

Joplin Public Library

1901 E 20th Street, Joplin, MO 64804

Fax (417) 624-5217 Library (417) 623-7953

FILED

JAN 07 2026

FAX

Missouri Public Service Commission

Reference & ILL (417) 624-5465

ATTENTION PSC Docket

DATE 1/7/25

COMPANY EC-2026-0150

FAX # 573-526-1500

From: Elizabeth Peterson

Total pages, including cover sheet 9

Message:

Farfield IA case citation
Traditional Belief System
Traditional Meters = Analog solely Analog
No other interpretation to TRADITIONAL

Received Time Jan. 7. 2026 6:02PM No. 1944

Cover sheet Fairfield Case Citation Pg. ZERO

Cover sheet Fairfield Belief system win as merit cause to freedom to exercise Belief system and live a traditional belief system without excessive intrusion of advanced technology

Synopsis of Fairfield IA case vs Utility smart meters and non RF meters in 2020 Consumers win.

Administrative Case handled by a Utility Board acting as local Jurisdiction REGULATOR serving as the MEDIATOR instead of a "vested" % profiteering Board.

Pg 1 bullet pt * \$12 Million dollar MONOPOLY Utility tries to radiate Fairfield IA with smart meters and non RF Advanced meters

Pg 2 bullet pts *Administrative proceedings & Fairfield Attorney town won
* Freedom to exercise a set of beliefs as unusual as they may be against excessive intrusion of advanced technology vs. Traditional way of life I.E "ANALOG" = Traditional Meter


Pg. 3 bullet point * Traditional way of life preservation of belief system

Pg. 4 bullet point * NON RF Advanced meters are just as bad for health reasons as Advanced smart meters

Pg. 5 bullet point * red flag government Agencies ((as Commissions)) have Energy Industry in their pockets)) "vested interest" per % profiteering Agencies/Commissions as REGULATORS

#6
* Bullet Point Relevance of the Interpretation as Traditional of the wording in New Regulatory 20 CSR 4240-10.035 literally states Traditional Meter. This Fairfield Administrative Case Citation is defining Tradition is not up for debate of interpretation, The entire Case REVOLVED around the wording TRADITIONAL as defined as ANALOG.
* An opt out as an Elective Right of choice cannot be based on contingencies of supplies that are NOT enforced to be provided to consumers. FREE CHOICE
* page on 20 CSR 4240-10.035 To many contingencies leaves NO OPTION OF CHOICE
* Item "H" Traditional meter means, does the descriptions require strict Manufacturing Adherence? So that ANALOGS are being produced commercially. Are Vendors being Enforced to demand supply????

Inside the fight between my small Iowa hometown and a \$12 billion utility over smart meters and the radiation that some residents call 'poison'



Santiago Jose Sanchez for Business Insider



By Benji Jones

May 31, 2020, 6:45 AM CT

➔ Share 📌 Save

- Many residents in Fairfield, Iowa, home to thousands of

disciples of a deceased Indian guru, claim that radiation emitted by cell phones and other devices is harmful to human health.

- Those beliefs created a problem for a local utility that began deploying radiation-emitting smart meters in 2017.
- Through administrative proceedings, Fairfield residents fought back against the utility's smart meter program — and, ██████████ won.
- At its core, the fight wasn't about the health effects of smart meters. It was about the freedom to exercise a set of beliefs, as unusual as they may be. *
- Visit Business Insider's homepage for more stories.

FAIRFIELD, IOWA — I was standing outside my childhood home on an unseasonably warm spring day when I saw my neighbor approaching from across the street.

It was May, and I had been staying with my parents months after escaping New York City. In their home, more than 80 miles away from the nearest airport, the threat of a pandemic felt distant.

But to our neighbor, Lewis Weiss, the real danger was lurking inside our house, radiating through every room — our wireless internet, or wifi.

"It's polson," Weiss told my parents, who were listening through the kitchen window.

Standing in our front yard, Weiss said he was picking up our wifi signal in his house and it was harmful. He offered us a few suggestions: switch to ethernet, sheath our router with a product called SignalTamer, or turn our wifi off at night.

"Frankly, this is ridiculous," I said from the porch. "We're not doing that."

But such requests are actually not seen as ridiculous in Fairfield.

In fact, Weiss is among at least 1,000 or so people in this town of roughly 10,000 who believe the radiofrequency (RF) radiation emitted by routers and all kinds of other devices is harmful to human health.

3 of 5

And those beliefs don't just irritate wifi-addicted New Yorkers. They've rubbed up against an adversary of enormous scale: A \$12 billion utility that began deploying smart meters in 2017 that communicate using radio waves.

[Click here to subscribe to Power Line, Business Insider's weekly energy newsletter.](#)

Over the last two years, Fairfield residents fought the utility for the right to keep their simple analog meters, in lieu of the smart ones. And for the most part, they won, forcing the utility to change its plans.

For the power company, smart meters are the future, offering big savings and granular info about energy use. For some residents of my hometown, they pose an unacceptable health risk.

But the fight against them was about something much bigger: protecting a way of life and the freedom to exercise a set of beliefs that big corporations and advancing technologies put at risk.



A meditation mecca flanked by cornfields

For most of the 20th century, Fairfield was like any other Iowa town, with a couple of distinctions. It was the first site of the famous Iowa state fair and home to a small liberal arts school, Parsons College.

[Redacted text]

"As you can imagine, people will cherry-pick individual sentences," he said.

4 of 5

*

But to many smart meter opponents, claims from officials like this are just a sign that government agencies are stacked with ex-industry executives.

ARE Regulator's Bias ??

*

Do Regulators make \$ money off Advanced Meters Yes

*

"I think it's industry in their pockets," said David Carpenter, director of the Institute for Health and the Environment at the University at Albany who's outspoken about the negative effects of RF radiation. "I don't think there's any question about that."




A Vastu building on the outskirts of Fairfield, Iowa. Santiago Jose Sanchez for Business Insider

A victory for the smart-meter opposition

After the hearing in late 2018, both sides submitted pages and pages of briefs, summarizing their positions and addressing claims from the opposition.

NON RF Digital Meters Iowa Case 2020
Were opposed for
Health Concerns



4 of 5

The "Tower of Invincibility" in Fairfield was built as "a living memorial for all the knowledge that Maharishi Mahesh Yogi offered to everyone to create a better world," according to Maharishitower.org. Santiago Jose Sanchez

"The adverse effect is not entirely predictable but, in all candor, could be extremely harmful to our community," Bill Goldstein, the general counsel of Maharishi International University, said in a letter to the board.

Above and beyond business considerations, the intervenors argued that smart meters and even digital non-emitting meters are harmful to human health — especially for people who are "electro-hypersensitive." Thus, they believe it's reasonable that anyone who wants to opt out should be able to do so conveniently.

Experts say there's little evidence that cell phones and smart meters are harmful

When talking with any smart meter opponent, they're likely to mention studies published in 2018 by the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a division of the US Department of Health and Human Services.

Dear ALJ Judge Clark,

I see today effectively that the final rule making has passed in OX-2026-0045 without properly identifying that the regulation itself in specificity is a non standard vs standard type of dichotomy rather than a realistic Tradition vs. Advanced meter dichotomy.

I am challenging this new regulatory out of the gate and it might take an oversight hearing to correct it or it might take an amendment to correct it. But, since this is applicable in practice of current regulatory, faulty regulatory as well it is time to bring my right forward in that there is not a mandatory Advanced meter regulatory in place but due to this gross oversight of what in fact defines these false dichotomys in not only the current regulatory Tariff but in the one in AUG as upcoming. Thereby I will address the current as well as the future facts that MO is an opt out STATE.

As an opt-out STATE it is understood like in CA and others that actually interpret what an ADVANCED meter is regardless of it's categorical of smart or non-RF is not up for debate. The only way to get this clarity was to present it at the OX rule making hearing. From that standpoint since we the Consumer were still ignored.

That is why as a traffic cop who saw the stop lights go out and began directing traffic I as the Consumer see that the lights are not ON with the MO PSC Commissioners and that their ability to put into logic that the wording OPT OUT was always about choice consumer right to FREE choice even though we had to pay an opt out fee the right to get an ANALOG as the only true solely TRADITIONAL METER was defined early on. That is why I am rendering the Fairfield IA case an Administrative Case whereby the Traditional people with Traditional belief system who do not want AI or all this chip oriented ADVANCED meters that are DIGITAL across the board that is why in the initialization of these ADVANCED meters the coined usage of their title is ADVANCED!

Please let it be restated and re-stated as many times as necessary. why doesn't the regulatory Regulator listen to the CONSUMER and realize that by a process of elimination in essence the STATE of MO is claiming to have given customers a choice to opt out. But, actually all that your regulatory has done is to try and compromise wording by adjusted the terminology to equate to things that are not possible to mean what the word stated in the REGULATION says the WORD SAYS TRADITIONAL and that word has been around since before the invention of DIGITAL ADVANCED METERS.

The entire case in Fairfield IA revolved around the word TRADITIONAL and it was also used to present an non AI type of life and belief system.

The threshold for AI is evidenced in ALL the Advanced meter systems and so by the way Mike Moon and several others are putting down AI regulations as we speak. These gateway meters with chips are simply an expansion of the entire 5G structure and as for my land rights and my interior walls that will travel with whatever chips system is interplaying in this back door of smart meters and all advanced meters that chip will carry out communication through the hardwire of the home interior wiring and report back in it's cycle from all our appliances we are using it will communicate so the very elaborate description of that is in the language of the new regulatory does not even make sense. The non RF Advanced meter is improper described as a non communicating meter. The expert that gave 8 minutes of testimony and turned all those facts in

* An opt choice is an Elected offer it can therefore not be denied by a supply contingency based option. *

to the OX hearing is not a joke he is credentialed and licensed. I do not see your Commission being able to dispute what he explained as truth. And yet the current misnomer of the Regulation was ignored. So, at this point for my case matter I am challenging either PSC or Empire to come up with their own EMRS specialist to disprove and find just one report that provides an alternate record of fact. That was the point of OX hearing was for any other side to be presented. I did that and I fulfilled that step already and that evidence must be used in my case now. AS it is all relevant to what the definition of TRADITIONAL actually means contrary to the way the wording was presented in the written verbiage descriptions. So, facts cannot be compromised, facts are facts and the burden of proof is on those who are against my position in this CASE MATTER.

I do not consent to anything other than an ANALOG mechanical METER which is unequivocally known as the one and the only TRADITIONAL METER according to my EMRS specialist and according to this FAIRFIELD CASE CITATION.