank you,
3	Commissioner.
4	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Thank you.
5	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Is there
6	anyone from Sierra Club online?
7	MS. RUBENSTEIN: Yes, good morning. This
8	is Sarah Rubinstein
9	THE REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank
10	you.
11	MS. RUBENSTEIN: for Sierra Club.
12	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Ms.
13	Rubenstein, can you state and spell your name for
14	the court reporter, please?
15	MS. RUBENSTEIN: Certainly. Sarah
16	S-A-R-A-H, Rubinstein, R-U-B-E-N-S-T-E-I-N. Good
17	morning, Commissioners and Judge Walker. My name is
18	Sarah Rubinstein. May it please the Commission. I
19	represent Sierra Club in this matter.
20	Large load growth, particularly from data
21	centers, is the primary issue of this proceeding but
22	also of utility regulation nationwide.
23	The historic increase in load growth has
24	the potential to shape rate payer bills for decades
25	to come. Sierra Club believes that the nonunanimous



stipulation filed before this Commission fairly resolve the issues in this docket among the disparate interests of the parties for three reasons.

First, any adopted tariff must ensure that large load customers do not encumber other customer classes with incremental costs or risks and also ensure that these customers pay their fair share of the costs incurred to serve them. Simply put, large load customers must pay the costs that they are causing on the electric system.

Sierra Club believes that the settlement adequately insulates Evergy from stranded asset risk and provides a framework for large load customers to mitigate the costs that they cause on Evergy's system.

Second, Evergy must provide a path for large load customers to procure the clean energy that they demand. Many large load customers have climate goals and want to locate in states where they can procure clean energy.

The settlement provides a means by which these customers can procure clean energy to meet their goals while also benefiting all of Evergy's customers at no direct cost to non-large load



2.2

2.4

1	customers. This will help stabilize if not drive
2	down costs through diversification of generation.
3	Third, the nonunanimous settlement is very
4	similar to the unanimous settlement filed before the
5	Kansas Corporation Commission. Having similar rules
6	between the two jurisdictions provides for a level
7	playing field between the states as they compete for
8	economic development opportunities and also helps to
9	ensure that rate payers in both states do not
10	experience wildly different treatment.
11	For these reasons, Sierra Club
12	respectfully requests that the Commission approve
13	the nonunanimous settlement struck between and among
14	most of the parties in this docket. Thank you for
15	your time.
16	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there
17	any Commission questions? Okay, hearing none, thank
18	you very much.
19	MS. RUBENSTEIN: Thank you.
20	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: We will now
21	hear from Renew Missouri.
22	MS. MERS: Good morning, and if it can
23	please the Commission, this is Nicole Mers, and that
24	is N-I-C-O-L-E, M-E-R-S on behalf of Renew. I'm
25	going to be very brief because it is almost 11, and



we've been sitting here for a while. So we've got a lot of witnesses.

We provided some limited testimony in this case about the renewable writers. In approaching this case, Renew's framework for evaluating it was being mindful and the efforts that have been taking place in the legislator and with the governor's office to encourage economic development.

It appears that policy makers have decided their attracting these customers is in the interest of the state of Missouri. So then what happens next?

Well, as a signatory to the stipulation, we believe that that stipulation provides a framework that provides a tariff and rate structure that will both attract the large load customers but ensure that they will provide additional contributions to the revenue requirements, which is better than the status would be currently.

In addition, the writers that Renew Missouri had supported our all contained in the stipulation. There was a bit of an expansion, actually, to allow nonutility scale (indiscernible) to be allowed. So we were very excited to see that.

And we believe that those writers will



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	also help attract these customers to the state. You
2	heard the customers themselves mention it several
3	times. So we believe that that's important.
4	And we believe that the benefits of that
5	renewable generation, having those writers, will
6	help bring those to the state and to the customer
7	base.
8	So with that, I'll just conclude my
9	remarks, but if you have any questions, I'll try to
10	answer them.
11	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Any
12	Commission questions? Okay, thank you very much.
13	MS. MERS: Thanks.
14	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: The Office
15	of Public Counsel?
16	MR. CLIZER: Brian, when you're ready.
17	TECH SUPPORT: There we go. Super. Now,
18	speak into the mic.
19	MR. CLIZER: Good morning. I'm pretty
20	sure you all know who I am, but for the record, John
21	Clizer on behalf of the Missouri Office of the
22	Public Counsel, and my name is J-O-H-N, C-L-I-Z-E-R.
23	So I want to start off by saying,
24	honestly, Commission, I don't envy your position
25	here. This is quite possibly one of the most



important cases that you're going to hear. It's one of the most important cases that probably has come before this Commission in a very long while.

And that has to do with the size and scale of the investments that we're talking about that are going to be involved in serving the amount of load coming on, and we're going to get to that in just a second.

But I really want the Commission to consider just how impactful this case could be on Missouri, on regulation, on everything that we're doing, and specifically Missouri rate payers.

And also consider that, you know, we're dealing with this in a very rapidly evolving environment.

We have news coming out just about every day on changes to how AI and data centers are being used and handled. And it really does beg the question of whether or not we should be slowing down to think about this a little bit.

Now, fortunately, the good news is that this Commission has plenty of opportunities to think about. I believe Mr. Lowery, on behalf of Ameren, for example, pointed out that the Commission is about to hear pretty much the same case in about a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1 month's time, and that they should be waiting to 2 hear the case then before making a decision here. And I, actually, echo that opinion. 3 4 think the Commission should really consider hearing 5 both this case and Ameren before rendering a 6 decision in either case. 7 Another opportunity is that we could 8 potentially move towards a workshop, for example. 9 That was an issue that was brought up by the 10 Commission Staff, and frankly, it's one that merits some consideration. Maybe it would be a better idea 11 12 in lieu of trying to do this in two cases to pump 13 the brakes, go to a workshop and think it all 14 through. But, again, we are here. So let's go 15 through this and kind of talk about it. With that in mind, the one thing I would 16 17 ask is just the Commission maintain a healthy degree of skepticism and really consider all of the impacts 18 19 here. 20 So I mentioned a moment ago about the size

So I mentioned a moment ago about the size of the impact, and I really want to focus on that for a second. This picture in front of you, this was taken from the Evergy annual five-year capital plan that was filed in March of '25. This is a public version.



21

2.2

23

2.4

1	And it's showing what Evergy projects, in
2	terms of a demand capacity, coming online. The
3	gray the gray bar that you can see on the right
4	there is their current demand, and it's about 10.6
5	gigawatts. That means that all the residential, all
6	the commercial, all the industrial customers Evergy
7	has right now our demanding about 10.6 gigawatts.
8	The bar on the left is whatever Evergy is
9	anticipating might come online in five years. And
10	the first thing to note about that is the bar on the
11	left is actually larger than the bar on the right.
12	What that please, go ahead.
13	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Can I ask a
14	question midstream?
15	MR. CLIZER: Absolutely.
16	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Is that all of
17	Evergy or is that in Missouri?
18	MR. CLIZER: That is all of Evergy, yes.
19	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Okay.
20	MR. CLIZER: And I I don't know why
21	that back forward. That's just a product of how
22	Evergy presented it.
23	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Okay.
24	MR. CLIZER: Not by choice.
25	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Okay.



1	MR. CLIZER: But the point here is that
2	we're talking about, quite literally, doubling the
3	size of the utility over a five-year period, and
4	you'll note that even if you cut out the balance of
5	pipeline at the end, the six gigawatts in various
6	stages, preliminary discussion, the remaining
7	balance is still nearly 50 percent of the Company's
8	existing demand.
9	So no matter how you slice it, this is
10	enormous. I mean, you are really, really changing
11	the scope and field of how we operate here.
12	And the one thing I want to bring up, so a
13	large amount of this is being driven by data
14	centers. That's the, kind of, word of the day,
15	right?
16	And it's what's kind of weird going
17	through and listening to all these opening arguments
18	is that you're not hearing a whole lot about the
19	risks associated with data centers.
20	We all seem to just be all assuming that
21	these data centers are going to come online, they're
22	going to stay online, they're going to make money
23	and everything will be hunky-dory.
24	And I kind of think that's a bit
25	concerning, and I'll get to why in just a second.



Before let me give you what I think are the tw	VO
arguments for why we should like data centers,	
right? And they've sort of been touched on by pr	cior
people.	

They are, first, adding data centers will spread fixed costs and, thus, lower rates for non-data center customers. You heard this also sort of referenced as additional revenue, rate stabilization. They're all sort of wrapped into the same concept.

And then the other one, which we've definitely heard several people mention, are the economic developments, creating jobs, tax revenues, et cetera. And I want to take just a few moment to touch on both of those because there's a little bit of a myth, I think, surrounding both that needs to be addressed.

And the first comes with this idea that, you know, data centers are automatically going to lower rates for everybody. That's not necessarily true, and the reason it's not true is because fixed costs may not benefit customers if there's also an increase to fixed costs.

Let me give you an analogy to really explain exactly what I mean. I want you to think of



2.2

2.3

2.4

1	three guys renting a house together, right? And
2	their landlord charges them \$3,000. So each one of
3	those three guys is paying a thousand dollars in
4	rent a month.
5	A fourth guy comes on, and he says, I'd
6	like to join you. Now, they're splitting the rent
7	four ways, and each one is paying 750. That's an
8	example of how you can lower the cost for everybody
9	by spreading them out.
10	But what happens if that fourth guy comes
11	on, and he can't move into the house because there's
12	not enough space? He has a whole bunch of
13	knickknacks and stuff that he needs to get
14	somewhere, and they just don't have the space there.
15	The landlord comes back and says, well,
16	what if I build you a new house, brand-new, and I'll
17	charge all four of you the rent for those two
18	houses.
19	In that case, now the whole group is
20	paying 6,000 in rent between the two houses, but
21	they still split it up four ways. But each person
22	is now paying \$1,500 in rent.
23	You see, what's happened here is even
24	though you've spread out to the costs, you also

increase the cost because you had to build more.

And that's the situation in front of us right now.

Bring on data centers is going to spread out the fixed costs for all customers, but the companies don't have the generation needed to serve those data centers.

So they're going to have to build more and thereby increase the existing fixed costs in order to provide service. And it's a very real possibility that increasing those fixed costs might mean that everybody, everybody's rates go up even as you're spreading them out.

And then you can double down on that. We know that it's getting more expensive to build generation right now. In a recent case, which Evergy sought a CCN for additional build, we had already seen prices rising as high as 60 percent against what they had been in the past.

We have tariffs, we have inflation, we have all kinds of things driving up the prices. So if we had to build even more generation to serve this new load coming on, again, the risk that rates for everyone will rise increases.

And then you add onto that the fact that we're in a constrained energy market, and you see, again, prices are set to rise as more people tug on



2.2

2.4

the demand, as more people need more energy, the cost for everyone is going to go up.

So this idea that data centers are just bar none going to lower rates for customers, it's not as clearcut as you'd think.

Now, let's consider job growth because that's something we've definitely heard a lot of, and it's very true that data center build is going to create a lot of temporary jobs. There's no doubt about that.

But they create much fewer permanent jobs than anybody here would like to admit. And I think the best way to see that is to look at the testimony of Dr. Mark, who compared, for example, the 875-acre, \$500 billion Stargate project that's being built by Open AI in Texas, which is expected to create 57 full-time jobs, just 57, with an annual wage of 57,000 and a net salary gain of about three million.

He compared that to the average Sam's Club, which is employing about 167 associates admittedly at a lower salary but actively actually generating a much higher net salary gain.

So this idea that these data centers are going to be this engine of job growth and economic



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	development, it doesn't actually stand true. And
2	that's before you start to consider the other
3	problems that might be out there.
4	For example, there's already a lack of
5	transparency surrounding the impact of data centers.
6	We're not entirely sure the impact they're going to
7	have on water tables.
8	And as we'll discuss later, there's
9	already a concern regarding the
10	[Reporter's note: Audio recording failed.]
11	That risk of subsidization, that is this
12	case. Of all the issues that have been brought
13	forth, the biggest one, the most important one is
14	really just, are we allowing non-data center,
15	non-LLPS customers to subsidize data centers. And
16	I'll explain why I believe that the stipulation
17	agreement does do that.
18	And then, finally, of course, there's the
19	much talked about risk of stranded investments. And
20	on that note, I'm going to come back to the slide
21	just to point out the amount of generation that
22	we're going to have to build to serve that load is
23	going to be enormous.
24	Again, you're talking about nearly
25	doubling the size of the utility. And that gray

1	bar, that's been built up over however long Evergy's
2	been in service. The bar on the left, we're talking
3	about five years. Think about bringing on as much
4	generation as we have now in just five-year time.
5	That's the issue that they are creating in front of
6	this.
7	I also want to be clear, it's not just the
8	OPC raising these concerns, right. It's not just
9	John up here spitting from the cuff. The problems
LO	that we've identified are showing up across the
L1	news.
L2	For example, a Wall Street Journal article
L3	talking about job creation pointed out how John
L4	Johnson, the chief executive officer of Patmos
L5	Hosting, admitted that data centers have rightly
L6	earned a dismal reputation of creating the lowest
L7	number of jobs per square foot in their facilities.
L8	Patmos Hosting, by the way, is currently
L9	building a data center in Kansas City, Missouri.
20	Another Wall Street Article talks about
21	how Chief Executive Jim Farley, that's
22	(indiscernible), said that artificial intelligence
23	is going to replace nearly half of all white collar
2.4	workers in the U.S.



So in addition to all the job creation

we're talking about, there's a real risk that this could actually result in significant job loss.

Another article from the Harvard Business
Review talks about how investors are making a
critical error around AI. They're treating AI
companies like high-growth asset-light software
firms. When in reality, their capital intense, high
cost and infrastructure heavy.

AI-heavy tech stocks have traded a 20 to 40 percent premium assuming future profits that haven't materialized.

In Bain & Company's sixth annual Global
Technology Report, they've pointed out that even if
U.S. companies shifted all of their on-premise I.T.
budgets to Cloud and reinvest the savings from
applying AI to sales, marketing, customer support
and R&D at the capital spending on new data centers,
the amount would still fall short of the revenue
needed to fund the full investment as AI's compute
demand grows at more than twice the rate of Moore's
law.

We also, again, have concerns regarding the environmental impacts. This article from BBC describes one customer who said they can't drink the water after living next to a data center.



2.2

2.4

1	And as Mister, I'm sorry, Commissioner
2	Kolkmeyer identified, data centers are water
3	intensive units using millions of gallons a day,
4	which can have dramatic impact.
5	And the result of all of this is that
6	we're seeing significant pushback across the U.S.
7	and in Missouri. St. Charles County, for example,
8	issued a ban on zoning for data centers. A similar
9	ban has been proposed or is in place in Peculiar,
10	Missouri.
11	So across the state in a variety of
12	different locations, you're starting to see citizens
13	come out against building these data centers.
14	And all of that really doesn't begin to
15	touch on what I consider the biggest problem, which
16	is this concept of can these things actually last.
17	And this again, this is the point that
18	nobody here seems to be addressing. An MIT report
19	in July of 2025 talked about how 95 percent of these
20	organizations building or creating AI, they weren't
21	getting any return.
22	And then just five percent of integrated
23	AI pilots were extracting millions in profits.
24	Okay, good, Brian. Thanks.
25	Another artials in the Wall Street Tournal



1	talked about how Nvidia had dropped \$100 billion to
2	support Open AI, even though it has struggled to
3	chart a path to profitability and was set to lose
4	44 billion through 2029. And that was before
5	engaging in several pricing commitments.
6	The Wall Street Journal just last just
7	this week, I'm sorry, last week had an article about
8	whether AI could ever pay off.
9	And I want to quote this, the money
10	invested in AI infrastructure in 2023 and 2024 alone
11	requires consumers and companies to buy roughly
12	800 billion in AI products over the life of these
13	chips and data centers to produce a good investment
14	return. And that's considering that the chips have
15	a three- to five-year investment.
16	The wave of a AI infrastructure spending
17	will require \$2 trillion in annual AI revenue by
18	2030, and that is more than the combined 2024
19	revenue of Amazon, Apple, Alphabet, Microsoft, Meta
20	and Nvidia and more than five times the entire
21	global subscription software market.
22	And finally, you're starting to see
23	multiple reports by major figureheads in this
24	industry saying that we are in a bubble.

In this case, it's Sam Aldman, the CEO of

1	Open AI, who compared the existing AI marketplace to
2	the dot-com bubble of the 1990s into early 2000s.
3	And you can see at the bottom there, he's joined by
4	many other industry experts.
5	So why am I bringing all of this up?
6	Well, again, what's been missing from the
7	conversation up to this point is that everybody
8	seems to just be assuming that these data centers
9	we're building right now are going to be around
10	forever and that they're going to make tons of
11	profit.
12	But the reality is that there is no
13	guarantee that the generation we build now is going
14	to last as long as the data centers are. And,
15	again, given their extreme power usage and the sheer
16	amount of power they require, that gives us a
17	massive risk for stranded investments.
18	Also, as I said, the data centers are not
19	guaranteed job creators. So all of that put
20	together says there is a very real risk the data
21	center boom might do more harm than good to Missouri
22	rate payers.
23	And you'll note, I stressed to the word
24	might. My goal is not to tell you that this is
25	going to be a disaster. My goal is to point out the

1	risks. There is absolutely a world where this all
2	works wonderfully, where we cure cancer,
3	(indiscernible) fusion and are all living on
4	guaranteed income.
5	But there's a risk that it doesn't. And
6	really brings us to the point. I want to ask, does
7	the OPC are we just opposed to data centers? Are
8	we just anti data center?
9	And the answer is an emphatic no. Despite
LO	everything I've said up to this point, we are okay
L1	with data centers coming to Missouri. We are happy.
L2	We are welcoming to them.
L3	But like I said, we are cognizant of the
L4	risk. And what we are asking for is simply that
L5	there are protections in place for existing
L6	customers. And more specifically, stronger
L7	protections, more realistic and better protections
L8	than what are currently being offered in the
L9	nonunanimous stipulation.
20	And, again, if you consider the risks that
21	I have pointed out, you consider all of the issues,
22	there is a good reason why the Commission should
23	look on this and say, we need to err on the side of
24	caution and have more protections than fewer.

Now, really quick, I just want to say what

I would ask this Commission to not do. Because there's a couple of things that I really want to make sure are not going to be the case.

The first is I don't want this Commission to just ignore the question of financial viability for data centers. And to point that out, I will tell you that in the past, we've had cases, for example, the Noranda -- I'm sorry -- yes, Noranda where this Commission had to make a determination on the financial viability of an industry because they were getting a special rate treatment.

And in that case, the Commission heard from the economists, from bankers, from investment firms, to metallurgists. They took in a huge amount of information to make a decision, is this a business that's going to last long enough to justify the investment and should they get a special rate as a result.

And I'm asking the Commission to do the same here. Take a careful look at the actual industry and determine whether or not there are more risks involved.

I also would ask this Commission not treat this as just another tariff. There's definitely been some discussion by other parties that say,



2.2

2.4

1 well, it's just a tariff. We'll fix it in the 2 future in a rate case. 3 That's not a good idea here. Companies are making half-dependent decisions now. 4 This is a 5 major, major case, one that could define your 6 legacy, and you should be treating it as such. 7 And finally, we'd ask that you simply not 8 rush into this decision. As I mentioned earlier, 9 there is time. Staff pointed out how you have time. 10 And staff's recommendation about opening up a 11 workshop is a sound one, in my opinion. Use this opportunity, use the time that 12 13 you have to really think this through carefully, to solicit additional feedback and to involve 14 15 yourselves in that discussion to try and reach the 16 best possible answer. 17 All right, I've talked a lot about what the Commission shouldn't do. I've talked about the 18 19 risks involved. Let me get really quick to what the 20 OPC is asking of the Commission. 21 What should the Commission do? Well, the 2.2 first thing, of course, is that we would ask you to 23 follow the legislative guidance. And I won't spend 2.4 much time here because you already heard it 25 mentioned by multiple other parties.



1	Section 393.130.7 includes the provision
2	for electrical corporations to put forward schedules
3	that should reasonably ensure such customers, and
4	here we're talking about customers with 100
5	megawatt.
6	Rates will reflect the customer's
7	representative share of the costs incurred to serve
8	the customers and prevent other customer class rates
9	from reflecting any unjust or unreasonable costs
10	arising from service to such customers.
11	Really, (indiscernible,) what does it
12	actually mean? Prevent subsidies. Now, that's my
13	interpretation. Obviously, other clients will have
14	a different one. But I think you can summarize this
15	really simple as just, don't let non-large load
16	customers subsidize large load customers.
17	That's truly the heart, again, of this
18	case in my opinion. The OPC's solution for
19	achieving this, I have broken it down into what I
20	consider to be eight poor requests.
21	There are other aspects kind of filtered
22	through the position statements and elsewhere, but
23	these are the ones I want to focus on because they
24	are the ones I consider the most important,



generally.

1	And I'm going to walk through each of
2	these. I'll only spend a slide or two on them. It
3	should go relatively quick.
4	The first, we're asking to modify
5	accessibility requirements, and what that just means
6	is who can take or who has to take under this
7	service.
8	We have five parts. The first is to
9	increase the term of service to 20 years with a
10	five-year disconnection notice. Why am I asking for
11	this? Again, these industries that are coming
12	online, these customers are so big they can justify
13	their own generation. And the generation that we're
14	going to build to serve these customers might last
15	30 to 60 years.
16	If you're going to build generation to
17	serve one customer, and that generation lasts 30 to
18	60 years, doesn't it make sense for you to have them
19	commit to at least nearly as long?
20	And, again, to be clear, the OPC isn't
21	asking for the full commitment. We're good with 20.
22	And to compare that to what's in the stipulation, I
23	believe the stipulation's at 12 years. So we're
24	talking about an eight-year difference. It's not
25	like we're way off here.

1	The next is for a minimum build to cover
2	90 percent of contract capacity. Again, if you're
3	building generation to serve almost one customer,
4	you want to make sure that the money is there to pay
5	for that generation from that one customer.
6	And, again, we're fairly close to what the
7	stipulation signed by the other party's was. In
8	that case, I believe it was 80. I could be wrong.
9	In some ways, I'm sure I'll be corrected. We're
10	asking for 90.
11	We are asking for no waiver from the
12	collateral requirement. This basically just comes
13	back to the idea that if one of these customers goes
14	bankrupt, goes out of business, decides to leave the
15	state, we want to make sure the money is there to
16	pay the exit fee.
17	We're afraid of somebody, maybe like
18	Google, deciding to walk away and then saying, hey,
19	it might be in our best interest just to fight this
20	in court or a smaller data center or smaller
21	industry might end up going bankrupt. In which
22	case, if they don't have the collateral, customers
23	will be left holding the bag.
24	So we are asking for no waiver. We're



asking to decrease the applicable size to

25-megawatt capacity. This is in line with Staff's recommendation. And it's really just there to make sure that we capture all the available customers.

And then, finally, we want the tracking of the termination fees in order to offset plan.

Again, this is fairly straightforward. If a customer leaves, and they pay a termination fee but that termination fee is outside of a rate case, it won't be reflected in rates. It'll just be profit to the company.

So in order to prevent that, you have to track the termination fee. And I want to point out, that's actually a component of the stipulation. So on that point, we're aligned. We just -- I just wanted to put that out there separately incase the Commission did their own thing. Make sure you track the termination fees.

And I want to put out there also, none of these recommendations are out there. In fact, all of these recommendations come from various other states. We just pulled from other states and said, look, these are what other states have already agreed to. We think that they are what should apply here in Missouri. And if you want more information or deets on that, I encourage you to ask questions

2.2

2.4

1 He's the one who sort of developed of Dr. Mark. 2 this. 3 All right, the next one is the FAC. And I 4 want to be really clear here. If LLPS customers, 5 the customers on this tariff, are included in 6 Evergy's existing FAC, you will be creating a 7 subsidy. And I'm going to walk through exactly how that works because it's important. 8 9 If you assume the LLPS customer comes 10 online after base rates are set in a rate case, 11 which includes purchase power -- well, let's back up 12 even further. 13 In a rate case, we set the base fuel and 14 purchase power cost the company is going to assume. 15 That includes all the energy that they're going to 16 buy from the RTL market. 17 So if there isn't an LLPS customer on a 18 system yet, they won't be included in that. 19 come on after the rates are set, then Evergy has to 20 increase the amount it's buying off the market to 21 serve just that customer. 2.2 And because all the purchase power costs 23 flow through the FAC, it means that all the money 2.4 they spent buying energy for that one customer will



25

flow through the FAC and be recovered by all

1 | customers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

So, again, this is exactly what's going to happen, if you include them in the existing FAC, they will be subsidizing or rather, nonLLPS customers will be subsidizing LLPS customers.

And this also will continue, by the way, even in the base rates once you come in for a rate case because at that point, the purchase power will just be flown into the base rates.

The OPC has a very simple, easy solution to this. Just split the FAC. Have one FAC for nonLLPS customers and have a second FAC for LLPS customers.

The brilliance of this is that nobody is worse off, right. The company is made whole in both cases. They both get their fuel and purchase power costs.

NonLLPS customers don't have to be worried about subsidizing LLPS; LLPS doesn't have to worry about their revenue being used to subsidize nonLLPS. If you just segregate them and keep them separate, the problem is solved. An easy solution.

The next one I want to talk about is the tracking of revenues. And we've already heard from multiple people talk about positive regulatory lag.



1	You've already heard this regulatory lag being
2	thrown around a lot. That's a mistake.
3	The problem here is not just regulatory
4	lag. It's that you are, again, creating a subsidy.
5	And, again, here's how this happens if Evergy
6	expects a large customer to come online, they're
7	going to build a generation to serve that customer,
8	right, because they have to have the generation to
9	serve.
10	If you put the generation in rates before
11	the LLPS customers come online, and then you come in
12	for a rate case, all of your other customers have to
13	pay for that generation because it is in a plan.
14	I'll say it again slowly, if you built a
15	generation in anticipation of a large customer
16	coming online and then have a rate case, all of your
17	customers are paying for that generation.
18	If the large customer then comes on after
19	the rate case, those revenues are not so
20	indiscernible). So they just go straight into the
21	company's pocket.
22	What you should do is track the revenues
23	so that in the future, you can give the money back
24	to the nonLLPS customers in the form of a reduction
25	to the plan.

Effectively, you're going to treat it like a loan from the nonLLPS customers to the LLPS customers. If you don't do that, you have created a subsidy because now, the nonLLPS customers are paying for the plan, the revenue generated from the LLPS customer is going straight into the company's pocket. That's it.

Again, simple solution to this problem, order the revenues tracked. And this does not harm the large, large power customers at all because you're not changing what they pay. You're just tracking it from Evergy's perspective. So it's zero harm, no impact on them whatsoever.

We are asking for no application of the economic development writer. For those of you who aren't aware, the economic development writer is a straight subsidy. It's a subsidy by design. It says, basically, for a large customer, we're going to reduce the amount you have to pay and everybody else has to make it up. We don't think that large customers, or rather these type of customers should be able to use the economic development writer because you have that statute that literally says, don't allow subsidies.

And the economic development writer itself



2.2

2.4

says, hey, you can put in alternative terms and conditions. So it, itself, anticipates you don't have to apply this in every case.

And we don't apply this in every case.

Evergy's existing MKT and SIL Tariffs already say,
you can't use the economic development writer.

So this is something that we've already done for other large customers.

Now, I want to touch on this because it gets a little weird. The Company has put forward -- in my understanding, they are allowing customers to take on the economic development writer, but they have created a separate writer that's attempting to claw back that subsidy.

In the initial filing, I believe this was the SSR, and then I believe in the stipulation, they changed the name of it. And you might -- if you ask them, they might say, yeah we're trying to claw back that subsidy through a separate writer.

And I pose to you a simple question, why on earth would you do it that way? Like, why would you give them a subsidy and then claw it back to a separate writer? Just don't give them access to ADR. You can do it. You've already done it. It's legally allowed, just don't allow them to use the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

ADR. It's that simple.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Mandatory emergency curtailment feature.

Okay, you all probably are aware SPP is having energy problems. It's having tight margins. It's having difficulty sourcing its energy.

Evergy West in particular doesn't have generation capacity in its own to meet its demand. It has to buy with bilateral contracts. And they won't until 2030.

Right now, because of a stipulation, we are doing what is called a value of lost load study. And what that value of lost load study says is, basically, there may be a point where it makes sense for a utility to not supply energy because it's more cost effective to not supply energy. And if that's what the study says, we think that's what should be applied.

Basically, if there is a point where the utility says, hey, it's cheaper for us overall for everybody just to not supply you energy, that's what we're going to do.

Now, if that sounds a little bit confusing, I strongly encourage you to ask Dr. Mark about this. He's the one who can provide all the details on this. He can explain better what that

1 | means.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

This kind of thing, it's already kind of anticipated in Texas. So it's not out there.

Again, it's not out of the ordinary. We're asking for that to be implied here in Missouri.

The preconstruction and postconstruction analysis reporting metrics, again, the OPC proposed three studies of power usage effectiveness, water usage effectiveness and total harmonic distortion.

These are really just about transparency, you know, to Commissioner Kolkmeyer's point earlier regarding how much water does Meta use, this is trying to make sure that that information is readily in front of the Commission. It's, basically, just transparency, best practices and resource adequacy.

Now, I do want to talk a little about that third one because that one's the unique one. That's one's a little bit weird and intrusting.

So what is total harmonic distortion?

Electric harmonics refer to unwanted voltage or current variations due to load variation. So like, if you could imagine your lights starting to flicker, that's because some really big entity nearby was turning up or down their power, and they were causing congestion on the line, for example.

And we already have data right now today
that shows that these power distortions are worse
closer to data centers. Like, we already have a
report by Whisker Labs that shows that data centers
are having a severe impact on the harmonics of
nearby local residents.
And those can have profound impacts. They

And those can have profound impacts. They increase your risk of house fires, they lower the life of your appliances and have to run electricity. This is a major issue.

And we think that mitigating those should be costs borne by those causing them. Again, we're supporting cost causation. And I just want to bring this up because earlier it was mentioned that these issues that we're raising are behind-the-meter issues.

That's not entirely true. This is very much an electric grid issue. This is a safety and reliability issue. And having the Commission require the companies to put forward information on this is going to help make sure that we are providing safe and adequate service.

Now, the good news is that this is actually really easy to do. There's already companies, like Ting, who built little monitors that



2.2

2.4

you can just plug into an outlet, and they'll monitor the amount of variation in your home.

And just to kind of show you what that looks like, this is a graph that shows a -- harmonic variations in, I believe, it's Virginia. And you can see, sort of, this as a normal one along the bottom. The bad harmonics along the top is what it looks like nearer to a data center.

So you can see they can have some pretty significant impacts on the grid, and we're asking for the Commission at this stage just to require studies to help explain this, to help track this, and if necessary, that's going to allow us to make arguments in the future for how to correct it.

The phase two study, I'm not going to spend much time on this. This is a really simple one. Effectively, there's a \$200,000 deposit in place to help pay for interconnection agreements if a customer wants to come on. Evergy wants to waive that under certain circumstances.

We think, you know, if a customer's going to join the grid of your size, you need to have enough skin in the game to show that you're going to actually take.

I want to point out that, like, we're



2.2

2.4

talking \$200,000 on issues where people are dropping billion dollars on data centers. I genuinely don't know that this is, like, an issue holding up anything.

And we don't have an objection if the

And we don't have an objection if the Company wants to waive it from their end and put it on shareholders. They have every benefit or reason to because it's going to ultimately benefit them.

So the short version is, Evergy proposed it. They said they want to waive it under certain circumstances. We just say, don't waive it. That's it.

The last one I want to talk about is a community benefits program. Again, I think most of you are already aware that there is a reduction in the federal funding currently available to serve a lot of the programs that are aimed at helping rate payers in Missouri that are on the lower end of the economic spectrum.

I'm also fairly certain that in a week's time when you all come to the Power (indiscernible) Affordability Summit, this issue is probably going to be brought up there as well.

So we look at this, and we say, hey, these data centers, they're posing a lot of risk to the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	system by being brought online, right? There's that
2	risk of subsidized. There's that risk of stranded
3	investment.
4	In order to combat that risk, we think
5	that these data centers should be contributing to a
6	community benefits program that would help balance,
7	right. It would help to give back to the community
8	and justify their presence on the grid.
9	And, again, we believe this is consistent
10	with Missouri law and is already included in other
11	states such as Texas and Oregon.
12	And I'll say it for the third time, if you
13	have any questions, if you want more details, I,
14	please, strongly encourage you to ask our witness,
15	Dr. Jeff Mark, to provide more details on this.
16	Okay, so in my conclusion, if there was
17	ever a time to be skeptical, Commission, this is it.
18	This is the time where you really need to start
19	thinking about, okay, how sure are we that these
20	data centers are actually going to be able to
21	justify the amount of investment they're calling for
22	here in the state.



23

24

25

know, can these things actually turn a profit, can

they survive for the 30, 60 years that we are

You need to be asking that question, you

1	anticipating to have generation online to serve
2	them.
3	We also need to say, you know, what are
4	the rewards and what other risks. Because you've
5	heard a lot about the benefits from the various
6	interveners, but you haven't heard much from
7	about the risks from anybody outside of OPC and
8	Staff. And, again, that's a bit of a concern.
9	And you need to be considering whether or
10	not you should even be hearing this here. As I
11	said, Staff put forward the idea let's consider
12	making this a workshop. You should actually
13	consider making this a workshop.
14	There's no reason you can't just deny both
15	tariffs and say, let's go handle this, and Liberty
16	for that matter, all at the same time and the same
17	place. And that would allow you all to be more
18	directly involved in the discussion, to ask
19	questions directly and to better inform yourselves.
20	And I think that that's a really
21	honestly, it's a smart idea, and I strongly
22	recommended you to consider it.
23	Last slide, what is the OPC asking? The
24	OPC is asking for cost causation to be maintained.
25	The OPC is asking that the Commission follow its

legislative guidance, that you avoid allowing the
subsidies that are built into Evergy's and the rest
of the parties' stipulation from occurring.

And on that point, again, in my opinion,
this case is not so much a facts-base issue that we
get with all the rate cases.

In my opinion, this case really is a policy issue. And honestly, it's, basically, just one major policy issue, and that is what is the state saying with regard to subsidizing data centers?

Now, if you all believe that the policy of this state should be that we are going to subsidize data center build-out, then by all means, go with what Evergy and Ameren and all the other interveners have proposed because that's what you're going to get.

You're going to get a system that allows for non-large load customers to subsidize data center build-out.

If alternatively, you say, we welcome data centers. We're happy to have you here, but you have to pay your own way, that's when you should be going with the OPC. That's when you should be going with Staff because that's our end goal.



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	Despite what anybody else has said, our
2	goal here is simply to make sure that at the end of
3	the day, data centers are paying for themselves,
4	large load customers are paying for themselves, and
5	that we are not getting cross subsidization. And
6	that, Commission, concludes my opening arguments.
7	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: First, I'd
8	like to welcome Commissioner Coleman who has gone
9	from being virtual to being real. And then ask if
LO	there are any Commission questions. Commissioner
L1	Kolkmeyer?
L2	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Thank you, Judge.
L3	Who is Patmos Hosting?
L4	MR. CLIZER: A company that builds data
L5	centers. Again, I would actually ask Dr. Jeff if
L6	there's more specific information than that on it.
L7	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: And they are
L8	current they currently have one in Kansas City?
L9	MR. CLIZER: Building, I believe. In the
20	process of. I'm not sure of the time frame.
21	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Yes.
22	(Inaudible.)
23	MR. CLIZER: It is cited in his testimony,
24	I will point out, and I'll get you the citation
25	later if you would like, but he references it in



1	testimony.
2	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: Okay, thank you.
3	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Commissioner
4	Hahn?
5	CHAIR HAHN: Thank you, Mr. Clizer, for
6	your opening.
7	I want to understand OPC's position
8	clearly, and I may not because OPC did not mention
9	anything about Staff's proposed tariff. And we have
10	two very distinct choices.
11	What I think I might have actually heard
12	is that you may potentially, with protections that
13	are added by the OPC, be closer to the stipulation
14	and agreement.
15	Is that's the case, or are you still
16	advocating for Staff's proposed tariff plus your
17	additional modifications?
18	MR. CLIZER: Thank you, Commissioner.
19	That's an exceptionally good question. It actually
20	reminds me to address something that I wanted to
21	touch on earlier.
22	Specifically, I believe it was Mr. Lowery,
23	on behalf of Ameren, got up here and told you it's a
24	binary choice. You have to make a binary choice.
25	And with all due respect, he is wrong.



This is not a binary choice. I understand why you'd see it that way. You have one proposal. You have a second proposal. You'd think those are the only two options.

But it's not at all the case. This

Commission has the power to pick and choose. It can
look at what it likes in each of the two proposals
and say, we like a little from Column A; we like a
little of Column B.

It has the ability to stay, we like what you're going for, but we think there should be additional protections, like what the OPC is asking for. It has the power to say, we think this also needs to be put in there.

To answer your question directly, I think that, yes, if we got additional protections on top of what Staff, it would, sorry, on top of what the Company is proposing, it would definitely get us much closer to something we'd be comfortable with.

I also don't think that that was possible to achieve from a settlement position because we're requiring, we're asking to prevent that subsidy, which is, ultimately, going to benefit the Company bottom line.

And I think that was probably an



2.2

2.4

1	insurmountable hurdle. I can't speak for the
2	Company, obviously, but, you know, that's just my
3	two cents on it.
4	But at the end of the day, you know,
5	again, I think Staff, for the large part, would,
6	honestly, agree with me, you have a wide option on
7	what to order here. You can absolutely simply say,
8	this is the general parameters of what we want.
9	Parties to go back and work together on getting
10	something together.
11	You can say, we have proof of we like
12	Staff's overall design, but maybe not the minimum
13	build. That's a little bit confusing. Maybe we
14	should adopt what the Company had. Be creative.
15	Explore options. Don't just stick yourself in the
16	camp of thinking it has to be A or B. That is a
17	mistake.
18	You guys are smart enough to know that
19	there are a multitude of ways to solve a problem.
20	So I recommend that you consider it.
21	Again, to answer your question directly, I
22	think that if we got all the recommendations we put
23	on top of what the Company proposed, we'd be
24	significantly closer. I would need to double check,
25	but that's kind of where I'm at. Is that does

1	that answer the question?
2	CHAIR HAHN: I think so. Thank you.
3	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Any more
4	Commissioner questions? Okay, hearing none okay,
5	we are going to break for lunch. Before we do that,
6	in a bit of magic, I have received Staff's
7	objections to Evergy's late filing while they were
8	sitting here.
9	It is (inaudible.) And OPC, if you'd like
LO	your objections to be part of the record, please
L1	have them here by the end of tomorrow.
L2	MR. CLIZER: Do I need a written
L3	objection? Or can I make a standing objection to
L4	it?
L5	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: You can make
L6	a standing objection to it.
L7	MR. CLIZER: If I'm being completely
L8	honest with you, Your Honor, I would prefer to make
L9	a standing objection.
20	I had not had an opportunity to review the
21	testimony, and therefore, I would object to its
22	inclusion until given at least the proper time to
23	review it and potentially file responsive testimony
24	if so warranted.
25	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Actually,



1	I'll allow both of you to have rebuttal testimony to
2	the extra testimony that was filed during the
3	testimony today.
4	MR. PRINGLE: And, Judge, would you like
5	that as prefiled rebuttal or live on the stand
6	rebuttal? And if prefiled, when would you like for
7	us to file that?
8	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Well, I'm
9	assuming Mr. Gunn is going to be the first witness
10	so live is what I'm guessing.
11	MR. PRINGLE: All right, thank you, Judge.
12	MADAM COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, who made
13	that objection? I can't see the video any longer
14	for some reason.
15	MR. CLIZER: Sorry, I think the objection
16	was made by John Clizer on behalf of OPC, but the
17	second person talking who posed a question to the
18	Judge was Travis Pringle on behalf of staff.
19	MADAM COURT REPORTER: Okay.
20	MR. PRINGLE: And this is Travel Pringle,
21	and that, Mr. Clizer, is correct.
22	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: All right.
23	MADAM COURT REPORTER: Okay, thank you.
24	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Have a good
25	lunch. We'll come back here in an hour.



1	Break at 11:36 a.m. until 12:36 p.m.]
2	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: We will
3	start testimony, and we will start with Mr. Gunn.
4	MS. WHIPPLE: Thank you. Company calls
5	Kevin Gunn. Your Honor, how do we do a swearing in?
6	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I do that.
7	MS. WHIPPLE: Great.
8	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Can you
9	raise your right hand? Do you promise to tell the
10	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so
11	help you God?
12	THE WITNESS: I do.
13	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay, you
14	may proceed.
15	KEVIN GUNN,
16	THE WITNESS HEREINBEFORE NAMED, having
17	been first duly cautioned and sworn to tell the
18	truth testified as follows, to-wit:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. WHIPPLE:
21	Q Please state and spell your name for the
22	record.
23	A Kevin Gunn, K-E-V-I-N, G-U-N-N.
24	Q By whom are you employed?
25	A Evergy.



- 1 Q And what is your position there?
- 2 A Currently, it's vice president for regulatory
- 3 | and government affairs.
- 4 | Q Did you prepare direct surrebuttal and
- 5 | testimony in support of stipulation and agreement in
- 6 | this case on behalf of Evergy Missouri Metro and
- 7 | Evergy Missouri West, which have been marked as
- 8 | Exhibits 100, 104 and 106?
- 9 A I did.
- 10 O Do you have any corrections to any of those
- 11 | three pieces of testimony?
- 12 A I have no corrections, but the opinions would
- 13 | conform to supporting the stipulation and agreement
- 14 | that was filed last week.
- 15 Q Are the answers to those questions and that
- 16 | testimony true and correct today to the best of your
- 17 | knowledge and belief?
- 18 A They are.
- 19 MS. WHIPPLE: Your Honor, at this time,
- 20 | Company would move to admit Exhibits 100, 104 and
- 21 | 106, please.
- 22 | REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: (Inaudible.)
- MS. WHIPPLE: Yes, the direct testimony of
- 24 | Kevin Gunn is Exhibit 100. The surrebuttal
- 25 | testimony of Kevin Gunn is Exhibit 104. And the



г	
1	testimony and support of stipulation and agreement,
2	which was, Your Honor, granted leave for that today,
3	that's Exhibit 106. And the redwell that we just
4	brought up to you are the hard copies of those.
5	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you so
6	much.
7	MS. WHIPPLE: Are they so admitted, Your
8	Honor?
9	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Yes, they
10	are.
11	[Exhibits 100, 104 and 106 admitted.]
12	MR. CLIZER: Your Honor
13	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: You can't
14	hear me?
15	MR. CLIZER: No, I can hear you.
16	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay.
17	MR. CLIZER: I was just going to say, I
18	appreciate that it has already been ruled on, but
19	for the sake of the record, we renew our objection
20	to the admission of the testimony supporting
21	surrebuttal. Again, I appreciate it's already been
22	ruled on.
23	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: So renewed.
24	MR. PRINGLE: And this is Travis Pringle
25	on behalf of Staff. Same statement, just standing



1	on that objection from earlier.
2	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: So noted.
3	MR. PRINGLE: And that was to just Exhibit
4	106.
5	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Mr. Clizer,
6	are you objecting to all exhibits or just 106?
7	MR. CLIZER: No, just the 106.
8	MS. WHIPPLE: At this time, Your Honor, I
9	would tender the witness for bench questions and
10	cross-examination.
11	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: All right,
12	we're going to do cross-examination in the same
13	order. So we'll begin with Staff. Do you have any
14	cross-examination?
15	MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, the order of
16	cross-examination differs based on the witness being
17	crossed, and there's a specific list in order for
18	each sponsoring party in the list of opening, the
19	list of issues filed.
20	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Does anybody
21	have that so I can see it?
22	MR. PRINGLE: Yes, we're pulling that up
23	right now, Judge.
24	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Perfect.
25	MR. PRINGLE: Looks like Ameren Missouri



1 will be going first. 2 MS. HANSEN: Accept as part of the 3 stipulation, the signatories have agreed to waive 4 cross of the other signatories to the stipulation. 5 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: 6 right back to Staff? Yep. With that -- with that 7 MR. PRINGLE: 8 clarification, yeah, it is back at Staff. 9 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I meant to 10 Okay, Staff, you may proceed. do that. 11 MS. KLAUS: Thank you, Judge. For the 12 court reporter, this is Alexandra Klaus on behalf of 13 That is A-L-E-X-A-N-D-R-A, last name is Staff. 14 Klaus, K-L-A-U-S. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. KLAUS: 16 17 Good afternoon, Mr. Gunn. I had to check the 18 Were you here during the opening time there. 19 statements in this case? 20 I was. Α 21 Did you hear your counsel state something to 2.2 the effect of: Existing practice requires Evergy to 23 reimburse customers for revenues in excess of its 2.4 authorized rate of return? 25 I believe she said something to that effect,

- 1 yes.
- 2 | Q Do you agree with that statement?
- 3 A If we're found to have been earning over our
- 4 | rate of return, the Commission absolutely could
- 5 order us to refund that -- that --
- 6 Q So you do agree with that statement?
- 7 A If the Commission orders us to refund those
- 8 | revenues that are over our authorized rate of
- 9 return, yes, I do.
- 10 Q Does the Kansas version of the FAC include a
- 11 | base amount in rates or is that a fully separate
- 12 | writer?
- 13 A I actually don't know the answer to that
- 14 question. Witness Brad Lutz will probably be able
- 15 | to answer that question.
- 16 | Q Thank you. I appreciate that direction. Are
- 17 | you aware of the MKT Tariff?
- 18 | A I am.
- 19 | O We do have some copies we could distribute
- 20 | around. I would ask that administrative notice be
- 21 | taken of an officially-published tariff. But I just
- 22 | want to work through a couple of quick questions
- 23 | with you. So we'll get a copy up to you.
- 24 A Sure.
- MS. KLAUS: Your Honor, may I approach?



1	BY	MS.	KLAUS:

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Q Mr. Gunn, if I could have you get to Sheet 158.1, I believe that's on the second page. And I'm looking at Paragraph 1, rate for energy service.

Do you mind reading that for me pretty quick out loud, please?

A Sure. (As read) Rate for energy service, an energy charge will be assessed based on the number of kilowatt hours consumed in any given hour multiplied by the appropriate cost to purchase energy from the Southwest Power Pool, SPP, for that hour.

The Company will specify the load node to be used in the special high load factor market rate contract described below, and that SPP node will be used to price the hourly energy and all applicable SPP charges.

All elements included in the rate will be specified in the special high load factor market rate contract described below.

Q Thank you for that. Is that more like the Staff structure or the stipulation in this case?

A Well, it's more like the Staff structure, but it's -- because the Staff takes an incremental charge rather than a base rate tariff, which is what



- 1 | the LLPS is.
- 2 Q Thank you. Could I ask you to please go to
- 3 | Paragraph 2. I'm looking at that captioned, "Rate
- 4 | for Capacity Service." Could you please read that
- 5 | out loud.
- 6 A Sure. (As read) The Company, we use good
- 7 | utility practice to identify lowest cost capacity
- 8 options available at the time.
- 9 MR. FISCHER: I'm sorry to interrupt, but
- 10 Mr. Gunn, could you slow down for the court reporter
- 11 | a little bit? I think she's probably having a hard
- $12 \mid time.$
- 13 THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 14 | A (As read) The Company will use good utility
- 15 | practice to identify lowest cost capacity options
- 16 | available at the time each customer requests service
- 17 | under this schedule.
- 18 The approach to identify these options may
- 19 | include but is not limited to pricing for
- 20 | construction of physical resource to serve capacity
- 21 or distinct request for proposal for firm capacity
- 22 offered in the SPP market.
- 23 Recognizing that capacity may not be obtained
- 24 | in small increments to match customer needs, all
- 25 | efforts will be made to (indiscernible) the benefit



1	of the capacity options for the customer and the
2	company.
3	As needed, the rate for capacity may be
4	inclusive of other capacity-base costs, including
5	all applicable SPP charges, infrastructure
6	investment recovery or customer contributions.
7	The rate in all elements included in the rate
8	will be specified in the special high load factor
9	market rate contract described below.
10	BY MS. KLAUS:
11	Q Thank you for that. Now, does that sound more
12	like the Staff structure or the stipulation in this
13	case?
14	A I mean, to me, it sounds more like the Staff
15	structure, but, again, they are apples and oranges.
16	Q Thank you. Could I please ask you to look at
17	Paragraph 3, and this is captioned, "Pricing for All
18	Other Service."
19	And then I will also ask you to go to
20	Paragraph 4, the last paragraph there. If we have
21	some hiccups in working through that, I'll help you
22	through that.
23	May I ask you to please read aloud Paragraph 3,
24	"Pricing for all other service."



25

MS. WHIPPLE:

Judge, I'm sorry, this is

1 Jackie Whipple for the Company. I just want to 2 object to relevance at this point. 3 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: (Inaudible.) 4 Α Okay. You just want me to read it? 5 BY MS. KLAUS: 6 Yes, please. 7 Α (As read) Pricing for customer charges and any 8 other applicable charges applicable under this rate 9 schedule are defined within the high -- special high 10 load factor market rate contract described below and 11 shall include all applicable minimum demand and 12 facility charges. 13 And then if we hop over to the next page, I'm 14 looking at Paragraph 4. In that last two sentences 15 of Paragraph 4 beginning with, "Customer will not," 16 may I ask you to read that allowed, please. 17 (As read) Customer will not be eligible to take service under this rate until the Commission 18 approves the individual special high load factor 19 20 market rate contract. 21 If the Commission does not approve the 2.2 individual special high load factor market rate 23 contract, customer may take service under another 2.4 rate schedule for which they qualify.

25

And finally on this round, does that sound more

- 1 like Staff structure or the stipulation in this
- 2 | case?
- 3 A Again, I think the point is, is that we've
- 4 developed a baseload tariff. And this is not a
- 5 | baseload tariff. This is a special incremental cost
- 6 tariff.
- 7 So it may sound a lot more like the Staff
- 8 | structure, but that is another example of why Staff
- 9 | structure doesn't work here because we are creating
- 10 | a baseload tariff.
- 11 | Q Does the stipulation allow any customer to stay
- 12 on the MKT Tariff?
- 13 | A No.
- 14 | 0 Does the stipulation allow any customer to stay
- 15 on the SIL Tariff?
- 16 A Yes. And I don't think we have any customers
- 17 on the MKT Tariff, by the way.
- 18 Q Your surrebuttal and your supplemental
- 19 testimony focus a good bit on the settlement
- 20 | agreement that was reached in Kansas, would you
- 21 | agree with that?
- 22 A Surrebuttal, yes.
- 23 | Q And the supplemental? The supplemental would
- 24 | be as to the stipulation?
- 25 A Right. The supplemental in this case was



- 1 designed to give the Commission -- to know what was
- 2 different between our filed case and what the
- 3 | stipulation and agreement was.
- 4 | Q And you couldn't tell me if the FAC is
- 5 | different between Kansas and Missouri?
- 6 A I don't know the answer to that question.
- 7 | Q There were a couple of industries that I noted
- 8 | were represented in that Kansas stipulation, and
- 9 more particularly, I'm thinking about Goodyear and
- 10 | I'm thinking about an aerospace entity that was a
- 11 | signatory to that Kansas stipulation.
- 12 Is that in line with your recollection and
- 13 | understanding?
- 14 A I believe that's correct.
- 15 Q Were there any automotive customers that are in
- 16 this room or in this docket in this case?
- 17 | A No.
- 18 Q Are there any aerospace customers?
- 19 | A No.
- 20 Q Do you recall in December 2024 or January 2025
- 21 | meeting with Staff and OPC regarding Evergy's
- 22 | proposed tariff filing?
- 23 | A Are you talking about one of the workshops that
- 24 | we held?
- 25 Q I don't know if I'd call it a workshop,



- 1 necessarily.
- 2 A Where we walked through our proposal with the
- 3 | parties?
- 4 | Q We had some informal meetings, yeah.
- 5 A That's correct, yes, we did.
- 6 | Q And did Staff provide a marked-up redline of
- 7 | the tariff with comments after that meeting?
- 8 A They did.
- 9 Q And did Staff provide a summary of the concerns
- 10 | that it had with the proposed writers?
- 11 | A In writing?
- 12 | Q In writing.
- 13 | A I believe that's correct.
- 14 Q Do you have a copy of your testimony in support
- 15 of the stipulation?
- 16 | A I do.
- 17 | Q A little quicker than I am with it there. I've
- 18 got a copy in front of me now, too.
- 19 May I please ask you to go to what looks to be
- 20 page 6?
- 21 A Sure.
- 22 | Q And I'm looking at lines 4 through 8, and the
- 23 | question is: When did the Company initiate
- 24 | settlement discussions. And you state that (as
- 25 | read,) We, the Company, initiated settlement



```
1
     discussions in earnest after rebuttal testimony was
 2
     filed and engaged through the course of multiple
 3
     settlement discussions.
 4
          All parties had an opportunity to participate
 5
     in settlement discussions either directly with the
 6
     Company or with the broad group of interveners at
 7
     various points.
 8
          Did I read that correctly?
 9
          You did.
     Δ
10
          How many total meetings where there between the
11
     time that rebuttal testimony was filed and the
12
     filing of the stipulation in this case?
13
          Total meetings?
     Α
14
          Total meetings.
     0
15
          Are you talking about settlement discussion
     Α
16
     meetings?
17
     0
          Yes.
18
          I can't answer that question.
                                          There were
19
     multiple, both individual and as a group.
20
               MS. WHIPPLE:
                              Can we -- I'm sorry.
                                                     What's
21
     a meeting? Can we get a little more specificity
2.2
     on -- on what your question is?
23
               MS. KLAUS:
                           A meeting, I guess it could be
2.4
     any group of people coming together to discuss
25
     settlement.
                  I can change the wording of my
```



- 1 question.
- 2 BY MS. KLAUS:
- 3 | Q How many settlement discussions did you have?
- 4 | A I, personally, had multiple discussions with
- 5 | all of the parties.
- 6 Q Who was invited to these discussions?
- 7 A Various parties were invited to various
- 8 | meetings. Some were one-on-one and some were as a
- 9 | group.
- 10 | Q Was Staff invited to any group discussion?
- 11 | A Yeah. I actually offered -- and, again, I -- I
- 12 | spoke to Staff before the formal settlement
- 13 | discussions on September 23rd.
- I spoke to them on September 19th and said,
- 15 | look, we would love to have you participate if you
- 16 | think that the fundamental difference that we have
- on our tariffs can be resolved. If not, there may
- 18 | not be entire value to do it, but we would love to
- 19 | sit down with you.
- 20 | I had subsequent discussions with other
- 21 individuals from Staff and made the same offer, that
- 22 | if we felt that there was places where we could have
- 23 | an agreement, we would love to sit down and talk to
- 24 them.
- 25 So they were absolutely invited to settlement



- 1 discussions.
- 2 Q Thank you for that. And I think you referenced
- 3 | two dates. I just want to make sure I got those
- 4 | clearly. You said September 19th and September
- 5 | 23rd, correct?
- 6 A September 19th was where -- my direct contact
- 7 | with Staff. September 23rd was the formal
- 8 | settlement negotiations, and September 23rd was also
- 9 the date that I spoke to some of Staff's lawyers and
- 10 | reiterated the offer to participate in settlement
- 11 discussions.
- 12 | Q And at that time, was the stipulation agreed to
- 13 | in principle?
- 14 | A No.
- 15 | Q When was the stipulation agreed to in
- 16 | principle?
- 17 A When it was filed.
- 18 MS. KLAUS: No further questions. Thank
- 19 | you for your time today, Mr. Gunn.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 21 | REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Ms. Klaus,
- 22 | if you would like administrative notice taken of
- 23 | this special high load factor market rate schedule,
- 24 | we need to -- you need to offer it, and we need to
- 25 | mark it.



1	MS. KLAUS: Thank you, Judge. Yes, I
2	would so request, and I'm trying to find our exhibit
3	list. I think we are at Staff Exhibit 208. Staff
4	so offers Exhibit 208, Evergy's schedule MKT special
5	high load factor market rate.
6	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there
7	any objections?
8	MS. WHIPPLE: None.
9	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Hearing
10	none, it will be admitted.
11	[Exhibit 208 admitted.]
12	MS. KLAUS: Thank you.
13	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: All right,
14	now we'll go to cross-examination well, maybe OPC
15	would like a turn. Office of Public Counsel, would
16	you like to go now or would you like to wait until
17	the end?
18	MR. CLIZER: I would like to cross.
19	THE REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: You want
20	to go now instead of waiting until the end?
21	MR. CLIZER: I think we are the end.
22	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Oh, yeah,
23	you are the end.
24	MR. CLIZER: That's okay.
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION



- 1 BY MR. CLIZER: 2 Good afternoon, Mr. Gunn. 3 Afternoon. Α 4 I have to say, I didn't have anything prepared, 5 but the first O and A that you got from Staff has 6 got me real curious. And I want to make sure I 7 heard you right because I think I -- I think I 8 misunderstood. 9 If the Commission sets Evergy's rates in a rate 10 case and then in subsequent rate case determines 11 that the Company over earned its ROE, is it your 12 position that the Commission can order revenues that were accumulated, that were recovered by the Company 13 14 between the rate cases returned as part of the 15 subsequent rate case? Object to the extent that 16 MS. WHIPPLE:
 - MS. WHIPPLE: Object to the extent that it's asked and answered and also to the extent that it asks for a legal conclusion.
- 19 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Overruled.
- 20 A It's my belief that the Commission can order 21 refunds in certain -- under certain conditions.
- 22 BY MR. CLIZER:

17

- Q And that's as part of a general rate
- 24 proceeding?
- 25 A I think as a general rate proceeding, I believe



1 they would have that authority. 2 I quess I didn't mishear. Okav. 3 MR. CLIZER: That was all my questions. 4 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there 5 any questions by the Commission? Chair Hahn? 6 COMMISSIONER EXAMINATION 7 BY CHAIR HAHN: 8 0 Good afternoon, Mr. Gunn. 9 Good afternoon. 10 I want to follow on the questioning from 11 Ms. Klaus. In the opening from Ms. Whipple and the 12 PowerPoint presentation, there is a bullet point 13 that says, Staff's tariff proposal, by not participating substantively in settlement 14 15 discussions, Staff is effectively forcing the 16 Commission to choose between Evergy's tariff and 17 Staff's proposed tariff. 18 Can you further elaborate on what is meant by 19 that bullet point? 20 Look, I think we came to the conclusion that 21 Staff's proposal is irreconcilable with ours. It's 2.2 not a pick-and-choose. They're just fundamentally 23 different approaches. 2.4 And because those -- they have fundamentally 25 different approaches, it's very difficult to

1	actually move and come to an agreement, almost
2	impossible.
3	I've described it as, kind of, like we're in
4	two different on two different playing fields,
5	and until we can come to an agreement that we were
6	on one single playing field, it was difficult to do
7	that.
8	But I disagree with OPC's opening where you
9	have the ability to pick and choose because these
10	all all these provisions work in concert.
11	All of the protections, all of the writers, all
12	of the all of the mechanisms that are involved
13	kind of work in concert.
14	And if you pull one out and push one in, it
15	it could cause almost fatal flaws in those in
16	those documents.
17	So we do believe that it really is a binary
18	choice between what Staff has proposed and what the
19	other parties through their global stipulation and
20	agreement have proposed.
21	Q Okay, thank you. Ms. Whipple brought it up in
22	opening, Staff, I think, has brought it up as has
23	OPC on the FAC.
24	Can you, please, talk about OPC proposes



changing the FAC potentially in this case.

1	Can you talk about that, if it could be changed
2	in this case, if it could not be. I'm sure there is
3	significant discussion about it. If you would just
4	kind of give me your thoughts.
5	A Sure. And I'll do it at a high level. I
6	think Brad Lutz would be another person to go
7	into more detail on this.
8	But we don't believe that you need to change
9	the FAC with this process because we are not carving
10	out LLPS of this, and we think there can be real
11	benefits to customers.
12	There are a lot of circumstances, I think, that
13	have to happen for the FAC to not operate the way
14	it's supposed to under this.
15	We believe that there are circumstances under
16	which, initially because you're projecting some of
17	the accumulation period, projected load, that you
18	actually could see a reduction in some of the rates
19	for existing customers at the beginning part of it.
20	But overall, because of the way that we've
21	incorporated the LLPS customers into the process, we
22	just fundamentally don't believe that we have to do
23	fundamental changes to the FAC.
24	Q Thank you. In Mr. Busch's rebuttal, he argues
25	that Evergy hasn't provided evidence of your large

load customer pipeline in detail.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Can you speak to what Evergy has or has not provided and why?

A Well, obviously, most of this is incredibly commercially sensitive information, both for Evergy as well as for the customers that are seeking service.

So we have tried through -- whether it's our earnings call where we're presenting this that are governed by SCC rules or whether it's other presentations or large load filings, we have tried to demonstrate, without specifically naming who the customers are, what our realistic pipeline is, what our realistic queue is.

And I think that that's why you see in the chart that I put on that we do place different levels of advancement how far those discussions are on so that we don't overestimate the potential customers that are coming but also not to underestimate that as well.

Do we believe that every single one of those projects is going to come to fruition? No, we do not.

But they are in our active queue, and so we are in the some sort of discussion with those customers.



So but we can't specify for, again,
commercially sensitive reasons or because we're
under nondisclosure agreements and other things that
would say specifically what customer, what their
name is, what their load ramp is, what size their
facility, where it's going to be located.
All of that and some of that is not

necessarily dependent on us. Some of that is dependent on negotiations that the customer might be having with the county or with other entities.

So we have tried in our -- to our earnest to demonstrate that this is real. We can't make false statements in our earnings. We can't make false statements to the SCC.

We tried to demonstrate that this is real, but we also recognize that there is -- not -- all of these projects are in various stages, and they're not all going to -- not all going to pan out.

Q Thank you. One of the items that Staff brought

up in their opening is that there's been a lack of transparency, which could have been, based on some of the testimony that was filed, and that Evergy does not agree that there should be a form service agreement.

But Ameren has provided an example service



2.2

2.4

1	agreement. There's been no examples from Evergy.
2	Can you expand on that as to why that's the case?
3	A We have a very a very diverse customer base
4	that would fall not only into this but other certain
5	tariffs that we have.
6	And I think that having a form does not grant
7	us the flexibility to deal with the customers and
8	their particular abilities as well as their and
9	capabilities.
10	So the having a form approved seems to
11	potentially hamper our ability to negotiate with
12	these clients and demonstrate them.
13	I would say that those ESAs are governed by the
13 14	I would say that those ESAs are governed by the four corners of the tariff. We cannot do something
	_
14	four corners of the tariff. We cannot do something
14 15	four corners of the tariff. We cannot do something in that ESA that is not allowed by the tariff or
14 15 16	four corners of the tariff. We cannot do something in that ESA that is not allowed by the tariff or isn't authorized by the tariff.
14 15 16 17	four corners of the tariff. We cannot do something in that ESA that is not allowed by the tariff or isn't authorized by the tariff. So to say that those ESAs can operate outside
14 15 16 17	four corners of the tariff. We cannot do something in that ESA that is not allowed by the tariff or isn't authorized by the tariff. So to say that those ESAs can operate outside of the tariff I don't think is an accurate
14 15 16 17 18	four corners of the tariff. We cannot do something in that ESA that is not allowed by the tariff or isn't authorized by the tariff. So to say that those ESAs can operate outside of the tariff I don't think is an accurate statement.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	four corners of the tariff. We cannot do something in that ESA that is not allowed by the tariff or isn't authorized by the tariff. So to say that those ESAs can operate outside of the tariff I don't think is an accurate statement. However, I mean, those ESAs can be provided to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	four corners of the tariff. We cannot do something in that ESA that is not allowed by the tariff or isn't authorized by the tariff. So to say that those ESAs can operate outside of the tariff I don't think is an accurate statement. However, I mean, those ESAs can be provided to the Commission, but I think it's important to



province of the utility to be able to manage as long

1	as it's covered by the four corners of the tariff,
2	which it would be.
3	Q Thank you. A large part of Staff's case today
4	and the testimony is a real concern over positive
5	regulatory lag, one direction regulatory lag.
6	I know that this was dealt with in Kansas in a
7	rate case that had an earning-sharing mechanism. It
8	was dealt with in a rate case and not in a tariff
9	case.
10	In your view, is that something that could be
11	implemented in an Evergy rate case in Missouri to
12	match something similar in Kansas to mitigate
13	Staff's concern on positive regulatory lag?
14	A I think the Commission would have the power to
15	order some sort of similar mechanism.
16	I would remind the Commission that the
17	mechanism in Kansas assumed that you are earning
18	your authorized ROE, and I think that that's not the
19	environment that we're in right now. And so I think
20	that's something to keep in mind.
21	But the Commission would absolutely have the
22	authority in a general rate case, and I think that
23	would be the appropriate place to do it, to deal
24	with the mechanism, if it so chose to do that.

Q

25

Okay. One other item relating to Kansas, the

Kansas stip and agreement had a collateral change
where collateral could be reduced at five years. In
this stip and agreement, it's three. Why? Why the
difference?
7 T think that there there is is that there

A I think what that three years is, is that there would be no collateral reduction after three years.

I think for certain customers, we would review that. I think it says we reviewed it on an annual basis after that three years to determine whether a collateral reduction is necessary.

The size and scope of some of these projects and if they have good payment history for three years, and I think the stipulation and agreement also has certain metrics that we would take into account.

We're not obligated to do that, but we thought that, especially with certain customers that have demonstrated credit worthiness, that have fulfilled all of the requirements, that that three years was enough for us to be comfortable, that we would be able to take a good look at it. That would give us enough history to be able to start taking a look at it on a going-forward basis on an annual basis.

I don't know that there's a lot of magic between three and five, and I think that collateral



2.2

2.4

- 1 | reduction would be customer specific, especially
- 2 | those customers that have demonstrated high credit
- 3 | worthiness, good payment history, you know, already
- 4 demonstrated examples of fulfilling their
- 5 obligations.
- 6 | Q Yeah. It just seems like there is a lot of
- 7 parity between the two proposals. And for that
- 8 | sake, I was curious if there was a particular reason
- 9 | why collateral could be reduced after three years in
- 10 Missouri compared to five years in Kansas.
- 11 | A I would tell you that it was a negotiated
- 12 | settlement and some of the parties felt it was
- 13 | appropriate, and we felt like it was fine to move to
- 14 that.
- 15 | Q Sorry, I have more. I have more, but I have to
- 16 | find my notes.
- 17 A No problem.
- 18 Q On the writers and the stip and agreement --
- 19 I'm trying to think through the Green Solution
- 20 | Connection's Program, which is on page 19 of the
- 21 | stipulation.
- 22 A I'm looking at it now.
- 23 Q I'm kind of confused about how the program or
- 24 | the writer would operate because it says it gives
- 25 | subscribers an opportunity to subscribe to future



1	renewable energy attributes associated with new
2	company-owned wind or solar acquired through the IRP
3	process that are not needed to meet renewable
4	compliance targets or requirements.
5	Can you talk me through the aim of that
6	program? I assume it's based on corporate goals and
7	if subscribers would pay for the entire costs of
8	those projects.
9	A I'll answer what I can. Mr. Lutz might be a
10	better person to walk you through this, but I
11	believe what this allows to do is corporate
12	policies, if they wanted to give them the
13	flexibility to meet their corporate sustainability
14	goals, not mandates or anything.
15	So if we are not using them to fulfill whatever
16	requirements we have in the state of Missouri, we
17	can future sell those attributes to those companies.
18	They could purchase them and use them to fulfill
19	their corporate sustainability goals. But that
20	would be subject to check with Mr. Lutz.
21	Q Okay, I might ask him. Thank you. On the
22	subject of EDR, it's been brought up, I think, by
23	both Staff and OPC that if you're eligible for LLPS,
24	you should not be eligible for EDR.

My reading of EDR is that you are eligible.

It's an automatic under statute and that you are trying to reconcile that with the LLPS and trying to then -- there's an additional writer that was added to try to make up the difference if you're not covering your full cost of service.

Is that however Evergy reads it? Is there any way using an EDR and LLPS that the large load customer would not cover their full cost of service?

A So that was, actually, our reading. We believe that EDR is a mandatory statute, and even though there are some qualifiers that otherwise -- we would be forced to offer that to an otherwise eligible company. So we agree with that reading.

We believe that because of the way that the mechanism and the demand charge is set up, that that will get that 120 percent threshold, which means the EDR would not be available.

So -- but the -- but the writer is designed if that threshold was not met, that we would be able to make up that and so that that customer would not get the benefit of that EDR discount. That absolutely was the design of that.

Q Thank you. I'm looking through my list, and I think it may start with other witnesses, other Evergy witnesses.



2.2

2.4

There were certain studies that OPC proposed on harmonic distortion, water usage and power usage effectiveness.

Can you talk me through Evergy's position on conducting those studies if they would be well conducted here or through the environmental regulator or what your position is on those particular studies.

A So, fundamentally, that's not information that we can provide. It's more of customer facing. And that -- for part of that reason, we don't think it's appropriate for it to be contained in the tariff.

There are a lot of reporting that these customers do do. I think it was mentioned in the opening.

And we think that much of the information that OPC is looking for can be provided through reports that are already provided by the customers, and we certainly encourage the customer -- customers and OPC to work through those. I just -- we just don't think that it's appropriate for the tariffs.

The harmonics issue, there is some question about whether or not data centers really -- there's some dispute out there in the engineering world, but I'm not an electrical engineer, about whether data

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	centers are actually the cause of those voltage
2	irregularities.
3	But to from our extent, we would be required
4	to follow the power quality SPP interconnection
5	rules that we think take care of that.
6	If the parties agree that studies should be
7	done, it could be outside of this tariff and could
8	be done on a voluntary basis or through another
9	agreement.
10	But fundamentally, they're not really
11	company we don't have the ability to talk about
12	water usage, for example. We don't regulate it. We
13	don't provide it. We don't have the ability to do
14	that.
15	So I mean, we're happy to discuss what aspects
16	that we can provide, but, again, we think it's not
17	as appropriate to put in the tariff moving forward.
18	Q One of OPC's other requests was a
19	preconstruction analysis and postconstruction
20	reporting metrics, I'm assuming of large load power
21	service customers.
22	Can you tell is that something that could be
23	included in the annual report that is part of the
24	stipulation and agreement?
25	A I think the annual reports part of the



1	reason we wrote in the stipulation and agreement
2	that the annual reports would be negotiated is so we
3	could work out some of those issues. Again, that
4	would be a negotiated report that we would put in.
5	We included the two, kind of, mandatory items
6	that we have control over, and which was the
7	number of customers and I think one other provision
8	that we would be able to provide.
9	But part of the reason we wrote it that way was
10	to allow the parties to figure out what we thought
11	would be helpful, what was already being reported.
12	We didn't want to duplicate reports and what
13	could be contained in those annual reports. But I
14	think those are negotiable among the parties of the
15	stakeholders when if the Commission were to order
16	that as part of the tariff.
17	Q Okay. I think that's all I have. Thank you.
18	A Thanks.
19	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Commissioner
20	Coleman?
21	COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: Thank you, just
22	one.
23	COMMISSIONER EXAMINATION
24	BY COMMISSIONER COLEMAN:
25	Q I don't think I I don't recall the Chair



talking about this, but one of the things that OPC brought up was the \$200,000 deposit and that Evergy wants to waive the fee.

And one of the comments, if I remember, OPC stated was that with the amount, I'm paraphrasing here, with the amount of dollars coming into on what would be a result of perspective of LLPS customers that this amount seems pretty low.

I wanted to get a perspective from Evergy about that deposit amount.

A Sure. First of all, we did, kind of, a survey among other utilities. And we had initially thought it was lower and that perspective was, from the other utilities, were like, no, it needs to be higher.

And it's not just 200,000. We can actually request more if those costs go up. So if we use up that \$200,000 and we continue to use it, we reserve the right to, again, go back and charge them -- charge them more for that. So it's not just 200 and you're done if it goes over that.

We also have, quite frankly, a refund provision that if, for whatever reason, you decide to drop out of that project, we can refund that money.

The waiver provision really is designed to give



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	us the flexibility if there is a particular
2	community-interest project that comes in.
3	If, for example, the state were to come to us
4	and say, we are in a competitive process and we want
5	to see if you can and this is would be is
6	just extra money that makes our program not as
7	competitive as it would be.
8	So it's not designed just as, kind of, a, hey,
9	we don't want your money. Because that money helps
10	offsets the cost for the studies that we're
11	providing and offset Evergy labor.
12	It's really designed for those community
13	interest projects that there may be special
14	circumstances, whether it's speed, competitiveness
15	or a particular project that that would somehow be
16	an impediment that we would be able to waive it
17	under those conditions.
18	But and we can get you the information, but
19	I think that almost everyone in the queue has paid
20	that deposit.
21	COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: Thank you, Judge.
22	That's all.
23	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. We'll
24	go to recross.
25	MS. HANSEN: Just one moment, please,



1 Judae. Thank you. No recross. 2 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Office of 3 Public Counsel, do you have recross? 4 MR. CLIZER: Just briefly. 5 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. CLIZER: 7 In part of the discussion with the Chair, you 8 had talked about whether or not the project 9 analysis, the reporting, is something that could be 10 provided. 11 And I believe that your response was 12 effectively that the Company cannot provide that. 13 Do you recall that discussion? 14 Yes, I do. Α 15 Okay. You would agree with me that the tariff 16 requires several things from the perspective LLPS 17 customer, correct? 18 Α Sure. 19 For example, providing collateral, right? 20 Α Yes. 21 It would be entirely reasonable for the tariff 2.2 to say as a requirement of receiving service you 23 must provide a study if the Commission found such a 2.4 study was worth while, wouldn't you agree? 25 I would agree with one clarification, I think

```
1
     there's a lot of information out there that is
 2
     already being provided in the manner of which is
 3
     being provided that people are comfortable with.
 4
          So I think that that's part of the reason why
 5
     you have the ability to negotiate that in order not
 6
     to duplicate and in order to make sure that it's all
 7
     done correctly.
 8
          If the Commission orders us to do that,
 9
     obviously, and puts it in a tariff, obviously, we
10
     would comply with that.
11
          If it's already out there, what's the risk of
12
     just allowing it to be put in front of the
13
     Commission so people won't have to go looking for
14
     it?
15
          It -- that's -- it's not my issue, John.
     Α
16
     It's -- the customers have commercial sensitivity
17
              There are -- there are -- I don't know all
18
     the reasons.
19
          What I do know is that it's not -- it's not the
20
     Company's issues. It's the customer's issues that
21
     we have to be respectful for.
2.2
          Well, I mean, you just said it's all publicly
23
     available.
                 That's part of the reason why --
2.4
     Α
          No, I didn't. What I said is there were
25
     certain -- there may be certain publicly available
```



1	reports and information that they provide already
2	that may be a portion of that, and we don't want to
3	duplicate that.
4	I did not say that all the information was
5	publicly available or that all the information was
6	not or that some of the information was not
7	commercially sensitive, which it could be.
8	Q And they could file that in front of the
9	Commission under confidentiality, correct?
10	A That's a potential possible outcome.
11	Q So if there's information out there that isn't
12	publicly available, it would make sense for the
13	Commission to potentially require that to be
14	provided as part of the application process, subject
15	to confidentiality?
16	A The Commission can always order that in a
17	tariff.
18	Q All right. Let's move on. Regarding the
19	economic development writer, I believe there was an
20	exhibit marked previously you know what, actually
21	I'm going to skip past that for just a moment. I
22	want to go back to the FAC.
23	In the discussions that you had with the Chair
24	regarding the FAC, I believe that you sort of

expressed Evergy's opinion that you don't think the

1 FAC needs to be changed. Do you recall that 2 discussion? 3 I do. Α And I understand that that's your position. 4 5 assume that you're at least familiar with the 6 position put forward by OPC Witness Ms. Mantle? 7 Α I am. 8 Right. And, again, her position, effectively is that it should be changed for the reasons that 9 10 she lays out in that. You agree with me on that? 11 I agree that's her position, yes. 12 Of course. My question, really, is would 13 Evergy be willing to consider -- I mean, you testified, effectively, that you don't think it 14 15 needs to. Would you be willing to consider changing the 16 17 FAC in accordance with what the OPC has suggested? 18 I don't know the answer to that question. Α 19 All right. Fair enough. One last second. Ι 20 don't have any further questions. Thank you. 21 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Your 2.2 stipulation allows for recross from the parties. 23 I will call them, and if you have recross. Ameren? 2.4 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: 25 questions. She gets to redirect.

MS. WHIPPLE: I think all of the
stipulating parties have waived any kind of cross.
Do you mean in response to bench questions, Your
Honor?
REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I do.
MS. WHIPPLE: Okay.
REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Is there any
redirect? Do you have any redirect?
MS. WHIPPLE: Yes.
REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: All right.
Ameren doesn't have anything. Google? Data Center
Coalition?
MR. VIJAYKAR: No no recross from DCC.
Thank you, Your Honor.
REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Velvet Tech
Services? Sierra Club?
MS. RUBENSTEIN: Not from Sierra Club.
Thank you.
REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Renew
Missouri? Evergy?
MS. WHIPPLE: Thank you.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. WHIPPLE:
Q Mr. Gunn, do you recall a conversation, I think
with the Chair and also with OPC, about



- 1 | project-level detail and what can and what has not
- 2 | been provided?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Is this a question for you or maybe for Jason
- 5 | Klindt, are you aware that -- whether the Company
- 6 | provided a DR response in this case with more
- 7 | project-level detail than the SCC earnings call
- 8 | information?
- 9 A That's probably a question for Jason but would
- 10 | not be surprised.
- 11 | Q You also had a conversation with the Chair
- 12 about the Kansas sharing mechanism. Do you recall
- 13 | that?
- 14 | A I do.
- 15 | Q And was that sharing mechanism part of a
- 16 | negotiated settlement with the parties in the KCC
- 17 | proceeding?
- 18 A It was.
- 19 Q And it's not currently a KCC order, is it?
- 20 A Separate and apart from the negotiated
- 21 | settlement?
- 22 Q That's right.
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 0 But that's on the docket, isn't it, for it to
- 25 become ordered, isn't it?



1 Well, KCC -- I could be wrong. Α Subject to 2 (indiscernible). I thought the KCC approved the 3 rate case settlement last week, but I could be 4 incorrect on that. 5 In conversations about the FAC, do you 6 know if there's a statutory provision that may limit the ability to change the FAC mechanism outside of a 7 8 general rate case? Again, I would -- Mr. Lutz is 9 There might be. 10 much more familiar with the mechanics of the FAC 11 than I am. 12 And if there is such a statutory restriction, 13 then it can't be changed in this tariff proceeding? 14 MR. CLIZER: I'm going to object on the 15 basis that he just said it should go to a different 16 witness. 17 Well, I didn't finish my MS. WHIPPLE: 18 question. 19 BY MS. WHIPPLE: 20 If it was -- if there was such a restriction, 21 would it be your understanding that it couldn't be 2.2 changed in this proceeding? 23 MR. CLIZER: Objection still stands. 2.4 literally just testified this should be asked to a

different witness.

1 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I'm going to 2 overrule it. He can give his opinion. 3 Α I agree. 4 BY MS. WHIPPLE: 5 There was -- there were several questions from 6 Staff regarding settlement discussions among the 7 parties in this case while protecting settlement 8 privilege. Do you still stand behind the sentence on 9 10 page 6 of your Exhibit 106 testimony (as read,) All 11 parties had an opportunity to participate in 12 settlement discussions either directly with the 13 Company or with the broad group of interveners at 14 various points? 15 Let me say that part of my job is to reach out Α to the stakeholders in various proceedings in front 16 17 of the Missouri Commission and try to reach a 18 consensus. 19 And I certainly did that in this case to each 20 one of the parties separately, definitely 21 separately, and at different stages. But, yes. 2.2 And, of course, that would have included Staff 2.3 and OPC? 2.4 Α It absolutely did. 25 You also had some questions about a statement

1 in my opening presentation. 2 Do you recall that I said: If the Company 3 earns revenue above this offset, the Commission's 4 traditional ratemaking processes will still apply, 5 required Evergy to reimburse customers in the event 6 it exceeds its authorized rate of return? 7 Α Yes. 8 Now, traditional ratemaking processes, are you 9 aware that that could include the Company filing 10 surveillance reports to evaluate over earnings? 11 Α Yes. 12 And could other parties file an over-earnings 13 complaint if there was a surveillance report 14 indicating an over earnings? 15 Α Absolutely. So in response to questions you received from 16 17 OPC and Staff, you certainly weren't advocating for 18 any retroactive ratemaking or the like, were you? 19 No. Α 20 Yeah, I'm going to object MR. CLIZER: 21 I believe he's attempting -- I believe the again. 2.2 witness is actually being solicited to change their 23 testimony at this point. They said very clearly 2.4 what they said on the record.



Sustained.

25

REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER:

1	MS. WHIPPLE: I'm what is the
2	objection?
3	MR. CLIZER: You're soliciting that he
4	change his testimony at this point.
5	MS. WHIPPLE: I disagree, Your Honor. Oh,
6	leading? All right.
7	BY MS. WHIPPLE:
8	Q Do you advocate for retroactive ratemaking, Mr.
9	Gunn?
10	A No.
11	MADAM COURT REPORTER: I just want to say
12	real quick, I think the Judge's mic is off. I
13	think
14	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Sorry.
15	MADAM COURT REPORTER: Okay, thank you. I
16	think you said sustained and then
17	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I said
18	sustained and then I said leading.
19	MADAM COURT REPORTER: Okay, thank you.
20	You can go ahead.
21	MS. WHIPPLE: Did the court reporter hear
22	the answer to the last question?
23	MADAM COURT REPORTER: I think you were
24	let's see, I think you were in the middle of your
25	question. He can go ahead and answer again if you



1	would.
2	BY MS. WHIPPLE:
3	Q Mr. Gunn, do you advocate for retroactive
4	ratemaking?
5	A No.
6	Q Thank you.
7	MS. WHIPPLE: No further questions at this
8	time.
9	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Does any
10	party have an objection to Mr. Gunn being excused?
11	Mr. Gunn, you may be excused.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I have on my
14	list the next witness is Jason Klindt who is
15	adopting the testimony of Jeff Martin.
16	Will you raise your right hand? Do you
17	promise to tell the truth, nothing but the truth so
18	help you God?
19	THE WITNESS: So help me God.
20	MR. JASON KLINDT,
21	THE WITNESS HEREINBEFORE NAMED, having
22	been first duly cautioned and sworn to tell the
23	truth testified as follows, to-wit:
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	



- 1 BY MR. BAILEY:
- 2 Q Please state your name.
- 3 A Jason Klindt, K-L-I-N-D-T.
- 4 | Q By whom are you employed?
- 5 A Evergy.
- 6 Q What is your position there?
- 7 A I'm the senior director of external affairs.
- 8 | Q Are you adopting Jeff Martin's direct testimony
- 9 in this case on behalf, excuse me, of Evergy
- 10 Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West, which has
- 11 | been marked as Exhibit 102?
- 12 | A I am, and I would personally like to thank Jeff
- 13 | Martin for this opportunity.
- 14 Q Do you have any corrections to the adopted
- 15 | direct testimony?
- 16 A No.
- 17 | Q If I were to ask you these questions, would
- 18 | your answers be the same set forth in Exhibit 102?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 MR. BAILEY: Your Honor, I move to admit
- 21 | Exhibit 102, the direct testimony of Jeff Martin.
- 22 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: So -- are
- 23 | there any objections? Hearing none, the testimony
- 24 | will be admitted.
- 25 | [Exhibit 102 admitted.]



1	MR. BAILEY: Your Honor, I tender the
2	witness for cross-examination.
3	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you.
4	Any cross-examination? Staff?
5	MR. PRINGLE: And for the record, I don't
6	think I spelled my name yet for the court reporter,
7	but this is Travis Pringle, T-R-A-V-I-S,
8	P-R-I-N-G-L-E, on behalf of Staff, and Staff has no
9	questions for Mr. Klindt at this time. Thank you,
10	Judge.
11	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Office of
12	Public Counsel?
13	MR. CLIZER: No questions. Thank you.
14	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there
15	any questions from the Commissioners? Chair Hahn?
16	COMMISSIONER EXAMINATION
17	BY CHAIR HAHN:
18	Q Good afternoon.
19	A Good afternoon, Chair.
20	Q I think you might have been in the room earlier
21	when I asked Mr. Gunn about transparency around
22	economic development projects. I think there was
23	also discussion that you potentially have provided a
24	response in a DR to Staff about potential projects
25	or queue.



1	Can you talk about that DR and what you
2	provided to Staff?
3	A Absolutely. Yeah, what I provided was a list
4	of all the projects that we had received at Evergy
5	and where they were, at current status what they're
6	load ramp was, and I think I even provided what the
7	jurisdiction that they were located in by county.
8	We did the project rather than provide the
9	economic development project name, we just gave it a
LO	project number because that name can some times be
L1	out in the public and can jeopardize a project. So
L2	we took the extra step of calling it Project 1
L3	through whatever it was.
L4	So we did provide that information that it
L5	was exactly what we have, which includes the load,
L6	the jurisdiction, the year that they're starting and
L7	what their ramp looks like.
L8	Q Okay. And I'm assuming that's not on the
L9	record. So I wouldn't have the ability to access
20	that, or do I?
21	MR. HIATT: Your Honor, we can provide
22	that
23	CHAIR HAHN: Thank you.
24	MR. HIATT: during the break.
25	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I'm not sure



1	who said that.
2	MR. HIATT: Oh, my name is Chandler Hiatt,
3	C-H-A-N-D-L-E-R, last name H-I-A-T-T. Thank you.
4	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you.
5	BY CHAIR HAHN:
6	Q Do you think that that request, in using those
7	more confidential, you know, numbers instead of
8	project names, is that something that could be
9	incorporated into your company's annual report?
LO	A I would assume that it is something that could
L1	be. I mean, would need some definition around what
L2	you're you know, exactly what looking for. But,
L3	yes, it's something that we can make out if that's
L4	the Commission's will.
L5	Q Thank you.
L6	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Mr. Hiatt,
L7	in order for the Commission to consider this
L8	evidence, it must be authenticated by a witness and
L9	offered, just a note.
20	Are there any more Commission questions?
21	Okay, hearing none, okay, we will are there any
22	cross-examination questions on the basis of
23	Commissioner questions? Ameren Missouri?
24	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: No
25	questions.



1 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Google? 2 No questions, thank you. MR. SCHULTE: 3 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: The Data 4 Center Coalition? 5 MR. VIJAYKAR: No questions. Thank you. 6 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Velvet Tech 7 Services? Sierra Club? 8 MS. RUBENSTEIN: No questions. Thank you. 9 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Staff of the Missouri Public Service 10 Missouri? 11 Commission? Yes, Judge. 12 Thank you. MR. PRINGLE: 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. PRINGLE: Good afternoon, Mr. Klindt. 15 0 Good afternoon. 16 Α 17 And do you recall discussing a potential DR 18 response with Chair Hahn earlier? 19 Α Moments ago, yes. 20 And I just want to know, have you had the 21 chance to review the testimony of Staff Witness 2.2 James Busch? 23 No. Α 2.4 Do you -- does the DR Number 92 sound about 25 right to the DR that you were discussing with Chair



1 Hahn? 2 I would love to tell you that my memories is 3 that good. But I just swore that I would tell the 4 whole truth, and it's not that good. 5 I'll try to get around because it is a 6 confidential DR. The substance of that response did 7 have to do with large load project ramp details, 8 correct? 9 What I provided was, essential, an Excel document that randomized -- that had the numbers 10 11 Project 1, Project 2. It showed load ramp. 12 showed jurisdiction. Whether that's DR 92 or --13 otherwise, I could not tell you. 14 That is what I'm looking at with Appendix 2, 15 Schedule 5 to James Busch's confidential testimony. So think -- I think you've confirmed it for me, sir. 16 17 Thank you. Α Thank you. 18 Q 19 And, sorry, I forgot about MR. PRINGLE: 20 that part. No further questions, Judge. 21 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Office of 2.2 Public Counsel? 23 I have no questions. MR. CLIZER: 2.4 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Redirect by 25 Everay?



1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. HIATT:
3	Q Mr. Klindt, in response to DR 92, did you sign
4	a verification?
5	A I don't remember.
6	Q Would you be able to provide written
7	authentication of the DR in a later response?
8	A Yes.
9	MR. HIATT: No further questions, Your
LO	Honor. Thank you.
L1	MR. CLIZER: Can I just is the
L2	testimony that the DR in question is attached to Mr.
L3	Busch's testimony? Is that
L4	MR. HIATT: Yes. The DR, I believe, that
L5	Chair Hahn and Mr. Klindt were discussing is an
L6	appendix under Mr. Busch's testimony.
L7	MR. CLIZER: Can I solicit that the
L8	parties might later be able to confirm that, and if
L9	necessary, that would relieve the need to recall
20	Mr. Klindt and submit the evidence? I mean, if it's
21	already in the record, I think that most parties
22	would be okay with just leaving that be.
23	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Is that fine
24	with Evergy since they are the one that wants to
25	produce the document?



1	MR. HIATT: Yes, that is fine, Your Honor.
2	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: All right,
3	we'll do that. Is there any are there any
4	objections to Mr. Klindt being excused? Hearing
5	none, you may be excused. The next witness on the
6	list is Derek Brown.
7	MR. BAILEY: The Company calls Derek
8	Brown. For the court reporter, my name is Cole
9	Bailey, C-O-L-E, B-A-I-L-E-Y.
10	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Direct
11	examination well, let's swear Mr. Brown in. I
12	mean, he looks pretty honest, but do you promise
13	to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
14	the truth so help you God?
15	THE WITNESS: I do.
16	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Evergy, you
17	may proceed.
18	MR. BAILEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	DEREK BROWN,
20	THE WITNESS HEREINBEFORE NAMED, having
21	been first duly cautioned and sworn to tell the
22	truth testified as follows, to-wit:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. BAILEY:
25	Q Mr. Brown, can you, please, state your name and



- 1 position?
- 2 A Yes. It's Derek Brown, D-E-R-E-K, B-R-O-W-N.
- 3 | I'm the director of large customer strategy and
- 4 | planning at Evergy.
- 5 | Q And are you the same Derek Brown the caused to
- 6 | be filed 14 pages of surrebuttal testimony on
- 7 | September 12th?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And if I were to ask you those same questions
- 10 | today, would your answers be the same or
- 11 | substantially the same?
- 12 | A Yes.
- 13 MR. BAILEY: I tender the witness for
- 14 cross-examination, Your Honor.
- 15 | REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: All right.
- 16 | Staff, do you have any cross-examination?
- 17 MR. BAILEY: Your Honor, I want to offer
- 18 up the testimony four Exhibit 103, the surrebuttal
- 19 of Derek Brown.
- 20 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there
- 21 | any objections to the admission of Mr. Brown's
- 22 | testimony? Hearing none, Exhibit 103 will be
- 23 | admitted.
- 24 | [Exhibit 103 admitted.]
- 25 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Mr. Pringle,



- 1 | you may proceed.
- 2 MR. PRINGLE: Thank you, Judge.
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MR. PRINGLE:
- 5 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Brown.
- 6 A Good afternoon.
- 7 | Q Do you have a copy of your surrebuttal up there
- 8 | with you? I think it's Exhibit 103?
- 9 | A I do.
- 10 | O Could you, please, turn to page 2, lines 12 to
- 11 | 14. Let me know when you're there.
- 12 | A Yes.
- 13 | Q And do you see where you state you are familiar
- 14 | with the tariff requirements of the, quote, National
- 15 | Energy Reliability Corporation, NERC, end quote?
- 16 A Yes. That's correct.
- 17 Q And just to clarify, is that reference of NERC,
- 18 | is that the same as the North American Electric
- 19 | Reliability Corporation?
- 20 A Yes. That's correct.
- 21 | O And you are familiar with the North American
- 22 | Electric Reliability Corporation?
- 23 | A That's correct.
- 24 | Q Are you aware of the North American Electrical
- 25 | Reliability Corporation, or NERC, disseminating



- 1 information to the industry through e-mail based
- 2 | NERC alerts?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 | Q And certain situations, NERC requires
- 5 | acknowledgment of receipt of its alerts, correct?
- 6 A That's my understanding, yes.
- 7 | Q Is Evergy, Inc., a registered entity with NERC?
- 8 A That's my understanding, yes, we are.
- 9 0 And do you understand that registered entities
- 10 | are registered with NERC under specific functions?
- 11 | A That's correct.
- 12 | Q Is Evergy, Inc., registered with NERC as a
- 13 transmission owner, transmission operator,
- 14 | transmission planner and resource planner among
- 15 other functions?
- 16 A I'm aware, yes, of several of those that you
- 17 | listed.
- 18 Q All right, thank you, Mr. Brown.
- 19 MR. PRINGLE: Judge, at this time, I'd
- 20 | like to mark a Staff exhibit. I quess this would be
- 21 | Staff Exhibit 209 and ask the witness a couple of
- 22 questions about it.
- 23 My colleague, Ms. Klaus, has copies for
- 24 the room. This is the North American Electric
- 25 | Reliability Corporation's industry recommendation,



1	large load interconnection study, commissioning and
2	operations. And the initial distribution date on
3	this exhibit is September 9th, 2025.
4	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. This
5	exhibit will be marked 209. Are there any
6	objections to its admission? Hearing none, the
7	exhibit will be admitted.
8	[Exhibit 209 admitted.]
9	MR. BAILEY: I'm going to object, Your
10	Honor. We need to for this to be on, he our
11	witness has never seen this or read it. It appears
12	to be 15 pages, 16 pages. He would need to lay a
13	foundation.
14	MR. PRINGLE: As the witness has
15	previously said, he is familiar with NERC, the
16	functions of NERC and the alerts that go out via
17	NERC.
18	This ties in with all of that. I believe
19	the foundation has been laid, Judge, and also
20	well, permission to approach the witness to hand him
21	a copy of this as well.
22	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Please do.
23	And while you're at it, why don't you ask him if
24	he's read it.



- 1 BY MR. PRINGLE:
- 2 | Q And Mr. Brown, have you seen this NERC alert
- 3 | before?
- 4 A I saw that it was published, if you're
- 5 | referring to this industry recommendation.
- 6 Q Yes.
- 7 A And however, I've not read it in detail.
- 8 Q All right. Well, really the emphasis that I'm
- 9 | looking at will have to do with page 1 and page 8.
- 10 | If you'd like to take a moment to review those
- 11 | pages, I'm happy to wait.
- 12 | REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I'm going to
- 13 | hold the admission of the exhibit until a proper
- 14 | foundation has been laid for it. It doesn't appear
- 15 | this witness can do that.
- 16 BY MR. PRINGLE:
- 17 Q Well, Mr. Brown, you are aware of the North
- 18 | American Electric Reliability Corporation, correct?
- 19 A That's correct. However, I haven't read this.
- 20 | Q Have you had any interaction with NERC alerts
- 21 | in the past?
- 22 A In the past, yes, but not on this particular
- 23 | topic.
- 24 | Q So what is the purpose of a NERC alert?
- 25 A Generally speaking, it is when -- and, again,



- 1 this is summarizing. My own experience is that it's
- 2 | when there's a sufficient level of cause to -- for
- 3 | NERC to reach out to particular registered entities
- 4 to take some kind of action. I mean, that's broadly
- 5 | speaking what it's used for.
- 6 Q And if a registered entity receives a NERC
- 7 | alert, do they have to respond to it?
- 8 A My understanding is, generally, yes.
- 9 O So if this is a NERC alert and Evergy is a
- 10 registered entity with NERC, this is an alert that
- 11 | Evergy would have to respond to?
- 12 A That is correct.
- 13 | Q And what role do you play in guiding Evergy's
- 14 | response to NERC alerts?
- 15 A So depending on the topic, broadly speaking, if
- 16 | I am a subject-matter expert in the particular topic
- 17 of the NERC alert, then I will help guide or
- 18 | facilitate where to get the information, if it is an
- 19 | information request, in order to submit it to NERC.
- 20 Q And your testimony in this matter was providing
- 21 | support for Evergy's large load power service
- 22 | tariffs?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 | Q And, again, this study is titled: Large Load
- 25 Interconnection Study Commissioning and Operations,"



1	correct?
2	A That is what I see the title is, yes.
3	MR. PRINGLE: Well, Judge, I'd move, once
4	again, to enter this exhibit on the record.
5	MR. BAILEY: I'm going to object renew
6	the objection. No foundation.
7	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I agree with
8	the objection.
9	Do you have a witness later that you can
10	admit this document, who can authenticate it?
11	MR. PRINGLE: We have Staff witnesses who
12	have reviewed this document as well due to the NERC
13	alerts going out to well, Staff also receives
14	these alerts and reviews them.
15	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. You
16	may ask questions about this, and we'll admit it as
17	an exhibit when you produce a witness who has seen
18	it and is familiar with it.
19	MR. PRINGLE: All right. Thank you very
20	much, Judge.
21	BY MR. PRINGLE:
22	Q All right, so Mr. Brown, looking at page 1 of
23	this alert, and I'm looking at the second full
24	paragraph in red. Do you see that?



Yes.

Α

25

```
1
          And am I correct that this states that (as
     0
 2
     read,) NERC --
 3
                            Objection, Your Honor.
               MR. BAILEY:
 4
     you say he could ask my witness these questions
 5
     before authenticating it?
 6
               REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER:
                                              Absolutely.
 7
               MR. BAILEY:
                            Okay.
 8
     BY MR. PRINGLE:
 9
          It states that (as read,) NERC regional
10
     entities and NERC registered entities have analyzed
11
     a series of disturbances that occurred on the bulk
12
     power system, I have it shortened as BTS, resulting
13
     in widespread and unexpected customer initiated load
14
     reduction of large loads. Did I read that
15
     correctly?
16
          Yes.
     Α
17
          And that it further states disturbances --
18
     first, it further states, quote, disturbances
19
     involved multiple events during which 1,000-plus
20
     megawatts of unexpected large loads output reduction
21
     occurred and the increase of large load related
2.2
     events coincide with an increase in large load
23
     penetration across the bulk power system. Did I
2.4
     read that correctly?
25
     Α
          Yes.
```



- 1 Q And further on this page, now I'm looking at
- 2 | the third paragraph in red, it also states (as
- 3 | read,) To better understand the reliability impacts
- 4 of emerging large loads on the BPS, NERC established
- 5 | the large loads task force on August 2024. And in
- 6 July 2025, NERC published a white paper titled
- 7 | "Characteristics and Risks of Emerging Large Loads."
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 | A I do.
- 10 | 0 Would you agree this alert includes certain
- 11 | recommendations for NERC players based on their
- 12 | functions?
- 13 A Yeah, I haven't seen the details. So I don't
- 14 | know if I can confirm that without looking at it.
- 15 Q Would it be common practice for such alerts to
- 16 | describe multiple functions?
- 17 A Elaborate on multiple functions again.
- 18 Q For example, we'll go ahead and discuss the
- 19 functions as a transmission owner or resource
- 20 | planner, et cetera?
- 21 A Yes. So, yeah, as far as the NERC registered
- 22 | entity aspect, yes.
- 23 | Q All right. And now I'm looking at page 8 of
- 24 | this document. And just let me know when you're
- 25 there.



- 1 A I'm there.
- 2 | Q And do you see how it says, reporting
- 3 | instructions?
- $4 \mid A \quad \text{Yes.}$
- 5 | Q And I think earlier we did discuss -- I think
- 6 | you said you were aware of this alert when it was
- 7 | issued on September 9th, correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 | Q And has Evergy responded to this alert yet?
- 10 A To my knowledge, we have not.
- 11 | Q Would Evergy be willing to provide it's
- 12 | responses to this alert to the Commission?
- 13 | A Subject to it being relevant to this proceeding
- 14 and so ordered, I would think so.
- 15 Q All right. Well, Mr. Brown, that is all I have
- 16 | for you on this document. Thank you, sir.
- 17 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Do you have
- 18 | any further questions, Mr. Pringle?
- 19 MR. PRINGLE: Nothing further from Staff
- 20 | this time, Judge Walker. Thank you for your time,
- 21 Mr. Brown.
- 22 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: The Office
- 23 | of Public Counsel?
- MR. CLIZER: No questions. Thank you.
- 25 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Questions



1	from the Commissioners? Chair Hahn?
2	COMMISSIONER EXAMINATION
3	BY CHAIR HAHN:
4	Q Afternoon, Mr. Brown.
5	A Good afternoon, Chair.
6	Q I'm going to ask you about two different items
7	because of your familiarity with SPP.
8	The first item is Staff has proposed that each
9	LLPS customer beyond their own pricing node, and the
10	response to that is that it would result in highly
11	volatile rates.
12	Can you explain that response, how that would
13	work in practice and the potential impacts of doing
14	that.
15	A Sure, yeah. As I kind of out in my testimony,
16	the desegregation of customers from an aggregate
17	load poses risks to both existing customers and the
18	customer you pull out.
19	Fundamentally, because the transmission service
20	functional model that SPP uses is sold on an
21	aggregate basis. So as a pool of resources, it's
22	sold to all load.
23	And then we go to the integrated marketplace.
24	It is dispatched on an aggregate basis as well. So
25	every customer has, in essence, paid for that

1	transmission service through their applicable cost
2	share. And then they get the benefit of it by
3	having each of those resources dispatched to them.
4	So fundamentally, if you separate those out,
5	then you have to slice and dice which resource goes
6	with which customer. When in actuality, all
7	customers have paid for that service and that
8	protection. Doesn't make sense? And that's
9	specific to my congestion hedging comments in my
10	testimony.
11	Q Thank you. The second one is actually
12	something brought up by OPC, which is requiring a
13	mandatory emergency curtailment future to which I
14	think Evergy's response is, we already have that
15	thought for critical infrastructure hospitals, et
16	cetera.
17	A Yes. Yeah, that's true, Chair Hahn. And as,
18	you know, default is that all customers are the same
19	subject to further delineation, in terms of
20	responding to emergency events.
21	If a large customer had a desire and the
22	Company had a desire for them to be a demand
23	response resource to support the grid, then that's
24	something that we would work out on an
25	individual-bilateral basis.

1	Q Okay. Do you foresee an opportunity in the
2	future where potentially a large load would sign up
3	for service that could be curtailed?
4	A I do. That is one of the concepts that's
5	proposed by the Southwest Power Pool. That's been
6	explored and currently being explored.
7	That's the CHILL product, conditional high
8	impact large load. So and that from that
9	standpoint, those loads and concept would have
10	offered themselves up to be curtailed during certain
11	operating events on the system.
12	Q To your knowledge, was SPP also working on
13	demand response frameworks that require would
14	require you to curtail certain loads in the event
15	that SPP had a resource adequacy concern or they hit
16	conservative operations?
17	A Yes. Yeah, that's certainly the incidents that
18	I described with CHILL, conservative operations or
19	emergency energy alerts. Those would be the ones
20	that would be hit first.
21	Q Okay. Thank you.
22	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Any more
23	Commission questions? Okay, hearing none, we'll go
24	to recross the Commission questions. Ameren
25	Missouri?



1	MR. LOWERY: This is Jim Lowery. No
2	questions.
3	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Liberty?
4	Oh, they're not here. Google?
5	MR. SCHULTE: No questions. Thank you.
6	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: The Data
7	Center Coalition?
8	MR. VIJAYKAR: No questions, Your Honor,
9	thank you.
10	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Velvet Tech
11	Services? Sierra Club?
12	MS. RUBENSTEIN: No questions. Thank you.
13	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Renew
14	Missouri? Staff of the Missouri Public Service
15	Commission?
16	MR. PRINGLE: No questions, Judge. Thank
17	you.
18	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Office of
19	the Public Counsel?
20	MR. CLIZER: No questions. Thank you.
21	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: We'll move
22	to redirect.
23	MR. BAILEY: Yes, Your Honor, just a few.
24	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
25	



What is the latest on the commercial pricing

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Q Mr. Brown, has the -- you were talking about the commercial pricing nodes with the Chair.

nodes and CHILLs? Is there a latest update?

A Yes. So the CHILLs product I mentioned -- just background, the committee structure at the Southwest Power Pool has a primary working group who has charge over the product.

So the market working group considered the CHILLs product last week for a vote. It did have a aspect of separate pricing nodes for those CHILLs customers.

At the meeting, that particular proposal for CHILLs was rejected. So that's the bit of information that I wanted to share there.

MR. BAILEY: And, Your Honor, I've got the SPP vote minutes here -- well, not the minutes but the vote that he's talking about on the revision request for CHILLS. I'd like to offer it as Exhibit 107.

REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Is this on your exhibit list? Okay. I'm going to ask if there's any objections to taking administrative notice of the summary of motions and action items



1	for Southwest Power Pool.
2	MR. CLIZER: I'm sorry, are we taking
3	administrative notice or treating it as an exhibit?
4	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: We're
5	marking it as an exhibit. And for the purposes of
6	taking administrative notice, do you have any
7	objection to it being admitted?
8	Hearing no objections, it will be marked
9	Exhibit 107 and admitted.
10	[Exhibit 107 admitted.]
11	BY MR. BAILEY:
12	Q Mr. Brown, can you explain what RR 720 is, the
13	CHILLs vote?
14	A Yes. So SPP Revision Request 720, that's what
15	Gen Item 7 refers to. That is the packet of a
16	revision request to add the CHILLs product to the
17	SPP tariff and operating protocols.
18	So this agenda item was what was on the market
19	working group agenda for potential approval and what
20	they ultimately took action on and rejected.
21	Q Thank you. No further questions.
22	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there
23	any objections to this witness being finally
24	excused? Hearing none, Mr. Brown, you're excused.
25	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.



1	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: The next
2	witness I have is Bradley D. Lutz.
3	MADAM COURT REPORTER: Your Honor, do you
4	mind if I take a five-minute break? This is the
5	court reporter.
6	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: We'll go
7	ahead and take a 10 minute break.
8	MADAM COURT REPORTER: Okay. I appreciate
9	that.
10	[Break at 1:57 p.m. until 2:11 p.m.]
11	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Do you swear
12	to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
13	the truth so help you God?
14	THE WITNESS: I do.
15	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. You
16	may begin.
17	MR. FISCHER: Thank you, Judge.
18	BRADLEY D. LUTZ,
19	THE WITNESS HEREINBEFORE NAMED, having
20	been first duly cautioned and sworn to tell the
21	truth testified as follows, to-wit:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. FISCHER:
24	Q Please, state your name for the record.
25	A My name is Brad Lutz, B-R-A-D, L-U-T-Z.



1	Q Are you the same Brad Lutz that caused to be
2	filed in this case two pieces of testimony in
3	direct, which I've marked has been marked as
4	Exhibit 101 and surrebuttal, which has been marked
5	as 105?
6	A Yes, I did.
7	Q Do you have any changes or corrections that
8	need to be made to either of those pieces of
9	testimony?
10	A I do not.
11	Q Mr. Lutz, if I ask you the questions that are
12	contained in those written testimonies, would your
13	answers be the same and are they true and accurate
14	to the best of your knowledge and belief?
15	A They would, yes.
16	MR. FISCHER: Judge, at this time, I would
17	move for the admission of Exhibit 101 and 105 and
18	tender the witness for cross.
19	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Let's take
20	the exhibits one at a time. Can you identify them?
21	MR. FISCHER: Yes. Direct is 101. That's
22	direct testimony of Bradley D. Lutz.
23	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there
24	any objections to the admission of the testimony of



Mr. Lutz? Hearing none, it will be admitted.

```
1
     [Exhibit 101 admitted.]
 2
               MR. FISCHER: And then does that cover the
 3
     surrebuttal, too? That's 105. It's the --
 4
     identified as the surrebuttal testimony of Bradley
 5
     D. Lutz.
 6
               REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there
     any objections to the admission of Exhibit 105, the
 7
 8
     surrebuttal testimony of Bradley Lutz? Hearing
    none, it will be admitted.
 9
10
     [Exhibit 105 admitted.]
               MR. FISCHER: I tender the witness for
11
12
     cross.
13
               MADAM COURT REPORTER:
                                       This is the court
14
     reporter. Can I get a reminder of who --
15
               MR. FISCHER: I'm James Fischer.
                                                  I'm
             F-I-S-C-H-E-R.
16
     sorry.
17
               MADAM COURT REPORTER:
                                       Thank you so much,
     Mr. Fischer.
18
19
                             I apologize.
               MR. FISCHER:
20
               REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Cross-examin
21
     ation?
             Staff, do you have any questions?
2.2
               MS. KLAUS:
                           Alexandra Klaus on behalf of
2.3
     Staff.
             No questions. Thank you, Judge.
2.4
               REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: The Office
     of Public Counsel?
25
```



1	MR. CLIZER: No questions, thank you.
2	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there
3	questions by the Commissioners?
4	CHAIR HAHN: Yes.
5	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Chair Hahn?
6	COMMISSIONER EXAMINATION
7	BY CHAIR HAHN:
8	Q Mr. Lutz, earlier I had asked Mr. Gunn about
9	the Green Solution Connections Program or generally
10	about the writers in the proposed stipulation.
11	Through that program or that writer, would any
12	new additional generation be completely paid for by
13	the subscribers of that program?
14	A No. All of the renewable programs that we
15	offer, the Renew, the alternate energy credit and
16	the Green Solutions are rec programs. So they're
17	only dealing with the attributes, the green
18	attributes, of those programs, not the resources
19	themselves.
20	So to differentiate the Green Solutions from
21	Renew, for example, Renew uses historical and maybe
22	even market-based recs to fulfill those
23	subscriptions.
24	Under Green Solutions, those are associated
25	with a specific resource that the Company is



1	building. Some customers are very particular about
2	location and issues called additionality to know
3	that those are new new recs being built as
4	opposed to legacy historic recs.
5	So the Green Solution program offers a
6	different category of renewable attributes than the
7	others.
8	Q Okay. And as far as are there any
9	differences between these program offerings and
10	those offered in the Kansas stipulation and
11	agreement?
12	A For the renewable programs, no. Yes, they are
13	the same.
14	Q Okay. So they would be the same across the
15	footprint?
16	A Yes. Yes, they would be. Yes.
17	Q Okay. In the settlement negotiations and
18	getting to was Evergy able to understand Staff's
19	position or modification of any of these programs to
20	meet Staff's requirements or
21	When I read through Staff's recommendations for
22	these programs, they didn't recommend any of them,
23	some of them with modification.
24	I understand now that if they were modified,

they would be different than what was offered in

1	Kansas.
2	But in trying to meet Staff's request, were
3	they able were you able to find any middle ground
4	on any of these programs?
5	A I believe that my formal testimony is no. And
6	I think that that's I hesitate because I hate to
7	close the door entirely on those particular
8	programs, given that they are largely extensions of
9	the large load power service plan.
10	There are things that the customers spoke
11	strongly about wanting to be part of our designs.
12	So we feel that they're critical, but I would also
13	have to believe there could be some room for some
14	accommodation.
15	But for the points that were highlighted in
16	Staff's testimony, we felt that our position was the
17	correct way to go.
18	Q Okay, thank you.
19	CHAIR HAHN: I don't have any questions.
20	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there
21	any more questions? Okay, hearing none, we'll go to
22	recross after Commission questions. Ameren
23	Missouri?
24	MR. LOWERY: Jim Lowery. No questions,
25	Judge. Thank you.



1 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Google? 2 MR. SCHULTE: No questions. Thank you. 3 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Data Center 4 Coalition? 5 No questions. Thank you, MR. VIJAYKAR: 6 Your Honor. 7 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Nucor Steel? 8 Velvet Tech Services? Sierra Club? 9 MS. RUBENSTEIN: No questions. Thank you. 10 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Renew 11 Missouri? 12 (Inaudible.) MS. MERS: Thank you. 13 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission? 14 15 MS. KLAUS: Briefly. Thank you, Judge. 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. KLAUS: 18 Good afternoon. 0 19 Good afternoon. Α 20 The Chair had asked you about certain Green 21 Solution Connection Program writers proposed in the 2.2 stipulation. Do you recall that? 2.3 I do. Α 2.4 Can you tell me, is Evergy actively building a 25 resource for use in the Green Solution Connection



- 1 | Program for EMM?
- 2 A I do not believe that those are on the chart
- 3 | yet. I think everything's been for Missouri West
- 4 | through the CCN process.
- 5 Q So is that saying that any resource that is
- 6 | being built for the Green Solution Connection
- 7 | Program wouldn't show in capacity filed in this
- 8 | case?
- 9 A Clarify --
- 10 Q You were trying to tell me that something
- 11 | wasn't being shown in this case having to do with
- 12 | the resource being built with the Green Solution for
- 13 | EMM?
- 14 A No. I'm just saying that our integrated
- 15 | resource planning had not identified resources to be
- 16 | built for Missouri Metro. So those aren't yet
- 17 | available to be available in the Green Solutions
- 18 | Program. There has to be a resource first in order
- 19 | for the attributes to be available.
- 20 Q I think I got now. Thanks for hanging with me
- 21 there.
- 22 And one more quick one, there was a stipulation
- 23 and agreement for E and W, with respect to the Green
- 24 | Solution Connection writer or program in that case?
- 25 A Correct. And this would be a mirror image of



1 that. 2 0 No further questions. Thank you. 3 You bet. Α 4 Thank you for your time today. 5 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Recross the 6 Office of Public Counsel? 7 MR. CLIZER: No questions. Thank you. 8 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Redirect, 9 Evergy? 10 MR. FISCHER: Thank you, Judge. 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. FISCHER: 13 In your conversations with the Chair, I think 14 you said that the customers spoke strongly about the 15 writers. 16 Do you recall that exchange? 17 I do. Α 18 Well, what did you mean by that? 19 Yes, going back to the beginning when large 20 load was first considered, we were, at the time, had 21 been working with both Velvet and Google coming out 2.2 of the MKT filings that we did I think around 2019. 23 And as we built on those relationships, that's 2.4 when they brought forward many of these thoughts or 25 concerns around best features of programs and having

1 some kind of renewable option was part and parcel to 2 what they thought was a good portfolio of solutions 3 that we might consider. 4 Did you have similar discussions about all of 5 the writers with your large customer group? 6 Yes. The interactions with the large customers that we currently interact with were instrumental in 7 8 shaping our large load rate plan. 9 And did you come away with an opinion about 10 whether those are important or not to customers? 11 And that's why we brought them forward Α 12 believing them to be important to those customers. 13 In your discussions with Staff counsel, you 14 said something was just a mirror image. 15 Α Yes. 16 Would you explain which company has the 17 approval and which one you're asking for approval 18 for in this case? 19 Yeah, correct. The Green Solutions Program, Α 20 itself, was brought forward, initially, under CCN 21 filing in the Missouri West cases and was part of a 2.2 stipulated settlement there. 23 So this program that we brought forward here is 2.4 just identical to the one that was already in the



Missouri West filing.

25

1	Q And it was approved by the Commission, is that
2	correct?
3	A I believe so, yes.
4	Q Okay.
5	MR. FISCHER: That's all I have, Judge.
6	Thank you.
7	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Does anyone
8	have an objection to this witness being excused?
9	Thank you, Mr. Lutz.
10	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
11	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: All right, I
12	have next Staff, Jim Busch.
13	MR. PRINGLE: Yes, Judge. And this is
14	Travis Pringle speaking. We might do a little
15	musical chair for attorneys right now, but we'll be
16	getting ready in a minute.
17	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Mr. Busch,
18	can you raise your right hand? Do you swear to tell
19	the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth
20	so help you God?
21	THE WITNESS: I do.
22	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: You may be
23	seated. Mr. Pringle, Ms. Hansen, you may begin when
24	you're ready.
25	MS. HANSEN: All right. This is Andrea



1 Hansen for Commission Staff. 2 JAMES BUSCH, 3 THE WITNESS HEREINBEFORE NAMED, having been first duly cautioned and sworn to tell the 4 5 truth testified as follows, to-wit: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. HANSEN: 8 Mr. Busch, please, state and spell your name 9 for the record. 10 My name is James Busch. Busch is spelled 11 B-U-S-C-H. 12 By whom are you employed and in what capacity? 13 I'm employed by the Missouri Public Service 14 Commission, and I am currently the director of the 15 industry analysis division. 16 Did you prepare rebuttal testimony in this 17 case, which has been previously marked as Exhibit 200? 18 19 Yes. Α 20 At this time, do you have any corrections to 21 make to Exhibit 200? 2.2 Yes. I have one typo that was on page 8, line 23 6, and a quote from Mr. Gunn's testimony at the very 2.4 end of line 6, it says, "Utilities across the 25 I believe it was utilities across the county."



1 country. 2 Thank you very much. If I asked you the same 3 questions today within Exhibit 200, would your 4 answers be the same or substantially similar? 5 They would. 6 Are those answers true and correct to the best 7 of your knowledge and belief? 8 Α They are. 9 All right. Thank you. 10 MS. HANSEN: At this time, I offer 11 Exhibit 200, the public version, into the record. 12 It is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. James Busch. 13 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you. 14 Are there any objections to the admission of the 15 rebuttal testimony of James Busch? Hearing none, it 16 will be admitted. 17 [Exhibit 200 admitted.] BY MS. HANSEN: 18 19 All right, Mr. Busch. And before I turn you 20 over to -- for cross-examination, I do have two 21 questions for you. And this is from Mr. Gunn's 2.2 testimony in support of the stipulation agreement. 23 All right, so first question for you, on page 2 2.4 lines 10 through 13, Mr. Gunn states (as read,) 25 Notably, the stipulation and agreement is supported

1	by a diverse range of stakeholders who collectively
2	bring forward multiple viewpoints and perspectives,
3	all of which are reflected in the negotiated
4	stipulation and agreement.
5	Do you agree with that statement?
6	MR. FISCHER: Judge, I think I should
7	interpose an objection. I may have misunderstood
8	the Court's the Judge the Commission's ruling
9	earlier, but I understood Staff has filed written
10	testimony in support of the or in opposition to
11	the testimony that we filed in support of the
12	stipulation and agreement. And I believe it's
13	inappropriate to have live, additional support of
14	that at this time. And that seems to be where this
15	question is going.
16	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Overruled.
17	A No, I do not quite agree with that statement.
18	BY MS. HANSEN:
19	Q Thank you very much. All right, I'm going to
20	refer you to page 6, lines 4 through 8 of that same
21	testimony.
22	It states (as read,) We initiated settlement
23	discussions in earnest after rebuttal testimony was
24	filed and engaged through the course of multiple

settlement discussions. All parties had an

1	opportunity to participate in settlement
2	discussions, either directly with the Company or
3	with the broad group of interveners at various
4	points.
5	Was Staff invited to these settlement
6	discussions?
7	A As Mr. Gunn pointed out earlier this afternoon,
8	he had reached out he reached out to me on
9	September 19th about potential the settlement
10	that was going to potentially occur on the 23rd on
11	Tuesday.
12	But it was my understanding that there were
13	previous settlement negotiations with all the other
14	parties, excluding Staff, that we were not invited
15	to that I found out from another party.
16	So I take a little umbrage with that statement
17	because I don't believe that Staff was invited to
18	all of the settlement negotiations, and I think
19	that's where the basic framework for the
20	stipulation, based upon the Kansas stipulation, was
21	discussed in those conversations that Staff was not
22	invited for to my recollection.
23	Q Thank you very much, Mr. Busch.
24	MS. HANSEN: I now turn over Mr. Busch for
25	cross-examination.

-	
1	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: The Office
2	of Public Counsel?
3	MR. CLIZER: No questions. Thank you,
4	Your Honor.
5	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Commission
6	questions? Chair Hahn?
7	COMMISSION EXAMINATION
8	BY CHAIR HAHN:
9	Q Good afternoon.
10	MR. FISCHER: Judge, shouldn't there be
11	cross-examination from the parties first?
12	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I don't
13	know. What do you guys think?
14	THE WITNESS: Do I get a vote?
15	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: You're the
16	only guy without a vote. Nucor Steel? It is on.
17	Velvet Tech Services? Data Center Coalition?
18	MR. VIJAYKAR: No questions, Your Honor.
19	THE REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Google?
20	MR. SCHULTE: No questions.
21	THE REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Sierra
22	Club?
23	MS. RUBENSTEIN: No questions. Thank you.
24	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Renew
25	Missouri? Ameren Missouri?



Yes, Your Honor. 1 This is Jim MR. LOWERY: 2 Lowery, by the way. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. LOWERY: 5 Good afternoon, Mr. Busch, how are you? 6 I'm doing well, sir. How are you doing? 7 I'm doing fine. Focusing on Staff's -- let me 8 just get some definitional things out of the way. When I say Staff's proposed tariff or Staff's 9 10 proposal, I'm going to be referring to, essentially, 11 the tariff structure, and there's actually an entire 12 tariff filed, with Staff's rebuttal report back in 13 late July. You know what I'm talking about there, 14 right? 15 Α Yes. 16 And I quess that proposal has been somewhat 17 modified by Ms. Lange's surrebuttal testimony. 18 if I refer to Staff's proposal, I quess you can 19 assume, unless I specify, that I'm talking about it 20 as -- as it's been modified by Ms. Lange's 21 surrebuttal testimony, is that fair? 2.2 I understand. I also understand that my -- I 23 was at the 30,000-foot view. So if you're getting 2.4 into the weeds and the details, I will have to defer 25 to my other Staff expert.

- 1 Q Well, we'll see what you know or what you don't
- 2 know. How about that?
- 3 A Sounds good.
- 4 | Q Did Staff seek input on its proposed -- on
- 5 | Staff's proposal -- on Staff's proposed tariff, did
- 6 | staff seek input on that proposal with perspective
- 7 | large load customers, like Meta or Google who are
- 8 | already building data centers in the KC area, before
- 9 | it was proposed in Evergy's case in July?
- 10 A I am not aware that Staff made any contacts
- 11 | with any large data center customer.
- 12 Q So the answer to my question is no?
- 13 | A I believe it's no, to my knowledge.
- 14 Q And it, obviously, didn't seek -- and based on
- 15 | that answer, didn't seek any input from the Data
- 16 | Center Coalition, Amazon, any other large load
- customer to your knowledge, right?
- 18 A To my knowledge, no.
- 19 Q Did Staff seek input on the basic Staff large
- 20 | load customer proposal that's reflected in this case
- 21 and Ameren Missouri's case in that it attempted to
- 22 | inject into Liberty's pending rate case with any of
- 23 | those three utilities before it proposed in the
- 24 Evergy's case?
- 25 A I believe that Evergy, as was noted earlier



1 today, provided an outline for what their proposal's 2 going to be. 3 I know that Staff provided redline versions and 4 comments back to that, as was discussed earlier 5 So I think that there was some discussion 6 with, you know, Evergy at some point. 7 But I think that would probably be the limit of 8 those discussions. Well, just to clarify, Staff may have provided 9 10 some comments on Evergy's proposal that Evergy 11 vetted with Staff somewhat, I don't know to what 12 extent, before Evergy filed it's case. 13 But Staff didn't come to Evergy and say here's 14 a proposed tariff. Can you give me your input on 15 it, did it? 16 I don't believe it did. Α 17 So Staff's proposal was not informed by input 18 from any perspective large load customers nor was it 19 informed by input from any Missouri electric 20 utilities, isn't that fair? 21 Well, I think that Staff's proposal was 2.2 informed by the years of experience that Staff 23 experts have had with utilities working with other 2.4 large customers, like the MKT, the SIL tariff.



25

So it's not like we did this in a vacuum.

1	Staff has experts who have years of experience in
2	developing tariffs and working through tariffs, and
3	I think those were instrumental in the development
4	of the tariff that you see before the Commission
5	today.
6	Q That's all well and good, but can you answer my
7	question? Staff's proposed tariff filed in the
8	Evergy case in late July, those terms and
9	conditions, that structure, those rates was not
LO	informed by any actual input from any large load
L1	customer?
L2	You didn't go to the customers and say, here's
L3	what we're going to propose or we're thinking about
L4	it. Would you give me some input?
L5	And you didn't go to the utilities and say,
L6	here's what we're thinking about proposing. Can you
L7	give me some inputs?
L8	That didn't happen, did it?
L9	A Other than the back and forth with Evergy
20	earlier, I would I think that's a fair
21	assessment.
22	Q Other than among the Staff itself, from whom,
23	if anyone, did Staff receive input on the basic
24	structure of the Staff tariff proposal before Staff
25	proposed it?

- 1 A You might want to also talk with Ms. Lange, she
- 2 | was instrumental in developing that tariff, if she
- 3 | had any other contacts. I did not.
- 4 | Q You are not aware of any, though, are you?
- 5 A I'm not aware of any.
- 6 Q Did you have any conversations with Ms. Lange
- 7 | that suggested that should happen?
- 8 A Quite honestly, Ms. Lange took the ball and ran
- 9 | with this. So she -- she -- if she had those
- 10 | conversations, I would have welcomed them. I did
- 11 | not direct her one way or the other.
- 12 | Q I mean, you're her supervisor, correct?
- 13 A I'm her supervisor.
- 14 | Q You agree that Staff's basic tariff proposal
- 15 | and structure is very similar for all three Missouri
- 16 | utilities, do you not?
- 17 A I do because I think it's fair to have the
- 18 | rules in place that are similar for all the
- 19 utilities so that it doesn't appear that one portion
- 20 of the state is being given undue preference than
- 21 | another part of the state.
- 22 Q The answer to my question was, yes, though,
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A The answer was yes.
- 25 | Q Did Staff model it's tariff after other adopted



1	or proposed large load customer tariffs in other
2	states that have been proposed or adopted for
3	utilities in, say, the last two years?
4	A I do not know.
5	Q You didn't discuss that among your staff?
6	A I don't remember having discussions like that
7	with my staff.
8	Q You don't think that's an important
9	consideration at all?
10	A I did not say that.
11	MR. PRINGLE: Objection to relevance.
12	MADAM COURT REPORTER: Who made the
13	objection?
14	MR. PRINGLE: That was Travis Pringle on
15	behalf of Staff.
16	MR. LOWERY: Well, I think, Judge, that as
17	I indicated in my opening statement, these loads
18	bring a number of benefits including economic
19	developments to the state. And we would we would
20	also suggest bring other benefits to the electric
21	system.
22	And if the tariffs aren't competitive with
23	other states and don't even consider what happened
24	in other states, that seems to be a relevant
25	consideration to what the tariff design should be.



REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: 1 I'm going to 2 overrule the objection and allow him to answer the 3 question. BY MR. LOWERY: 4 5 Do you remember the question, Mr. Busch? 6 Α I do not. 7 Did Staff model its tariff after other adopted 8 or proposed large load tariffs in other states that 9 have been proposed or adopted for other utilities in 10 the last two years? 11 I cannot speak to every other tariff that is 12 out in the United States, and I would defer that to 13 Ms. Lange. 14 Well, I'm asking you. Do you know whether 15 Staff did that? 16 I do not know. Α 17 And I think another question I asked was, might 18 that be an important consideration, to understand 19 how Staff's tariff proposal lines up with tariff 20 proposals in other states and adopted tariffs in 21 other states if one of the goals of the tariff is to 2.2 actually be able to compete for these loads? 23 Not necessarily. I mean, there could be Α 2.4 factors that are involved that we've developed or 25 concerns that we've that are necessary that other

1 jurisdictions have not put in place. 2 So I don't know that it's necessary to be in 3 lock step with all the other states. 4 Well, let me ask it this way, if Staff has come 5 up with the considerations or ideas or terms and 6 conditions that from the perspective of large load customers who have choices as to where they locate 7 8 are unacceptable or problematic, then isn't it fair 9 to say that Staff's proposal under those 10 circumstances is not going to be very conducive to 11 actually attracting those large load investments to 12 Missouri? 13 Objection, calls for MR. PRINGLE: 14 speculation. REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Sustained. 15 16 BY MR. LOWERY: 17 I'm going to ask you if you remember something Mr. Wills said, and if you don't, I can give you a 18 19 copy of his testimony. 20 But you remember that Mr. Wills indicated in 21 his testimony that he and his Ameren colleagues have 2.2 spent a considerable amount of time interacting with 23 potential customers, learning what's important to 2.4 them and choosing a jurisdiction where they might



25

seek to establish service and making investments.

- 1 Do you remember him saying that?
- 2 A I don't. Do you have a copy of that?
- 3 Q Well, I'll tell you what, it's going to be in
- 4 | the record. So just assume that he did say that,
- 5 | okay?
- 6 A Could you repeat that again, please?
- 7 | Q Assume that Mr. Wills' surrebuttal testimony
- 8 | says, and I'm paraphrasing, but the message is that
- 9 he and his colleagues have spent considerable time
- 10 interacting with customers, learning what was
- 11 | important to them in choosing a jurisdiction in
- 12 | which they would seek to establish service and
- 13 | making investments in that jurisdiction. Assume he
- 14 | said that in his surrebuttal testimony.
- 15 | A Okay.
- 16 | O And you don't have any reason to doubt if he
- 17 | did say it, that that's the truth, do you?
- 18 | A If it's in his testimony, it's in his
- 19 | testimony.
- 20 Q Did Staff spend considerable time doing the
- 21 | same thing as it developed and proposed its large
- 22 | load customer tariff proposal?
- 23 | A I do not believe that Staff has the time or the
- 24 | staff to be able to negotiate and work with all of
- 25 | those other entities.



- 1 Q So Staff didn't spend any time on such an
- 2 | effort because it didn't have the times, is that
- 3 | right?
- 4 A Like I said, Ms. Lange, I'd defer to her, but
- 5 | from my perspective, I'm not aware.
- 6 Q Well, as the head of the division that
- 7 developed the tariff proposal, you don't think that
- 8 | Staff had the time or wherewithal to do such a
- 9 thing, right?
- 10 A I think that it was -- I'd be shocked to find
- 11 | out if they were able to.
- 12 | Q You have a copy of your testimony, I assume,
- 13 | with you, Mr. Busch?
- 14 | A I do.
- 15 | Q Can you turn to page 6?
- 16 | A Okay.
- 17 | Q Let me get a copy of it as well. I'm going to
- 18 direct your attention to line 19 and then carrying
- 19 | over to page 7, line 1.
- 20 | A Okay.
- 21 | Q Could you just read that to yourself, just to
- 22 | refresh your recollection as to what you said.
- 23 | A Okay.
- 24 | Q And do you agree that if utilities did not have
- 25 | an incentive to invest in their systems generally



1 and in generation specifically that the odds are 2 increased that the utility system will be less 3 robust, reliable and resilient than it should be? I believe that utilities need to invest in 4 5 their systems to ensure that they are able to 6 provide safe and adequate service to their 7 consumers. 8 But that wasn't my question. Do you believe if they didn't have an incentive -- if the regulatory 9 10 construct didn't give utilities an incentive to 11 invest in infrastructure, generally in generation 12 specifically, so that incentive didn't exist, don't 13 you think that the odds would be increased that the system would be less robust, reliable and resilient 14 15 than it should be? 16 I quess I'm trying to understand what you mean 17 by the incentive because all businesses are -- they 18 are able to earn a return on their investment. 19 think that's inherent in any business, in any 20 industry. 21 Well, Mr. Busch, you said in your testimony 2.2 that utilities have an incentive to overstate the 23 needs in their system, and, basically, you went on 2.4 to say, look, the way they make money is they invest 25 in infrastructure, they increase their rate base,

- 1 and that's how they make money. So that's the 2 incentive they have, right? 3 Well, that is -- the incentive is that the Α more -- the more that they build, the higher the 4 5 rate of return there that would be, yes. 6 And if they didn't -- if they didn't get a rate 7 of return, they didn't have that incentive, if they 8 built --So if they built but they don't have -- they 9 10 don't get a return, they don't gain anything from 11 building, right, you follow my hypothetical? 12 Yeah. Α 13 Let's imagine that incentive does not exist. 14 And so they can spend \$500 million, but they don't 15 get any return --16 Yeah. Α 17 -- do you think they're going to be as likely
- 17 Q -- do you think they're going to be as likely
 18 to build generation that might otherwise be needed?
- 19 A I -- yeah. I mean, they're going to -- they're
- 20 going to invest in their systems in order to earn a
- 21 return on that investment. So that's -- yes, I
- 22 | agree with that.
- 23 | Q Yeah, you agree if they don't have the
- 24 | incentive, they may not invest in their system as
- 25 | much, right?



1	MR. PRINGLE: Objection, asked and
2	answered at this point, Judge.
3	MR. LOWERY: I don't think it was.
4	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Sustained.
5	BY MR. LOWERY:
6	Q Isn't it true that neither Evergy nor Ameren
7	can build new generation that they would need to
8	meet their load if new large load customers do come
9	onto the system unless the Commission gives them a
LO	CCN saying, yes, you can build that generation?
L1	A It's my understanding that they would need a
L2	CCN. Any investment utility in Missouri would need
L3	a CCN from the Commission in order to build.
L4	Q So they can't just decide to overbuild their
L5	system on their own. They've got to come to the
L6	Commission and convince the Commission that the
L7	generation should be built, isn't that right?
L8	A That is correct. But the utility if has
L9	the ability and maybe the incentive to explain to
20	the Commission that we have all of these gigawatts
21	that are coming on to our system that may or may not
22	actually come to fruition, as was discussed today.
23	So they can tell the Commission, and if we
24	don't have the abilities to fully get into what is
25	actually, potentially going to actually be here, the



utilities do have an incentive to let the Commission know that we have a lot of customers that are coming on, and we need to build.

And those customers that might be coming on may not exist. So the utility, then, would be able to extract all of those costs from the existing rate payers.

- Q Well, I suppose Staff's going to tell the Commission that in the CCN case, right?
- A Staff has the ability to get the information to make that argument, and we certainly will try. But, you know, I watched my staff work through a lot of CCN cases lately, and it's -- you know, there's a lot of information that was provided and a lot of information that I think that Staff still requires that is difficult to obtain.

And in fact, we talked about Senate Bill 4. We're going to have -- we're going to have an all new system in place in the next few years that's going to impact how CCNs are going to be decided in this state.

- Q Because the General Assembly decided the rules should be changed, right? That's not your decision, is it? That was the General Assembly's decision?
- 25 A General Assembly, yes.



4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- 1 Q Do you have a copy of the Staff rebuttal
- 2 report?
- 3 A I do not.
- 4 MR. LOWERY: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 5 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Yes, you
- 6 may.
- 7 A Thank you.
- 8 BY MR. LOWERY:
- 9 Q Would you, please, confirm that that appears to
- 10 be a copy of the Staff rebuttal report?
- 11 | A It does.
- 12 | Q Turn to page 8, if you would.
- 13 | A Eight?
- 14 | O Yes.
- 15 A I'm there.
- 16 | O Would you agree that Staff raises the issue of
- 17 | inducing demand-side management savings on the one
- 18 | hand and adding generation on the other hand and
- 19 | suggests, because it's in the contradictory policy
- 20 | section, suggests that that's a contradictory
- 21 | policy?
- 22 A I completely lost what you're asking.
- 23 Q Okay, fair enough. Let me slow down a little
- 24 bit.
- 25 A Thank you.



1 Let you -- point you to the exact area. 0 See 2 lines 5 to 11 --3 Α Yes. -- on page 8? And if you turn back on page 7, 4 5 you see the section that that text on lines 5 to 11 6 on page 8 is in a section Staff titles 7 "Contradictory Policy"? 8 Α That is correct. And what Staff says is, they said (as read,) 9 Furthermore, since 2015, EMW has collected more than 10 232 million, and EMM has collected more than 11 12 235 million, and I'm going to skip a few words, you 13 know, through the DSM, through demand-side management, right? 14 That's what it says. 15 Α 16 And goes on to talk about that the DSM is 17 premised on the concept of avoiding capacity costs. 18 And then the last sentence in that passage, 19 look for lines 9 to 11 says (as read,) Now, Evergy 20 is actively seeking large load customers that will 21 require massive amounts of new generation 2.2 facilities, which will be recovered through the 23 rights of all captive rate payers effectively 2.4 erasing the proposed benefit of avoiding generation 25 facility costs. Do you see that?

- 1 | A I do.
- 2 Q And the point that Staff is making, and I'm not
- 3 | saying that I agree with it, but the point Staff's
- 4 | making or you can confirm it's the point that Staff
- 5 | is making, is on the one hand Evergy has spent money
- 6 | to induce people, induce customers to use less load
- 7 | and would need less generation.
- 8 On the other hand, if you bring these large
- 9 load customers on your growing load and maybe
- 10 | inducing the need for more generation.
- 11 And Staff contends that's contradictory, right?
- 12 A I mean, as you notice, Mr. Lubert, he was a
- 13 | Staff sponsor of this, so I would request that you
- 14 ask him that question. But that's what it appears
- 15 | that we're saying.
- 16 0 Well, you sponsored the overall Staff report.
- 17 | In fact, that's the purpose of your testimony, is it
- 18 | not?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 | Q You read this report. I assume -- you can tell
- 21 | me if I'm wrong. I assume you read this entire
- 22 | report before it was filed, did you not?
- 23 | A I read this entire report before it was filed,
- 24 | that is correct.
- 25 Q And if you disagreed with something in this



1	report, it wouldn't have been filed that way, would
2	it?
3	A That is correct. And that why I said I agreed
4	with it, but I asked you to Mr. Lubert can go
5	into more detail on that.
6	Q Well, you either know or you not you don't.
7	I think you just said you did. The point Staff's
8	making is that those two things are in contradiction
9	of each other, right?
10	A Yes.
11	MS. HANSEN: Objection, asked and
12	answered.
13	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Sustained.
14	BY MR. LOWERY:
15	Q So let's talk about adding capacity while
16	reducing load at the same time. And I'm going to
17	use an example, hypothetical, and ask you some
18	questions about it.
19	Assume my wife and I own a 2,500 square foot
20	all electric house, and we want to add a 750
21	square-foot family room.
22	Would you agree that if that's all we do, we
23	don't touch any of the other systems in the house
24	other than to extend the electricity, plumbing, HVAC



25

to that addition, would you agree that our heating

1 and cooling bills are likely to go up because now we 2 need to heat and cool 3,250 square feet and not 3 2,500 square feet? 4 In your hypothetical, you're simply adding on a 5 750 square-foot room to your existing home. 6 would -- I think that would make sense, that your 7 electric costs would go up. 8 Okay, let's add some more assumed facts. 9 Assume that we recognize that reality that our 10 utility bills are going to go up when we add this 11 750 square feet. 12 So we decide to replace our HVAC unit at the 13 same time, and we decided to replace it with units 14 that are expected to require 50 percent less 15 electricity to heat and cool --16 Objection, relevance? MS. HANSEN: 17 Judge, I -- may I respond, MR. LOWERY: 18 Judge? 19 MADAM COURT REPORTER: May I ask who's 20 making these objections. 21 MS. HANSEN: Andrea Hansen with Commission 2.2 Staff. 23 MADAM COURT REPORTER: Okay. I just can't 2.4 Sorry. see. 25 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Overruled.



BY MR. LOWERY:

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q So let's add some more assumed facts. We realize when we add this 750 square feet that our bills are going to go up.
 - So we say, you know, maybe in the long run what we ought to do is replace our HVAC unit with a much more efficient one. We'll spend some money to do that and those new units we're going to replace it with are expected to require 50 percent less electricity, heat and cool that new -- what is now a 3,250 square-foot house. Are you with me?
- 12 A I think so.
- Q Would you agree that although the house is
 about 30 percent bigger, we're going to consume less
 electricity with the new HVAC system to heat and
 cool it than we would have had we left the old
 system?
- 18 A Based upon the assumption that you've given me,
 19 I don't know.
- Q Well, if it's going to cost 50 percent less and
 I increase the size of the house by 30 percent,
- 22 | doesn't it have to be the case?
- 23 A Depends on what you put in that room. There
 24 could be -- you could put a massive theater system
 25 that would require a lot more electricity than what



1 was in your previous house. So there are a lot of 2 other assumptions that I think we'd have to make. 3 Well, let's assume that, in fact, the new units 4 will allow us to save money as compared to what it 5 would have cost had we not replaced the system, 6 okav? 7 Α Okay. 8 So what happened here is we added capacity, we 9 added square footage to our house. It can hold more 10 people and more belongings than it could before. 11 And at the same time, we spent money to reduce 12 energy consumption from our HVAC system, right? 13 You might have reduced it from the existing, Α 14 yes. 15 And us doing those two things at the same time 16 are not in contradiction to each other, are they? 17 I don't know if it's -- I don't know that 18 that's what we said in our report, but I don't know 19 that it's necessary contradictory. 20 I don't know, there's a lot -- like I said, 21 there's a lot of things that could be put in that 2.2 room that could cause your overall electric usage to 23 go up. 2.4 Well, my hypothetical indicated that that isn't

going to happen.

25

That, in fact, my electricity use

1 is going to be 50 percent less. 2 So in that case, I spent money to replace the 3 HVAC unit. So I spent money to reduce my 4 consumption, but I also spent money at the same time 5 to make my house bigger, right? 6 And those two things were not contradictory of 7 each other, were they? 8 Α I quess I can agree that in that hypothetical 9 they're not contradictory. 10 So let's talk about this at a utility system 11 Isn't it true that a utility can spend money 12 that will cause some customers to use energy more 13 efficiently, like my wife and I did in the 14 hypothetical on the new HVAC system? 15 And the utility can also add generation needed to serve its load with additional load coming from 16 17 large load customers and incur costs to expand that 18 capacity, and that there's nothing inherently 19 contradictory about doing both at the same time? 20 Well, on a systemwide basis, I think that, you 21 know, through the DSIM, that was monies that were 2.2 spent by every single rate payer that had already 23 been spent to help lower those -- the energy usage. 2.4 So that's, you know, I think \$200 million that 25 have already been spent by the consumers, whether or



1 | not they wanted to participate or not.

And now you see billions upon billions of dollars being spent more. So I do see that that's a slightly difference than you as a homeowner making a decision for yourself and your family than captive rate payers who don't necessarily have that choice that you have as an individual homeowner.

- Q Can you turn to page 36 of the Staff rebuttal report?
- 10 A Thirty-six?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 Q Yes, please. Take a look at lines 5 to 6. You see where the sentence starts, "Data center loads?"
- 13 A You've got to give me a second.
- 14 Q It says (as read,) Data center loads can be
 15 quite whether sensitive in climate such as Missouri,
- and that cooling can be a major end use due to the
- waste it produces by computing equipment. Do you
- 18 | see that?
- 19 A Yes, I see that.
- 20 Q Would you agree that if the load forecasting
- 21 models that Evergy uses today when it doesn't
- 22 | have -- it has maybe some data center load on its
- 23 | system, but it doesn't have the kind of data center
- 24 | load that it's showing for its projections in the
- 25 near term.



1	If those load forecasting models that they use
2	today are able to forecast the impact of weather on
3	Evergy's load the next day with a good level of
4	accuracy, shouldn't those same models be able to do
5	a good job of forecasting the next day's load after
6	material data center load is added?
7	A I don't know.
8	Q But why wouldn't they be able to? If the
9	models you know, we live in Missouri and we have
LO	hot summers and very humid summers and we have
L1	weather forecast and SPP is forecasting a load, you
L2	know, several days ahead in those hot spells, for
L3	example.
L4	If those models are doing a good job today of
L5	forecasting what happens to all that air
L6	conditioning load all the residences and businesses
L7	have, why would there be a problem with forecasting
L8	the same phenomenon that's going to happen with data
L9	center load?
20	A I don't believe that a data center is going to
21	have anywhere near the same type of load or needs as
22	a residential.
23	So a model that is working well today may not
24	work quite as well when you put in a new type of



25

customer that hasn't necessarily been used before.

1 So I don't know that I would necessarily agree 2 the current models will be able to take into effect 3 what's going to change when you add the type of 4 customers like the data centers. 5 Well, wasn't the reason that Staff said the 6 loads could be whether sensitive is that cooling 7 could be a major end-use? I mean, it was cooling, 8 right, it was focused on air-conditioning, right? 9 I think so. I agree with that. 10 And so it's your testimony that the impact of 11 weather on air-conditioning for all the rest of the 12 load is somehow significantly different than the 13 impact of weather on air-conditioning for a data 14 center? 15 I'm assuming a data center is going to run Α 16 much, much hotter internally than my house will. 17 I think there's a slight difference between what a data center and what a residential home would be. 18 19 You served as an economist for both OPC and 20 Staff, have you not? 21 Α I have. 2.2 You've provided testimony and a rate design, is 23 that right? 2.4 Α T have. 25 You perform class cost of service studies?

- 1 A I have.
- 2 | Q Have you developed a retail revenue requirement
- 3 | that an analyst like yourself would use to perform a
- 4 | class cost of service study?
- 5 A I'm sorry, could you repeat that, please?
- 6 0 Have you developed a retail revenue
- 7 | requirement, the things that Ms. Bolen's team does,
- 8 | have you done that?
- 9 A I know I've worked on class -- I know I did a
- 10 | cast working capital case way back in the day. But
- 11 | I don't think I developed the entirely of the
- 12 | revenue requirement model. I don't think I've ever
- 13 done that.
- 14 | O But you do understand how a retail revenue
- 15 | requirement is developed, right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Would you agree that in Missouri, and I
- 18 | mentioned this before, retail revenue requirements
- 19 in the case of Staff are developed by Staff's
- 20 | auditing function, right?
- 21 A Our auditing department, yes.
- 22 | Q And they're developed based on a utility's test
- 23 | year or often a trued up test year, right?
- 24 A Well, also there's other aspects like fuel that
- 25 | is also -- members of my team also provide into the



- 1 | revenue requirement for the (indiscernible.) So I
- 2 | just wanted to make sure it's not -- it is not just
- 3 | our auditing department.
- 4 | Q That's true. But, you know, the production
- 5 | cost modeling and so on that your team does, you, I
- 6 don't know if this is the right word, you feed that
- 7 | to the auditing department, and they take that into
- 8 | account, and they build a revenue requirement,
- 9 | right?
- 10 A Yeah.
- 11 | Q I mean, your -- your work's an input into their
- 12 | work, right?
- 13 A That is correct.
- 14 | O Okay. And retail revenue requirements that
- 15 | they ultimately are developing are developed based
- 16 on a utilities test year, trued up test year, right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 | Q And the goal -- the goal of doing that is to
- 19 determine, based on the test year, trued up test
- 20 | year, to determine how many dollars the utilities
- 21 | rates need to collect annually to cover the revenue
- 22 | requirement under normalize conditions, right?
- 23 | A I would agree.
- 24 | Q Would you agree that revenue requirements are,
- 25 by design, intended to reflect what it costs utility



- 1 | to serve its customers?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 | Q And that those revenue requirements that are
- 4 developed in a rate case are intended to be a proxy
- 5 | for what it will cost once new rates are set by the
- 6 | Commission?
- 7 A Could you repeat that, please?
- 8 | Q Maybe it's a bad question. What we're doing
- 9 | with the revenue requirement is we're trying to come
- 10 | up with a proxy for what it will cost to provide
- 11 | service once new rates are set by the Commission,
- 12 | right?
- 13 A I guess I don't agree with the word proxy. I
- 14 | mean, I think we're just trying to come up with what
- 15 | we believe that the cost of service is.
- 16 | 0 But that cost of service is going to be applied
- 17 | in the future once new rates are set, right?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 | O And at least in theory, ideally, we'd like to
- 20 | set a cost of service that's going to be reflective
- 21 of those conditions once rates are set, right?
- 22 A I think that's the general goal, yes.
- 23 | Q Do you agree the process of class cost of
- 24 | service allocation is a process whereby one seeks to
- 25 | allocate the appropriate portion of the revenue



- 1 requirement that was developed in the rate case, we
- 2 | just talked about --
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 | Q -- through each rate class?
- 5 A That's -- yeah, best cost of service rate
- 6 designed is to divide the pie, yes.
- 7 | Q And what you're trying to do is allocate that
- 8 | proportion of the costs that are caused by that
- 9 class to that class, right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | Q Would you agree that once -- would you agree
- 12 | that once in a rate case -- once we have the revenue
- 13 requirement in a rate case and we've allocated the
- 14 cost to the rate classes, like we just talked about,
- 15 | that one then engages in rate design? That's the
- 16 | next step?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Would you agree that one of the key things one
- 19 | is trying to accomplish with rate design is to have
- 20 | rates for each class that are effective in yielding
- 21 | the total revenue requirement allocated to that
- 22 | class so when you sum all of those up, the rates as
- 23 | a whole are designed to yield to utility's total
- 24 | revenue requirement?
- 25 A Yes.



- 1 | Q Given that you agree with those principles,
- 2 you'd agree, would you not, that Evergy's LLPS
- 3 | rates, how ever they're set, should reasonably
- 4 | reflect the cost that are and will be in Evergy's
- 5 | revenue requirement over time, wouldn't you?
- 6 A I think that the goal is to ensure that the --
- 7 | whatever class always pays their share and their
- 8 costs.
- 9 Q Well, they should reasonably reflect what those
- 10 | costs actually are, right?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 | Q They shouldn't be too low; they shouldn't be
- 13 | too high, isn't that fair?
- 14 A There are other aspects involved, but that's
- 15 | the general idea, yes.
- 16 | O If Evergy's rates were designed so that certain
- 17 costs are collected twice via different billing
- 18 determinants or the costs are otherwise overstated,
- 19 utility could collect more than its revenue
- 20 | requirement, couldn't it?
- 21 A I think I missed a little bit of what you said
- 22 | at first, but I think your general premise is that
- 23 | there's the potential for the utility to collect
- 24 more than their authorized revenue requirement.
- 25 Q If costs are collected twice in the analysis or



- 1 they're overstated, that could happen, right?
- 2 A Yes. Yeah.
- 3 Q Is it possible to recover the exact same amount
- 4 of revenue from a customer when billing them with
- 5 different numbers of discrete charges and billing
- 6 determinants?
- 7 A Repeat that again, please.
- 8 | Q Let me -- let me maybe try to ask it a little
- 9 | bit differently.
- 10 | Can't you -- if you need -- well, let me say it
- 11 | this way, just take an example, if we need a
- 12 | thousand dollars of revenue from a customer, you can
- 13 | get that thousand dollars with a rate design that
- 14 includes one charge, two charges, three charges or
- 15 | 20 or 25 charges, right?
- 16 A I do not believe there's a limit, yes.
- 17 O You don't have to have a lot of different
- 18 | charges and billing determinants. You can have a
- 19 | few, and you can still design it to collect that
- 20 | thousand dollars, isn't that right?
- 21 A For simple customers, a simple rate design for
- 22 more complex customers, I understand that you would
- 23 | necessarily want to have a rate design that takes
- 24 | into account the unique circumstances of the
- 25 | customers.



- 1 | Q It's not inherently necessary to have a large
- 2 | number of different charges to produce the revenues
- 3 | associated with a particular allocation of the
- 4 | revenue requirement, is it?
- 5 A Not necessarily.
- 6 Q Doesn't that mean that a standard rate design,
- 7 | like Evergy's LLPS design, it's designed that its
- 8 | proposed, can have it's rate levels adjusted to
- 9 target any level of revenue that a cost allocation
- 10 | suggests is appropriate?
- 11 | A Maybe. But there could be situations where
- 12 | it's -- it misses something because you're trying to
- 13 | put too many costs or too many things in one bucket.
- 14 So....
- 15 | Q Theoretically, that could happen, but it's not
- 16 necessarily the case, is it?
- 17 A When the time comes, they really start digging
- 18 | in and developing these rates, there are going to be
- 19 a lot of aspects that we're going to want to
- 20 | consider to make sure that we develop the
- 21 appropriate rate structure for these new customers
- 22 that are coming to the state. And we want to make
- 23 | sure we do it right.
- 24 | Q Pardon me. Let's talk about your division's
- 25 | role in this case. And I think you talked about



- 1 | this a little bit. You supervise the industry
- 2 | analysis division but not the Staff's auditing
- 3 | function, right?
- 4 A That is correct.
- 5 Q That's Ms. Bolen's division as we talked about,
- 6 | right?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 | Q Did Ms. Bolen or her team review or have input
- 9 on the Staff rebuttal report or your testimony or
- 10 Ms. Lange's testimony filed in this case before they
- 11 | were filed?
- 12 A I can't speak to exactly what Ms. Bolen or her
- 13 | team did or did not review, and I know that Ms.
- 14 | Bolen is, generally -- like, all division directors
- 15 | are given the opportunity to review all the work
- 16 | that we forward, submit to the Commission.
- But I can't speak to exactly what Ms. Bolen did
- 18 or -- I'm sure that Staff reached out to some
- 19 members of the auditing team, but I cannot be for
- 20 | sure exactly who. It was two or three months ago
- 21 | when this was all done.
- 22 | Q So you don't know what input they had or didn't
- 23 | have?
- 24 A Not off the top of my head, I do not.
- 25 | Q Nothing comes to mind that the auditing team



- 1 said?
- 2 MS. HANSEN: Objection. Asked and
- 3 | answered.
- 4 BY MR. LOWERY:
- 5 Q So nothing specific comes to mind where one of
- 6 your team members said, hey, we consulted with the
- 7 | auditing team and they raised this issue or that
- 8 | issue or we specifically asked them this question or
- 9 that question, nothing comes to mind at all that
- 10 | that happened?
- 11 A I would request you ask that question to the
- 12 members of my team who participate in this report.
- 13 | But to my knowledge, I can't think of anything off
- 14 | the top of my head.
- 15 | Q It's my assumption that you, personally, had
- 16 | input into review and approved the Staff's filing of
- 17 | the testimony in this case, right?
- 18 A I did review the testimony in this case.
- 19 Q You agree that you are responsible for the
- 20 position that Staff is taking in this case?
- 21 A I do agree with that.
- 22 | Q Staff's report reflects use of a multi average
- of locational marginal prices LMPs, otherwise known
- 24 | as market prices. You understand that, right?
- 25 A Okay.



- 1 | Q You know what an LMP is, right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And it's, basically, the market price of
- 4 | energy, right?
- 5 A Yep.
- 6 | Q Would you agree that Staff's report reflects
- 7 | use of a multiyear market energy price as the basis
- 8 | for its proposed energy charge?
- 9 A You're getting into the weeds of something that
- 10 | I reviewed many months ago. So I would -- I would
- 11 | say I don't know and ask the appropriate Staff
- 12 | witness at this time.
- 13 | Q So you don't know what the basis of Staff's
- 14 | proposed energy charge is?
- 15 A I don't remember off the top of my head --
- 16 0 You don't remember.
- 17 A -- that I can discuss here. I'd rather let our
- 18 | experts talk about that.
- 19 Q When Ms. Bolen and her team developed revenue
- 20 requirements -- and if you don't know, you can say
- 21 | you don't know.
- But when they developed the revenue requirement
- 23 | for Missouri Electric Utility, does their revenue
- 24 | requirement -- revenue requirement model contain a
- 25 | line item? Do they rely upon market energy prices



- 1 | applied to all retail load in setting the base
- 2 rates?
- 3 A I don't know.
- 4 Q Now, it's true that the auditing team would use
- 5 | historical LMPs to develop prices production cost
- 6 | modeling, and I guess, really, your team is doing
- 7 | that, right?
- 8 | A You know, I think we have a witness come up
- 9 here who does production cost modeling, and he would
- 10 be the appropriate witness to ask exactly how stuff
- 11 does that. I have not done that function.
- 12 | Q Okay. You don't really understand what prices
- 13 | are used in the production cost modeling that Staff
- 14 does?
- 15 A I know there's a lot of prices that are used,
- 16 | and so I would not want to opine at this time. I
- 17 | would rather let the appropriate witness tell the
- 18 | Commission exactly what they do.
- 19 Q Would you agree that the cost for Evergy to
- 20 | generate a megawatt hour of electricity is not equal
- 21 | to the market price of energy in a given hour except
- 22 by wild coincidence?
- 23 | A I think that's correct. I think I can agree
- 24 | with that.
- 25 | Q You have a masters and a bachelors degree in



- 1 | economics, right?
- 2 | A I do.
- 3 Q Go Salukis, right?
- 4 | A Nope. Cougars.
- 5 Q Cougars. Oh, I've got the wrong Illinois
- 6 University. I insulted you. I apologize.
- 7 A Yeah. No. It's all right. SIUE.
- 8 Q You agree, do you not, that the cleared market
- 9 | price in every hour in an RTO market, like SPP or
- 10 MISO, is based on the variable production costs of
- 11 | the most expensive unit bit into the market in that
- 12 | hour that's needed to cover load in that hour? Do
- 13 | you agree with that?
- 14 A I think that's correct.
- 15 | O Which means that all of the other units
- 16 dispatched in that hour are receiving a price that
- 17 | is higher than their marginal cost of production
- 18 | because they're not on the margin, right?
- 19 A You're quickly going beyond my expertise on the
- 20 | SPP market.
- 21 | O Well, if the market price is set by the most
- 22 | expensive unit, let's say the most expensive unit
- 23 | has a production cost of \$20, then every other unit
- 24 | that has a production cost of 19.99 or less is
- 25 | getting some margin, right?



- 1 | A I think -- I think I can agree with that.
- 2 | Q I mean, as an economist, I think that has to
- 3 be, right?
- 4 A I think so.
- 5 | Q So the price that those nonmarginal units are
- 6 getting, the margin that they're getting, except for
- 7 | the five percent sharing in the fuel adjustment
- 8 | clause, that margin is going back to customers
- 9 | through the fuel adjustment clause, is it not?
- 10 A Off the top of my head, I -- I -- I don't know.
- 11 | Q I think you agreed earlier that when we come up
- 12 | with a revenue requirement, usually a
- 13 (indiscernible) requirement, and what we are trying
- 14 to do is set a revenue requirement that's going to
- 15 | be reflective of the cost the utility would actually
- 16 incur when base rates are set. That's what we're at
- 17 | least trying to do, right?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 Q Given that Evergy has had a series of rate
- 20 cases over the past several years, as has Ameren,
- 21 Missouri, for that matter, that Staff has found rate
- 22 | increases of some level are needed in most if not
- 23 | all of those cases.
- It apparently is the case that those revenue
- 25 requirements are not sufficient to cover the cost



1	once rates are in effect, right; otherwise, you
2	wouldn't need to come in and change rates again,
3	right?
4	A Well, I don't know the history of the rate
5	case, but I do know that both Ameren and Evergy have
6	fuel adjustment clause that requires them to come in
7	for a rate case within a certain amount of time
8	regardless of whether or not they are meeting all of
9	their cost of service.
10	And there could be they could have been
11	meeting it and then over the last year, they may not
12	have been meeting it.
13	So but generally speaking, if an increase in
14	rates is required, it's because they did not meet
15	their trued up or their revenue requirement cost of
16	service within the test year.
17	Q The revenue requirement that was set in the
18	last case is no longer good enough to meet the cost
19	of service, right; otherwise, you wouldn't need a
20	rate increase, right?
21	A I believe that's probably correct.
22	Q And and Ameren Missouri and Evergy have been
23	coming in significantly more often than they have to
24	come in under the fuel adjustment clause statute,
25	right, which requires them to come in every four



- 1 | years, right?
- 2 A Yeah. It's amazing how much more the utilities
- 3 | are coming in nowadays.
- 4 Q Would you agree that the fact that they are
- 5 | having to come in pretty often, more often than the
- 6 | fuel adjustment clause statute require, and that
- 7 | they're justifying rate increases because that prior
- 8 | revenue requirement didn't turn out to be sufficient
- 9 to reflect the cost, would you agree that their
- 10 | thereby incurring negative regulatory lag until
- 11 | those rates can be reset again?
- 12 A I think at some level, you know, there is a
- 13 | little bit of regulatory lag and there have been
- 14 | various mechanisms that have been passed by the
- 15 General Assembly to address those that have eased
- 16 | the burden of regulatory lag to the utilities.
- 17 Q Well, despite those mechanisms, they're still
- 18 | justifying rate increases on a pretty frequent
- 19 basis, and so those mechanisms are not eliminating
- 20 | that negative regulatory lag, are they?
- 21 A I didn't say eliminate it, but it definitely
- 22 | made it less burdensome to the utility to the
- 23 detriment of the consumers.
- 24 Q Well, it may have been really terrible before,
- 25 and it isn't as bad now. Is that what you're



- 1 saying?
- 2 A No. I said (indiscernible.)
- 3 Q As an economist, would you agree that this
- 4 | regulatory lag, positive and negative, provides an
- 5 | incentive for utilities to control costs and operate
- 6 | efficiently?
- 7 | A I think that is an argument for regulatory lag.
- 8 | Q I mean, that's the basic premise of regulatory
- 9 | lag and public utility rate making, isn't it?
- 10 A I think so.
- 11 | Q Do you disagree with it?
- 12 A No.
- 13 | Q Positive regulatory lag will increase earnings.
- 14 | So if the utility can control costs and be more
- 15 efficient then shareholders can gain, right?
- 16 A The general principle, yes.
- 17 Q And for the same reason, negative regulatory
- 18 | lag provides that (indiscernible) because the higher
- 19 utilities cost, the less efficient it is. The less
- 20 | it controls cost, the less shareholders can earn,
- 21 | right?
- 22 A I think so.
- 23 | Q Staff's proposal regarding (indiscernible)
- 24 | positive regulatory lag is a one-way tracker, is it
- 25 | not?



1 I think that the potential for positive Α 2 regulatory lag you could do to the size of the 3 customers that would be coming on board will dwarf 4 anything that was -- that we've seen before. So I 5 think that's where Staff is coming from that. 6 Yeah, but you didn't answer my question. question was (indiscernible) it is, right? 7 8 Α I believe that's (indiscernible) because the 9 regulatory lag, the positive, is one way. So if there's -- if there's X dollars of new 10 11 revenues coming from large load customers but their 12 offsetting cost increases going on in utility's 13 business, your tracker's only going to take into 14 account the revenues for large load customers and 15 completely ignore the cost increases (indiscernible) 16 business? 17 I don't -- I don't think -- I don't believe But I don't know. 18 that's the case. I didn't think 19 it would -- just look at the positive side. Τf 20 costs are going up, I think that would be considered 21 as well. 2.2 So let me ask you a question about that, 23 then --2.4 MADAM COURT REPORTER: Can we hold on one 25 second? Sorry, my --



```
1
                        Audio difficulty.]
     [Reporter's note:
 2.
               MR. LOWERY:
                            Absolutely.
 3
               MADAM COURT REPORTER: Okay, I appreciate
 4
     that.
 5
     BY MR. LOWERY:
 6
          Let me just explore your answer just a little
 7
           As I understand the proposal, and you may
 8
     understand it differently or maybe you don't fully
 9
     understand it, but as I understand the proposal, it
10
     would take the positive regulatory lag the utility
11
     may experience from incremental large load customer
12
     revenues that weren't baked into the prior rate
     case -- are you with me so far?
13
14
     Α
          Yes.
15
          -- and defer it to a regulatory liability,
16
     right?
17
          I believe that's correct.
     Α
          And the idea, then, would be when you get to a
18
19
     rate case after that regulatory liability has been
20
     built up, utility would have to dollar-for-dollar,
21
     probably over a multiyear amortization that the
2.2
     Commission decides upon, but would have to
23
     dollar-for-dollar reduce its revenue requirement
2.4
     equal to those incremental large load revenues,
25
     right?
```



- 1 A I would -- think that's correct, but to -- for
- 2 | a better understanding of it, I would also defer to
- 3 | Staff Witness Lange on this.
- 4 | Q But you think that's how it works, right?
- 5 A I think that's just how that works, but, you
- 6 know, we've -- yeah.
- 7 | Q If at the same time, let's say we have two
- 8 | years between a rate case, and we have X dollars of
- 9 | incremental large load revenues that weren't baked
- 10 | into the prior revenue requirement, let's say that
- 11 | utility is incurring negative regulatory lag --
- 12 despite the existence of PISA. PISA doesn't get rid
- 13 of all the regulatory lag on capital embezzlement,
- 14 | does it?
- 15 A Maybe not all of it, but they get a lot -- it
- 16 | gets a lot of --
- 17 | Q It's 85 percent or at least 15 percent, right?
- 18 A Okay.
- 19 | Q And on the -- well, is that right?
- 20 A I think so.
- 21 | O And utilities are investing a lot in their
- 22 systems these days, do you not? So 15 percent of
- 23 | 500 million or a billion dollars is a lot of money,
- 24 | right?
- 25 | A Yes.



1 So let's say at the same -- during that same 0 2 interval when the large load customer incremental 3 revenues are being tracked in Staff's tracker, the 4 utility incurs 50 million dollars of lag despite 5 PISA, the utilities transmission cost go up 15 or 6 20 million dollars a year. It's Union contracts 7 causes wages to go up 15 or 20 million dollars, 8 whatever the numbers are. 9 Under Staff's proposal, those three instances 10 of regulatory lag, negative regulatory lag the 11 Commission -- the utility is experiencing, the 12 Staff's not proposing to track those, are they? 13 I don't remember that we are, and I would suggest that the utility can always come in for a 14 15 rate case if they are suffering from that level of 16 regulatory lag. There's nothing preventing the

Q Well, there's nothing preventing the Staff from bringing a complaint case to lower rates if you think the utility is earning more than it should, more than a fair return, is there?

utility from coming in for a rate case.

A For Staff to do the work to determine whether or not the utility was over earnings and then to file a complaint and then the whole process of complaint to fruition, is a much more complex



17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

process and is not subject to, from my
understanding, the 11-month window that a rate case
is.

So even though Staff parties could do that, the time and effort for a party to make that adjustment, to make that recommendation to the Commission and for the Commission to agree to that change of rates is a much more complex, more difficult and -- than the utility asking for rates in the normal process.

Q But under Staff's proposal, you could have, let's say, \$50 million of incremental large load customer revenues that have to be deferred to this regular liability, and they're going to be given back to that rate case under Staff proposal. And the utility, even if it comes and files a rate case really quickly, could also have \$50 million of other lag that's going the other way.

And utility is going to eat that negative lag between those rate cases, but they're going to give back the positive regulatory lag through that deferral in that next rate case. That's Staff's proposal, isn't it?

- A I would defer Ms. Lange for a complete discretion on what that -- how that would work.
- Q Don't you understand that that's Staff's



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

```
1
     proposal?
 2
          I -- yeah, there are a lot of evidence.
 3
          Are you telling me you didn't understand the
 4
     proposal when it was made?
 5
                              Objection, argumentative.
               MR. PRINGLE:
 6
               MADAM COURT REPORTER:
                                       Mr. Pringle, I
 7
     didn't get that first objection.
 8
               MR. PRINGLE:
                              Sorry. Both were
 9
     argumentative.
10
               MADAM COURT REPORTER:
                                       Okav.
11
               REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: And both are
12
     sustained.
13
                              Thank you, Judge.
               MR. PRINGLE:
14
     BY MR. LOWERY:
15
          Mr. Busch, you're aware, are you not, that
16
     Evergy has requested in a number of cases over the
17
     last decade or so a transmission cost tracker, and
18
     the Staff's opposed every one of those requests, are
19
     you not?
20
          (Indiscernible) crossroads?
     Α
21
          No.
     0
2.2
          Then I'm not aware of that.
23
          If Evergy gets one or more large load customers
2.4
     to come onto its system in the next few years, what
25
     would be your expectation regarding whether such
```



1 customer's load will be at its ultimate peak demand 2 on day one of their operations versus whether that 3 demand would likely ramp up over a number of years? 4 It's my understanding that these loads ramp up 5 over a series of up to five years, I believe. 6 It's, generally, not the case -- let's say we have a 500-megawatt facility that's always going to 7 8 be used, it's generally not the case that on day one 9 with a data center under large loads open that its 10 operating at 500 megawatts, isn't that right? 11 That's my understanding. Α 12 Your Honor, give me just a MR. LOWERY: second, please, if you would. 13 That's all the 14 questions I have. Thank you, Mr. Busch. 15 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: We are going to take a ten-minute break and come back at 3:35. 16 17 [Break at 3:22 p.m. until 3:38 p.m.] 18 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: How about 19 That sounds good. Evergy? 20 MR. FISCHER: Okay, thank you. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.2 BY MR. FISCHER: 2.3 Good afternoon, Mr. Busch. Q 2.4 Α Good afternoon, Mr. Fischer. 25 Thanks for coming back. The good news is, I

- 1 | was able to cut down a lot of the cross after I
- 2 | listened to your conversation with Mr. Lowery. So
- 3 | let's go back to a higher level, and hopefully, we
- 4 | can get done fairly quickly.
- 5 A Okay.
- 6 | Q Why don't we just start at the beginning of
- 7 | your rebuttal testimony at line 22.
- 8 | A Page 1?
- 9 | Q Yeah, on page 1. There, you say (as read,) I
- 10 | also provide a broad overview to the Commission on
- 11 | the Staff's concerns with not only the Evergy's
- 12 | proposed large power service tariff but the entire
- 13 | concept of large load customers building facilities
- 14 | in Missouri. Do you see that?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q I think I would like to visit with you about
- 17 | that entire concept of large load customers building
- 18 | facilities in Missouri, if that's okay.
- 19 | A Sure.
- 20 | Q Would you turn to page 5 of your rebuttal
- 21 | testimony at lines 15 through 17?
- 22 A I'm there.
- 23 Q At that point in your testimony --
- MR. FISCHER: Oh, by the way, for the
- 25 | court reporter, this is Jim Fischer. I don't think



- 1 | I identified myself again, and if I go too fast,
- 2 | please stop me, okay.
- 3 BY MR. FISCHER:
- 4 | Q At line -- at line 15 there on page 5, you're
- 5 asked the question (as read,) But are not the
- 6 | economic advantages locating large data centers in
- 7 | Missouri worth the risk?
- 8 And then you go on to answer the question (as
- 9 read,) Not in my opinion. Is that right?
- 10 A That is correct.
- 11 | Q And now, is that the Staff's opinion, too?
- 12 You're speaking for the Staff?
- 13 | A I think it's fair to say I'm speaking for Staff
- $14 \mid \text{there.}$
- 15 O Okay. And this is the first case I think
- 16 | that's gone to hearing on large load tariffs in
- 17 | Missouri, is that right?
- 18 A I mean, I'm thinking about the MKT tariff, and
- 19 I think that went to hearing. And that was large
- 20 | load. But --
- 21 | O Well --
- 22 A -- to this is the first one which is called a
- 23 | large load tariff extent --
- 24 0 That's fair.
- 25 A -- I just -- yeah. Yeah.



- 1 Q Okay. But this is the first one, which I would
- 2 | call the large load tariff that we're doing pursuant
- 3 | to SB4?
- 4 A I would agree with that, yes.
- 5 Q Okay. Now, if I understood some of the answers
- 6 | to Mr. Lowery, is it correct to say that Staff's
- 7 | conceptual tariff provisions were developed by the
- 8 | Staff personnel whose names are listed there in the
- 9 | Staff report?
- 10 A That is correct.
- 11 | Q And if I also understood your testimony, I
- 12 | think you said Sarah Lange took the ball and ran
- 13 | with it. And you were talking about particularly
- 14 | the tariff provisions at that point, is that right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 | O So is she's a principle author of the tariffs?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 | Q Okay. Now, prior to filing the Staff report in
- 19 | this case, did Staff provide the department of
- 20 | economic development with a summary or a copy of the
- 21 | Staff's conceptual tariff provisions in this case?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q No? Okay.
- 24 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 25 Q Would you have provided them to any of the



- 1 other state agencies that you mentioned the Staff
- 2 report, the Department of Natural Resources or the
- 3 Division of Energy for that department or the
- 4 | Governor's office? Any of those folks have any
- 5 | input in the process?
- 6 A Not to my knowledge.
- 7 | Q Okay. And did Staff hire any outside
- 8 | consultants with economic development credentials to
- 9 | help develop the tariff?
- 10 A We did not.
- 11 | Q What about any outside consultants that had
- 12 experience with data centers or large load tariffs
- 13 | for data centers?
- 14 | A We did not.
- 15 Q So, essentially, Staff relied on its own
- 16 | in-house employees, as you often do, to draft the
- 17 | proposed tariffs in this case without input from
- 18 other outside experts or other agencies, right?
- 19 A Other than the input that we've received over
- 20 | the years working on tariffs, class cost of service
- 21 | rate design, et cetera.
- 22 Q Do you happen to know if any other states have
- 23 | adopted the type of regulatory approach that the
- 24 | Missouri Staff has taken in this case for large load
- 25 | tariffs?



- 1 A I, personally, do not know.
- 2 Q Okay. You couldn't point -- I know you
- 3 | mentioned several tariffs -- several other states.
- 4 | I think Ohio and a couple of others in your
- 5 | testimony. But those are not like the ones that you
- 6 | provided here, right?
- 7 | A I don't believe so.
- 8 | Q Okay. Would you agree that the Staff's
- 9 approach could be characterized as a novel approach?
- 10 A A novel approach, I think I could agree with
- 11 | that.
- 12 | Q Okay. On page 2 of your rebuttal and page 10,
- 13 | you state -- and I'll let you get there.
- 14 | A Page 2, line 10?
- 15 | Q Yeah, line 10.
- 16 | A Okay.
- 17 | Q You say (as read,) First, Evergy, as well as
- 18 other utilities across Missouri and throughout the
- 19 United States, are seeing massive customers,
- 20 | commonly data centers but potentially other
- 21 | hyper-scale customers approach them to move into the
- 22 | public -- into the utility service territories, is
- 23 | that right?
- 24 A That is correct.
- 25 Q And what do you mean by hyper scale there?



- 1 A By that, what I'm meaning is just other large,
- 2 | massive customers with loads that are above, you
- 3 | know, 25 megawatts above -- basically, why we're
- 4 here, right? Yeah, so massive load customers, and
- 5 | we're not used to seeing them.
- 6 Q Did you happen to have time to read Mr. Gunn's
- 7 | testimony where he discussed the fact that the
- 8 | Company's working with over 20 perspective large
- 9 load customers with more than six gigawatts of
- 10 incremental demand that are interested and located
- 11 | in Evergy's service territory?
- 12 | A I'm familiar that there are a lot of companies
- 13 | that Evergy has been talking to, and I think six
- 14 gigawatts was brought up as what they're potential
- 15 | --
- 16 Q I think you're Staff counsel may have had a
- chart that showed just how massive the interest is
- 18 out there in our territory.
- 19 A I think it was, actually, Mister
- 20 (indiscernible) --
- 21 Q Oh, I apologize. I think you're right. I'm
- 22 sorry, yeah.
- 23 A That's okay.
- 24 Q Does Staff agree that Google, other data
- 25 | centers or other large load customers have a choice



1 about where they locate their facilities? 2 I think that they make the decision on where to 3 locate their facilities based upon a myriad of 4 factors, yes. 5 Well, for example, it could -- they could 6 locate in Evergy's Missouri territory, or they could 7 locate just across the state line and located in 8 Evergy's Kansas service territory, right? I mean, I think there's going to be limits to 9 10 where they can -- you know, as was discussed 11 earlier, you have to have -- you know, there's a lot 12 of water that is being used so you have to have 13 water resources. 14 I think they have to have, you know, a good 15 backbone of infrastructure for other -- other than 16 just electricity. So they can -- they can go to 17 various places that have -- that meet all the 18 requirements that they have. So I don't think they 19 can just go anywhere. 20 And electricity is, certainly -- will be one of 21 the things they'll look at, right? 2.2 Α Yes, it is. 23 Okay. If we go to page 2 of your rebuttal 2.4 testimony, there -- at line 18, you state (as read,)

25

Second, during the last legislative session, the

1 Missouri General Assembly pasted that Governor Mike 2 Kehoe signed, Senate Bill 4, which you refer to as 3 SB4. 4 While SB4 has many provisions, it has one 5 section that states all investor-owned utilities 6 must have tariffs in effect dealing with customers 7 with large loads. Is that right? 8 Α That is correct. Does that section of SB4 indicate to you that 9 10 it's the policy of the state of Missouri that this 11 Commission develop tariffs for dealing with 12 customers with large loads? 13 I think it means that -- in my understanding 14 that the -- it's the Commission's responsibility to 15 develop tariffs that are fair and equitable to the 16 large load customers and the entire rate base -- you 17 know, all of the rate customers within the state of Missouri, not just large load. 18 19 And the public utilities themselves are being 20 required by SB4 to develop and have those tariffs 21 approved by the Commission, right? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 Okay. Do you believe that the legislature 2.4 would have adopted a requirement that the Commission

25

approve large load tariffs if the legislator

1	believed that the whole concept of data center
2	customers or other large load customers in Missouri
3	was a bad idea?
4	A I think when you take that into consideration
5	with the requirements to ensure that the rest of the
6	rate there, the rest of the captive customers are
7	not subsidizing unreasonably the largest data.
8	I think that there was a there was a concern
9	that they want to bring in economic development
10	through data centers. But they want to do to it
11	properly and fairly to ensure that all other
12	customers are not unjustly burdened.
13	Q So if I understood your answer, you would agree
14	that the legislature wasn't saying keep data centers
15	out of Missouri?
16	A I don't believe that's what they were saying.
17	I believe that's what Staff is saying.
18	Q Do you believe that the legislature would have
19	adopted a requirement that the Commission approved
20	large load tariffs if the legislature believed that
21	the economic risks were just too great to have data
22	centers in Missouri?
23	MS. HANSEN: Objection. Calls for
24	speculation.
25	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: (Inaudible.)



- 1 A You know, there was a lot of -- a lot of give
- 2 | and take and a lot of discussion with Senate Bill 4,
- 3 | and so I, you know, it would be hard pressed for me
- 4 | to understand exactly what the legislator did or
- 5 | didn't want.
- 6 BY MR. FISCHER:
- 7 | Q It's not the role of the Staff here in
- 8 Missouri, though, to pick winners or losers in
- 9 | Missouri's economy, right?
- 10 A I don't believe that's the role of Staff or the
- 11 | role of the Commission. I don't think government at
- 12 | all should be picking winners and losers.
- 13 | Q Okay. That's fair. Do you still have that
- 14 | Staff report that Mr. Lowery gave you?
- 15 A No, I got rid of that.
- 16 MR. FISCHER: Could I ask Mr. Lowery to
- 17 | give you that?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MR. FISCHER: And I was going to ask you
- 20 | to turn to page 6, line 13 and 14 of that Staff
- 21 | report.
- 22 | A Page 6, lines 13 to 14?
- 23 | Q Yeah, that's where I'm going.
- 24 | A I'm there.
- 25 | O Okay. There, it says, Staff does not take a

- 1 position on the propriety of serving any given
- 2 | potential customer of a regulated utility, is that
- 3 | correct?
- 4 A That is correct.
- 5 Q And that statement would be true for a whole
- 6 | industry like the data center industry?
- 7 | A I believe so.
- 8 0 It's not the role of Staff to recommend
- 9 | conceptual tariff provisions designed to keep
- 10 | specific customers or industries from locating in
- 11 | Missouri, wouldn't you agree?
- 12 A I don't believe it's our role to create
- 13 | barriers to entry for any customer to come into the
- 14 | state.
- 15 Q Okay, great.
- 16 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I'd like to have an
- 17 exhibit marked. Thank you. Judge, I think I -- my
- 18 | number would be 107, is that -- 108, I'm sorry.
- 19 BY MR. FISCHER:
- 20 Q Mr. Busch, you testified that Governor Kehoe
- 21 | signed Senate Bill 4 that includes the requirement
- 22 | the electric companies have a large load tariff --
- 23 | I'm sorry, you -- I didn't hear.
- 24 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I'm sorry,
- 25 | Mr. Fisher, I don't mean to interrupt you. This



1 Exhibit 108, are you -- are you offering it for 2 admission? 3 No, I'm not. I'm just going MR. FISCHER: 4 to ask him some questions about whether he agrees 5 with a couple concepts. 6 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. Thank 7 you. 8 BY MR. FISCHER: 9 I'd like to show you a press release and ask 10 you a couple of questions about whether you agreed 11 with some of the statements. 12 Governor Kehoe stated with this legislation, 13 Missouri is well positioned to attract new industry, 14 support growth and maintain affordable, reliable 15 energy for our citizens. 16 My question to you is: Do you agree that the 17 large load tariffs that will be approved in this 18 case should be designed to make Missouri well 19 positioned to attract new industry? I believe Staff's tariffs do do that. 20 Α 21 So you think that that's what one of the goals 2.2 should be for the Commission, is to make sure the 2.3 tariffs are designed to attract new industry? 2.4 Α Yes.

Good.

25

Do you agree that it's the state's

- 1 | policy to attract new industry, support growth and
- 2 | maintain affordable, reliable energy for our
- 3 | citizens?
- 4 | A I have -- yeah, I think that attracting
- 5 | economic development of all sorts, not putting all
- 6 of our eggs in one basket, to have a diverse
- 7 | economic development with a diverse industry in the
- 8 | state of Missouri is ultimately a good thing for the
- 9 | state and all citizens.
- 10 0 Well, the state has an entire department that
- 11 | has the principle responsibility to promote economic
- 12 development within our state, right?
- 13 A And we used to be a part of it, that's correct.
- 14 | O Yeah. We're now called what, insurance of
- 15 | commerce?
- 16 A Commerce -- yeah, commerce and industry.
- 17 | Q Okay.
- 18 | A DCI.
- 19 | O In this case, the Commission's being asked to
- 20 review two different distinct versions of the
- 21 | conceptual tariffs to serve the large load
- 22 | customers, wouldn't you agree with that?
- 23 A Yes. There are two different tariff mechanisms
- 24 that are in front of the Commission at this stage.
- 25 Q The Evergy tariff was attached to Brad Lutz's



- 1 | testimony, and I believe the second conceptual
- 2 | tariff developed by the Commission Staff was
- 3 attached to the Staff report at Appendix 2,
- 4 | Schedules 1 through 5. Is that what your
- 5 understanding is?
- 6 A I believe so. I think they've both been
- 7 | modified through a stipulation for Evergy, and then
- 8 | we've modified ours on surrebuttal with Ms. Lange's
- 9 testimony.
- 10 O And I wasn't sure if I caught the answer, but
- 11 | did you tell Mr. Lowery that Staff did not begin
- 12 | with the Evergy tariff and make track changes and
- 13 | then redline it, or did you say that they did that?
- 14 A I know that we provided some redlines to Evergy
- 15 when they provided us information back in February.
- 16 | I don't know if it was a redline to the actual
- 17 | tariff or redline to concepts. I mean, that's been
- 18 | six, seven months ago. I cannot remember exactly.
- 19 O Right. But the tariff that the Staff filed and
- 20 | is recommending in this case is not that marked up
- 21 | version of Evergy's tariff?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q They are considerably different, distinct, the
- 24 | two versions, right?
- 25 A I believe so.



1	Q Well, in evaluating these two tariff
2	approaches, would you agree that the Commission
3	should approve a tariff that appropriately balances
4	the risks and the benefits presented by new large
5	load customers?
6	A I believe the Commission should take into
7	consideration all aspects of what their duty is to
8	make sure that the rates that are charged to all
9	consumers are just and reasonable and not unduly
10	discriminatory.
11	Q And promote the public interest, right?
12	A Promote the public interest, absolutely.
13	Q And would you agree the part of that public
14	interest is economic development for Missouri?
15	A I do believe economic development can be
16	considered part of the public interest.
17	Q Would you also agree that tariffs should
18	establish reasonable protections and safeguards for
19	existing customers?
20	A I 100 percent agree that that has to be taken
21	into consideration.
22	Q And would you agree that the tariffs should be
23	designed to reasonably ensure that the new large
24	load customers will pay their fair share of the



25

system costs associated with serving their loads?

1 I think the new tariff should be -- allow for Α 2 all sorts of large load customers to come in, and 3 they should -- yeah, they should have to pay for 4 their loads. 5 Would you agree that the tariff should provide 6 a competitive rate program that will help drive 7 economic development in the state of Missouri? 8 Α I think that it should be a just and reasonable rate, and so I think -- you know, I get a little 9 10 nervous over time when you start using utility rates 11 for broader economic goals. 12 But I believe you did say that's part of the 13 public interest. It's part of the public interest, but it's not 14 15 the sole. And I think affordability is part of the 16 public interest as well. 17 And so if you go down a path where you're giving competitive rates, which would be lower and 18 19 lower rates for a particular class that causes the 20 rates for, say, residentials or small general 21 service customers to go up so the affordability 2.2 becomes a problem, then I think you have to be --23 you have to weigh those interests. And those are 2.4 very distinct interest.

25

And you believe the Commission could do that?

1 That's what they do --2 I believe that is --3 -- throughout ---- the Commission's role. 4 5 -- history, right? Do you believe that it's 6 important that the approved tariff be supported by a diverse range of stakeholders including the 7 8 customers that will be served under the tariff 9 provisions? 10 I think there's a lot more stakeholders that 11 should be involved in that determination than just 12 those customers and the utility, yes. 13 Well, let's -- well, we'll go there in a Okav. 14 But you're suggesting there's not enough 15 people involved in this room, is that what you're 16 saying? 17 Well, I know when we get into a rate case, 18 we're going to have other large industrial 19 customers. I think we have some of those in the 20 Ameren case. 21 Other than public counsel, I don't think 2.2 there's any consumer groups that are involved that 23 are going to be impacted by how these rates are 2.4 ultimately developed and how those will impact the

overall rates.

25

1 So, yeah, there is a large amount of 2 stakeholders who are generally in electric --3 utility rate cases that are going to be impacted by 4 the decisions that are made out of these cases. 5 Is it -- is it your understanding that 6 typically the Commission balances the interests of 7 customers and shareholders of the Company? 8 Α That is their task. And the goal is to set just and reasonable 9 10 rates for the customers of the regulated Company and 11 promote the public interest, right? 12 All customers, yes. Α 13 Now, it's your understanding that Evergy, 14 Google, the Data Center Collision and several other 15 parties that are in this room, Nucor Steel, Velvet 16 Tech, Renew Missouri, Sierra Club, Ameren Missouri, 17 and the Empire District Electric Company have entered into a nonunanimous stipulation and 18 19 agreement, which does recommend the approval of a 20 modified version of the Evergy tariff, right? 21 That is my understanding. Α 2.2 And staff's not a signatory, clearly? 23 That is correct. Α 2.4 Evergy, I think we've all heard in the opening, 25 has also entered into a unanimous agreement in

- 1 | Kansas before the Kansas Corporation Commission,
- 2 | which recommends that the KCC approved a similar
- 3 | large load tariff that would affect Evergy's large
- 4 | load customers in Kansas, is that right?
- 5 A That is my understanding.
- 6 Q Now, Mr. Gunn attached that stipulation for
- 7 | Kansas to his testimony. Did you happen to look at
- 8 | that?
- 9 A I was able to glance at it. I wasn't able to
- 10 | read it very closely.
- 11 | Q Okay. Well, let's -- I'd like to show it to
- 12 you just for a minute. And I'm going to refer to
- 13 Mr. Gunn's schedule -- I'm going to refer to
- 14 Mr. Gunn's schedule KDS1 and pages 34, 35 and 36.
- 15 | It'll be a simple task because --
- 16 A That's a lot of paper for a simple task.
- 17 | Q I just -- I just want you to ask -- or to
- 18 verify that that's the signature page to the
- 19 stipulation and that the Commission Staff at the KCC
- 20 | is a signatory to it.
- 21 A Yes, excuse me, it appears so.
- 22 | Q And is the citizen's utility rate board curve,
- 23 which is a column over there, the equivalent of our
- 24 | public counsel a signatory?
- 25 A Yes, they are.



- 1 | Q And is Evergy a signatory?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 | Q And Google is?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 | Q And the Data Center Collision is?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q And are there some large industrial customers
- 8 represented there?
- 9 A It appears so.
- 10 | O Would you read those or tell me who they are?
- 11 A It looks like Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company,
- 12 | the Kansas Industrial Consumer's Group, which I
- don't exactly who's all included in that.
- 14 Associated Purchasing Services, I'm not
- 15 | familiar with them. Occidental Chemical Corp., and
- 16 | Spirit Aero Systems, Inc. And then it looks like,
- 17 one, two, three, four, four school districts and a
- 18 paper company.
- 19 Q Okay. And is there anybody else? Is Sierra
- 20 | Club on there?
- 21 A Sierra Club is also on there as well.
- 22 | Q And NDRC [sic]?
- 23 | A NRDC as well, yes.
- 24 | Q Anybody else that I missed?
- 25 A That appears to be everybody.



1 So that diverse group, at least, is 0 Okay. 2 recommending that the Commission in Kansas approve 3 the tariff that is very to the one in Missouri, 4 right? 5 I believe so, but I also -- it's my understanding and Staff as witnesses that can 6 7 further discuss the differences between the 8 regulatory structure and Kansas versus Missouri as 9 well. 10 So there might not be similarities, 11 necessarily, between Kansas and Missouri that allow 12 these consumers to agree to that. 13 You're not the witness who would talk about 14 that, though, right? 15 I am not the witness. I think Ms. Lange or Mr. Α 16 Lubert would be happy to talk about the differences. 17 Is it your understanding that both the Missouri stipulation and the Kansas stipulation recommend the 18 19 approval of a rate design that includes the same 20 rate elements as per charge, facility's charge, 21 those kinds of rate elements? 2.2 I haven't looked at them that closely to be 23 able to opine on that. 2.4 Have you read the position statements in this 25

case?

- 1 A Not all of them.
- 2 Q Is it your understanding that Google the Data
- 3 | Center Collision and Velvet Tech are not supporting
- 4 | the adoption of Staff's proposed conceptual tariffs
- 5 | in this case?
- 6 A I think that's my understanding.
- 7 | Q And it's also your understanding, I'm sure from
- 8 | your cross from Mr. Lowery, that Ameren's not
- 9 | supporting the Staff's proposed conceptual tariffs,
- 10 | right?
- 11 | A I got that feeling today, yes.
- 12 Q Now, customers like Google or other data
- 13 | centers could choose to locate in Kansas City,
- 14 | Missouri, or they could go to Kansas, right?
- 15 A Assuming location and everything else, yes.
- 16 There are other factors they could consider but,
- 17 yes.
- 18 Q Let's assume that the KCC adopts the proposed
- 19 unanimous stipulation that's pending in the Kansas
- 20 | load case. Would you make that assumption with me?
- 21 | A Okay.
- 22 | Q And let's also assume that the Missouri
- 23 | Commission adopts the Staff's recommendations on the
- 24 | tariff provision in this case. You understand my
- 25 | assumption so far?



- 1 A I believe so.
- 2 | Q Now, under those assumptions, wouldn't you
- 3 | expect the large load customers to locate their
- 4 | facilities in Kansas rather than Missouri, holding
- 5 other factors constant?
- 6 | A I don't know necessarily. I mean, there are a
- 7 lot of factors that I think the data centers would
- 8 | be looking at when they decide to locate in one area
- 9 | versus another area.
- 10 And so I believe it would be a factor that they
- 11 | would consider, but it may not be the deciding
- 12 | factor.
- 13 | O Well, let me ask you this, under those
- 14 assumptions and based upon the testimony they filed
- in this case, would you expect the large load
- 16 customers to locate their new facilities in Kansas
- 17 | rather than Missouri?
- 18 A I don't know that I would expect them to.
- 19 | Q No? Okay.
- 20 MR. FISCHER: Your Honor, I think that's
- 21 | all the guestions I have. Thank you.
- 22 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Any other
- 23 | Commission questions? Okay. Okay, recross? Do we
- 24 | have any recross?
- MR. LOWERY: There's no bench guestions.



- 1 So there would be no recross. You'd move directly
- 2 | to redirect.
- REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I'm so glad
- 4 | you're here. Redirect?
- 5 MS. HANSEN: Thank you. Just a few
- 6 | questions for redirect.
- 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MS. HANSEN:
- 9 | Q Mr. Busch, are you aware of Ameren providing
- 10 | Staff a copy of its proposal before filing an
- 11 | ET-2025-0184?
- 12 A I cannot remember if they did or did not.
- 13 | Q That's fair. Did Staff ask Evergy who
- 14 | potential customers were?
- 15 A I believe we did.
- 16 | O Did Evergy provide that information?
- 17 A They did not provide us a list of the
- 18 | customers.
- 19 | O Did Staff ask intervening customers about
- 20 | expected energy demand requirements?
- 21 A We asked a lot of questions. I cannot remember
- 22 exactly if we asked that question. I would assume
- 23 | we did, but I don't know for sure.
- 24 | O Does Staff -- does Staff's deferral -- does
- 25 | Staff's deferral recommend remove recovery on rate



- 1 | base or double recovery?
- 2 A I'm sorry, could you repeat that, please?
- 3 Q Absolutely. Does Staff's deferral
- 4 | recommendation remove recovery on rate base or
- 5 | double recovery?
- 6 | A I'm sorry, I just -- I'm not quite catching
- 7 | what you're asking me. I'm sorry.
- 8 | Q All right, I'm gong to try it one more way.
- 9 Does Staff's deferral recommendation mitigate the
- 10 | risk of double recovery?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 | O All right. Is the utility compensated for
- 13 | avoided kilowatt hours under MIA?
- 14 | A I believe so.
- 15 | Q Is Evergy requesting to retain all additional
- 16 | revenues under LLPS?
- 17 A I believe so.
- 18 Q Is the utility compensated for generation not
- 19 | built under MIA?
- 20 | A Yes.
- 21 | O Is Staff's concerns with variable loads that it
- 22 | is unpredictable or that data centers can exacerbate
- 23 | peaks?
- MR. LOWERY: Objection. All these
- 25 | questions are leading.



1 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Overruled. 2 MADAM COURT REPORTER: Who asked the 3 objection? 4 Jim Lowery. MR. LOWERY: 5 MADAM COURT REPORTER: Okay, thank you. 6 Sorry. 7 Could you repeat that question? 8 BY MS. HANSEN: Is Staff's concerns with variable 9 Absolutely. 10 loads that it's unpredictable or that data centers 11 can exacerbate peaks? 12 Yes. Α 13 Do utilities time rate to coincide with the 14 addition of new plant not considered in a previous 15 rate case? 16 Could you repeat that, please? Α 17 Absolutely. Do utilities time -- do utilities time rate cases to coincide with the addition of new 18 19 plant not considered in a previous rate case? 20 Yes. Α 21 Are you aware if units can be bid as price 2.2 takers and may generate at a loss? 2.3 Are you asking can certain generation Α 2.4 facilities have a loss? I believe that's correct. 25 Would you defer to Sarah, Jay (phonetic) --



1	sorry, Sarah, Jay, Mike or Shawn on this?
2	A I would definitely defer to other Staff experts
3	on this.
4	Q Is Staff's recommendation meant to address the
5	risks you discussed on the stand?
6	A Yes. It is Staff is you know, we have
7	risks that we think that are out there that the data
8	centers can bring. And that is we don't want to
9	create barriers to entry to other large customers or
10	other industrial customers at all.
11	So it is my opinion that Staff has developed a
12	proposal that will address those concerns.
13	Q Is it Staff's position that the Commission only
14	has two options, approve the stip or order Staff's
15	recommendation?
16	A No. I think as it was pointed out, you
17	know, I think there could be bits and pieces of both
18	that could be utilized. I think the Commission has
19	the ability and the authority to develop the
20	appropriate tariff that they think is right.
21	If there are aspects of the Evergy tariff. If
22	there are aspects of the Staff tariff or if the
23	Commission wants to put it's own way in, I think the
24	creation of a working docket would also be a
25	potential outcome of this.



1	Q Is the utility regulation in Missouri the same
2	as Kansas?
3	A I don't think that I don't think the
4	structures are the same exactly.
5	Q Do you believe that Missouri already has more
6	inherent factors making it a more attractive
7	location than Kansas? For example, more diverse
8	population, geographic variability, rural versus
9	urban?
10	A Yeah, I think I think I touched on that a
11	little bit earlier. You know, Missouri has you
12	know, we have St. Louis on the east side of the
13	state. We have Kansas City on the west side of the
14	state.
15	You know, we are fortunate to have the
16	Mississippi and Missouri rivers flowing through it.
17	You know, I think Kansas and I could be wrong,
18	I've driven through there a few times to go to
19	Colorado.
20	There's a lot of once you get out of the
21	eastern part of Kansas, there's not, you know, a lot
22	of population.
23	So I think that, you know, Missouri does offer
24	some of those other intangible benefits, not



You

25

intangible, but actual benefits of population.

1	know, other factors that would sway a large data
2	center or a large industrial customer at any level
3	to come to Missouri.
4	Q And lastly, this is the last question for you,
5	Mr. Busch, what is the most important aspect of your
6	testimony that you would like the Commission to take
7	away today?
8	A I think just to be concerned. You know, AI,
9	the data centers, you know, it's a it's a bubble.
10	I mean, it was brought up today.
11	There's a lot of money being flowed into data
12	centers and to AI and nobody sitting in this room
13	today and I don't think anybody in the world can
14	tell you where it's going to be five or ten years
15	from now.
16	And, you know, I think back to, you know, the
17	dot-com bubble of the late '90s and early 2000s
18	where everybody was pouring money into anything that
19	had a dot-com on it's name. And that bubble burst.
20	And it drove the whole country into a recession
21	at that time. The housing bubble that we
22	experienced just, you know in the late 2000s, in the
23	late aughts, a lot of money into assets. That
24	eventually hurst you know



25

This goes all the way back to the Dutch back in

1	the 1600s with the tulip bubble. This nobody
2	knows where this is going to end, and you know,
3	there are there are, you know, protections that
4	are built in, even to the Evergy plant. And I
5	acknowledge that.
6	But they are for 12, 15, 20 years. When these
7	facilities get built, they're going to be online for
8	30 or 40 years. What happens after that?
9	What happens after, you know, if those
10	facilities are no longer needed because, one, the
11	entity doesn't exist anymore, or, two, they find a
12	more efficient way to do this?
13	You know, we saw that happen earlier this year
14	with DeepSeek, right. It's my guess that Google and
15	Meta and all of those are fiercely looking for a
16	way to do this process in a more efficient way.
17	They are spending millions of dollars, millions
18	of dollars on electric costs. If I'm one of them,
19	I'm thinking I'm going to spend millions of dollars
20	to find ways not to keep having to spend millions of
21	dollars in electricity costs.
22	But once Evergy or once Ameren puts that steel
23	in the ground and it's there, who's going to pay for
24	it? I don't want to see Ameren go bankrupt. I



25

don't want to see Evergy go bankrupt. I don't want

to see the rest of the rate payers have to pay for it.

So I think what the takeaway is, just be cautious and make sure that you, Commission, you make a decision that will set the state up so we're not putting all of our eggs into one basket, so that we attract data centers when we need them, we attract other industrial customers.

They're going to be -- industries that we don't even know are going to be able to exist in the next 10 or 15 years, probably.

Let's make sure that all those customers have a place to come in Missouri so we can develop an economy that is diverse and is in the public interest for everybody.

Q No more questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Busch.

MR. PRINGLE: And, Judge Walker, just a quick clarification having to go back to when Evergy Witness Jason Klindt was on the stand, the DR that Chair Hahn was asking about, it was not the DR92 it was DR34, which is still in the Appendix attached to Mr. Busch's testimony, Appendix 2 Schedule 5. It is not the complete response to that DR, due to the complete response having potential highly



2.2

2.4

1	confidential information. But that is where the DR
2	that Chair Hahn was asking questions about earlier,
3	that's where it's currently at.
4	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Staff, would
5	you like to call your next witness?
6	MR. PRINGLE: Yes. Just looking for our
7	order of witness right now to figure out who we're
8	calling next.
9	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: You have
10	Shawn Lange listed here.
11	MR. PRINGLE: There we go, Judge Walker.
12	Thank you very much. Staff calls Mr. Lange to the
13	stand.
14	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Mr. Lange,
15	can you raise your right hand? Do you swear to tell
16	the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth
17	so help you God?
18	THE WITNESS: I do.
19	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: You may be
20	seated.
21	SHAWN E. LANGE,
22	THE WITNESS HEREINBEFORE NAMED, having
23	been first duly cautioned and sworn to tell the
24	truth testified as follows, to-wit:
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION



- 1 BY MR. PRINGLE:
- 2 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Lange.
- 3 A Good afternoon.
- 4 | Q And could you, please, state and spell your
- 5 | name for the record?
- 6 A My name is Shawn, S-H-A-W-N, E. Lange,
- 7 L-A-N-G-E.
- 8 | Q And Mr. Lange, by whom are you employed and in
- 9 | what capacity?
- 10 A I am employed by the Missouri Public Service
- 11 | Commission Staff as a senior professional engineer.
- 12 Q And are you the same Shawn Lange who
- 13 | contributed to the Staff recommendation rebuttal
- 14 report, which has been pre labeled as Staff Exhibit
- 15 | 201?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 O And are the contributions that have made to the
- 18 | Staff recommendation rebuttal report true and
- 19 | correct to the best of your belief and knowledge?
- 20 | A Yes.
- 21 | O At this time, do you have any additions or
- 22 | corrections to make to your contributions to the
- 23 | Staff recommendation and rebuttal report, which has
- 24 | been premarked Staff Exhibit 201?
- 25 A Not that I'm aware of.



1	Q Thank you, Mr. Lange.
2	MR. PRINGLE: And, Judge, since we have a
3	few more witnesses who are coming up who have
4	contributed to the report, we will not be entering
5	it on the record at this time. So I do now tender
6	Mr. Lange for cross-examination.
7	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: All right.
8	Office of Public Counsel?
9	MR. CLIZER: No questions. Thank you,
10	Your Honor.
11	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Velvet Tech
12	Services? Data Center Coalition?
13	MR. VIJAYKAR: No questions, Your Honor.
14	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Google, LLC?
15	MR. SCHULTE: No questions. Thank you.
16	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Sierra Club?
17	MS. RUBENSTEIN: No questions. Thank you.
18	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Renew
19	Missouri? Ameren Missouri?
20	MR. LOWERY: I have a few, Your Honor.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. LOWERY:
23	Q Afternoon, Mr. Lange.
24	A Good afternoon.
25	Q You, I believe, run Staff's production cost



- 1 | model in rate cases, right?
- $2 \mid A \mid I \text{ am one of the engineers that does that work.}$
- 3 | Q You have a lot of experience with production
- 4 | cost modeling in rate cases, though, is that fair to
- 5 | say?
- 6 A I've been here a while. I have --
- 7 | Q I didn't mean to date you. Am I correct that
- 8 | Staff develops historical, locational, marginal
- 9 price averages, generally speaking, but usually
- 10 | links them all to a year average of what LMPs have
- 11 been in the market and develops prices using those
- 12 | market prices that it uses to run its production
- 13 | cost model?
- 14 A Could you repeat the question, please?
- 15 | Q Well, there's some -- you know, whether it's --
- 16 | it could be the test year, it could be the trued up
- 17 | test year, it could be a multi-year average.
- 18 But Staff looks at historical, locational,
- 19 | marginal prices, in Evergy's case, it would be for
- 20 | SPP, and comes up with market prices that are an
- 21 | input into its production cost modeling, right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q And those are market prices for energy, right?
- 24 A Typically, they had, but, yes.
- 25 Q Okay. And, of course, it does the same thing



1 for fuel as well. It comes up with fuel prices and 2 it inputs those into production cost model, right? 3 Α Yes. And the model dispatches the utility's 4 5 generation using assumed fuel and variable operating 6 costs and those market energy prices for energy, 7 right? 8 And some other inputs. But, generally, yes. 9 And what you're trying to do is you're trying 10 to come up with the production -- what it cost those 11 generators that are owned by that utility that are 12 in that rate case, you're trying to figure out what those generators -- what it costs for them to 13 14 produce megawatt hours of energy, right? 15 For that normalized load based upon the fuel Α 16 prices and market prices, yes. 17 And you or, you know, Mr. Busch's team, the 18 folks that are responsible for production cost 19 modeling, you then feed those production cost 20 modeling results, those dispatch results to the 21 auditors who then use them as one of the inputs into 2.2 their revenue requirement development, right? 23 We, typically, provide the results to the Α



2.4

25

And what's the energy resource's staff role

auditing staff as well as energy resources staff.

1 What do they do with them? with those? 2 I think that goes into FAC and setting the 3 base. 4 Okay. Okay. Do you know how the auditing 5 staff uses the results of your production cost model 6 in developing the revenue requirement? They have described what they have done, but 7 8 it's been so long since I have looked at that. Ι 9 can't recall. 10 Well, let me probe that just a little bit. 11 understand, do you not, that they take your 12 production costs, you know, in Evergy's case, get 13 production cost for Hawthorne and Wolf Creek and (indiscernible) and (indiscernible) and all their 14 15 other units. They take those production costs that have been 16 17 developed through your modeling, and those 18 production costs become one of the -- one of the 19 inputs into the revenue department, right? 20 That is my understanding, yes. Α 21 And the production cost, you know, you're going 2.2 to have costs, you know, you're going to have fuel 23 and other things to run the generating plants, but 2.4 you're also going to have some revenues from all 25

system sales that's offsetting some of that cost,

- 1 | right?
- 2 A There will be revenues from the dispatch of the
- 3 units that could be inferred to be all-system sales.
- 4 | Q Okay.
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 | Q Have you ever heard of the phrase "true
- 7 | purchase power"?
- 8 A I believe so.
- 9 O Do you know what it is? When I use that term,
- 10 do you know what I mean?
- 11 A Not right -- right here right now, no.
- 12 | Q Let me ask you a few questions. You were
- around when this was happening, maybe you'll
- 14 remember.
- 15 Do you recall that there was a debate, I think
- 16 | it was about a decade or so ago, about whether or
- 17 | not all of the transmission costs that utilities
- 18 | incur from the RTO associated with energy, whether
- 19 | all of those transmission costs should be included
- 20 | in the fuel adjustment clause or whether only some
- 21 subset of the transmission costs should be included
- 22 | in the fuel adjustment clause. Do you remember
- 23 | that?
- 24 A I remember some of that debate, yes.
- 25 Q And do you recall that the Commission decided



1	that even though utilities sell all of their
2	generation into the market, all the megawatt hours
3	they produce, and then they buy all the all the
4	megawatt hours their load consumes from the market.
5	And what the what the Commission decided was
6	they were going to look at the net of those. And to
7	the extent the utility produced megawatt hours, the
8	Commission said transmission charges associated with
9	that quantity of megawatt hours are not true
10	purchase power, and whatever that percentage is,
11	we're not going to allow in the FAC. Do you recall
12	that?
13	A Vaguely. But not a great understanding of
14	that, no.
15	Q Would you agree that the cost to generate a
16	megawatt hour of electricity for Evergy is not equal
17	to the market price of energy in a given hour except
18	by coincidence?
19	A Could you repeat the question, please?
20	Q Do agree that the cost for Evergy to generate a
21	megawatt of electric power of electricity from its
22	generators will not equal the market price of energy
23	in that same hour except by coincidence?
24	A So when you say "market price," are you
25	including the losses? I guess



- 1 | Q I'm including -- I'm saying the LMP. So the
- 2 | production cost, what it cost -- what it costs
- 3 | Evergy to generate a megawatt hour of electricity in
- 4 | hour 23 on, you know, today --
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q -- September 30th or whatever --
- $7 \mid A$ Yes.
- 8 | Q -- that's not going to match the LMP in that
- 9 same hour on that day except by coincidence, is it?
- 10 A When you say "except by coincidence," if that
- 11 | unit was the last one dispatched or the last one
- 12 | dispatched to meet the load in that hour, then in
- 13 | theory, it should be the cost of that unit.
- 14 | 0 Well, the -- so the marginal unit, it would be
- 15 | for that hour, right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q But all its other units are not going to -- are
- 18 | not going to match the market price, right?
- 19 A Each unit would have a specific price, and
- 20 | those prices differ among the units.
- 21 | O Well, let me backup. I agree with you that the
- 22 | marginal unit -- if Evergy's unit is the marginal
- 23 | unit, it's going to get the market price for its
- 24 | generation, right? I agree with that.
- 25 A Yes.



- 1 | Q Right?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q So that doesn't mean that's what it costs
- 4 | Evergy to produce it, does it?
- 5 A Correct. The unit could be bid at a --
- 6 depending on the utility, depending on how the
- 7 | utility hits those units, there could be a
- 8 | difference between the bid price and the accounting
- 9 price of the fuel for that unit in that hour.
- 10 Q When you give production costs to the auditing
- 11 | Staff for the revenue requirement, you're not giving
- 12 | them a market price as the production cost for those
- 13 | units, are you? You're giving it a production cost
- 14 | based upon the various inputs, fuel, et cetera, that
- 15 you used to figure out what the dispatch would be,
- 16 | right?
- 17 A I give them the amount of fuel burn; I give
- 18 | them the fuel cost of that fuel burn; I give them an
- 19 amount of revenues associated with that fuel burn; I
- 20 | give them an amount of expense of the load in that
- 21 | hour for -- or when that fuel burn happened, if you
- 22 | will, and zip it up to the year that we are looking
- 23 at.
- 24 Q Okay. Thank you, Mr. Lange.
- MR. LOWERY: No further questions, Judge.



1	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you,
2	Mr. Lowery. Any questions from Evergy?
3	MS. WHIPPLE: No. Thank you, Judge.
4	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay.
5	Staff, do you have any redirect?
6	MR. PRINGLE: Yes, Judge. Thank you.
7	Very brief.
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. PRINGLE:
10	Q Good afternoon, again, Mr. Lange.
11	A Good afternoon.
12	Q So just kind of based on some of the discussion
13	you had with Mr. Lowery earlier, what role do fuel
14	prices play in the cost of energy to serve load?
15	A Fuel prices help to determine the dispatch
16	price of the given unit that you're looking at. So
17	in the case of, you know, production cost modeling,
18	you're looking at all of the units.
19	So we are looking at, you now, the heat-rate
20	curve for that unit, so the efficiency of that unit,
21	as well as the cost of fuel burned.
22	So it will the fuel model will come up with
23	a dispatch price for that unit and compare that to,
24	I'd say, market prices in that hour. And then based
25	on the difference there is whether or not that unit

1	gets dispatched.
2	Q And then how do market prices play in that
3	analysis?
4	A So the way Staff's production cost model is set
5	up, all of the coal plants, all of the natural gas
6	plants, they will look to a market price.
7	So there may be differences among how staff
8	models this among the different utility companies.
9	It may be such that you have a locational marginal
10	price that is solely that unit.
11	You may have an aggregate price that is, kind
12	of, the aggregate of all of the units that you're
13	comparing against.
14	But the market prices are used to dispatch
15	those units in that given hour.
16	Q And just one moment, Mr. Lange.
17	MR. PRINGLE: Nothing more from Staff,
18	Judge. Thank you very much.
19	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you.
20	Does anyone have an objection to this witness being
21	excused? Hearing no objections, Mr. Lange, you are
22	excused.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
24	MR. PRINGLE: Thank you, Judge. And I
25	think next on Staff's list is Mr. Michael Stahlman.

1	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Do you swear
2	to tell the whole truth and nothing but the truth so
3	help you God?
4	THE WITNESS: I do.
5	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: You may be
6	seated.
7	MR. PRINGLE: And may I proceed, Judge?
8	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Please.
9	MR. PRINGLE: Thank you very much.
10	MR. MICHAEL STAHLMAN,
11	THE WITNESS HEREINBEFORE NAMED, having
12	been first duly cautioned and sworn to tell the
13	truth testified as follows, to-wit:
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. PRINGLE:
16	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Stahlman.
17	A Good afternoon.
18	Q Could you, please, state and spell your name
19	for the record.
20	A Michael L. Stahlman, M-I-C-H-A-E-L, L.,
21	S-T-A-H-L-M-A-N.
22	Q Thank you, Mr. Stahlman. And by whom are you
23	employed and on what capacity?
24	A The Missouri Public Service Commission as an
25	economist.



And are you the same Michael L. Stahlman who 1 0 2 contributed to Staff's recommendation and rebuttal 3 report, which has been premarked as Staff Exhibit 4 Number 201? 5 Α Yes. 6 And today, are your contributions to that 7 recommendation and rebuttal reports true and correct 8 to the best of your belief and knowledge? 9 Δ Yes. 10 And do you have any additions or corrections to 11 make to your contributions to Exhibit 201 at this 12 time? 13 Α No. 14 Thank you, Mr. Stahlman. 0 15 MR. PRINGLE: Again, Judge Walker, we have 16 a few more witnesses coming up who contribute to the 17 So we will not be entering it on the reports. record at this time. But I do tender Mr. Stahlman 18 19 for cross-examination. 20 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Very good. 21 Office of Public Counsel? 2.2 MR. CLIZER: No questions. Thank you. 23 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Velvet Tech 2.4 Services, do you have cross-examination? 25 Center Coalition?



1	MR. VIJAYKAR: No questions, Your Honor.
	-
2	Thank you.
3	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Google, LLC?
4	MR. SCHULTE: No questions. Thank you,
5	Judge.
6	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Sierra Club?
7	MS. RUBENSTEIN: No questions. Thank you.
8	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Renew
9	Missouri? Ameren Missouri?
10	MR. LOWERY: No questions. Thanks.
11	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Evergy?
12	MR. BAILEY: No questions. Thank you.
13	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. We'll
14	go to Commissioner questions. Hearing no
15	Commissioner questions, any redirect?
16	MR. PRINGLE: No, Judge. Nothing asked.
17	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. Does
18	anyone have an objection to excusing this witness?
19	Hearing none, Mr. Stahlman, thank you. You are
20	excused.
21	MS. HANSEN: Staff would next like to call
22	Ms. Brooke Mastrogiannis, and we will need to do a
23	little bit of musical chairs as well.
24	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. Can
25	you raise your right hand, please?
-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,



1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: You do swear
3	to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
4	the truth so help you God?
5	THE WITNESS: I do.
6	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you.
7	Staff, when you're ready.
8	BROOKE MASTROGIANNIS,
9	THE WITNESS HEREINBEFORE NAMED, having
10	been first duly cautioned and sworn to tell the
11	truth testified as follows, to-wit:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. HANSEN:
14	Q Please, state and spell your name for the
15	record.
16	A B-R-O-O-K-E, M-A-S-T-R-O-G-I-A-N-N-I-S.
17	Q By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
18	A The Missouri Public Service Commission as a
19	utility regulatory audit supervisor.
20	Q Did you contribute to the Staff recommendation
21	in this case, which has been previously marked as
22	Exhibit 201?
23	A Yes.
24	Q At this time, do you have any corrections to
25	make to your portions of Exhibit 201?



- 1 A No.
- 2 | Q If I asked you the same questions today within
- 3 | your portions of Exhibit 201, would your answers be
- 4 | the same or substantially similar?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 0 Are those answers true and correct to the best
- 7 of your knowledge and belief?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 0 Thank you.
- 10 MS. HANSEN: At this time, I tender Ms.
- 11 | Mastrogiannis for cross-examination.
- 12 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: All right.
- 13 Office of Public Counsel.
- 14 MR. CLIZER: I will try and keep this
- 15 brief.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. CLIZER:
- 18 | Q Ms. Mastrogiannis -- did I get even close to
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 O Oh, thank God. You are familiar with the FAC
- 22 | mechanism, correct?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 | Q All right. I just want to have a quick couple
- 25 of questions to set the record on what the FAC is.



1 So if the utility has a fuel adjustment clause, then 2 during a general rate case, there is -- rates are 3 set to establish the net base energy cost for the utility and include it in base rates. 4 Is that 5 correct? 6 Α Yes. And then subsequent to that, at a future period 7 8 during a rate adjustment for the FAC, the actual net 9 energy costs are calculated. And that represents 10 the actual fuel and purchase power costs that were incurred by the utility over the period of review, 11 12 is that accurate? 13 Α Yes. 14 And so the FAC represents the difference 15 between the net-based energy cost set in base rates 16 and the actual net energy cost calculated during a 17 fuel adjustment period, is that accurate? Yeah, the accumulation period. 18 Α 19 All right, thank you. 20 Again, just to establish really quick, if a 21 utility has an FAC and the net-based energy costs 2.2 are set during the FAC without the inclusion of a 23 large load customer and then subsequent to rates 2.4 being set, a large load customer comes online, the

25

Company will incur purchase power costs to serve

1 that large load customer, correct? 2 If there's no -- nothing -- no adjustment being 3 made after the conclusion of this case, yes, I 4 believe so. 5 And if they incur costs, that will increase the 6 actual net energy cost, presumably, correct? 7 Α Correct. 8 And if the actual energy cost is higher than the net-based energy determined in the rate case, 9 10 because of the addition of that large load customer, 11 that difference will flow through the FAC, correct? 12 The way it currently is, yes. Α 13 And if it flows through the FAC, it will be 14 recovered from all customers, subject to the FAC, 15 correct? Correct. 16 Α 17 I have no further questions. MR. CLIZER: 18 Thank you. 19 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you. 20 We'll go through the list. Velvet Tech Services? 21 Data Center Coalition? 2.2 MR. VIJAYKAR: No questions, Your Honor. 23 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Google, LLC? 2.4 MR. SCHULTE: No questions, thank you. 25 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Sierra Club?



1 No questions. MS. RUBENSTEIN: Thank you. 2 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Renew 3 Missouri? Ameren Missouri? 4 MR. LOWERY: Just one or two, I think. 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. LOWERY: 7 Following up on Mr. Clizer's questions, won't there also be additional revenue generated because 8 the base factor times the SAP -- because of the base 9 10 factor time the FA -- can't speak. 11 Does the base factor times SAP, those 12 additional sales, won't those additional sales from 13 that large load customer also flow the FAC? The additional sales will be included in that 14 Α 15 net-based energy cost calculation. 16 Well, and the -- that means the large load 0 17 customer's also going to pay some of that, isn't 18 that right? 19 Α Yes. 20 So not all -- not all of -- if there is an 21 increase in costs, not all of the increase in cost 2.2 is going to be paid for by nonLLPS customers, is it? 2.3 I don't believe I said all of them would. Α 2.4 I'm just asking. I didn't -- I didn't say 25 whether you said it or not.



1 But isn't that correct, not all of the increase 2 that Mr. Clizer was talking about, assuming there is 3 an increase, is going to fall on the nonLLPS 4 customers, is it? 5 I believe based -- I mean, it depends on what 6 the Commission orders in this case, but if there is 7 no adjustment in the FAC and the LLPS customer is 8 not on an optional agreement that Staff recommends, 9 then, yes, I think they would pay part of that 10 increase. If the FAC stays the way it is, all -- they are 11 12 going to pay the FAC on all of their megawatt hours 13 as well, right? They come on the system, they're 14 going to also pay the FAC charge, right? 15 They will pay some of the FAC charge. Α And so if there's an increase -- if 16 Riaht. 17 there is an increase, they'll pay some of that 18 increase, isn't that right? 19 I believe you just asked me that. Α 20 Well, I'm just confirming, just looping back. 21 They will pay part of that increase in those costs 2.2 flowing through the FAC by paying charges under the 23 FAC, right? 2.4 Α Yes. 25 Thank you.

1	MR. LOWERY: No further questions.
2	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you.
3	Evergy?
4	MS. WHIPPLE: No questions, thank you.
5	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: All right.
6	Commissioner questions? Okay, hearing none,
7	redirect?
8	MS. HANSEN: No redirect from Staff.
9	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Does anyone
10	have an objection to this witness being excused?
11	Hearing none, Ms. Mastrogiannis, you are excused.
12	MS. HANSEN: Staff would like to call
13	Mr. Jordan Hull.
14	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Will you
15	raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the
16	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so
17	help you God?
18	THE WITNESS: I do.
19	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you.
20	Direct examination?
21	MS. HANSEN: Thank you, Judge.
22	JORDAN HULL,
23	THE WITNESS HEREINBEFORE NAMED, having
24	been first duly cautioned and sworn to tell the
25	truth testified as follows, to-wit:



1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. HANSEN: 3 Good afternoon, Mr. Hull. 0 Good afternoon. 4 Α 5 Could you, please, state and spell your name 6 for the record please. 7 Α Jordan Hull, J-O-R-D-A-N, last name Hull, 8 H-U-L-L. By whom are you employed and in what capacity? 9 10 Missouri Public Service Commission, and I am 11 the associate engineer for energy resources. Are you the same Jordan Hull who contributed to 12 0 13 the Staff recommendation rebuttal report in this case marked as Staff Exhibit 201, both public and 14 15 confident versions? 16 Yes. Α 17 Do you have any changes or corrections to your 18 portion of the Staff recommendation rebuttal report 19 in this case? 20 I do not. Α 21 If I asked you about the topic or topics in 2.2 your portion, would your answers or information be 2.3 the same or substantially similar to that contained 2.4 in your portion of the Staff recommendation?



Yes.

Α

25

1	Q That information in your portion is true and
2	accurate to your knowledge and belief?
3	A Yes.
4	MS. HANSEN: Judge, we'll offer the Staff
5	recommendation with our last witness. At this time,
6	I tender the witness for cross-examination.
7	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you.
8	The Office of Public Counsel, do you have
9	cross-examination questions?
10	MR. CLIZER: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
11	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Velvet Tech
12	Services? Data Center Coalition?
13	MR. VIJAYKAR: No, Your Honor, we do not.
14	Thank you.
15	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Google, LLC?
16	MR. SCHULTE: No questions. Thank you.
17	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Sierra Club?
18	MS. RUBENSTEIN: No questions. Thank you.
19	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Renew
20	Missouri? Ameren Missouri?
21	MR. LOWERY: No questions, thank you.
22	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Evergy?
23	MR. BAILEY: No questions, Your Honor.
24	Thank you.
25	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. We



1	will go to Commission questions. Hearing no
2	Commission questions, any redirect?
3	MS. HANSEN: No, thank you, Judge.
4	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Does anyone
5	have an objection to this witness being excused?
6	Mr. Hull, you may be excused. Thank you.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
8	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: I don't have
9	any more of these sheets. Staff, would you like to
10	call your next witness?
11	MS. HANSEN: Thank you, Judge. Staff
12	calls Brad Fortson.
13	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Raise your
14	right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the
15	whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you
16	God?
17	THE WITNESS: I do.
18	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Thank you.
19	Direct examination by Staff.
20	MS. HANSEN: Thank you, Judge.
21	BRADLEY FORTSON,
22	THE WITNESS HEREINBEFORE NAMED, having
23	been first duly cautioned and sworn to tell the
24	truth testified as follows, to-wit:
2 5	



1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. HANSEN: 3 Good afternoon, Mr. Fortson. 0 Good afternoon. 4 Α 5 Could you, please, state and spell your name 6 for the record, please. 7 Α Sure. Brad, B-R-A-D, Fortson, F-O-R-T-S-O-N. 8 By whom are you employed and in what capacity? I'm employed by the Missouri Public Service 9 10 Commission as the manager of the energy resources 11 department. 12 Are you the same Brad Fortson who contributed 13 to the Staff recommendation rebuttal report in this case marked as Staff Exhibit Number 201 both public 14 15 and confidential versions? 16 I am. Α 17 Are you the same Brad Fortson who filed 18 surrebuttal testimony in this case marked as Staff 19 Exhibit Number 202? 20 Α I am. 21 Do you have any changes or corrections to your 2.2 portion of the staff recommendation or your 2.3 surrebuttal testimony in this case? 2.4 Α I do not. 25 If I asked you about the topics in your portion



1	and the same questions in your surrebuttal
2	testimony, would your answers or information be the
3	same or substantially similar to that contained in
4	your portion of the Staff recommendation and in your
5	surrebuttal testimony?
6	A They would.
7	Q The information in your portion and your
8	surrebuttal is true and accurate to your knowledge
9	and belief?
LO	A It is.
L1	MS. HANSEN: Judge, I'd like to offer
L2	Staff Exhibit Number 202, which is the surrebuttal
L3	testimony of Brad Fortson. And, again, we'll hold
L4	on the Staff recommendation with our last witness.
L5	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Are there
L6	any objections to Exhibit 202, the surrebuttal
L7	testimony, Staff testimony, of Brad Fortson?
L8	Hearing none, the testimony will be Exhibit 202 and
L9	will be admitted.
20	[Exhibit 202 admitted.]
21	MS. HANSEN: Thank you, Judge. I tender
22	this witness for cross.
23	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Office of
24	Public Counsel, do you have cross examination
25	guestions?



1	MR. CLIZER: No questions. Thank you,
2	Your Honor.
3	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: All right.
4	Let's go through the list. Velvet Tech Services?
5	Data Center Coalition?
6	MR. VIJAYKAR: No questions, Your Honor.
7	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Google, LLC?
8	MR. SCHULTE: No questions.
9	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Sierra Club?
10	MS. RUBENSTEIN: No questions. Thank you.
11	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Renew
12	Missouri? Ameren Missouri?
13	MR. LOWERY: No questions, thank you.
14	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Evergy?
15	MR. BAILEY: No questions. Thank you.
16	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Hearing no
17	cross-examination questions, do we have questions by
18	the Commissioners? Hearing no questions, any
19	redirect?
20	MS. HANSEN: No, thank you, Judge.
21	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE WALKER: Okay. Does
22	any one have an objection to excusing this witness?
23	Mr. Fortson, you are excused. I think
24	this is a good stopping place for the day.
25	We'll start again tomorrow at 9:00. Thank



```
you all for coming and for your patience, and I look
 1
     forward to seeing you tomorrow.
 2
     [Adjourned at 4:49 p.m.]
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



	September 30, 2023
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Jodi T. Wade, a certified court reporter of
4	Arkansas do hereby certify that the foregoing is a
5	transcript of proceedings which occurred at the time
6	and place herein designated, consisting of 318 pages
7	which was recorded by a court-approved electronic
8	sound recording means and then transcribed via a
9	computer personally by me or under my supervision,
10	and this transcript is a true, correct and complete
11	transcript of said proceedings as reflected herein
12	to the best of my ability after listening and
13	transcribing said sound recording.
14	Signed this 8th day of October, 2025.
15	Godi Wade
16	
17	Jodi T. Wade, CCR

Western District of Arkansas

Official Court Reporter U.S. District Courts 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



	101 197:4,17,	15 183:12	208:3,11,17	235 227:12
\$1,500 92:22 \$100 99:1 \$2 99:17 \$20 248:23	21 198:1 102 172:11, 18,21,25 103 180:18, 22,24 181:8 104 128:8,20,	255:17,22 256:5,7 260:21 261:4 289:6 290:11 158.1 133:3 16 183:12	200,000 159:16 2000s 100:2 288:17,22 201 292:15,24 304:4,11	23rd 141:13 142:5,7,8 210:10 24 62:10 25 12:7 16:20 62:9,12 71:3,
\$200 233:24 \$200,000 16:21 116:17 117:1 159:2, 18 \$3,000 92:2 \$50 257:11,16 \$500 94:15 223:14 1 1 29:9 133:4 174:12 177:11 184:9 186:22 221:19 260:8, 9 273:4	25 129:11 105 197:5,17 198:3,7,10 106 128:8,21 129:3,11 130:4,6,7 168:10 107 194:21 195:9,10 270:18 108 270:18 271:1 11 27:5,11 84:25 227:2, 5,19 11-month 257:2 11:36 127:1	1600s 289:1 167 94:21 17 58:7 260:21 18 266:24 19 153:20 221:18 19.99 248:24 1913 26:14 1990s 100:2 19th 141:14 142:4,6 210:9 1:57 196:10	304.4,11 306:22,25 307:3 313:14 316:14 2015 227:10 2019 204:22 202 316:19 317:12,16,18, 20 2022 77:10 2023 99:10 2024 99:10,18 138:20 188:5 2025 4:5 12:7 64:8 98:19 138:20 183:3 188:6 2026 13:16	8 88:24 242:15 265:3 25-megawatt 107:1 25th 64:7 29 15:15 29th 4:4 2:11 196:10 3 3 135:17,23 3,250 230:2 231:11 30 105:15,17 118:25 231:14,21
1,000-plus 187:19 1,500 77:3 10 196:7 208:24 264:12,14,15 290:11 10.6 89:4,7 100 14:12 35:6 104:4 128:8,20,24 129:11 274:20	11:36 127:1 12 16:8 61:17 62:4 105:23 181:10 289:6 120 155:16 12:36 127:1 12th 180:7 13 208:24 269:20,22 130 41:13 14 180:6 181:11 269:20,22	2 134:3 177:11,14 181:10 208:23 264:12,14 266:23 273:3 290:23 2,500 229:19 230:3 20 97:9 105:9, 21 242:15 256:6,7 265:8 289:6 200 159:20 207:18,21	2029 99:4 2030 99:18 113:9 208 143:3,4, 11 209 182:21 183:5,8 21st 39:22 22 260:7 23 299:4 232 227:11	289:8 30,000-foot 212:23 30th 4:5 299:6 34 278:14 35 278:14 36 61:25 62:13 234:8 278:14 390.130.7 46:15 393.130.7 14:2,7 18:20



		September 30, 2025		,
19:23 22:5 25:7 31:16 35:3 41:13 43:22 45:19 104:1 393.140 27:5, 8 31:17 3:22 259:17 3:35 259:16 3:38 259:17 4 4 14:1,22 31:15 35:2 37:22 41:12	256:4 500 255:23 259:10 500-megawatt 259:7 57 94:17 57,000 94:18 6 6 139:20 168:10 207:23,24 209:20 221:15 234:11	207:22 209:20 226:12 227:4, 6 80 61:22 106:8 800 99:12 85 255:17 875-acre 94:15 9 9 227:19 90 106:2,10	123:10 146:9 150:11 157:11,13 162:5 167:7 174:19 224:19 225:10 286:19 able 9:14 17:18 67:10 68:22,23 111:22 118:20 132:14 150:25 152:21,22 155:19 158:8 160:16 178:6,	109:19,20,23, 25 113:24 114:10,16 117:13 118:19 119:5, 7 122:9 138:9,10,23 140:15 146:24 147:1, 3 153:23 156:23,25 157:11 159:1, 9 161:8 165:25 166:12 167:5 168:25 173:21,24 174:1 176:24
42:23 46:20 51:1 52:13,16 135:20 136:14,15 139:22 209:20 225:17 267:2 269:2 270:21 4's 37:22 40 97:10 289:8 44 99:4	269:20,22 6,000 92:20 60 93:16 105:15,18 118:25 7 7 195:15 221:19 227:4 720 195:12,14 75 15:25 16:1,	90s 288:17 92 176:24 177:12 178:3 95 98:19 9:00 318:25 9:09 4:2 9th 183:3 189:7 A A-L-E-X-A-N-	18 200:18 201:3 218:22 220:24 221:11 222:5, 18 225:5 235:2,4,8 236:2 260:1 278:9 280:23 290:10 about 30:9 45:7,13 47:21,22 49:12 56:22	177:19 182:22 186:16 190:6 194:2,19 199:8,10 200:1 201:11 202:20 204:14 205:4, 9 210:9 212:13,19 213:2 215:13, 16 225:17 227:16 229:15,18
4:49 319:3 5	9 78:4 75-megawatt 80:17	D-R-A 131:13 A-N-D-R-E-A 26:6	81:3 85:4 87:5,16,20, 23,25 88:15, 20 89:4,7,10	231:14 233:10,19 240:2,14
5 177:15 227:2,5 234:11 260:20 261:4	750 92:7 229:20 230:5, 11 231:3	A-N-D-R-E-W 50:14 a.m. 4:2 127:1	90:2,18 94:10,18,21 95:19,24 96:3,13,20	243:24,25 244:5 246:18 253:22 259:18 260:16
273:4 290:23	8	abilities 150:8 224:24	97:1,4 98:19 99:1,7	261:18
50 90:7 230:14 231:9, 20 233:1	8 139:22 184:9 188:23	ability 15:19 21:24 39:9,17	103:10,17,18 104:4 105:24	262:13 263:11 266:1 271:4,10
	1	1	1	LEVITAG



		September 30, 2025		
280:13,16	accessibility	achieving	actuality 37:4	229:15 230:4
283:19	105:5	104:19	191:6	addition 12:5
290:21 291:2	accommodate	acknowledge	actually 7:21	57:2 61:17
297:16 311:2	15:3 66:23	289:5	25:2 41:7	77:4 85:20
313:21			44:6 47:5	96:25 229:25
316:25	accommodate	acknowledgm	65:5 85:23	285:14,18
above 14:11	d 23:3	ent 182:5	88:3 89:11	309:10
15:25 21:7	accommodati	acquired 13:9	94:22 95:1	
30:20 35:5	on 201:14	154:2	97:2 98:16	additional
80:17 169:3	aaaamnany	across 20:10	104:12	16:5 17:6
265:2,3	accompany		107:13	22:11 29:3
,	68:5	24:2 32:4	115:24	34:8 38:6
absolutely	accomplish	47:6 51:12	116:24	55:23 85:17
26:5 89:15	240:19	52:15 55:2,21	118:20,24	91:8 93:15
101:1 124:7	accordance	56:10 64:3,4	119:12	103:14
132:4 141:25	164:17	66:16 70:22	121:15	122:17
151:21	104.17	74:7 96:10	122:11,19	123:12,16
155:21	Accordingly	98:6,11	125:25	155:3 199:12
168:24	15:23	187:23	132:13	209:13
169:15 174:3	account 29:1	200:14	141:11 146:1	233:16
187:6 254:2	36:8 152:15	207:24,25	147:18 155:9	284:15 310:8,
274:12 284:3	238:8 242:24	264:18 266:7	157:1 159:16	12,14
285:9,17	253:14	act 55:16		additionality
absorber		action 105:1	163:20 169:22	200:2
55:16	accountability	action 185:4	191:11	
	79:6	194:25		Additionally
abstract	accounting	195:20	212:11	52:21 61:24
68:19	300:8	active 53:7	218:22	additions
accelerate		66:21 148:24	219:11	292:21
56:8	accumulated	actively 94:22	224:22,25	304:10
	144:13	202:24	241:10	
accelerating	accumulation	202.24	249:15	address 9:2
51:19 52:4	147:17		265:19	19:21 41:4
acceleration	308:18	activity 40:9	add 16:1	47:14 57:21,
68:3,5,19		51:20	93:23 195:16	25 68:18
	accuracy	actual 19:1	229:20 230:8,	70:11,13
accept 71:10	53:16 235:4	43:22 44:13	10 231:2,3	122:20
131:2	accurate	46:1 60:25	233:15 236:3	251:15 286:4,
accepted	150:18	61:23 68:20	added 122:13	12
58:18	197:13	102:20	155:3 232:8,9	addressed
access 55:22	308:12,17	215:10	235:6	24:18 29:1
112:23	314:2 317:8	273:16	233.0	36:8 56:15
174:19	achieve 53:11	287:25 308:8,	adding 91:5	64:9 81:12
117.13	123:21	10,16 309:6,8	226:18	91:17
	120.21	10,10 000.0,0		



		September 30, 2023		
addresses	admitted 5:3	148:17	34:10	106:2,6 107:6
70:25 77:25	8:13 9:4	advancement	afraid 106:17	109:2 110:4,
addressing	96:15 129:7,	s 52:3	after 18:25	5,14 111:8
51:4 98:18	11 143:10,11	advancing	29:17 59:22	114:4,7
adequacy	172:24,25	51:15 70:15	62:10 68:16	115:12
60:20 114:15	180:23,24		97:25 108:10,	117:14 118:9
192:15	183:7,8	advantage	19 110:18	119:8 120:4
	195:7,9,10	25:2	139:7 140:1	121:15 124:5,
adequate	197:25 198:1,	advantages	152:6,9 153:9	21 129:21 135:15 137:3
115:22 222:6	9,10 208:16, 17 317:19,20	45:5 54:9,11,	201:22	141:11 149:1
adequately	·	24,25 261:6	209:23	157:16 158:3
17:10 83:13	admittedly	advisement	216:25 218:7	159:19 164:8
Adjourned	94:22	49:16	235:5 254:19	167:9 169:21
319:3	adopt 61:12		260:1 289:8,9	170:25
	70:9 73:8,19	advocate	309:3	184:25
adjusted	124:14	47:10 170:8	oftown o on	185:24 186:4
243:8	adapted	171:3	afternoon	188:17 220:6
adjustment	adopted 20:12 45:17	advocates	131:17 144:2, 3 145:8,9	242:7 250:2
21:13 249:7,9	46:3,16 47:3	77:22	173:18,19	251:11 261:1
250:6,24	70:1 83:5	advocating	176:15,16	301:10
251:6 257:5	172:14	122:16	181:5,6	304:15
297:20,22	216:25 217:2	169:17	190:4,5	308:20
308:1,8,17	218:7,9,20		202:18,19	317:13
309:2 311:7	263:23	Aero 279:16	210:7 211:9	318:25
adjustments	267:24	aerospace	212:5 259:23,	against 36:22
21:13	268:19	138:10,18	24 292:2,3	78:22 93:17
	adopting 14:E	affairs 17:23	293:23,24	98:13 302:13
administrative	adopting 14:5 18:3 46:17	18:3,14 128:3	301:10,11	
132:20 142:22	171:15 172:8	172:7	303:16,17	agencies
194:24 195:3,			313:3,4	263:1,18
194.24 195.5,	adoption	affect 278:3	316:3,4	agency 14:4
	46:24 281:4	affected	again 36:4	agenda
admission	adopts	53:10	37:15 38:15	195:18,19
129:20	281:18,23	affordability	44:21 45:7	,
180:21 183:6	ADR 112:24	34:25 35:25	62:19 63:17	aggregate
184:13	113:1	117:22	76:14 88:14	190:16,21,24
197:17,24 198:7 208:14		275:15,21	93:21,25	302:11,12
271:2	advance 53:8	,	95:24 97:22	aggressive
	advanced	affordable 52:22 271:14	98:17 100:6,	61:9
admit 8:7	24:6 53:20	272:2	15 101:20	ago 45:4
94:12 128:20	56:6,9		104:17	88:20 176:19
172:20	advancement	afforded	105:11,20	244:20
186:10,16	ad variourille			
				LEVITAC



September 30, 2023				
246:10	229:3 249:11	87:17 94:16	aligns 78:17	136:11 140:4
273:18	271:10	97:5,16	Alissa 7:2	141:5 143:13
297:16	agreement	98:20,23	63:20	145:3 146:10,
agree 14:4	8:3 12:8,9	99:2,8,10,12,		11,12 149:7,
39:3 70:18	13:11 14:5	16,17 100:1	all 5:6 6:2	16,18 152:19
124:6 132:2,6	16:6 27:17	288:8,12	9:11 14:3	158:17
137:21	28:12 32:24	Al's 97:19	15:11,22	159:11
149:23	33:4,12 34:5	AL LIE AVV	21:21 22:7	160:22 162:6,
155:13 157:6	58:2,3,5,15,	AI-HEAVY	27:15,17,18,	17,22 163:4,
161:15,24,25	17 61:13	97:9	19 29:14,15 32:5 39:10	5,18 164:19
164:10,11	68:23,25	aim 66:18		165:1,10
168:3 186:7	69:8,10 73:20	67:7 154:5	41:7 46:17 47:23 48:7,	168:10 170:6
188:10 209:5,	77:19 95:17	aimed 117:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	174:4 179:2
17 216:14	122:14 128:5,		10,22 49:24 51:18 53:10	180:15
221:24	13 129:1	air 235:15	55:4 56:21	182:18
223:22,23	137:20 138:3	air-		183:18 184:8
226:16 228:3	141:23 146:1,	conditioning	60:9 68:24 72:22 73:3	186:19,22
229:22,25	5,20 149:24	236:8,11,13	82:2 83:24	188:23
231:13 233:8	150:1 152:1,		85:21 86:20	189:15
234:20 236:1,	3,13 153:18	Aldman 99:25	88:13,18	190:22 191:6,
9 237:17	157:9,24	alert 184:2,24	89:5,6,16,18	18 199:14
238:23,24	158:1 200:11	185:7,9,10,17	90:17,20 91:9	205:4 206:5,
239:13,23	203:23	186:23	92:17 93:3,19	11,25 208:9,
240:11,18	208:22,25	188:10 189:6,	95:12 96:23,	19,23 209:3,
241:1,2	209:4,12	9,12	25 97:14	19,25 210:13,
245:19,21	277:19,25	alerts 182:2,5	98:5,14	18 215:6
246:6 247:19,	311:8	183:16	100:5,19	216:15,18
23 248:8,13	agreements	184:20	101:1,3,21	217:9 219:3
249:1 251:4,9	32:18,22	185:14	103:17 107:3,	220:24
252:3 257:7	33:22 34:8,11	186:13,14	19 108:3,15,	222:17
262:4 264:8,	57:5 58:1	188:15	22,23,25	224:20 225:6,
10 265:24	116:18 149:3	192:19	110:12,16	18 227:23
268:13			111:10 113:3,	229:20,22
270:11	agrees 56:16	Alexandra	24 117:21	235:15,16
271:16,25	271:4	5:14 26:12	119:16,17	236:11
272:22 274:2,	agriculture	131:12	120:6,12,14,	240:22
13,17,20,22	30:12	198:22	15 122:25	244:14,15,21
275:5 280:12		align 20:15	123:5 124:22	245:9 247:1
298:15,20	ahead 89:12	aligned 16:3,	126:11,22	248:7,15
299:21,24	170:20,25	17 27:1	130:6,11	249:23 250:8
agreed 47:11	188:18 196:7	107:14	133:16,18	255:13,15
107:23 131:3	235:12		134:24 135:5,	259:13 267:5,
142:12,15	AI 12:25 51:9	alignment	7,17,24	17 268:11
1 12.12,10	52:25 53:19	17:25 58:17	,,,, <u>,</u>	269:12 272:5,
1				



		September 30, 2025		0
9 274:7,8	116:13	118:10	168:25	Amazon
275:2 277:12,	119:17 126:1	129:18,21	173:23	99:19 213:16
24 279:13	137:11,14	153:3 156:18	183:19	amenable
281:1 282:21	158:10 218:2	158:11 162:2,	186:13 188:2	22:2
284:8,12,15,	232:4 275:1	11 163:1	192:12	
24 286:10	280:11	178:21	201:12	amend 28:1
288:25	298:11	191:14	212:22 216:1	Ameren 5:20,
289:15 290:6,	allowed 27:20	205:24 213:8	217:20 233:4,	23 12:11 28:9
12 293:7	85:24 112:25	233:22,25	15 237:24,25	29:16 34:3,6
294:10	136:16	287:5	255:2 257:16	38:23 39:2,4,
296:14,24	150:15	also 5:13 8:12	260:10	15,24 41:3
297:17,19		11:11,24	262:11	42:2 49:3,6,
298:1,2,3	allowing	16:24 17:5,15	274:17	16 50:4 56:15
299:17	95:14 112:11	18:8 21:21	277:25	74:10 87:23
301:18 302:5,	120:1 162:12	22:16 24:8	279:21 280:5	88:5 120:15
12 307:12,24	allows 30:15	26:12 27:23	281:7,22	122:23
308:19	35:12 69:14	28:18 30:11	286:24	130:25
309:14	120:18	31:11 33:8	296:24 310:8,	149:25
310:20,21,23	154:11	39:16 40:8	13,17 311:14	164:23
311:1,11,12	164:22	41:21 54:8,23	alter 24:22	165:11
312:5 318:3	almost 84:25	55:18 57:20,	altarnata	175:23
319:1	106:3 146:1,	25 58:11	alternate	192:24
all-system	15 160:19	60:12 61:1	199:15	201:22
297:3		63:20,21	alternative	211:25
allocate	alone 99:10	67:19 68:2	7:25 8:3	213:21
239:25 240:7	along 12:1	69:15 71:20	25:16 34:12	219:21 224:6
	38:25 40:23	72:11 78:14	79:12 112:1	249:20 250:5,
allocated 32:1	50:15 73:10	82:22 83:7,24	alternatively	22 276:20
240:13,21	116:6,7	84:8 86:1	120:21	277:16 283:9
allocates	aloud 135:23	87:13 91:7,22		289:22,24
20:16	aloud 133.23	92:24 96:7	although	293:19 305:9
	Alphabet	97:22 100:18	12:15 18:20	310:3 314:20
allocating	99:19	102:23	43:19 45:18	318:12
60:9	already 8:13	107:18 109:6	74:12 231:13	Ameren's
allocation	13:13 24:12	117:20 119:3	always 37:9,	281:8
59:4,5 68:12,	43:15 64:9,15	123:13,20	10 40:17	
15 239:24	80:13 93:16	135:19 142:8	163:16 241:7	American
243:3,9	95:4,9 103:24	144:17	256:14 259:7	181:18,21,24
allow 6:11	107:22	148:19	Amanda	182:24
32:3 49:21	109:24 110:1	149:16	38:11	184:18
61:10 67:8	112:5,7,24	152:14		among 15:22
85:23 111:24	114:2 115:1,	159:22	amazing	52:24 53:25
112:25	3,24 117:15	165:25	251:2	77:20 83:2
112.20	,	166:11		84:13 158:14
L				



		September 30, 2025		/
159:12 168:6	230:21	261:8 268:13	130:13 133:9	9 316:21
182:14	Andrew 6:21	273:10	136:7 137:11,	317:16
215:22 217:5	50:13	answered	14,16 138:15,	318:18,22
299:20 302:7,	30.13	144:17 224:2	18 140:24	anybody 7:13
8	announced	229:12 245:3	141:10 143:7	94:12 119:7
amonast	56:7	229.12 245.5	145:5 155:6	121:1 130:20
amongst 46:14	announcemen	answers	164:20 165:2,	279:19,24
40.14	t 10:25	128:15	7,8 169:18	288:13
amortization		172:18	171:9 172:14,	200.13
254:21	annual 14:11	180:10	23 173:4,15	anymore
amount 40:22	30:24 35:6,14	197:13 208:4,	175:20,21	289:11
46:25 62:12	79:4 88:23	6 262:5	179:3 180:16,	anyone 4:23
87:6 90:13	94:17 97:12	307:3,6	21 183:5	82:6 206:7
95:21 97:18	99:17 152:8,	313:22 317:2	184:20	215:23
100:16	23 157:23,25	anti 101:8	189:18	302:20
100:16	158:2,13	aiti 101.0	192:22	305:18 312:9
108:20	175:9	anticipated	194:24 195:6,	315:4
111:19 116:2	annually	59:13 114:3	23 197:7,24	
118:21	81:10 238:21	anticipates	198:7,21	anything 20:9
132:11 159:5,		68:13 112:2	199:11 200:8,	67:15 70:21
6,8,10 219:22	another 74:6		19,22 201:3,	117:4 122:9
242:3 250:7	88:7 96:20	anticipating	4,19,21 203:5	144:4 154:14
	97:3 98:25	89:9 119:1	207:20	165:11
277:1 300:17,	102:24	anticipation	208:14	223:10
19,20	136:23 137:8	110:15	213:10,11,15,	245:13 253:4
amounts	147:6 157:8	5:0.7:40	16,22 214:18,	288:18
31:8,12	210:15	any 5:3 7:10,	19 215:10	anywhere
227:21	216:21	18 9:1 14:17	216:3,4,5,6	235:21
analogy 91:24	218:17 282:9	20:1,3 21:3	220:16 221:1	266:19
	answer 38:5,	23:19 26:21	222:19	
analysis	13,15 50:1	34:12 35:11	223:15	apart 47:15
23:20 44:24	70:6,7 73:21	37:7 38:1,13,	224:12	166:20
114:7 157:19	86:10 101:9	18 40:19,23	229:23 243:9	apologize 6:7
161:9 207:15	103:16	41:25 42:10	262:25 263:4,	198:19 248:6
241:25 244:2	123:15	44:13 50:1,9	7,11,22	265:21
302:3	124:21 125:1	58:23 59:19	270:1,13	
analyst 237:3	132:13,15	62:16 63:5	276:1,13	apparently
	138:6 140:18	67:25 73:21,	282:22,24	249:24
analytically	154:9 164:18	23 76:10 83:5	288:2 292:21	appear
67:15	170:22,25	84:17 86:9,11	301:2,5	184:14
analyzed	213:12,15	98:21 104:9	304:10	216:19
187:10	215:6 216:22,	118:13	305:15	annearance
Andrea 5:13	24 218:2	121:10 125:3	306:24	appearance 5:6 6:12,18
26:1,8 206:25	253:6 254:6	126:13	313:17 315:2,	9:21
20.1,0 200.23		128:10	510.17 510.2,	3.41



		September 30, 2025		
appearing	applies 15:23	156:12,21	16:4	22:4,17,20
63:9	29:21 31:1	157:17	area 213:8	23:11,17,25
appears	60:18	239:25	227:1 282:8,9	24:11,24
45:11 46:3	apply 21:9	243:10,21	,	25:5,23 27:1,
85:9 183:11	42:1 60:22	246:11	argues	25 28:13,14
226:9 228:14	107:23 112:3,	247:10,17	147:24	29:21 30:2,3,
278:21 279:9,	4 169:4	286:20	argument	19,22 31:6,15
25		appropriately	225:11 252:7	32:17 34:6
annon div	applying	53:22 78:4	argumentative	36:21 37:2
appendix 177:14	97:16	274:3	258:5,9	39:16 40:20
	appreciate	approval 4:10		42:2,9 43:18,
178:16 273:3	11:18 44:25	approval 4:10 11:24 12:7	arguments	20 44:5,16
290:22,23	49:25 50:21,	18:22 21:23	23:17 90:17	45:19 46:21,
Apple 99:19	22 63:22	25:22 33:18	91:2 116:14	24 48:2,17
apples 135:15	129:18,21	195:19	121:6	49:3,19
	132:16 196:8	205:17	arising 14:18	50:13,20 52:7
appliances	254:3	277:19	35:11 38:2	53:1,2,17
115:9	appreciates	280:19	104:10	55:16 60:1,19
applicability	70:13		Arkansas	64:10,14 65:8,19 66:4,
57:11	annraach	approve	74:7,8	14,24 67:1,13
applicable	approach 16:22 18:6	23:13,15	,	68:13 69:21
14:10 35:4,13	21:16 22:3,20	29:5,6 64:7	around 97:5	70:9,19 71:20
57:16 106:25	31:7,19,21,25	71:11 79:18	100:9 110:2	72:3,18 73:4,
133:16 135:5	32:10,16,18	84:12 136:21	132:20	7 76:20 77:17
136:8,11	44:3,9 49:19	267:25 274:3	173:21	79:5,6 80:6,7,
191:1	51:11 59:1	280:2 286:14	175:11 177:5	15 84:7 85:13
annii aanta	132:25	approved	204:22,25	91:8 93:10,
applicants 10:1	134:18	61:19 80:10	297:13	16,25 94:1,5
10.1	183:20 226:4	150:10 167:2	article 96:12,	95:8 96:3,4
application	263:23 264:9,	206:1 245:16	20 97:3,23	97:19 98:1
4:8 11:22	10,21	267:21	98:25 99:7	100:14,18
14:24 15:13		268:19	artificial 51:9	102:17,24
17:21 25:23	approaches 43:11 49:21	271:17 276:6	96:22	103:6,8
27:2,4 29:4,5,		278:2		104:15
6 31:18 64:6,	145:23,25 274:2	approves	as 4:25 5:15	105:19 116:6
12,16 70:7	214.2	24:19 136:19	7:15 8:16 9:4,	117:23
111:14	approaching	aptly 42:17	8,20,25 10:3,	118:11
163:14	85:4		11 11:21,25 12:5,8,23	119:10 126:5
applications	appropriate	arbitrarily	14:24 15:6	127:18 128:7
27:7	52:20 63:2	23:4	18:8,11,15	131:2 133:7
applied 49:12	71:16 133:10	arbitrary 71:9	19:9,22	134:6,14
75:10 113:17	151:23		20:11,12,23,	135:3 136:7,
239:16 247:1	153:13	architecture	25 21:15,19	17 137:24
200.10 241.1			20 2 1.10,10	
	1	1		NIEVITAC



		September 30, 2025		9
139:24	253:21 254:7,	186:16 187:4	290:21 291:2	62:17 90:19
140:19 141:8	9 260:9	190:6 194:23	310:24	154:1 199:24
144:14,23,25	261:5,8	197:11 219:4,	asks 144:18	243:3 274:25
146:3,22	263:16 264:9,	17 228:14	d5K5 144.10	279:14
148:6,20	17 265:14	229:17	aspect 20:25	297:18 298:8
150:2,8,25	266:10,24	230:19 242:8	34:1 188:22	300:19
151:1 157:17	267:2 275:16	245:11	194:12 288:5	accesietes
158:16 160:6,	279:21,23	246:11	aspects 15:10	associates 94:21
7,8 161:22	280:6,8,20	247:10	104:21	94.21
163:14	285:21	253:22	157:15	Association
168:10	286:16 287:2	269:16,19	237:24	64:1
171:23	291:24	271:4,9	241:14	assume 36:11
172:11	292:11,14	278:17	243:19 274:7	108:9,14
179:22	295:1,21,24	282:13	286:21,22	154:6 164:5
181:18	300:12	283:13,19	,	175:10
182:12	301:21	297:12	Assembly	212:19 220:4,
183:14,21	303:13,24		42:11 46:16,	7,13 221:12
186:12,16	304:3 305:23	asked 144:17	19 51:1 73:7	228:20,21
187:1,9,12	306:11,18,21	167:24	225:22,25	229:19 230:9
188:2,19,21	311:13	173:21 199:8	251:15 267:1	232:3 281:18,
190:15,21,24	312:25	202:20 208:2	Assembly's	22 283:22
191:17	313:14	218:17 224:1	225:24	
194:20 195:3,	315:24	229:4,11		assumed 59:2
5 196:21	316:10,14,18	245:2,8 261:5 272:19	asserts 23:22	151:17 230:8
197:3,5 198:4	aside 71:6	283:21,22	assessed	231:2 295:5
200:3,8		285:2 305:16	133:8	assuming
204:23 207:5,	ask 33:14	307:2 311:19	assessment	90:20 97:10
17 208:24	38:19 88:17	313:21	215:21	100:8 126:9
209:22 210:7	89:13 101:6	316:25		157:20
212:20	102:1,23		asset 83:13	174:18
213:25 214:4	103:7,22	asking 101:14	asset-light	236:15
217:16 219:7	107:25	102:19	97:6	281:15 311:2
220:21 221:6,	112:17	103:20 105:4,	assets 288:23	assumption
17,22 223:17,	113:23	10,21 106:10,		65:11 231:18
24 224:22	118:14	11,24,25	assigned	245:15
227:9,19	119:18 121:9,	111:14 114:4	53:23	281:20,25
228:12 232:4	15 132:20	116:10	associate	·
234:4,7,14,15	134:2 135:16,	118:23	313:11	assumptions
235:21,24	19,23 136:16	119:23,24,25		232:2 282:2,
236:19	139:19	123:12,22	associated 12:1 18:15	14
240:22 244:5	154:21	205:17	20:22 21:4	assurance
245:24 246:7	172:17 180:9	218:14	23:6 53:15	42:23 43:25
249:2,20	182:21	226:22 257:9	54:24 57:22	44:1
251:25 252:3	183:23	284:7 285:23	JT.24 J1.22	



		September 30, 2025		10
at 4:2,6 6:2	204:20	272:25 273:3	300:10	203:17,19
7:25 10:21	207:20,23	278:6 290:22	auditors	average 55:3
14:1 15:25	208:10	attaching 8:1	295:21	77:3 94:20
22:11 25:19	209:14 210:3			245:22
34:14 39:12	212:23 214:6	attempt 47:17	aughts	294:10,17
40:13 45:24	217:9 224:2	attempted	288:23	
47:1 49:10	229:16	213:21	August 35:2	averages
50:1 53:18	230:12	attempting	188:5	294:9
56:12 71:2,	232:11,15	112:13	authenticate	avoid 120:1
16,19 74:17	233:4,10,19	169:21	186:10	avoided
77:4,9 80:1	234:11			284:13
83:25 90:5	239:19	attention	authenticated	
94:13,22	241:22 245:9	49:25 221:18	175:18	avoiding
97:17,20	246:12	attorney 50:4	authenticating	227:17,24
100:3 102:20	247:16	attorneys 9:8	187:5	aware 12:23
105:19,23 109:8 111:10	249:16 251:12	26:9 63:18	authentication	28:8 66:14
116:11	253:19 255:7,	206:15	178:7	111:16 113:3
117:17,24	17 256:1			117:15
117:17,24	259:1,10,16,	attract 44:18	author 262:16	132:17 166:5
123:5,7	17 260:6,7,	61:8 67:10	authority 41:2	169:9 181:24
124:4,25	21,23 261:4	85:16 86:1	145:1 151:22	182:16
125:22 127:1	262:14	271:13,19,23	286:19	184:17 189:6
128:19 130:8	266:21,24	272:1 290:7,8	authorized	213:10 216:4,
131:8 133:4	269:11	attracting	21:11 26:20	5 221:5
134:3,8,16	272:24 273:3	45:5,8 85:10	131:24 132:8	258:15,22
135:16 136:2,	278:7,9,19	219:11 272:4	150:16	262:24 283:9
14 139:22	280:1,22	attractive	151:18 169:6	285:21
140:6 142:12	282:8 285:22	287:6	241:24	292:25
143:3 147:5,	286:10 288:2,			away 43:13
19 152:2,21,	21 291:3	attributes	automatic	47:25 106:18
22 153:22	292:21 293:5	154:1,17	155:1	205:9 288:7
164:5 168:13,	294:18 296:8	199:17,18	automatically	
21 169:23	298:6 300:5,	200:6 203:19	91:19	В
170:4 171:7	23 301:16,18,	Audio 95:10	automotive	
173:9 174:4,5	19 304:11,18	254:1	138:15	B-A-I-L-E-Y
177:14 180:4	306:24	audit 306:19		179:9
182:19	307:10 308:7		available	B-E-L-L 76:17
183:23 184:9	314:5 318:25	auditing	19:18 55:22	D-E-L-L /0.1/
186:22,23	319:3	237:20,21	107:3 117:16	B-R-A-D
188:1,14,23	ation 198:21	238:3,7	134:8,16	196:25 316:7
194:7,14		244:2,19,25	155:17	B-R-O-O-K-E
196:10	attached	245:7 247:4	162:23,25	306:16
197:16,20	178:12	295:24 296:4	163:5,12	
	•	•		\ EVITAC



	September 30, 2025				
B-R-O-W-N	9:24 172:1,20	Barry 62:23	basically	25 122:8	
180:2	173:1 179:7,	Barry's 56:18	106:12	123:21	
B-U-S-C-H	9,18,24		111:18	133:24 137:9	
207:11	180:13,17	base 13:21	113:13,18	144:7 145:24	
	183:9 186:5	37:1,2 40:4	114:14 120:8	146:9 147:9,	
bachelors	187:3,7	65:1,3 72:11	222:23 246:3	16,20 149:2	
247:25	193:23 194:1,	86:7 108:10,	265:3	153:24	
back 49:1	17 195:11	13 109:7,9	basics 43:19	155:14 160:9	
75:15 89:21	305:12	132:11		174:10 177:5	
92:15 95:20	314:23	133:25 150:3	basis 44:13	190:7,19	
106:13	318:15	222:25 247:1	59:8 66:3	201:6 210:17	
108:11	Bain 97:12	249:16	152:9,23	216:17 221:2	
110:23		267:16 284:1,	157:8 167:15	222:17	
112:14,18,22	baked 254:12	4 296:3	175:22	225:22	
118:7 124:9	255:9	308:3,4,15	190:21,24	226:19 230:1	
126:25 131:6,	balance 57:8	310:9,11	191:25	243:12	
8 159:19	63:2 69:11	based 42:20	233:20 246:7,	248:18	
163:22	79:15 90:4,7	59:5 60:12,17	13 251:19	250:14 251:7	
204:19	118:6	61:2 62:13	basket 272:6	252:18 253:8	
212:12 214:4	balanced 41:5	78:12 130:16	290:6	278:15	
215:19 227:4	53:23 64:11	133:8 149:21	battory 55:11	289:10	
237:10 249:8	77:22	154:6 182:1	battery 55:11	309:10 310:8,	
257:14,20	11.22	188:11	BBC 97:23	9	
259:16,25	balances	210:20	because 6:6	become 40:4	
260:3 273:15	15:21 52:19	213:14	13:25 28:4	166:25	
288:16,25	274:3 277:6	231:18	29:11 33:3	296:18	
290:19	ball 216:8	237:22	41:25 42:7		
311:20	262:12	238:15,19	47:4 49:7	becomes	
backbone		248:10 266:3	52:16 60:8	275:22	
266:15	ban 98:8,9	282:14	61:13 67:14,	before 26:17	
	bankers	295:15	24 69:11,21	42:18 43:3	
background	102:13	300:14	70:2 77:10	45:2 47:12	
51:5 63:24	bankrupt	301:12,24	84:25 91:15,	51:4,5 59:16	
194:7	106:14,21	311:5	21 92:11,25	83:1 84:4	
backup	289:24,25	baseload	94:6 102:1,10	87:3 88:2,5	
299:21	·	137:4,5,10	103:24	91:1 95:2	
bad 116:7	bar 89:3,8,10,	, ,	104:23 108:8,	99:4 110:10	
239:8 251:25	11 94:4 96:1,	basic 28:23	22 109:8	125:5 141:12	
268:3	2	48:13,15	110:8,13	184:3 187:5	
	barrier 37:2	210:19	111:4,10,23	208:19 213:8,	
bag 106:23	barriers 30:7	213:19	112:9 113:10,	23 214:12	
Bailey 5:8,9	270:13 286:9	215:23	14 114:17,23	215:4,24	
8:19,20,24	210.13 200.9	216:14 252:8	115:14 117:8	228:22,23	
			119:4 120:16,	232:10	



		September 30, 2025		12
235:25	72:13 73:17	283:15	benefits	146:18
237:18	74:11 75:11	284:14,17	13:18 20:20	152:25 153:7
244:10	76:3 85:14,25	285:24 287:5	22:8 23:6	200:9 236:17
251:24 253:4	86:3,4 87:23	293:25 297:8	25:20 32:11	255:8 257:19
278:1 283:10	95:16 105:23	309:4 310:23	36:25 53:22	280:7,11
beg 87:18	106:8 112:15,	311:5,19	55:7 57:8	300:8 308:15
	16 116:5	believed	65:5,8,13	beyond 22:13
begin 9:12	118:9 120:12	268:1,20	73:11 86:4	62:11,12
98:14 130:13	121:19	,	117:14 118:6	190:9 248:19
196:16	122:22	believes 14:3	119:5 147:11	
206:23	131:25 133:3	33:3 66:8	217:18,20	biased 44:14
273:11	138:14	82:25 83:12	274:4 287:24,	48:19
beginning	139:13	believing	25	bid 285:21
136:15	144:25	205:12	best 38:13	300:5,8
147:19	146:17 147:8,	Dell 0:44 40	49:23 50:2	
204:19 260:6	15,22 148:21	Bell 6:11,13,	60:4 73:5	big 105:12
	154:11 155:9,	17 75:20	79:21 94:13	114:23
begs 28:24	14 161:11	76:15,16,18	103:16	bigger 231:14
behalf 5:23	163:19,24	79:25 80:4,6,	106:19	233:5
6:8,13,23 7:3,	169:21	21,23 81:1,23	114:15	biggest 95:13
8 11:15 18:5	178:14	82:2	128:16	98:15
56:19 71:25	183:18 201:5,	belongings	197:14	
84:24 86:21	13 203:2	232:10	204:25 208:6	bilateral
87:23 122:23	206:3 207:25	below 61:23	240:5 292:19	113:8
126:16,18	209:12	133:15,20	304:8 307:6	bill 14:1,22
128:6 129:25	210:17	135:9 136:10		16:10 31:15
131:12 172:9	213:13,25		bet 204:3	33:6 34:16
173:8 198:22	214:16	bench 130:9	better 32:5,8,	35:2 37:22
217:15	220:23 222:4,	165:3 282:25	14 85:19	41:12 42:23
behind 24:5	8 235:20	benchmarking	88:11 101:17	46:20 51:1
36:9 64:16	239:15	19:2	113:25	52:13,16
168:9	242:16		119:19	59:21 62:2
	250:21 253:8,	beneficial	154:10 188:3	225:17 267:2
behind-the-	17 254:17	72:25	255:2	269:2 270:21
meter 115:15	259:5 264:7	benefit 10:21	between 19:7	billed 30:15
belief 128:17	267:23	15:19 40:14	31:8 36:13,15	billed 30:15
144:20	268:16,17,18	63:25 91:22	43:7 58:14	billing 30:24
197:14 208:7	269:10 270:7,	117:7,8	68:6 84:6,7,	241:17 242:4,
292:19 304:8	12 271:20	123:23	13 92:20	5,18
307:7 314:2	273:1,6,25	134:25	138:2,5	billion 76:24
317:9	274:6,15	155:21 191:2	140:10	94:15 99:1,4,
believe 12:17	275:12,25	227:24	144:14	12 117:2
51:10 54:1	276:2,5 280:5	benefiting	145:16	255:23
J1.10 J4.1	282:1,10	83:24	170.10	
	•	•		



billions 40:1	bootstrap	brakes 88:13	Brodrick	93:6,13,15,20
64:22 234:2	47:18	brand-new	38:11	94:8 95:22
bills 28:16	borders 47:1	92:16	broken 67:23	100:13
31:20 32:4,19	borne 53:15	brook 105.5	68:2 81:15,18	105:14,16
34:25 37:21	115:12	break 125:5 127:1 174:24	104:19	106:1 110:7
57:17 59:17	115.12		Bracks 20:40	124:13 223:4,
62:14 82:24	both 21:20	196:4,7,10	Brooke 38:10	18 224:7,10,
230:1,10	26:24 27:1	259:16,17	305:22 306:8	13 225:3
231:4	29:17 40:5,12	Brian 9:14	brought 88:9	238:8
hinary 12:7	42:13 43:20	10:17 86:16	95:12 117:23	build-out
binary 43:7, 11 122:24	44:4 46:6	98:24	118:1 129:4	120:14,20
123:1 146:17	49:4,6,8,18,	Brian's 10:13	146:21,22	building 27:4
123.1 140.17	21 69:7 84:9		149:19	building 37:4 40:11 51:16
bit 43:16	85:16 88:5	brief 5:5	154:22 159:2	53:3 96:19
85:22 87:20	91:15,16	28:19 84:25	191:12	98:13,20
90:24 91:15	109:15,16	301:7 307:15	204:24	100:9 106:3
113:22	119:14 126:1	briefly 161:4	205:11,20,23	121:19 200:1
114:18 119:8	140:19 148:5	202:15	265:14	202:24 213:8
124:13 125:6	154:23	brilliance	288:10	202.24 213.0
134:11	190:17	109:14	Brown 18:7	260:13,17
137:19	204:21	109.14	22:20 179:6,	
194:15	233:19	bring 40:8	8,11,19,25	builds 121:14
226:24	236:19 250:5	65:1 66:19	180:2,5,19	built 80:13
241:21 242:9	258:8,11	86:6 90:12	181:5 182:18	94:16 96:1
244:1 248:11	273:6 280:17	93:2 115:13	184:2,17	110:14
251:13 254:7	286:17	209:2 217:18,	186:22	115:25 120:2
287:11	313:14	20 228:8	189:15,21	200:3 203:6,
296:10	316:14	268:9 286:8	190:4 194:2	12,16 204:23
305:23	bottom 100:3	bringing	195:12,24	223:8,9
bits 286:17	116:7 123:24	51:20 96:3	Brown's	224:17
blanket 23:18	BPS 188:4	100:5 256:19	180:21	254:20
		brings 65:14		284:19 289:4,
board 58:9	Brad 38:10	101:6	BTS 187:12	7
253:3 278:22	77:8 132:14		bubble 99:24	bulk 187:11,
boils 71:3	147:6 196:25	broad 30:1	100:2 288:9,	23
Bolen 244:8,	197:1 272:25	58:13 140:6	17,19,21	
12,14,17	315:12 316:7,	168:13 210:3	289:1	bullet 145:12,
246:19	12,17 317:13,	260:10	bucket 243:13	19
	17	broader		bunch 92:12
Bolen's 237:7	Bradley 18:13	275:11	budgets	burden 21:19
244:5	196:2,18	broadly 51:10	97:15	56:20 251:16
boom 42:15	197:22 198:4,	53:14 185:4,	build 57:7	
100:21	8 315:21	15	92:16,25	burdened
		_		
	1			LEXITAS
388-893-3767	I AVITAS ANATATAS I	n all 50 states and is license	an whare required	



		September 30, 2025		12
268:12	14 15:3,11	160:18 166:9,	22 291:1,16	92:9 94:16
burdensome	16:6 20:23	24 167:3	294:9,18,24	96:18 99:17,
44:12 251:22	24:11 30:11,	168:21	295:8 296:7,	23 100:3
	23 35:20	171:17 173:7	23 298:13	102:25
burn 300:17,	36:10 43:17	175:12 177:3	299:17	103:25 106:7
18,19,21	45:21,23	179:12,13	302:14 303:2	108:25 109:6
burned	46:6,22 48:5,	184:22	304:18 306:3	111:17 115:4,
301:21	11,23 53:2	194:18	311:1,6	12 118:1
	61:6 62:7,10	196:12 201:2,	312:16	120:14
burst 288:19,	65:11 68:4,9,	12,15 206:15,	315:15	122:13
24	24 69:9,15	19 209:9	buy 99:11	125:11
Busch 38:9	74:22 80:6	210:12 214:7,	108:16 113:8	126:16
176:22	82:21 85:16	13 215:6	298:3	127:20,24
206:12,17	86:9,20 87:9	219:20 220:8	290.3	131:16 133:1,
207:2,8,10	88:14 90:1	221:4 222:8	buying	10 135:10
208:12,15,19	92:10,20,21	223:9,14	108:20,24	136:5 137:17
210:23,24	93:3 94:11,22	224:18	by 7:16 11:11	141:2 144:1,
212:5 218:5	100:12 101:5,	225:11 228:3,	12:25 13:25	13,22 145:5,
221:13	13 104:14,22	14 229:4	14:23 15:13	7,13,18
222:21	107:7 112:12	232:18 233:4	17:1 18:15	148:10
258:15	119:6 120:22	234:23 235:8	20:4 23:5,25	150:13,15,16
259:14,23	121:25 123:5,	237:10,14	24:11,19	151:1 154:22
270:20 283:9	11 124:2,4,	238:4 239:16	25:7,9,24	156:18
288:5 290:17	12,25 126:16	241:14,22	27:20,22	158:24 161:6
Busch's	127:10	243:11,15	29:22 30:19	164:6 165:23
44:25 45:4	128:12	244:2,17,19	31:24 34:10	167:19 168:4
147:24	129:18	245:13	35:1,18,19,22	170:7 171:2
177:15	132:21	246:22 250:5,	36:1 40:10,	172:1,4
177:13	133:23 134:9,	13 251:21	11,12,25 41:4	173:17 174:7
290:23	19 135:15	253:6,11,18	43:4,5,18	175:5,18
295:17	137:8 141:18	254:9,22	44:17 46:10,	176:14
	144:5 146:8	255:1,4,5,15	16 48:2 50:25	177:24 178:2
business 47:1	147:8,20	257:10,19	51:14 52:4	179:24 181:4
51:20 52:7,8,	148:19,24	260:12 261:5,	55:15,23	184:1,16
11 69:14 97:3	149:1,15,25	20 262:1	56:20 57:9	186:21 187:8
102:16	150:4,21	264:5,20	58:7,12 60:14	190:3 191:2,
106:14	151:21	268:10	63:3 70:14	12 192:5
222:19	152:16	273:10,19	71:14 72:21	194:1 195:11
253:13,16	153:15	275:12,14	73:9 74:10,15	196:23 199:3,
businesses	154:10,19	276:14 280:5	76:19 78:15	7,12 202:17
39:21 222:17	155:18	281:16	80:9 81:15,18	204:12,18
235:16	156:24 157:3,	282:11	83:22 86:23	206:1 207:7,
	10,16 158:9,	283:23	88:9 89:24	12,13 208:18
but 6:14 8:4,	13 159:1	287:25 289:6,	90:13 91:3	209:1,18
l		1	I	



		•		
211:8 212:2,	C-L-I-Z-E-R	273:18	captures 78:5	92:19 93:14
4,17,20	86:22	283:12,21	carbon-free	95:12 99:25
214:17,19,22	C-O-L-E 179:9	capabilities	55:22 61:5	102:3,12
215:10 218:4		18:10 150:9		103:2,5
219:16	calculated		care 157:5	104:18 106:8,
222:17 224:5	308:9,16	capability	careful	22 107:8
226:8 229:14	calculation	55:20 56:11	102:20	108:10,13
231:1,21	32:20 35:20	capacity		109:8 110:12
233:22,25	71:4,7 310:15	16:11,16	carefully	16,19 112:3,4
234:17		55:23 56:11	36:18 78:23	120:5,7
237:19	calculations	57:13,14,22,	79:20 103:13	122:15 123:5
238:25 239:5,	32:19	23 59:19,22	Caro 6:22	128:6 131:19
11 240:8	call 51:21	60:20 61:22	50:15	133:22
245:4 247:22	138:25 148:9	62:6,8 89:2		135:13 137:2
248:21	164:23 166:7	106:2 107:1	Carolyn 62:23	25 138:2,16
251:14 254:5	262:2 291:5		carry 27:24	140:12
258:14	305:21	113:7 134:4,		144:10,15
259:22	312:12	7,15,20,21,23	carrying	146:25 147:2
260:24 261:3	315:10	135:1,3 203:7	221:18	150:2 151:3,
262:7 264:25	315.10	207:12	carving 147:9	
	called 24:16	227:17		7,8,9,11,22
265:1 267:20,	60:23 113:11	229:15 232:8	case 4:7 8:23	166:6 167:3,8
21 269:6	200:2 261:22	233:18 292:9	10:4,5 11:22	168:7,19
270:19 271:8	272:14	303:23	19:3,6,16	172:9 197:2
273:2 274:4	III: 440:04	306:17 313:9	23:16 26:10,	203:8,11,24
276:6,23	calling 118:21	316:8	13,17,20 28:6	205:18
277:3 283:8	174:12 291:8	capacity-base	29:2,12,15	207:17 213:9
285:8 292:1,	calls 127:4	135:4	30:9 31:25	20,21,22,24
8,10 293:22	179:7 219:13	133.4	32:24 33:1	214:12 215:8
295:11	268:23	capital 88:23	35:3 36:12	225:9 231:22
298:18,23	291:12	97:7,17	38:16 39:2,4,	233:2 237:10
299:9,10	315:12	237:10	5,15,16,20	19 239:4
301:9 303:15,		255:13	41:19 42:20	240:1,12,13
22 306:13,17	came 73:3	acution ad	43:9 47:13,	243:16,25
307:17	145:20	captioned	18,21 48:4,5,	244:10
308:11 310:6,	camp 124:16	134:3 135:17	23 49:2,3,6,	245:17,18,20
22 311:22	1	captive	15,17 51:3	249:24 250:5
			1 10,17 01.0	
	cancer 101:2	227:23 234:5	58.2 60.2 6	7.18 253:18
313:2,9		227:23 234:5 268:6	58:2 60:2,6 61:13 63:3	7,18 253:18 254:13.19
313:2,9 315:19 316:2,	cannot 20:14	268:6	61:13 63:3,	254:13,19
313:2,9	cannot 20:14 21:1 34:24	268:6 capture 31:7	61:13 63:3, 19,21 65:23	254:13,19 255:8 256:15
313:2,9 315:19 316:2, 8,9 318:17	cannot 20:14 21:1 34:24 35:25 61:25	268:6 capture 31:7 39:17,19 40:1	61:13 63:3, 19,21 65:23 68:17,24 69:2	254:13,19 255:8 256:15 17,19 257:2,
313:2,9 315:19 316:2,	cannot 20:14 21:1 34:24 35:25 61:25 62:5 150:14	268:6 capture 31:7 39:17,19 40:1 41:8,10,23	61:13 63:3, 19,21 65:23 68:17,24 69:2 70:10,12	254:13,19 255:8 256:15 17,19 257:2, 14,15,21
313:2,9 315:19 316:2, 8,9 318:17	cannot 20:14 21:1 34:24 35:25 61:25 62:5 150:14 161:12	268:6 capture 31:7 39:17,19 40:1 41:8,10,23 42:6 44:22	61:13 63:3, 19,21 65:23 68:17,24 69:2 70:10,12 71:15 85:4,5	254:13,19 255:8 256:15 17,19 257:2, 14,15,21 259:6,8
313:2,9 315:19 316:2, 8,9 318:17	cannot 20:14 21:1 34:24 35:25 61:25 62:5 150:14	268:6 capture 31:7 39:17,19 40:1 41:8,10,23	61:13 63:3, 19,21 65:23 68:17,24 69:2 70:10,12	254:13,19 255:8 256:15 17,19 257:2, 14,15,21



		September 30, 2025		10
263:17,24	31:24 59:5	center 5:1	19 93:2,5	66:15 81:2
271:18	115:13	6:24 7:4	94:3,24 95:5,	82:15 156:19
272:19	119:24	12:11 13:1	15 96:15	168:19
273:20	cause 10:8	24:6 28:10	97:17 98:2,8,	169:17
276:17,20	22:24 83:15	37:3 42:16	13 99:13	192:17
280:25 281:5,	146:15 157:1	51:8,11,13	100:8,14,18	225:11
20,24 282:15	185:2 232:22	52:25 63:7,19	101:7,11	266:20
285:15,19	233:12	64:1,3,18	102:6 115:3,4	certainty
294:19		65:9 72:7	117:2,25	79:2,9,17
295:12	caused 46:10	76:21,22,23	118:5,20	, ,
296:12	180:5 197:1	77:2,8,21	120:11,22	cetera 91:14
301:17	240:8	80:3,16 91:7	121:3,15	188:20
306:21 308:2	causes 256:7	94:8 95:14	156:23 157:1	191:16
309:3,9 311:6	275:19	96:19 97:25	213:8 236:4	263:21
313:14,19		100:21 101:8	261:6 263:12,	300:14
316:14,18,23	causing 83:11	106:20 116:8	13 264:20	chair 4:16
cases 29:18	114:25	120:14,20	265:25	50:17 122:5
31:9,10 36:8	115:12	165:11 176:4	268:10,14,22	125:2 145:5,7
43:5 47:23	caution	193:7 202:3	281:13 282:7	158:25 161:7
49:4,7,9,18	101:24	211:17	284:22	163:23
66:21 87:1,2	cautioned	213:11,16	285:10 286:8	165:25
88:12 102:7	127:17	234:12,14,22,	288:9,12	166:11
109:16 120:6	171:22	23 235:6,19,	290:7	173:15,17,19
144:14	179:21	20 236:14,15,	central 53:13	174:23 175:5
205:21	196:20 207:4	18 259:9		176:18,25
225:13	291:23	268:1 270:6	cents 124:3	178:15 190:1,
249:20,23	303:12	277:14 279:5	century 39:22	3,5 191:17
257:19	306:10	281:3 288:2	CEO 99:25	194:3 199:4,
258:16 277:3,	312:24	293:12		5,7 201:19
4 285:18	315:23	304:25	certain 23:22	202:20
294:1,4		309:21	24:24 40:22	204:13
,	cautious	314:12 318:5	62:8 81:14	206:15 211:6,
cast 237:10	290:4	center's	116:20	8 290:21
catching	CCN 93:15	54:25	117:10,20	291:2
284:6	203:4 205:20	centers 29:21	144:21 150:4	Chairman
categorically	224:10,12,13	30:6,11 32:15	152:7,14,17	72:2
19:21	225:9,13	37:5 43:2	156:1 162:25	
	CCNS 225:20	45:7 51:6	182:4 188:10	chairs 305:23
category			192:10,14	chance 54:3
200:6	cede 12:21	54:7,12,24 55:21 56:3,5	202:20	176:21
caught	cell 7:10	72:8 82:21	241:16 250:7	Chandler 5:10
273:10	cent 46:9	87:17 90:14,	285:23	9:23 175:2
causation	Cent 40.9	19,21 91:2,5,	certainly 10:8	3.23 173.2
Jaujation		10,2101.2,0,	42:13 63:13	
L				NIEVITΛC"



change 60:3	charges	19:7 123:6	clarify 181:17	277:22
68:16 75:13	22:11 27:15,	145:16 146:9	203:9 214:9	clients 104:13
140:25 147:8	18 32:7,8	281:13	clarity 38:6	150:12
152:1 167:7	57:17 59:21	choosing		
169:22 170:4	60:17 65:24	219:24	class 32:2	climate 83:20
236:3 250:2	92:2 133:17	220:11	79:7 104:8	234:15
257:7	135:5 136:7,		236:25 237:4,	Clizer 5:18
changed	8,12 242:5,	chose 151:24	9 239:23	8:11 38:21
112:17 147:1	14,15,18	circle 49:1	240:4,9,20,22	86:16,19,21
164:1,9	243:2 298:8	circumstance	241:7 263:20	89:15,18,20,
167:13,22	311:22	s 81:14	275:19	24 90:1
225:23	Charles 98:7	116:20	class's 14:16	121:14,19,23
		117:11	62:16	122:5,18
changes 12:2	chart 99:3	147:12,15	classes 35:10	125:12,17
24:24 55:17	148:16 203:2	160:14	65:18 78:16	126:15,16,21
59:8 87:17	265:17	219:10	83:7 240:14	129:12,15,17
147:23 197:7	cheaper	242:24		130:5,7
273:12 313:17	113:19		classes' 38:1	143:18,21,24
316:21	check 124:24	citation	clause 21:13	144:1,22
	131:17	121:24	249:8,9	145:3 161:4,6
changing	154:20	cite 45:19	250:6,24	167:14,23
90:10 111:11		cited 121:23	251:6 297:20,	169:20 170:3
146:25	Chemical		22 308:1	173:13
164:16	279:15	citizen 53:17	claw 112:14,	177:23
characteristic	chief 96:14,21	citizen's	18,22	178:11,17
s 78:5 188:7	chill 23:10	278:22		189:24
characterized	192:7,18	oitizana 50:0	Clay 77:6	193:20 195:2
264:9		citizens 58:9 98:12 271:15	clean 16:17	199:1 204:7
204.9	CHILLS	272:3,9	52:4 57:24	211:3 293:9
charge 17:7	194:5,6,11,	,	59:24 61:9	304:22
30:18 32:8,9	12,15,20	City 76:22	78:18 83:18,	307:14,17
37:22 60:13,	195:13,16	77:7,10	21,23	309:17 311:2
23,24 61:1,22	chips 99:13,	81:16,18	clear 16:24	314:10 318:1
68:19 92:17	14	96:19 121:18	43:4 52:9,14,	Clizer's 310:7
133:8,25	choice 12:18	281:13	19 68:10 96:7	clock 29:12
155:15	43:3,6,7	287:13	105:20 108:4	
159:19,20	89:24 122:24	clad 46:6		close 106:6
194:9 242:14	123:1 146:18		clearcut 94:5	201:7 307:18
246:8,14	234:6 265:25	Claire 38:11	cleared 248:8	closed 46:25
280:20		clarification	clearly 36:22	closely 49:7
311:14,15	choices	131:8 161:25	39:19 42:5	278:10
charged	122:10 219:7	290:19	122:8 142:4	280:22
27:16 274:8	choose 12:18		169:23	200.22
			100.20	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	NI EVITAC



		<u> </u>		
closer 115:3	coincide	collectively	40:23 91:18	commercially
122:13	187:22	209:1	92:5,10,15	148:5 149:2
123:19	285:13,18	Calliaian	106:12 108:9	163:7
124:24	coincided	Collision 277:14 279:5	110:18 160:2	Commission
alaaina 10.1	42:15		243:17	Commission 4:6 5:12
closing 49:1	42.13	281:3	244:25 245:5,	
cloud 53:19	coincidence	Colorado	9 257:15	10:10,22
97:15	247:22	287:19	294:20 295:1	11:21 12:17,
Club 4:25 7:6,	298:18,23	column	308:24	18,21,23
8 12:13 28:10	299:9,10	123:8,9		13:23 14:9
82:6,11,19,25	Cole 5:8 6:14	278:23	comfortable	19:7,11 21:18
83:12 84:11	8:19 9:24		123:19	23:13 24:19
94:21 165:16,	179:8	combat 118:4	152:20 162:3	25:14 26:8,9,
17 176:7		combined	coming 30:4	12,15,17,24
193:11 202:8	Coleman 4:17	99:18	54:24 87:7,16	27:9,12,14,23
211:22	50:19 121:8		89:2 93:21	28:4,6,20,22,
	158:20,21,24	come 6:5	101:11	24 29:4,5,6,8,
277:16	160:21	13:19 40:3,4	105:11	13,14,20,23,
279:20,21	collaborating	72:24 75:15	110:16	24 30:2,7
293:16 305:6	51:24	77:16 82:25	140:24	33:14,19,23
309:25 314:17 318:9		87:2 89:9	148:19 159:6	34:20 35:13
314.17 310.9	collar 96:23	90:21 95:20	204:21	36:2,4,6
cluster 16:22	collateral	98:13 107:20	224:21 225:2,	37:17 38:18,
CO2 55:11	16:15 57:18	108:19 109:7	4 233:16	25 41:1,2,18
	59:18 78:10	110:6,11	243:22	42:18,19
coal 302:5	106:12,22	116:19	250:23 251:3	43:24 49:14
coalition 6:25	152:1,2,6,10,	117:21	253:3,5,11	50:9 51:2
7:4 12:11	25 153:9	126:25 146:1,	256:17	52:20 61:4,12
28:10 63:19	161:19	5 148:22	259:25 293:3	63:6,17 64:7
72:8 165:12		160:3 205:9	304:16 319:1	66:14 68:9,
176:4 193:7	colleague	214:13 219:4		11,18 70:8
202:4 211:17	9:23 63:20	224:8,15,22	commencing	71:10 72:2
213:16	182:23	239:9,14	4:2	73:8,19,23
293:12	colleagues	247:8 249:11	comments	76:11,17
304:25	26:11 219:21	250:2,6,24,25	18:11 139:7	79:18 82:18
309:21	220:9	251:5 256:14	159:4 191:9	83:1 84:5,12,
314:12 318:5	collect 67:24	258:24	214:4,10	17,23 86:12,
	238:21	259:16	commerce	24 87:3,9,22,
codified 14:1	241:19,23	270:13 275:2	272:15,16	24 88:4,10,17
31:15 35:3	241.19,23	288:3 290:13	,	101:22 102:1,
cogent 45:22		295:10	commercial	4,9,12,19,23
	collected 21:3	301:22	15:2 22:17	103:18,20,21
cognizant 101:13	227:10,11	311:13	89:6 150:23	107:16
101.13	241:17,25	comes 28:5	162:16 194:3,	114:14
			4	115:19
000 002 2767	1	n all E0 states and is license	and and a second second	ΝΙΕΧΙΤΔS



		September 30, 2025		18
116:11	297:25 298:5,	50:19 64:14	company	company-
118:17	8 303:24	71:13 82:17	5:20 10:3	owned 154:2
119:25 121:6,	306:18 311:6	173:15 190:1	15:13 18:5	compare 47:2
10 123:6	313:10 315:1,	199:3 318:18	21:7 22:6	105:22
132:4,7	2 316:10	commissionin	65:2,15,20	301:23
136:18,21	Commission's	g 183:1	66:6 67:10	
138:1 144:9,	11:23 12:6	185:25	68:11,13	compared
12,20 145:5,	18:19,22		69:14 75:18	94:14,20
16 150:21	19:18 21:8,23	commit	107:10	100:1 153:10
151:14,16,21	27:6 39:14	105:19	108:14	232:4
158:15	41:16 63:25	commitment	109:15	comparing
161:23 162:8,	169:3 175:14	53:7 105:21	112:10 117:6	302:13
13 163:9,13,	209:8 267:14		121:14	
16 168:17	272:19 276:4	commitments	123:18,23	comparison
175:17,20	212.19 210.4	13:11 16:11	124:2,14,23	58:24
176:11	Commission-	18:11 65:24	127:4 128:20	compensated
189:12	approved	78:25 99:5	133:13 134:6,	284:12,18
192:23,24	15:1 32:25	committee	14 135:2	,
193:15	Commissione	194:7	136:1 139:23,	compete
201:22	r 4:16,17,18		25 140:6	17:18 84:7
202:14 206:1	50:18 73:24	common	144:11,13	218:22
207:1,14	74:1,3,5,9,13,	188:15	155:13	competing
211:5,7 215:4	16,19,23	commonly	157:11	19:5 43:7
224:9,13,16,	75:3,5,8,14,	264:20	161:12 166:5	47:7 52:24
20,23 225:1,9	17,22 76:1,5,	communities	168:13 169:2,	competitive
230:21 239:6,	8,10 79:22,23	40:15 51:21,	9 179:7	160:4,7
11 244:16	80:1,5,19,22,	23 65:10	191:22	217:22 275:6,
247:18	24 81:20,25		199:25	18
254:22	82:3,4 89:13,	community	205:16 210:2	
256:11 257:6,	16,19,23,25	16:23 51:24	277:7,10,17	competitivene
7 260:10	98:1 114:11	77:9,13,14	279:11,18	ss 25:19 78:1
267:11,21,24	121:8,10,12,	117:14 118:6,	308:25	160:14
268:19	17,21 122:2,	7 160:12	oomnony's	complaint
269:11	3,18 125:4	community-	company's 15:11,19 16:4	169:13
271:22	145:6 158:19,	interest 160:2	17:20,22	256:19,24,25
272:24 273:2	21,23,24		18:6,10 19:8	
274:2,6	160:21	companies	23:15 24:22	complementar
275:25 277:6	173:16	52:16 64:2	64:23 67:13	y 16:15
278:1,19	175:23 190:2	93:4 97:6,14	68:17 70:14	complete
280:2 281:23	199:6 305:14,	99:11 103:3	90:7 97:12	59:25 257:23
282:23	15 312:6	115:20,25	110:21 111:6	290:24,25
286:13,18,23		154:17	162:20 175:9	completely
288:6 290:4	Commissione	265:12	265:8	60:10 125:17
292:11	rs 4:15 9:10	270:22 302:8	200.0	199:12
				100.12
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1
888-893-3767	Lexitas operates i	n all 50 states and is license	ed where required.	LEXITAS



262:22 253:15 91:10 98:16 145:20 309:3 178:18 178:18 178:18 178:18 178:18 178:18 228:4 228:3 228:4 228:4 228:4 228:4 228:4 228:3 228:13 228:4 228:13 228:4 228:13					
192:9 27:17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 260:13,17 271:5 273:17 271:5 273:17 271:5 273:17 271:5 273:17 271:5 273:17 271:5 273:18 273:1		-	_		192:16,18
Additional Confirmed 192:7 Conditional 192:7 Conforming 212:202 212:202 212:202 212:202 212:202 212:202 212:202 212:202 212:202 212:202 212:202 213:222 213:222 213:222 220:9 213:223 220:9 213:223 220:9 213:223 220:9 213:223 220:9 213:223 220:9 213:223 220:9 213:223 220:9 20:9 20:03:273:23 220:03:273:2	253:15		145:20 309:3		consider 39:6
44:11 70:20 242:22 268:1 268:1 265:25 257:8 concepts 45:21 22 28:4 confirmed 177:16 88:4,18 94:6 95:2 98:15 100:22,21 177:16 95:2 98:15 10:20,21 100:22,1 100:22,21 100:22,1 100:24,1 100:22,1 100:22,1 100:24,1 100:22,1 100:24,1 100:22,1 100:24,1 100:22,1 100:24,1 100:22,1 100:24,1 100:22,1 100:24,1 100:22,1 100:24,1 100:24,1 100:22,1 100:24,1	complex 20:3		conclusory		87:10,13
Z42:22 complexities 256:25 257:8 concepts 36:9 192:4 271:5 273:17 conditional 192:7 177:16 101:20:21 104:20;24 119:11,322 124:20 sps:2 98:11 20 177:16 101:20;21 104:20;24 119:11,13,22 124:20 confirming 235:16 conditions 235:16 conditions 128:13 11:20 conform 164:13,16 124:29:7 compliance 262:7,21 270:9 272:21 273:1 281:4,9	-	'	_	228:4	88:4,18 94:6
192:7 177:16 101:20,24 104:20,24	242:22	268:1	conditional	confirmed	95:2 98:15
complexities 36:9 192:4 271:5 273:17 conditioning 235:16 confirming 311:20 104:20,24 119:11,13,22 124:20 104:41,13 217:23 112:20 114:13,16 175:17 205:3 128:13 175:17 205:3 127:23 243:20 227:23 227:22 243:20 227:13 227:23 227:23 227:23 227:23 227:23 228:16 228:11 228:21 229:10 229:10 229:12 229:22 220:9 220:22	256:25 257:8	concepts		177:16	101:20,21
271:15 273:17 273:17 235:16 2	complexities	36:9 192:4		confirming	104:20,24
compliance conceptual 235:16 conform 124:20 14:21 154:4 262:7,21 4:25 29:7 128:13 175:17 205:3 complicated 30:23 273:1 281:4,9 41:24 44:12 conforming 217:23 complies 73:6 67:14 41:24 44:12 conforming 217:23 complying 33:24 150:24 150:24 confusing 282:11 complying 33:24 95:9 119:8 219:6 238:22 113:23 considerable component 107:13 concerned 288:8 29:10 29:10 congestion 114:25 191:9 20 considerably 20:3 273:23 considera	•	271:5 273:17	_	_	119:11,13,22
14:21 154:4 262:7,21 270:9 272:21 4:25 29:7 4:25 29:7 270:9 272:21 4:25 29:7 270:9 272:21 4:25 29:7 270:9 272:21 4:25 29:7 270:9 272:21 4:25 29:7 270:9 272:21 4:25 29:7 270:9 272:21 4:25 29:7 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 272:21 270:9 270:9		concentual	235:16		124:20
Complicated 30:23 Conceptually comples 73:6 Conceptually comply 28:17 162:10 Concern 20:19 32:16 17:13 153:23 17:14 13:15 153:23 Considerably 23:24 Component 107:13 Component 288:8 Concerned 288:8 Conduct 23:23 52:10 Concerning 30:25 Conceptually 6:10 288:24 Concerned 288:8 Conduct 23:23 52:10 Concerning 243:20 Considerably 20:3 273:23 Consideration 38:16 49:15 Ge:20 88:11 Considerably 20:3 273:23 Consider	•	•	conditions		164:13,16
complicated 30:23 273:1 281:4,9 67:14 41:24 44:12 47:15,22 59:10 112:2 59:10 112:2 150:24 conforming 12:2 59:10 112:2 59:10 112:2 59:10 112:2 150:24 21:23 243:20 281:16 282:11 comply 28:17 162:10 concern 20:19 32:16 95:9 119:8 151:4,13 192:15 268:8 component 107:13 150:24 160:17 215:9 219:6 238:22 239:21 confusing 113:23 243:20 considerable 219:22 220:9, 20 component 107:13 concerned 288:8 conducive 219:10 congestion 114:25 191:9 considerably 20:3 273:23 components 22:11 31:24 32:3 52:10 concerned 288:8 conducted 58:24 conjunction 34:15 38:16 49:15 62:20 88:11 comports 41:12 concerns 53:25 81:3 96:8 97:22 139:9 204:25 218:25 conducted 156:6 connection 51:17 202:21, 25 203:6,24 considerably 20:3 273:23 comprehensiv e 69:1 78:10 component 286:12 conductors 56:10 Connections 153:20 consideration 31:17 20:21, 25 203:6,24 considered 219:19 computer 97:19 concert 146:10,13 confident 31:11 74:20, 22 175:7 consequence 57:7 168:18 considered 46:10 25:3:20 computing 53:19 55:20 234:17 concludes 71:14 86:8 confidential 31:11 74:20, 22 175:7 conservation 24:12 considers 53:20 conceivably 46:10 concluden 73:3 118:16 co	14:21 154:4	· ·	4:25 29:7	128:13	
30:23 conceptually (67:14) 47:15,22 (59:10 112:2 (59:10 113:23 (59:10 112:2 (59:10 112:2 (59:10 112:2 (59:10 112:2 (59:10 112:2 (59:10 112:2 (59:10 113:23	complicated		41:24 44:12	conforming	
complies 73:6 67:14 39:10 TIA.2 144:21 13 confused 11:3 153:23 282:11 282:11 282:11 considerable 219:22 220:9, 20 282:11 considerable 219:22 220:9, 20 282:11 considerable 219:22 220:9, 20 considerable 219:22 20:3, 213:41 considerable 219:22 219:25 considerable 219:22 219:25 considerable 219:22 2	30:23	·	47:15,22	12:2	
comply 28:17 162:10 concern 20:19 32:16 20:19 32:16 150:24 144:21 150:24 160:17 215:9 219:6 238:22 239:21 11:3 153:23 219:22 220:9, 20 considerable 219:22 220:9, 20 considerable 219:32 20 considerable 219:32 20 considerable 219:13 20 considerable 219:13 20 considerable 219:13 20 considerable 219:13 20	complies 73:6		59:10 112:2	confused	
Comply 28:17 Concern 150:24 160:17 215:9 219:6 238:22 133:24 151:4,13 192:15 268:8 Components 22:11 31:24 288:8 Components 22:11 31:24 90:25 Conducted 25:25 81:3 96:8 97:22 139:9 204:25 269:17 8:10 269:11 260:11 260:11 279:10 260:12 279:10 260:12 279:10 260:12 279:10	•	07:14	144:21		282:11
complying 33:24 95:9 119:8 151:4,13 192:15 268:8 219:6 238:22 239:21 113:23 124:13 219:22 220:9, 20 component 107:13 concerned 288:8 conduct 288:8 conduct 289:10 conduct 299:10 congestion 14:25 191:9 considerably 20:3 273:23 components 22:11 31:24 32:3 52:10 concerning 90:25 conducted 156:6 connection 58:24 connection 51:17 202:21, 25 203:6,24 consideration 38:16 49:15 62:20 88:11 components 41:12 53:25 81:3 96:8 97:22 conducted 156:6 connection 51:17 202:21, 25 203:6,24 consideration 38:16 49:15 62:20 88:11 components 41:12 conducted 53:25 81:3 96:8 97:22 conducting 156:5 Connection's 153:20 consideration 25:17:9,25 components 41:12 conducted 139:9 204:25 conductors 56:10 conductors 153:20 consideration 51:17 202:21, 25 203:6,24 consideration 27:9,25 compute 10:14,17 concert 146:10,13 confident 31:11 74:20, 22 175:7 consensus 57:7 168:18 consequence s 26:16 consequently 49:10 considering 46:10 47:24 conceivably 46:10 concludes 25:6 39:21 177:6,15 291:1 316:15 conservation 24:12 considerably 20:3 273:23 consequently 49:10 considerably 20:3 27:77 168:18 <td></td> <td>concern</td> <td>150:24</td> <td></td> <td>considerable</td>		concern	150:24		considerable
233:24 151:4,13 192:15 268:8 239:21 124:13 200 considerably 20:3 273:23 conduct 23:15 23:15 23:15 23:25 conduct 23:15 23:15 23:25 conduct 23:15 23:25 conduct 23:15 23:25 conduct 23:15 23:25 conduct 23:25 23:15 conduct 23:25 23:25 conducting 23:25 conducting 23:25 conducting 23:25 conductors 23:25	162:10	20:19 32:16	160:17 215:9		219:22 220:9,
151:4,13	complying		219:6 238:22		20
component 107:13 132.13 208.8 concerned 288:8 conducive 219:10 congestion 114:25 191:9 20:3 273:23 components 22:11 31:24 32:3 52:10 concerning 90:25 conducted conducted 156:6 connection 51:17 202:21, 25 203:6,24 consideration 38:16 49:15 62:20 88:11 comports 41:12 concerns 53:25 81:3 96:8 97:22 139:9 204:25 218:25 conducting 156:5 Connections 153:20 consideration 217:9,25 comprehensiv e 69:1 78:10 conductors 218:25 conductors 218:25 conductors 218:25 conductors 218:25 conductors 218:25 conductors 219:5 consideration 217:9,25 comports 46:10 47:24 conductors 24:12 consideration 217:9,25 consideration 217:9,25 conducting 156:5 Connections 153:20 consideration 217:9,25 conductors 218:18 268:4 Connections 219:9 consideration 217:9,25 conductors 219:5 Connections 219:9 consequence 22:18 considered 46:10 47:24 computer 10:14,17 conclude 24:10 consequence 25:3:20 considering 34:13 66:16 considering 24:12 considering 34:13 66:16 considering 34:13 66:16 considering 24:12 considering 34:13 66:16 considering 24:12 considering 34:13 66:16 considering	33:24	l '	239:21	124:13	considerably
components concerned 219:10 114:25 191:9 consideration 22:11 31:24 288:8 conduct 58:24 conjunction 38:16 49:15 62:20 88:11 32:3 52:10 90:25 conducted connection 217:9,25 218:18 268:4 comports 41:12 53:25 81:3 conducting 156:6 51:17 202:21, 218:18 268:4 comprehensive 6 9:1 78:10 260:11 56:5 Conductors 153:20 consideration compromise 260:11 56:10 Connection's 219:5 compute 286:12 56:10 Connections 29:9 compute 286:12 Confidence 57:7 168:18 204:20 97:19 concert 146:10,13 313:15 consequence 253:20 computer 10:14,17 conclude 31:17 74:20, 22:16 285:14,19 conceivably concludes 121:6 22:175:7 Consequently 49:10 34:13 66:16 conceivably concludes 12:6	component	192:15 268:8	conducive	congestion	
components 288:8 conduct conjunction 38:16 49:15 22:11 31:24 32:3 52:10 90:25 conducted 34:15 62:20 88:11 32:3 52:10 90:25 conducted connection 217:9,25 comports 53:25 81:3 96:8 97:22 218:17 202:21, 25 203:6,24 274:7,21 comprehensive 69:1 78:10 260:11 56:10 Connection's 153:20 consideration 217:9,25 comprehensive 260:11 56:10 Connection's 153:20 consideration 219:5 compute 286:12 56:10 Connections 199:9 considered 46:10 47:24 op:19 286:12 52:18 confident 25:18 57:7 168:18 25:3:20 computer 10:14,17 conclude 71:14 86:8 confidential 31:17 74:20, 22 175:7 Consequence 52:16 285:14,19 conceivably 46:10 concludes 72:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 177:6,15 291:1 316:15 conservation 24:12 considers 53:9	-	concerned		114:25 191:9	
22:11 31:24 32:3 52:10 concerning 90:25 58:24 34:15 62:20 88:11 62:20 82:14 62:20 88:11 62:20 82:14 62:20 83:11 62:20 82:14 62:20 83:11 62:20 82:14 62:20 83:11 62:20 82:14 62:20 83:14 62:20 83:11 62:20 82:14 62:20 83:11 62:20 82:14 62:20 83:11 62:20 83:11 62:20 83:11 62:20 83:11 62:20 83:11 62:20 83:11 62:20 83:11 62:20 83:11 62:20 83:11 62:20 83:11 62:20 83:11 62:20 83:14 62:20 8		288:8	conduct	conjunction	
Solid Comports Solid Conducted Solid Connection Solid Connecti	-	concorning		1	
comports 41:12 concerns 53:25 81:3 96:8 97:22 139:9 204:25 218:25 156:6 conducting 156:5 51:17 202:21, 25 203:6,24 218:18 268:4 274:7,21 comprehensiv e 69:1 78:10 congromise 260:11 conductors 56:10 Connection's 153:20 consideration s 219:5 compute 97:19 concert 286:12 confident 286:12 confident 313:15 consequence s 26:16 considered 46:10 considered 46:10 47:24 computer 10:14,17 146:10,13 313:15 consequence s 26:16 274:16 285:14,19 computing 53:19 55:20 234:17 concludes 121:6 274:16 25:639:21 274:12 considering 49:10 34:13 66:16 99:14 119:9 conceivably 46:10 conclusion 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 confidentiality 163:9,15 conservative 53:9		_			
comports 53:25 81:3 conducting 25 203:6,24 274:7,21 comprehensive 69:1 78:10 53:25 81:3 conducting 156:5 Connection's consideration compromise 260:11 56:10 Connections considered r9:21 284:21 285:9 confidence 52:18 consensus 57:7 168:18 204:20 compute 297:19 conclude confident 313:15 consequence 274:16 computer 10:14,17 conclude confidential 31:11 74:20, 26:16 Consequently 53:19 55:20 concludes 121:6 177:6,15 conservation 24:12 considers conceivably conclusion 25:6 39:21 confidentiality confidentiality conservative 53:9	32:3 52:10	90.23			1
comprehensive e 69:1 78:10 96:8 97:22 139:9 204:25 218:25 218:25 260:11 conductors 56:10 Connection's 153:20 consideration s 219:5 compromise 79:21 284:21 285:9 286:12 confidence 52:18 consensus 57:7 168:18 consequence 204:20 253:20 computer 10:14,17 conclude conclude 71:14 86:8 concludes 234:17 concludes 234:17 concludes 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 concludential 163:9,15 consequently 24:12 consideration s 219:5 computer 10:14,17 concludes 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 confidential 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 confidential 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 consequently 24:12 consideration s 219:5 consideration s 219:5 considered 46:10 47:24 194:10 204:20 204:20 25:3:20 consequence s 26:16 considering 34:10 204:20 25:3:20 concludes 23:11 74:20, 23:11 73:3 118:16 concludes 22:175:7 20:11 316:15 conservation 24:12 20:11	comports		156:6	•	
comprehensive e 69:1 78:10 96:8 97:22 139:9 204:25 218:25 218:25 260:11 156:5 Connection's 153:20 consideration s 219:5 compromise 79:21 260:11 284:21 285:9 286:12 confidence 52:18 consensus 57:7 168:18 consensus 57:7 168:18 204:20 253:20 204:20 253:20 computer 10:14,17 conclude 71:14 86:8 confident 31:15 74:20, 22 175:7 17:6,15 291:1 316:15 consequently 49:10 20:10 34:13 66:16 99:14 119:9 consideration s 219:5 computer 10:14,17 conclude 71:14 86:8 confidential 31:17 74:20, 22 175:7 17:6,15 291:1 316:15 consequently 49:10 20:10 34:13 66:16 99:14 119:9 consideration s 219:5 computing 53:19 55:20 234:17 concludes 12:6 concludes 13:11 74:20, 22:175:7 17:6,15 291:1 316:15 conservation 24:12 considers 53:9 conceivably 46:10 conclusion 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 confidentiality 163:9,15 conservative 53:9	41:12		conducting	25 203:6,24	
e 69:1 78:10 139.9 204.23 218:25 conductors 153:20 s 219:5 compromise 79:21 260:11 284:21 285:9 286:12 confidence 52:18 considered 46:10 47:24 194:10 204:20 253:20 computer 10:14,17 conclude 71:14 86:8 conclude 71:14 86:8 confident 31:11 74:20, 22 175:7 17:6,15 291:1 316:15 consequently 49:10 considering 34:13 66:16 285:14,19 conceivably 46:10 conclusion 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 confidential 13:9,15 conservation 24:12 considers 53:9	comprehensiv		_	Connection's	
compromise 260:11 56:10 Connections considered 79:21 284:21 285:9 confidence 199:9 46:10 47:24 compute 52:18 consensus 57:7 168:18 204:20 computer 10:14,17 conclude confidential 253:20 274:16 computing 53:19 55:20 concludes 31:11 74:20, 22 175:7 Consequently 49:10 considering 53:19 55:20 234:17 121:6 177:6,15 291:1 316:15 conservation 24:12 considers conceivably 46:10 46:10 47:24 199:9 46:10 46:10 204:20 204:20 253:20 274:16 285:14,19 285:14,19 Consequently 49:10 34:13 66:16 99:14 119:9 20:13 16:15 20:13 16:15 20:13 16:15 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14 119:9 20:14	•		conductors	153:20	s 219:5
compromise 260:11 30:10 199:9 46:10 47:24 79:21 284:21 285:9 confidence 52:18 consensus 194:10 204:20 204:20 204:20 204:20 204:20 computer 10:14,17 conclude confidential 25:3:20 274:16 computing 71:14 86:8 31:11 74:20, 285:14,19 285:14,19 concludes 22 175:7 49:10 34:13 66:16 234:17 121:6 291:1 316:15 conservation 24:12 considers conceivably 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 confidentiality 163:9,15 conservative 53:9				Connections	considered
compute 284.21 285.9 confidence 52:18 consensus 194:10 97:19 concert 146:10,13 confident 253:20 computer 10:14,17 conclude confidential 253:20 computing 71:14 86:8 confidential 31:11 74:20, 26:16 285:14,19 concludes 22 175:7 49:10 34:13 66:16 234:17 121:6 177:6,15 291:1 316:15 conservation conceivably 25:6 39:21 confidentiality 24:12 considers 46:10 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 163:9,15 conservative 53:9	· •				46:10 47:24
compute 52:16 57:7 168:18 204:20 253:20 253:20 274:16 253:20 274:16 253:20 274:16 253:20 274:16 253:20 274:16 253:20 274:16 285:14,19 255:14,19 255:16 285:14,19 255:16 285:14,19 255:16 255:16 255:16 285:14,19 255:16 255:14,19 255:16 255:16 255:14,19 255:16 255:14,19 255:16 255:14,19 255:16 255:14,19 255:16 255:14,19 255:16 255:14,19 255:16 255:14,19 255:16 255:14,19 255:16 255:14,19 255:16 255:14,19 255:14 255:14 255:16 255:14 25	19.21			conconcilo	194:10
computer 146:10,13 confident 313:15 consequence 253:20 274:16 285:14,19 computing 53:19 55:20 concludes 71:14 86:8 concludes 31:11 74:20, Consequently considering 34:13 66:16 99:14 119:9 conceivably conclusion 25:6 39:21 confidentiality confidentiality conservation 24:12 considers 73:3 118:16 163:9,15 163:9,15 53:9 53:9	•		52:18		204:20
computer 10:14,17 conclude 71:14 86:8 confidential 31:11 74:20, 22 175:7 consequently 49:10 considering 34:13 66:16 99:14 119:9 53:19 55:20 234:17 concludes 121:6 177:6,15 291:1 316:15 conservation 24:12 considers 53:9 conceivably 46:10 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 confidentiality 163:9,15 conservative 53:9	97:19		confident	37.7 100.10	253:20
10:14,17 conclude 71:14 86:8 confidential 31:11 74:20, 22 175:7 Consequently 49:10 considering 34:13 66:16 99:14 119:9 53:19 55:20 234:17 concludes 121:6 121:6 consequently 22 175:7 conservation 24:12 considers 53:9 conceivably 46:10 conclusion 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 confidential 31:1 74:20, 22 175:7 conservation 24:12 considers 53:9	computer	146:10,13	313:15	•	
computing 71:14 86:8 31:11 74:20, Consequently considering 53:19 55:20 234:17 22 175:7 49:10 34:13 66:16 conceivably 25:6 39:21 291:1 316:15 consequently 99:14 119:9 considering 34:13 66:16 50:16 99:14 119:9 considers 24:12 considers considers 53:9	•	conclude	confidential	s 26:16	285:14,19
53:19 55:20 concludes 22 175:7 49:10 34:13 66:16 234:17 conceivably conclusion 25:6 39:21 confidentiality 24:12 conservation 46:10 25:6 39:21 confidentiality conservative 53:9	,	71:14 86:8		Consequently	considering
234:17 conceivably 46:10 121:6 conclusion 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 177:6,15 291:1 316:15 conservation 24:12 conservative 177:6,15 291:1 316:15 conservative 177:6,15 291:1 316:15 53:9		concludes	1		
conceivably 46:10 conclusion 25:6 39:21 73:3 118:16 291:1 316:15 291:1 316:15 291:1 316:15 24:12 291:1 316:15 considers 53:9				conservation	
46:10 25:6 39:21 confidentiality 73:3 118:16 confidentiality 163:9,15			•		considers
73:3 118:16 163:9,15	_		confidentiality		
	46:10			conservative	00.9
LEVITAC		/3:3 118:16	100.5,10		
\ I EVITAR		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	



		September 30, 2023		
consistent	consumption	65:23 72:19	conversation	11,13,19
29:8 33:13	55:21 80:2	106:2 133:15,	100:7 165:24	corporation
36:5 54:13	232:12 233:4	20 135:9	166:11 260:2	14:10 27:13,
55:1 61:18	contact 142:6	136:10,20,23	conversations	21 84:5
118:9		contracts	69:6 167:5	181:15,19,22,
consistently	contacts	33:18,20	204:13	25 184:18
48:9	213:10 216:3	113:8 256:6	210:21 216:6,	278:1
	contain		10	
constant	246:24	contradiction		Corporation's
282:5		229:8 232:16	Conversely	182:25
constrained	contained	contradictory	58:20	corporations
93:24	85:21 150:22	226:19,20	convince	14:8 104:2
	156:12	227:7 228:11	224:16	24.44
construct	158:13	232:19 233:6,	2221 2222 45	correct 74:11
222:10	197:12	9,19	cool 230:2,15	116:14
construction	313:23 317:3	,	231:10,16	126:21
13:20 40:5,12	contemplates	contrary 19:5,	cooling 230:1	128:16
64:24 76:25	32:18	23 48:13	234:16 236:6,	138:14 139:5,
77:2,12	contends	contrast	7	13 142:5 161:17 163:9
134:20	228:11	25:17 34:3	coordinator	166:23 177:8
consult 58:23		contribute	24:16	181:16,20,23
	contentious	59:11 304:16		182:5,11
consultants	31:9	306:20	copies 9:7,10	184:18,19
263:8,11	context 46:2		10:9 129:4	185:12,23
consulted	49:13	contributed	132:19	186:1 187:1
245:6	continually	292:13 293:4 304:2 313:12	182:23	189:7 201:17
consume	52:5	316:12	copy 132:23	203:25
231:14			139:14,18	205:19 206:2
	continue 7:13	contributing	181:7 183:21	208:6 216:12,
consumed	75:11 109:6	55:3,25 118:5	219:19 220:2	23 224:18
40:11 133:9	159:18	contributions	221:12,17	227:8 228:24
consumer	continued	85:18 135:6	226:1,10	229:3 238:13
47:10 276:22	79:12	292:17,22	262:20	244:4,7
Consumer's	continuing	304:6,11	283:10	247:23
279:12	77:15	control 22:13	core 65:15	248:14
		48:16 158:6	71:2	250:21
consumers	continuously	252:5,14	corners	254:17 255:1
54:7,13 99:11	55:12	,	150:14 151:1	261:10 262:6,
222:7 233:25 251:23 274:9	contract	controls		10 264:24
280:12	27:17 57:12,	252:20	Corp 279:15	267:8 270:3,4
200.12	13 59:17,22	conventional	corporate	272:13
consumes	60:16 61:17,	20:15	53:17 61:9	273:22
298:4	22 62:6,7		78:20 154:6,	277:23
				<u> </u>



285:24	160:10 191:1	109:17	158:3,13	189:23
292:19 294:7	231:20 232:5	115:12 135:4	161:9 163:7,8	193:19
300:2,5 304:7	236:25 237:4	154:7 159:17	167:1,3	198:25 204:6
307:6,19,22,	238:5 239:5,	225:6 227:17,	169:9,12	205:13 211:2
23 308:5	10,15,16,20,	25 230:7	175:8,10	265:16
309:1,6,7,11,	23 240:5,14	233:17	177:13	276:21
15,16 311:1	241:4 243:9	238:25 240:8	181:10 187:4	278:24 293:8
oorrooted.	247:5,9,13,19	241:8,10,17,	192:3 201:13	304:21
corrected	248:17,23,24	18,25 243:13	218:23 220:6	307:13 314:8
106:9	249:15,25	248:10 252:5,	221:21	317:24
corrections	250:9,15,18	14 253:20	231:24	
128:10,12	251:9 252:19,	274:25	232:10,21,22	counties
172:14 197:7	20 253:12,15	289:18,21	236:6,7 237:5	81:21
207:20	256:5 258:17	295:6,13	239:7 241:19	country 20:10
292:22	263:20	296:12,16,18,	242:1 243:11,	47:6 64:3
304:10	293:25 294:4,	22 297:17,19,	15 250:10	66:16 70:22
306:24	13,21 295:2,	21 299:2	253:2 257:4,	208:1 288:20
313:17	10,18,19	300:3,10	10,16 264:9,	Soundy 6:14
316:21	296:5,13,21,	308:9,10,21,	10 266:5,6	county 6:14 77:6 98:7
oorrootly.	25 298:15,20	25 309:5	269:16	
140:8 162:7	299:2,13	310:21	275:25	149:10 174:7
	300:12,13,18	311:21	281:13,14,16	207:25
187:15,24	301:14,17,21		284:2 285:7,	couple 41:6
cost 16:13,24	302:4 308:3,	Cougars	16 286:17,18	102:2 132:22
17:6 21:4	15,16 309:6,8	248:4,5	287:17 292:4	138:7 182:21
22:14 25:3	310:15,21	could 13:15	294:14,16,17	264:4 271:5,
31:24 32:2,6	·	29:20,23	297:3 298:19	10 307:24
35:16,21,23	costs 14:15,	30:11 31:11	300:5,7	coupled
44:13 46:9	18 15:21	46:9 48:1,21	303:18 313:5	52:22 78:24
48:16 53:21	16:25 17:6,14	65:18 75:6	316:5	32.22 70.24
55:3 56:12	20:17 22:23	82:1 87:10		course 10:1
59:4,5 60:12	25:9,10 35:9,	88:7 97:2	couldn't	21:2 69:4
65:18 68:12,	11 37:24 38:2	99:8 103:5	138:4 167:21	70:1 95:18
16 69:18	55:2 57:21	106:8 114:22	241:20 264:2	103:22 140:2
78:15 79:1	59:12 60:9	132:4,19	council 64:9	164:12
83:25 92:8,25	62:17 65:3,17	133:2 134:2,		168:22
94:2 97:8	66:25 67:16,	4,10 135:16	counsel 5:17	209:24
108:14	25 68:4,6,7,	140:23	10:10 38:19	294:25
113:15	20 71:4 78:23	141:22	45:3 65:6	court 4:2 6:1
115:13	79:11 83:7,9,	146:15 147:1,	70:18 73:10	10:24 11:5,9,
119:24	10,15 84:2	2,18 149:21	86:15,22	14,17 26:1,4
133:10 134:7,	91:6,22,23	151:10 152:2	131:21	50:5,10 63:12
15 137:5	92:24 93:3,7,	153:9 154:18	143:15 161:3	82:14 106:20
155:5,8	9 104:7,9	157:7,22	173:12	126:12,19,23
	108:22	,	177:22	120.12,10,20
000 002 2767	l audian amanatan i	n all EO atatas and is license	a d colo ana mancina d	NIFXITAS



		September 30, 2025		Z
131:12	creation 37:2,	175:22	curtailed	155:8,20
134:10	16 66:12	176:13	192:3,10	156:10,19
170:11,15,19,	96:13,25	180:14,16	curtailment	161:17 180:3
21,23 173:6	286:24	181:3 202:16	113:2 191:13	187:13 190:9,
179:8 196:3,	creative	208:20		18,25 191:6,
5,8 198:13,17	124:14	210:25	curtailments	21 205:5
217:12	124.14	211:11 212:3	24:10	213:11,17,20
230:19,23	creators	259:21 293:6,	curve 278:22	215:11 217:1
253:24 254:3	100:19	21 304:19,24	301:20	220:22
258:6,10	credentials	307:11,16		235:25 242:4,
260:25 285:2,	263:8	310:5 314:6,9	customer	12 254:11
5		318:17	14:16,21	256:2 257:12
Court's 209:8	credit 16:14	cross-	16:18 17:2	270:2,13
Court 5 209.0	40:7 78:12	examine 5:2	18:8 22:15	288:2 308:23,
cover 35:16	152:18 153:2	CAMITIE J.Z	26:21 30:16	24 309:1,10
78:14 106:1	199:15	crossed	31:11 32:4,6,	310:13 311:7
155:8 198:2	credit-worthy	130:17	18,24 33:3,7,	customer's
238:21	78:13	crossroads	9,11,21 34:4,	14:14 15:19
248:12	oroditurorthin	258:20	11,13,24	22:1 33:6
249:25	creditworthin		35:10,25 38:1	35:8 37:23,24
covered	ess 57:18	cues 10:12	39:15 43:12,	78:18 104:6
151:1	Creek 296:13	cuff 96:9	25 46:4	116:21
	critical 77:23	01170 10110	47:11,21	162:20 259:1
covering	97:5 191:15	cure 101:2	49:22 57:18,	310:17
155:5	201:12	curious 144:6	23 58:16	310.17
covers 35:23		153:8	62:16 65:12,	customer-
erefted 70:00	criticizes	current 42:13	18,22 66:11	choice 16:5
crafted 78:23	67:19	53:4,18 59:3,	67:3,8 68:7	customer-
create 22:21	critique 67:22	7 80:3,8 89:4	69:23,25	specific 34:17
30:7 40:14	_	114:21	76:21 78:10,	57:25
56:2 64:23	cross 121:5	121:18 174:5	16 83:6 86:6	
67:4 94:9,11,	131:4 143:18	236:2	97:16,24	customers
17 270:12	165:2 197:18		104:8 105:17	4:11 13:9,15
286:9	198:12 260:1	currently 25:5	106:3,5 107:7	14:10,16,18
created 45:15	281:8 317:22, 24	26:21 30:25	108:9,17,21,	15:4,22,24
48:2 111:3	24	72:18 85:19	24 110:6,7,	16:1,9,20
112:13	Cross-examin	96:18 101:18	15,18 111:6,	17:12,13
	198:20	117:16	18 116:19	18:24 19:1
creates 78:2	cross-	121:18 128:2	134:16,24	20:7,13,17,22
creating 30:9	examination	166:19 192:6	135:1,6	21:6,10,15,25
91:13 96:5,16	130:10,12,14,	205:7 207:14	136:7,15,17,	22:9,17,23
98:20 108:6	16 131:15	291:3 309:12	23 137:11,14	23:6,7,8,11,
110:4 137:9	143:14,25	curtail 192:14	148:1 149:4,9	23 24:7 25:1,
	173:2,4		150:3 153:1	8,11 28:17
	1. 0.2, 1			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	NI EVITAC



30:8,10 31:1,	111:2,3,4,10,	283:14,18,19	102:6 106:20	days 31:12
3,20 32:11,	21 112:8,11	286:9,10	115:1,3,4	235:12
12,15,20	120:19 121:4	290:8,12	116:8 117:2,	255:22
33:5,16,17	131:23	309:14	25 118:5,20	DCC 12:12
34:22 35:5,7,	137:16	310:22 311:4	120:10,14,19,	63:21,25
9,12,14,15,	138:15,18	customers'	21 121:3,14	66:8,10,21
17,18,22	147:11,19,21	22:13 25:4	156:23,25	67:12,17
36:12,14	148:6,13,19,		165:11 176:3	68:10,21,23
37:3,7,8,25	25 150:7	cut 90:4 260:1	193:6 202:3	69:9,21
38:3 39:23	152:7,17		211:17 213:8,	70:12,24 71:7
41:20,21,25	153:2 156:14,	D	11,15 234:12,	165:13
44:2,15,18	18,19 157:21		14,22,23	
45:6 46:7,8,	158:7 159:7	D-E-R-E-K	235:6,18,20	DCC's 64:6,
14 48:2,21	162:16 169:5	180:2	236:4,13,15,	19 67:2,6
49:14,24	190:16,17	D/b/a 4.0.40	18 259:9	69:13 71:15
54:3,10,20	191:7,18	D/b/a 4:9,10	261:6 263:12,	DCFLEX 56:1
55:4,8 58:12,	194:13 200:1	5:20	13 264:20	
13,23 59:4,	201:10	data 5:1 6:24	265:24 268:1,	DCI 272:18
11,12,15	204:14 205:6,	7:3 12:11	7,10,14,21	deadline 8:21,
60:8,9,11,15,	10,12 213:7	13:1 28:10	270:6 277:14	23 29:12
22,24 61:8,	214:18,24	29:21 30:6,11	279:5 281:2,	deal 00:40
15,25 62:5,18	215:12 219:7,	32:15 37:5	12 282:7	deal 80:12
64:18,20	23 220:10	42:16 43:2	284:22	150:7 151:23
65:5,9 66:4,	224:8 225:2,4	45:6 51:6,8,	285:10 286:7	dealing 87:14
17 67:9,25	227:20 228:6,	11,13 52:25	288:1,9,11	199:17 267:6,
68:4 69:12,	9 233:12,17	54:7,12,24,25	290:7 293:12	11
15,17 70:24	236:4 239:1	55:21 56:3,5	304:24	dealt 151:6,8
71:5 77:21	242:21,22,25	60:3 63:7,19	309:21	
78:3,5,7,13,	243:21 249:8	64:1,3,18	314:12 318:5	debate
14,19 79:16	253:3,11,14	65:8 72:7,8	data 440:0	297:15,24
83:6,8,10,14,	258:23	76:21,22,23	date 142:9	decade
18,19,23,25	260:13,17	77:2,8,21	183:2 294:7	258:17
84:1 85:10,16	264:19,21	80:3,16 81:9	dates 142:3	297:16
86:1,2 89:6	265:2,4,9,25	82:20 87:17	Davis 77:8	
91:7,22 93:3	267:6,12,16,	90:13,19,21		decades
94:4 95:15	17 268:2,6,12	91:2,5,19	day 52:4	82:24
101:16 104:3,	270:10	93:2,5 94:3,8,	87:17 90:14	decarbonizati
4,8,10,16	272:22 274:5,	24 95:5,15	98:3 121:3	on 13:2
105:12,14	19,24 275:2,	96:15,19	124:4 235:3	
106:13,22	21 276:8,12,	97:17,25	237:10 259:2,	December
107:3 108:4,5	19 277:7,10,	98:2,8,13	8 299:9	138:20
109:1,5,12,	12 278:4	99:13 100:8,	318:24	decide 42:19
13,18 110:11,	279:7 281:12	14,18,20	day's 235:5	159:23
12,17,24	282:3,16	101:7,8,11	July 5 200.0	224:14
· -, · r , - r	202.0,10	, . , . , . ,		
999 902 2767		in all E0 states and in licens		NIFXITAS



		·		
230:12 282:8	deferral 29:1	deliver 25:20	237:21 238:3,	design 20:4
decided 85:9	36:7 257:21	demand 13:4	7 262:19	111:17
225:20,22	283:24,25	14:12 16:11,	263:2,3	124:12
230:13	284:3,9	16 17:7	272:10	155:22
297:25 298:5	deferred	30:18,19,24	296:19	217:25
291.25 290.5	257:12		316:11	236:22
decides	237.12	32:7,9 35:6,	al a sa a mt	238:25
106:14	deficiencies	14 49:22	departure	240:15,19
254:22	59:7	53:16,18	21:17	242:13,19,21,
al a a ! al ! . a a .	deficion ou	54:20 57:23	dependent	23 243:6,7
deciding	deficiency	59:21 60:13,	22:12 149:8,9	263:21
106:18	60:17,21 61:2	15,16,25	,	280:19
282:11	define 24:14	61:1,22 65:24	depending	200.19
decision	103:5	83:19 89:2,4	75:1,9 185:15	designed
26:25 29:13,		90:8 94:1	300:6	41:5,7,11
17 30:4 34:22	defined	97:20 113:7	depends	138:1 155:18
49:15,17	16:11,22	136:11	231:23 311:5	159:25 160:8,
· ·	21:22 51:14	155:15	231.23 311.3	12 240:6,23
88:2,6 102:15	136:9	191:22	deployment	241:16 243:7
103:8 225:23,	defining	192:13 259:1,	51:11 56:8	270:9 271:18,
24 234:5	defining		-laa!t	23 274:23
266:2 290:5	67:25	3 265:10	deposit	23 214.23
decisions	definitely	283:20	116:17 159:2,	designing
26:16 45:13	75:7 91:12	demand-side	10 160:20	30:6 60:10
49:11 52:7,8,	94:7 102:24	55:18 56:4	deposits	deciano
11 103:4	123:18	226:17	16:21	designs
277:4	168:20	227:13		201:11
211.4	251:21 286:2		depth 67:22	desire 39:11
decrease		demanding	81:7	61:10 191:21,
106:25	definition	89:7	Derek 18:7	22
doom 00.4	175:11	demands	179:6,7,19	
deem 28:1	definitional	15:24	180:2,5,19	despite 22:8
Deepseek	212:8	10.24	100.2,3,19	101:9 121:1
289:14	212.0	demonstrate	describe 12:5	251:17
January 407:05	degree 47:6	148:12	70:19 188:16	255:12 256:4
deets 107:25	88:17 247:25	149:12,15	described	detail 36:16
default	dolov 10:0	150:12		71:1 147:7
191:18	delay 10:8	domonotroto-l	14:24 133:15,	
	54:18	demonstrated	20 135:9	148:1 166:1,7
defer 212:24	delayed 79:13	152:18 153:2,	136:10 146:3	184:7 229:5
218:12 221:4		4	192:18 296:7	detailed 15:13
254:15 255:2	deliberate	Denton's 9:22	describes	19:16 58:24
257:23	53:9 66:24		27:8,11 97:24	
285:25 286:2	delineation	deny 119:14	,	details 36:15
deference	191:19	denying 22:7	desegregation	42:3 43:14
33:21			190:16	113:25
33.21		department		118:13,15
[•		•



177:7 188:13	220:21 221:7	13:3 18:12	17 243:2	151:5
212:24	237:2,6,11,	91:13 217:19	272:20,23	directly
deter 44:20	15,19,22	develops 79:7	273:23 302:8	119:18,19
	238:15 239:4	294:8,11	differentiate	123:15
determinants	240:1 246:19,	,	199:20	124:21 140:5
241:18 242:6,	22 262:7	deviates 20:9		168:12 210:2
18	273:2 276:24	58:20	differently	283:1
determination	286:11	deviation	242:9 254:8	director 10:0
28:7 73:1	296:17	32:7 60:13	differs 130:16	director 18:2, 7,13 44:25
102:9 276:11	developers	devices 7:10	difficult 42:10	7,13 44.25
determinative	56:5		45:23 69:6	172:7 180:3
58:5	developing	diametrically	145:25 146:6	207:14
	37:20 55:19	19:8	225:16 257:8	
determine	215:2 216:2	dice 191:5		directors
29:20 33:24	238:15	differ 60:16	difficulty	244:14
43:24 60:3	243:18 296:6	299:20	113:5 254:1	disagree
102:21 152:9			digging	146:8 170:5
238:19,20 256:22	development	difference	243:17	252:11
301:15	12:19 13:1,25	105:24	digital 53:3	disagreed
	15:20 19:24	141:16 152:4	54:5	228:25
determined	23:10 29:25	155:4 234:4		
309:9	36:23 37:17	236:17 300:8	digitization	disagreement
determines	39:18 40:18	301:25	51:17	s 19:16
144:10	41:9 42:6,12,	308:14	diligence 51:2	disagrees
alata una ira ira ar	16,22 43:21	309:11	direct 38:14	21:21
determining	44:4,8,20,21 45:14 46:18,	differences	81:8 83:25	diagotar
28:5	21 51:13	36:13 200:9	127:19 128:4,	disaster
detriment	72:14,17	280:7,16	23 142:6	100:25
21:2 37:1	73:11,18 75:6	302:7	171:24 172:8,	disconnection
251:23	77:9 78:20	different	15,21 179:10,	105:10
develop 14:8	84:8 85:8	23:21 43:11	23 196:22	discount
51:6 53:8	95:1 111:15,	60:10,25	197:3,21,22	155:21
243:20 247:5	16,22,25	70:3,20 72:3	207:6 216:11	
263:9 267:11,	112:6,12	81:3 84:10	221:18	discounts
15,20 286:19	163:19	98:12 104:14	291:25	78:12
290:13	173:22 174:9	138:2,5	303:14	discrete
developed	215:3 262:20	145:23,25	306:12	242:5
14:22 18:25	263:8 268:9	146:4 148:16	312:20 313:1	discretion
20:6 45:1,11	272:5,7,12	167:15,25	315:19 316:1	21:25 22:6
55:11 57:6	274:14,15	168:21 190:6	directed 77:5	33:4 257:24
72:15 79:14	275:7 295:22	200:6,25		
108:1 137:4	developments	236:12	direction	discriminate
218:24	developinents	241:17 242:5,	50:25 132:16	46:14
	•	•	•	



discriminator	217:6	district 58:13	document	door 201:7
y 23:8 60:7 274:10	dismal 96:16	277:17	177:10 178:25	Dority 9:25
discuss 18:10 64:5 95:8	disparate 83:3	districts 279:17 disturbances	186:10,12 188:24 189:16	dot-com 100:2 288:17, 19
140:24 157:15 188:18 189:5 217:5 246:17 280:7 discussed 7:19 23:25 69:21 210:21 214:4 224:22 265:7 266:10 286:5 discusses 17:24 18:6,14 discussing 176:17,25 178:15	dispatch 55:12 295:20 297:2 300:15 301:15,23 302:14 dispatched 190:24 191:3 248:16 299:11,12 302:1 dispatches 295:4 dispute 156:24 disseminating	187:11,17,18 diverse 30:10 31:20 32:4,15 47:9 58:7 67:10 77:20 150:3 209:1 272:6,7 276:7 280:1 287:7 290:14 diversification 84:2 diversity 64:25 diversity 30:14	documents 146:16 doing 21:23 42:7,19 87:12 113:11 190:13 212:6, 7 220:20 232:15 233:19 235:14 238:18 239:8 247:6 262:2 dollar 52:17 dollar-for- dollar 254:20,	double 93:12 124:24 284:1, 5,10 doubling 90:2 95:25 doubt 94:9 220:16 down 71:3 81:15,18 84:2 87:19 93:12 104:19 114:24 134:10 141:19,23 226:23 260:1
discussion	181:25 distill 43:22	divide 240:6	23	275:17
53:13 90:6 102:25 103:15 119:18 140:15 141:10 147:3 148:25 161:7, 13 164:2 173:23 214:5	distinct 15:4 122:10 134:21 272:20 273:23 275:24 distortion 114:9,19	division 207:15 221:6 244:2,5,14 263:3 division's 243:24 divorced 44:13	dollars 40:1 64:22 92:3 117:2 159:6 234:3 238:20 242:12,13,20 253:10 255:8, 23 256:4,6,7 289:17,18,19, 21	Dr 56:18 62:23 94:14 108:1 113:23 118:15 121:15 166:6 173:24 174:1 176:17,24,25 177:6,12 178:3,7,12,14 290:20,24
269:2 301:12	156:2	Dixon 42:2,9,	Dome 55:11	290.20,24
discussions 139:24 140:1, 3,5 141:3,4,6,	distortions 115:2 distribute	17 46:24 docket 29:24 36:19 37:16	done 43:15 45:10 48:4,18 112:8,24	DR34 290:22 DR92 290:21
13,20 142:1, 11 145:15 148:17 163:23 168:6,	55:1 132:19 distributed 9:7	43:6 83:2 84:14 138:16 166:24 286:24	157:7,8 159:21 162:7 237:8,13 244:21	draft 263:16 dramatic 12:25 98:4
12 205:4,13 209:23,25 210:2,6 214:8	distribution 183:2	doctrine 33:14	247:11 260:4 296:7	drastically 23:9
L	1	I	1	1



		September 30, 2025		2
drink 97:24	62:6,22 126:2	115:14	eastern	economy
drive 84:1	131:18	122:21 130:1	287:21	25:13 39:22
275:6	174:24	173:20	easy 109:10,	51:19 53:5
	187:19	176:18 189:5	22 115:24	54:5 269:9
driven 12:25	192:10 256:1	199:8 209:9		290:14
13:25 40:10	266:25 308:2,	210:7 213:25	eat 257:18	EDR 154:22,
90:13 287:18	8,16,22	214:4 215:20	echo 88:3	24,25 155:7,
driver's 28:4	Dutch 288:25	249:11		10,17,21
		266:11	economic	
driving 52:3	duty 274:7	287:11	12:19 13:18,	educational
93:19	dwarf 253:3	289:13 291:2	24 15:20	52:1
drop 159:23		301:13	19:24 23:10	effect 19:5
•	dynamic 53:7	earliest 13:14	36:23 39:18	27:25 46:7,
dropped 99:1	dynamically	earnest 13:14	40:9,14,18	17,19 47:4
dropping	55:20	early 66:15	41:8 42:6,12,	131:22,25
117:1		100:2 288:17	22 43:20	236:2 250:1
drove 288:20	dynamics	earn 222:18	44:4,7,20,21	267:6
	53:4	223:20	45:5,13	
DSIM 233:21		252:20	46:18,21	effective
DSM 227:13,	E		51:20 54:8,23	113:15
16		earned 96:16	64:25 72:13,	240:20
	E-L-L-I-N-G-E-	144:11	16 73:11 77:9	effectively
due 31:10	R 72:1	earnest 140:1	78:1 79:13,16	15:21 17:18
114:21	e-mail 4:24	149:11	84:8 85:8	33:17,24
122:25		209:23	91:13 94:25	111:1 116:17
186:12	182:1		111:15,16,22,	145:15
234:16	E.a. 19:12	earning 132:3	25 112:6,12	161:12 164:8,
290:24	E3 71:20	151:17	117:19	14 227:23
duly 127:17		256:20	163:19	14 221.23
171:22	each 30:16	earning-	173:22 174:9	effectiveness
171.22	32:6 35:3	sharing 151:7	217:18 261:6	114:8,9 156:3
196:20 207:4	43:8,15 51:24		262:20 263:8	efficiency
291:23	57:6 81:15	earnings	268:9,21	48:16 52:5
303:12	92:2,7,21	148:9 149:13	272:5,7,11	54:19 301:20
306:10	105:1 123:7	166:7 169:10,	274:14,15	
	130:18	14 252:13	275:7,11	efficient
312:24	134:16	256:23	,	54:14 231:7
315:23	168:19 190:8	earns 21:7	economics	252:15,19
duplicate	191:3 229:9	169:3	71:20 248:1	289:12,16
158:12 162:6	232:16 233:7		economist	efficiently
163:3	240:4,20	earth 112:21	236:19 249:2	233:13 252:6
durable 25:20	299:19	eased 251:15	252:3 303:25	
uurabie 25.20				effort 221:2
during 19:19	earlier 11:15	east 287:12	economists	257:5
24:15 34:20	103:8 114:11		102:13	
00 002 2767	1	in all E0 states and is license	and and a man man and the side	NIFYIΤΔ



		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
efforts 51:6	electricity	279:19,24	employment	16:17 17:24
70:13 85:6	13:5 27:10	281:15	72:11	24:12 30:18
134:25	55:22 72:12,	elsewhere	EMW 10:4	52:5 53:5
EFIS 5:4	19 115:9	104:22	24:11 28:8	54:13 55:1,2,
	229:24		34:14 227:10	9,11,12 57:24
eggs 272:6	230:15	embezzlement		59:24 61:9,
290:6	231:10,15,25	255:13	enable 16:16	10,11 71:18,
eight 55:13	232:25	emergency	55:22	19 78:18 80:2
104:20	247:20	24:9,12,14,16	enables 14:7	83:18,21,23
226:13	266:16,20	113:2 191:13,	31:7 54:15	93:24 94:1
	289:21	20 192:19		108:15,24
eight-year	298:16,21		enabling	113:4,5,14,
105:24	299:3	emerging	79:16	15,20 133:4,
either 88:6	electrification	57:7 58:21	enacted 14:1	7,8,11,16
140:5 168:12	13:1	59:9 61:14	35:2	154:1 181:15
197:8 210:2		63:1 188:4,7	encourage	192:19
229:6	electronic	EMM 10:4	12:19 85:8	199:15
elaborate	7:10	24:11 28:8	107:25	232:12
145:18	elements	34:13 203:1,	113:23	233:12,23
188:17	30:25 31:23	13 227:11	118:14	246:4,7,8,14,
	32:1 33:5,8	emphasis	156:19	25 247:21
electric 5:20	133:18 135:7	64:16 184:8	130.19	263:3 271:15
39:8 47:19	280:20,21		encourages	272:2 283:20
54:10,15 55:7	,	emphatic	30:2 68:10	294:23 295:6,
56:1,10 66:19	Eleven 38:4	101:9	encumber	14,24,25
83:11 114:20	eligible	Empire	83:6	297:18
115:18	136:17	277:17		298:17,22
181:18,22	154:23,24,25		end 14:18	301:14 308:3,
182:24	155:12	employ 40:5	20:21 21:14	9,15,16,21
184:18	eliminate	employed	41:19 42:16,	309:6,8,9
214:19	251:21	127:24 172:4	24 49:24 90:5	310:15
217:20		207:12,13	106:21 117:6,	313:11
229:20 230:7	eliminating	292:8,10	18 120:25	316:10
232:22	251:19	303:23	121:2 124:4	enforced
246:23	Ellinger 6:12,	306:17 313:9	125:11	27:16,17
270:22 277:2,	13 71:24,25	316:8,9	143:17,20,21,	
17 289:18	73:25 74:1,4,	employees	23 181:15	engage 18:24
298:21	8,11,15,18,21	263:16	207:24	54:20
electrical	75:1,4,6,9,16,		234:16 289:2	engaged
12:24 14:7,9	19,24 76:3,7,	employer	end-use	140:2 209:24
27:13,21	12	72:9 73:12,13	29:19 236:7	engages
77:11 104:2	else 72:4	employing	ends 27:22	engages 240:15
156:25	111:20 121:1	94:21		
181:24	111.20 121.1		energy 13:8	engaging
000 002 2767		in all EO states and is license		ΝΙΕΧΙΤΔS



		September 30, 2025		30
99:5	ensuring	97:23 156:6	46:5 71:8	even 13:7
engine 94:25	17:13 25:8	envy 86:24	80:10,14	20:13 23:4
	67:8 79:10		212:10	30:13 35:22
engineer	enter 8:4	EO-2025-0154	263:15	46:9 49:7
156:25	186:4	4:7	establish	61:23 90:4
292:11		EPRI's 56:1	27:24 42:5	92:23 93:10,
313:11	entered 9:20	equal 62:1	54:4 219:25	20 97:13 99:2
engineering	28:11 277:18,	247:20	220:12	108:12 109:7
156:24	25	254:24	274:18 308:3,	119:10
anginaara	entering	_	20	155:10 174:6
engineers	293:4 304:17	298:16,22		199:22
294:2		equation	established	217:23 257:4,
enhance	entire 99:20	48:12	21:17 26:14	15 289:4
56:10	141:18 154:7	oquinment	27:16 57:11	290:10 298:1
enhanced	212:11	equipment 234:17	60:5 188:4	307:18
ennanced 15:3	228:21,23	234.1 <i>1</i>	establishes	event 21:10
13.3	260:12,17	equitable	59:9	169:5 192:14
enhances	267:16	267:15		109.5 192.14
54:21	272:10	equivalent	estimate	events
enormous	entirely 95:6	278:23	34:16	187:19,22
90:10 95:23	115:17		estimates	191:20
	161:21 201:7	erasing	60:15	192:11
enough 65:12	237:11	227:24	at 04.44	eventually
92:12 102:16		erecting	et 91:14	288:24
116:23	entities 60:18	46:25	188:20	
124:18	149:10 182:9		191:15	ever 20:9 99:8
152:20,22	185:3 187:10	err 101:23	263:21	118:17
164:19	220:25	error 97:5	300:14	237:12 241:3
226:23	entity 72:6	71.6	ET-2025-0184	297:6
250:18	114:23	errors 71:6	29:16 283:11	Evergy 4:8,9
276:14	138:10 182:7	ESA 34:5 80:9	Eubanks	5:7,10 7:20
ensure 14:13	185:6,10	150:15,23	38:11	8:7,20 9:4,24
17:18 30:5	188:22	ESAS 150:13,	30.11	10:2,3,4,5
37:23 41:14	289:11	17,20	evaluate	11:7 12:6,11
46:5 59:10	entries 5:6	,	169:10	13:12,15
62:15 79:5	entities 5.0	especially	evaluated	14:3,22 17:16
83:5,8 84:9	entry 6:12,18	32:17 152:17	36:18	18:4,9 19:15
85:17 104:3	30:8 37:2	153:1		20:4,9 21:9,
222:5 241:6	270:13 286:9	essence	evaluating	12,21 23:25
268:5,11	environment	43:23 190:25	85:5 274:1	25:22 27:4
274:23	53:6 87:15		evaluation	30:21,25
	151:19	essential	49:21	31:7,18 32:16
ensures 35:7		177:9		33:3,24 35:1,
78:14,25	environmental	essentially	evaluations	19 36:1,2,25
	71:20 77:22		16:21	10 00.1,2,20
•				VIENITAC.



		September 30, 2025		3
43:15 47:5	272:25 273:7,	278:3 294:19	evidentiary	88:8 92:8
50:25 54:10	12,14 277:13,	296:12	4:7 62:22	94:14 95:4
55:8 56:14	20,24 279:1	299:22	evolving 53:6	96:12 98:7
60:19 65:22	283:13,16	every 27:13	87:14	102:8 114:25
66:5,9,15	284:15	52:4 70:2		137:8 149:25
67:3 70:6,18	286:21 289:4,	81:6 87:16	exacerbate	157:12 160:3
72:22 74:15,	22,25 290:19	112:3,4 117:7	284:22	161:19
16 83:13,17	298:16,20	148:21	285:11	188:18
88:23 89:1,6,	299:3 300:4	190:25	exact 227:1	199:21
8,17,18,22	301:2 305:11	218:11	242:3	229:17
93:15 108:19	312:3 314:22	233:22 248:9,		235:13
110:5 113:6	318:14	· ·	exactly 36:12	242:11 266:5
116:19 117:9	- Creaming	23 250:25	91:25 108:7	287:7
120:15	Evergy's	258:18	109:2 174:15	
127:25 128:6,	11:21,23 13:7	everybody	175:12	examples
7 131:22	14:24,25	10:18 63:10	244:12,17,20	34:7 37:12
147:25 148:2,	15:7,12 18:25	91:20 92:8	247:10,18	150:1 153:4
5 149:22	19:17 20:20	93:10 100:7	269:4 273:18	exceeding
150:1 151:11	21:24 22:7,18	111:19	279:13	16:9
155:6,25	23:11 24:18,	113:20	283:22 287:4	ovecedo
159:2,9	20 25:6 27:2	279:25	examination	exceeds 21:10 169:6
160:11	29:4,5,6,20	288:18	127:19 145:6	21.10 109.0
164:13	31:21 32:17,	290:15	158:23	Excel 177:9
165:20 169:5	23 33:2,4,10		165:22	excellent
172:5,9,10	34:2,11 36:8,	everybody's	171:24	77:11
174:4 177:25	10 39:24 41:3	93:10	173:16 178:1	
178:24	42:20 43:4,18	everyone 6:4	179:11,23	except 24:23
179:16 180:4	44:3,13 47:4,	11:1 72:4	190:2 193:24	247:21 249:6
182:7,12	16 61:5 64:6,	93:22 94:2	196:22 199:6	298:17,23
185:9,11	12,16 69:2	160:19	204:11 207:6	299:9,10
189:9,11	76:20 83:15,	everything	211:7 283:7	exception
200:18	24 96:1 108:6	87:11 90:23	291:25 301:8	26:18
202:24 204:9	111:12 112:5	101:10	303:14	
213:25 214:6,	120:2 125:7	281:15		exceptionally
10,12,13	138:21 143:4	201.15	306:12	122:19
	144:9 145:16	everything's	312:20 313:1	excess 21:14
215:8,19	156:4 163:25	203:3	315:19 316:1	131:23
224:6 227:19	185:13,21	evidence 5:3	317:24	
228:5 234:21	191:14 213:9,	9:5 29:15	examined	exchange
247:19	24 214:10	39:20 44:10	20:4	204:16
249:19 250:5,	235:3 241:2,	62:20 147:25	ovample	excited 85:24
22 258:16,23	4,16 243:7		example 20:19 43:1	
259:19	260:11	175:18		excluding
264:17	265:11 266:6,	178:20 258:2	54:11 58:22	17:2 210:14
265:13	8 273:21		60:13 87:24	
				NI EVITA C



		,		
exclusively	292:14,24	expand 78:3	experts 100:4	261:23 298:7
68:3	304:3,11	80:17 81:21	214:23 215:1	external 18:3
excuse 172:9	306:22,25	150:2 233:17	246:18	172:7
278:21	307:3 313:14	expansion	263:18 286:2	
	316:14,19	85:22	explain 17:20	extra 9:10
excused 4:21	317:12,16,18,		20:13 21:20	126:2 160:6
171:10,11	20	expect 50:14	64:10 91:25	174:12
179:4,5	exhibits 7:12	282:3,15,18	95:16 113:25	extract 225:6
195:24 206:8	128:8,20	expectation	116:12	
302:21,22	129:11 130:6	258:25	190:12	extracting
305:20	197:20		195:12	98:23
312:10,11		expectations	205:16	extreme
315:5,6	exist 30:13	58:18	224:19	100:15
318:23	222:12	expected		extremely
excusing	223:13 225:5	15:25 94:16	explained	77:14
305:18	289:11	230:14 231:9	11:21 24:11	17.14
318:22	290:10	283:20	56:18	
	existence	expects 25:15	explains	F
executive	255:12	65:20 110:6	20:24 22:20	
42:4 96:14,21		03.20 110.0	24:25	F-I-S-C-H-E-R
exercise	existing 12:2	expense		198:16
21:24 41:2	15:19 16:1	300:20	explanations	F-O-R-T-S-O-
oversieed	17:12 21:5	expenses	38:5	N 316:7
exercised 33:20	23:7 24:23	28:25 36:7	explore 52:17	
33.20	25:1,5 27:3		124:15 254:6	FA 310:10
exhibit 17:8	28:16 35:17,	expensive	ovelered	FAC 21:13
128:24,25	21 36:14	93:13 248:11,	explored 192:6	24:18,23 25:5
129:3 130:3	54:17 56:11	22	192.0	28:17 108:3,
143:2,3,4,11	58:12 61:15	experience	exploring	6,23,25
163:20	75:13 78:7,11	19:1 46:9	36:10	109:3,11,12
168:10	79:16 90:8	84:10 185:1	expressed	132:10 138:4
172:11,18,21,	93:7 100:1	214:22 215:1	163:25	146:23,25
25 180:18,22,	101:15 108:6	254:11	100.20	147:9,13,23
24 181:8	109:3 112:5	263:12 294:3	expresses	163:22,24
182:20,21	131:22		20:19	164:1,17
183:3,5,7,8	147:19	experienced	extend 229:24	167:5,7,10
184:13 186:4,	190:17 225:6	288:22		296:2 298:11
17 194:20,23	230:5 232:13	experiencing	extension	307:21,25
195:3,5,9,10	274:19	12:24 256:11	62:3	308:8,14,21,
197:4,17	exists 48:14	expert 185:16	extensions	22 309:11,13,
198:1,7,10		212:25	201:8	14 310:13
207:18,21	exit 57:16		extent 80:16	311:7,11,12,
208:3,11,17	61:25 62:1	expertise	144:16,17	14,15,22,23
270:17 271:1	78:23,25	248:19	157:3 214:12	
	106:16		107.0 214.12	
	I	l .	I	<u> </u>
888-893-3767	Lavitas anaratas	in all 50 states and is license	ad udage required	LEXITAS



		September 30, 2023		
face 48:9	factories	falls 61:14,23	8,12 159:3	289:15
faced 12:17	30:12	false 149:12,	feed 238:6	fight 106:19
facilitate 13:24 29:24 37:17 185:18	factors 47:24 218:24 266:4 281:16 282:5, 7 287:6 288:1	13 familiar 164:5 167:10	295:19 feedback 103:14	figure 158:10 291:7 295:12 300:15
facilitates 31:19	facts 230:8 231:2	181:13,21 183:15 186:18	feel 201:12 feeling 281:11	figureheads 99:23
facilities 27:20 40:11, 13 53:2 96:17 227:22 260:13,18	facts-base 120:5 fail 60:19 failed 95:10	265:12 279:15 307:21 familiarity 190:7	fees 57:15 59:18,22 62:13 65:25 78:25 107:5,	file 5:4 8:8,9, 16 27:13 125:23 126:7 163:8 169:12 256:24
266:1,3 282:4,16 285:24 289:7,	fails 59:13,20 fair 12:20	family 229:21 234:5	feet 230:2,3, 11 231:3	filed 4:21 7:16 8:5 15:6,14 18:23 27:4
10 facility 13:12 80:13 81:15, 16 136:12 149:6 227:25 259:7	41:5,20,21 44:1,2 46:5 72:25 79:11 83:8 164:19 212:21 214:20	far 7:15 26:20 30:24 31:1,9 148:17 188:21 200:8 254:13 281:25	felt 69:11 141:22 153:12,13 201:16 FEMALE 164:24	28:11,13,14, 23 29:11 31:18 33:13 43:20 58:4 63:3 67:13 68:22 69:10
facility's 280:20	215:20 216:17 219:8 226:23	far-reaching 26:16	175:24 fenced 46:8	83:1 84:4 88:24 126:2 128:14
facing 156:10 fact 32:23 44:20 48:8 58:16 66:10 81:14 93:23 107:19 225:17 228:17 232:3, 25 251:4 265:7	241:13 256:21 261:13,24 267:15 269:13 274:24 283:13 294:4 fairly 83:1 106:6 107:6 117:20 260:4 268:11	Farley 96:21 fashion 45:21 fast 261:1 fatal 56:17 146:15 feature 113:2 features 16:5 204:25 February	few 4:19,20 45:4 56:22 91:14 193:23 225:19 227:12 242:19 258:24 283:5 287:18 293:3, 20 297:12 304:16	130:19 138:2 140:2,11 142:17 149:22 180:6 197:2 203:7 209:9,11,24 212:12 214:12 215:7 228:22,23 229:1 244:10, 11 273:19
factor 32:12 133:14,19 135:8 136:10, 19,22 142:23 143:5 282:10, 12 310:9,10,	fairway 17:16 faithful 43:20 44:4,9 fall 74:24 75:7 97:18 150:4	273:15 federal 42:25 117:16 fee 16:12 57:16 62:1	fewer 94:11 101:24 field 84:7 90:11 146:6 fields 146:4 fiercely	282:14 316:17 files 257:15 filing 7:23 8:21 29:8,17 36:5 41:4
	311:3	106:16 107:7,		LEVITAC



		September 30, 2025		34
50:24 112:15	first 13:16	Fisher 270:25	309:11	foot 96:17
125:7 138:22	41:7,22 42:1	fit 8:16	310:13	229:19
140:12 169:9	44:19,21		flowed 288:11	footage 232:9
205:21,25	48:7,14 50:13	five 16:7		
245:16	51:15 62:6	61:18 62:6	flowing	footprint
262:18	76:20 77:25	89:9 96:3	287:16	200:15
283:10	83:5 89:10	98:22 99:20	311:22	for 4:6,10,25
filings 148:11	91:5,18 102:4	105:8 152:2,	flown 109:9	5:10 6:12,18
204:22	103:22 105:4,	25 153:10 249:7 259:5	flows 309:13	8:14,21 9:6,8,
filtered	8 121:7 126:9	288:14		10 10:13
104:21	127:17 131:1		focus 51:8	11:6,9,23
	144:5 159:11	five-minute	67:17 88:21	13:4 15:2
final 29:25	171:22	196:4	104:23	16:1,9,19,23,
36:21 37:18	179:21 187:18 190:8	five-year	137:19	24 17:5,19,21
finally 48:19	192:20	88:23 90:3	focused 24:4	18:19,21
79:3 95:18	196:20	96:4 99:15	236:8	19:11,18,20
99:22 103:7	203:18	105:10	focuses 68:3	20:19 21:5
107:4 136:25	204:20 207:4	fix 103:1		22:14 23:18,
195:23	208:23		Focusing	23 24:5,23
financial	211:11	fixed 55:2	212:7	25:25 26:2,4
13:11 53:12	241:22 258:7	65:2 71:4	folks 80:9	28:8 30:6,8 31:5,8 32:19
65:25 78:12	261:15,22	91:6,21,23	263:4 295:18	33:16,23
102:5,10	262:1 264:17	93:3,7,9	follow 7:16	35:2,15 36:11
·	291:23	flat 30:17,18	103:23	37:3,7 38:15
find 43:10 143:2 153:16	303:12	32:13	119:25	39:5,25 40:7
201:3 221:10	306:10	flawed 67:15	145:10 157:4	41:1,20 43:1
289:11,20	312:24	69:22	223:11	44:2 45:12
·	315:23		followed 57:6	46:25 48:3.5
fine 153:13	Fischer 5:9	flaws 56:15,		50:4,10 51:18
178:23 179:1	9:25 134:9	17,21 70:16,	following	52:13,14,24
212:7	196:17,23	25 146:15	12:10 19:9	53:2 54:3,11
finish 167:17	197:16,21	fleet 13:6	51:14 310:7	55:4,12 56:3
fires 115:8	198:2,11,15,	flexibility	follows	57:11,25
	18,19 204:10,	25:14 31:5	127:18	58:22 59:4,8,
firm 6:23 7:3	12 206:5	52:19 55:19	171:23	19 60:11,13
9:21 71:18	209:6 211:10	56:4 67:9	179:22	61:19 62:19,
75:18,20	259:20,22,24	69:15 78:19	196:21 207:5	20 63:1,7,12,
134:21	260:24,25	150:7 154:13	291:24	25 64:1,17,21
firmly 36:22	261:3 269:6,	160:1	303:13	65:12 70:18,
firms 97:7	16,19 270:16,	flicker 114:23	306:11	24 71:21
102:14	19 271:3,8		312:25	72:16,25
	282:20	flow 25:4	315:24	75:15 76:6,18
		108:23,25		77:12,16
				1



		September 30, 2023		30
78:2,7,19	147:13,19	216:15,18	298:16,20	149:23 150:6,
79:8,9 80:8,	148:5,6 149:1	217:2 218:9,	299:15,23	10
13 81:22	152:7,12,20	22 219:13	300:9,11,12,	formal 60:2
82:11,13,24	153:7 154:7,	227:19	21 301:20,23	141:12 142:7
83:3,14,17	23,24 156:11,	228:10 234:5,	303:19	201:5
84:6,7,11,14	12,17,21	24 235:12	304:19	
85:1,5 86:20	157:12	236:11,13,19	306:14	formed 33:3
87:24 88:8,22	159:20,23	238:1 239:5,	307:11 308:3,	forms 27:17
91:2,6,20	160:3,10,12	10 240:20	8 310:22	forth 95:13
92:8,17 93:3,	161:19,21	241:23	313:6,11	172:18
15,22 94:2,4,	162:13,21	242:21	314:6 316:6	215:19
14 95:4 96:12	163:12,21	243:21	317:22	213.19
98:7,8 100:17	164:9,22	244:19	318:24 319:1	forthcoming
101:14,15	166:4,9,24	245:19 246:8,	force 19:7	34:7
102:6,7	169:17 170:8	23 247:19	188:5	Fortson 38:10
104:2,18	171:3 172:13	249:6,21	forced 4FF.40	315:12,21
105:10,18,21	173:2,5,6,9	250:7 252:5,	forced 155:12	316:3,7,12,17
106:1,5,10,	175:12,17	7,17 253:1,14	forcing	317:13,17
11,24 108:24	177:16 179:8	255:1 256:14,	145:15	318:23
109:7,11,12	180:13	17,22 257:5,	forecast	
110:12,13,17	182:23	7,9,23 259:25	235:2,11	fortunate
111:5,14,15,	183:10	260:24	,	287:15
18 112:8	184:14 185:2,	261:12,13	forecasted	fortunately
113:14,19	5,21 188:11,	263:3,13,24	61:1	87:21
114:5,25	15,18 189:16,	266:5,15	forecasting	forward 14:6
116:11,14,18	20 190:25	267:11	53:16 234:20	52:23 54:6
118:12,21,25	191:7,15,22	268:23 269:3	235:1,5,11,	62:25 71:15
119:16,24	192:3 194:11,	270:5,13	15,17	77:15 89:21
120:19 121:3,	12,14,20	271:1,15,22	forecasts	104:2 112:10
4 122:5,16	195:1,5,19	272:2,8 273:7	66:9	115:20
123:11,13 124:1,5 125:5	196:24	274:14,18		119:11
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	197:17,18	275:1,3,11, 19,20 277:10	foregoing	157:17 164:6
126:6,14 127:21 128:2	198:11 199:12,21	278:6,12,16	23:6	204:24
129:2,19	200:12,21	283:6,23	foresee 192:1	205:11,20,23
130:9,17	201:13,15	284:12,18		209:2 244:16
131:11,23	202:25 203:1,	287:7 288:4	forever	319:2
133:4,5,7,11,	3,6,12,16,19,	289:6,7,15,23	100:10	footor 72:17
21 134:4,10,	20,23 204:4	290:1,15	forewarned	foster 73:17
19,21 135:1,	205:17,18	291:6 292:5	79:24	fosters 72:16
3,11,17,24	206:15 207:1,	293:6 294:19,	forgot 177:19	found 132:3
136:1,7,24	9 208:20,21,	23 295:1,6,		161:23
142:2,19	23 210:19,22,	13,15,18	form 25:2	210:15
144:18	24 214:1	296:13	32:24 33:11	249:21
		_000	34:5 110:24	
				\ I EVITAC



		September 30, 2025		3
foundation	20:7,9,21	199:20	300:9,14,17,	funding
183:13,19	21:14,17	207:23	18,19,21	117:16
184:14 186:6	22:25 23:11,	208:21	301:13,15,21,	further 12:6
founded 15:1	21 25:10 28:2	210:15	22 308:1,10,	17:20 24:25
	32:20 33:1,7,	211:11	17	28:18 54:21
four 61:5	8 34:25	213:15	fulfill 154:15,	108:12
92:7,17,21	35:10,12	214:18,19	18 199:22	142:18
150:14 151:1	37:11 38:1,2,	215:10,22		145:18
180:18	8 42:23 43:13	219:6 221:5	fulfilled	164:20 171:7
250:25	44:13 45:14	223:10	152:18	177:20 178:9
279:17	47:15 49:11	224:13 225:6	fulfilling	187:17,18
fourth 52:2	55:15 58:20	232:12,13	153:4	188:1 189:18,
78:22 92:5,10	60:9,16,25	233:16 242:4,		19 191:19
,	64:15,17	12 253:5,11	full 6:6 25:24	195:21 204:2
Fox 7:3	67:24 69:16,	254:11	97:19 105:21	
fraction 56:12	18 70:20	256:15,17,18	155:5,8	280:7 300:25
	71:23 75:21	257:1 263:17	186:23	309:17 312:1
frame 13:14	78:11 82:6,20	270:10 281:7,	full-time	Furthermore
121:20	83:13 84:21	8 288:15	94:17	227:10
framework	88:23 96:9	296:24 297:2,		fusion 101:3
52:14 59:3,7	97:3,15,23	18 298:4,21	fully 132:11	IUSIOII 101.3
60:5 77:23	100:6 102:13	301:2 302:17	224:24 254:8	future 13:19
78:14 79:3	104:9,10	309:14	function	29:2 31:10
83:14 85:5,15	106:5,11	310:12 312:8	237:20 244:3	36:19 37:3
210:19	107:20,21		247:11	47:19,22,23
	108:16	front 88:22		53:3 73:14,18
frameworks	109:24 111:2,	93:1 96:5	functional	75:6 97:10
16:12 52:10	5,12 117:6	114:14	190:20	103:2 110:23
56:3 192:13	119:5,6,7	139:18	functions	116:14
Frank 6:22	, ,	162:12 163:8	182:10,15	153:25
50:15	120:3 121:9	168:16	183:16	154:17
	123:8,21	272:24	188:12,16,17,	191:13 192:2
frankly 20:8	130:1 133:11	fruition	19	239:17 308:7
70:23 73:5	141:21 144:5	148:22		
88:10 159:22	145:10,11	224:22	fund 97:19	
frequency	150:1 157:3		fundamental	G
55:17	159:9,13	256:25	141:16	
	161:16	fuel 21:13	147:23	G-U-N-N
frequent	164:22	108:13		127:23
251:18	165:13,17	109:16	fundamentally	gain 94:18,23
from 4:21,23	166:20 168:5	237:24 249:7,	69:22 145:22,	223:10
5:4 7:2,20 8:7	169:16	9 250:6,24	24 147:22	252:15
13:5,15	173:15	251:6 295:1,	156:9 157:10	
14:17,18	189:19 190:1,	5,15 296:22	190:19 191:4	gained 40:21
15:20 16:13	16 192:8	297:20,22		45:17
00 002 2767		n all EO atatos and in license		NIFXITΔ



		September 30, 2025		31
gallons 98:3	generating	177:5 185:18	219:18	120:14 124:9
game 116:23	94:23 296:23	191:2 198:14	222:10	134:2 135:19
	generation	211:14 212:8	234:13	139:19
gas 27:9	13:6 54:17	221:17 223:6,	257:19	143:14,16,20
302:5	68:4 84:2	10,15 224:24	259:12 269:1,	147:6 159:17,
gave 174:9	86:5 93:4,14,	225:10	17 300:10,17,	19 160:24
269:14	20 95:21 96:4	242:13	18,20	162:13
	100:13	254:18	given 5:2	163:22
Gen 195:15	105:13,16,17	255:12,15	10:21 33:6	167:15
general 12:3	106:3,5	258:7 260:4	51:8 100:15	170:20,25
17:3 24:13	110:7,8,10,	264:13 275:9	125:22 133:9	183:16
27:6,8,19	13,15,17	276:17	201:8 216:20	188:18
29:2 42:11	113:7 119:1	287:20 289:7	231:18 241:1	190:23
46:16,19	199:12 222:1,	296:12	244:15	192:23 196:6
50:25 68:17	11 223:18	299:23	247:21	201:17,21
73:7 124:8	224:7,10,17	307:18	249:19	215:12,15
144:23,25	226:18	gets 112:10	257:13 270:1	229:4 230:1,
151:22 167:8	227:21,24	164:25	298:17	7,10 231:4
225:22,24,25	228:7,10	255:16	301:16	232:23 248:3
239:22	233:15	258:23 302:1	302:15	256:5,7 260:3
241:15,22	284:18			261:1,8
251:15	285:23 295:5	getting 43:13	gives 40:7	266:16,19,23
252:16 267:1	298:2 299:24	93:13 98:21	69:12 100:16	275:17,21
275:20 308:2	gonorotoro	102:11 121:5 124:9 200:18	153:24 224:9	276:13
generally	generators 295:11,13	206:16	giving 11:7	281:14
23:18 40:9,16	298:22	212:23 246:9	26:2 275:18	287:18
57:10 66:18		248:25 249:6	300:11,13	289:24,25
67:7 104:25	genuinely		glad 283:3	290:19
184:25 185:8	117:2	gigawatts		291:11
199:9 221:25	geographic	13:8 89:5,7	glance 278:9	305:14 309:20 315:1
222:11	287:8	90:5 224:20	global 53:5	318:4
244:14	get 11:3 67:21	265:9,14	57:5 77:18	
250:13 259:6,	69:4 87:7	give 8:8 10:11	97:12 99:21	goal 100:24,
8 277:2 294:9	90:25 92:13	38:5 49:14	146:19	25 120:25
295:8	102:17	91:1,24	globe 64:4	121:2 238:18
generate	102:17	110:23		239:22 241:6
247:20	109:16 120:6,	112:22,23	go 11:11 38:20 43:14	277:9
285:22	17,18 121:24	118:7 138:1	48:22 63:6	goals 16:18
298:15,20	123:18	147:4 152:21	86:17 88:13,	42:21 53:11
299:3	132:23 133:2	154:12	14 89:12	61:10 78:18
generated	140:21	159:25 168:2	93:10 94:2	83:20,24
111:5 310:8	155:16,20	214:14	105:3 110:20	154:6,14,19
111.0010.0	159:9 160:18	215:14,17	119:15	218:21
			110.10	
	I	1	<u> </u>	LEVITAC



		September 30, 2023		30
271:21	126:9 129:17	going-forward	4 316:3,4	governed
275:11	130:12 131:1	152:23	318:24	148:10
God 127:11	148:22 149:6,	gone 121:8	goods 40:10	150:13
171:18,19	18 163:21	261:16		governing
179:14	167:14 168:1	gong 204:0	Goodyear 138:9 279:11	19:6 20:18
196:13	169:20 183:9	gong 284:8	130.9 279.11	government
206:20	184:12 186:5,	good 6:21	Google 6:20,	government 17:23 42:25
291:17 303:3	13 190:6	7:1,7,14 9:20	23 12:11 28:9	128:3 269:11
306:4 307:21	194:23	11:21 25:25	50:9,16 52:7,	120.3 209.11
312:17	204:19	26:7 37:12	8,10,17	governmental
315:16	209:15,19	38:24 50:17,	53:18,24	72:6
goes 40:20	210:10	18,19 53:17	55:5,10,18,25	governor
106:13,14	212:10 214:2	63:16 64:23	56:5,7,16,19,	42:10,14 44:6
159:21 191:5	215:13 218:1	73:12,25 74:1	24 57:1 70:19	46:19 267:1
227:16	219:10,17 220:3 221:17	82:7,16 84:22	106:18	270:20
288:25 296:2		86:19 87:21	165:11 176:1	271:12
	223:17,19,20 224:25 225:8,	98:24 99:13	193:4 202:1	aovernorie
going 6:3	18,20 227:12	100:21	204:21	governor's 85:7 263:4
7:16 8:7	229:16	101:22 103:3	211:19 213:7	03.7 203.4
10:17 38:19	230:10 231:4,	105:21	265:24	grandfathered
39:22 43:14	8,14,20	115:23	277:14 279:3	80:11,14
46:20,22	232:25 233:1	122:19	281:2,12	grant 7:20
48:22 73:10	235:18,20	126:24	289:14	150:6
75:15,22	236:3,15	131:17 134:6,	293:14 305:3	
84:25 87:1,6,	239:16,20	14 137:19 144:2 145:8,9	309:23 314:15 318:7	granted 4:25
7 90:16,21,22 91:19 93:2,6	243:18,19	152:12,21	314.13 310.7	8:18 15:16 27:20 129:2
94:2,4,8,25	248:19 249:8,	153:3 173:18,	Google's	27.20 129.2
95:6,20,22,23	14 253:12,13,	19 176:15,16	51:6,11,13	grants 51:25
96:23 100:9,	20 257:13,17,	177:3,4	52:12 56:22	graph 116:4
10,13,25	18,19 259:7,	181:5,6 190:5	62:23	
102:3,16	15 266:9	202:18,19	got 49:8 85:1	grappling
105:1,14,16	269:19,23	205:2 211:9	122:23	70:22
106:21 108:7,	271:3 276:18,	212:5 213:3	123:16	gray 89:3
14,15 109:2	23 277:3	215:6 235:3,	124:22	95:25
110:7 111:1,	278:12,13	5,14 250:18	139:18 142:3	great 47:5
6,18 113:21	288:14 289:2,	259:19,23,24,	144:5,6	127:7 268:21
115:21	7,19,23	25 266:14	194:17	270:15
116:13,15,21,	290:9,10	271:25 272:8	203:20	298:13
23 117:8,22	296:21,22,24	292:2,3	224:15	
118:20	298:6,11	293:24	234:13 248:5	greater 37:4
120:13,16,18,	299:8,17,18,	301:10,11	269:15	62:4
23,24 123:11,	23 310:17,22	303:16,17	281:11	greatly 40:4
23 125:5	311:3,12,14	304:20 313:3,		
	-			



		September 30, 2023		39
green 153:19	51:9,21 52:8	145:8 165:24	hand 127:9	278:7 289:13
199:9,16,17,	53:25 59:13	170:9 171:3,	171:16	happened
20,24 200:5	66:19,23	10,11 173:21	183:20	92:23 217:23
202:20,25	70:14 72:13,	199:8 208:24	206:18	232:8 245:10
203:6,12,17,	16 73:18	210:7 278:6	226:18 228:5,	300:21
23 205:19	79:17 80:16	Gunn's	8 291:15	300.21
Greenwald	82:20,23	207:23	305:25	happening
7:2 63:20	94:6,25	208:21 265:6	312:15	47:6 297:13
	271:14 272:1	278:13,14	315:14	happens 36:3
grid 12:24	guarantee	·	handle 119:15	85:11 92:10
25:12 54:15,	46:7 100:13	guy 92:5,10	lianule 119.13	110:5 235:15
16,21 55:15	40.7 100.13	211:16	handled	289:8,9
56:4,10 77:11	guaranteed	guys 92:1,3	87:18	·
115:18	100:19 101:4	124:18	hanging	happy 73:21
116:10,22	guarantees	211:13	203:20	101:11
118:8 191:23	69:15	211.13		120:22
grossly			Hansen 5:13	157:15
48:19,24	guess 45:6	Н	25:25 26:1,5,	184:11
40.19,24	140:23 145:2		8 131:2	280:16
ground 43:10	182:20	H-A-N-S-E-N	160:25	hard 10:9
201:3 289:23	212:16,18	26:6	206:23,25	69:6 129:4
groundwork	222:16 233:8	H-I-A-T-T	207:1,7	134:11 269:3
52:13	239:13 247:6	175:3	208:10,18	
	289:14		209:18	harm 100:21
group 47:9	298:25	H-U-L-L 313:8	210:24	111:9,13
58:7 72:7	guessing	Hahn 4:16	229:11	harmed 34:23
73:4 92:19	126:10	50:17 122:4,5	230:16,21	
140:6,19,24	120.10	125:2 145:5,7	245:2 268:23	harmonic
141:9,10	guidance	173:15,17	283:5,8 285:8	114:9,19
168:13 194:8,	103:23 120:1	174:23 175:5	305:21	116:4 156:2
10 195:19	guide 185:17	176:18 177:1	306:13	harmonics
205:5 210:3		178:15 190:1,	307:10 312:8,	114:20 115:5
279:12 280:1	guiding	3 191:17	12,21 313:2	116:7 156:22
groups 73:5	185:13	199:4,5,7	314:4 315:3,	
276:22	Gunn 15:14	201:19 211:6,	11,20 316:2	Harvard 97:3
	17:22 19:22	8 290:21	317:11,21	hate 201:6
grow 73:14	20:23 21:19	291:2	318:20	having 22:21
growing	22:5 24:1		happen 109:3	84:5 86:5
228:9	126:9 127:3,	half 96:23		113:3,4,5
	5,15,23	half-	147:13	115:5,19
grown 73:13	128:24,25	dependent	215:18 216:7	127:16
grows 97:20	131:17 133:2	103:4	232:25	134:11
growth 12:19,	134:10	hamner 46:22	235:18 242:1	149:10 150:6,
25 13:17 23:1	142:19 144:2	hamper 46:23 150:11	243:15	10 171:21
20 10.11 20.1		130.11	263:22 265:6	10 1/ 1.21



		September 30, 2023		70
179:20 191:3	88:4 90:18	301:15 303:3	291:10 294:6	higher 94:23
196:19	119:10 125:4	306:4 312:17	297:11	159:15 223:4
203:11	143:9 172:23	315:15	here's 110:5	248:17
204:25 207:3	175:21 179:4	helpful	214:13	252:18 260:3
217:6 251:5	180:22 183:6	158:11	215:12,16	309:8
289:20	192:23 195:8,		·	highlight
290:19,25	24 197:25	helping 17:17	HEREINBEFO	15:10 24:15
291:22	198:8 201:21	53:10 117:17	RE 127:16	
303:11 306:9	208:15	helps 11:14	171:21	highlighted
312:23	261:16,19	55:1 84:8	179:20	201:15
315:22	302:21	160:9	196:19 207:3	highly 22:1,
Hawthorne	305:14,19	here 4:23 6:5	291:22	21,22 66:8
296:13	312:6,11	9:23,25 10:18	303:11 306:9	74:21 190:10
	315:1 317:18	29:7 38:4	312:23	290:25
head 221:6	318:16,18	41:1 42:19	315:22	hire 263:7
244:24 245:14	heart 104:17	50:21 56:20	hesitate 201:6	
_	heet 020:0.45	62:20 64:16	hey 106:18	historic 53:6
246:15	heat 230:2,15	65:15 66:5	112:1 113:19	82:23 200:4
249:10	231:10,15	69:8,11 70:7	117:24 160:8	historical
healthy 88:17	heat-rate	72:3 77:1	245:6	199:21 247:5
hear 6:2 29:14	301:19	85:1 86:25		294:8,18
38:8 62:22	heating	88:2,14,19	Hiatt 5:10	,
63:10 84:21	229:25	90:1,11 92:23	9:24 174:21,	historically 33:19 53:15
87:1,25 88:2		94:12 96:9	24 175:2,16	66:4
129:14,15	heavy 97:8	98:18 102:20	178:2,9,14	00.4
131:21	hedging	103:3,24	179:1	history 12:25
170:21	191:9	104:4 105:25	hiccups	52:21 152:12,
270:23	held 138:24	107:24 108:4	135:21	22 153:3
		110:3 114:5	Higgins 67:21	250:4 276:5
heard 64:15	help 52:10	118:22	70:25 71:17	hit 192:15,20
86:2 91:7,12	55:14 65:2	119:10		
94:7 102:12	84:1 86:1,6	120:22 121:2	Higgins'	hits 300:7
103:24	115:21	122:23 124:7	67:17	hodgepodge
109:24 110:1 119:5,6	116:12,18	125:8,11	high 93:16	44:10
122:11 144:7	118:6,7	126:25	97:7 133:14,	hold 184:13
277:24 297:6	127:11	131:18 137:9	19 135:8	232:9 253:24
	135:21	156:6 159:6	136:9,19,22	317:13
hearing 4:7,	171:18,19	193:4 194:18	142:23 143:5	
14,22 6:14	179:14	205:23	147:5 153:2	holding 19:4
12:16 19:19	185:17	224:25 232:8	192:7 241:13	106:23 117:3
29:17 31:13	196:13	246:17 247:9	high-growth	282:4
34:21 38:18	206:20	264:6 265:4	97:6	home 51:22
49:16 62:24	233:23 263:9	269:7 283:4	37.0	116:2 230:5
63:6 84:17	275:6 291:17			
		<u> </u>		
				LEVITAC



		<u> </u>		
236:18	191:15	99:1 103:9	HVAC 229:24	41:22,23
homeowner	Hosting	108:7 110:5	230:12 231:6,	44:21 45:17,
234:4,7	96:15,18	114:12	15 232:12	19 46:15
·	121:13	116:14	233:3,14	49:25 59:13
honest	hat 005,40.40	118:19 127:5	hyper 264:25	60:3,15,25
125:18	hot 235:10,12	140:10 141:3	••	61:23 65:5,19
179:12	hotter 236:16	148:17	hyper-scale 76:21 264:21	68:2,12 84:1,
honestly	hour 55:4	153:23 189:2	76.21 264.21	22 86:9 90:4
86:24 119:21	126:25 133:9,	190:12 212:5,	hypothetical	91:22 92:10,
120:8 124:6	12 247:20,21	6 213:2	36:17 223:11	16 93:20
216:8	248:9,12,16	218:19 223:1	229:17 230:4	97:13 101:20
Honor 5:8,21	298:16,17,23	225:20	232:24 233:8,	105:16 106:2,
6:11 7:1,7	299:3,4,9,12,	237:14	14	13,22 107:6,
8:11,19 10:7,	15 300:9,21	238:20 241:3		24 108:4,9,
15,24 63:8	301:24	247:10 251:2		17,18 109:3,
64:14 71:13	302:15	255:4,5		21 110:5,10,
76:15 125:18	302.13	257:24	I.T. 97:14	14,18 111:3
127:5 128:19	hourly 133:16	259:18		112:17
127.5 128.19	hours 55:13	265:17	idea 88:11	113:15,18,22
130:8,15	133:9 284:13	276:23,24	91:18 94:3,24	114:22
132:25 165:4,	295:14 298:2,	296:4 300:6	103:3 106:13	116:13,18,21
132.25 165.4, 14 170:5	4,7,9 311:12	302:2,7	119:11,21	117:5 118:12,
172:20 173:1		however	241:15	13,16 120:12,
174:21	hours-use	21:16 23:21,	254:18 268:3	21 121:9,15,
174.21	30:25	25 36:24	ideally 239:19	25 123:16
18 180:14,17	house 6:6	53:18 56:21		124:22 125:9,
183:10 187:3	92:1,11,16	58:6 59:6,20	ideas 219:5	17,24 126:6
193:8,23	115:8 229:20,	65:4 67:14	identical	132:3,7 133:2
193.6,23 194:17	23 231:11,13,	70:4 80:15	69:24 205:24	135:20
195:25 196:3	21 232:1,9	96:1 150:20	identified	136:13,21
202:6 211:4,	233:5 236:16	155:6 184:7,	20:1 59:6	138:4,25
18 212:1	hauses 02:10	19	96:10 98:2	141:15,17,22
226:4 259:12	houses 92:18, 20		198:4 203:15	142:22 144:9
282:20	20	huge 102:14	261:1	146:14 147:1,
293:10,13,20	housing	Hull 38:10		2,3 151:24
305:1 309:22	288:21	312:13,22	identify	152:12 153:8
314:10,13,23	how 10:14	313:3,7,12	134:7,15,18	154:7,12,15,
314:10,13,23	23:2 30:5	315:6	197:20	23 155:4,18
•	49:12 57:7	humid 235:10	if 6:11 7:25	156:5 157:6
hop 136:13	60:4 80:24		8:16 11:1,2,	158:15 159:4,
hopefully	87:10,17	hunky-dory	14 21:7 24:19	17,21,23
260:3	89:21 90:9,11	90:23	28:22 34:25	160:1,3,5
	92:8 96:13,21	hurdle 124:1	35:15,18,22,	161:23 162:8,
hospitals	97:4 98:19		25 36:4 38:19	11 163:11
000 002 2767		n all EO atatos and is license		NIFXITΔS



		September 30, 2025		42
164:23 167:6,	266:23	111:13 115:5	150:21	29:1,9,11,13,
12,20 169:2,	267:25	192:8 225:20	205:10,12	15 30:2,4,6
13 170:25	268:13,20	235:2 236:10,	217:8 218:18	31:10,15,16,
172:17	273:10,16	13 276:24	219:23	24,25 32:1,
175:13	275:17	impacted	220:11 276:6	10,23,25
178:18,20	283:12,22	54:1 276:23	288:5	33:2,5,10,15
180:9 183:23	285:21	277:3	Importantly	34:3,7,13,15,
184:4,10	286:21,22		25:1 27:22	20,21 36:8,12
185:6,9,15,18	289:9,18	impactful	69:19	37:4,13,20
188:14 191:4,	299:10,22	87:10		39:2,11,15,20
21 194:23	300:21 307:2	impacting	impose 66:17	40:5,9,14
196:4 197:11	308:1,20	13:3	impossible	41:12,19
200:24 208:2	309:2,5,8,13	immosto 04.4	146:2	42:1,3,11,15,
212:18,23	310:20 311:6,	impacts 21:4	imamus ation!	18,20,21 43:6
215:23 216:2,	11,16 313:21	25:3 26:25	impractical	44:13,18,20,
9 217:22	316:25	27:2 68:7	25:17	21 45:8,21
218:21 219:4,	ignore 68:11	88:18 97:23	improve	46:2,7,13,17,
17,18 220:16,	102:5 253:15	115:7 116:10	34:25 35:25	19,21 47:4,6,
18 221:11,24		188:3 190:13	54:19	10,13 48:4,
222:8,9	ignores 46:1,	impair 25:18	improvements	14,23 49:1,4,
223:6,7,9,23	2 48:8 68:5	impediment	13:22	5,8,10,13,15,
224:8,18,23	Illinois 248:5	160:16		18,20,23
226:12 227:4	imaga 202:25		improving	50:16 51:2,23
228:8,21,25	image 203:25 205:14	impermissibly	52:5	52:3,8,12
229:22	203.14	34:22	in 4:2,7 6:4,14	53:2,6 54:20
231:20	imagine	implemented	8:2,4,9,23	55:5,17,18,25
232:17	114:22	15:18 17:17	10:4 11:13,21	56:6,14,18,
234:20 235:1,	223:13	20:14 60:4	12:5,16,19,	24,25 57:1,2,
8,14 238:6	imbalance	151:11	20,25 14:9,	4 58:2,4,10,
241:16,25	32:8 60:24	implemente	20,21,24	13,17 59:5,7,
242:10,11		implements 61:21	15:4,12,14,20	19 60:12
246:20	immaterial	01.21	17:8,16	61:13,17,20
248:21	24:23	implied 114:5	18:18,22	62:3,21,24
249:22	immediately	importance	19:3,5,16,17	63:3,19,21
250:13	42:14	43:1 45:13	20:4,12,13,	64:5,17,22,24
252:14	immense		17,24 21:10,	65:1,7,23
253:10,19	39:25	important	20,22 22:6	66:10,21,23
255:7 256:15,		28:3,4 38:16	23:10,14,16	67:1,3,6,20,
19 257:15	immensely	39:5 41:17	24:2,13,20	22 68:16
258:23	38:16	49:2 51:10	25:2,6,17,20,	69:2,7,10,14,
259:13	impact 39:8,	53:21 54:9	24 26:13,14,	24,25 70:5,
260:18 261:1	17 53:10	64:11 86:3	20,21,22	12,14,21,25
262:5,11	68:12 88:21	87:1,2 95:13	27:9,12 28:4,	71:1,6,7,18
263:22	95:5,6 98:4	104:24 108:8	6,13,14,16	72:9,13
				NIEVITAC



		September 30, 2023		40
73:12,14,18	23,25 123:7,	174:7,11	229:8,23	13,19,24
74:6,7,8,14	14 124:15	175:6,17	230:4 231:5,	282:4,8,15,16
76:24 77:1,3,	125:6 126:25	178:3,7,12,21	23 232:1,3,	285:14,19
4,8,10 78:3	127:5 128:5	179:11	16,18,21,25	286:23 287:1
80:6,7 81:2,6,	130:12,17,18	183:18 184:7,	233:2,8,13	288:12,13,22,
12,14 82:19,	131:19,23	21,22 185:13,	234:15,24	25 289:4,16,
23 83:2,20	132:11 133:9,	16,19,20	235:9,12,24	21,23 290:10,
84:9,14 85:3,	14,18,19,22	186:24	237:10,17,19	13,14,22
4,7,10,20,21	134:22,24	187:13,22	239:4,17,19	292:8 294:1,
87:3,6,7,14,	135:7,8,12,21	188:2,5	240:1,12,13,	4,11,19
25 88:6,12,	136:14 137:1,	190:10,13,15,	15,20 241:4,	295:12 296:6,
16,22,24	20,25 138:8,	25 191:6,9,19	25 243:13,18,	12 297:20,22
89:1,9,17	12,15,16,20	192:1,14	25 244:10	298:11,17,23
90:5,25 92:3,	139:11,12,14,	197:2,12	245:12,17,18,	299:3,8,12
19,20,22	18 140:1,5,12	199:10	20 247:1,13,	300:9,20
93:1,7,14,17,	142:10,13,15	200:10,17,25	21,25 248:9,	301:14,17,24
24 94:16	144:9,10,21	201:2,15	11,12,16	302:2,15
96:2,4,5,17,	145:11,14	202:21,25	249:7,22	306:17,21
19,24,25	146:3,10,13,	203:7,11,17,	250:1,2,6,13,	308:4,15
97:2,7,12	14,15,21,25	18,24 204:13	17,23,24,25	309:9 310:14,
98:7,9,11,19,	147:2,18,24	205:7,13,18,	251:3,5	21 311:6,7,21
23,25 99:5,	148:1,15,24,	21,24 206:16	253:12	313:9,13,19,
10,12,17,23,	25 149:11,13,	207:12,16	255:21 256:3,	21,24 314:1
24,25 101:15,	17,20 150:15,	208:22 209:3,	14,17 257:9,	316:8,13,18,
18 102:7,12,	22,24 151:6,	10,11,23	21 258:16,24	23,25 317:1,
14 103:1,2,	8,10,11,12,	210:1,21	260:14,18,23	3,4,7
11,15 104:18	17,19,20,22	212:12 213:8,	261:6,9,16	in-camera
105:22 106:7,	152:2 153:9,	9,20,21,23	262:8,18,21	31:13
9,19,20,21	10 154:16	214:25 215:1,	263:5,17,24	in house
107:1,5,9,11,	156:12,14,24	3,7,8 216:2,	264:4 265:11,	in-house 263:16
19,24 108:5,	157:17,23	18 217:1,3,	18 266:6,7	203.10
10,13,18	158:1,4,13	17,24 218:8,	267:6,13	inappropriate
109:3,7,15	160:2,4,19	9,12,20	268:2,9,22	48:3,5 209:13
110:10,11,13,	161:7 162:2,	219:1,2,20	269:7,8 270:10	inappropriatel
15,23,24 112:1,3,4,11,	5,6,9,12 163:8,16,23	220:3,11,13, 14,18 221:25	271:17 272:6,	y 37:6 47:18
15,16 113:6,7	164:10,17	222:1,4,11,	7,19,24	inaudible
114:3,5,14	165:3 166:6,	19,21,23,25	273:15,20	10:6 38:21
116:2,5,14,	16 167:5,13,	223:20,24	274:1 275:2,7	121:22 125:9
17,23 117:15,	22 168:7,11,	224:12,13	276:11,13,15,	128:22 136:3
18,20 118:4,	16,19 169:1,	225:9,17,19,	19 277:2,15,	202:12
10,16,22	5,16 170:24	20 226:19	24,25 278:4	268:25
119:18 120:4,	172:9,18	227:6,18	279:13 280:2,	
7 121:18,19,	173:20,24	228:17,25	3,24 281:5,	Inc 4:8 182:7,
,	,			12 279:16



		September 30, 2023		
incase 107:15	270:21	3,10,16,17,	index 81:9	inducing
incentive	280:19	18,21	Indiana 57:2,4	226:17
221:25 222:9,	including	increased	61:19,20	228:10
10,12,17,22	11:25 12:22	17:7 40:4	indicate 267:9	industrial
223:2,3,7,13,	13:12,19 16:6	51:8 52:18		13:1 15:2
24 224:19	39:23 47:10	222:2,13	indicated	58:12 65:1
225:1 252:5	57:12,13,15,	increases	12:14 48:17	77:21 89:6
incident	17,23 64:18	28:16 35:20	217:17	276:18 279:7,
31:25	65:23 69:12	93:22 249:22	219:20	12 286:10
in al danta	135:4 217:18	251:7,18	232:24	288:2 290:8
incidents	276:7 298:25	253:12,15	indicating	industries
192:17	299:1		169:14	32:4 105:11
include 14:9	inclusion	increasing 93:9	indiscernible	138:7 270:10
30:11 32:21	8:12 125:22		6:10 9:7	290:9
53:19 54:12,	308:22	incredible	30:12 37:13	industry 19:2
25 55:9,19	inclusive	77:13	45:15 47:7	20:2 24:2
58:8 59:16,	135:4	incredibly	85:23 96:22	31:6 44:24
21,23 69:20		148:4	101:3 104:11	53:5 59:9
109:3 132:10	income 101:4	ingramantal	110:20	61:14 63:1
134:19	inconsistent	incremental 13:20 16:2	117:21	64:1 99:24
136:11 169:9	23:2	17:14 20:17	134:25 167:2	100:4 102:10,
308:4	incorporate	83:7 133:24	238:1 249:13	21 106:21
included	21:12	137:5 254:11,	252:2,18,23	182:1,25
32:25 33:6		24 255:9	253:7,8,15	184:5 207:15
69:24 81:19	incorporated	256:2 257:11	258:20	222:20 244:1
108:5,18	147:21 175:9	265:10	265:20	270:6 271:13,
118:10	incorporating		296:14	19,23 272:1,
133:18 135:7	16:4	increments 16:22 134:24	individual	7,16
157:23 158:5	incorrect		38:14 60:22	inertia 55:15
168:22	167:4	incur 233:17	66:3 136:19,	
279:13 297:19,21		249:16	22 140:19	inferred 297:3
310:14	increase 21:19 22:14	297:18	234:7	inflation
	40:9 82:23	308:25 309:5	individual-	93:18
includes 13:8	91:23 92:25	incurred	bilateral	influx 14:20
16:7,10,14	93:7 105:9	14:15 35:9	191:25	inform F0.0F
22:10 34:5	108:20 115:8	37:25 83:9	individualized	inform 58:25 119:19
47:8 50:24	187:21,22	104:7 308:11	22:2	
61:16 78:9	222:25	incurring		informal
79:3 104:1 108:11,15	231:21	251:10	individuals	139:4
174:15	250:13,20	255:11	141:21	information
188:10	252:13 309:5	incurs 256:4	induce 228:6	11:12 31:11
242:14	310:21 311:1,	111CUI 3 200.4		64:24 102:15
<u> </u>				
l	I .	t .	I .	I .



		September 30, 2025		45
107:24	initiate 139:23	56:2	interconnecti	210:3
114:13	initiated	instructions	on 116:18	intervening
115:20	139:25	189:3	157:4 183:1	283:19
121:16 148:5	187:13		185:25	
156:9,16	209:22	instrumental	interdepende	intervention
160:18 162:1		205:7 215:3	nt 79:19	49:4,20
163:1,4,5,6,	initiative 56:2,	216:2		into 6:5 15:16
11 166:8	7	insulates	interest 16:23	27:24 28:11
174:14 182:1	initiatives	83:13	49:23 72:6	29:24 43:14
185:18,19	52:1 55:6,19		73:5,6 85:10	47:18 48:22
194:16		insulted	106:19	66:5 67:21
225:10,14,15	inject 213:22	248:6	160:13	69:4,7 75:7
273:15	innovative	insurance	265:17	81:21 86:18
283:16 291:1	52:2	272:14	274:11,12,14,	91:9 92:11
313:22 314:1	input 20:7	insurmountab	16 275:13,14,	100:2 103:8
317:2,7	29:24 37:17	le 124:1	16,24 277:11	104:19 109:9
informed	29:24 37:17	IC 24.	290:15	110:20 111:6
51:14 52:11	213:4,6,15,19	intangible	interested	116:1 120:2
214:17,19,22	215:10,14,23	287:24,25	265:10	147:7,21
215:10	238:11 244:8,	integrated		150:4 152:14
	22 245:16	98:22 190:23	interesting	159:6 175:9
infrastructure	263:5,17,19	203:14	81:1	208:11
13:21 51:15,	294:21		interests	212:24
16 52:15		intelligence	14:21 77:24	213:22
53:3,22	inputs 215:17	51:9 96:22	79:21 83:3	224:24 229:5
54:17,18 64:3	295:2,8,21	intended 44:7	275:23 277:6	236:2 237:25
77:11 79:10	296:19	238:25 239:4	interfere	238:7,11
97:8 99:10,16	300:14	intends 28:18	36:20	242:24
135:5 191:15	inscient 48:16	intenus 20.10		245:16 246:9
222:11,25		intense 97:7	interim 57:22	248:11
266:15	inspection	intensive 98:3	internally	253:13
inherent	27:15		236:16	254:12
222:19 287:6	installing	interact 205:7		255:10
	56:12	interacting	interpose	264:21,22
inherently	inctance 20:0	219:22	209:7	268:4 270:13
33:6 233:18	instance 28:8	220:10	interpretation	274:6,21
243:1	instances		45:22 104:13	276:17
initial 16:20	256:9	interaction	intorrunt	277:18,25
17:8 112:15	instead 20:16	36:15,16	interrupt 134:9 270:25	288:11,18,20,
183:2	22:10 46:22	184:20	134.8 210.23	23 290:6
initially	71:11 143:20	interactions	interval 256:2	294:21 295:2,
147:16	175:7	205:6	interveners	21 296:2,19
159:12			119:6 120:15	298:2
205:20	Institute's		140:6 168:13	
200.20			1 10.0 100.10	
				NI FXITAS
200 002 2767	Lavitaa anarataa	n all EO atatas and is license	ad whore required	



		September 30, 2025		46
intricacies	invited 141:6,	issued 98:8	82:1,18	24 155:6,24
18:14	7,10,25	189:7	84:22,25	156:12,14
introduce	210:5,14,17,	issues 7:17	85:5,9 86:2	157:7,12,13
9:19	22	18:17 19:9,10	87:18 88:11,	158:9 159:13,
	invoked 21:1	24:4 39:5,7,	13,15 89:22	14,18,21
intrusting		15 51:4 64:12	90:9 92:21	160:7,16
114:18	involve 31:11	70:22 81:12	95:1 99:2	161:21 162:9,
invented 19:4	103:14	83:2 95:12	101:5 103:1,	12,14,15
invest 64:22	involved	101:21	6,24 104:11,	163:7,12
221:25 222:4,	55:18 87:6	115:15,16	19 105:2,18	164:9,14
11,24 223:20,	102:22	117:1 130:19	106:8,19	166:18,19,24,
24	103:19	158:3 162:17,	107:8 108:23	25 167:13,15,
24	119:18	20 200:2	110:13,14	20,21 168:2,
invested	146:12		111:1,7,12,	24 169:6
99:10	187:19	it 8:4,5,14	17,20 112:2,	174:9,12,13,
investing	218:24	9:14 10:11,19	9,17,21,22,24	14 175:10,18
51:23 56:6	241:14	11:14,20	113:8,13	177:5,11,16
255:21	276:11,15,22	12:14,15	115:14 116:7,	182:22
	involves	13:19 15:18,	14 117:6,10,	183:11,23,24
investment	11:23	21 16:10,14,	11,12 118:7,	184:4,7,14,25
40:2,3,8 45:8		15 20:25	12,17 119:22	185:7,18,19
51:14 52:15,	iron 46:6	21:10 25:5,	121:16,23,25	186:10,16,18
25 53:15	IRP 154:2	12,13,18	122:19,22	187:5,9,12,
76:23 79:13		26:7,14 27:25	123:2,6,7,10,	17,18 188:2,
97:19 99:13,	irreconcilable	28:3,5 30:11	13,17,18	14,15 189:2,
15 102:13,17	145:21	33:10 37:21	124:3,16,20	6,13 190:10,
118:3,21	irregularities	38:24 40:3,4,	125:9,14,16,	24 191:2
135:6 222:18	157:2	5,24 42:9	23 129:18	194:11,20
223:21	icolatina	43:19 44:6,	130:21 131:8	195:3,5,7,8
224:12	isolating	10,19 45:1,	135:14 136:4	197:25 198:9
investments	22:25	20,24 46:1,2,	137:7 138:25	206:1 207:24,
40:10 52:17	issue 28:19	3,22,23,24	139:10,17	25 208:12,15
54:18 79:10	34:2 47:14	48:4,8,24	140:23	209:22
87:5 95:19	49:22 67:23	49:20 51:10	141:18	210:12
100:17	68:19 80:7	52:23 53:21	142:17,24,25	211:16
219:11,25	82:21 88:9	54:15 58:6,22	143:10	212:19 213:9,
220:13	96:5 115:10,	59:23 60:4,8,	144:11,18	14,21,23
investor-	18,19 117:3,	12 61:13	146:3,6,14,	214:15,16,18
owned 26:22	22 120:5,8,9	63:17 64:2	15,17,21,22	215:14,18,25
267:5	156:22	65:7 66:8	147:1,2,3,5,	216:19 219:4,
207.5	162:15	67:24 69:11,	19 151:2,7,	8 220:17,21
investors	226:16 245:7,	12,22 73:6,10	23,24 152:8,	221:2,10,17
97:4	8	75:9,13 76:17	21,23 153:6,	222:3,15
		77:10 80:13	11,12,13,22,	224:3,6
	1	ı		ı



		September 30, 2023		
225:24	289:24 290:2,	10 149:6	14,19 289:14,	itself 48:17
226:11	21,23 293:5	150:21 151:1	23 291:3	111:25 112:2
227:15 228:3,	294:12,16,17,	152:3 154:6,	294:15 296:8	205:20
14,17,22,23	19,25 295:1,	22 155:1	299:23	215:22
229:1,2,4,18	2,10,13	156:10,11,21	item 151:25	
230:13 231:8,	297:9,16	157:16	190:8 195:15,	J
16,22 232:4,	299:2,9,13,14	159:16,20	18 246:25	
9,10,13	300:3,4,13,22	160:8,12,14	10 240.23	J-A-C-Q-U-E-
233:11	301:22 302:9	162:6,11,15,	items 149:19	L-I-N-E 11:11
234:14,17,21,	304:17 308:4	16,19,20,22	158:5 190:6	
22,23 236:7,8	309:12,13	166:19	194:25	J-I-M 50:6
238:2,25	310:22,25	175:13 177:4	its 11:24 12:1	J-O-H-N 86:22
239:5,10	311:4,5,11	178:20 180:2	13:5 18:15,	LODDAN
241:20 242:3,	317:10	181:8 185:1,5	22,23 20:2,4,	J-O-R-D-A-N
8,10,19	It'll 107:9	189:11	6 21:10 22:6	313:7
243:4,12,16,	278:15	190:21 198:3	25:23 28:13,	Jackie 5:9
23 244:20		209:12	14 29:13,17	9:21 11:6,11
249:9,24	it's 26:5 35:24	212:20	34:21 41:2	136:1
250:11,12	40:17 43:6,9,	213:13	43:23 45:12	Jacqueline
251:21,22,24,	23 44:17,20	214:12,25	49:15,17	11:10
25 252:9,11,	45:23 46:22	216:17,25	52:22 55:21	
19,20,24	47:22 48:3,5,	219:2 220:3,	60:25 65:3	James 176:22
253:7,19	7,8,13,19	18 224:11	66:20 70:7	177:15
254:8,9,15	49:16 73:13	225:13	71:2,7,15	198:15 207:2,
255:2,4,14,15	74:8,22 87:1	226:19 228:4	77:4 81:12	10 208:12,15
256:20	88:10 89:1,4	231:20	113:5,7	January
257:15,22	90:16 91:21	232:17,19	119:25	138:20
258:4 262:6,	93:8,13 94:4,	234:24	125:21	Jarod 6:22
13 265:19	8 96:7,8	236:10 238:2	131:23	50:15
266:5,22	99:25 103:1	239:8 243:1,	144:11 169:6	30.13
267:4,13	105:24 107:2	7,8,12,15	182:5 183:6	Jason 18:2,5
268:10 269:3,	108:8,20	245:15 246:3	213:4 218:7	22:4 166:4,9
25 271:1	110:4 111:12,	247:4 248:7	220:21	171:14,20
272:13	17 112:24	250:14 251:2	233:16	172:3 290:20
273:13,16	113:1,4,14,19	255:17 256:6	234:22,24	Jay 38:12
275:8 277:5	114:2,3,4,14	259:4,6,8	239:1 241:19	285:25 286:1
278:9,10,11,	116:5 117:8	261:13	243:7 246:8	
20,21 279:9,	119:21 120:8	267:10,14	254:23	Jeff 18:4
11,16 280:17	122:23 123:5	269:7 270:8,	258:24 259:1,	29:22 65:7
281:2 282:10,	128:2 129:21	12 271:25	9 263:15	118:15
11 284:8,21	133:23,24	275:14 276:5	283:10	121:15
286:6,11,13,	144:17,20	277:13 280:5	294:12,21	171:15 172:8,
16 287:6,16	145:21,25	281:7 285:10	298:21	12,21
288:10,20	147:14 148:8,	286:23 288:9,	299:17,23	
				LEVITAC



		September 30, 2025		48
jeopardize	judge 4:3,13	179:2,10,16	5,6,8,11,13,	96:4,7,8
174:11	5:11,16,19,24	180:15,20,25	16,17,24	98:22 99:6
Jevons 6:22	6:9,16,19,24	181:2 182:19	306:2,6	100:8 101:7,
50:15	7:5,9,21 8:6,	183:4,19,22	307:12	8,25 102:5,24
	17,22,25 9:9,	184:12 186:3,	309:19,23,25	103:1 104:15
Jim 5:9,21	16,18 10:6,	7,15,20 187:6	310:2 312:2,	105:5 106:12,
9:24 38:9,25	16,20 11:4,8	189:17,20,22,	5,9,14,19,21	19 107:2,9,
44:25 50:6	26:3 38:17,22	25 192:22	314:4,7,11,	14,21 108:21
96:21 193:1	50:3,8,12,17	193:3,6,10,	15,17,19,22,	109:9,11,21
201:24	63:5,11	13,16,18,21	25 315:3,4,8,	110:3,20
206:12 212:1	71:22,24 72:3	194:22 195:4,	11,13,18,20	111:11
260:25 285:4	73:22,24	22 196:1,6,	317:11,15,21,	112:23,25
job 37:4 94:6,	76:9,13 82:5,	11,15,17	23 318:3,7,9,	113:20
25 96:13,25	9,12,17	197:16,19,23	11,14,16,20,	114:10,14
97:2 100:19	84:16,20	198:6,20,23,	21	115:13 116:1,
168:15 235:5,	86:11,14	24 199:2,5	Judge's	3,11 117:11
14	121:7,12	201:20,25	170:12	119:14 120:8
	122:3 125:3,	202:1,3,7,10,		124:2,15
jobs 13:20	15,25 126:4,	13,15 204:5,	July 98:19	129:3,17,25
40:6 64:23	8,11,18,22,24	8,10 206:5,7,	188:6 212:13	130:3,6,7
77:13 91:13	127:2,6,8,13	11,13,17,22	213:9 215:8	132:21 136:1,
94:9,11,17	128:22 129:5,	208:13 209:6,	jurisdiction	4 142:3
96:17	9,13,16,23	8,16 211:1,5,	174:7,16	145:22 147:3,
John 5:18	130:2,5,11,	10,12,15,19,	177:12	22 153:6
86:20 96:9,13	20,23,24	21,24 217:16	219:24	156:20
126:16	131:5,9,11	218:1 219:15	220:11,13	158:21
162:15	135:25 136:3	224:2,4 226:5	·	159:16,20
Johnson 5:22	142:21 143:1,	229:13	jurisdictions	160:6,8,25
39:1 96:14	6,9,13,19,22	230:17,18,25	23:2 56:25	161:4 162:12,
	144:19 145:4	258:11,13	70:1,21 84:6	22 163:21
join 6:14 92:6	158:19	259:15,18	219:1	167:15,24
116:22	160:21,23	268:25	just 9:6,19	170:11 174:9
joined 100:3	161:1,2	270:16,17,24	10:11 11:2	175:19
	164:21 165:5,	271:6 282:22	34:1 39:12	176:20 177:3
joining 4:18	7,10,15,19	283:3 285:1	40:24 45:15	178:11,22
joint 7:17	168:1 169:25	290:18 291:4,	48:11 53:1	181:17
jointly-filed	170:14,17	9,11,14,19	54:7 68:14	188:24
19:10	171:9,13	293:2,7,11,	74:6 86:8	193:23 194:6
	172:22 173:3,	14,16,18	87:7,10,16	203:14
Jordan 38:10	10,11,14	300:25 301:1,	88:17 89:21	205:14,24
312:13,22	174:25 175:4,	3,4,6 302:18,	90:20,25	212:8 214:9
313:7,12	16 176:1,3,6,	19,24 303:1,	91:14 92:14	220:4 221:21
Journal 96:12	9,12 177:20,	5,7,8 304:15,	94:3,17	224:14 229:7
98:25 99:6	21,24 178:23	20,23 305:3,	95:14,21	230:23 238:2
	1	I	I	1



		•		
239:14 240:2,	4 58:4,11,13,	Kevin 17:22	172:3 173:9	8,15 249:10
14 242:11	14 69:3,7,10	24:1 71:17	176:15 178:3,	250:4,5
253:19 254:6	76:21 77:7,10	127:5,15,23	15,20 179:4	251:12
255:5 259:12	81:16,18 84:5	128:24,25	290:20	253:18 255:6
260:6 261:25	96:19 121:18	key 15:10	knickknacks	263:22 264:1,
265:1,17	132:10	18:9 52:10	92:13	2 265:3
266:7,16,19	137:20 138:5,	240:18	92.13	266:10,11,14
267:18	8,11 151:6,	240.10	know 8:13	267:17 269:1,
268:21 271:3	12,17,25	Keyes 7:3	34:6 72:18	3 273:14,16
274:9 275:8	152:1 153:10	kilowatt 55:3	75:10 76:20	275:9 276:17
276:11 277:9	166:12	133:9 284:13	77:17 80:15	282:6,18
278:12,17	200:10 201:1		86:20 87:13	283:23 286:6,
283:5 284:6	210:20 266:8	kind 10:11	89:20 91:19	17 287:11,12,
288:8,22	278:1,4,7	73:10 79:24	93:13 114:11	15,17,21,23
290:3,18	279:12 280:2,	88:15 90:14,	116:21 117:3	288:1,8,9,16,
291:6 296:10	8,11,18	16,24 104:21	118:24 119:3	22,24 289:2,
301:12	281:13,14,19	114:2 116:3	124:2,4,18	3,9,13 290:10
302:16	282:4,16	124:25 146:3,	132:13 138:1,	294:15
307:24	287:2,7,13,	13 147:4	6,25 151:6	295:17 296:4,
308:20 310:4,	17,21	153:23 158:5	152:24 153:3	12,21,22
24 311:19,20	•	159:11 160:8	162:17,19	297:9,10
·	Karolin 4:12	165:2 185:4	163:20	299:4 301:17
ust-right	Kayla 4:16	190:15 205:1	164:18 167:6	
36:17		234:23	175:7,12	knowing
ustification	KC 213:8	301:12	176:20	52:18
59:2	KCC 47:10	302:11	181:11	knowledge
	58:8 166:16,	kinds 93:19	188:14,24	22:18 128:17
ustify 102:16	19 167:1,2		191:18 200:2	189:10
105:12 118:8,	278:2,19	280:21	211:13	192:12
21	281:18	Klaus 5:14	212:13 213:1,	197:14 208:7
ustifying		26:12 131:11,	2 214:3,6,11	213:13,17,18
251:7,18	KDS1 278:14	12,14,16	217:4 218:14,	245:13 263:6
,	keep 27:14	132:25 133:1	16 219:2	292:19 304:8
	30:2 34:20	135:10 136:5	225:2,12,13	307:7 314:2
	109:21	140:23 141:2	227:13 229:6	317:8
ZEVIN	151:20	142:18,21	231:5,19	
K-E-V-I-N	268:14 270:9	143:1,12	232:17,18,20	known 71:20
127:23	289:20	145:11	233:21,24	245:23
K-L-A-U-S	307:14	182:23	235:7,9,12	knows 289:2
131:14		198:22	236:1 237:9	
K-L-I-N-D-T	Kehoe 44:6	202:15,17		Kolkmeyer
172:3	267:2 270:20	· ·	238:4,6	4:17 50:18
112.3	271:12	Klindt 18:2,5	244:13,22	73:24 74:2,3,
	l/abaala	22:4 166:5	246:1,11,13,	5,9,13,16,19,
Kansas 12:22	Kehoe's	171:14,20	20,21 247:3,	23 75:3,5,8,



		September 30, 2025		50
14,17,22	255:11,13	43:12,25	227:20 228:8	136:14
76:1,5,8,10	256:4,10,16	44:15,17 45:6	233:17 243:1	164:19 167:3
79:22,23	257:17,18,20	46:4,7 47:11,	253:11,14	170:22 175:3
80:1,5,19,22,	laid 183:19	16,21 48:21	254:11,24	194:11 217:3
24 81:20,25	184:14	49:13,21	255:9 256:2	218:10
82:4 89:13,	104.14	50:23 52:24	257:11	227:18
16,19,23,25	land 13:10	54:7,20 56:24	258:23 259:9	250:11,18
98:2 121:11,	landed 70:5	57:8,20	260:12,13,17	258:17
12,17,21		58:23,25	261:6,16,19,	266:25 288:4
122:2	landlord 92:2,	59:4,10 60:8,	23 262:2	299:11 313:7
Kalleman anda	15	11,14,24	263:12,24	314:5 317:14
Kolkmeyer's	Lange 38:9	61:8,19,24	265:1,8,25	In a 11- 200 - 4
114:11	67:19 216:1,	62:5,18 63:1	267:7,12,16,	lastly 288:4
	6,8 218:13	64:17 65:10,	18,25 268:2,	lasts 105:17
L	221:4 255:3	16,19 66:7,9,	20 270:22	late 8:15
	257:23	17 67:8,11,24	271:17	125:7 212:13
L-A-N-G-E	262:12	68:4,12	272:21 274:4,	
292:7	280:15	69:12,16	23 275:2	215:8 288:17,
L-O-W-E-R-Y	291:10,12,14,	70:13,22,24	276:18 277:1	22,23
50:7	21 292:2,6,8,	71:5 77:21	278:3 279:7	late-filed 7:19
	12 293:1,6,23	78:2,14,19	282:3,15	8:7
L-U-T-Z	300:24	82:20 83:6,9,	286:9 288:1,2	lately 225:13
196:25	301:10	14,18,19	308:23,24	
labeled	302:16,21	85:16 90:13	309:1,10	later 7:23 8:23
292:14	302.10,21	104:16 110:6,	310:13,16	12:5 17:21
	Lange's 67:22	15,18 111:10,	,	95:8 121:25
labor 160:11	212:17,20	18,20 112:8	largely 201:8	178:7,18
Labs 115:4	244:10 273:8	121:4 124:5	larger 26:20	186:9
	language		55:2 65:3	latest 194:4,5
lack 32:17	46:1	147:25	89:11	· ·
34:10 95:4		148:11 151:3		law 4:3,13
149:20	large 4:11	155:7 157:20	largest 268:7	5:11,16,19,24
Lafayette	11:24 13:12,	177:7 180:3	last 11:11	6:9,16,19,23,
81:21	25 14:20	183:1 185:21,	34:19 38:20	24 7:2,5,9
	15:2,4 17:1,6,	24 187:14,20,	50:14 63:14	8:6,17,22,25
lag 20:21,25	11,13,17	21,22 188:4,	70:11 98:16	9:9,16,18,21
36:25 48:1,	18:7,21,24	5,7 191:21	99:6,7 100:14	10:6,16,20
10,14,20,25	19:1 20:3	192:2,8 201:9	102:16	11:4,8 26:3
109:25 110:1,	23:3 25:4,8	204:19 205:5,	105:14	28:18 38:17,
4 151:5,13	29:16 30:8	6,8 213:7,11,	117:13	22 39:9 49:12
251:10,13,16,	31:2 33:5,15	16,19 214:18,	119:23	50:3,8 63:5,
20 252:4,7,9,	34:3,4,24	24 215:10	128:14	11 71:22
13,18,24	35:24 37:3,7,	217:1 218:8	131:13	73:22 76:9,13
253:2,9	20 39:14,23	219:6,11	135:20	82:5,9,12
			1.3.1 / ()	1
254:10	41:19 42:15	220:21 224:8	100.20	84:16,20



		September 30, 2025		ე
86:11,14	209:16 211:1,	learning	14,20 233:1	letters 13:10
97:21 118:10	5,12,15,19,	219:23	248:24	level 33:22
121:7 122:3	21,24 218:1	220:10	251:22	67:9 84:6
125:3,15,25	219:15 224:4	least 49:11	252:19,20	147:5 185:2
126:8,22,24	226:5 229:13	105:19	let 8:22 63:24	233:11 235:3
127:2,6,8,13	230:25	125:22 164:5	91:1,24	243:9 249:22
128:22 129:5,	258:11	239:19	103:19	251:12
9,13,16,23	259:15,18	249:17	104:15	256:15 260:3
130:2,5,11,	268:25	255:17 280:1	168:15	288:2
20,24 131:5,9	270:24 271:6		181:11	
136:3 142:21	282:22 283:3	leave 70:16	188:24 212:7	levels 22:12
143:6,9,13,	285:1 291:4,	106:14 129:2	219:4 221:17	52:20 53:19
19,22 144:19	9,14,19	leaves 107:7	225:1 226:23	148:17 243:8
145:4 158:19	293:7,11,14,		227:1 242:8,	leverage
160:23 161:2	16,18 301:1,4	leaving	10 246:17	66:18
164:21 165:5,	302:19 303:1,	178:22	247:17	lavananad
7,10,15,19	5,8 304:20,23	left 4:15 89:8,	253:22 254:6	leveraged
168:1 169:25	305:3,6,8,11,	11 96:2	264:13	54:5
170:14,17	13,17,24	106:23	282:13	liability 21:15
171:9,13	306:2,6	231:16	296:10	254:15,19
172:22 173:3,	307:12	legacy 103:6	297:12	257:13
11,14 174:25	309:19,23,25	200:4	299:21	liberty 4:21,
175:4,16	310:2 312:2,			23 12:14 80:2
176:1,3,6,9	5,9,14,19	legal 144:18	let's 5:6 9:3	119:15 193:3
177:21,24	314:7,11,15,	legally 112:25	36:11 88:14	
178:23 179:2,	17,19,22,25		94:6 108:11	Liberty's
10,16 180:15,	315:4,8,13,18	legislation 271:12	119:11,15	213:22
20,25 183:4,	317:15,23	2/1:12	163:18	lieu 88:12
22 184:12	318:3,7,9,11,	legislative	170:24	life 00:12
186:7,15	14,16,21	42:4 103:23	179:11	life 99:12
187:6 189:17,	lawyers 142:9	120:1 266:25	197:19	115:9
22,25 192:22		legislator	223:13	lights 114:22
193:3,6,10,	lay 183:12	85:7 267:25	229:15 230:8	like 4:19 5:4
13,18,21	lays 48:24	269:4	231:2 232:3	8:9,12,14
194:22 195:4,	52:13 164:10		233:10	10:14 36:21
22 196:1,6,	lead 31:9	legislature	243:24	37:13 38:20
11,15 197:19,		267:23	248:22 255:7,	47:12 52:16
23 198:6,20,	leadership	268:14,18,20	10 256:1	54:10 55:8
24 199:2,5	50:22	lengthy 77:19	257:11 259:6	64:18 73:2,14
201:20 202:1,	leading 55:5		260:3 276:13	81:4,11 91:2
3,7,10,13	64:2 170:6,18	less 31:1	278:11	92:6 94:12
204:5,8	284:25	222:2,14	281:18,22	97:6 101:13
206:7,11,17,		228:6,7	290:12 318:4	105:25
22 208:13	leans 31:4	230:14 231:9,		106:17 111:1
			·	\ EVITAG



		September 30, 2025		54
112:21	Likewise	182:17 262:8	12:1 13:14	41:19 42:15
114:21 115:3,	12:14 20:6	291:10	14:22 15:1,	43:12,25
25 116:4,8,25	limit 167:6	listen 30:3	17,23 16:3,9	44:15,17 45:6
117:3 121:8,	214:7 242:16	50:20	17:10,15,24	46:4,7 47:11,
25 123:8,10,			18:14 20:22	16,21 48:21
12 124:11	limited 16:6	listened 260:2	21:13,15,25	49:13,22 51:9
125:9 126:4,6	85:3 134:19	listening	22:13,16,23	53:25 54:20
130:25	limits 62:8	90:17	23:6,11,24	56:24 57:12,
133:21,23	266:9		24:6,9 25:6	20 58:23,25
135:12,14		literally 40:1	31:3 32:19	59:4,10,13
137:1,7	line 70:5 74:7	90:2 111:23	33:1,11	60:8,11,14,24
142:22	107:1 114:25	167:24	35:15,18,22	61:8,19,25
143:15,16,18	123:24	little 20:7	36:11,13 61:6	62:5,18 63:2
146:3 153:6,	138:12	87:20 91:15	64:6 73:1	64:17 65:5,16
13 159:14	207:22,24	112:10	78:1 80:18	66:19,23
169:18	221:18,19	113:22	108:4,9,17	67:24 68:20
172:12	246:25 260:7	114:16,18	109:5,12,19	69:12,17
174:17	261:4 264:14,	115:25 123:8,	110:11 111:2,	70:13,22,24
182:20	15 266:7,24	9 124:13	6 134:1	78:2,5 82:20,
184:10	269:20	134:11	147:10,21	23 83:6,10,
194:20 213:7	lines 56:12	139:17	154:23 155:2,	14,18,19,25
214:24,25	139:22	140:21	7 159:7	85:16 87:6
217:6 221:4	181:10	206:14	161:16 190:9	93:21 95:22
232:20	208:24	210:16	241:2 243:7	104:15,16
233:13 236:4	209:20	226:23	284:16 311:7	113:11,12
237:3,24	218:19 227:2,	241:21 242:8	LMP 246:1	114:21
239:19	5,19 234:11	244:1 251:13	299:1,8	120:19 121:4
240:14 243:7	260:21	254:6 275:9	·	133:13,14,19
244:14 248:9	269:22	287:11	LMPS 245:23	135:8 136:10,
260:16 264:5	Linge 38:12	296:10	247:5 294:10	19,22 142:23
270:6,16		305:23	load 4:11	143:5 147:17
271:9 278:11	Linge's 29:9	live 126:5,10	11:24 12:19,	148:1,11
279:11,16	links 294:10	209:13 235:9	25 13:9 14:20	149:5 155:7
281:12 288:6	list 7:17 19:10		16:2,8 17:1,	157:20 174:6,
291:5 305:21	80:7 130:17,	living 97:25	11,13,17,19	15 177:7,11
312:12 315:9	18,19 143:3	101:3	18:10,11,21,	183:1 185:21,
317:11	155:23	LLC 6:20	24 19:1 20:3	24 187:13,21,
likely 31:9	171:14 174:3	50:16 71:18,	23:5 25:4,8	22 190:17,22
39:7,16 44:20	179:6 194:23	25 293:14	26:21 29:16	192:2,8 201:9
64:22 66:9,14	283:17	305:3 309:23	31:2 32:12,13	204:20 205:8
223:17 230:1	302:25	314:15 318:7	33:15 34:3,4,	213:7,16,20
259:3	309:20 318:4	LLP 7:3 9:22	24 35:24	214:18
likes 123:7			37:3,20	215:10 217:1
120.1	listed 19:11	LLPS 11:25	39:15,23	218:8 219:6,



		September 30, 2025		53
11 220:22	69:16 187:14,	105:19	155:23	243:19
224:8 227:20	20 188:4,5,7	150:25 231:5	156:17	247:15
228:6,9	192:9,14	296:8	162:13	255:15,16,21,
229:16	217:17	long torm	175:12	23 258:2
233:16,17	218:22	long-term 42:8 44:7	177:14 184:9	260:1 265:12
234:20,22,24	234:12,14	51:25 52:11	186:22,23	266:11 269:1,
235:1,3,5,6,	236:6 259:4,9	55:9 65:23	188:1,14,23	2 276:10
11,16,19,21	265:2 267:7,	79:10	282:8 289:15	278:16 282:7
236:12 247:1	12 274:25	79.10	291:6 300:22	283:21
248:12	275:4 284:21	longer 126:13	301:16,18,19	287:20,21
253:11,14	285:10	250:18	looks 47:12	288:11,23
254:11,24	loan 111:2	289:10	62:25 116:4,8	294:3
255:9 256:2	IOali 111.2	longer-term	130:25	loud 133:6
257:11	local 65:9	13:21		134:5
258:23 259:1	115:6		139:19 174:17	134.3
260:13,17	locate 40:15	longterm	179:12	Louis 287:12
261:16,20,23	78:3 83:20	78:25	-	love 141:15,
262:2 263:12,	219:7 266:1.	look 34:14	279:11,16 294:18	18,23 177:2
24 265:4,9,25	3,6,7 281:13	37:13 45:24	294.10	·
267:16,18,25	282:3,8,16	71:14 73:2	looping	low 159:8
268:2,20	, ,	77:15 94:13	311:20	241:12
270:22	located 72:9	101:23	lose 99:3	lower 35:14
271:17	149:6 174:7	102:20		55:3 62:9
272:21 274:5,	265:10 266:7	107:22	losers 269:8,	91:6,20 92:8
24 275:2	locating	117:24 123:7	12	94:4,22 115:8
278:3,4	261:6 270:10	135:16	loss 97:2	117:18
281:20 282:3,	location 53:2	141:15	285:22,24	159:13
15 295:15	200:2 281:15	145:20	losses 298:25	233:23
298:4 299:12	287:7	152:21,22	103562 290.25	256:19
300:20		222:24	lost 113:11,12	275:18,19
301:14	locational	227:19	226:22	Lowery 5:21,
308:23,24	245:23 294:8,	234:11	lot 69:6 70:16	22 38:24,25
309:1,10	18 302:9	253:19	80:15 85:2	50:6 87:23
310:13,16	locations	266:21 278:7	90:18 94:7.9	122:22
load-	98:12	298:6 302:6	103:17 110:2	130:15 193:1
responsible		319:1	117:17,25	201:24 212:1,
60:18	lock 219:3	looked 280:22	119:5 137:7	2,4 217:16
	lodge 8:12,15	296:8	147:12	218:4 219:16
loads 13:25	logical 68:15		150:22	224:3,5
16:19 17:6		looking 10:21	152:24 153:6	226:4,8
23:3 26:19	long 52:21	52:23 133:4	156:13 162:1	229:14
50:23 57:8,9	65:12 87:3	134:3 136:14	225:2,12,14	230:17 231:1
65:19 66:7,9	96:1 100:14	139:22	231:25 232:1,	245:4 254:2,5
67:11 68:12	102:16	153:22	20,21 242:17	258:14
			-, -:-:	200.17
	1	<u> </u>	I	ΙΕΥΙΤΛ C"



259:12 260:2	303:20	64:25	makers 85:9	66:21 68:24
262:6 269:14,	machinery	major 24:15	makes 52:8	78:17 81:11
16 273:11	55:16	55:25 60:3	113:13 160:6	83:19 100:4
281:8 282:25		72:10,11		140:10 141:3
284:24 285:4	Madam 6:1	99:23 103:5	making 21:1,8	204:24
293:20,22	10:24 11:5,17	115:10 120:9	28:7 29:17	238:20
300:25 301:2,	72:2 126:12,	234:16 236:7	34:21 49:10,	243:13
13 305:10	19,23 170:11,		17 76:4 88:2	246:10 267:4
310:4,6 312:1	15,19,23	majority	97:4 103:4	Marc 6:12
314:21	196:3,8	76:25	119:12,13	71:24
318:13	198:13,17	make 10:25	219:25	
lowest 96:16	217:12	11:1 30:3	220:13 228:2,	March 88:24
134:7,15	230:19,23	41:22 52:10,	4,5 229:8	margin
•	253:24 254:3	23 75:24 76:1	230:20 234:4	248:18,25
LPS 16:4	258:6,10	81:23 90:22	252:9 287:6	249:6,8
Lubert 38:12	285:2,5	100:10 102:3,	manage 61:11	
228:12 229:4	made 13:11	9,15 105:18	150:25	marginal
280:16	27:16 42:11	106:4,15		245:23
	43:4 49:5,8	107:2,16	management	248:17 294:8,
lunch 125:5	73:9 109:15	111:20	16:17 53:12	19 299:14,22
126:25	126:12,16	114:13	54:21 150:23	302:9
Lutz 18:13	134:25	115:21	226:17	margins
19:22 21:19	141:21 197:8	116:13 121:2	227:14	113:4
22:4 24:11,25	213:10	122:24	manager	
132:14 147:6	217:12	125:13,15,18	316:10	mark 7:14
154:9,20	251:22 258:4	142:3 144:6		24:8 65:7
167:9 [°] 196:2,	277:4 292:17	149:12,13	mandates	94:14 108:1
18,25 197:1,	309:3	155:4,20	154:14	113:23
11,22,25		162:6 163:12	mandatory	118:15
198:5,8 199:8	magic 125:6	175:13 191:8	24:9 113:2	142:25
206:9	152:24	207:21	155:10 158:5	182:20
	main 65:14	222:24 223:1	191:13	Mark's 23:22
Lutz's 272:25		225:11 230:6	manner 41:12	29:22
	maintain	232:2 233:5	66:24 162:2	marked 128:7
M	75:12 88:17	238:2 243:20,	00.24 102.2	163:20
	271:14 272:2	22 257:5,6	Mantle 24:17	
M-A-R-C 72:1	maintained	266:2 271:18,	164:6	172:11 183:5
M-A-S-T-R-O-	119:24	22 273:12	manufacturer	195:8 197:3,4
G-I-A-N-N-I-S	maintainina		72:10	207:17
306:16	maintaining	274:8 281:20		270:17
300.10	78:6,13	290:4,5,12	manufacturin	273:20
M-E-R-S	maintains	292:22	g 24:6 53:21	306:21
84:24	15:18	304:11 306:25	many 26:15	313:14 316:14,18
04.24				2 1 to 1 /1 1 U



		September 30, 2025		55
marked-up	264:19 265:2,	255:15	90:10 91:25	145:18 286:4
139:6	4,17	297:13	93:10 104:12	meantime
market 93:24	masters	me 6:11 9:1	135:14	9:20
99:21 108:16,	247:25	46:4 63:10,24	150:20	
20 133:14,19		91:1,24	157:15	mechanics
134:22 135:9	Mastrogiannis	103:19	162:22	167:10
136:10,20,22	38:10 305:22	122:20 124:6	164:13 165:3	mechanism
142:23 143:5	306:8 307:11,	129:14 133:5	175:11	17:5 151:7,
194:10	18 312:11	135:14 136:4	178:20	15,17,24
195:18	match 134:24	138:4 139:18	179:12 185:4	155:15
245:24 246:3,	151:12 299:8,	144:6 147:4	204:18	166:12,15
7,25 247:21	18	154:5 156:4	216:12	167:7 307:22
248:8,9,11,	material	161:15	218:23	mechanisms
20,21 294:11,	21:22 235:6	164:10	222:16	79:4 146:12
12,20,23		168:15	223:19	251:14,17,19
295:6,16	materialize	171:19 172:9	228:12 236:7	272:23
298:2,4,17,	59:14 65:19	177:16	238:11	
22,24 299:18,	66:10 68:13	181:11	239:14 243:6	meet 60:20
23 300:12	materialized	188:24	249:2 252:8 261:18	78:20 83:23
301:24 302:2,	97:11	202:24	264:25 266:9	113:7 154:3,
6,14	materials	203:10,20	270:25	13 200:20 201:2 224:8
market-based	76:25	210:8 212:7	273:17 282:6	250:14,18
199:22		214:14	288:10 294:7	299:12
	matter 4:8	215:14,17	297:10 300:3	299.12
marketing	41:24 44:23	219:4 221:17	311:5	meet all
97:16	72:4 74:22	226:23		266:17
marketplace	82:19 90:9	228:21	meaning	meeting
100:1 190:23	119:16	231:11,18	265:1	138:21 139:7
marking	185:20	234:13 242:8,	meaningful	140:21,23
195:5	249:21	10 243:24	79:4	194:14 250:8,
	matters 4:20	253:22 254:6,	means 45:23,	11,12
markup 71:3,	9:1	13 258:3	24 46:16	meetings
8	maximize	259:12 261:2	51:16,20,24	79:5 139:4
Martin 18:4	20:20	269:3 278:21	52:3 83:22	140:10,13,14,
22:4 171:15		279:10 281:20	89:5 105:5	16 141:8
172:13,21	maybe 88:11	282:13 284:7	108:23 114:1	
Martin's	106:17	296:10	120:14	meets 25:12
172:8	124:12,13	297:12	155:16	megawatt
	143:14 166:4	299:21	248:15	78:4 104:5
massive 31:7	199:21	311:19	267:13	247:20
64:20 100:17	224:19 228:9		310:16	295:14 298:2,
227:21	231:5 234:22 239:8 242:8	mean 40:22		4,7,9,16,21
231:24	243:11 254:8	41:19 68:10	meant 131:9	299:3 311:12
	243.11234.0			
	I	1	1	I



September 30, 2025 56				
megawatts	220:8	Mike 267:1	MISO 248:10	138:5 151:11
14:12 15:25	met 155:19	286:1	missed 79:13	153:10
16:1,9,20		million 77:5	241:21	154:16
35:6 62:9,12	Meta 13:12	94:19 223:14	279:24	165:20
187:20	76:19 77:4,9	227:11,12		168:17
259:10 265:3	80:12,16 81:5	233:24	misses	172:10
meld 43:10	99:19 114:12	255:23 256:4,	243:12	175:23
	213:7 289:15	6,7 257:11,16	missing 100:6	176:10
members	metallurgists			192:25
38:4 64:19	102:14	millions 98:3,	Mississippi	193:14
69:13 72:2		23 289:17,19,	287:16	201:23
237:25	meter 24:5	20	Missouri 4:9,	202:11,14
244:19 245:6,	methods 59:3	mind 30:2	10 5:20,23,25	203:3,16
12	60:11	34:20 88:16	6:8 10:2,4,5	205:21,25
memorandum		133:5 151:20	12:12,20	207:13
8:2	metrics 114:7	196:4 244:25	13:7,13,23	211:25
	152:14	245:5,9	14:21 15:21,	214:19
memorialize	157:20	,	24 17:18	216:15
17:4	Metro 4:8,9	mindful 85:6	20:24 22:25	219:12
memorialized	10:2,4 128:6	minimizing	23:10 26:15,	224:12
24:13	172:10	59:12	22 27:6 28:9,	234:15 235:9
	203:16		10,18 31:17	237:17
memories	Metro's 12:3	minimum	34:7 37:11	246:23
177:2		16:7,10 30:19	39:2,8 40:1	249:21
memory	MIA 284:13,19	32:9 57:12,17	42:2,10 45:8	250:22
42:11	mic 6:5 86:18	59:17,21	47:1 49:3	260:14,18
mention 86:2	170:12	61:17,21	50:23 53:1	261:7,17
91:12 122:8		62:2,14 65:24	57:3 58:10,	263:24
91.12 122.0	Michael 38:9	69:16 78:24	15,17 64:21	264:18 266:6
mentioned	302:25	106:1 124:12	65:4 72:10	267:1,10,18
30:20 45:15	303:10,20	136:11	73:1,6,13	268:2,15,22
59:16 88:20	304:1	minute 196:7	74:6 76:24	269:8 270:11
103:8,25	Michigan	206:16	77:7 78:3	271:13,18
115:14	61:20	276:14	84:21 85:11,	272:8 274:14
156:14 194:6	Miorosoft	278:12	21 86:21	275:7 277:16
237:18 263:1	Microsoft 99:19	minutes 45:4	87:11,12	280:3,8,11,17
264:3	99.19	194:18	89:17 96:19	281:14,22
merits 51:4	middle 17:16		98:7,10	282:4,17
88:10	43:10 170:24	mirror 203:25	100:21	287:1,5,11,
	201:3	205:14	101:11	16,23 288:3
Mers 6:7	midstream	misguided	107:24 114:5	290:13
84:22,23	89:14	20:23	117:18	292:10
86:13 202:12			118:10 128:6,	293:19
message 47:2	Midwest 57:1	mishear	7 130:25	303:24 305:9
		145:2		
	I	1		



306:18 310:3	model 190:20	moment	more 13:7	265:9 276:10
313:10	216:25 218:7	25:19 88:20	14:12 16:2	284:8 287:5,
314:20 316:9	235:23	91:14 160:25	19:21 20:3	6,7 289:12,16
318:12	237:12	163:21	27:11 31:9,21	290:16 293:3
Missouri's	246:24 294:1,	184:10	35:6 40:6,14	302:17
14:1 19:24	13 295:2,4	302:16	49:7 51:9,20	304:16 315:9
25:12,18	296:5 301:22	momentarily	53:14 54:14	Moreover
29:16 34:3,4	302:4	8:5	57:24 70:20	21:3 44:16
39:4,16,24	modeled 47:5		73:15 77:5	59:25
41:3 49:6,17		Moments	80:22 81:21	
52:21 78:1	modeling	176:19	92:25 93:6,	morning 6:21
213:21 269:9	238:5 247:6,	money 90:22	13,20,25 94:1	7:1,7,24 9:20
	9,13 294:4,21	99:9 106:4,15	97:20 99:18,	11:21 15:16
Missourians	295:19,20	108:23	20 100:21	25:25 26:7
40:5	296:17	110:23	101:16,17,24	38:24 43:16
mistake 41:22	301:17	159:24 160:6,	102:21	44:17 47:15
110:2 124:17	models	9 222:24	107:24	50:17,18,19
-	234:21 235:1,	223:1 228:5	113:14	62:24 63:16
Mister 98:1	4,9,14 236:2	231:7 232:4,	118:13,15	64:9 71:21
265:19	302:8	11 233:2,3,4,	119:17	73:25 74:1
misunderstoo	modern 10:05	11 255:23	121:16 125:3	82:7,17 84:22
d 144:8 209:7	modern 12:25	288:11,18,23	133:21,23	86:19
MIT 98:18	modest 67:7	monies	135:11,14	most 12:24
	69:15	233:21	136:25 137:7	48:15 81:17
Mitchell 4:18	modification	233.21	138:9 140:21	84:14 86:25
50:19	15:7 200:19,	monitor 116:2	147:7 153:15	87:2 95:13
mitigate 21:4	23	monitors	156:10	104:24
65:17 66:24		115:25	159:17,20	117:14 148:4
67:16 83:15	modifications		166:6 167:10	178:21
151:12 284:9	122:17	month 92:4	175:7,20	248:11,21,22
	modified 4:10	month's 88:1	192:22	249:22 288:5
mitigated 25:3	14:23 15:11,	monthly	201:21	motion 4:21,
20.0	17 17:15	monthly 15:24 57:17	203:22 223:4	24 7:20,22
mitigating	18:15 23:15	59:17 60:25	227:10,11	8:1,10
66:20 115:11	25:6,23 43:18		228:10 229:5	·
mix 61:11	200:24	61:1 62:2,14	230:8 231:2,	motions 4:20
68:17	212:17,20	months 19:2	7,25 232:9,10	7:18 194:25
	273:7,8	20:4 62:1,3,4,	233:12 234:3	motivating
MKT 37:12	277:20	11,13 244:20	241:19,24	65:21
112:5 132:17	modifies	246:10	242:22	
137:12,17	15:12	273:18	250:23 251:2,	move 66:5
143:4 204:22		Moore's	5 252:14	88:8 92:11
214:24	modify 28:1	97:20	256:20,21,25	128:20 146:1
261:18	105:4	020	257:8 258:23	153:13
	1	1	1	1



		September 30, 2025		56
163:18	147:24 154:9,	20 212:1,4,5	310:4,6,7	210:24
172:20 186:3	20 161:4,6	217:11,14,16	311:2 312:1,	211:23
193:21	165:13,24	218:4,5	13 313:3	212:17,20
197:17	167:9,14,23	219:13,16,18,	314:10,13,16,	216:1,6,8
264:21 283:1	169:20 170:3,	20 220:7	21,23 315:6	218:13 221:4
mover 66:15	8 171:3,10,	221:13	316:3 318:1,	229:11
1110461 00.13	11,20 172:1,	222:21 224:1,	6,8,13,15,23	230:16,21
moving 54:5	20 173:1,5,9,	3,5 226:4,8	Ms 5:13 6:7,	237:7 244:5,
157:17	13,21 174:21,	228:12 229:4,	11,17 7:7 9:6,	8,10,12,13,17
Mr 5:8,18,21	24 175:2,16	14 230:17	13,17,19	245:2 246:19
6:21 7:1,21	176:2,5,12,	231:1 245:4	10:7,19,23	257:23
8:11,19,24	14,15 177:19,	254:2,5	11:6,10,20	268:23 273:8
11:14,19	23 178:2,3,9,	258:5,6,8,13,	25:25 26:5	280:15 283:5,
19:22 20:23	11,12,14,15,	14,15 259:12,	67:21 76:15	8 285:8
21:19 22:4,5,	16,17,20	14,20,22,23,	79:25 80:4,6,	293:17 301:3
20 24:11,25	179:1,4,7,11,	24 260:2,24	21,23 81:1,23	305:7,21,22
38:21,24	18,24,25	261:3 262:6	82:2,7,11,12,	306:13
42:9,17 45:4	180:13,17,21,	265:6 269:6,	15 84:19,22	307:10,18
46:24 48:23	25 181:2,4,5	14,16,19	86:13 127:4,	310:1 312:4,
50:6,12 63:8,	182:18,19	270:16,19,20,	7,20 128:19,	8,11,12,21
13 67:21	183:9,14	25 271:3,8	23 129:7	313:2 314:4,
71:24 73:25	184:1,2,16,17	273:11 278:6,	130:8 131:2,	18 315:3,11,
74:1,4,8,11,	186:3,5,11,	13,14 280:15	11,16 132:25	20 316:2
15,18,21	19,21,22	281:8 282:20,	133:1 135:10,	317:11,21
75:1,4,6,9,16,	187:3,7,8	25 283:9	25 136:5	318:10,20
19,24 76:3,7,	189:15,18,19,	284:24 285:4	140:20,23	much 9:17
12 86:16,19	21,24 190:4	288:5 290:16,	141:2 142:18,	11:5,18 47:12
87:23 89:15,	193:1,5,8,16,	18,23 291:6,	21 143:1,8,12	72:22 76:7
18,20,24 90:1	20,23 194:1,	11,12,14	144:16	80:24 84:18
121:14,19,23	2,17 195:2,	292:1,2,8	145:11	86:12 87:25
122:5,18,22	11,12,24	293:1,2,6,9,	146:21	94:11,23
125:12,17	196:17,23	13,15,20,22,	160:25 164:6	95:19 96:3
126:4,9,11,	197:11,16,21,	23 295:17	165:1,6,9,17,	103:24
15,20,21	25 198:2,11,	300:24,25	21,23 167:17,	114:12
127:3 129:12,	15,18,19	301:2,6,9,10,	19 168:4	115:18
15,17,24	199:1,8	13 302:16,17,	170:1,5,7,21	116:16 119:6
130:3,5,7,15,	201:24 202:2,	21,24,25	171:2,7 176:8	120:5 123:19
22,25 131:7,	5 204:7,10,12	303:7,9,10,	182:23	129:6 156:16
17 133:2	206:5,9,13,	15,16,22	193:12	167:10
134:9,10	17,23 207:8,	304:14,15,18,	198:22 202:9,	186:20
142:19	23 208:12,19,	22 305:1,4,	12,15,17	198:17 208:2
143:18,21,24	21,24 209:6	10,12,16,19	206:23,25	209:19
144:1,2,22	210:7,23,24	307:14,17	207:7 208:10,	210:23
145:3,8	211:3,10,18,	309:17,22,24	18 209:18	223:25 231:6
			·	\ EVITAC



		September 30, 2025		58
236:16 251:2	112:11	271:16	291:22	51:16 61:8
256:25 257:8	118:16 120:4,	277:21 278:5	303:11 306:9	116:13
290:16	7 121:6 124:2	280:5 281:6,	312:23	152:10
291:12	140:25 142:6	24 286:11	315:22	178:19
302:18 303:9	144:20 145:3	289:14 292:6	names 175:8	218:25 219:2
multi 245:22	153:16	296:20	262:8	232:19 243:1
111uiti 245.22	154:25	myriad 266:2	202.0	necessitates
multi-billion	155:23	myriad 266:3	naming	30:14
52:17	162:15	myself 9:19	148:12	30.14
multi-year	164:12	261:1	nation 51:12	need 7:13 9:2
294:17	167:17	myth 91:16		24:18 36:17
	168:15 169:1	ingui on io	national	44:24 48:4
multiple	171:13 173:6		12:24 17:24	94:1 101:23
56:25 70:16	175:2 177:2	N	43:2 64:1	116:22
77:23 81:6	179:8 182:6,		181:14	118:18,23
99:23 103:25	8,23 185:1,8	N-I-C-O-L-E	nationwide	119:3,9
109:25 140:2,	187:4 189:10	84:24	17:17 19:2	124:24
19 141:4	190:15 191:9	N-I-K-H-I-L	82:22	125:12
187:19	196:25 201:5	63:14		142:24 147:8
188:16,17	207:10		natural 52:6	175:11
209:2,24	210:12,22	name 4:12	55:15 263:2	178:19
multiplied	212:22,25	11:2,9,12	302:5	183:10,12
133:10	213:12,13,18	26:1,4,8 50:4,	NDRC 279:22	197:8 222:4
	215:6 216:22	10,13,14	71.0	224:7,11,12
multitude	217:7,17	63:12,13,14,	near 71:3 234:25	225:3 228:7,
124:19	221:5 222:8	17 82:13,17	235:21	10 230:2
multiyear	223:11	86:22 112:17	233.21	238:21
246:7 254:21	224:11	127:21	nearby	242:10,11
musical	225:12	131:13 149:5	114:24 115:6	250:2,19
musical 206:15	229:19	172:2 173:6	nearer 116:8	290:7 305:22
	232:24,25	174:9,10		needed 33:23
305:23	233:3,5,13	175:2,3	nearly 37:10	60:4 79:9
my 4:12,15	236:16	179:8,25	90:7 95:24	93:4 97:19
6:18 9:23	237:25	196:24,25	96:23 105:19	135:3 154:3
10:9 11:12	244:24	207:8,10	necessarily	223:18
26:1,8,11	245:12,13,14,	288:19 292:5,	70:3 91:20	233:15
38:13 50:2	15 246:15	6 303:18	139:1 149:8	248:12
63:13,14,17,	248:19	306:14 313:5,	218:23 234:6	249:22
20 75:19	249:10 253:6,	7 316:5	235:25 236:1	
80:19 82:1,17	25 257:1	NAMED	242:23 243:5,	289:10
86:8,22	259:4,11	127:16	16 280:11	needlessly
100:24,25	261:9 263:6	171:21	282:6	25:18
103:11	267:13	179:20		needn't 29:13
104:12,18	270:17	196:19 207:3	necessary	
			20:11 28:1	
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
				VI EVITAC.



		September 30, 2023		00
needs 6:4	183:15,16,17	271:13,19,23	90:9 94:9	13,15,17
17:11 22:1	184:2,20,24	272:1 274:4,	100:12 101:9	297:11
25:12 44:17	185:3,6,9,10,	23 275:1	106:11,24	298:14
91:16 92:13	14,17,19	282:16	111:13,14	300:25 301:3
123:14	186:12 187:2,	285:14,18	119:14	302:21
134:24	9,10 188:4,6,	newly 14:1	128:12	304:13,22
159:14 164:1,	11,21	IICWIY 14.1	129:15 130:7	305:1,4,7,10,
15 222:23	norvous	news 87:16,	137:13	12,14,16
235:21	nervous 275:10	21 96:11	138:17,19	307:1 309:2,
nogotivo	275.10	115:23	142:14,18	17,22,24
negative 48:10 251:10,	net 94:18,23	259:25	148:22 150:1	310:1 311:7
· ·	298:6 308:3,	next 36:8	152:6 153:17	312:1,4,8
20 252:4,17	8,16 309:6	38:20 80:19	159:14 161:1	314:10,13,16,
255:11	net-based	85:12 97:25	162:24	18,21,23
256:10	308:15,21	106:1 108:3	164:24	315:1,3
257:18	309:9 310:15	109:23	165:13	318:1,6,8,10,
negotiable		136:13	169:19	13,15,16,18,
158:14	network 17:2	171:14 179:5	170:10 171:5,	20
negotiate	never 45:22	196:1 206:12	7 172:16	
150:11 162:5	183:11	225:19 235:3,	173:8,13	nobody 98:18
220:24		5 240:16	175:24 176:2,	109:14
	Nevermind	257:21	5,8,23	288:12 289:1
negotiated	46:12	258:24	177:20,23	node 133:13,
79:20 153:11	nevertheless	290:10 291:5,	178:9 186:6	15 190:9
158:2,4	66:10	8 302:25	189:24 193:1,	nodes 22:17
166:16,20	now 4:10 12:0	305:21	5,8,12,16,20	194:3,5,12
209:3	new 4:10 13:9	315:10	195:8,21	
negotiating	15:23 17:19	313.10	198:23 199:1,	non-data 91:7
66:2 69:7	21:3 35:16	next-	14 200:12	95:14
	51:20 53:2,8,	generation	201:5,24	non-large
negotiation	22,25 54:18	56:8	202:2,5,9	69:17 83:25
72:24	56:2,12 65:1,	Nichole 6:7	203:14 204:2,	104:15
negotiations	16,22 66:17		7 209:17	120:19
69:5 77:20	79:6 80:18	Nicole 84:23	211:3,18,20,	
142:8 149:9	81:16 92:16	Niemeyer	23 213:12,13,	non-llps
200:17	93:21 97:17	38:11	18 248:7	95:15
210:13,18	154:1 199:12		250:18 252:2,	nondata 24:6
	200:3 224:7,8	Nikhil 7:2	12 258:21	37:3
neighbor 47:8	225:19	63:9,14,17	262:22,23	
neither 28:21	227:21 231:8,	no 9:6 11:19	269:15 271:3	nondisclosure
224:6	10,15 232:3	20:7,24 26:17	282:19,25	149:3
NERC 181:15,	233:14	29:11,12	283:1 286:16	none 38:18
17,25 182:2,	235:24 239:5,	41:22 43:12	289:10	63:6 84:17
4,7,10,12	11,17 243:21	75:16 83:25	290:16 293:9,	94:4 107:18
4,1,10,12	253:10		200.10 200.0,	
				NIEVITAC"



		September 30, 2023		0
125:4 143:8,	214:18 224:6	21,22 92:12	209:17	279:14
10 172:23	Noranda 37:8	94:5 95:6	210:14,21	280:10,13,15
175:21 179:5	102:8	96:7,8	213:10	281:1,3,8
180:22 183:6	102.0	100:18,24	214:17,25	282:11
192:23	normal 20:25	102:1,3,21,23	215:9 216:3,	283:12,17
195:24	116:6 257:9	103:3,7	4,5,11,16	284:6,18
197:25 198:9	normalize	105:24 110:3,	217:4,10	285:14,19
201:21	238:22	19 111:9,11	218:6,16,23	287:21,24
208:15		113:14,15,20	219:1,10	289:20 290:6,
305:19 312:6,	normalized	114:3,4	220:23 221:5,	21,24 292:25
11 317:18	295:15	115:17	24 223:13,24	293:4 296:11
n a milin a	North 181:18,	116:15	224:21 225:5,	297:11,17
nonlips	21,24 182:24	119:10 120:5	23 226:3	298:9,11,13,
109:4,12,18,	184:17	121:5,20	228:2,18,22	16,22 299:8,
20 110:24		122:8 123:1,5	229:6 230:2	17,18 300:11
111:2,4	not 6:2 7:19	124:12	232:5,16	301:25
310:22 311:3	8:5 12:15,16	125:20	233:6,9 234:1	304:17
nonmarginal	16:6 20:1,12,	134:19,23	235:23	310:20,21,25
249:5	15 22:2,24	136:15,17,21	236:20 238:2	311:1,8
nonparticipan	24:1,5,18,22	137:4 141:17,	241:2 242:16	313:20
ts 16:13	28:17 29:19	18 145:13,22	243:1,5,15	314:13
13 10.13	30:7,13,17	147:2,9,13	244:2,13,24	316:24
nonprofits	32:11,15,25	148:2,19,23	247:11,16,20	
77:6	33:10,13,22	149:7,16,18,	248:8,18	Notably
nonsignatorie	35:15,19	23 150:4,6,15	249:9,22,25	208:25
s 15:8	36:22 37:6,15	151:8,18	250:8,11,14	note 8:17
	42:11 43:9,14	152:16 154:3,	251:19	42:25 67:18
nonstate 14:3	44:4,9 45:9,	14,15,24	252:25	89:10 90:4
nonunanimou	23 46:4,14,20	155:4,8,17,	255:15,22	95:10,20
s 8:2 12:7	47:22 48:21	19,20 156:9,	256:12,23	100:23
14:5 28:11	53:1 54:7	23,25 157:10,	257:1 258:15,	175:19 254:1
64:8 70:9	56:20 58:5,22	16 159:16,20	19,22 259:6,8	noted 15:6
71:12 72:14	59:5,6,23	160:6,8 161:8	260:11 261:5,	28:13,14
73:16,20	60:2,12,21,22	162:5,15,19	9 262:24	58:22 130:2
77:18 82:25	61:7 62:16	163:4,6	263:6,10,14	138:7 213:25
84:3,13	65:19 67:2,12	165:17 166:1,	264:1,5 265:5	
101:19	68:10,18,20,	10,19 174:18,	267:18 268:7,	notes 36:9
277:18	24 69:4,20,	25 177:4,13	12 269:7,25	153:16
nonutility.	21,24 70:2	184:7,22	270:8 271:3	noteworthy
nonutility	71:10 72:5,6,	189:10 193:4	272:5 273:11,	58:6
85:23	7 73:11 74:24	194:18	20 274:9	
Nope 248:4	75:16 80:4	197:10	275:14	nothing 40:20
nor 28:21	83:6 84:1,9	199:18 203:2,	276:14	45:16 127:10
58:24 67:4	87:19 89:24	15 205:10	277:22	171:17
00.27 07.4	90:18 91:20,	. 5 255.15	<u></u>	179:13
				LICVITAC



400.40	100.10	040 5 050 0		
189:19	139:18	242:5 256:8	143:7 172:23	occurring
196:12	143:14,16,20	numerous	179:4 180:21	120:3
206:19	151:19	42:4 54:12,23	183:6 194:24	odds 222:1,13
233:18	153:22 169:8	56:15,16	195:8,23	,
244:25 245:5,	188:1,23	,	197:24 198:7	of 4:8,10 5:6,
9 256:16,18	200:24	Nvidia 99:1,	208:14	17,23 6:8,12,
291:16	203:20	20	230:20	13,18,23 7:3,
302:17 303:2	206:15		302:21	8,15,17 8:12,
305:16 306:3	210:24	0	317:16	14 9:21,25
309:2 312:16	227:19 230:1		objective	10:1,4,5,9,11,
315:15	231:10 234:2	object 12:15	52:14 65:16,	21 11:15,24
notice 57:14,	247:4 251:25	67:2,4 125:21	21	12:7,20 13:8,
16 59:18,22	261:11 262:5,	136:2 144:16	21	11,13,16,17,
62:1,11,13	18 272:14	167:14	objectives	19,25 14:3,
105:10	277:13 278:6	169:20 183:9	13:2	12,15,20,25
132:20	281:12 282:2	186:5	obligated	15:10,11,14
	288:15 291:7		152:16	16:2,8,25
142:22	293:5 297:11	objecting		17:8,11,14,
194:25 195:3,	301:19	130:6	obligation	16,21,23
6 228:12		objection	46:13	18:2,4,5,7,13,
notwithstandi	nowadays	7:22,23 8:12,	obligations	14 19:1,2,10,
ng 18:17 45:3	251:3	15,18 11:1,15	17:4 153:5	20 20:4,17,25
novel 264:9,	NRDC 279:23	28:13,15		21:2,11 22:7
10	Nucor 6:9,13	117:5 125:13,	obtain 5:3	23:5,10 24:1
10	12:12 28:9	16,19 126:13,	60:19 225:16	25:2,9,12,22,
now 14:23	37:9 71:23,25	15 129:19	obtained	23 26:9,21,
36:11 40:17	72:3,18 73:12	130:1 167:23	134:23	22,25 27:5,6,
44:9 64:14,20	74:5 202:7	170:2 171:10	abulana CO.C	9,17,25
66:2,8 68:21	211:16	186:6,8 187:3	obvious 68:6	28:16,23,25
69:4 70:12		195:7 206:8	obviously	29:14,15,18,
71:6 76:22	277:15	209:7 217:11,	104:13 124:2	25 30:8,10
84:20 86:17	number 4:7	13 218:2	148:4 162:9	31:5,8,12,16,
87:21 89:7	30:5 31:14	219:13 224:1	213:14	20 32:2,3,6,
92:6,19,22	48:5 62:3	229:11	occasions	17,19,21
93:1,14 94:6	96:17 133:8	230:16 245:2	48:17	33:22 34:1,8,
96:4 100:9,13	158:7 174:10	258:5,7	40.17	9,10 35:6,9,
101:25 103:4	176:24	268:23	Occidental	16,21,23
104:12 111:4	217:18 243:2	284:24 285:3	279:15	36:6,10,15,16
112:9 113:10,	258:16 259:3	302:20	occur 60:1	37:1,2,7,8,10,
22 114:16	270:18 304:4	305:18	62:10 65:19	11,12,16,24
115:1,23	316:14,19	312:10 315:5	210:10	38:4,16,19
120:12	317:12	318:22		39:7,14,22
130:23	numbere		occurred 66:4	40:1,2,19,22
135:11	numbers	objections	187:11,21	41:7,15,16
	175:7 177:10	125:7,10		11.7,10,10
000 002 2767		n all E0 states and is license		NIFXITAS



		September 30, 2025		63
42:5,8,10,12,	85:2,11,22	9,17 124:4,8,	160:8 161:2,	207:14,24
13 43:1,14,	86:4,15,21,25	11,16,19,23,	7,22 162:1,2,	208:7,12,14,
17,19,21,22	87:2,5,6,19,	25 125:6,10,	4,11,12,23	15,22 209:1,
44:8,10,13,17	22,23 88:12,	11 126:1,16,	163:2,6,8,14,	3,10,11,13,
45:5,13,22	15,18,21,22,	18 128:5,6,	24 164:12	20,24 210:3,
46:1,9,15,17,	24 89:2,16,	10,11,16,23,	165:1,2	18 211:2
20,24 47:1,9,	18,21 90:3,4,	25 129:1,4,	166:15 167:7,	212:8 213:22
16,19 48:5,7,	7,11,13,14,	19,20,25	10 168:10,13,	214:7,22
12,14,15	16,24 91:3,8,	130:15,18,19	15,17,20,22	215:1,4,24
49:13,20,21,	9,15,16,25	131:2,4,12,	169:6 170:24	216:4,5,20,21
23 50:23	92:2,8,12,17	22,23,24	171:15 172:7,	217:15,18
51:1,2,4,8,11,	93:1,19 94:7,	132:4,8,10,	9,21 173:8,11	218:21 219:6,
16 52:16,20,	9,14,18,25	17,21,22	174:4,12	19,22 220:2,
21 53:3,9,10,	95:4,5,11,12,	133:9 134:20	175:7,22	24 221:6,12,
20,22,25	18,19,21,25	135:1,4	176:10,21	17 223:5,7
54:1,8,13,14	96:5,14,16,	136:15 137:8	177:6,21	224:20 225:2,
56:8,11,12,	17,23 97:14,	138:7,23	178:7 180:3,	6,12,14
19,21 57:6,8,	18,20 98:3,5,	139:6,9,14,	6,19,21	226:1,10,16
20 58:7,24	11,14,16,19,	15,18 140:2,	181:7,14,17,	227:17,21,23,
59:11,25 60:5	22 99:12,16,	6,12,24,25	24 182:5,16,	24 228:13,17
61:6,17,18,22	19,25 100:2,	141:5 142:9,	21 183:16,18,	229:9,23
62:1,2,3,6,13,	5,10,16,19	22 143:15,20	21 184:13,17,	231:21 232:1,
20 63:18	101:13,21,23	144:14,23	24 185:2,4,17	21 233:6
64:11,21,22	102:2,5,10,15	146:3,11,12,	186:22	234:2,8,23
65:3,6,8,16,	103:20,22	13 147:4,10,	187:11,14,20,	235:2,3,5,14,
17 66:6,12,	104:7,17,21	12,16,18,19,	21 188:4,7,23	21,24 236:3,
21,24 67:2,9,	105:1,9	20,25 148:4,	189:6,23	10,11,13,25
10,16,17,22	106:2,13,14,	17,21,25	190:7,13,15,	237:4,11,19,
68:2,3,5,16,	17 107:4,8,	149:7,8,16,	16,21 191:2,	25 238:18
24 69:4,5,6,	13,18,20	19,20,22	3,19 192:4	239:15,16,20,
23 70:1,10,	108:1 109:14,	150:14,18,22,	193:14,18	21,23,25
15,16,23	24 110:12,15,	25 151:1,3,15	194:12,15,25	240:5,8,18,22
71:4,6,11,18,	16,24 111:14,	152:11,19,24	195:5,15	241:21 242:4,
25 72:2,8,11,	15,21 112:17	153:4,6,12,	197:2,8,14,	5,12,17,24
20 73:1,4,6,	113:10,11,12	20,23 154:5,	17,22,24	243:2,3,9,19
10,13 76:24,	114:2,4,8,14	7,16,22,25	198:4,7,8,14, 22,25 199:13,	244:19,24
25 77:2,3,8,	115:5,8,9	155:5,8,14,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	245:5,12,13,
12,14 78:15 79:11,24	116:2,3,6,22	21,22 156:10,	14,18 200:6, 19,22,23	14,16,22,23 246:3,7,9,13,
80:3,7,15	117:14,17,18, 25 118:2,21	11,13,16 157:1 5 7 19	201:4,8,11	15 247:15,20,
81:12,17	119:7,8	157:1,5,7,18, 20,23,25	201.4,8,11	21 248:10,15,
82:21,22	120:3,12	158:3,5,7,9,	203:25 204:6,	17,23,24
83:3,8,24	120.3,12	14,16 159:1,	22,24,25	249:10,15,19,
84:2,14,24	122:23 123:7,	4,6,7,11,24	205:1,2,4,21	22,23 250:4,
♥ 1.2,1 1,2¬	122.20 120.7,	1,0,1,11,47	,,,,,	,_oo,,



		- Coptonicon 60, 2020		
7,8,9,15,19 251:13,16,23 252:8 253:2, 10 255:2,8, 12,13,15,16, 22,23 256:4, 10,15,24 257:7,11,16 258:2,16,18, 22 259:2,3,5 260:1,6,13, 17,20 262:5, 16,19,20,24, 25 263:2,3,4, 20,23 264:4, 12 265:9,12 266:3,12,15, 20,23 267:9, 10,17 268:1, 5,6,15 269:1, 2,7,10,11,15, 20 270:1,2,8 271:10,11,21 272:5,6,8,13, 14,20,24 273:21 274:7, 13,16,24 275:2,7,12, 14,15 276:7, 19 277:1,4,6, 7,10,19,20 278:16,23 280:19,21 281:1,4 282:7 283:9,10,17, 21 284:10 285:14,18 286:17,21,22, 24,25 287:12, 13,20,21,22,	291:7 292:19, 25 293:8 294:2,3,10,25 295:14,21 296:5,18,25 297:2,6,17, 19,21,24 298:1,6,9,13, 16,17,21,22 299:3,13 300:9,17,18, 19,20 301:12, 14,16,17,18, 20,21 302:5, 12 304:8,21 305:23 306:25 307:3, 7,13,25 308:11,22 309:3,10 310:9,17,20, 21,23 311:1, 9,12,15,17,21 313:18,24 314:8 315:9 316:10,22 317:4,13,17, 23 off 35:17,22 86:23 99:8 105:25 108:20 109:15 170:12 244:24 245:13 246:15 249:10	199:15 208:10 287:23 314:4 317:11 offered 73:15 77:10 101:18 134:22 141:11 175:19 192:10 200:10,25 offering 9:4 271:1 offerings 200:9 offers 23:18 143:4 200:5 office 5:16 38:19 65:6 73:9 85:8 86:14,21 143:15 161:2 173:11 177:21 189:22 193:18 198:24 204:6 211:1 263:4 293:8 304:21 307:13 314:8 317:23 officer 96:14 officially- published 132:21 offset 21:8 107:5 160:11	offsetting 32:21 253:12 296:25 often 61:9 237:23 250:23 251:5 263:16 Ohio 57:2 264:4 old 231:16 on 5:22 6:7, 13,23 7:3,8, 23 8:13 10:13 11:3,15 15:1, 15 18:5,11 19:9,11 22:12 24:4 26:9 27:2 28:19,23 31:4 33:6 34:2 36:2 37:18 39:4,8, 11,17 42:20 46:6 47:6,11 48:17 50:23 51:6 56:18,22 57:7 59:5 60:17 61:2 62:14 63:24 64:6 65:11 66:3,17 68:3 71:25 75:9 76:4 77:4 80:7,12 81:10,17 83:11,15 84:24 86:21 87:7,10,11, 17,23 88:21 89:3,8,10,11	96:2,3 97:17 98:8,15 101:3,23 102:9 104:23 105:2 107:14, 25 108:5,17, 19 110:18 111:13 112:9, 12,21 113:25 114:25 115:5, 20 116:10,16, 19 117:1,2,7, 18 118:8,15 120:4 121:16 122:21,23 123:16,17 124:3,6,9,23 126:5,16,18 128:6 129:18, 22,25 130:1, 16 131:12 133:3,8 136:25 137:12,15,17, 19 140:22 141:13,14,17 145:10,18 146:4,6,23 147:7 148:16, 18 149:8,9,21 150:2 151:13 152:8,23 153:18,20 154:6,21 156:1,4,7 157:8 159:6 163:18 164:10 166:24 167:4, 14 168:9
285:14,18		•	· ·	163:18
		132:21		
· · ·		offset 21:8		•
			· '	
24,25 288:5,	142:10,24	169:3	91:3,15 92:5,	169:24
11,17,23	155:12	offsets	11 93:2,12,	171:13 172:9
289:15,17,18,	180:17	160:10	21,25 95:7,20	173:8 174:18
19,20 290:1,6	194:20	100.10	21,20 30.1,20	175:22 179:5
888-893-3767	L evitas operates i	n all 50 states and is licens	ed where required	LEXITAS



		September 30, 2025		68
180:6 183:2,	264:12 266:2	88:10,16	289:10,18	105:2 123:3
10 184:22	270:1 273:8	90:12 91:11	290:6 294:2	150:4 199:17
185:15 186:4	279:20,21	92:2,7 95:13	295:21	211:16
187:11 188:1,	280:23	97:24 103:5,	296:18	253:13
4,5,11,17	281:23	11 104:14	299:11	260:11
189:7,16	283:25 284:4	105:17 106:3,	302:16 310:4	286:13
190:20,24	286:1,3,5	5,13 108:1,3,	318:22	297:20
191:24	287:10,12,13	24 109:11,23		
192:11,12	288:19	113:24	one's 114:17,	onshoring
194:4,19,22	289:18	114:17 116:6,	18	13:2 53:20
195:18,20	290:20 293:5	17 117:13	one-hundred	onto 93:23
198:22 201:4,	299:4,9 300:6	120:9 121:18	32:11	224:9 258:24
7 203:2	301:12,25	123:2 138:23	ana off CC:2	
204:23	302:25	146:6,14	one-off 66:3	opaque 44:11
207:22	303:23	148:21	one-on-one	OPC 8:11
208:23 210:8,	304:17	149:19 151:5,	141:8	15:8 23:21
10 211:16	307:25 310:7	25 157:18	one-sided	24:17 26:24
212:7 213:4,				28:22 29:22
1	311:3,5,8,12, 13 317:14	158:7,22 159:1,4	48:7	58:10 81:12
5,6,14,19	13 317.14	·	one-size-fits-	96:8 101:7
214:10,14	on-premise	160:25	all 22:3	103:20
215:23	97:14	161:25	one-way 48:8	105:20
217:14 221:1	once 49:16	164:19	252:24	109:10 114:7
222:18,23	109:7 186:3	168:20	252.24	119:7,23,24,
223:21	239:5,11,17,	178:24	ones 104:23,	25 120:24
224:15,21	21 240:11,12	191:11 192:4	24 192:19	122:8,13
225:3,4	250:1 287:20	197:20	264:5	123:12 125:9
226:17,18	289:22	203:22	ongoing	126:16
227:4,5,6,16,	209.22	205:17,24	41:16,18	138:21
17 228:5,8,9	once-in-a-	207:22	,	143:14
229:5 230:4	generation	216:11,19	online 4:18	146:23,24
231:23	23:1	218:21	6:4 50:20	154:23 156:1,
233:14,20	one 4:20	226:17 228:5	54:25 63:21	1
234:22 235:2		231:7 239:24	82:6 89:2,9	17,20 159:1,4 164:6,17
236:8,11,13	10:25 26:8	240:15,18	90:21,22	1
237:9,22	30:5 33:3,14	242:14	105:12	165:25
238:5,16,19	34:1,6 39:3	243:13 245:5	108:10 110:6,	168:23
244:9 248:10,	40:23 42:12	253:9,24	11,16 118:1	169:17
18,19 251:18	47:14 48:12,	258:18,23	119:1 289:7	191:12
253:3,12,24	14 49:20	259:2,8	308:24	236:19
255:3,13,19	63:18 69:10	261:22 262:1	only 15:0	OPC's 23:17
257:24 259:2,	72:15 74:13	266:20 267:4	only 15:8	25:16 81:2
8 260:9,10	76:24 77:5	271:21 272:6	18:20 29:21	104:18 122:7
261:4,8,16	80:22 81:20	279:17 280:3	43:18 44:24	146:8 157:18
263:15,20	86:25 87:1	282:8 284:8	49:17,19 60:1	
			62:8,10 65:4	
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
000 002 2767		a all EO atatas and is license		IFYITΔS



		September 30, 2025		66
open 4:2	77:13 183:2	168:11	286:14 291:7	136:8 141:20
94:16 99:2	185:25	172:13 192:1	ordered	146:19
100:1 259:9	192:16,18	210:1 244:15	166:25	148:10 149:3,
open-to-	259:2	opposed 19:8	189:14	10 150:4
public 27:14	operator	31:6 36:24		151:25
-	182:13	37:16 101:7	orders 36:4	155:24
opening 9:3		200:4 258:18	49:4,20 132:7	157:18 158:7
10:9 11:7	operators		162:8 311:6	159:12,14
19:20 26:2	54:16 55:24	opposition	ordinary	169:12
43:5 56:14	77:21	8:2,9 209:10	114:4	182:15
63:7,23 64:5	opine 247:16	optimize		210:13
71:14,23	280:23	54:16	Oregon	212:25
75:24 76:2,4			118:11	213:16
90:17 103:10	opinion 33:10	option 124:6	organizations	214:23
121:6 122:6	45:5 88:3	205:1	98:20	215:19,22
130:18	103:11	optional 16:8	original 15:7,	216:3,11,25
131:18	104:18 120:4,	22:8 57:22	13	217:1,20,23,
145:11 146:8,	7 163:25	311:8		24 218:7,8,9,
22 149:20	168:2 205:9	options 28:6	other 7:10,19	11,20,21,25
156:15 169:1	261:9,11	29:3 59:21,23	9:1 14:16	219:3 220:25
217:17	286:11	61:7,10 123:4	19:15 20:3	226:18 228:8
277:24	opinions	124:15 134:8,	23:2,8,11	229:9,23,24
operate 90:11	128:12	15,18 135:1	24:6 25:11	232:2,16
147:13	opportunities	286:14	30:8 34:22	233:7 237:24
150:17	15:20 39:18		35:10 37:25	241:14
153:24 252:5	40:18 42:7	oranges	41:21 43:8	248:15,23
operated 64:2	51:21 66:19	135:15	44:2 46:8	257:16,17
<u> </u>	73:15,17	order 7:15,16	47:2,14 52:1	263:1,18,19,
operates 25:5	79:14 84:8	28:23 29:8,23	56:5 58:24	22 264:3,18,
32:10	87:22	30:7 35:13	59:12 60:9	20 265:1,24,
operating	opportunity.	93:7 107:5,11	62:15 65:18 68:21 69:17,	25 266:15
192:11	opportunity 5:4 8:8 12:21	111:9 118:4	25 70:1,5,21	268:2,11
195:17	23:1 39:25	124:7 130:13,	76:10 78:16	276:18,21
259:10 295:5	40:19,23	15,17 132:5	83:6 91:11	277:14
operation	41:8,9,23	144:12,20	95:2 100:4	281:12,16 282:5,22
41:15 54:14	44:22 50:21	151:15	102:25	286:2,9,10
80:8 150:23	54:2 57:8	158:15 162:5,	103:25 104:8,	287:24 288:1
	62:19 63:22	6 163:16	13,21 106:7	290:8 295:8
operational	64:21 69:13	166:19	107:20,21,22	296:15,23
54:8,11 55:7	72:16 88:7	175:17	110:12 112:8	299:17
76:22	103:12	185:19	118:10 119:4	
operations	125:20 140:4	203:18	120:15 131:4	others 200:7
48:3 75:13	153:25	223:20	135:4,18,24	264:4
		224:13	, ,	



		September 30, 2023		
otherwise	33:16 36:18	286:25	113:19	286:23
155:11,12	37:6 44:16	outlet 116:1	124:12	owned 76:18
177:13	45:19 48:24		147:20	295:11
223:18	55:16 68:15	outlier 20:8	228:16	
241:18	71:7 72:23	outline 214:1	232:22	owner 182:13
245:23 250:1,	87:16,24 90:4	outnosing.	276:25	188:19
19	92:9,24 93:3,	outpacing 13:5	overbuild	
ought 231:6	11 95:3,21	13.3	224:14	Р
	96:13 97:13	output 187:20		
our 7:25 8:3,	98:13 100:25	outside 60:4	overcharging	P-R-I-N-G-L-E
15 9:20 44:14	101:21 102:6	107:8 119:7	32:12 44:14	173:8
47:8 53:11	103:9 106:14	150:17 157:7	overestimate	P.C. 9:25
75:19,20	107:12,15,18,	167:7 263:7,	148:18	
77:15 79:11	19 114:3,4	11,18	overboul	p.m. 127:1
85:21 89:7	116:25	,	overhaul	196:10
106:19	121:24 133:6	over 4:13 13:8	59:25	259:17 319:3
118:14	134:5 146:14	16:20 33:20	overly 44:11	packet 195:15
120:25 121:1	147:10	41:16,17,18	overrule	ļ -
129:19 132:3,	149:18	51:2 52:20	168:2 218:2	page 133:3
8 138:2 139:2	156:24 158:3,	65:3 71:3		136:13
141:17 143:2	10 159:23	72:24 76:24	Overruled	139:20
148:8,13,14,	162:1,11	90:3 96:1	144:19	153:20
24 149:11,13	163:11	99:12 132:3,8	209:16	168:10
150:11 155:9	164:10	136:13	230:25 285:1	181:10 184:9
157:3 160:6	168:15	144:11 151:4	oversight	186:22 188:1,
183:10	174:11	158:6 159:21	33:19 79:4	23 207:22
201:11,16	175:13	169:10,14		208:23
203:14 205:8	183:16 185:3	194:9 208:20	overstate	209:20
224:21	186:13	210:24	222:22	221:15,19
229:25 230:9,	190:15,18	221:19 241:5	overstated	226:12 227:4,
12 231:3,6	191:4,24	249:20	241:18 242:1	6 234:8
232:9,12,18	204:21 210:7,	250:11	overstates	260:8,9,20
237:21 238:3	8,15 212:8	254:21	48:20,24	261:4 264:12,
246:17	218:12	256:23	,	14 266:23
265:18	221:11	258:16 259:3,	overview	269:20,22
270:12	244:18 251:8	5 263:19	260:10	278:18
271:15 272:2,	265:18	265:8 275:10	own 18:23	pages 180:6
6,12 278:23	268:15 277:4	278:23	34:15 64:2	183:12
290:6 291:6	286:7,16	308:11	105:13	184:11
314:5 317:14	287:20 291:7	over-earnings	107:16 113:7	278:14
ours 145:21	295:12	169:12	120:23 185:1	paid 17:1
273:8	300:15	overall 15:17	190:9 224:15	35:22 160:19
out 10:9 29:9	outcome	23:9 54:19	229:19	190:25 191:7
Jul 10.3 23.3	163:10	20.3 J4. 13	263:15	100.20 101.7
				LEVITAC



		September 30, 2023		00
199:12	275:12,14,15	166:16 168:7,	path 14:6	payment
310:22	287:21 311:9,	11,20 169:12	16:19 18:6	60:18,21 61:3
painted 30:21	21	178:18,21	52:19 83:17	62:1,13
-	participate	209:25	99:3 275:17	152:12 153:3
pan 149:18	140:4 141:15	210:14	pathway 78:2	pays 241:7
paper 188:6	142:10	211:11 257:4	patience	peak 14:12
278:16	168:11 210:1	277:15	319:1	15:24 35:6,14
279:18	234:1 245:12	parties' 10:10		77:4 259:1
paragraph	participated	120:3	Patmos	
133:4 134:3	56:24 57:1	partly 34:11	96:14,18	peaks 284:23
135:17,20,23	norticipating		121:13	285:11
136:14,15	participating 12:16 145:14	partner 53:2 55:25 75:19	Paula 5:22	Peculiar 98:9
186:24 188:2			39:1	penalizes
parallel 69:2	particular	partnered	pay 17:13	60:14,24
-	55:5 113:6	55:10	25:8 35:1	,
parameters 124:8	150:8 153:8	partners 54:9	79:11 83:8,10	pending 4:19 7:18 213:22
	156:8 160:1,	77:13	99:8 106:4,16	281:19
paraphrasing	15 184:22	partnership	107:7 110:13	
159:5 220:8	185:3,16 194:14 200:1	77:16	111:11,19	penetration
parcel 205:1	201:7 243:3		116:18	187:23
Pardon	275:19	partnerships	120:23 154:7	people 40:11
243:24		54:4	274:24 275:3	91:4,12 93:25
	particularly	parts 105:8	289:23 290:1	94:1 109:25
parity 153:7	72:22 82:20	party 28:22	310:17 311:9,	117:1 140:24
part 24:1	138:9 262:13	72:4 130:18	12,14,15,17,	162:3,13
27:12 31:15	parties 7:16	171:10	21	228:6 232:10
39:22 61:6	8:23 9:8	210:15 257:5	payer 37:1	276:15
77:14 80:7	12:10 14:4	party's 23:11	58:9 82:24	per 55:3 96:17
124:5 125:10	19:10,15 27:1	106:7	233:22	280:20
131:2 144:14,	30:20 47:9		payers 27:3	percent 32:12
23 147:19	54:1 57:4	passage 51:1	35:17,21	61:22 62:9,12
151:3 156:11	64:8,15	227:18	53:14 78:8,11	71:3,8 90:7
157:23,25	68:22,24 69:2 72:22,23 73:4	passed 10:8	84:9 87:12	93:16 97:10
158:9,16 161:7 162:4,	83:3 84:14	35:19 52:12	100:22	98:19,22
23 163:14	102:25	251:14	117:18 225:7	106:2 155:16
166:15	103:25 124:9	past 93:17	227:23 234:6	230:14 231:9,
168:15	139:3 140:4	102:7 163:21	290:1	14,20,21
177:20	141:5,7	184:21,22	paying 64:23	233:1 249:7
201:11 205:1,	146:19	249:20	92:3,7,20,22	255:17,22
21 216:21	153:12 157:6	pasted 267:1	110:17 111:5	274:20
272:13	158:10,14	207.1	121:3,4	percentage
274:13,16	164:22 165:2		311:22	298:10



Perfect 9:18	pertaining	148:16	146:4,6	19 46:15 90:1
130:24	27:1	151:23	please 6:5 7:9	95:21 98:17
perfectly	phase 116:15	216:18 219:1	8:25 11:2,9,	100:7,25
36:13	_	225:19	20 26:7 38:25	101:6,10
norform	phenomenon 235:18	290:13	50:4,10	102:6 107:12,
perform 236:25 237:3	233.10	318:24	63:12,17	14 109:8
	phones 7:10	places 53:5	76:17 82:14,	113:13,18
period 16:8	phonetic 5:22	141:22	18 84:23	114:11
57:13 61:18	19:4 29:10	266:17	89:12 118:14	116:25 120:4 121:24 136:2
90:3 147:17	62:24 285:25	plan 11:25	125:10	137:3 145:12,
308:7,11,17,	phrops 207:6	14:23 15:1,17	127:21	19 169:23
18	phrase 297:6	17:10,15	128:21 133:6	170:4 214:6
permanent	physical	18:15 24:12	134:2,4	224:2 227:1
13:20 40:6,12	134:20	25:7 88:24	135:16,23	228:2,3,4
94:11	pick 123:6	107:5 110:13,	136:6,16	229:7 260:23
permissible	146:9 269:8	25 111:5	139:19	262:14 264:2
57:14		201:9 205:8	146:24	
	pick-and- choose	planner	160:25 172:2	pointed 44:16
permission	145:22	182:14	179:25 181:10	87:24 96:13 97:13 101:21
8:15 183:20		188:20	183:22	103:9 210:7
permits 18:20	picking		196:24 207:8	286:16
22:5	269:12	planners	220:6 226:9	
persistently	picture 88:22	54:16	234:11 237:5	points 71:7
48:9	pie 240:6	planning 7:23	239:7 242:7	140:7 168:14
person 92:21	·	18:8 36:20	259:13 261:2	201:15 210:4
126:17 147:6	pieces 128:11	54:14 76:3	284:2 285:16	policies 19:24
154:10	197:2,8	180:4 203:15	292:4 294:14	53:20 66:22
	286:17	plans 17:17,	298:19 303:8,	154:12
personally	pillars 51:15	25 24:14	18 305:25	policy 17:25
141:4 172:12	pilots 98:23	plant 74:6	306:14 313:5,	21:18 25:20
245:15 264:1	•	285:14,19	6 316:5,6	42:4,5 49:11,
personnel	pipeline 13:8 90:5 148:1,13	289:4	plenty 87:22	12 52:9 58:21
262:8	·	plants 296:23	plug 116:1	64:11 73:7
perspective	PISA 255:12	302:5,6		85:9 120:8,9,
49:11 56:22	256:5	,	plumbing	12 226:19,21
64:6 111:12	pivotal 51:3	Platte 77:6	229:24	227:7 267:10 272:1
159:7,9,13	place 42:1	play 185:13	plus 16:8	
161:16 213:6	44:19,21	301:14 302:2	122:16	Polsinelli
214:18 219:6	48:14 85:7	players	pocket 110:21	6:23
221:5 265:8	98:9 101:15	188:11	111:7	pool 13:4
perspectives	116:18		noint 45:12	30:10 77:12
209:2	119:17	playing 84:7	point 45:12,	133:11
-				



190:21 192:5	positive	146:25	190:13	prepare 128:4
194:8 195:1	20:21,24	150:11	practices	207:16
poor 104:20	48:1,20,25	163:13	20:16 114:15	prepared
-	109:25 151:4,	173:23 192:2	Praxair 37:9	144:4
population 287:8,22,25	13 252:4,13,	210:10	Plaxall 37.9	proconco
201.0,22,25	24 253:1,9,19	224:25	pre 292:14	presence 118:8
portfolio	254:10	264:20	precedent	110.0
205:2	257:20	pouring	19:25 21:18	present 4:15
portion 163:2	possibility	288:18		18:18 39:5,25
216:19	93:9	power 11:24	precisely	63:22
239:25	possible	13:4 16:19	25:19	presentation
313:18,22,24	48:20,25	18:6 27:12,23	precludes	145:12 169:1
314:1 316:22,	103:16	31:2 54:5,8	20:24	
25 317:4,7	123:20	56:1 61:20	preconstructi	presentations
·	163:10 242:3	65:16 100:15,	on 114:6	148:11
portions		16 108:11,14,	157:19	presented
306:25 307:3	possibly	22 109:8,16		89:22 274:4
pose 112:20	86:25	111:10 114:8,	predicated	presenting
posed 126:17	postconstruct	24 115:2	65:11	71:15 148:9
•	ion 114:6	117:21 123:6,	predictable	71.13 140.9
poses 190:17	157:19	13 133:11	54:13	presents 54:2
posing	potential 13:9	151:14 156:2		preserving
117:25	26:25 27:2	157:4,20	prefer 125:18	52:6
nacition	30:10,14 31:2	185:21	preference	procident
position 32:23 33:2	37:5 65:10	187:12,23	216:20	president 17:23 128:2
34:2 86:24	68:11 82:24	192:5 194:8	prefiled 11:22	17.23 120.2
104:22 122:7	148:18	195:1 201:9	14:25 19:17	presiding
123:21 128:1	163:10	260:12 297:7	23:17 62:21	4:13 51:2
144:12 156:4,	173:24	298:10,21	67:1,7,18	press 271:9
•	176:17	308:10,25	126:5,6	-
7 164:4,6,8, 11 172:6	190:13	·	,	pressed
180:1 200:19	195:19 210:9	Powerpoint	preliminary	269:3
201:16	219:23	9:13 19:12	4:20 9:1 90:6	pressing
245:20 270:1	241:23 253:1	145:12	premarked	70:12
280:24	265:14 270:2	powers 27:9	7:12 292:24	procumably
286:13	283:14	practical	304:3	presumably 309:6
	286:25	49:11	promise 60:0	JUB.0
positioned	290:25		premise 68:2 241:22 252:8	pretty 43:4
13:24 19:6		practically	241.22 232.0	47:4 86:19
52:24 271:13,	potentially	15:18 20:14	premised	87:25 116:9
19	31:20 32:14,	practice	227:17	133:5 159:8
positions	21 73:14 88:8	131:22 134:7,	premium 71:9	179:12 251:5,
17:15	122:12	15 188:15	97:10	18
-	125:23			
88-893-3767	Lavitas anaratas i	n all 50 states and is license	- db - a - a - a; a - d	LEXITAS



prevent 14:16	33:8 34:18	304:15	problems	295:14 298:3
35:10 37:25	70:15 71:2	305:16	95:3 96:9	300:4
104:8,12	99:5 134:19	print 27:14	113:4	produced
107:11	135:17,24	-	procedures	298:7
123:22	136:7 190:9	prior 33:18	57:21	
preventing	194:3,4,12	62:11 91:3		produces
25:9 256:16,	primary 82:21	251:7 254:12	proceed	81:5 234:17
18	194:8	255:10	10:14 127:14	product 89:21
		262:18	131:10	192:7 194:6,
prevents	principal	priorities	179:17 181:1	9,11 195:16
78:15	71:18	42:5,12 43:21	303:7	
previous	principle	44:5	proceeding	production
42:14 210:13	142:13,16		18:19 20:13	238:4 247:5,
232:1 285:14,	252:16	priority 16:23	24:20 50:16	9,13 248:10,
19	262:16	private 72:9	82:21 144:24,	17,23,24
	272:11	73:4	25 166:17	293:25 294:3,
previously		privilege	167:13,22	12,21 295:2,
163:20 183:15	principles 48:15 241:1	168:8	189:13	10,18,19
207:17	40.13 241.1		proceedings	296:5,12,13, 16,18,21
306:21	Pringle 5:14	privileges	proceedings 4:2 56:25	299:2 300:10,
	7:21 11:14,	27:20	57:2,6 62:22	12,13 301:17
price 22:11	16,19 26:11	proactive	168:16	302:4
133:16 246:3,	126:4,11,18,	50:24		
7 247:21	20 129:24	probably 87:2	process	products
248:9,16,21	130:3,22,25	113:3 117:22	22:19 53:9	99:12
249:5 285:21	131:7 173:5,7	123:25	121:20 147:9,	professional
294:9 298:17,	176:12,14	132:14	21 154:3	292:11
22,24 299:18,	177:19	134:11 166:9	160:4 163:14	profit 100:11
19,23 300:8,	180:25 181:2,	214:7 250:21	203:4 239:23,	107:9 118:24
9,12 301:16,	4 182:19	254:21	24 256:24	
23 302:6,10, 11	183:14 184:1, 16 186:3,11,	290:11	257:1,9 263:5 289:16	profitability
	19,21 187:8	proba 206:10	209.10	99:3
prices 93:16,	189:18,19	probe 296:10	processes	profits 97:10
19,25 245:23,	193:16	problem	21:9 57:21	98:23
24 246:25	206:13,14,23	11:19 65:14	169:4,8	profound
247:5,12,15	217:11,14	98:15 109:22	procure	39:8,17 115:7
294:11,12,19,	219:13 224:1	110:3 111:8	83:18,21,23	
20,23 295:1,	258:5,6,8,13	124:19	procurement	program
6,16 299:20	290:18 291:6,	153:17	procurement 16:17	117:14 118:6
301:14,15,24	11 292:1	235:17		153:20,23
302:2,14	293:2 301:6,9	275:22	produce	154:6 160:6
pricing 17:8	302:17,24	problematic	99:13 178:25	199:9,11,13
22:17 32:22	303:7,9,15	219:8	186:17 243:2	200:5,9
	1	I	1	202:21 203:1,



		September 30, 2023		12
7,18,24	44:19 272:11	252:23 254:7,	proposes	proud 77:14
205:19,23	274:11,12	9 256:9	21:12 32:7	proudly 77:5
275:6	277:11	257:10,14,22	65:22 66:5	
programs	promoting	258:1,4	67:3 146:24	provide 21:5
24:24 52:1	42:21	283:10	proposing	24:5 33:22
117:17		286:12	47:25 123:18	38:5 39:10
199:14,16,18	proof 124:11	proposal's	215:16	45:22 46:11
200:12,19,22	proper 125:22	214:1	256:12	48:21 51:5
201:4,8	184:13			54:9 55:6,23
204:25	properly	proposals	propriety	59:8 78:19
	268:11	43:7,18 47:16	270:1	83:17 85:17
project 94:15		66:16,18	prosperity	93:8 113:24
159:24 160:2,	proportion	70:15,16,23	42:8 51:18	118:15 139:6,
15 161:8	240:8	71:11 123:7	protect 16:12	9 156:10
174:8,9,10,	proposal	153:7 218:20	69:17 78:11	157:13,16
11,12 175:8	15:7,11 19:3,	propose	09.17 70.11	158:8 161:12,
177:7,11	5,21,23 20:2,	215:13	protected	23 163:1
project-level	8,11,14 22:10	proposed	59:15	174:8,14,21
166:1,7	23:16 24:20,	proposed 18:23 29:21	protecting	178:6 189:11
projected	22 25:16	30:19 31:22,	17:12 79:16	222:6 237:25
14:11 35:5	34:2,4 41:3,4	23 34:11 59:8	168:7	239:10
147:17	42:21 44:3	60:1,14 69:24		260:10
147.17	45:1,11,17,25	73:16 98:9	protection	262:19 275:5
projecting	46:25 47:12	114:7 117:9	191:8	283:16,17
147:16	48:7 49:5,8	120:16 122:9,	protections	295:23
projection	56:16,17,23	16 124:23	15:22 25:13	provided 23:5
53:19	58:20 59:20	138:22	58:16 62:15	35:18 46:11
	60:7 61:6	139:10	65:22 66:3,5,	50:25 85:3
projections	67:4,13,14,	145:17	11,13 67:3,5,	147:25 148:3
34:16 53:24	19,22,23	146:18,20	8 69:23,25	149:25
234:24	69:19,20	156:1 190:8	70:4 78:7,10	150:20
projects	70:12,19	192:5 199:10	101:15,17,24	156:17,18
16:23 89:1	71:1,2 73:9	202:21 212:9	122:12	161:10 162:2,
148:22	123:2,3	213:4,5,9,23	123:12,16	3 163:14
149:17	134:21 139:2	214:14 215:7,	146:11	166:2,6
152:11 154:8	145:13,21	25 217:1,2	274:18 289:3	173:23 174:2,
160:13	194:14	218:8,9	protoctivo	3,6 177:9
173:22,24	212:10,16,18	220:21	protective 16:5	214:1,3,9
174:4	213:5,6,20	227:24 243:8	10.5	225:14
promise	214:10,17,21	246:8,14	protects	236:22
127:9 171:17	215:24	260:12	61:15 78:22	262:25 264:6
179:12	216:14	263:17 281:4,	protocols	273:14,15
113.14	218:19 219:9	9,18	195:17	provides 79:9
promote	220:22 221:7	5,10		provides 19.9
	·	•	•	•



		September 30, 2025		73
83:14,22 84:6	176:10	298:10		298:19
85:14,15	177:22	308:10,25	Q	questioning
252:4,18	189:23	Purchasing		145:10
providing	193:14,19	279:14	qualifiers	
34:7 42:22	198:25		155:11	questions
51:25 55:15	202:14 204:6	purpose	qualify 136:24	17:22 19:19
79:1,17	207:13	184:24		30:1 38:5,13,
115:22	208:11 211:2	228:17	quality 157:4	15,18 50:1,9
160:11	252:9 264:22	purposes	quantity 23:5	62:25 63:6
161:19	267:19	17:21 19:20	298:9	64:12 70:17
185:20 283:9	274:11,12,13,	60:8 195:5	au.outou 10.10	73:21,23 74:3
	16 275:13,14,		quarter 13:16	76:6,11 84:17
province	16 276:21	pursuant	question 30:5	86:9,12
150:25	277:11	262:2	31:14 34:19	107:25
provision	278:24	pursues	36:2 70:6	118:13
104:1 158:7	290:14	40:24	80:20 81:2	119:19
159:22,25	292:10 293:8	nuch 146:14	87:19 89:14	121:10 125:4
167:6 281:24	303:24	push 146:14	102:5 112:20	128:15 130:9
	304:21	pushback	118:23	132:22
provisions	306:18	98:6	122:19	142:18 145:3,
27:25 35:4	307:13	put 45:1 46:19	123:15	5 164:20,25
37:22 57:15	313:10,14	49:20 65:7	124:21 125:1	165:3 168:5,
59:16,18	314:8 316:9,	83:9 100:19	126:17	25 169:16
65:25 66:1	14 317:24	104:2 107:15,	132:14,15	171:7 172:17
78:24 79:20	publicly 81:13	18 110:10	138:6 139:23	173:9,13,15
146:10 262:7,	162:22,25	112:1,10	140:18,22	175:20,22,23,
14,21 267:4	163:5,12	115:20 117:6	141:1 156:22	25 176:2,5,8
270:9 276:9	,	119:11	164:12,18	177:20,23
proxy 239:4,	published	123:14	166:4,9	178:9 180:9
10,13	34:14 81:10	124:22	167:18	182:22
prudent 25:19	184:4 188:6	148:16	170:22,25	186:16 187:4
36:20	pull 146:14	157:17 158:4	178:12	189:18,24,25
	190:18	162:12 164:6	208:23	192:23,24
PSC 39:9		219:1 231:23,	209:15	193:2,5,8,12,
public 5:17	pulled 107:21	24 232:21	213:12 215:7	16,20 195:21
25:20 26:15	pulling	235:24	216:22 218:3,	197:11
38:19 48:15	130:22	243:13	5,17 222:8	198:21,23
65:6 72:6	pump 88:12	286:23	228:14 239:8	199:1,3
73:5,10 77:23	-		245:8,9,11	201:19,21,22,
79:21 81:17	purchase	puts 42:9	253:6,7,22	24 202:2,5,9
86:15,22	108:11,14,22	46:24 162:9	261:5,8	204:2,7
88:25 143:15	109:8,16	289:22	271:16	208:3,21
161:3 173:12	133:10	putting 71:6	283:22 285:7	211:3,6,18,
174:11	154:18 297:7	272:5 290:6	288:4 294:14	20,23 229:18
	1	T.	1	1



		September 30, 2023		•
259:14 271:4,	235:24 284:6	177:10	222:25 223:5,	41:17,20,24
10 282:21,23,	quote 14:8,19	range 209:1	6 225:6	43:25 44:1,12
25 283:6,21	20:21 21:14	276:7	227:23	46:5 57:16
284:25	42:9,16,18,24		233:22 234:6	59:25 60:10
290:16 291:2	44:7 45:9	rapidly 87:14	236:22 239:4	62:16 68:8
293:9,13,15,	49:21,24 99:9	rate 11:25	240:1,4,5,12,	74:25 91:6,20
17 297:12	181:14,15	14:23 15:1,17	13,14,15,19	93:10,21 94:4
300:25 301:2	187:18	16:4 17:10,	242:13,21,23	104:6,8 107:9
304:22 305:1,	207:23	15,24 18:15	243:6,8,21	108:10,19
4,7,10,12,14,	207.23	21:1,5,8,11	249:19,21	109:7,9
15 307:2,25		22:11 25:3,6	250:4,7,20	110:10
309:17,22,24	R	27:3 29:2	251:7,18	132:11 144:9
310:1,7		30:15,17,22,	252:9 254:12,	147:18
312:1,4,6	R&d 97:17	23,25 31:8,	19 255:8	190:11 215:9
314:9,16,18,	R-U-B-E-N-S-	10,22,23,25	256:15,17	238:21 239:5,
21,23 315:1,2	T-E-I-N 82:16	32:5 33:1,13,	257:2,14,15,	11,17,21
317:1,25	radical 25:17	18 35:17,21	19,21 263:21	240:20,22
318:1,6,8,10,	radical 25:17	36:8 37:1	267:16,17	241:3,16
13,15,17,18	raise 127:9	47:23 53:14	268:6 275:6,9	243:18 247:2
queue 16:19	171:16	58:9 60:2,5	276:17 277:3	249:16 250:1,
66:6 148:14,	206:18	68:17 75:2,7	278:22	2,14 251:11
24 160:19	291:15	78:6,8,11	280:19,20,21	256:19 257:7,
173:25	305:25	79:6 82:24	283:25 284:4	9 274:8
	312:15	84:9 85:15	285:13,15,18,	275:10,18,19,
quick 63:24	315:13	87:12 91:8	19 290:1	20 276:23,25
101:25	raised 53:25	97:20 100:22	294:1,4	277:10 308:2,
103:19 105:3	81:3 245:7	102:11,17	295:12 308:2,	4,15,23
132:22 133:5		103:2 107:8	8 309:9	rather 18:24
170:12	raises 64:13	108:10,13	rate-making	24:20 32:9
203:22	226:16	109:7 110:12,	20:15	66:2 109:4
290:19	raising 96:8	16,19 117:17		111:21
307:24	115:15	120:6 131:24	ratemaking	133:25 174:8
308:20	Ramirez	132:4,8	47:19 59:3	246:17
quicker	71:19	133:4,7,14,	169:4,8,18	247:17 282:4,
139:17		18,20,25	170:8 171:4	17
quickly	ramp 16:8	134:3 135:3,	rates 14:14,17	rationale 33:2
248:19	48:2 61:18	7,9 136:8,10,	22:22 27:15,	rationale 33.2
257:16 260:4	149:5 174:6,	18,20,22,24	18 29:20	reach 68:22,
	17 177:7,11	142:23 143:5	30:6,7 31:15	23 103:15
quite 43:15	259:3,4	144:9,10,14,	33:16 35:8,	168:15,17
65:10 86:25	ran 216:8	15,23,25	10,15,22	185:3
90:2 159:22	262:12	151:7,8,11,22	36:11,17	reached 57:4
209:17 216:8	randomized	167:3,8 169:6	37:23 38:1	69:2 72:23
234:15	randonnzeu	205:8 213:22	39:11,12,13	



		Coptombor 60, 2020		
80:12 137:20	realistic	162:4,23	316:13	recognizing
210:8 244:18	101:17	220:16 236:5	rec 199:16	66:6 79:19
read 44:24	148:13,14	252:17		134:23
65:8 133:7	reality 97:7	reasonable	recall 138:20	recollection
134:4,6,14	100:12 230:9	21:25 28:5	158:25	138:12
135:23 136:4,		30:15 33:11	161:13 164:1	210:22
7,16,17	realize 65:4	39:12 42:22	165:24	221:22
139:25 140:8	150:22 231:3	43:24 44:1	166:12 169:2	
168:10	realized 65:13	66:11,22 67:9	176:17	recommend
183:11,24	really 33:15	69:13 70:9	178:19	124:20
184:7,19	39:5 43:12	72:25 79:1	202:22	200:22 270:8
187:2,9,14,24	49:8 72:13,21	161:21 274:9,	204:16 296:9	277:19
188:3 200:21	81:1,6 87:9,	18 275:8	297:15,25	280:18
208:24	18 88:4,18,21	277:9	298:11	283:25
209:22	90:10 91:24		receipt 182:5	recommendati
221:21 227:9,	95:14 98:14	reasonably	receive 25:2	on 18:23
19 228:20,21,	101:6,25	14:11,13	215:23	23:14 30:22
23 234:14	102:2 103:13,	15:25 25:7	213.23	31:4 38:6
260:9 261:5,9	19 104:11,15	35:5,7 37:23	received	103:10 107:2
264:17 265:6	107:2 108:4	41:14 46:5	125:6 169:16	182:25 184:5
266:24	114:10,23	70:4 104:3	174:4 263:19	257:6 284:4,9
278:10	115:24	241:3,9	receives	286:4,15
279:10	116:16	274:23	185:6 186:13	292:13,18,23
280:24	118:18	reasons 48:6,		304:2,7
	119:20 120:7	22,24 83:4	receiving	306:20
readily 34:16	146:17	84:11 149:2	161:22	313:13,18,24
114:13	150:24	162:18 164:9	248:16	314:5 316:13,
reading 133:5		robooto 10:17	recent 42:11,	22 317:4,14
154:25 155:9,	156:23	reboots 10:17	15 81:17	rocommondati
13	157:10	rebuttal 29:10	93:14	recommendati ons 23:19
roodo 155.6	159:25	67:18 126:1,	wa a a wath.	
reads 155:6	160:12	5,6 140:1,11	recently	67:6 107:19, 20 124:22
ready 37:19	164:12 184:8	147:24	52:12 55:10	
86:16 206:16,	243:17 247:6,	207:16	56:7 61:19	188:11
24 306:7	12 251:24	208:12,15	recession	200:21
real 93:8 97:1	257:16	209:23	288:20	281:23
100:20 121:9	308:20	212:12 226:1,	recognize	recommended
144:6 147:10	reason 48:13	10 234:8	26:25 36:13	30:22,23
149:12,15	64:15 91:21	244:9 260:7,	49:3 58:4	34:12 119:22
151:4 170:12	101:22 117:7	20 264:12	80:6 149:16	recommendin
	119:14	266:23	230:9	g 273:20
real-world	126:14 153:8	292:13,18,23		280:2
20:7	156:11 158:1,	304:2,7	recognizes	
	9 159:23	313:13,18	43:1 53:18,24	recommends
	•	•	•	



		September 30, 2023		70
22:7,16 23:4	165:13	147:18 152:6,	reflects 45:4	157:12
28:20 61:4	192:24	10 153:1	46:3 66:12	regulated
278:2 311:8	201:22 204:5	187:14,20	67:5 245:22	270:2 277:10
reconcile	282:23,24	reductions	246:6	
155:2	283:1	57:14 59:19	reforms 16:19	regulation
	RECROSS-	62:11		40:25 48:16
reconciled	EXAMINATIO		refresh	82:22 87:11
43:8	N 161:5	redwell 129:3	221:22	287:1
record 8:4,14		refer 12:8	refund 132:5,	regulations
11:13 15:16	recs 199:22	114:20	7 159:22,24	12:4 17:3,4
42:20 56:20	200:3,4	209:20	refundable	24:13 27:18,
86:20 125:10	red 186:24	212:18 267:2	16:21	24 28:2
127:22	188:2	278:12,13		regulator
129:19	redirect	reference	refunds	48:25 156:7
169:24 173:5	164:25 165:8,	181:17	144:21	
174:19	22 177:24		regard 120:10	regulators
178:21 186:4	178:1 193:22,	referenced	regarding	54:3 66:15
196:24 207:9	24 204:8,11	91:8 142:2	18:24 24:17,	regulatory
208:11 220:4	283:2,4,6,7	references	24 27:7 52:8	4:3,13 5:11,
292:5 293:5	301:5,8	121:25	63:1 95:9	16,19,24 6:9,
303:19	305:15 312:7,	referred 11:25	97:22 114:12	16,19,24 7:5,
304:18	8 315:2		138:21	9 8:6,17,22,
306:15 307:25 313:6	318:19	referring 10:3	163:18,24	25 9:9,16,18
316:6	redline 139:6	184:5 212:10	168:6 252:23	10:6,16,20
	214:3 273:13,	refers 195:15	258:25	11:4,8 17:23
recording	16,17	reflect 14:14	regardless	18:13 19:25
95:10		32:6 35:8	250:8	20:21,25
recover 242:3	redlines	37:23 39:12		21:15,17,19
	273:14	62:16 68:20	region 52:9	26:3 29:1
recovered	reduce 59:22	104:6 238:25	regional	36:7,24
68:1 108:25 144:13	62:5,7 111:19	241:4,9 251:9	187:9	38:17,22 41:2
227:22	232:11 233:3	reflected	registered	42:23 48:1, 10,14,20
309:14	254:23	107:9 209:3	registered	50:3,8 52:9,
	reduced	213:20	182:7,9,10,12 185:3,6,10	19,23 58:21
recovering	152:2 153:9		187:10	60:5 63:5,11
17:5	232:13	reflecting	188:21	71:22 73:22
recovery 79:1		14:17 35:11		76:9,13 79:9,
135:6 283:25	reducing 229:16	38:1 41:4	registering	17 82:5,9,12
284:1,4,5,10		104:9	22:16	84:16,20
recross	reduction	reflective	regular	86:11,14
160:24 161:1,	16:11 62:10	239:20	257:13	109:25 110:1,
3 164:22,23	110:24	249:15	regulate	3 121:7 122:3
0 107.22,20	117:15		Togulate	125:3,15,25
				LEVITAC



		<u> </u>		
126:8,22,24	21,24 218:1	reiterate	relief 8:3	211:24
127:2,6,8,13	219:15 222:9	37:15	relieve 178:19	277:16
128:2,22	224:4 226:5	reiterated	#els 040:05	293:18 305:8
129:5,9,13,	229:13	142:10	rely 246:25	310:2 314:19
16,23 130:2,	230:25	reiest 22:44	remain 19:10	318:11
5,11,20,24	251:10,13,16,	reject 23:14 28:21 29:4	43:19	Renew's 85:5
131:5,9 136:3	20 252:4,7,8,	61:5 68:9	remaining	ropowable
142:21 143:6,	13,17,24	01.5 08.9	37:1 62:3,14	renewable
9,13,19,22	253:2,9	rejected 8:1	90:6	24:24 59:23
144:19 145:4	254:10,15,19	36:20 194:15		61:5 78:18,20
151:5,13	255:11,13	195:20	remains	85:4 86:5
158:19	256:10,16	related 12:2	37:19	154:1,3
160:23 161:2	257:20	18:11 46:18	remarks 86:9	199:14 200:6,
164:21 165:5,	258:11			12 205:1
7,10,15,19	259:15,18	187:21	remember	renewed
168:1 169:25	263:23	relating 27:18	159:4 178:5	129:23
170:14,17	268:25	151:25	217:6 218:5	
171:9,13	270:24 271:6	relationship	219:17,20	rent 92:4,6,
172:22 173:3,	280:8 282:22	68:6	220:1 246:15,	17,20,22
11,14 174:25	283:3 285:1	00.0	16 256:13	renting 92:1
175:4,16	291:4,9,14,19	relationships	273:18	rapact 220:6
176:1,3,6,9	293:7,11,14,	204:23	283:12,21	repeat 220:6 237:5 239:7
177:21,24	16,18 301:1,4	relatively	297:14,22,24	
178:23 179:2,	302:19 303:1,	105:3	remind 9:1	242:7 284:2
10,16 180:15,	5,8 304:20,23		151:16	285:7,16
20,25 183:4,	305:3,6,8,11,	release 271:9		294:14
22 184:12	13,17,24	relevance	reminder	298:19
186:7,15	306:2,6,19	136:2 217:11	198:14	repeatedly
187:6 [°] 189:17,	307:12	230:16	reminds	45:18,19
22,25 192:22	309:19,23,25		122:20	repeating
193:3,6,10,	310:2 312:2,	relevant		35:24 56:21
13,18,21	5,9,14,19	47:24 189:13	remove	33.24 30.21
194:22 195:4,	314:7,11,15,	217:24	283:25 284:4	replace 61:7
22 196:1,6,	17,19,22,25	reliability	rendering	96:23 230:12,
11,15 197:19,	315:4,8,13,18	24:16 54:22	88:5	13 231:6,8
23 198:6,20,	317:15,23	115:19		233:2
24 199:2,5	318:3,7,9,11,	181:15,19,22,	renew 5:24	replaced
201:20 202:1,	14,16,21	25 182:25	6:8 12:12	232:5
3,7,10,13		184:18 188:3	28:10 84:21,	
204:5,8	reimburse		24 85:20	report 18:22
204:3,8	21:10 131:23	reliable 52:22	129:19	81:9,11 97:13
22 208:13	169:5	222:3,14	165:19 176:9	98:18 115:4
209:16 211:1,	reinvest	271:14 272:2	186:5 193:13	157:23 158:4
5,12,15,19,	97:15	relied 263:15	199:15,21	169:13 175:9
J, 12, 1J, 1J,			202:10	212:12 226:2,
000 002 2767		in all E0 states and is license		NIFXITΔS



10 228:16,20,	12,13 163:1	requests 12:6	requirements	275:20
22,23 229:1	169:10 304:7,	25:22 34:19	16:15 47:23	residents
232:18 234:9	17	36:6 84:12	57:13,16,19,	115:6
244:9 245:12,	represent	104:20	25 59:18	
22 246:6	10:1 39:2	134:16	66:17 78:11,	resilient
262:9,18	50:15 64:20	157:18	21 79:5 85:18	222:3,14
263:2 269:14,	75:20 79:20	258:18	105:5 152:19	resolution
21 273:3	82:19	require 16:25	154:4,16	18:19 19:11
292:14,18,23	02.19	27:12 28:25	181:14	39:7,14 64:1
293:4 304:3	representative		200:20	70:10
313:13,18	14:15 17:14	36:6,19 40:25	237:18	
316:13	18:9 25:9	62:13 78:6	238:14,24	resolve 83:2
	35:8 37:24	99:17 100:16	239:3 246:20	resolved
reported	59:11 104:7	115:20	249:25	141:17
158:11	roprocepted	116:11	266:18 268:5	
reporter 6:1,4	represented	163:13	283:20	resorting
10:24 11:5,9,	57:9 138:8	192:13,14		34:17
15,17 26:1,4	279:8	227:21	requires 35:3	resource
50:5,11 63:12	representing	230:14 231:9,	39:9 41:14	60:20 68:17
82:14 126:12,	5:14 26:9,12	25 251:6	42:24 53:7	114:15
19,23 131:12	63:18,21	required	99:11 131:22	134:20
134:10	ronrocento	23:23 60:3	161:16 182:4	182:14
170:11,15,19,	represents	157:3 169:5	225:15 250:6,	188:19 191:5
21,23 173:6	64:2 68:25	250:14	25	23 192:15
179:8 196:3,	76:23 77:19	267:20	requiring 21:9	199:25
5,8 198:13,	308:9,14		24:1 43:23	202:25 203:5
14,17 217:12	reputation	requirement	123:22	
230:19,23	96:16	35:20 60:21	191:12	12,15,18
250.19,25 253:24 254:3	7.05	106:12	191.12	resource's
	request 7:25	161:22 237:2,	research 20:5	295:25
258:6,10	8:15,18 11:23	7,12,15	56:2	resources
260:25 285:2,	34:9 64:7,17	238:1,8,22	resembles	
5	79:18 134:21	239:9 240:1,	69:1	52:6 190:21
Reporter's	143:2 159:17	13,21,24	09.1	191:3 199:18
95:10 254:1	175:6 185:19	241:5,20,24	reserve	203:15 263:2
	194:20	243:4 246:22,	159:18	266:13
reporting	195:14,16	24 249:12,13,	reset 251:11	295:24
79:4 81:3,17	201:2 228:13	14 250:15,17	1 6561 251.11	313:11
114:7 156:13	245:11	251:8 254:23	residences	316:10
157:20 161:9	requested	255:10	235:16	respect 17:16
189:2	55:23 258:16	267:24	residential	27:9 122:25
reports 81:6,		268:19	89:5 235:22	203:23
8,17 99:23	requesting	270:21	236:18	
·	17:1 66:7	295:22 296:6		respectful
156:17	284:15	1 / 30.1 / / 200 ()	residentials	162:21



		September 30, 2025		/ 8
respectfully	responsive	98:21 99:14	243:2 253:11,	109:15 110:8
25:22 79:18	125:23	131:24 132:4,	14 254:12,24	113:10 115:1
84:12	rest 120:2	9 169:6	255:9 256:3	118:1,7
respects	236:11 268:5,	222:18 223:5,	257:12	126:11,22
60:13	6 290:1	7,10,15,21	284:16	127:9 130:11,
		256:21	296:24 297:2	23 131:6
respond	restart 10:13	returned	300:19	137:25
185:7,11	restrict 21:24	144:14	review 9:11	143:13 144:7
230:17	33:4		10:10 97:4	151:19
responded	reetrieties	revenue 21:3,	125:20,23	159:19
189:9	restricting	7,14 31:8	152:7 176:21	161:19
	23:5	35:18,20 36:3	184:10 244:8,	163:18 164:8,
responding	restriction	47:23 68:12	13,15 245:16,	19 165:10
62:25 191:20	167:12,20	85:18 91:8	18 272:20	166:22 170:6
responds	result 19:9	97:18 99:17,	308:11	171:16
17:10	20:11 28:16	19 109:20		176:25 179:2
response	53:9 59:5	111:5 169:3	reviewed 20:9	180:15
14:20 16:16	77:22 97:2	237:2,6,12,	32:1 152:8	182:18 184:8
57:23 161:11	98:5 102:18	14,18 238:1,	186:12	186:19,22
165:3 166:6	159:7 190:10	8,14,21,24	246:10	188:23
169:16	159.7 190.10	239:3,9,25	reviews	189:15
173:24	resulted 77:3	240:12,21,24	186:14	206:11,15,18,
173.24 176:18 177:6	resulting 33:1	241:5,19,24		25 208:9,19,
178:3,7	187:12	242:4,12	revised 27:5	23 209:19
185:14		243:4,9	31:16,17	212:14
190:10,12	results	246:19,22,23,	revision	213:17 221:3,
191:14,23	295:20,23	24 249:12,14,	194:19	9 223:2,11,25
191:14,23	296:5	24 250:15,17	195:14,16	224:17 225:9,
290:24,25	retail 68:20	251:8 254:23	reward 78:12	23 227:14
290.24,25	237:2,6,14,18	255:10		228:11 229:9
responses	238:14 247:1	295:22 296:6,	rewards	232:12 233:5
189:12	retain 284:15	19 300:11	119:4	236:8,23
responsibilitie	1 Claiii 204.13	310:8	rid 255:12	237:15,20,23
s 68:16	retained 35:1,	revenues	269:15	238:6,9,12,
	19 36:1	25:4 28:25		16,22 239:12,
responsibility	retiring 18:4	34:24,25	right 5:2,6	17,21 240:9
16:25 267:14		35:25 36:1,7	9:12 31:14	241:10 242:1,
272:11	retroactive	47:20 65:2	36:12 68:14	15,20 243:23
responsible	169:18 170:8	67:24 68:5,7	76:25 79:15	244:3,6
12:20 245:19	171:3	69:16 91:13	82:2 89:3,7,	245:17,24
295:18	retroactively	109:24	11 90:15 91:3	246:1,4 247:7
responsibly	75:10	110:19,22	92:1 93:1,14	248:1,3,7,18,
51:7	return 21:11	111:9 131:23	96:8 100:9	25 249:3,17
J1.1	16tuill 21.11	132:8 144:12	103:17 108:3	250:1,3,19,
	I	<u> </u>	1	\ EVITAC



25 255:4,17, 19,24 259:10 65:17 66:24 67:16 78:12 261:19,17 83:13 93:21 262:14 95:11,19 97:1 100:17,20 101:5,14 269:9 272:12 15:8 117:25 118:2,4 162:11 261:7 273:19,24 274:11 276:5 277:11,20 risk-averse 44:11 round 136:25 rush 103:8 227:9 229:13 20:14 286:20 41:4 53:15 289:14 291:7, 15 293:7 294:1,21,23 295:2,7,14,22 296:19 297:1, 11 299:15,18, 24 300:1,16 305:25 265:2,7 14, 22 296:19 297:1, 100:17 305:25 265:5,7 315:14 311:3,14,16, 18,23 312:5, 15 315:14 318:3 rightly 96:15 rights 13:10 227:23 rightly 96:15 rights 13:10 227:23 rightly 96:15 rights 13:10 227:23 rightly 96:15 rightsizing rightsize rights and right rights righ			Copto///DOI 00, 2020		· ·
31:19 rule 27:7 said 44.6 119:16 45:3,9 46:21 67:12 96:22 130:12 301:13 129:18,22 97:24 100:18	252:15,21 253:7 254:16, 25 255:4,17, 19,24 259:10 261:9,17 262:14 263:18 264:6, 23 265:4,21 266:8,21 267:7,21 269:9 272:12 273:19,24 274:11 276:5 277:11,20 278:4 280:4, 14 281:10,14 284:8,12 286:20 289:14 291:7, 15 293:7 294:1,21,23 295:2,7,14,22 296:19 297:1, 11 299:15,18, 24 300:1,16 305:25 307:12,24 308:19 310:18 311:13,14,16, 18,23 312:5, 15 315:14 318:3 rightly 96:15 rights 13:10 227:23 rightsizing 31:19 ring 46:8	risk 40:22 45:9 53:12 65:17 66:24 67:16 78:12 83:13 93:21 95:11,19 97:1 100:17,20 101:5,14 115:8 117:25 118:2,4 162:11 261:7 284:10 risk-averse 44:11 risks 16:13 22:25 40:25 41:4 53:15 57:9 59:12 66:20 83:7 90:19 101:1, 20 102:22 103:19 119:4, 7 188:7 190:17 268:21 274:4 286:5,7 rivers 287:16 Rob 42:2 robust 77:11 78:9 222:3,14 ROE 144:11 151:18 role 185:13 243:25 269:7, 10,11 270:8, 12 276:4 295:25	173:20 182:24 201:13 229:21 230:5 231:23 232:22 276:15 277:15 288:12 rooted 31:23 rotating 55:15 roughly 99:11 round 136:25 routinely 66:22 RR 195:12 RTL 108:16 RTO 248:9 297:18 Rubber 279:11 Rubenstein 7:7 82:7,11, 13,15 84:19 165:17 176:8 193:12 202:9 211:23 293:17 305:7 310:1 314:18 318:10 Rubinstein 7:8 82:8,16, 18 rule 27:7 ruled 8:13	24:13 27:18, 24 28:1 33:25 84:5 148:10 157:5 216:18 225:22 ruling 209:8 run 115:9 231:5 236:15 293:25 294:12 296:23 rural 287:8 rush 103:8 rushed 29:13 S S-A-R-A-H 82:16 S-C-H-U-L-T-E 50:14 S-H-A-W-N 292:6 S-T-A-H-L-M-A-N 303:21 S-T-E-P-H-A-N-I-E 76:16 safe 115:22 222:6 safeguards 274:18 said 44:6 45:3,9 46:21 67:12 96:22	117:10 119:11 121:1 131:25 141:14 142:4 162:22,24 167:15 169:2, 23,24 170:16, 17,18 175:1 183:15 189:6 204:14 205:14 219:18 220:14 221:4, 22 222:21 227:9 229:3,7 232:18,20 236:5 241:21 245:1,6 252:2 262:12 298:8 310:23,25 sake 8:14 129:19 153:8 salary 94:18, 22,23 sales 97:16 296:25 297:3 310:12,14 Salukis 248:3 Sam 99:25 Sam's 94:20 same 4:25 43:20 49:5,8 70:6 73:11 87:25 91:10 102:20 119:16 129:25 130:12
31:19 12 276:4 rule 27:7 Said 44.6 119:16		10,11 270:8,		said 44:6	119:16
31.19				· ·	129:25
ring 46:8 295:25 ruled 8:13 67:12 96:22 130:12	ring 46:8				
rise 93:22,25 301:13 129:18,22 97:24 100:18 130:12 141:21		301:13	129:18,22		



		September 30, 2025		81
9,10,11	18 117:11,24	112:1 113:12,	314:16 318:8	74:14,17
181:18	118:12 119:3,	16,19 145:13	scope 90:11	see 8:16
191:18 197:1,	15 120:21	152:8 153:24	152:11	10:19 45:23
13 200:13,14	123:8,13	189:2 207:24		67:1 81:4,16,
208:2,4	124:7,11	220:8 227:9,	scrutinize	18 85:24 89:3
209:20	129:17 144:4	15,19 234:14	36:2	92:23 93:24
220:21	149:4 150:13,	269:25	seat 28:5	94:13 98:12
229:16	17 160:4	SB4 262:3	aceted 200:02	99:22 100:3
230:13	161:22 163:4	267:3,4,9,20	seated 206:23	116:6,9 123:2
232:11,15	168:15		291:20 303:6	126:13
233:4,19	170:11 187:4	scale 13:17	second 48:13	130:21
235:4,18,21	212:9 214:13	15:4 52:24	51:19 78:9	147:18
242:3 252:17	215:12,15	85:23 87:4	83:17 87:8	148:15 160:5
255:7 256:1	217:3,10	264:25	88:22 90:25	170:24
280:19 287:1,	219:9 220:4,	SCC 148:10	109:12 123:3	181:13 186:2,
4 292:12	17 222:24	149:14 166:7	126:17 133:3	24 188:8
294:25	231:5 242:10	acanaria	164:19	189:2 213:1
298:23 299:9	246:11,20	scenario	186:23	215:4 227:1,
304:1 307:2,4	248:22	59:14	191:11	5,25 230:24
313:12,23	251:21 255:7,	schedule	234:13	234:2,3,12,
316:12,17	10 256:1	11:25 15:23	253:25	18,19 260:14
317:1,3	257:11 259:6	24:9 29:9	259:13	289:24,25
SAP 310:9,11	260:9 261:13	32:5 72:19	266:25 273:1	290:1
,	262:6 264:17	134:17 136:9,	secondly	
Sarah 7:8	273:13	24 142:23	41:11	seeing 98:6
29:9 38:12	275:12,20	143:4 177:15		264:19 265:5 319:2
67:19 82:8, 15,18 262:12	294:5 298:24	278:13,14	section 14:2,7	319.2
285:25 286:1	299:10	290:23	18:20 19:23 22:5 25:7	seek 213:4,6,
	301:24	schedules	27:5,8 31:16,	14,15,19
satisfies 25:7	310:24	14:9,13 27:15	17 41:13	219:25
save 76:5	saying 40:20	35:13 37:12	104:1 226:20	220:12
232:4	72:21 86:23	104:2 273:4	227:5,6	seeking 148:6
	99:24 106:18	school 58:12	267:5,9	227:20
savings 97:15	120:10 203:5,	279:17	,	
226:17	14 220:1		secure 59:23	seeks 44:18
saw 184:4	224:10 228:3,	schools 77:5	secured	239:24
289:13	15 252:1	82:1	13:13	seem 90:20
say 11:2	268:14,16,17	Schulte 6:21,		seems 98:18
43:17 56:22	276:16 299:1	22 50:12,13	security 43:2	100:8 150:10
69:5 74:12	says 65:8	176:2 193:5	57:18 66:1 78:13	153:6 159:8
101:23,25	92:5,15	202:2 211:20	10.13	209:14
102:25	100:20	293:15 305:4	Sedalia 6:10,	217:24
110:14 112:5,	111:18,23	309:24	13 12:13	
,			71:25 72:10	
				NI EVITAC



		September 30, 2025		82
seen 70:21	109:21	served 26:19,	219:25	11,17,20,21
93:16 183:11	112:13,19,23	21 32:5 33:17	220:12 222:6	241:3 248:21
184:2 186:17	132:11	39:23 74:10,	236:25 237:4	249:14,16
188:13 253:4	166:20 191:4	15 236:19	239:11,15,16,	250:17 277:9
cogragata	194:12	276:8	20,24 240:5	290:5 302:4
segregate 109:21	separately	serves 18:8	250:9,16,19	307:25 308:3,
109.21	107:15	77:23 79:21	260:12	15,22,24
selected	168:20,21		263:20	sets 144:9
77:10	100.20,21	service 4:11	264:22	3613 144.3
sell 154:17	separating	11:24 13:15	265:11 266:8	setting 247:1
298:1	60:8	14:10,18 15:3	275:21	296:2
	September	16:6 23:23	292:10	settlement
Senate 14:1,	4:4,5 12:7	24:8 26:15	303:24	57:5 58:3,5,
22 31:15 35:2	15:15 64:7	27:19 31:3	306:18	11,14 68:23,
37:21,22	141:13,14	32:2 33:12,22	313:10 316:9	25 69:1,5,7,8,
41:12 42:23	142:4,6,7,8	34:13 35:12,	services 6:18	10,19,24 70:9
46:20 51:1	180:7 183:3	16,21,23 38:2	12:12 27:10	78:22 79:12
52:13,16	189:7 210:9	39:10,11	40:10 76:18	83:12,22
225:17 267:2	299:6	41:16 44:14		84:3,4,13
269:2 270:21		46:11,13	165:16 176:7 193:11 202:8	123:21
sending 47:3	sequentially	47:19 52:22	211:17	137:19
	7:13	58:1 62:17	279:14	139:24,25
senior 18:2 172:7 292:11	series 32:21	64:17,23	293:12	140:3,5,15,25
172:7 292:11	70:15 187:11	65:12,16 66:7	304:24	141:3,12,25
sense 105:18	249:19 259:5	69:14 70:14	309:20	142:8,10
113:13	ooriously.	75:12 78:15	314:12 318:4	145:14
163:12 191:8	seriously 37:21	93:8 96:2	314.12 310.4	153:12
230:6	37.21	104:10 105:7,	servicing	166:16,21
sensitive	serve 14:15	9 115:22	21:25 33:5	167:3 168:6,
148:5 149:2	17:6 30:10	133:4,7	serving 18:10	7,12 200:17
163:7 234:15	32:14 35:9,16	134:4,16	32:6 87:6	205:22
236:6	37:25 46:13	135:18,24	270:1 274:25	209:22,25
	68:4 71:5	136:18,23		210:1,5,9,13,
sensitivity	73:11 83:9	148:7 149:23,	session	18
162:16	93:4,20 95:22	25 155:5,8	266:25	
sent 4:24	104:7 105:14,	157:21	set 4:6 8:23	seven 273:18
sentence	17 106:3	161:22	17:8 29:9	several 13:9
168:9 227:18	108:21 110:7,	176:10	33:15 35:15	18:17 19:15
234:12	9 117:16	185:21	36:11 67:10	36:10 48:24
	119:1 134:20	190:19 191:1,	73:7 75:2	55:6 60:12
sentences	233:16 239:1	7 192:3	93:25 99:3	64:8,9,11
136:14	272:21	193:14 201:9	108:10,13,19	86:2 91:12
separate	301:14	202:14	155:15	99:5 161:16
22:17 75:21	308:25	207:13	172:18 239:5,	168:5 182:16
	1	1	1	LEVITAC



		September 30, 2025		83
235:12	shifted 25:10	216:7 217:25	83:12 84:11	12 112:5
249:20 264:3	97:14	222:3,15	165:16,17	137:15
277:14	shifting 65:18	224:17	176:7 193:11	214:24
severe 115:5	69:18	225:23 241:3, 9 256:20	202:8 211:21 277:16	silence 7:10
severely	shock 55:16	269:12	279:19,21	similar 39:4,
25:18 46:23	shocked	271:18,22	293:16 305:6	25 47:4 49:3
sewer 27:10	221:10	274:3,6,17,22	309:25	58:3,9 60:23 84:4,5 98:8
Shanna 71:19	short 97:18	275:1,3,5,8 276:11	314:17 318:9	151:12,15
shape 82:24	117:9	297:19,21	sign 47:1	205:4 208:4
Snape 02.24	shortened	299:13	178:3 192:2	216:15,18
shaping 205:8	187:12	shouldn't	signals 52:9	278:2 307:4
	shortly 6:15	103:18	signatories	313:23 317:3
share 14:15		211:10 235:4	12:10 131:3,4	similarities
25:9 35:9	should 7:12	241:12	signatory	58:14 280:10
37:24 59:11	14:13 21:22		30:19 77:17	similarly 43:1
79:11 83:8	23:13,22	show 44:10	85:13 138:11	_
104:7 191:2	32:25 33:14	65:5 116:3,23 203:7 271:9	277:22	simple 104:15
194:16 241:7 274:24	34:6 36:2,20 42:19 46:4,6	278:11	278:20,24	109:10 111:8
	48:18 49:12,		279:1	112:20 113:1
shared 53:11	14 52:13 53:8	showed	alamata	116:16
shareholder	60:1,22 61:12	177:11,12	signature 278:18	242:21
20:20	68:9,18	265:17	270.10	278:15,16
shareholders	71:10,11	showing	signed 13:10	simpler 30:24
31:8 36:25	73:2,8 75:11	27:15 89:1	44:6 46:22	simply 34:14
117:7 252:15,	87:19 88:1,4	96:10 234:24	80:9 106:7	45:8 70:24
20 277:7	91:2 101:22	shown 50:23	267:2 270:21	83:9 101:14
	102:17 103:6,	203:11	significant	103:7 121:2
shares 17:14	21 104:3	shows 115:2,	21:17 31:12	124:7 230:4
sharing 53:12	105:3 107:23	4 116:4	32:16 55:7	since 22:1
166:12,15	110:22		57:15 65:1	26:14 68:21
249:7	111:21	sic 279:22	69:16 74:22	178:24
Shawn 38:9	113:16	side 48:12	97:2 98:6	227:10 293:2
286:1 291:10,	115:11 118:5	101:23	116:10 147:3	296:8
21 292:6,12	119:10,12	253:19	significantly	single 37:6
sheer 100:15	120:13,23,24 123:11	287:12,13	70:20 124:24	46:9 146:6
	124:14	sides 46:6	236:12	148:21
Sheet 133:2	149:23		250:23	233:22
sheets 315:9	154:24 157:6	Sierra 4:25 7:5,8 12:13	signing 46:20	sir 74:4
shift 55:20	164:9 167:15,	28:10 82:6,	SIL 37:12	177:16
	24 209:6	11,19,25	72:20 75:11,	189:16 212:6
	1	I	I	1



		September 30, 2025		84
sit 141:19,23	slow 134:10	199:16,20,24	276:19 279:7	sorry 6:1
site 41:16,18	226:23	203:17 205:2,	287:24	30:18 98:1
52:20 77:4	slowing 87:19	19	294:15 295:8	99:7 102:8
	_	solve 65:15	296:24,25	123:17
sitting 85:1	slowly 21:1	124:19	297:20,24	126:12,15
125:8 288:12	110:14		301:12	134:9 135:25
situation	small 34:1	solved 109:22	310:17	140:20
45:16 54:2	134:24	some 10:11	311:15,17	153:15
93:1	275:20	24:23 29:3	somebody	170:14
		36:21 39:5	106:17	177:19 195:2
situations	smaller 31:1	51:5 57:20		198:16
182:4 243:11	106:20	63:24 71:6	somehow	230:24 237:5
SIUE 248:7	smart 119:21	81:3,16 85:3	43:10 160:15	253:25 258:8
six 13:8 90:5	124:18	88:11 102:25	236:12	265:22
265:9,13	smelter 74:6	106:9 114:23	something	270:18,23,24
273:18		116:9 126:14	94:7 112:7	284:2,6,7
	smooth 55:16	132:19	122:20	285:6 286:1
sixth 97:12	software 97:6	135:21 139:4	123:19	sort 37:7
size 37:8 87:4	99:21	141:8 142:9	124:10	91:3,7,9
88:20 90:3	solar 154:2	147:16,18	131:21,25	108:1 116:6
95:25 106:25		148:25 149:7,	150:14	148:25
116:22 149:5	sold 190:20,	8,21 151:15	151:10,12,20	151:15
152:11	22	152:11	157:22 161:9	163:24
231:21 253:2	sole 275:15	153:12	175:8,10,13	sorts 272:5
skeptical	solely 22:24	155:11	191:12,24	275:2
118:17	302:10	156:22,24	203:10	
		158:3 163:6	205:14	sought 93:15
skepticism 88:18	solicit 103:14	168:25	219:17	sound 58:21
	178:17	174:10	228:25	59:2 103:11
skilled 77:3	solicited	175:11 185:4	243:12 246:9	135:11
skin 116:23	169:22	200:1,23	sometime	136:25 137:7
	soliciting	201:13 205:1 212:8 214:5,	66:4	176:24
skip 163:21 227:12	170:3	6,10 215:14,	somewhat	sounds
		17 229:17	43:18 212:16	113:22
slice 90:9	solution	230:8 231:2,7	214:11	135:14 213:3
191:5	104:18	233:12	somewhere	259:19
slide 95:20	109:10,22	234:22	92:14	sourced
105:2 119:23	111:8 153:19	244:18		76:25
eliaht 226:17	199:9 200:5 202:21,25	248:25	sophisticated	
slight 236:17	202.21,25	249:22	31:2	sourcing
slightly 72:3	, ,	251:12 262:5	sophistication	113:5
234:4	solutions	271:4,11	15:5	Southwest
	52:3 53:8	273:14		13:3 133:11
				· LEVITAC"



		September 30, 2023		0.
192:5 194:7	specifically	289:19,20	91:6 92:24	47:10,25
195:1	27:11 41:13	spending	93:2	48:11,17 49:5
space 92:12,	45:6 55:10	97:17 99:16	spreading	58:8,22 59:2,
14	56:9 57:1	289:17	92:9 93:11	6 60:1,2,14
	61:16 74:12			61:4,7 67:18
spanning	79:8 87:12	spent 108:24	square 96:17	73:9 88:10
77:6	101:16	219:22 220:9	229:19 230:2,	103:9 119:8,
speak 6:4	122:22	228:5 232:11	3,11 231:3	11 120:25
10:11 11:2	148:12 149:4	233:2,3,4,22,	232:9	123:17 124:5
86:18 124:1	222:1,12	23,25 234:3	square-foot	126:18
148:2 218:11	245:8	Spirit 279:16	229:21 230:5	129:25
244:12,17	specificity	spitting 96:9	231:11	130:13 131:6,
310:10	140:21		SSR 67:19,22	8,10,13
SPEAKER		split 92:21	68:2,9 112:16	133:22,23,24
164:24	specified	109:11	00.2,9 112.10	135:12,14
175:24	133:19 135:8	splitting 92:6	St 98:7 287:12	137:1,7,8
	specify		stability 54:21	138:21 139:6,
speaking	133:13 149:1	spoke 141:12,		9 141:10,12,
57:10 184:25	212:19	14 142:9	stabilization	21 142:7
185:5,15	cnoctrum	201:10	17:7 21:5	143:3 144:5
206:14	spectrum 117:19	204:14	25:3 91:9	145:15
250:13	117.19	sponsor	stabilize	146:18,22
261:12,13	speculation	228:13	55:14 84:1	149:19
294:9	219:14	cnoncored	staff 5:12,15	154:23 168:6,
special 33:18,	268:24	sponsored 228:16	7:22 8:2	22 169:17
20 37:10,13	speed 70:23	220.10	11:16 15:8	173:4,8,24
72:19 102:11,	71:11 160:14	sponsoring	18:22 19:4,6,	174:2 176:10,
17 133:14,19		130:18	17 20:1,6,19	21 180:16
135:8 136:9,	spell 11:9	SPP 13:4 17:2	21:12,21	182:20,21
19,22 137:5	26:4 50:4,10	18:9,12	22:7,16,25	186:11,13
142:23 143:4	63:12 82:13	22:17,19	23:1,4,21	189:19 190:8
160:13	127:21 207:8	24:2,16	26:2,9,13,24	193:14
	292:4 303:18	60:17,21 61:2	28:13,14,18,	198:21,23
specialized	306:14 313:5	113:3 133:11,	20,21,24	202:13
78:6	316:5	15,17 134:22	29:7,19 30:1	205:13
specific 13:7	spelled 50:13	135:5 157:4	31:24 32:7	206:12 207:1
19:19 67:25	173:6 207:10	190:7,20	34:9,12,19	209:9 210:5,
121:16	spells 235:12	192:12,15	36:5,9,22,24	14,17,21
130:17 153:1	-	194:18	37:6,15,19,21	212:25 213:4,
182:10 191:9	spend 103:23	195:14,17	38:4,8 39:3	6,10,19
199:25 245:5	105:2 116:16	235:11 248:9,	40:6 43:15	214:3,9,11,
270:10	220:20 221:1	20 294:20	44:24 45:1,3,	13,22 215:1,
299:19	223:14 231:7		10,18 46:24	22,23,24
	233:11	spread 65:2	10,10 70.24	216:25 217:5,
				LEVITAC



		September 30, 2023		00
7,15 218:7,15	14,17	302:4,25	stark 25:17	217:19
219:4 220:20,	staff's 19:3,7,	304:2	start 4:19 5:7	225:21
23,24 221:1,8	21,22 20:8,	stage 116:11	9:3 13:15	243:22 263:1
225:10,12,15	11,14 22:10	272:24	63:24 72:21	264:13 266:7,
226:1,10,16	23:9,14,19		81:16 86:23	24 267:10,17
227:6,9	24:21 25:16	stages 90:6	95:2 118:18	270:14 272:8,
228:2,4,11,	30:21,23	149:17	127:3 152:22	9,10,12 275:7
13,16 230:22	31:4,19,21,23	168:21	155:24	287:13,14
234:8 236:5,	38:6 43:5	Stahlman	243:17 260:6	290:5 292:4
20 237:19	44:3,9 46:3,	38:9 302:25	275:10	303:18
244:9,18	15 47:16,17	303:10,16,20,	318:25	306:14 313:5
245:20	56:15,17,23	22 304:1,14,	310.23	316:5
246:11	58:20 59:20	18 305:19	started 29:12	statele 20:17
247:13			49:2	state's 39:17
249:21 253:5	60:7 70:11,	stakeholder	starting 98:12	42:21 45:12
255:3 256:18,	13,19,25	58:18 79:5	99:22 114:22	64:25 271:25
22 257:4,14	71:2,3,7,8,10	stakeholders	174:16	stated 159:5
261:12,13	103:10 107:1	37:20 53:10	174.10	271:12
262:8,9,18,19	122:9,16	58:8 77:20	starts 234:12	statement
263:1,7,15,24	124:12 125:6	158:15	state 12:20	10:9 11:7
265:16,24	142:9 145:13,	168:16 209:1	13:10,13 20:1	19:20 26:2
268:17 269:7,	17,21 151:3,	276:7,10	23:7,10 25:21	32:23 63:23
10,14,20,25	13 200:18,20,	277:2	26:22 36:22	
270:8 273:2,	21 201:2,16		39:19 40:16	64:5 71:14,23
3,11,19	212:7,9,12,18	stand 95:1	41:9 42:4,6,8	75:25 76:2,4
278:19 280:6	213:5 214:17,	126:5 168:9	43:21 44:8,18	129:25 132:2,
283:10,13,19,	21 215:7	286:5 290:20	46:21 49:12,	6 150:19
24 286:2,6,	216:14	291:13	23 50:10,23	168:25 209:5,
11,22 291:4,	218:19 219:9	standard 24:2	51:10,12	17 210:16
12 292:11,13,	225:8 228:3	58:21 59:9	52:15 64:21	217:17 270:5
14,18,23,24	229:7 237:19	61:14 243:6	65:9 73:1,13	statements
294:8,18	244:2 245:16,		76:24 82:13	9:3 43:5
295:24,25	22 246:6,13	standards		56:14 63:7
296:5 300:11	252:23 256:3,	58:19 63:1	85:11 86:1,6	104:22
	9,12 257:10,	standing	98:11 106:15	131:19
301:5 302:7,	21,25 258:18	125:13,16,19	118:22	149:13,14
17 304:3	260:11	129:25	120:10,13	271:11
305:21 306:7,	261:11 262:6,		127:21	280:24
20 311:8	21 264:8	standpoint	131:21	
312:8,12	271:20	42:23 192:9	139:24	states 12:22
313:13,14,18,	277:22 281:4,	stands 72:3	154:16 160:3	20:12 24:3
24 314:4	9,23 283:24,	167:23	172:2 179:25	32:24 47:3,7
315:9,11,19	25 284:3,9,21		181:13	52:24 83:20
316:13,14,18,	285:9 286:4,	Stargate	196:24 207:8	84:7,9
22 317:4,12,	13,14 293:25	94:15	216:20,21	107:21,22
	,			
	•		•	LEVITAC



		September 30, 2025		87
118:11 187:1,	Stephanie	78:9,17	storage 55:9	structure 15:2
9,17,18 188:2	6:17 75:20	79:15,19 80:8	straight	16:3 24:23
208:24	76:16,17	83:1 85:13,	110:20 111:6,	30:15,17,22
209:22 217:2,	steps 24:15	14,22 95:16	17	31:22,25
23,24 218:8,	-	101:19		45:20 52:23
12,20,21	stick 124:15	105:22 106:7	straightforwar	85:15 133:22,
219:3 263:22	still 18:18	107:13	d 107:6	23 135:12,15
264:3,19	19:10 21:9	112:16	stranded	137:1,8,9
267:5	59:15 90:7	113:10 120:3	16:13 65:17	194:7 212:11
static 30:19	92:21 97:18	122:13 128:5,	66:25 67:16	215:9,24
32:9	122:15	13 129:1	78:23 83:13	216:15
	167:23 168:9	131:3,4	95:19 100:17	243:21 280:8
stating 27:23	169:4 225:15	133:22	118:2	structured
status 85:19	242:19	135:12 137:1,		78:2
174:5	251:17	11,14,24	strategic 55:6	
04041140 1016	269:13	138:3,8,11	Strategies	structures
statute 19:6	290:22	139:15	71:18	30:23 53:13
20:18,24	otin 150:1 2	140:12	strategy 18:8	287:4
31:17,18 41:14 43:23	stip 152:1,3 153:18	142:12,15	180:3	struggled
45:23 46:2,17	286:14	146:19		99:2
111:23 155:1,		152:13	Street 96:12,	stuck 6:14
10 250:24	stipulated	153:21	20 98:25 99:6	
251:6	205:22	157:24 158:1	strengthen	studies 23:22
	stipulating	164:22	56:4 77:15	24:1,4 32:2
statutes 27:6	165:2	199:10	stressed	114:8 116:12
33:25 46:18	atimulatian	200:10	100:23	156:1,5,8
statutory	stipulation	202:22		157:6 160:10
17:25 44:5	8:3 12:8,9,15	203:22	strikes 69:11	236:25
167:6,12	14:4,5,23	208:22,25	79:15	study 16:22
stov 00:22	15:6,9,12,15 17:9 18:1,16,	209:4,12	strong 49:14	113:11,12,16
stay 90:22 123:10	18 23:14	210:20 273:7	77:12	116:15
137:11,14	25:23,24	277:18 278:6,	ctronger	161:23,24
·	28:12,14,15,	19 280:18	stronger 101:16	183:1 185:24,
stays 311:11	21,24 30:20	281:19	101.10	25 237:4
steel 6:9,13	31:6 33:15	stipulation's	strongly	stuff 92:13
12:13 71:23,	36:5 43:19	105:23	39:20 113:23	247:10
25 72:9 202:7	58:2,15,17	stipulations	118:14	
211:16	61:13,16,21,	33:21	119:21	subdivision
277:15	24 63:3 64:8,		201:11	27:25
289:22	10 71:12	stocks 97:9	204:14	subject 21:23
step 22:18	72:15,20,23	stop 261:2	struck 63:3	22:23 24:9
174:12 219:3	73:16,20	stopping	84:13	29:6 33:11
240:16	77:18,19,25	318:24		37:10 154:20,
213.10				
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>



22 163:14	109:20	8 234:15	supervise	77:18
167:1 189:13	120:13,19	258:25 302:9	244:1	suppose
191:19 257:1	subsidized	sudden 55:17	supervisor	225:8
309:14	118:2		216:12,13	
subject-	subsidizing	suffering 256:15	306:19	supposed 68:3 147:14
matter 185:16	109:4,5,19		supplemental	
submit 14:8	120:10 268:7	suffers 45:14	34:8 137:18,	sur 29:10
18:21 178:20		sufficient	23,25	sure 11:1,4
185:19	subsidy 108:7	60:19 185:2	,	86:20 95:6
244:16	110:4 111:4, 17 112:14,19,	249:25 251:8	supply 13:5 113:14,15,20	102:3 106:4,
submitted	22 123:22	suggest		9,15 107:3,16
49:16		217:20	support 6:3	114:13
	substance	256:14	15:14 20:2	115:21
subscribe	49:5 69:5	euggoetod	23:18 29:19	118:19 121:2,
153:25	177:6	suggested 164:17 216:7	42:21 44:7	20 132:24
subscribers	substantial		46:21 51:17, 25 54:14 56:3	133:7 134:6, 13 139:21
153:25 154:7	40:9 65:22	suggesting	58:13 67:12,	142:3,20
199:13	78:25	276:14	13 69:20,21	144:6 147:2,5
subscription	substantially	suggestion	72:20 78:20	159:11
99:21	180:11 208:4	81:24	86:17 97:16	161:18 162:6
subscriptions	307:4 313:23	suggests	99:2 128:5	174:25
199:23	317:3	24:8 226:19,	129:1 139:14	190:15 238:2
	substantive	20 243:10	185:21	243:20,23
subsection	23:19	sum 71:4	191:23	244:18,20
27:5,11,22		240:22	208:22	260:19
subsequent	substantively 145:14		209:10,11,13	271:22
141:20		summarize	271:14 272:1	273:10 274:8
144:10,15	substitute	104:14	supported	281:7 283:23
308:7,23	45:12	summarizing	29:22 58:7,11	290:4,12
subsequently	such 14:14,18	185:1	66:22 69:9	316:7
62:7	21:16 24:1	summary	85:21 208:25	surge 64:17
subset 297:21	27:1,20,24	139:9 194:25	276:6	surprised
	28:1 30:17	262:20	supporting	166:10
subsidies	35:12 37:23	summers	15:14 53:20	
104:12 111:24 120:2	38:2 46:8	235:10	115:13	surprising 70:2
	60:1,3,19,21		128:13	
subsidization	67:9 103:6	Summit	129:20 281:3,	surrebuttal
78:15 95:11	104:3,10 118:11	117:22	9	29:22 42:3
121:5	161:23	sums 42:17	supports	48:23 56:18
subsidize	167:12,20	Super 86:17	39:21 45:20	65:7 71:1
95:15 104:16	188:15 221:1,	•	66:10,11	128:4,24 129:21
				123.21
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>



		September 30, 2023		
137:18,22	swore 177:3	<u> </u>	194:24 195:2,	tariff 4:11
180:6,18	sworn 127:17	Т	6 245:20	14:10 15:7,11
181:7 197:4	171:22		talent 77:12	18:23,25
198:3,4,8	179:21	T-R-A-V-I-S		19:22 20:4,20
212:17,21	196:20 207:4	173:7	talk 6:5 88:15	21:4 23:15,24
220:7,14	291:23	tables 95:7	109:23,25	28:23 29:8,9,
273:8 316:18,	303:12		114:16	16,21,25 30:9
23 317:1,5,8,	306:10	take 13:15	117:13	33:15 34:15
12,16	312:24	23:23 65:12	141:23	35:4,13 36:5
surrounding	315:23	75:12 91:14	146:24 147:1	37:18,22
12:22 91:16	313.23	102:20 105:6	154:5 156:4	39:24,25
95:5	system 48:11	112:12	157:11 174:1	45:20 47:11,
95.5	54:16,19	116:24	216:1 227:16	16,22 50:24
surveillance	55:24 61:25	136:18,23	229:15	56:25 57:11
169:10,13	65:3 67:13	152:14,21	233:10	59:15 61:19
survey 159:11	79:11 83:11,	157:5 184:10	243:24	65:16 66:6,12
Survey 159.11	16 108:18	185:4 196:4,7	246:18	67:4 72:20
survive	118:1 120:18	197:19	280:13,16	73:2 75:11,13
118:25	187:12,23	210:16	talked 95:19	78:2 79:8
sustainability	192:11	234:11 236:2		80:10,18 83:5
52:4 78:21	217:21 222:2,	238:7 242:11	98:19 99:1	85:15 102:24
81:9 154:13,	14,23 223:24	253:13	103:17,18	103:1 108:5
19	224:9,15,21	254:10	161:8 225:17	122:9,16
	225:19	259:16 268:4	240:2,14	132:17,21
sustainable	231:15,17,24	269:2,25	243:25 244:5	133:25 137:4,
52:2	232:5,12	274:6 288:6	talking 11:3	5,6,10,12,15,
sustained	233:10,14	296:11,16	45:7 87:5	17 138:22
169:25	234:23	tokoowov	90:2 95:24	139:7 145:13,
170:16,18	258:24	takeaway	96:2,13 97:1	16,17 150:14,
219:15 224:4	274:25	290:3	104:4 105:24	15,16,18
229:13	296:25	taken 24:15	117:1 126:17	151:1,8
258:12	311:13	88:23 132:21	138:23	156:12 157:7,
		142:22	140:15 159:1	17 158:16
sway 288:1	system's	263:24	194:2,19	161:15.21
swear 179:11	69:20	274:20	212:13,19	,
196:11	systems	takers 285:22	262:13	162:9 163:17
206:18	221:25 222:5	takers 200.22	265:13 311:2	167:13
291:15 303:1	223:20	takes 37:21		181:14
306:2 312:15	229:23	52:7 72:18	talks 96:20	195:17 212:9,
315:14	255:22	133:24	97:4	11,12 213:5
	279:16	242:23	target 75:2	214:14,24
swearing		taking 33:2	243:9	215:4,7,24
127:5	systemwide	34:13 49:15	toracto 454:4	216:2,14,25
sweet 69:23	233:20	85:6 152:22	targets 154:4	217:25 218:7,
		00.0 102.22		11,19,21
	1	1	1	LEVITAC



		September 30, 2023		90
220:22 221:7	task 42:18	179:13,21	terms 21:22	testify 8:8
260:12	188:5 277:8	196:12,20	28:23 32:22	19:22 22:5
261:18,23	278:15,16	202:24	33:16 34:18	75:15,23,24
262:2,7,14,21	tasks 55:20	203:10	39:11,13	testimonies
263:9 270:9,		206:18 207:4	41:5,16,24	197:12
22 272:23,25	Tatro 39:1	220:3 224:23	42:14 43:22,	
273:2,12,17,	tax 13:20 40:4	225:8 228:20	25 44:2,5,12,	testimony
19,21 274:1,3	91:13	247:17	22 47:5,15,22	7:19 8:7
275:1,5	taxes 81:22	273:11	57:12 59:10,	11:22 14:25
276:6,8	laxes 01.22	279:10	17 89:2 112:1	15:14 18:3
277:20 278:3	Taytro 5:22	288:14	150:24	19:17 21:20
280:3 281:24	team 237:7,25	291:15,23	191:19 215:8	23:18,22
286:20,21,22	238:5 244:8,	303:2,12	219:5	29:10,23 30:3
tariffed 32:20	13,19,25	306:3,10	terrible	38:7 42:3
	245:6,7,12	312:15,24	251:24	44:25 45:4
tariffs 12:2	246:19 247:4,	315:14,23		48:23 56:18
18:21 19:8	6 295:17	telling 258:3	territories	62:21 65:7
20:6 21:22			34:14 264:22	67:2,7,18,20
22:6 23:9	tech 6:3,18	temporarily	territory	68:22 71:1,8
24:20 26:20	12:12 28:9	48:1	39:11 46:13	81:2,12 85:3
29:11 33:1	37:9 76:14,18	temporary	64:23 69:14	94:13 121:23
37:10,13,20	86:17 97:9	94:9	70:14 265:11,	122:1 125:21,
41:15 43:12	165:15 176:6	ton 62:0.12	18 266:6,8	23 126:1,2,3
46:4 47:3	193:10 202:8	ten 62:9,12	toot 227,22 22	127:3 128:5,
49:22 57:5,	211:17	288:14	test 237:22,23	11,16,23,25
10,20 58:25	277:16 281:3	ten-minute	238:16,19 250:16	129:1,20
63:2 93:18	293:11	259:16	294:16,17	137:19
112:5 119:15	304:23	tender 130:9	294.10,17	139:14 140:1,
141:17 150:5	309:20	173:1 180:13	tested 20:12	11 149:22
156:21	314:11 318:4	197:18	testified	151:4 168:10
185:22 215:2	technologies	198:11 293:5	23:12 127:18	169:23 170:4
217:1,22	30:13 56:6	304:18	164:14	171:15 172:8,
218:8,20	technology	307:10 314:6	167:24	15,21,23
261:16	13:21 55:9,	317:21	171:23	176:21
262:16	12,14 56:9		179:22	177:15
263:12,17,20, 25 264:3	64:24 97:13	term 16:7	196:21 207:5	178:12,13,16
		61:17 62:3,4,	270:20	180:6,18,22 185:20
267:6,11,15,	tell 80:2	7 78:24 105:9	291:24	
20,25 268:20	100:24 102:7	234:25 297:9	303:13	190:15
271:17,20,23	127:9,17	termination	306:11	191:10 197:2,
272:21	138:4 153:11	16:12 57:15	312:25	9,22,24
274:17,22	157:22	65:24,25	315:24	198:4,8
281:4,9	171:17,22	107:5,7,8,12,		201:5,16
	177:2,3,13	17	testifies 24:17	207:16,23
				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \



		September 30, 2025		91
208:12,15,22	229:24	171:6,12	312:2,4,19,21	144:23
209:10,11,21,	231:16,25	172:12 173:3,	314:7,10,14,	148:15 150:2
23 212:17,21	232:10 234:4,	9,13 174:23	16,18,21,24	151:18,20
219:19,21	5 236:12,16	175:3,4,15	315:3,6,7,11,	156:9 158:17
220:7,14,18,	241:19,24	176:2,5,8,12	18,20 317:21	160:22 162:4,
19 221:12	248:17	177:17,18	318:1,10,13,	15,23 163:10
222:21	250:23 251:5	178:10	15,20,25	164:4,11
228:17	256:20,21	179:18 181:2	Thanks 86:13	166:9,22,23,
236:10,22	257:8 263:19	182:18	98:24 158:18	24 174:18
244:9,10	265:9 266:15	186:19		175:13
245:17,18	276:11,21	189:16,20,24	203:20 259:25	177:12
260:7,21,23	282:4,17	191:11		181:16,20,23
262:11 264:5	287:7 309:8	192:21 193:5,	305:10	182:6,8,11
265:7 266:24	thank 5:8,21	9,12,16,20	that's 5:3	184:19 185:4,
273:1,9 278:7	1	195:21,25	40:19,24	23 191:8,17,
282:14 288:6	6:19 9:11,17 11:5,17 25:24	196:17	41:1,17 43:4	23 192:4,5,7,
290:23	38:15 50:8,12	198:17,23	45:9 47:15	17 194:15
316:18,23	62:19 63:3,8,	199:1 201:18,	48:10,22	195:14
317:2,5,13,	16 71:21,24	25 202:2,5,9,	63:14 65:21	197:21 198:3
17,18	72:21 73:24	12,15 204:2,	72:1 73:9	201:6 204:23
Texas 94:16	76:7,8,9,12,	4,7,10 206:6,	74:11,18,21	205:11 206:5
114:3 118:11	13,15 79:22	9 208:2,9,13	76:16 79:19	210:19
	81:25 82:2,4,	209:19	81:1 86:3	213:20 215:6,
text 227:5	9 84:14,17,19	210:23 211:3,	89:21 90:14,	20 217:8
than 7:19	86:12 121:12	23 226:7,25	24 91:20 92:7	220:17
18:24 20:3	122:2,5,18	258:13	93:1 94:7,15	222:19 223:1,
24:21 26:21	125:2 126:11,	259:14,20	95:2 96:1,5,	21 225:19,23
30:24 31:2,21	23 127:4	269:18	21 99:14	226:20
32:9 37:5	129:5 131:11	270:17 271:6	102:16 103:3	227:15
40:6 66:2	132:16	282:21 283:5	104:12,17	228:11,14,17
70:20 72:4	133:21 134:2	285:5 290:16	107:13 110:2	229:22
77:5 85:19	135:11,16	291:12 293:1,	111:7 112:13	232:18
89:11 94:12	142:2,18	9,15,17	113:15,16,20	233:24 234:3
97:20 99:18,	143:1,12	300:24 301:1,	114:17,23	235:18 238:4
20 100:21	146:21	3,6 302:18,	115:17 116:13	239:20,22
101:18,24	147:24	19,23,24	117:11 119:8,	240:5,15
121:16	149:19 151:3	303:9,22	20 120:16,23,	241:14 244:5
133:25	154:21	304:14,22	24,25 122:15,	247:23
139:17 166:7	155:23	305:2,4,7,12,	19 124:2,13,	248:12,14
167:11 174:8	158:17,21	19 306:6	25 129:3	249:14,16
200:6,25	160:21 161:1	307:9,21	133:3 138:14	250:21 252:8
215:19,22	164:20	308:19	139:5,13	253:5,8,18
216:20 222:3,	165:14,18,21	309:18,19,24 310:1 311:25	143:24	254:17 255:1,
15 227:10,11	170:15,19	310.1311.23	1 10.2 1	4,5 257:17,



		September 30, 2025		92
21,25 259:7,	220:11 224:9	252:15	247:15	156:13
11,13 260:18	242:4 245:8	253:23	253:10	172:17
261:16,24	250:6,25	254:18	256:16,18	186:14 187:4
265:23	262:25	256:23,24	266:9,11	200:9,19,22
268:16,17	264:21 265:5	258:22 261:8	276:10,14,22	201:4 204:24
269:10,13,23	279:15	273:7,13	282:25	210:5 217:17
271:21	280:22 281:1	275:22	287:20,21	218:22
272:13	282:18	279:16	288:11	224:20 228:8
273:17	289:18 290:7	295:19,21	294:15 309:2	230:20
275:12 276:1	294:10	298:3 299:12	311:16	243:18,21
278:16,18	295:13,21	301:24 302:2	thereby 93:7	255:22 259:4
281:6,19	296:1 300:12,	308:1,7,23	251:10	274:1 276:23
282:20	17,18,20	311:9		277:4 280:12
283:13	310:23	Theoretically	therefore	284:24 289:6
285:24 291:3	themselves	243:15	17:11 23:13	315:9
296:25 299:8	86:2 121:3,4		44:19 70:8	they'll 116:1
300:3	192:10	theory 239:19	125:21	266:21
theater	199:19	299:13	these 13:3,25	311:17
231:24	267:19	there's 17:5	15:20 17:4	they've 5:2
them 7:14	then 35:17,21	40:22 43:12	24:4,14 29:3	91:3 97:13
10:3 17:1	41:23 46:16	67:23 80:15	32:3 33:8	224:15 273:6
43:10 46:11	49:17,19 60:9	81:8,9 91:15,	36:16,17 37:7	
48:3 61:10	85:11 88:2	22 92:11 94:9	40:18 48:2	thing 39:3
83:9 86:10	91:11 93:12,	95:4,8,18	55:8,19 57:20	70:11 88:16
92:2,9 93:11	23 95:18	97:1 101:5	62:15 64:20	89:10 90:12
101:12 105:2,	98:22 106:18	102:2,24	74:24 83:8,23	103:22
18 109:3,21	107:4 108:19	115:24	84:11 85:10	107:16 114:2
111:13	110:11,16,18	116:17 118:1,	86:1 90:17,21	220:21 221:9
112:18,22,23,	112:16,22	2 119:14	94:24 96:8	272:8 294:25
25 115:12	120:14 121:9	121:16	98:13,16,19	things 41:6
117:8 119:2	135:19	130:17 149:20 150:1	99:12 100:8	81:11 93:19
125:11	136:13	152:24 155:3	104:23 105:2, 11,12,14	98:16 102:2
141:14,24	144:10 155:3	156:23 162:1	106:13	118:24 149:3
150:12	167:13	163:11 167:6	107:19,20,22	159:1 161:16
154:12,15,18	170:16,18	185:2 194:24	111:21	201:10 212:8
159:19,20	185:17	212:11	114:10 115:2,	229:8 232:15,
164:23	190:23 191:2,	225:13	14 117:24	21 233:6
186:14 191:3,	5,23 198:2	232:20,21	118:5,19,24	237:7 240:18
22 197:20	219:8 221:18	233:18	134:18 141:6	243:13
200:22,23	225:5 227:18	236:17	146:9,10	266:21
205:11,12	240:15	237:24	149:17	296:23
216:10	248:23	241:23	150:12	think 10:16
219:24	250:11	242:16	152:11	39:3 40:6,17,
	1	ı	1	1



		September 30, 2025		93
19 42:10,17	174:6 175:6	277:24	36:6,12,18	106:12,19
43:3 45:24	177:16	280:15 281:6	37:8 38:16	107:1,6
68:14 72:14	178:21 181:8	282:7,20	39:2,4,15,20	108:2,5
73:3,7,8	189:5,14	286:7,16,17,	41:19 42:18,	109:2,6,11,14
87:20,22	191:14 201:6	18,20,23	20 43:6,16	110:1,5
88:4,13 90:24	203:3,20	287:3,10,17,	44:16 45:19	111:8,9
91:1,16,25	204:13,22	23 288:8,13,	47:13,14,18,	112:3,4,7,9,
94:5,12 96:3	209:6 210:18	16 290:3	21 48:4 49:2,	15 113:24,25
103:13	211:13 214:5,	296:2 297:15	6,13,15,19	114:2,12
104:14	7,21 215:3,20	302:25 310:4	50:1,16 51:2,	115:10,14,17,
107:23	216:17 217:8,	311:9 318:23	3 53:1,7 54:1,	18,21,23
111:20	16 218:17	thinking	3 55:14 58:2	116:4,6,11,
113:16	221:7,10	118:19	61:1,13	12,16 117:3,
115:11	222:13,19	124:16 138:9,	62:21,24	22,24 118:9,
116:21	223:17 224:3	10 215:13,16	63:3,9,19,21,	15,17,18
117:14 118:4	225:15 229:7	261:18	22 64:5,9	119:10,12,13,
119:20	230:6 231:12	289:19	65:11,23	15 120:5,7,13
122:11 123:3,	232:2 233:20,		66:14 67:17	123:1,5,13
11,13,15,20,	24 236:9,17	third 34:19	68:11,14,24	124:8 126:20
25 124:5,22	237:11,12	51:23 78:17	70:10,12	128:6,19
125:2 126:15	239:14,22	84:3 114:17	71:21 72:4,24	129:24 130:8
134:11 137:3,	241:6,21,22	118:12 188:2	73:5,8,19	131:12,19
16 141:16	243:25	Thirty-six	77:14,19 78:9	133:22
142:2 143:3,	245:13 247:8,	234:10	79:3,6,8,12,	134:17
21 144:7,25	23 248:14	this 4:6,14	15 80:9 82:7,	135:12,17,25
145:20	249:1,2,4,11	7:23 8:19 9:4,	19,21 83:1,2	136:2,8,18,25
146:22 147:6,	251:12 252:7,	6 11:6,22	84:1,14,23	137:1,4,5,25
10,12 148:15	10,22 253:1,	12:23 15:16	85:3,5 86:25	138:16
150:6,18,21	5,17,18,20	17:19 18:18	87:3,10,14,	140:12
151:14,18,19,	255:1,4,5,20	19:3,6,16,19,	20,22 88:5,	142:23
22 152:5,7,8,	256:20	20 20:13,23	12,15,22,24	146:25 147:2,
13,25 153:19	260:16,25	21:4,7 22:6,	90:9,13 91:7, 18 93:21	7,9,10,14 148:4,9
154:22 155:24	261:13,15,19 262:12 264:4,	20 23:16	94:3,24,25	149:12,15
156:11,14,16,	10 265:13,16,	24:20 25:14,	95:11 96:6	150:4 151:6
21 157:5,16,	19,21 266:2,	20 26:10,13,	97:1,23 98:5,	152:3 154:10,
25 158:7,14,	9,14,18	20,25 27:4	16,17 99:7,9,	11 157:7
17,25 160:19	267:13 268:4,	28:3,6,7,15,	23,25 100:5,	159:1,8 160:5
161:25 162:4	8 269:11	19,24 29:11,	7,24 101:1,	166:4,6
163:25	270:17	15 30:9	10,23 102:1,	167:13,22,24
164:14 165:1,	271:21 272:4	31:18,25	4,9,15,23,24	168:7,19
24 170:12,13,	273:6 275:1,	32:10,14,24	103:4,8,12,13	169:3,23
16,23,24	8,9,15,22	33:1,2,13,15	104:14,17,19	170:4 171:7
173:6,20,22	276:10,19,21	34:1,2 35:2,3	105:6,11	172:9,13
	, -,		,	,
		1	1	1



		00pt0111201 00, 2020		
173:7,9	271:12,17	128:10,15	287:24 289:9,	242:14
175:17	272:19,24	129:4 132:7	15 290:12	244:20 256:9
182:19,20,24	273:20	142:3 145:24	294:11,23	279:17
183:3,4,10,	276:15	146:15,16	295:2,6,10,	three- 99:15
11,18,21 184:2,5,15,	277:15 280:24 281:5,	148:17,21,25 150:13,17,20	13,19,20 296:1,16,17	threshold
	· 1	153:2 154:8,	297:19 298:6	61:23 67:23
19,22 185:1,	24 282:13,15	,		75:3,4 78:4
9,10,20,24	286:1,3,25	17 156:5,7,20	299:20 300:7,	80:17 155:16,
186:4,10,12,	288:4,12,25	157:1 158:3,	12 302:15	19
16,23 187:1	289:1,2,12,	13,14 159:17	307:6 310:11,	19
188:1,10,24	13,16 292:21	160:12,17	12 311:21	thresholds
189:6,9,12,	293:5 297:13	175:6 180:9	though 92:24	57:11
13,16,20	302:8,20	182:16	99:2 155:10	through 25:4
193:1 194:22	304:11,18	184:10 191:3,	216:4,22	28:17 32:5
195:18,23	305:18	4 192:9,19	257:4 269:8	35:19 51:1,25
196:4 197:2,	306:21,24	194:12 197:8,	280:14 294:4	56:4 57:6
16 198:13	307:10,14	12 199:18,22,	298:1	
203:7,11,25	309:3 311:6	24 200:3,10		59:15 68:19
205:18,23	312:10	201:7 203:2,	thought 36:18	71:15 78:23
206:8,13,25	313:13,19	16 204:23	65:6 152:16	84:2 88:14,15
207:16,20	314:5 315:5	205:10,12	158:10	90:17 99:4
208:10,21	316:13,18,23	208:6 210:21	159:12 167:2	103:13
209:14 210:7	317:22	213:23 214:8	191:15 205:2	104:22 105:1
212:1 213:20	318:22,24	215:3,8,9	thoughtful	108:7,23,25
214:25 216:9	those 8:21	216:9 219:9,	40:25 41:5	112:19
219:4 224:2	22:1 29:18	11 220:25	66:23	132:22
225:21	33:16 35:16	225:4,6 229:8		135:21,22
228:13,20,21,	36:1 38:15	231:8 232:15	thoughts	139:2,22
23,25 230:10	39:7 40:10,	233:6,23	36:21 147:4	140:2 146:19
231:3 233:10	· '	235:1,4,12,14	204:24	148:8 153:19
237:18 238:6	13,24 41:4,15	239:3,21	thousand	154:2,5,10
242:11	44:4 46:13,14	240:22 241:1,	92:3 242:12,	155:23 156:4,
243:25 244:1,	49:7 54:25	9 249:5,23,24	13,20	6,17,20 157:8
10,21 245:7,	56:17,21	251:11,15,17,		174:13 182:1
8,12,17,18,20	57:6,9 61:7	19 254:24	thousands	191:1 199:11
246:12	62:8 65:4,12	256:9,12	13:19	200:21 203:4
247:16 252:3	66:2,5,12,18,	257:19	three 30:1	208:24
255:3 257:12	21 67:5 68:6	258:18 263:4	33:7 83:3	209:20,24
260:25	70:4,15 71:17	264:5 267:20	92:1,3 94:18	215:2 225:12
261:15,22	73:11 81:10	275:23	114:8 128:11	227:13,22
262:1,19,21	85:25 86:5,6	275.23 276:12,19,24	152:3,5,6,9,	233:21 240:4
263:17,24	91:15 92:3,17	276.12,19,24 279:10	12,19,25	249:9 257:20
•	93:5,9 110:19		153:9 213:23	260:21
267:10	111:15 115:7,	280:21 282:2,	216:15	268:10 273:4,
270:25	11,12 123:3	13 286:12	210.13	
R-893-3767	L evitas operates ir	all 50 states and is license	ed where required	LEXITA



		September 30, 2025		95
7 287:16,18	232:11,15	11,16,18,20	50:2,19,21	21,22,25
296:17	233:4,19	18:6,9,21	51:5,6,10,11,	91:14,16,19,
309:11,13,20	241:5 243:17	19:5,6,7,23	17,21 53:8,	23,24,25
311:22 318:4	246:12	20:2,7,17	11,13 54:4,5,	92:6,13,24,25
throughout	247:16 250:7	21:1,9,13,23,	9,16 55:3,7,	93:2,4,6,8,13,
throughout	255:7 257:5	24 22:2,8,18,	13,16,20,21,	20,25 94:2,4,
40:15,16 264:18 276:3	265:6 275:10	23 23:5,6,7,8,	22,23 56:2,3,	9,12,13,16,
204.10 270.3	285:13,17,18	17,23 24:9,18	7,10,21 57:3,	20,25 95:2,6,
thrown 110:2	288:21	25:10 27:1,9,	7 58:3,10,25	15,20,21,22,
thus 33:10	292:21 293:5	12,13,14,16,	59:12,13,20,	23 96:7,23,25
91:6	304:12,18	18,19,23,24	21,23 60:3,	97:9,15,16,
	306:24	28:1,2,5,13,	18,19,20,22	19,25 98:12,
ties 49:6	307:10	15,16,18,21,	61:8,11,17	14,18 99:1,2,
183:18	310:10 314:5	23,24,25	62:1,17,19,25	3,9,11,13,15,
tight 113:4	times 62:2	29:1,7,8,9,21,	63:6,22 64:22	22 100:1,7,8,
time 4:6 7:13	86:3 99:20	24 30:2,3,9,	65:9,10,13,	9,10,14,21,
13:14 28:2	174:10 221:2	15,16,20	14,15,17,18	23,24,25
	287:18 310:9,	31:1,6,7,9,10,	66:5,17,18,24	101:6,7,10,
31:12 48:10	11	12,25 32:5	67:2,4,7,10,	11,12,23,25
50:1 68:21		33:7,9,11,21,	15,25 68:4,	102:1,2,3,5,6,
71:16,21 80:9 84:15 88:1	Ting 115:25	23 34:3,17	10,11,15,22,	9,14,15,16,19
96:4 103:9,	Tire 279:11	35:4,5,9,12,	23,24 69:13,	103:13,14,15,
12,24 116:16		13,16,20	16,25 70:6,7,	19,22 104:2,
117:21	title 186:2	36:3,7,8,17,	8,13 71:3,5,	7,10,20,23
118:12,17,18	titled 185:24	21,24,25	15 72:4,21,	105:1,4,6,8,9,
119:16	188:6	37:15,16,17,	22,23,24	14,15,16,17,
121:20	titles 227:6	19,25 38:2,4,	73:3,14,19,21	18,19,20,22
125:22		6,13,14,19,20	75:11,15,22	106:1,3,4,6,
128:19 130:8	to 4:11,15,19,	39:6,9,10,17,	77:5,14,15,	13,14,15,18,
131:18 134:8,	21 5:2,4 6:3,4	19,22 40:1	16,17 78:3,19	19,25 107:2,
12,16 140:11	7:14,16,22,25	41:2,5,7,8,9,	79:12,18	5,10,11,12,
142:12,19	8:2,4,7,8,9,	11,13,25	80:2,16,17	15,18,23,25
171:8 173:9	12,14,15 9:2,	42:6,7,10,19	81:4,8,16	108:4,7,14,
182:19	4,7,14 10:3,7,	43:2,11,14,	82:24,25	15,19,20
189:20	8,14,17,25	20,21,23,24	83:9,14,18,	109:2,11,18,
197:16,20	11:1,25 12:2,	44:4,5,7,18,	20,23,25	19,20,23
204:4,20	3,8,9,15,18,	20,25 45:7,	84:4,8,25	110:6,7,8,12,
207:20	21 13:7,11,	12,23 46:3,	85:8,13,18,	24,25 111:1,
208:10	15,24 14:4,8,	10,11,13,14,	23,24 86:1,6,	2,8,19,20,22
209:14	9,10,11,15,	20,23,25	9,23 87:1,4,6,	112:3,9,11,
219:22 220:9,	18,20 15:3,7,	47:2,4,5,8,14,	7,9,17,20,22,	13,18,20,22,
20,23 221:1,8	8,19,23,25	17,25 48:3,4,	25 88:1,12,	23,25 113:7,
229:16	16:6,7,12,17,	11,13,16,22	13,21 89:10	8,14,15,20,
230:13	19 17:1,3,6,	49:1,15,21	90:12,17,20,	21,23 114:5,



		September 30, 2023		90
11,13,16,20,	141:6,7,10,	170:11,22	209:10,13,14,	237:3 238:2,
21,22 115:3,	12,14,15,18,	171:10,17,22	19,20 210:1,	7,18,20,21,25
9,13,20,21,24	23,25 142:3,	172:12,14,17,	5,8,10,15,17,	239:1,4,9,10,
116:3,8,11,	9,10,12,15,24	20 173:24	22 212:10,18,	14,16,19,20,
12,13,14,15,	143:2,14,16,	174:2,19	24,25 213:12,	24 240:6,7,9,
18,19,22,23,	18,20 144:4,	175:17	13,17,18,21	14,19,21,23
25 117:6,8,	6,16,17	176:20,21,25	214:2,4,9,11,	241:6,23
10,13,16,21,	145:10,16,20,	177:2,5,7,15	13 215:12,13,	242:3,8,17,
23,25 118:4,	25 146:1,5,6,	178:3,6,12,	15 216:1,17,	19,23 243:1,
5,7,14,15,17,	9 147:6,8,11,	18,19,24	22 217:11,19,	2,8,12,18,19,
18,20,23	13,14,22,23	179:4,13,21	20,24,25	20,22 244:12,
119:1,3,9,17,	148:2,12,19,	180:5,9,17,21	218:1,2,11,	15,16,17,18,
18,19,22,24	22 149:6,11,	181:10,17	12,18,21,22	25 245:5,9,
120:10,13,16,	14,15,18	182:1,20	219:2,7,9,10,	11,13 247:1,
18,19,22,23	150:2,7,10,	183:6,9,10,	11,17,23,25	5,10,16,19,21
121:2,8,9	11,17,20,21,	12,20 184:5,	220:3,11,12,	248:12 249:2,
122:7,13,20,	25 151:11,12,	9,10,11,12	16,24 221:4,	8,14,17,25
24 123:6,10,	14,20,23,24,	185:2,3,4,7,	8,10,11,15,	250:2,6,18,
13,14,15,19,	25 152:9,16,	11,14,18,19	17,18,19,21,	23,25 251:5,
21,22,23	20,21,22	186:4,5,12,13	22,25 222:4,	8,9,15,16,22
124:7,9,16,	153:10,13,15,	188:3,15	5,6,10,16,18,	252:5 253:2,
18,19,21,24	19,25 154:3,	189:9,10,11,	22,24 223:17,	13 254:15,18,
125:5,7,10,	10,11,12,13,	12,13 190:6,	18,19,20	20,22,24
13,16,18,20,	15,17,18,20	10,17,22,23	224:7,13,14,	255:1,2
21,22 126:1,	155:2,4,12,19	191:3,5,9,13,	15,19,21,22,	256:7,12,19,
7,9,17 127:9,	156:12,20	19,20,22,23	24,25 225:1,	22,23,25
17 128:10,13,	157:3,4,11,	192:10,12,14,	3,5,8,10,16,	257:1,5,6,7,
15,16,20	13,15,17	24 193:22	18,20 226:9,	12,13,14,18,
129:4,17,20	158:8,10,12,	194:16,20,23,	12 227:1,2,5,	19 258:24
130:3,6,12	15 159:3,9,	24 195:7,15,	12,16,19	259:5,7,16
131:3,4,6,9,	14,18,19,23,	16,23 196:12,	228:6 229:4,	260:1,2,3,10,
17,21,22,25	25 160:3,5,	20 197:1,8,	16,20,24,25	16,20 261:8,
132:3,5,7,13,	16,24 161:22	14,24 198:7	230:1,2,5,10,	13,16,19,22
15,22,23	162:5,6,8,12,	199:20,22	12,13,14,15	262:3,6,18,25
133:2,10,13,	13,21 163:2,	200:2,4,18,19	231:4,6,7,8,9,	263:6,8,16,22
16 134:2,7,9,	13,15,21,22	201:2,3,6,11,	14,15,20,22	264:21 265:5,
15,18,19,20,	164:1,13,15,	13,17,21	232:2,4,9,11,	6,13 266:2,9,
24,25 135:14,	16,18,25	203:10,11,15,	16,22,25	11,12,14,16,
16,19,23	165:3 166:24	17,18,19,23	233:1,2,3,5,	23 267:2,9,
136:1,2,4,13,	167:1,7,14,	204:19 205:1,	12,16,17,23	14,15,20
16,17 137:11,	15,24 168:1,	10,12,24	234:1,8,11,	268:5,9,10,
14,24 138:1,	11,15,16,17,	206:8,18	13,16 235:2,	11,21 269:4,
6,11 139:19	19 169:5,10,	207:4,20,21	4,8,15,18,20	8,16,19,20,22
140:4,24	16,20,22	208:6,14,20	236:2,3,15	270:8,9,12,
-				



		September 30, 2023		91
13,16,25	304:2,6,8,10,	today's 12:16	touched 91:3	transparency
271:4,9,13,	11,16 305:14,	15:3 34:21	287:10	25:14 31:5
16,18,19,22,	18,21,22	together	toward 44:14	32:17 33:23
23 272:1,6,	306:3,10,20,	41:15 43:10		34:10 79:6
11,13,19,21,	24,25 307:6,	49:7 92:1	towards 88:8	95:5 114:10,
25 273:3,14,	18,24,25	100:20 124:9,	track 21:14	15 149:21
16,17 274:7,	308:3,7,20,	10 140:24	107:12,16	173:21
8,20,23	23,25 309:14		110:22	transparent
275:2,3,21,	310:17,22	told 122:23	116:12	31:21 52:14
22,23 276:18,	311:3,12,14	tomorrow	256:12	
23 277:3,9	312:10,12,15,	51:17 125:11	273:12	Travel 126:20
278:7,9,11,	24 313:12,17,	318:25 319:2	tracked 111:9	Travis 5:14
12,13,17,18,	23 314:2	tons 100:10	256:3	11:16 26:11
20 279:25	315:1,5,9,14,	100.10	250.5	126:18
280:3,12,16,	23 316:13,21	too 13:18 49:2	tracker 48:8	129:24 173:7
22,23 281:13,	317:3,8,11,16	139:18 198:3	252:24 256:3	206:14
14 282:3,8,	318:22 319:2	241:12,13	258:17	217:14
16,18 283:2	to-wit 127:18	243:13 261:1,	tracker's	treat 102:23
284:8,15	171:23	11 268:21	253:13	111:1
285:13,18,25	179:22	took 102:14		
286:2,4,8,9,	196:21 207:5	174:12	tracking	treating 97:5
19,23 287:15,	291:24	195:20 216:8	28:25 36:6	103:6 195:3
18 288:3,6,8,	303:13	262:12	107:4 109:24 111:12	treatment
12,14,16,25	306:11	top 42:12	111.12	37:8 47:19
289:2,4,7,12,	312:25	116:7 123:16,	trade 77:3	78:6 84:10
16,19,20,23,	315:24	17 124:23	traded 97:9	102:11
24,25 290:1, 9,10,13,19,	today 4:3,4	244:24		trend 20:2
22,24 291:5,	9:24 12:6,17	245:14	traditional	
7,12,15,23	26:17,23	246:15	21:8 78:7	trends 17:24
292:13,17,19,	30:3,13 34:20	249:10	169:4,8	tried 148:8,11
292.13,17,19,	38:4,9 41:1		transition	149:11,15
294:4,7,10,12	50:22 51:5	topic 184:23	52:5 56:13	tries 70:6
295:9,10,12,	115:1 126:3	185:15,16	transitional	
13,20,23	128:16 129:2	313:21	16:8	trillion 99:17
296:22,23,24	142:19 151:3	topics 313:21		trouble 22:21
297:3 298:6,	180:10 204:4	316:25	transmission	
11,15,17,20	208:3 214:1,5	total 114:9,19	16:25 54:17	true 37:21
299:3,8,12,	215:5 224:22	140:10,13,14	56:6,9,11 182:13,14	40:17,19 42:13 91:21
17,18,23	234:21 235:2,	240:21,23	188:19	94:8 95:1
300:4,10,15,	14,23 281:11	· ·	190:19 191:1	115:17
22 301:14,15,	288:7,10,13	touch 91:15	256:5 258:17	128:16
23 302:6,14,	299:4 304:6	98:15 112:9	297:17,19,21	191:17
20 303:2,12	307:2	122:21	298:8	197:13 208:6
		229:23	250.0	137.13 200.0
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	LEVITAC



		September 30, 2023		90
224:6 233:11	tulip 289:1	types 31:6	27:4 31:15,18	296:11
238:4 247:4	turn 40:14	typically	33:17 34:12	understandin
270:5 292:18	118:24	277:6 294:24	35:3 39:24	g 112:11
297:6 298:9	143:15	295:23	49:16 61:24	138:13
304:7 307:6	181:10		72:19 73:15	167:21 182:6,
314:1 317:8	208:19	typo 207:22	74:24 75:12	8 185:8
trued 237:23	210:24		80:18 105:6	210:12
238:16,19	221:15	U	116:20	224:11 255:2
250:15	226:12 227:4		117:10	257:2 259:4,
294:16	234:8 251:8	U.S. 9:22	134:17 136:8,	11 267:13
294.10	260:20	56:10 77:1	18,23 144:21	273:5 277:5,
truly 104:17		96:24 97:14	147:14,15	
truth 127:10,	269:20	98:6	149:3 155:1	13,21 278:5
18 171:17,23	turning		160:17 163:9	280:6,17
177:4 179:13,	114:24	ultimate	178:16	281:2,6,7
14,22 196:12,	twenty-four	259:1	182:10	296:20
13,21 206:19	55:13	ultimately	199:24	298:13
207:5 220:17	55.15	34:21 41:20	205:20 219:9	understood
291:16,24	twice 97:20	49:22 68:7	238:22	10:23 209:9
303:2,13	241:17,25	69:8,9 72:25	250:24 256:9	262:5,11
306:3,4,11	two 31:14	117:8 123:23	257:10,14	268:13
	33:5,17 43:7,	195:20	259:9 276:8	undone 46:17
312:16,25	17 74:3 81:8	238:15 272:8	282:2,13	undone 46.17
315:14,15,24	84:6 88:12	276:24	284:13,16,19	undue 216:20
try 38:14 86:9	91:1 92:17,20		311:22	unduly 21:18,
103:15 155:4	105:2 116:15	umbrage		24 23:8 33:4
168:17 177:5	122:10 123:3,	210:16	underestimate	60:7 274:9
225:11 242:8	7 124:3	unacceptable	148:20	
284:8 307:14	136:14 142:3	219:8	underscore	unexpected
trying 65:15	146:4 153:7		58:15	187:13,20
70:6 88:12	158:5 190:6	unanimous		unfair 37:7
112:18	197:2 208:20	57:5 58:3	understand	
114:13 143:2	217:3 218:10	69:1 84:4	122:7 123:1	UNIDENTIFIE
153:19 155:2	229:8 232:15	277:25	164:4 182:9	D 164:24
		281:19	188:3 200:18,	175:24
201:2 203:10	233:6 242:14 244:20 255:7	unanswered	24 212:22	uninformed
222:16 239:9,		70:17	218:18	44:17
14 240:7,19	272:20,23	uncortainty	222:16	
243:12	273:24 274:1	uncertainty 22:14 79:13	237:14	Union 5:19
249:13,17	279:17	22.14 / 9.13	242:22	256:6
295:9,12	286:14	uncompetitive	245:24	unique 17:11
Tuesday	289:11 310:4	45:11	247:12 254:7,	33:8 54:2
210:11	type 111:21	under 23:23	8,9 257:25	56:22 114:17
tug 93:25	235:21,24	24:8 26:19	258:3 269:4	242:24
iug 33.23	236:3 263:23	27.0 20.13	281:24	
L				LEVITAC



		September 30, 2025		98
unit 230:12	unprecedente	111:20	231:18 234:2	233:12
231:6 233:3	d 13:18 22:18	114:24	246:25	234:16 235:1
248:11,22,23	unpredictable	115:14 117:3,	254:22 266:3	237:3 245:22
299:11,13,14,	284:22	23 122:23	282:14	246:7 247:4
19,22,23	285:10	129:4 130:22	295:15	295:21 297:9
300:5,9		132:23	300:14	used 27:19
301:16,20,23,	unpredicted	146:21,22	Urandia 38:11	31:24 87:18
25 302:10	22:22	149:20		109:20
United 20:12	unreasonable	154:22 155:4,	urban 287:9	133:14,16
24:2 218:12	14:17 25:10	15,20 159:2,	urge 29:7	185:5 235:25
264:19	35:11 38:2	17 180:18	70:8 73:19	247:13,15
	62:17 104:9	181:7 191:12	81:5	259:8 265:5
units 98:3 230:13 231:8	unreasonably	192:2,10	us 4:18 6:15	266:12
	20:16 268:7	218:19 219:5	53:5,10 65:14	272:13
232:3 248:15		230:1,7,10	93:1 100:16	300:15
249:5 285:21 296:15 297:3	unrelated	231:4 232:23	101:6 113:19	302:14
299:17,20	61:2	237:23	116:13	user 72:11
300:7,13	until 10:17	238:16,19	123:18 126:7	usei /2.11
300.7,13	113:9 125:22	239:10,14	132:5,7 149:8	users 73:4
302:12,15	127:1 136:18	240:22 247:8	150:7 152:20,	uses 190:20
,	143:16,20	249:11	21 160:1,3	199:21
University	146:5 184:13	250:15	162:8 232:4,	234:21
248:6	196:10	253:20	15 273:15	294:12 296:5
unjust 14:17	251:10	254:20 256:5,	283:17	
25:10 35:11	259:17	7 259:3,4,5 265:14		using 60:10
38:1 62:16	unwanted	273:20	usage 32:3 34:15 55:1	98:3 154:15
104:9	114:20	275:21	61:23 74:17,	155:7 175:6 275:10
unjustly		288:10 290:5	22 81:11	294:11 295:5
268:12	unworkable	293:3 294:16,	100:15 114:8,	
	70:24	20 295:1,10	9 156:2	usually
unlawful	up 5:2 6:5	300:22	157:12	249:12 294:9
25:17	9:14 10:7	301:22 302:5	232:22	utilities 13:23
unless 212:19	16:7 42:17	304:16 310:7	233:23	18:21 37:11
224:9	48:2 65:6			48:9 53:14
unlike 19:3	75:15 88:9	update 194:5	use 80:25	54:4,10 55:8
44:3	90:12 92:21	updated 17:3	82:1 103:12	58:23 69:25
	93:10,19 94:2	60:15	111:22 112:6,	70:5 73:4
unlikely 59:14	96:1,9,10	updates 12:3	25 114:12	77:20 79:1
unnecessarily	100:5,7	-	134:6,14	159:12,14
22:14	101:10	upgrades	154:18	207:24,25
	103:10	17:2	159:17,18	213:23
unnecessary	106:21	upon 34:8	202:25 228:6 229:17	214:20,23
44:11	108:11	75:1 210:20	232:25	215:15
			232.23	
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I



		September 30, 2023		100
216:16,19	utility's 39:9	266:17	282:9 287:8	viewpoints
217:3 218:9	237:22	300:14	very 11:17	209:2
221:24 222:4,	240:23	vary 32:19	15:3 22:8	Vijaykar 7:1,2
10,22 225:1	253:12 295:4	33:7	58:7 59:14	63:8,9,13,15,
238:16,20	utilized		72:21 76:7	18 165:13
251:2,16	286:18	vastly 43:11	84:3,18,25	176:5 193:8
252:5,19	200.10	Velvet 6:18	85:24 86:12	202:5 211:18
255:21 256:5		12:12 28:9	87:3,14 93:8	293:13 305:1
264:18 267:5,	V	37:9 75:15,20	94:8 100:20	309:22
19 285:13,17		76:14,18,20	109:10	314:13 318:6
297:17 298:1	V-I-J-A-Y-K-A-	77:17 79:8	115:17	
utility 20:25	R 63:15	165:15 176:6	122:10	violation
21:2 26:22	vacuum	193:10 202:8	145:25 150:3	20:17
35:4 46:12	214:25	204:21	169:23	Virginia 116:5
48:15 54:15		211:17	186:19 200:1	
58:9 66:16	Vaguely 298:13	277:15 281:3	207:23 208:2	virtual 121:9
70:2 72:5		293:11	209:19	visit 260:16
82:22 90:3	validate 58:25	304:23	210:23	vital 42:7
95:25 113:14,	value 24:5	309:20	216:15	51:15
19 134:7,14	62:2 113:11,	314:11 318:4	219:10	
150:23,25	12 141:18		235:10	voiced 11:15
222:2 224:12,		Velvet's 80:8	275:24	volatile 22:12,
18 225:5	variability	ventured	278:10 280:3	21,22 190:11
230:10	287:8	40:20 45:16	290:16	
233:10,11,15	variable 71:4	venue 68:15	291:12 301:7	voltage
238:25	248:10		302:18 303:9	114:20 157:1
241:19,23	284:21 285:9	verbal 10:12	304:20	volume 55:2
246:23	295:5	verification		voluntary
249:15	variables	178:4	vetted 214:11	157:8
251:22 252:9,	22:12		via 21:3 63:9	
14 254:10,20		verify 278:18	183:16	vote 194:11,
255:11 256:4,	variation	version 88:25	241:17	18,19 195:13
11,14,17,20,	114:21 116:2	117:9 132:10	viability	211:14,16
23 257:9,15,	variations	208:11	viability	
18 264:22	114:21 116:5	273:21	102:5,10	W
270:2 275:10		277:20	vice 17:23	
276:12 277:3	variety 31:5	versions	128:2	W-H-I-P-P-L-E
278:22	54:1 98:11	214:3 272:20	video 63:9	11:12
284:12,18	various 90:5	273:24	126:13	wage 94:18
287:1 295:11	107:20 119:5	313:15		
298:7 300:6,7	140:7 141:7	316:15	view 45:12	wages 256:7
302:8 306:19	149:17		46:3,15 52:12	wait 10:13,17
308:1,4,11,21	168:14,16	versus 57:9	53:1 151:10	143:16
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	210:3 251:14	259:2 280:8	212:23	184:11
	•	•	•	LEVITAC"



		September 30, 2025		101
waiting 10:25	7,10,15,19	302:19 303:1,	180:17 216:1	112:21
88:1 143:20	168:1 169:25	5,8 304:15,	229:20	120:23 123:2
waive 116:19	170:14,17	20,23 305:3,	242:23	137:17
117:6,10,11	171:9,13	6,8,11,13,17,	243:19,22	147:13,20
131:3 159:3	172:22 173:3,	24 306:2,6	247:16 268:9,	155:7,14
160:16	11,14 174:25	307:12	10 269:5	158:9 201:17
	175:4,16	309:19,23,25	278:17 286:8	212:2,8
waived 165:2	176:1,3,6,9	310:2 312:2,	289:24,25	216:11 219:4
waiver	177:21,24	5,9,14,19	307:24	222:24 229:1
106:11,24	178:23 179:2,	314:7,11,15,	wanted	237:10
159:25	10,16 180:15,	17,19,22,25	107:15	242:11 253:9
	20,25 183:4,	315:4,8,13,18	122:20	257:17
walk 105:1	22 184:12	317:15,23	154:12 159:9	260:24 284:8
106:18 108:7	186:7,15	318:3,7,9,11,	194:16 234:1	286:23
154:10	187:6 189:17,	14,16,21		288:25
walked 139:2	20,22,25		238:2	289:12,16
	192:22 193:3,	Wall 96:12,20	wanting	302:4 309:12
Walker 4:3,12	6,10,13,18,21	98:25 99:6	201:11	311:11
5:11,16,19,24	194:22 195:4,	want 10:8	wants 39:19	45.40
6:9,16,19,24	22 196:1,6,	47:14 49:1	41:9 48:11	ways 15:12
7:5,9 8:6,17,	11,15 197:19,	51:5 56:21	116:19 117:6	92:7,21 106:9
22,25 9:9,16,	23 198:6,20,	72:21 83:20	159:3 178:24	124:19
18 10:6,16,20	24 199:2,5	86:23 87:9	286:23	289:20
11:4,8 26:3	201:20 202:1,	88:21 90:12	200.23	we 4:19,20
38:17,22	3,7,10,13	91:14,25 96:7	warned 13:4	5:6,9,13 6:6
50:3,8,18	204:5,8	99:9 101:6,25	warranted	7:18,20 8:1,
63:5,11 71:22	206:7,11,17,	102:2,4	125:24	16,20 9:2,20
73:22 76:9,13	22 208:13	104:23 106:4,		10:1,2,13
82:5,9,12,17	209:16 211:1,	15 107:4,12,	waste 234:17	12:8,17 28:8
84:16,20	5,12,15,19,	18,24 108:4	watched	30:5 36:11
86:11,14	21,24 218:1	109:23 112:9	225:12	39:1,3 41:22,
121:7 122:3	219:15 224:4	114:16		23 44:24
125:3,15,25	226:5 229:13	115:13	water 27:9	50:15,21,22
126:8,22,24	230:25	116:25	80:24 81:11	51:10,21 53:1
127:2,6,8,13	258:11	117:10,13	95:7 97:25	54:1 58:4
128:22 129:5,	259:15,18	118:13 122:7	98:2 114:8,12	63:6,22 67:2,
9,13,16,23	268:25	124:8 132:22	156:2 157:12	4 68:14,22
130:2,5,11,	270:24 271:6	136:1,4 142:3	266:12,13	69:11 70:8,
20,24 131:5,9	282:22 283:3	143:19 144:6	wave 99:16	18,21 71:22
136:3 142:21	285:1 290:18	145:10	way 12:20	72:13,14,20,
143:6,9,13,	291:4,9,11,	158:12 160:4,	32:10 40:24	21 73:3,7,8,
19,22 144:19	14,19 293:7,	9 163:2,22	42:18 48:11	17 75:10,12
145:4 158:19	11,14,16,18	170:11	94:13 96:18	77:10,14,15
160:23 161:2	301:1,4	176:20	105:25 109:6	79:11,17
164:21 165:5,	JU 1. 1, T		105.25 109.6	
'			I	I



		September 30, 2023		102
80:17 84:20	149:1,11,12,	244:5,16	206:15 213:1	91:11 94:7
85:3,14,24,25	13,15,16	245:6,8 247:8	231:7 276:13	96:10 102:7
86:3,4,17	150:3,5,14	249:11,13	305:13	109:24 112:7
87:16,19	152:7,8,14,	253:24 255:7,	309:20 314:4	137:3 147:20
88:7,14	16,20 153:13	8 256:13	317:13	218:24,25
90:11,20 91:2	154:15,16	259:6,15	318:25	240:13 253:4
93:12,15,18,	155:9,11,13,	260:3,6	we're 6:3 7:15	255:6 263:19
20 95:14 96:4	14,19 156:10,	263:10,14	10:17,25 41:1	273:8 277:24
97:22 99:24	11,16,18,20	266:23	64:15 72:5,6,	weather 42:20
100:13 101:2,	157:3,5,11,	272:13	7,9,11 87:5,7,	235:2,11
7,8,10,11,12,	12,13,16	273:14	11,13 90:2	236:11,13
13,14,23	158:1,2,4,5,6,	276:17,19	93:24 95:6,22	230.11,13
103:22 105:8	8,9,10,12	282:23	96:2 97:1	website 81:10
106:11,15,24	159:11,12,16,	283:15,21,22,	98:6 100:9	weeds 212:24
107:3,4,14,	17,18,22,24	23 286:6,7,8	104:4 105:4,	246:9
21,23 108:13	160:4,9,16,18	287:12,13,15	13,21,23,25	
111:14,20	162:9,21	288:21	106:6,9,17,24	week 99:7
112:4 113:10,	163:2 174:4,	289:13 290:7,	107:14	128:14 167:3
16 115:1,3,	8,9,12,14,15,	9,13 291:11	111:18	194:11
11,21 116:21	21 175:13,21	293:2,4	112:18	week's
117:5,11,24	182:8 183:10	295:23	113:21 114:4	117:20
118:4,9,19,25	186:11 189:5,	300:22	115:12,15	weeks 30:4
119:3 120:5,	10 190:23	301:19	116:10,25	31:12
13,21 121:5	191:14,24	304:15,17	120:22	
122:9 123:8,	195:2 199:14	305:22	123:21,22	weigh 275:23
10,11,13,16	201:12,16	314:13,25	130:12,22	weird 90:16
124:8,11,13,	204:20,22,23	318:17	131:5 132:3	112:10
22 125:5	205:3,7,11,23	we'd 103:7	146:3 148:9	114:18
127:2,3,5	206:14	123:19	149:2 151:19	welcome
129:3,19	209:11,22	124:23 232:2	152:16	120:21 121:8
132:19 134:6	210:14	239:19	157:15	206:10
135:20	214:25		160:10 195:4	200.10
136:13 137:9,	217:19	we'll 9:14	215:13,16	welcomed
16 138:24	224:20,23	95:8 103:1	225:18	216:10
139:2,4,5,25	225:2,3,11,17	126:25	228:15 231:8,	welcoming
140:20,21	229:20,22	130:13	14 239:8,9,14	101:12
141:15,16,18,	230:1,9,10,	132:23 143:14	243:19	
22,23 142:24	12,13 231:2,	160:23 179:3	249:16 262:2	well 5:15 7:21
143:3,21	3,5,6,16	186:16	265:3,5	8:16 9:8,25
145:20 146:5,	232:5,8,11,18	188:18	272:14	12:23 13:24
17 147:8,9,	235:9,10	192:23	276:18 290:5	18:11 34:6
10,15,21,22	239:15 240:1,	193:21 196:6	291:7 298:11	37:2 39:16
148:8,11,16,	12,14 242:11	201:21	we've 85:1	45:10 52:23
18,21,22,24	243:20,22,23	201.21	WEVE OJ. I	65:7 66:14
				LEVITAC



		September 30, 2025		103
79:5 85:13	280:9 282:13	113:11,12,16,	226:22 227:9,	whatever 89:8
92:15 100:6	294:15 295:1,	20,25 114:19	15 228:14	154:15
103:1,21	24 296:10	116:3,7	231:5,10,23,	159:23
108:11	299:14,21	119:3,4,23	25 232:4,8,18	174:13 241:7
117:23 126:8	301:21	120:9,15,16	235:15	256:8 298:10
133:23	305:23	121:1 122:11	236:17,18	299:6
143:14 148:4,	310:16	123:7,10,12,	238:25 239:5,	whatsoever
6,20 150:8	311:13,20	17 124:7,8,	8,10,14 240:7	111:13
156:5 162:22	Wendy 5:22	14,23 126:10	241:9,21	111.13
167:1,17	39:1	128:1 133:25	244:12,17,22	when 8:8 9:14
179:11	39.1	138:1,2	246:1,13	25:3,19 28:5
183:20,21	went 69:7	139:19	247:12,18	43:22 44:6
184:8,17	222:23	140:22	249:13,16	45:24 46:22
186:3,12,13	261:19	145:18	251:25	55:23 70:5
189:15	west 4:9,10	146:18 148:2,	257:24	86:16 97:7
190:24	10:2,5 47:9	13 149:4,5	258:24	117:21
194:18	113:6 128:7	152:5 154:9,	263:11	120:23,24
204:18 212:6	172:10 203:3	11 156:7	264:25 265:1,	126:6 139:23
213:1 214:9,	205:21,25	157:15	14 268:16,17	142:15,17
21 215:6	287:13	158:10,11,12	269:4 271:21	158:15
217:16		159:6 162:19,	272:14 273:4	173:21
218:14 219:4	West's 12:3	24 163:20	274:7 276:1,	181:11
220:3 221:6,	what 8:9 9:11	164:17 166:1	15 284:7	184:25 185:2
17 222:21	10:21 28:5	169:24 170:1	288:5 289:8,9	186:17
223:3 225:8	31:14 34:16	172:6 174:1,	290:3 292:9	188:24 189:6
228:16 229:6	36:3 37:12	3,5,6,15,17	294:10 295:9,	191:6 200:21
231:20 232:3,	39:9 41:13,23	175:11,12	10,12,13	204:19,24
24 233:20	42:18 43:17	177:9,14	296:1,7	206:23 212:9
235:23,24	45:3,7,9,10,	184:24 185:5,	297:9,10	230:10 231:3
236:5 237:24	22,24 46:15	13 186:2	298:5 299:2	234:21
241:9 242:10	47:6,25 48:1	194:4 195:12,	300:3,15	235:24 236:3
248:21 250:4	49:10 52:13	14,18,19	301:13	240:22 242:4
251:17,24	60:1 73:1,6	200:25	303:23	243:17
253:21	74:17 85:11	204:18 205:2	306:17	244:21
255:19	89:1,12 91:1,	207:12	307:25 311:5	246:19,22
256:18	25 92:10,16	211:13	313:9 316:8	249:11,16
261:21	93:17 98:15	212:13 213:1	what's 90:16	254:18 256:2
264:17 266:5	101:14,18,25	214:1,11	92:23 100:6	258:4 268:4
271:13,18	103:17,19,21	215:13,16	105:22 109:2	273:15
272:10 274:1	104:11,19	217:23,25	140:20	275:10
275:16	105:5 106:6	220:3,10	150:22	276:17 282:8
276:13,17	107:22,23	221:22	162:11	289:6 290:7,
278:11	110:22	222:16	219:23 236:3	19 297:9,13
279:21,23	111:11	224:24	295:25	298:24



		September 30, 2025		102
299:10	218:14	172:10	129:7 130:8	283:13 285:2
300:10,21	233:25	174:15	135:25 136:1	291:7 292:12
306:7	234:15 236:6	187:19 191:5,	140:20 143:8	293:3 295:21
where 40:15	250:8 256:22	6,12,13	144:16	304:1,16
43:9 45:16	258:25 259:2	197:3,4	145:11	313:12
47:9,23 49:1	271:4,10	205:16,17	146:21 165:1,	316:12,17
70:5,19 75:1	294:15	207:17 209:3	6,9,21,23	who's 11:3
83:20 101:1,2	297:16,18,20	220:12	167:17,19	230:19
102:9 113:13,	301:25	227:22	168:4 170:1,	279:13
18 117:1	310:25	248:15	5,7,21 171:2,	289:23
118:18	which 7:20	250:25	7 301:3 312:4	
124:25 139:2	12:8,10 13:4	261:22 262:1	Whisker	who've 80:9
140:10	14:23 15:12,	267:2 275:18	115:4	whole 23:15
141:22 142:6	15 18:25 20:2	277:19 278:2,		90:18 92:12,
146:8 148:9	22:13 24:18	23 279:12	white 96:23	19 109:15
149:6 152:2	28:21 29:7	290:22	188:6	127:10 177:4
174:5 181:13	30:10 31:7,	292:14,23	who 10:18	179:13
185:18 192:2	10,18 32:18	304:3 306:21	14:11 15:4	196:12
209:14	34:4,12 37:4	317:12	16:1 17:24	206:19
210:19 219:7,	41:25 43:22	whichever	18:2,4,8	240:23
24 234:12	44:5 46:2	62:4,9	32:11 35:5	256:24 268:1
243:11 245:5	47:7 53:8,19	while 10:24	38:15 39:10,	270:5 288:20
253:5 265:7	54:18,21 55:1	13:17 16:4	23 40:13	291:16 303:2
266:1,2,10	56:1 58:8,9	17:12 21:25	42:11 47:3	306:3 312:16
269:23	59:14,16	23:7 29:19	55:11 61:9,10	315:15
275:17	60:14,18	42:22 53:24	64:2 72:23	wholly 61:2
288:14,18	61:18 65:14	59:12 66:8,	86:20 94:14	76:18
289:2 291:1,3	69:21 70:1	15,20 67:21	97:24 100:1	
whereby	71:7 76:18	69:4 70:12	105:6 108:1	whom 127:24
239:24	83:22 85:18	78:6,13 79:1,	111:15	172:4 207:12
	91:11 93:14	10 81:15	113:24	215:22 292:8
wherewithal	94:16,21	83:24 85:1	115:25 121:8,	303:22
221:8	98:4,15	87:3 125:7	13 126:12,17	306:17 313:9
whether	106:21	161:24 168:7	141:6 148:12	316:8
33:24 37:8	108:11	183:23	171:14 175:1	whose 42:14
75:9,10 87:19	123:23 128:7	229:15 267:4	186:10,11,17	78:5 262:8
99:8 102:21	129:2 133:25	294:6	194:8 198:14	why 28:3
119:9 148:8,	136:24		209:1 213:7	34:11 41:1
10 152:9	147:16	Whipple 5:9	215:1 217:12	44:9,25
156:23,25	149:21	9:6,13,17,19,	219:7 234:6	48:22,24
160:14 161:8	150:24 151:2	21 10:7,19,23	244:20	51:10 53:1
166:5 177:12	153:20	11:6,10,12,20	245:12 247:9	67:1 89:20
205:10	155:16 158:6	127:4,7,20	277:2 279:10	90:25 91:2
	162:2 163:7	128:19,23	280:13	



		September 30, 2025		105
95:16 100:5	19 52:18	286:12 290:5	17,23 41:12,	162:3,10
101:22	56:20 59:4	293:4 297:2	15 42:15 43:8	163:23 164:5,
105:10	62:16,22 63:6	298:22	47:7 50:15	10,17 165:25
112:20,21	64:5,10 65:2,	300:22	51:24 52:18,	166:6,11,16
123:1 137:8	4 66:9,19	301:22 302:6	20,22 53:16	167:10
148:3,15	69:4 71:14	304:17	54:24 55:5,11	168:12,13
150:2 152:3	73:17 84:1,20	305:22	56:15,17	176:18,25
153:9 162:4,	85:16,17,25	307:14	57:14,22	177:7,14
23 183:23	86:5 90:23	308:25 309:5,	58:18,23	178:22,24
205:11 229:3	91:5 93:22	11,13 310:14	61:7,18 62:17	181:8,14,21
235:8,17	99:17 102:6	311:15,21	63:24 66:3	182:7,10,12
260:6 265:3	104:6,13	312:14 315:1	68:24 70:5,7,	183:15,18
wide 31:5	106:23 108:6,	317:18,19	18,22 71:13	184:9,20
53:25 124:6	24 109:4,5,6,	willing	72:10 73:6,	185:10 186:7,
	8 121:24	164:13,16	10,19 76:24	18 187:22
widely 58:18	127:2,3 131:1	189:11	78:17,24 83:7	190:7 191:6
widespread	132:14 133:8,		85:7 86:8	192:18 194:3
187:13	13,15,18	Wills 48:23	87:4,14 88:16	199:17,25
wife 229:19	134:14,25	219:18,20	90:19 91:18	200:23
233:13	135:8,19	Wills' 220:7	94:17 101:11	203:11,12,20,
	136:15,17	wind 154:2	104:4 105:9,	23 204:13,21
wild 247:22	143:10		21 107:1	205:5,6,7,9,
wildly 84:10	155:16	window 257:2	113:8 118:10	13 209:5,17
	164:23 169:4	winners	120:6,10,14,	210:2,3,13,16
will 4:13,17,	171:16	269:8,12	24 122:12,25	212:12 213:6,
24 5:3,7 6:14	172:24	·	123:19 124:6	11,22 214:6,
7:20 8:1,5	175:14,21	wish 47:17	125:18 127:3	11,23 215:19
9:13 12:5,21 14:14 15:10	180:22 183:5,	with 4:19,25	130:13 131:7	216:1,6,9
	7 184:9	5:7 6:22 8:20	132:2,6,23	217:7,22
18:3,9 19:18, 21 20:20	185:17 195:8	9:3,21,23,24	136:15	218:19 219:3,
21:4,9 24:15	197:25 198:9	12:1,18 13:19	137:21	5,22 220:10,
25:1 26:3,19,	208:16	14:22 15:24	138:12,21	24 221:13
20 27:2	212:24 222:2	16:3,7,11,20	139:2,7,10,17	223:22 228:3,
28:15,17	225:11 227:20,22	17:16 18:25	140:5,6 141:4,19,20	25 229:4 230:13,21
30:17 33:6,17	232:4 233:12	19:1,16 20:6,	142:7 145:21	231:6,9,11,15
35:8 36:18,19	236:2,16	15,22 21:21	146:8 147:9	233:16 235:3,
37:23 38:8	239:5,10	22:21 23:2	148:25	17,18 236:9
39:7,16 40:3,	241:4 252:13	25:13 27:13	149:10 150:7,	239:9,10,13,
4,5,8,13,14	253:3 259:1	28:17 29:8	11 151:6,8,24	14 240:19
41:19 42:21	266:20	32:13,16	152:17 154:1,	241:1 242:4,
43:17 44:1,2,	271:17	33:13,25	20 155:2,13,	13 243:3
10 45:16	274:24 275:6	34:15 35:14	24 159:5,6	245:6,21
47:24 49:10,	276:8,24	36:5,20 37:19	161:7,15,25	247:24
	· · · · · · ·	39:1,3 40:3,	, ,	- •



		September 30, 2023		100
248:13 249:1,	witness 15:13	witnesses	work's 238:11	worthiness
12 252:11	18:4 23:21,25	7:15,17 14:25	worked 237:9	16:14 152:18
254:13 259:9	24:8,17 29:22	17:22 19:15,		153:3
260:2,11,16	42:2 62:23	18 23:12	workers	would 5:4 8:4,
262:4,13,20	65:6 67:17,19	30:21 38:8	40:12,13 77:4	9,11,14 10:14
263:8,12	70:25 118:14	67:2 71:16,17	96:24	11:2 21:16,
264:10 265:2,	126:9 127:12,	85:2 155:24,	working	18,23 22:13,
8,9 267:6,7,	16 130:9,16	25 186:11	29:23 37:16	20,23 23:9
11,12 268:5	132:14	280:6 293:3	40:13 135:21	24:18 25:18
269:2 271:5,	134:13	304:16	192:12 194:8,	29:7 32:14,19
11,12 272:7,	142:20 164:6	Wolf 296:13	10 195:19	33:4 34:17
22 273:8,12	167:16,25		204:21	36:17,21
274:25	169:22	won't 41:24,	214:23 215:2	38:20 39:23
279:15	171:12,14,19,	25 44:22	235:23	42:1 45:17,18
281:20	21 173:2	67:21 103:23	237:10	46:22,25
284:21 285:9,	175:18	107:9 108:18	263:20 265:8	50:13 59:7
13,18 289:1,	176:21 179:5,	113:9 162:13	286:24	60:4 67:18,24
14 294:3,20	15,20 180:13	310:7,12	works 48:11	73:14 74:23,
295:1,10	182:21	wonderfully	101:2 108:8	24 75:12
296:1 297:18	183:11,14,20	101:2	255:4,5	80:17 81:4,5
298:8 299:21,	184:15 186:9,	word 22:22	·	85:19 88:11,
24 300:19	17 187:4	90:14 100:23	workshop	16 94:12
301:13,22	195:23,25	238:6 239:13	88:8,13	97:18 102:1,
307:21 314:5	196:2,14,19		103:11	23 103:22
317:14	197:18	wording	119:12,13	112:21 118:6,
within 13:10,	198:11 206:8,	140:25	138:25	7 119:17
13 18:12	10,21 207:3 211:14	words 56:22	workshops	121:15,25
22:19 33:8	246:12 247:8,	77:8 227:12	138:23	123:17,18
53:4 58:16	10,17 255:3	work 30:6	world 37:14	124:5,24
60:2 61:14	269:18	32:8 37:19	51:12 101:1	125:18,21
62:8 136:9	280:13,15	68:15 69:6	156:24	126:4,6
208:3 250:7,	290:20 291:5,	124:9 132:22	288:13	128:12,20
16 267:17	7,18,22	137:9 146:10,		130:9 132:20
272:12 307:2	302:20,23	13 156:20	worried	137:20,23
without 23:19	303:4,11	158:3 190:13	109:18	141:15,18,23
32:12 33:18	305:18 306:1,	191:24	worry 109:19	142:22 143:2,
34:17 59:2	5,9 312:10,	220:24	worse 35:17,	15,16,18
61:25 67:25	18,23 314:5,6	225:12	22 109:15	145:1 147:3,6
148:12	315:5,7,10,	235:24	115:2	149:4 150:4, 13 151:2,14,
188:14	17,22 317:14,	238:12		16,21,23
211:16	22 318:22	244:15	worth 35:24 36:10 45:9	152:6,7,14,
263:17	witness's	256:22	161:24 261:7	20,21 153:1,
308:22	67:6	257:24 294:2	101.24 201.7	11,24 154:7,
	07.0			, ,
	1	1	1	LEVITAC



		September 30, 2023		107
20 155:8,11,	231:13,16,25	174:19 203:7		13,19 153:9,
17,19,20	232:5 234:20	229:1 235:8	Υ	10 214:22
156:5 157:3	235:17 236:1,	241:5 250:2,		215:1 217:3
158:2,4,8,11	18 237:3,17	19 270:11	yank 47:25	218:10
159:7 160:5,	238:23,24	272:22 282:2	yeah 75:5	225:19
7,15,16	240:11,18	wrap 57:12	112:18 131:8	249:20 251:1
161:15,21,25	241:2 242:22	wiap 37.12	139:4 141:11	255:8 258:24
162:10	245:11 246:6,	wrapped 91:9	143:22 153:6	259:3,5
163:12	10 247:4,9,	writer 17:7	169:20 174:3	263:20
164:12,16	16,17,19	67:13 69:20	188:13,21	288:14 289:6,
166:9 167:9,	249:15 251:4,	111:15,16,22,	190:15	8 290:11
21 168:22	9 252:3	25 112:6,12,	191:17	Yep 131:7
171:1 172:12,	253:3,19,20	13,19,23	192:17	246:5
17 175:10,11	254:10,18,20,	132:12	205:19	
177:2,3	22 255:1,2	153:24 155:3,	223:12,16,19,	yes 63:11
178:6,19,22	256:13	18 163:19	23 238:10	74:4 76:1,4
180:10	257:23,24	199:11	240:5 242:2	79:23 80:1,21
182:20	258:25 259:3,	203:24	248:7 251:2	82:7 89:18
183:12	13 260:16,20		253:6 255:6	102:8 121:21
185:11	262:1,4,25	writers 12:1	258:2 260:9	123:16
188:10,15	264:8 267:24	16:16 18:15	261:25	128:23 129:9
189:11,14	268:13,18	22:8 36:10,	264:15 265:4,	130:22 132:1,
190:10,12	269:3 270:5,	16,19 57:22, 23,24 61:6,7	22 269:23	9 136:6
191:24 192:2,	18 274:2,13,	78:19 85:4,	272:4,14,16	137:16,22
9,13,19,20	17,22 275:5,	20,25 86:5	275:3 277:1	139:5 140:17
197:12,15,16	18 278:3	139:10	287:10	143:1 161:14,
199:11	279:10	146:11	308:18	20 164:11
200:14,16,25	280:13,16	153:18	year 81:6	165:9 166:3
201:12	281:20 282:7,	199:10	174:16	168:21 169:7, 11 172:19
203:25	10,11,15,18	202:21	237:23	175:13
205:16 208:3,	283:1,22	204:15 205:5	238:16,19,20	176:13
5 214:7	285:25 286:2,		250:11,16	178:8,14
215:14,20 216:10	24 288:1,6 291:4 294:19	writing	256:6 289:13	179:1 180:2,
217:19	298:15	139:11,12	294:10,16,17	8,12 181:12,
217:19	299:14,19	written 7:23	300:22	16,20 182:3,
220:12	300:15	125:12 178:6	voorly 60:15	6,8,16 184:6,
222:13,14	305:21 307:3	197:12 209:9	yearly 60:15	22 185:8
223:5 224:7,	310:23 311:9	wrong 106:8	years 16:7,9	186:2,25
11,12 225:5	312:12	122:25 167:1	19:1 61:17,18	187:16,25
226:9,12,16	313:22 315:9	228:21 248:5	62:6 77:16	188:21,22
228:7,13	317:2,6	287:17	89:9 96:3	189:4,8
229:1,22,25	,	wrote 158:1,9	105:9,15,18,	191:17
230:6,7	wouldn't	WIOLG 130.1,9	23 118:25	192:17
	75:13 161:24		152:2,5,6,9,	
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	LEVITAC



		September 30, 2025	108
193:23 194:6	24 299:5,7,		
195:14 197:6,	16,25 301:6		
15,21 199:4	304:5,9		
200:12,16	306:1,23		
204:19 205:6,	307:5,8,20		
11,15 206:3,	308:6,13		
13 207:19,22	309:3,12		
212:1,15	310:19 311:9,		
216:22,24	24 313:16,25		
223:5,21	314:3		
224:10	yesterday		
225:25 226:5,	4:24		
14 227:3			
228:19	yet 8:5 108:18		
229:10	173:6 189:9		
232:14	203:3,16		
234:11,19	yield 240:23		
237:16,21			
238:17 239:2,	yielding 240:20		
18,22 240:3,	240.20		
6,10,17,25	yourself		
241:11,15	49:20 124:15		
242:2,16	221:21 234:5		
246:2 249:18	237:3		
252:16	yourselves		
254:14	103:15		
255:25	119:19		
260:15 262:4,			
15,17 266:4,			
22 267:22			
271:24	zero 111:12		
272:23 276:12			
277:12	zip 300:22		
278:21,25	zoning 98:8		
279:2,4,6,23			
281:11,15,17			
284:11,20			
285:12,20			
286:6 291:6			
292:16,20			
294:22,24			
295:3,8,16			
296:20 297:5,			
1	l		

