



Diana M. Vuylsteke Voice (314) 259-2543 dmvuylstekc@bryancave.com

DEC 2 9 2006

Missouri Public Bervice Commission

Bryan Cave LLP One Metropolitan Square 211 North Broadway Suite 3600 St. Louis, MD 63102-2750 Tel (314) 259-2000 Fax (314) 259-2020 www.bryancave.com

Chicago Hong Kong Irvine Jefferson City Kansas City Los Angeles New York Phoenix Shanobai St. Louis Washington, DC

And Bryan Cave, A Multinational Partnership, London

BY HAND DELIVERY

December 29, 2006

Cully Dale Secretary/Chief Administrative Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

RE: Case No. ER-2007-0002

Dear Judge Dale:

Attached for filing on behalf of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers in the above-referenced case are an original and eight (8) copies each of the following:

- Direct Testimony of William Hinckley ٠
- Direct Testimony of Gareth Kajander, .
- Direct Testimony of Albert Owen ۰
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Maurice Brubaker on Cost of Service, Revenue Allocation and Rate Design
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Maurice Brubaker on Fuel Adjustment, and
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Jim Dauphinais (NP and HC versions)

Thank you for your assistance in bringing these filings to the attention of the Commission.

Very truly yours,

Diana M. Vnylstike

Diana M. Vuylsteke DMV:ln

Attachments cc: All Parties

Kuwait

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Case No. ER-2007-0002

STATE OF MISSOURI)) SS COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS)

Affidavit of Maurice Brubaker

Maurice Brubaker, being first duly sworn, on his oath states:

1. My name is Maurice Brubaker. I am a consultant with Brubaker & Associates, Inc., having its principal place of business at 1215 Fern Ridge Parkway, Suite 208, St. Louis, Missouri 63141-2000. We have been retained by the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers in this proceeding on their behalf.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my direct testimony and schedules on cost of service, revenue allocation and rate design issues which was prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2007-0002.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that the testimony and schedules are true and correct and that they show the matters and things they purport to show.

5 Brutal

Maurice Brubaker

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28th day of December 2006.

CAROL SCHULZ Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURJ St. Louis County My Commission Expires: Feb. 26, 2008

Carol Schulz Notary Public

My Commission Expires February 26, 2008.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Case No. ER-2007-0002

Direct Testimony of Maurice Brubaker

ì

)

)

1 Q PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

- 2 A Maurice Brubaker. My business address is 1215 Fern Ridge Parkway, Suite 208,
- 3 St. Louis, Missouri 63141-2000.

4 Q WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?

5 A I am a consultant in the field of public utility regulation and president of Brubaker &

6 Associates, Inc., energy, economic and regulatory consultants.

7 Q PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.

8 A This information is included in Appendix A to my direct testimony on revenue
9 requirement issues.

10 Q ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU PRESENTING THIS DIRECT TESTIMONY ON

11 COST OF SERVICE AND RATE DESIGN ISSUES?

12 A This testimony is presented on behalf of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers 13 (MIEC). I am simultaneously submitting a separate volume of testimony which 14 addresses fuel adjustment issues.

> Maurice Brubaker Page 1

1 Q WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A The purpose of my testimony is to present the results of an electric system class cost
of service study for AmerenUE, and to explain how the study should be used.

4 Q HOW IS YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

5 A First, I present an overview of cost of service principles and concepts. This includes 6 a description of how electricity is produced and distributed as well as a description of 7 the various functions that are involved; namely, generation, transmission and 8 distribution. This is followed by a discussion of the typical classification of these 9 functionalized costs into demand-related costs, energy-related costs and 10 customer-related costs.

11 With this as a background, I then explain the various factors which should be 12 considered in determining how to allocate these functionalized and classified costs 13 among customer classes.

Finally, I present the results of the detailed cost of service analysis for AmerenUE. This cost study indicates how individual customer class revenues compare to the costs incurred in providing service to them. This analysis and interpretation is then followed by recommendations with respect to the alignment of class revenues with class costs, and a critique of AmerenUE's proposed revenue allocation.

> Maurice Brubaker Page 2

> > 1

SUMMARY

1 2 Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY AND RECOMMENDATIONS. 3 А My testimony and recommendations may be summarized as follows: 4 1. Class cost of service is the most important guideline for establishing the level of rates charged to customers. 5 2. AmerenUE exhibits significant summer peak demands. 6 7 3. There are two generally accepted methods for allocating generation and transmission fixed costs that would apply to AmerenUE. 8 These are the coincident peak methodology and the average and excess (A&E) methodology. 9 10 4. For AmerenUE's generation and transmission system, I recommend using an A&E demand methodology. Specifically, a three non-coincident peak A&E 11 12 method which uses class peak demands from the three summer peak months 13 (June - August) and class annual energy consumption. 14 5. The A&E methodology appropriately considers both class maximum demands 15 and class load factor, as well as diversity between class peaks and the system 16 peak. 17 6. AmerenUE's cost of service study contains several deficiencies including: (1) 18 use of a Four Non-Coincident Peak Average and Excess (4 NCP A&E) allocation method; (2) allocation of transmission costs using 12 monthly coincident peaks; 19 20 (3) allocation of a significant proportion of non-fuel production expenses on 21 energy; (4) the allocation of customer service credit and collection costs on a 22 new and improper allocator; and (5) allocation of all of the energy and variable 23 purchased power costs on a kilowatthour (kWh) basis, while crediting back off-24 system sales revenues on a demand basis. 25 7. More reasonable cost of service studies, which I present and summarize on 26 Schedules MEB-COS-4, 5 and 6, show how class revenues compare to cost of 27 service. 28 8. AmerenUE's proposal to depart materially from the results even of its own cost of 29 service study and cap the residential class at a 10% increase (in the context of its 30 overall 18% request), and to allocate the shortfall to other customers classes is 31 inappropriate and it should not be accepted. 32 9. On a revenue-neutral basis, the Large Primary class revenues should be 33 decreased by about 3%. After that adjustment, the Large Primary class should 34 receive the average overall decrease or increase in revenues found appropriate 35 for AmerenUE.

> **Maurice Brubaker** Page 3

- 10. Any decrease or increase found appropriate for Rate 11 (Large Primary Service) should be applied as a uniform percentage decrease or increase to the existing charges in the tariff.
- 11. AmerenUE's proposal to "lock-in" customers with demands above 5,000 kW to the Large Primary Service rate, thereby withdrawing the option to take service on the Small Primary Service rate, is effectively an admission by AmerenUE that its proposed cost of service and revenue allocation are faulty. Under no circumstances should this provision be adopted.

COST OF SERVICE PROCEDURES

10 Overview

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11 Q PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COST ALLOCATION PROCESS.

The objective of cost allocation is to determine what proportion of the utility's total 12 А revenue requirement should be recovered from each customer class. As an aid to 13 this determination, cost of service studies are usually performed to determine the 14 15 portions of the total costs that are incurred to serve each customer class. The cost of service study identifies the cost responsibility of the class and provides the foundation 16 for revenue allocation and rate design. For many regulators, cost-based rates are an 17 expressed goal. To better interpret cost allocation and cost of service studies, it is 18 19 important to understand the production and delivery of electricity.

20 Electricity Fundamentals

21 Q IS ELECTRICITY SERVICE LIKE ANY OTHER GOODS OR SERVICES?

- 22 A No. Electricity is different from most other goods or services purchased by
- 23 consumers. For example:
 - It cannot be stored; must be delivered as produced;
- 25

24

It must be delivered to the customer's home or place of business;

Maurice Brubaker Page 4

- The delivery occurs instantaneously when and in the amount needed by the customer; and
- 3 4

12

 Both the total quantity used (energy or kWh) by a customer <u>and</u> the rate of use (demand or kW) are important.

5 These unique characteristics differentiate electric utilities from other service-related 6 industries.

7 The service provided by electric utilities is multi-dimensional. First, unlike 8 most vital services, electricity must be delivered at the place of consumption – homes, 9 schools, businesses, factories – because this is where the lights, appliances, 10 machines, air conditioning, etc. are located. Thus, every utility must provide a path 11 through which electricity can be delivered regardless of the customer's **demand** and 12 **energy** requirements at any point in time.

13 Even at the same location, electricity may be used in a variety of applications. 14 Homeowners, for example, use electricity for lighting, space conditioning, and to 15 operate various appliances. At any instant, several appliances may be operating 16 (e.g., lights, refrigerator, TV, air conditioning, etc.). Which appliances are used and 17 when reflects the second dimension of utility service-the rate of electricity use or 18 The demand imposed by customers is an especially important demand. 19 characteristic because the maximum demands determine how much capacity the 20 utility is obligated to provide.

Generating units, transmission lines and substations and distribution lines and substations are rated according to the maximum demand that can safely be imposed on them. (They are not rated according to average annual demand; that is, the amount of energy consumed during the year divided by 8,760 hours.) On a hot summer afternoon when customers demand 9,000 megawatts (MW) of electricity, the utility must have at least 9,000 MW of generation, plus additional capacity to provide

> Maurice Brubaker Page 5

adequate reserves, so that when a consumer flips the switch, the lights turn on, the machines operate and air conditioning systems cool our homes, schools, offices, and factories.

1

2

3

24

25

Satisfying customers' demand for electricity over time-providing energy-is the third dimension of utility service. It is also the dimension with which many people are most familiar, because people often think of electricity simply in terms of kWhs. To see one reason why this isn't so, consider a more familiar commodity-tomatoes, for example.

9 The tomatoes we buy at the supermarket for about \$2.00 a pound might 10 originally come from Florida where they are bought for about 30¢ a pound. In 11 addition to the cost of buying them at the point of production, there is the cost of 12 bringing them to the state of Missouri and distributing them in bulk to local 13 wholesalers. The cost of transportation, insurance, handling and warehousing must 14 be added to the original 30¢ a pound. Then they are distributed to neighborhood 15 stores, which adds more handling costs as well as the store's own costs of light, heat, 16 personnel and rent. Shoppers can then purchase as many or few tomatoes as they 17 desire at their convenience. In addition, there are losses from spoilage and damage 18 in handling. These "line losses" represent an additional cost which must be 19 recovered in the final price. What we are really paying for at the store is not only the 20 vegetable itself, but the service of having it available in convenient amounts and 21 locations. If we took the time and trouble (and expense) to go down to the wholesale 22 produce distributor, the price would be less. If we could arrange to buy them in bulk 23 in Florida, they would be even cheaper.

As illustrated in Figure 1, electric utilities are similar, except that in most cases (including Missouri), a single company handles everything from production on down

Maurice Brubaker Page 6

1 through wholesale (bulk and area transmission) and retail (distribution to homes and 2 stores). The crucial difference is that, unlike producers and distributors of tomatoes, 3 electric utilities have an obligation to provide continuous reliable service. The 4 obligation is assumed in return for the exclusive right to serve all customers located 5 within its territorial franchise. In addition to satisfying the energy (or kWh) 6 requirements of its customers, the obligation to serve means that the utility must also 7 provide the necessary facilities to attach customers to the grid (so that service can be 8 used at the point where it is to be consumed) and these facilities must be responsive 9 to changes in the kilowatt demands whenever they occur.

> Maurice Brubaker Page 7



Figure 1

Maurice Brubaker

Page 8

BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES, INC.

3 *****2.

A CLOSER LOOK AT THE COST OF SERVICE STUDY

2 Q PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW A COST OF SERVICE STUDY IS PREPARED.

3 Α To the extent possible, the unique characteristics that differentiate electric utilities from other service-related industries should be recognized in determining the cost of 4 5 providing service to each of the various customer classes. The basic procedure for 6 conducting a class cost of service study is simple. In an allocated cost of service 7 study, we identify the different types of costs (functionalization), determine their 8 primary causative factors (classification) and then apportion each item of cost 9 among the various rate classes (allocation). Adding up the individual pieces gives 10 the total cost for each customer class.

11 Functionalization

1

12 Q PLEASE EXPLAIN FUNCTIONALIZATION.

A Identifying the different levels of operation is a process referred to as
 functionalization. The utility's investment and expenses are separated by function
 (production, transmission, etc.). To a large extent, this is done in accordance with the
 Uniform System of Accounts.

17 Referring to Figure 1, at the top level there is generation. The next level is the 18 extra high voltage transmission and subtransmission system (34,500 to 345,000 19 volts). Then the voltage is stepped down to primary voltage levels of distribution— 20 4,160 to 12,000 volts. Finally, the voltage is stepped down by pole transformers at 21 the "secondary" level to 110/220 volts used to serve homes, barber shops and the 22 like. Additional investment and expenses are required to serve customers at 23 secondary voltages, compared to the cost of serving customers at higher voltage.

> Maurice Brubaker Page 9

1 Each additional transformation, thus, requires additional investment, additional 2 expenses and results in some additional electrical losses. To say that "a kilowatthour is a kilowatthour" is like saying that "a tomato is a tomato." It's true in one sense, but 3 when you buy a kWh at home you're not only buying the energy itself but also the 4 5 service of having it delivered right to your doorstep in convenient form. Those who buy at the bulk or wholesale level - like Large Transmission and Large Primary 6 7 service customers - pay less because some of the expenses to the utility are avoided. (Actually, the expenses are borne by the customer who must invest in his 8 9 own transformers and other equipment, or pay separately for some services.)

10 Classification

11 Q WHAT IS CLASSIFICATION?

12 A Once the costs have been functionalized, the next step is to identify the primary 13 causative factor (or factors). This step is referred to as **classification**. Costs are 14 classified as demand-related, energy-related or customer-related.

Looking at the production function, the amount of production plant capacity required is primarily determined by the <u>peak</u> rate of usage during the year. If the utility anticipates a peak demand of 9,000 megawatts – it must install and/or contract for enough generating capacity to meet that anticipated demand (plus some reserve to compensate for variations in load and capacity that is temporarily unavailable).

There will be many hours during the day or during the year when not all of this generating capacity will be needed. Nevertheless, it must be in place to meet the <u>peak</u> demands on the system. Thus, production plant investment is usually classified to demand. **Regardless of how production plant investment is classified, the associated capital costs** (which include return on investment, depreciation, fixed

> Maurice Brubaker Page 10

operation and maintenance expenses, taxes and insurance) are fixed; that is, <u>they</u>
 <u>do not vary with the amount of kWhs generated and sold</u>. These fixed costs are
 determined by the amount of capacity (i.e., kilowatts) which the utility must install to
 satisfy its obligation-to-serve requirement.

On the other hand, it is easy to see that the amount of fuel burned-and therefore the amount of fuel expense-is closely related to the amount of energy (number of kWhs) that customers use. Therefore, fuel expense is an energy-related cost.

5

6

7

8

9 Most other O&M expenses are fixed and therefore are classified as demand-10 related. Variable O&M expenses are classified as energy-related. Demand-related 11 and energy-related types of operating costs are not impacted by the number of 12 customers served.

Customer-related costs are the third major category. Obvious examples of customer-related costs include the investment in meters and service drops (the line from the pole to the customer's facility or house). Along with meter reading, posting accounts and rendering bills, these "customer costs" may be several dollars per customer, per month. Less obvious examples of customer-related costs may include the investment in other distribution accounts.

A certain portion of the cost of the distribution system-poles, wires and transformers-is required simply to attach customers to the system, regardless of their demand or energy requirements. This minimum or "skeleton" distribution system may also be considered a customer-related cost since it depends primarily on the number of customers, rather than demand or energy usage.

Figure 2, as an example, shows the distribution network for a utility with two customer classes, A and B. The physical distribution network necessary to attach

> Maurice Brubaker Page 11

Class A is designed to serve 12 customers, each with a 10-kilowatt load, having a total demand of 120 kW. This is the same total demand as is imposed by Class B, which consists of a single customer. Clearly, a much more extensive distribution system is required to attach the multitude of small customers (Class A), than to attach the single larger customer (Class B), despite the fact that the total demand of each customer class is the same.

1

2

3

4

5

6

Even though some additional customers can be attached without additional
investment in some areas of the system, it is obvious that attaching a large number of
customers requires investment in facilities, not only initially but on a continuing basis
as a result of the need for maintenance and repair.

11 To the extent that the distribution system components must be sized to 12 accommodate additional load beyond the minimum, the balance is a demand-related 13 cost. Thus, the distribution system is classified as both demand-related and 14 customer-related.

Figure 2 Classification of Distribution Investment



Total Demand = 120 kW Class A Total Demand = 120 kW Class B

> Maurice Brubaker Page 12

1 Demand vs. Energy Costs

2 Q WHAT IS THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN DEMAND-RELATED COSTS AND 3 ENERGY-RELATED COSTS?

A The difference between demand-related and energy-related costs explains the fallacy
of the argument that "a kilowatthour is a kilowatthour." For example, Figure 3,
compares the electrical requirements of two customers, A and B, each using 100-watt
light bulbs.

8 Customer A turns on all five of his/her 100-watt light bulbs for two hours. 9 Customer B, by contrast, turns on two light bulbs for five hours. Both customers use 10 the same amount of energy–1,000 watthours or 1 kWh. However, Customer A 11 utilized electric power at a higher rate, 500 watts per hour or 0.5 kilowatts (kW), than 12 Customer who demanded only 200 watts per hour or 0.2 kW.

Although both customers had precisely the same kWh energy usage,
Customer A's kW demand was 2.5 times Customer B's. Therefore, the utility must
install 2.5 times as much generating capacity for Customer A as for Customer B. The
cost of serving Customer A, therefore, is much higher.

17 Q DOES THIS HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE CONCEPT OF LOAD FACTOR?

18 A Yes. Load factor is an expression of how uniformly a customer uses energy. In our 19 example of the light bulbs, the load factor of Customer B would be higher than the 20 load factor of Customer A because the use of electricity was spread over a longer 21 period of time, and the number of kWhs used for each kilowatt of demand imposed on 22 the system is much greater in the case of Customer B.

> Maurice Brubaker Page 13



- ---

CUSTOMER B



Maurice Brubaker Page 14

BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Mathematically, load factor is the average rate of use divided by the peak rate of use. A customer with a higher load factor is less expensive to serve, on a per kWh basis, than a customer with a low load factor, irrespective of size. 3

Consider also the analogy of a rental car which costs \$40/day and 20¢/mile. If 4 Customer A drives only 20 miles a day, the average cost will be \$2.20/mile. But for 5 Customer B, who drives 200 miles a day, spreading the daily rental charge over the 6 total mileage gives an average cost of 40¢/mile. For both customers, the fixed cost 7 rate (daily charge) and variable cost rate (mileage charge) are identical, but the 8 9 average total cost per mile will differ depending on how intensively the car is used. Likewise, the average cost per kWh will depend on how intensively the generating 10 plant is used. A low load factor indicates that the capacity is idle much of the time; a 11 high load factor indicates a more steady rate of usage. Since industrial customers 12 generally have higher load factors than residential or commercial customers, they are 13 14 less costly to serve on a per-kWh basis. Again, we can say that "a kilowatthour is a 15 kilowatthour" as to energy content, but there may be a big difference in how much 16 generating plant investment is required to convert the raw fuel into electric energy.

17 Allocation

1

2

WHAT IS ALLOCATION? 18 Q

19 А The final step in the cost of service analysis is the allocation of the costs to the 20 customer classes. Demand, energy and customer allocation factors are developed to 21 apportion the costs among the customer classes. Each factor measures the 22 customer class's contribution to the system total cost.

23 For example, we have already determined that the amount of fuel expense on 24 the system is a function of the energy required by customers. In order to allocate this

> Maurice Brubaker Page 15

expense among classes, we must determine how much each class contributes to the
total kWh consumption and we must recognize the line losses associated with
transporting and distributing the kWh. These contributions, expressed in percentage
terms, are then multiplied by the expense to determine how much expense should be
attributed to each class. The energy allocators for AmerenUE's retail customers are
shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1 Energy Allocation Factor				
Rate Class	Energy Generated <u>(MWh)</u> (1)	Allocation Factor (2)		
Residential	14,698,553	36.63%		
Small GS	GS 3,958,829			
Large GS 8,666,814 21.60%				
Small Primary 4,292,364 10.70%				
Large Primary 4,421,025 11.02%				
Large Transmission <u>4,092,397</u> <u>10.20%</u>				
Total 40,129,983 100.00%				

For demand-related costs, we construct an allocation factor by looking at the
important class demands. For purposes of discussion, Table 2 shows the calculation
of the factor for AmerenUE. (The selection and derivation of this factor is discussed
in more detail beginning at page 20.)

11QDO THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE ENERGY ALLOCATION FACTORS12AND THE DEMAND ALLOCATION FACTORS TELL US ANYTHING ABOUT13CLASS LOAD FACTOR?

A Yes. Recall that load factor is a measure of the consistency or uniformity of use of
 demand. Accordingly, customer classes' whose energy allocation factor is a larger

Maurice Brubaker Page 16

BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES, INC.

.....

percentage than their demand allocation have an above-average load factor, while
 customers whose demand allocation factor is higher than their energy allocation
 factor have a below-average load factor.

These relationships are merely the result of differences in how electricity is used. In the case of AmerenUE (as is true for essentially every other utility) the large customer classes have above-average load factors, while the Residential and Small GS customers have below-average load factors. (Load factors are presented in Table 4, which is discussed later.)

i

ļ

TA Demand Al <u>Produc</u>		
Production A&E <u>Rate Class</u> (MW) (1)		Allocation <u>Factor</u> (2)
Residential	3,924	47.16%
Small GS	935	11.23%
Large GS	1,624	19.52%
Small Primary	701	8.42%
Large Primary	661	7.94%
Large Transmission <u>476</u>		<u> </u>
Total	8,321	100.00%

Maurice Brubaker Page 17

1 Q THE RATES, WHEN EXPRESSED PER KWH, CHARGED TO SMALL PRIMARY, 2 LARGE PRIMARY AND LARGE TRANSMISSION CUSTOMERS ARE 3 CURRENTLY LESS THAN THE RATES CHARGED TO OTHER CUSTOMERS. 4 DOES THE COST OF SERVICE STUDY INDICATE THAT THIS IS 5 APPROPRIATE?

Í

10

11

A Yes. Table 3 shows the cost-based revenue requirement for each customer class.
Note that the cost, per unit, to serve the Small Primary, Large Primary and Large
Transmission customers is significantly less than the cost to serve the other
customers. In fact, similar relationships hold true on any electric utility system.

TABLE 3 Class Revenue Requirement Average and Excess Method (Dollars in Thousands)			
Rate Class	Cost-Based <u>Revenue</u> (1)	Energy Sales (MWh) (2)	Cost <u>per kWh</u> (3)
Residential	\$970,129	13,498,193	7.19¢
Small GS	219,989	3,635,571	6.05¢
Large GS	369,566	7,959,038	4.64¢
Small Primary	159,152	4,098,092	3.88¢
Large Primary	151,186	4,241,996	3.56¢
Large Transmission	100,769	4,033,111	<u>2.50¢</u>
Total	\$1,970,791	37,466,001	5.26¢

As previously discussed, the reasons for these differences are: (1) load factor, (2) delivery voltage and (3) size.

12 The Primary and Transmission customers have higher load factors, as shown 13 in Table 4. Consequently, the capital costs related to production and transmission 14 are spread over a greater number of kWhs than is the case for lower load factor 15 classes, resulting in lower costs per kWh and hence lower rates.

> Maurice Brubaker Page 18

TABLE 4 Comparative Load Factors			
Rate Class	Energy Generated (MWh) (1)	Production A&E (MW) (2)	Load Factor (3)
Residential	14,698,553	3,924	43%
Small GS	3,958,829	935	48%
Large GS	8,666,814	1,624	61%
Small Primary	4,292,364	701	70%
Large Primary	4,421,025	661	76%
Large Transmission	4,092,397	<u> 476 </u>	<u>98%</u>
Total	40,129,983	8,321	55%

1 In addition, these customers take service at a higher voltage level. This 2 means that they do not cause the costs associated with lower voltage distribution. 3 Losses incurred in providing service also are lower. Table 5 lists voltage level and composite loss percentages for the various classes. Losses are 8.89% at the 4 5 secondary level, 4.28% at the primary level and 1.47% at the transmission level.

TABLE 5 Energy Loss Factors				
	Percent of Sale By Voltage Level Composite Loss			
	Secondary	Primary & Higher	Percentage	
Rate Class	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Residential	100%	0%	8.89%	
Small GS	100%	0%	8.89%	
Large GS	100%	0%	8.89%	
Small Primary	0%	100%	4.74%	
Large Primary	0%	100%	4.22%	
Large Transmission	0%	100%	1.47%	

The per capita sales to these classes are also much greater than to the other classes, as shown in Table 6. AmerenUE sells almost 6,400,000 and 70,000,000 kWhs per Small Primary and Large Primary customer, respectively, but less than

> **Maurice Brubaker** Page 19

BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES, INC.

6

7 8 13,400 kWhs per Residential customer, or between 480 and 5,200 times more per capita, as shown in Table 6. The customer-related costs to serve the former are not 480 to 5,200 times the customer-related costs to serve the Residential customer.

TABLE 6 Energy Sold Per Customer			
Rate Class	Energy Sold	Number of	KWh Sold
	<u>(MWh)</u>	<u>Customers</u>	<u>per Customer</u>
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	13,498,193	1,014,213	13,309
Small GS	3,635,571	137,204	26,498
Large GS	7,959,038	9,426	844,371
Small Primary	4,098,092	642	6,383,321
Large Primary	4,241,996	61	69,540,918
Large Transmission	<u>4,033,111</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4,033,111,000</u>
Total	37,466,001	1,161,547	32,255

These differences in the service and usage characteristics – load factor, delivery voltage and size – result in a lower per unit cost to serve customers operating at a higher load factor, taking service at higher delivery voltage and purchasing a larger quantity of power and energy at a single delivery point.

8 Utility System Characteristics

9 Q WHAT IS THE IMPORTANCE OF UTILITY SYSTEM LOAD CHARACTERISTICS?

10 A Utility system load characteristics are an important factor in determining the specific 11 method which should be employed to allocate fixed, or demand-related costs on a 12 utility system. The most important characteristic is the annual load pattern of the 13 utility. These characteristics for AmerenUE's Missouri jurisdiction are shown on 14 Schedule MEB-COS-1. For convenience, it is also shown here as Figure 4.

> Maurice Brubaker Page 20

BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1 2

3

Figure 4

AmerenUE





This shows the monthly system peak demands for the test year used in the study.
 The red bars show the months in which the highest peaks occurred.
 This analysis clearly shows that summer peaks dominate the AmerenUE
 system. (This same information is presented in tabular form on Schedule MEB-

5 COS-2.)

1

1

1

Maurice Brubaker Page 21

1QWHAT CRITERIA SHOULD BE USED TO DETERMINE AN APPROPRIATE2METHOD FOR ALLOCATING PRODUCTION AND TRANSMISSION CAPACITY3COSTS AMONG THE VARIOUS CUSTOMER CLASSES?

t

Т

A The specific allocation method should be consistent with the principle of costcausation; that is, the allocation should reflect the contribution of each customer class
to the demands that caused the utility to incur capacity costs.

7 Q WHAT FACTORS CAUSE ELECTRIC UTILITIES TO INCUR PRODUCTION AND 8 TRANSMISSION CAPACITY COSTS?

9 Α As discussed previously, production and transmission plant must be sized to meet the 10 maximum demand imposed on these facilities. Thus, an appropriate allocation 11 method should accurately reflect the characteristics of the loads served by the utility. 12 For example, if a utility has a high summer peak relative to the demands in other 13 seasons, then production and transmission capacity costs should be allocated 14 relative to each customer class' contribution to the summer peak demands. If a utility 15 has predominant peaks in both the summer and winter periods, then an appropriate 16 allocation method would be based on the demands imposed during both the summer 17 and winter peak periods. For a utility with a very high load factor and/or a 18 non-seasonal load pattern, then demands in all months may be important.

19QWHAT DO THESE CONSIDERATIONS MEAN IN THE CONTEXT OF THE20AMERENUE SYSTEM?

A As noted, the AmerenUE load pattern has predominant summer peaks. This means that these demands should be the primary ones used in the allocation of generation and transmission cost. Demands in other months are of much less significance, do

> Maurice Brubaker Page 22

not compel the addition of generation capacity to serve them and should not be used
 in determining the allocation of costs.

3 Q WHAT SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS DO YOU HAVE?

4 A The two most predominantly used allocation methods in the industry are the 5 coincident peak method and the A&E demand method.

6 The coincident method utilizes the demands of customer classes with the 7 coincident peaks selected for allocation. In the case of AmerenUE, this would be the 8 months of June, July and August.

9 Q WHAT IS THE A&E METHOD?

1

The A&E method is one of a family of methods which incorporates a consideration of 10 A both the maximum rate of use (demand) and the duration of use (energy). As the 11 name implies, A&E makes a conceptual split of the system into an "average" 12 component and an "excess" component. The "average" demand is simply the total 13 kWh usage divided by the total number of hours in the year. This is the amount of 14 capacity that would be required to produce the energy if it were taken at the same 15 demand rate each hour. The system "excess" demand is the difference between the 16 17 system peak demand and the system average demand.

18 Under the A&E method, the average demand is allocated to classes in 19 proportion to their average demand (energy usage). The difference between the 20 system average demand and the system peak(s) is then allocated to customer 21 classes on the basis of a measure that represents their "peaking" or variability in 22 usage.¹

¹NARUC Electric Utility Cost Allocation Manual, 1992, page 81.

Maurice Brubaker Page 23

1 Q WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY VARIABILITY IN USAGE?

A As an example, Figure 5 shows two classes that have different monthly usage
patterns.

Figure 5 Load Patterns



Both classes use the same total amount of energy and, therefore, have the same 4 5 average demand. Class B, though, has a much greater maximum demand² than 6 Class A. The greater maximum demand imposes greater costs on the utility system. 7 This is because the utility must provide sufficient capacity to meet the projected 8 maximum demands of its customers. There may also be higher costs due to the 9 greater variability of usage of some classes. This variability requires that a utility 10 cycle its generating units in order to match output with demand on a real time basis. 11 The stress of cycling generating units up and down causes wear and tear on the 12 equipment, resulting in higher maintenance cost.

13Thus, the excess component of the A&E method is an attempt to allocate the14additional capacity requirements of the system (measured by the system excess) in

²During any specified time period (e.g., month, year), the maximum demand of a class, regardless of when it occurs, is called the non-coincident peak demand.

proportion to the "peakiness" of the customer classes (measured by the class excess
 demands).

3 Q WHAT DEMAND ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY DO YOU RECOMMEND FOR 4 GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION?

5 A First, in order to reflect cost causation the methodology must give predominant weight 6 to loads occurring during the summer months. Loads during these months (the peak 7 loads) are the primary driver which has and continues to cause the utility to expand 8 its generation and transmission capacity, and therefore should be given predominant 9 weight in the allocation of capacity costs.

10 Either a coincident peak study, using the demands during the peak summer 11 months, or a version of an A&E cost of service study that uses class non-coincident 12 peak loads occurring during the summer, would be most appropriate to reflect these 13 characteristics. The results should be similar as long as only summer period peak 14 loads are used. I will make my recommendations based on the A&E method. It considers the maximum class demands during the critical time periods, and is less 15 16 susceptible to variations in the absolute hour in which peaks occur - producing a 17 somewhat more stable result over time.

Schedule MEB-COS-3 shows the derivation of the demand allocation factor
for generation using class non-coincident peak loads from the three summer peak
months.

Maurice Brubaker Page 25

1 Q REFERRING TO SCHEDULE MEB-COS-3, PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DEVELOP-2 MENT OF THE A&E ALLOCATION FACTOR.

A Line 1 shows the average of the non-coincident peaks for each class in the three summer months. As explained previously, the summer months are selected because of their criticality in determining the need for generation capacity or firm purchased power. Line 2 shows the annual amount of energy required by each class. Line 3 is the average demand, in kilowatts, which is determined by dividing the annual energy in line 2 by the number of hours (8,760) in a year. Line 4 shows the percentage relationship between the average demand for each class and the total system.

10 The excess demand, shown on line 5, is equal to the non-coincident peak 11 demand shown on line 1 minus the average demand that is shown on line 3. Line 6 12 shows the excess demand percentage, which is a relationship among the excess 13 demand of each customer class and the total excess demand for all classes.

Finally, line 9 presents the composite A&E allocation factor. It is determined by weighting the average demand responsibility of each class (which is the same as each class' energy allocation factor) by the system load factor, and weighting the excess demand factor by the quantity one minus the system load factor.

18 Q HOW DOES THIS DIFFER FROM THE ALLOCATOR AMERENUE HAS USED?

A merenUE used a 4 NCP A&E allocation factor. This allocation factor differs from mine in two important respects. First, as is evident by the description factor, AmerenUE has used demands from four separate months, rather than from the three peak months. Second, AmerenUE has not consistently utilized class peaks from even the four highest load months, but rather has included, for a number of classes, peaks that occur outside of the summer peak period. This is inappropriate and

> Maurice Brubaker Page 26

- 1 allocates too much cost to those classes that have one or more peaks occurring
- 2 outside of the summer peak season.

3 Making the Cost of Service Study–Summary

4 Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE PROCESS AND THE RESULTS OF A COST OF

5 SERVICE ANALYSIS.

- 6 A As previously discussed, the cost of service procedure involves three steps:
- 7 1. Functionalization–Identify the different functional "levels" of the system;
- 8 2. Classification-Determine, for each functional type, the primary cause or causes 9 (customer, demand or energy) of that cost being incurred; and
- Allocation-Calculate the class proportional responsibilities for each type of cost and spread the cost among classes.

12 Q WHERE ARE YOUR COST OF SERVICE RESULTS PRESENTED?

- A The results are presented in Schedule MEB-COS-4. In this cost of service study,
 which reflects costs at present rates, I have modified AmerenUE's numbers only to
- 15 reflect the adjustments proposed by MIEC witnesses' Dauphinais and Selecky.

16QREFERRINGTOSCHEDULEMEB-COS-4,PLEASEEXPLAINTHE17ORGANIZATION AND WHAT IS SHOWN.

A Schedule MEB-COS-4 is a summary of the key elements and the results of the class cost of service study. The top section of the schedule shows the main elements of rate base. This is followed by revenues, expenses, operating income and, on line 25, the rate of return earned on service to each customer class under present rates. Line 26 shows the index of return which is developed by dividing the rate of return of each class by the overall rate of return of 6.74% at present rates.

> Maurice Brubaker Page 27

> > _____

BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Line 27 shows the dollar difference between the revenues being produced by
 a class and the revenues required for the class to produce the average rate of return
 at present rates, and Line 28 shows the percentage change.

4 Q OTHER THAN THE ALLOCATION OF THE GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION 5 PLANT, HOW DOES YOUR STUDY DIFFER FROM THE ONE PRESENTED BY 6 AMERENUE?

7 A There are also differences in terms of allocation of the transmission system, the
8 allocation of non-fuel generation costs, the allocation of certain credit and collection
9 costs and the allocation of off-system sales revenue.

10 Q WHAT IS THE ISSUE WITH RESPECT TO THE ALLOCATION OF 11 TRANSMISSION COSTS?

A AmerenUE has allocated transmission costs using the 12 monthly coincident peaks. The transmission system must be built to meet the system peak demands, which occurs in the summer; not the average of the 12 monthly peak demands, some of which are significantly lower than the summer peak demands. In this respect, the transmission system is similar to the generation system, and should be allocated in a similar fashion.

18 Q WHAT IS THE ISSUE WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN NON-FUEL GENERATION 19 COSTS?

A AmerenUE has designated a substantial proportion of its non-fuel operation and maintenance expenses as variable. It is more conventional to allocate these costs on an "expenses follows plant" basis, this is to say, on a demand basis. The vast

> Maurice Brubaker Page 28

1 2 majority of these costs do not vary in any appreciable way with the number of kWhs generated, but occur as a function of hours of operation and passage of time.

3 Q WHAT IS THE ISSUE WITH RESPECT TO THE ALLOCATION OF CERTAIN 4 CREDIT AND COLLECTION COSTS?

In the previous case involving Ameren's rates (Case No. EC-2002-1) these costs 5 А were allocated based on an analysis of the time devoted to collection activities. As a 6 result, the Large Primary service class was allocated 0.2% of total costs. In this case, 7 Ameren has changed methods and bases the allocation on a subset of the costs in 8 It has not provided any explanation or rationale for changing 9 this account. methodology. The methodology employed in this case allocates 5.2% of such costs 10 to Large Primary service customers, or over 25 times as much. In my experience, 11 this proportion of credit and collection costs is significantly greater than one would 12 13 expect for the Large Primary class. For this reason, and because Ameren has offered no explanation of the reason for the change in methodology, I have continued 14 to employ the same allocation factors that were employed in Case No. EC-2002-1. 15

16 Q WHAT IS THE ISSUE WITH RESPECT TO THE ALLOCATION OF OFF-SYSTEM 17 SALES?

18 A In its study, AmerenUE has allocated, to individual customer classes using the class 19 energy allocation, all of the costs of the fuel and variable purchase power that is 20 incurred to support off-system sales. Then, it allocates all of the revenues derived 21 from off-system sales to the customer classes based on the production demand 22 allocation factor. This inconsistent treatment results in a significant under-allocation 23 of off-system sales revenue credits to high load factor customer classes. Allocating

> Maurice Brubaker Page 29

100% of the expenses on an energy basis and 100% of the credits on a demand 1 2 basis is a fundamental flaw in AmerenUE's study.

3

9

Q WHAT WOULD BE A MORE TRADITIONAL AND REASONABLE APPROACH?

4 The more traditional approach is to allocate the revenues from off-system sales to А 5 customer classes on the basis of class kWh requirements. This would make the 6 allocation of the revenues consistent with the allocation of the underlying costs. (This 7 method was just adopted in the KCP&L rate case, Case No. ER-2006-0314.)

- HAVE YOU PERFORMED ANY STUDIES IN WHICH A VARIATION OF THIS 8 Q APPROACH TO THE ALLOCATION OF OFF-SYSTEM SALES WAS EMPLOYED?
- 10 А Yes. Schedule MEB-COS-5 shows the results of allocating all costs and revenues 11 the same way as the study which I described in Schedule MEB-COS-4, except that 12 the margin or profit from off-system sales is isolated and allocated to customer 13 classes using the production demand allocation factor. An amount of revenue equal 14 to the fuel costs associated with the sale is allocated on a kWh basis so that there is 15 a matching offset against the allocation of the underlying fuel costs. With this 16 allocation, the disparities among users narrow somewhat, but the results are basically 17 the same.

18 Q HAVE YOU PREPARED ANY OTHER ALLOCATIONS?

19 А Yes. Schedule MEB-COS-6 shows the results of the cost allocation study using the 20 same methods that were employed to develop Schedule MEB-COS-4, except that 21 I have made further adjustments to the revenue requirements in an attempt to more 22 closely approximate some of the adjustments to fuel, purchased power and

> Maurice Brubaker Page 30

- off-system sales offered by other parties. As an approximation of this impact, I have
 reduced net variable fuel and purchased power costs by \$100 million.
- 3 Q HOW DO THESE RESULTS COMPARE WITH THE RESULTS OF THE OTHER 4 STUDIES?
- 5 A The rates of return from the various classes are all higher, but the relationships are 6 similar.

I

i

7 Q DO YOU HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT ANY OTHER ASPECTS OF AMERENUE'S 8 CLASS COST OF SERVICE STUDY?

Yes. In reviewing the separation of the distribution accounts between customer-9 А 10 related and demand-related I noted that the customer-related component for these 11 accounts, in Ameren's study, is significantly less than the customer-related component in studies recently filed by Kansas City Power & Light Company and 12 Aquila. While I have not changed AmerenUE's customer/demand split for these 13 14 accounts, I would note that AmerenUE's relatively low customer component has the effect of disadvantaging the customers on the Small Primary and Large Primary rate 15 16 schedules.

Also, I believe that AmerenUE has allocated too much investment in the primary distribution network to the Large Primary customers as a result of not being more precise in recognizing the high voltage delivery of much of this load. I have not changed the study, but note that this, too, tends to understate the rate of return from these customers.

> Maurice Brubaker Page 31

1 Q HAVE YOU PROVIDED THE FULL PRINTOUT OF YOUR CLASS COST OF 2 SERVICE STUDY?

3 A Yes. I have included the full printout as Attachment 1.

4 Q DID YOU USE AMERENUE'S COST OF SERVICE MODEL TO PRODUCE YOUR 5 CLASS COST OF SERVICE STUDY?

6 A It was the starting point. The results of AmerenUE's allocation were replicated by 7 utilizing the data contained in its cost of service model. Many of AmerenUE's 8 allocation factors and functionalizations and classifications have been utilized, and 9 the principal areas where I depart from AmerenUE have heretofore been explained in 10 this testimony.

11 Adjustment of Class Revenues

12 Q WHAT SHOULD BE THE PRIMARY BASIS FOR ESTABLISHING CLASS 13 REVENUE REQUIREMENTS AND DESIGNING RATES?

14 A Cost should be the primary factor used in both steps.

Just as cost of service is used to establish a utility's total revenue requirement,
it should also be the basis used to establish the revenues collected from each
customer class and to design rate schedules.

Although factors such as simplicity, gradualism and ease of administration may also be taken into account, the basic starting point and guideline throughout the process should be cost of service. To the extent practicable, rate schedules should be structured and designed to reflect the important cost-causative features of the service provided, and to collect the appropriate cost from the customers within each

> Maurice Brubaker Page 32

class or rate schedule, based upon the individual load patterns exhibited by those
 customers.

_ ...

- 3 Q WHAT IS THE BASIS FOR YOUR RECOMMENDATION THAT COST BE USED AS
- 4 THE PRIMARY FACTOR FOR THESE PURPOSES?
- 5 A The basic reasons for using cost as the primary factor are equity, conservation, and 6 engineering efficiency (cost-minimization).
- 7 Q PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW EQUITY IS ACHIEVED BY BASING RATES ON COST.
- 8 A When rates are based on cost, each customer pays what it costs the utility to provide 9 service to that customer; no more and no less. If rates are based on anything other 10 than cost factors, then some customers will pay the costs attributable to providing 11 service to other customers-which is inherently inequitable.

12 Q HOW DO COST-BASED RATES FURTHER THE GOAL OF CONSERVATION?

13 A Conservation occurs when wasteful, inefficient use is discouraged or minimized. Only 14 when rates are based on costs do customers receive a balanced price signal upon 15 which to make their electric consumption decisions. If rates are not based on costs, 16 then customers who are not paying their full costs may be mislead into using 17 electricity inefficiently in response to the distorted rate design signals they receive.

18QWILL COST-BASED RATES ASSIST IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF COST-19EFFECTIVE DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT (DSM) PROGRAMS?

A Yes. The success of DSM (both energy efficiency and demand response programs)
 depends, to a large extent, on customer receptivity. There are many actions that can

Maurice Brubaker Page 33

BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES, INC.

be taken by consumers to reduce their electricity requirements. A major element in a customer's decision-making process is the amount of reduction that can be achieved in the electric bill as a result of DSM activities. If the bill received by a customer is subsidized by other customers; that is, the bill is determined using rates which are below cost, that customer will have less reason to engage in DSM activities than when the bill reflects the actual cost of the electric service provided.

For example, assume that the relevant cost to produce and deliver energy is 8 8¢ per kWh. If a customer has an opportunity to install energy efficiency or DSM 9 equipment that would allow the customer to reduce energy use or demand, the 10 customer will be much more likely to make that investment if the price of electricity 11 equals the cost of electricity, i.e., 8¢ per kWh, than if the customer is receiving a 12 subsidized rate of 6¢ per kWh.

13 Q HOW DO COST-BASED RATES ACHIEVE THE COST-MINIMIZATION 14 OBJECTIVE?

15 A When the rates are designed so that the energy costs, demand costs and customer 16 costs are properly reflected in the energy, demand and customer components of the 17 rate schedules, respectively, customers are provided with the proper incentives to 18 minimize their costs, which will in turn minimize the costs to the utility.

19 If a utility attempts to extract a disproportionate share of revenues from a class 20 that has atternatives available (such as producing products at other locations where 21 costs are lower), then the utility will be faced with the situation where it must discount 22 the rates or lose the load, either in part or in total. To the extent that the load could 23 have been served more economically by the utility, then either the other customers of

> Maurice Brubaker Page 34

the utility or the stockholders (or some combination of both) will be worse off than if
 the rates were properly designed on the basis of cost.

From a rate design perspective, overpricing the energy portion of the rate and underpricing the fixed components of the rate (such as customer and demand charges) will result in a disproportionate share of revenues being collected from large customers and high load factor customers. To the extent that these customers may have lower cost alternatives than do the smaller or the low load factor customers, the same problems noted above are created.

9 **Revenue Allocation**

10 Q PLEASE REFER AGAIN TO SCHEDULE 4 AND SUMMARIZE THE RESULTS OF 11 YOUR CLASS COST OF SERVICE STUDY.

A In general, the cost of service study shows that the Small General Service and Large
 Primary classes are closest to cost of service with other classes being further away.
 The Residential class is below cost of service and other classes are above cost of
 service.

16 Q HOW DOES AMERENUE PROPOSE TO ADJUST REVENUES?

First, it should be noted that AmerenUE has proposed an overall increase of approximately 18%, which would produce a level of revenue significantly greater than any other party has recommended. Within that context, however, AmerenUE proposes to essentially ignore the results of its class cost of service study. Instead, it proposes to cap the increase to the residential class at 10%, which is well below the level of increase that its own cost of service study suggests would be appropriate (27%) if its overall increase of 18% were granted. It proposes to capture the

> Maurice Brubaker Page 35

difference in revenue by increasing the revenue requirements of other customer
classes significantly more than the cost of service results indicate, which, in all cases,
would move the revenue level associated with these customers substantially above
where they should be. For example, Large Primary Service customers would see an
increase of 43% under AmerenUE's proposal, which is significantly higher than even
its distorted cost of service study suggests is appropriate on a cost of service basis.

7 Q WHICH AMERENUE WITNESS PRESENTS THE PROPOSAL TO CAP THE 8 RESIDENTIAL INCREASE AT 10%?

9 A AmerenUE witness Hanser.

10 Q WHAT IS THE BASIS FOR THIS RECOMMENDATION?

11 A It is difficult to tell. The words used talk of "rate stability" for the Residential class. 12 The substance of Mr. Hanser's testimony, however, is focused on explaining why an 13 increase of <u>only</u> 10% is reasonable for the Residential customer class. In fact, in 14 response to a data request (Noranda Data Request No. 28), Mr. Hanser indicates 15 that an increase larger than this may in fact be appropriate.

Other than these few words, the only other statement made is speculation about the availability to other customers of options to adapt to higher prices and the speculation that some consumers may be able to "pass on" increases to others. Nowhere does Mr. Hanser provide any evidence about the so-called "options," or the ability of any non-residential customer to "pass on" unjustified subsidy surcharges. Nor does he provide any evidence about the ability of residential customers to absorb rate increases.

> Maurice Brubaker Page 36

1 Q ARE THE RATIONALES EXPRESSED BY MR. HANSER GENERALLY 2 ACCEPTED IN THE INDUSTRY AS A BASIS FOR RATE DESIGN?

A No, not at all. In fact, in response to Data Request TCG 8-01, Mr. Hanser responded
that he was not aware of any regulatory decisions in which a given customer class
was required to subsidize the rates of another class because of better access to
capital markets or because of a belief that the class could more easily pass on rate
increases.

- 8 Q WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALLOCATION OF REVENUE 9 ADJUSTMENTS (INCREASES OR DECREASES) AMONG CUSTOMER 10 CLASSES?
- 11 A Based on the results of the cost of service study, Large Primary Service class 12 revenues should be reduced by about 3% on a revenue-neutral basis. After that 13 adjustment, the Large Primary Service class should receive the average overall 14 decrease or increase in revenues found appropriate for AmerenUE.

15 Q DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS WITH RESPECT TO THE DESIGN OF 16 PROPOSED RATE 11 - THE LARGE PRIMARY SERVICE RATE?

17 A The general structure of the rate is maintained, which is appropriate, but the 18 proposed charges for all of the blocks are far too high. I would recommend that 19 whatever decrease or increase is found appropriate for the Large Primary Service 20 rate be applied as an equal percentage decrease or increase to all existing rate 21 values.

1

Maurice Brubaker Page 37

1 Q DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS WITH RESPECT TO AMERENUE'S PROPOSAL 2 TO REQUIRE ALL PRIMARY VOLTAGE CUSTOMERS WITH A DEMAND ABOVE 3 5,000 KW TO BE SERVED UNDER THE LARGE PRIMARY SERVICE RATE, 4 THEREBY WITHDRAWING THE OPTION TO TAKE SERVICE AT THE SMALL 5 PRIMARY SERVICE RATE?

I oppose this provision. The fact that AmerenUE makes this proposal is essentially 6 А 7 an admission that its cost of service and revenue allocation are faulty. Typically, 8 customers who qualify for the larger load service rates (like Large Primary) would 9 achieve a lower cost than on a rate designed for a smaller load. This is expected 10 because of the economies of scale and the fact that the larger customers typically 11 have higher load factors than many of the smaller ones. The fact that Ameren must 12 try, to use Mr. Cooper's words, "lock in" (Direct Testimony of Wilbon Cooper at Page 13 34) the large customers on the Large Primary rate to keep them from escaping to a 14 lower load rate, such as Small Primary, that would be more economical is revealing 15 and further proof of the invalidity of AmerenUE's cost of service and revenue 16 allocation proposals. Under no circumstances should this provision be adopted.

17 Q DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

18 A Yes, it does.

PLCoce/TSK/MC320 extensory - BAN His 20s de

Maurice Brubaker Page 38

Analysis of Ameren's (Missouri) Monthly Peak Demands as a Percent of the Annual System Peak For the Test Year Ended March 2006



1

Schedule MEB-COS-1

1

Analysis of Ameren's Monthly Peak Demands as a Percent of the Annual System Peak (Weather Normalized and with Losses) For the Test Year Ended March 2006

Line	Description	Total Company MW	Percent
		(1)	(2)
1	April 2005	4,936	59
2	May	6,211	75
3	June	8,010	96
4	July	8,321	100
5	August	7,978	96
6	September	7,125	86
7	October	6,564	79
8	November	5,640	68
9	December	6,457	78
10	January 2006	5,605	67
11	February	5,911	71
12	March	5,421	65

Source: AmerenUE COS, System_Peak Worksheet

Schedule MEB-COS-2

Development of Average and Excess Demand Allocator Based on 3 NonCoincident Peaks For the Test Year Ended March 2006

<u>Line</u>	Description	Missouri <u>Retail</u> (1)	<u>Residential</u> (2)	Small General <u>Service</u> (3)	Large General <u>Service</u> (4)	Small Primary <u>Service</u> (5)	Large Primary <u>Service</u> (6)	Large Trans. <u>Service</u> (7)
1	Average of 3 NCPs (JJA) - kW	8,743,202	4,177,913	989,314	1,695,827	724,594	678,447	477,108
2	Energy Sales with Losses - MWh	40,129,983	14,698,553	3,958,829	8 ,666,814	4,292,364	4,421,025	4,092,397
3 4	Average Demand - kW Average Demand - Percent	4,581,048 1.000000	1,677,917 0.366274	451,921 0.098650	989,362 0.215969	489,996 0.106962	504,683 0.110168	467,169 0.101979
5 6	Class Excess Demand - kW Class Excess Demand - Percent	4,162,154 1.000000	2,499,996 0.600650	537,393 0.129114	706,465 0.169735	234,598 0.056365	173,763 0.041748	9,939 0.002388
7 8	Allocator: Annual Load Factor * Average Demand (1-LF) * Excess Demand	0.550569 0.449431	0.201659	0.054314	0.118906 0.076284	0.058890	0.060655	0.056146
9	Average and Excess Demand Allocator Notes:	1.000000	0.471609	0.112342	0.195190	0.084222	0.079418	0.057219
	Line 3 equals Line 2 ÷ 8.760 Line 5 equals Line 1 - Line 3							
	System Annual Load Factor 1 - Load Factor	55.06% 44.94%	(40,129,983 MV	/h ÷ 8,320.572	MW ÷ 8,760	hours)		

Schedule MEB-COS-3

:

AMEREN-UE ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED JUNE 2006 DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS

LINE	DESCRIPTION	MISSOURI	RESIDENTIAL	SMALL GEN_SERV	LARGE GEN_SERV	SMALL PRIMARY_	LARGE PRIMARY	large TRANS
1	GROSS PLANT IN SERVICE	\$11,224,426	\$5,805,293	\$1,306,255	\$2,082,949	\$824,226	\$762,941	\$442,761
2	RESERVES FOR DEPRECIATION	\$ 4.500,562	\$2,366,900	\$ 527,035	\$ 828,511	\$318,509	\$293,813	\$165,785
3	NET PLANT IN SERVICE	\$ 6,723,865	\$3,438,385	5 779,220	\$1,254,438	\$505,717	\$469,129	\$276,976
	RATE BASE ADDITIONS/REDUCTIONS:							
4	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - FUEL	\$ 227,226	\$ 83,227	\$ 22,416	\$ 49,074	\$ 24,304	\$ 25,033	\$ 23,172
5	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES -LOCAL	\$ 21,434	\$ 13,184	\$ 2,694	\$ 3,557	\$ 1,059	\$ 912	\$ 28
6	CASH WORKING CAPITAL	\$ (13,595)	\$ (6,173)	\$ {1,442}	\$ (2,635)	\$ (1,219)	\$ (1,197)	\$ (930)
7	CUSTOMER ADVANCES & DEPOSITS	\$ (14,677)	\$ (6,243)	\$ (4,406)	\$ (2,673)	\$ (845)	\$ {511}	s –
B	ACCUNULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	<u>\$11,095,577</u>)	\$ (566,651)	\$ 127,513)	\$ 1203,3251	\$ (80, 429)	\$174,448)	\$(43,210)
9	TOTAL NET ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE	\$ 5,848,677	\$2,955,730	\$ 670,969	\$1,098,436	\$448,588	\$418,910	\$256,036
	OPERATING REVENUES							
10	BASE REVENUE	\$ L.970,790	\$ 850,213	s 226,710	\$ 41B,267	\$182,440	\$155,952	\$137,209
11	OTHER REVENUE	\$ 62,831	\$ 33,783	\$ 6,546	\$ 10,673	\$ 4,157	\$ 4,304	\$ 3,068
12	LIGHTING REVENUE	\$ 27,111	\$ 13,701	\$ 3,110	\$ 5,092	\$ 2,079	5 1,942	\$ 1,187
13	SYSTEM REVENUE	\$ 336,500	\$ 123,251	\$ 33,196	\$ 72,673	\$ 35,993	\$ 37,071	\$ 34,316
14	RATE REVENUE VARIANCE	<u>\$ (22</u>)	<u>\$ (11)</u>	<u>\$ (3</u>)	\$ (1)	<u>\$ (2</u>)	<u>\$ (2)</u>	\$ (1)
15	TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE	\$ 2,397,210	\$1,020,937	s 269,559	\$ 506,701	\$224,967	\$199,267	\$175,778
	OPERATING EXPENSES							
16	TOTAL PROD, T&D, CUST, AND A&G EXP	\$ 1,466,770	\$ 665,942	\$ 155,545	\$ 284,291	\$131,480	\$129,178	\$100,334
17	TOTAL DEPR AND AMMORT EXPENSES	\$ 261,666	\$ 135,638	\$ 30,472	\$ 49,484	\$ 19,151	\$ 17,718	\$ 10,203
18	REAL ESTATE AND PROPERTY TAXES	\$ 99,528	\$ 51,478	\$ 11,504	\$ 18,471	\$ 7,307	\$ 6,763	\$ 3,925
19	INCOME TAXES	\$ 155,544	\$ 78,607	\$ 17,844	\$ 29,213	\$ 11,930	\$ 11,141	\$ 6,809
20	PAYROLL TAXES	\$ 19,601	\$ 10,023	\$ 2,181	\$ 3,526	\$ 1,584	\$ 1,473	\$ 814
21	FEDERAL EXCISE TAX	ş -	ş -	\$-	ş -	5 -	\$ -	s –
22	RÉVENUE TAXES	<u>\$</u>	<u>s </u>	<u>s </u>	<u>s</u>	<u> </u>	\$	<u>\$</u>
23	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ 2,003,109	\$ 941,688	\$ 217,626	\$ 383,984	\$171,452	\$166,273	\$122,086
24	NET OPERATING INCOME	\$ 394,101	\$ 79,250	\$ 51,933	\$ 122,717	\$ 53,515	\$ 32,994	\$ 53,692
25	RATE OF RETURN	6.738	2.6811	7.7404	11,1721	11.930%	7.876\$	20.9711
26	RATE OF RETURN INDEX	101) 40	115	5 166	177	117	311
27	REVENUE CHANGE TO EQUAL COS		119,916	-6,72	1 _48,701	-23,268	-4,766	-36,440
28	PERCENT OF BASE REVENUE	0.0%	14.1%	-3.0%	11.6%	-12.0%	-3.1%	-26.6%

t

• • -

AMEREN-UE ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED JUNE 2006 DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS *

ı

ł

LINE	DESCRIPTION	MISSOURI	RESIDENTIAL	SMALL <u>GEN SERV</u>	LARGE <u>GEN SERV</u>	SMALL PRIMARY	LARGÉ <u>PRIMARY</u>	LARGE TRANS
1	GROSS PLANT IN SERVICE	\$11,224,426	\$5,805,293	\$1,306,255	\$2,082,949	\$824,226	\$762,941	\$442,761
2	RESERVES FOR DEPRECIATION	\$ 4,500,562	\$2,366,908	\$ 527,035	\$ 828,511	\$318,509	\$293,813	\$165,785
3	NET PLANT IN SERVICE	\$ 6,723,065	\$3,438,385	s 779,220	\$1,254,438	\$505,717	\$469,129	\$276,976
	RATE BASE ADDITIONS/REDUCTIONS:							
4	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - FUEL	\$ 227,226	\$ 83,227	\$ 22,416	\$ 49,074	\$ 24,304	\$ 25,033	\$ 23,172
5	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES ~LOCAL	\$ 21,434	\$ 13,184	\$ 2,694	\$ 3,557	\$ 1,059	\$ 912	5 28
6	CASH WORKING CAPITAL	\$ (13,595)	\$ (6,173)	\$ {1,442}	\$ (2,635)	\$ (1,219)	5 (1,197)	\$ (930)
7	CUSTOMER ADVANCES & DEPOSITS	\$ (14,677)	\$ (6,243)	s (4,406)	\$ (2,673)	\$ (845)	\$ (511)	ş -
8	ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	\$(1,095,577)	\$ (366,651)	\$ (127,513)	<u>\$ (203,325</u>)	\$100,429)	\$ (74, 448)	<u>\$(43,210</u>)
9	TOTAL NET ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE	\$ 5,840,677	\$2,955,730	s 670,969	\$1,098,436	\$448,588	\$418,91B	\$256,036
	OPERATING REVENUES							
10	BASE REVENUE	\$ 1,970,790	S 850,213	\$ 226,710	\$ 410,267	\$182,440	\$1\$5,952	\$137,209
11	OTHER REVENUE	\$ 62,831	5 33,783	S 6,546	\$ 10,673	\$ 4,457	5 4,304	\$ 3,068
12	LIGHTING REVENUE	\$ 27,111	\$ 13,701	\$ 3,110	\$ 5,092	\$ 2,079	\$ 1,942	\$ 1,197
13	SYSTEM REVENUE	\$ 336,500	\$ 144,636	\$ 36,098	\$ 68,565	\$ 31,277	\$ 30,736	\$ 25,209
14	RATE REVENUE VARIANCE	\$ (22	<u>s (11)</u>	\$ (3)	<u>s (4</u>)	<u>\$ (2</u>)	\$ [2]	<u>\$ (1</u>)
15	TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE	\$ 2,397,210	\$1,042,322	S 272,461	\$ 502,593	\$220,251	\$192,912	\$166,671
	OPERATING EXPENSES							
16	TOTAL PROD, T&D, CUST, AND A&G EXP	\$ 1,466,770	\$ 665,942	\$ 155,545	\$ 284,292	\$131,400	\$129,178	\$100,334
17	TOTAL DEPR AND AMMORT EXPENSES	\$ 261,666	\$ 135,63B	\$ 30,472	\$ 48,484	\$ 19,151	\$ 17,718	\$ 10,203
18	REAL ESTATE AND PROPERTY TAXES	\$ 99,528	\$ 51,478	\$ 11,584	\$ 18,471	\$ 7,307	\$ 6,763	\$ 3,925
19	INCOME TAXES	\$ 155,544	\$ 78,607	\$ 17,844	\$ 29,213	\$ 11,930	\$ 11,141	\$ 6,809
20	PAYROLL TAXES	\$ 19,601	\$ 10,023	\$ 2,181	\$ 3,526	\$ 1,584	\$ 1,473	5 814
21	FEDERAL EXCISE TAX	\$	ş –	s -	s	ş -	\$ -	5 - -
22	REVENUE TAXES	<u> </u>	<u>s –</u>	<u>s</u>	5	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>> -</u>
23	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ 2,003,109	\$ 941,608	\$ 217,626	\$ 383,984	\$171,452	\$166,273	\$122,086
24	NET OPERATING INCOME	\$ 394,101	\$ 100,635	\$ 54,035	\$ 118,609	5 48,799	\$ 26,638	5 44,586
25	RATE OF RETURN	6.738	3.4058	8,1724	10.798	10.878%	6.359%	17.414%
26	RATE OF RETURN INDEX	10	0 51	121	150	\$51	94	258
27	REVENUE CHANGE TO EQUAL COS		0 98 531	-9.623	-44 593	-18,572	1,590	-27,333
28	PERCENT OF BASE REVENUE	0.0%	6 11.6%	-4.2%	-10.7%	-10.2%	1.0%	-19.9%
		·	.,=					

* Off-system sales margin allocated on the generation demand allocation factor.

Schedule MEB-COS-5

_

AMEREN-UE ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED JUNE 2006 DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS *

LINE	DESCRIPTION	MISSOURI	RESIDENTIAL	SMALL <u>GEN SERV</u>	LARGE G <u>EN SERV</u>	SHALL PRIMARY	LARGE PRIMARY	large <u>trans</u>
1	GROSS PLANT IN SERVICE	\$11.224.426	\$5,805,292	\$1,306,255	\$2.082.949	5824,226	\$762.942	\$442,762
2	RESERVES FOR DEPRECIATION	\$ 4,500,562	\$2,366,908	\$ 527,035	5 828,511	\$318,509	\$293,813	\$165,786
3	NET PLANT IN SERVICE	\$ 6,723,865	\$3,438,384	\$ 779,220	\$1,254,439	\$505,717	\$469,129	\$276,976
	RATE BASE ADDITIONS/REDUCTIONS:							
4	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - FUEL	\$ 227,226	\$ 83,227	\$ 22,416	\$ 49,074	\$ 24,304	\$ 25,033	\$ 23,172
5	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES -LOCAL	\$ 21,434	\$ 13,184	\$ 2,694	\$ 3,557	\$ 1,059	\$ 912	\$ 28
6	CASH WORKING CAPITAL	\$ (13,595)	\$ (6,260)	\$ (1,449)	\$ {2,613}	\$ (1,201)	\$ (1,175)	S (897)
7	CUSTOMER ADVANCES & DEPOSITS	\$ (14,677)	\$ (6.243)	\$ (4.406)	\$ (2,673)	\$ (845)	\$ (511)	s
8	ACCUHULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	\$(1,095,577)	<u>\$ (566,651</u>)	\$ (127,513)	<u>\$ (203,325</u>)	\$ (80, 429)	\$(74,448)	\$(43,213)
9	TOTAL NET ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE	\$ 5,840,677	\$2,955,642	\$ 670,962	\$1,098,458	\$448,605	\$418,940	\$256,070
	OPERATING REVENUES							
10	BASE REVENUE	\$ 1,970,790	\$ 850,213	\$ 226,710	\$ 418,267	\$182,440	\$155, 9 52	\$137,209
11	OTHER REVENUE	\$ 62,831	\$ 33,783	\$ 6,546	\$ 10,673	\$ 4,457	5 4,304	\$ 3,068
12	LIGHTING REVENUE	\$ 27,111	5 13,701	\$ 3,110	\$ 5,092	\$ 2,079	\$ 1,942	\$ 1,187
13	SYSTEM REVENUE	\$ 336,500	\$ 123,251	\$ 33,196	\$ 72,673	\$ 35,993	\$ 37,071	\$ 34,316
14	RATE REVENUE VARIANCE	\$ (22) <u>\$ (11</u>)	<u>\$ (3</u>)) <u>\$ (4</u>)	<u>s (2</u>)	<u>\$ (2</u>)	<u>\$ [1</u>]
15	TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE	\$ 2,397,210	\$1,020,937	\$ 269,559	\$ 506,702	\$224,967	\$199,267	\$175,778
	OPERATING EXPENSES							
16	TOTAL PROD, TED, CUST, AND AEG EXP	\$ 1,366,770	\$ 629,315	\$ 145,680	\$ 262,694	\$120,784	\$118,161	\$ 90,136
17	TOTAL DEPR AND AMMORT EXPENSES	\$ 261,666	\$ 135,638	\$ 30,472	\$ 48,484	\$ 19,151	\$ 17,718	\$ 10,203
10	REAL ESTATE AND PROPERTY TAXES	\$ 99,528	\$ 51,478	\$ 11,584	\$ 18,471	\$ 7,307	\$ 6,763	\$ 3,925
19	INCOME TAXES	\$ 193,932	\$ 98,004	\$ 22,248	\$ 36,423	5 14,875	\$ 13,891	\$ 8,491
20	PAYROLL TAKES	\$ 19,601	\$ 10,023	\$ 2,181	\$ 3,526	\$ 1,584	\$ 1,473	\$ 914
21	FEDERAL EXCISE TAX	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	ş -	s -	ş -
22	REVENUE TAXES	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>s </u>	<u>\$ -</u>
23	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ 1,941,498	\$ 924,458	\$ 212,165	\$ 369, 598	\$163,701	\$158,007	\$113,570
24	NET OPERATING INCOME	\$ 455,712	\$ 96,480	\$ 57,394	\$ 137,103	\$ 61,266	\$ 41,261	\$ 62,208
25	RATE OF RETURN	7,792	3.264	¥ 0.554	12.4815	13.6571	9.849%	24,294%
26	RATE OF RETURN INDEX	10	0 4	2 11	0 160	175	126	312
27	REVENUE CHANGE TO EQUAL COS		0 133,81	6 -5,11	5 -51,515	-26,312	-8,618	-42,255
28	PERCENT OF BASE REVENUE	0.0	6 15.75	-2.3	-12.3%	-14.4%	-5.5%	-30.8%

* Net variable costs reduced by \$100 million

i

1

ł

Schedule MEB-COS-6

- ..

ATTACHMENT 1

-

I

i

Ì

|

İ

1

SUMMARY

ł

AMEREN-UE ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED JUNE 2006 DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS

LINE	DESCRIPTION	HISSOURI	RESIDENTIAL	G	SMALL SEN SERV	LARGE GEN_SERV	SMALL PRIMARY	LARGE PRIMARY	LARGE TRANS
1	GROSS PLANT IN SERVICE	\$11,224,426	\$5,805,293	\$ 1	, 306, 255	\$2,082,949	\$824,226	\$762,941	\$442,761
2	RESERVES FOR DEPRECIATION	\$ 4,500,562	\$2,365,908	Ş	527,035	\$ 828,511	\$318,509	\$293,013	\$165.785
3	NET PLANT IN SERVICE	\$ 6,723,865	\$3,438,385	\$	779,220	\$1,254,438	\$505,717	\$469,129	\$276,976
	RATE BASE ADDITIONS/REDUCTIONS:								
4	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - FUEL	\$ 227,226	S 83,227	Ş	22,415	\$ 49,074	\$ 24,304	\$ 25,033	\$ 23,172
5	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES -LOCAL	\$ 21,434	\$ 13,184	Ş	2,694	\$ 3,557	\$ 1,059	\$ 912	5 28
6	CASH WORKING CAPITAL	\$ (13,595)	\$ (6,173)	S	(1,442)	\$ {2,635}	\$ (1,219)	\$ (1,197)	\$ (930)
7	CUSTOMER ADVANCES & DEPOSITS	\$ (14,677)	5 (6,243)	s	(4,406)	\$ (2,673)	\$ (845)	s (511)	s -
8	ACCUNULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	\$(1,095,577)	\$ (566,651)	<u>\$</u>	(127, 513)	<u>\$ (203,325</u>)	<u>\$ (80, 429</u>)	\$(74,448)	\${43,210}
9	TOTAL NET ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE	\$ 5,04B,677	\$2,955,730	\$	670,969	\$1,098,436	\$448,508	\$418,918	\$256,036
	OPERATING REVENUES								
10	BASE REVENUE	\$ 1,970,790	\$ 850,213	\$	226,710	5 418,267	\$182,440	\$155,952	\$137,209
11	OTHER REVENUE	\$ 62,831	\$ 33,783	\$	6,546	\$ 10,673	5 4,457	\$ 4,304	\$ 3,068
12	LIGHTING REVENUE	\$ 27,111	\$ 13,701	\$	3,110	\$ 5,092	\$ 2,079	\$ 1,942	\$ 1,107
13	SYSTEM REVENUE	\$ 336,500	\$ 123,251	\$	33,196	\$ 72,673	\$ 35,993	\$ 37,071	\$ 34,316
14	RATE REVENUE VARIANCE	5 (22)	<u>\$ (11</u>)	5	(3)	<u>\$ (4</u>)	<u>\$ (2)</u>	<u>\$ (2)</u>	<u>\$ (1</u>)
15	TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE	\$ 2,397,210	\$1,020,937	S	269,559	\$ 506,701	\$224,967	\$199,267	5175.778
	OPERATING EXPENSES								
16	TOTAL PROD, T&D, CUST, AND A&G EXP	\$ 1,466,770	\$ 665,942	Ş	155,545	\$ 284,291	\$131,480	\$129,178	\$100,334
17	TOTAL DEPR AND AMMORT EXPENSES	\$ 261,666	\$ 135,638	5	30,472	\$ 48,484	\$ 19,151	\$ 17,718	5 10,203
18	REAL ESTATE AND PROPERTY TAXES	\$ 99,528	\$ 51,478	\$	11,504	\$ 18,471	\$ 7,307	\$ 6,763	\$ 3,925
19	INCOME TAXES	\$ 155,544	\$ 78,607	Ş	17,044	\$ 29,213	\$ 11,930	\$ 11,141	5 6,809
20	PAYROLL TAXES	\$ 19,601	\$ 10,023	S	2,181	\$ 3,526	\$ 1,584	\$ 1,4/3	\$ 514
21	FEDERAL EXCISE TAX	s -	\$ ~	5	-	5 -	ş -	\$ -	s -
22	REVENUE TAXES	<u>s -</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>		<u>s -</u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>
23	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ 2,003,109	\$ 941,688	\$	217,626	\$ 383,984	\$171,452	\$166,273	\$122.006
24	NET OPERATING INCOME	\$ 394,101	\$ 79,250	\$	51,933	\$ 122,717	\$ \$3,515	\$ 32,994	\$ 53,692
25	RATE OF RETURN	6.739	2.601	١	7.740%	11.172%	11.930%	7.876%	20,971%
26	RATE OF RETURN INDEX	10	0 40	0	115	166	177	117	311
27	REVENUE CHANGE TO EQUAL COS		0 119,916	6	-6,721	-48,701	-23,288	-4,766	-36,440
28	FERCENT OF BASE REVENUE	0.05	5 14.1%	6	-3.0%	-11,5%	-12.8%	-3.1%	-26.6%

ł

1

RATE BASE

i

ļ

•••

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAR PERIOD: 12 MONTHS ENDED JUNE 2006 AVERAGE EXCESS THREE NONCOINCIDENT PEAKS (\$000'b)

TITLE:	GROSS PLANT 1	N_SERVIC	E - PAGE 1
--------	---------------	----------	------------

.....

.

_ . _ _ _

11166.	GROAD FI	DOLLIN SERVICE TOOL I																	
LINE_1	ACCI_I	LTEN	BASIS	M155 10	TAL	RES	IDENTIAL	GEN	SHALL SERVICE	GE	N SERVICE		PRIMARY	1	LARGE PRIMARY	TRA	LARGE NSHISSION	LI	<u>CHTING</u>
1		PRODUCTION	A. F. 1	\$ 6,7	61, 332	\$ 3	, 188, 706	5	159,579	\$	3,319,744	\$	569,451	\$	536,971	\$	386,680	\$	-
3		TRANSMISSION			47 940	e	161 234	۲.	38 576	¢	66 93 9	¢	28 883	5	27 236	5	19 623	\$	-
		LINC3			94 663	1	61 807	ě	21 860	÷	17 007	÷	16 205	÷	15 460	č	11, 139	č	-
2		SUBSTATION	CONTRACTOR	2	74,007	2	71.007	÷	<u> </u>	~		*		×		×		¥	·····
-					22 622		262 640		60 386		104 026	e.	46 376		47 606	e	10 757	c.	_
<i>.</i>		TOTAL TRANSMISSION		\$ 3	31,601	*	233,340	\$	60, 330	4	104,933	*	43,270	4	42,030	,	30,702	•	•
9																			
7		DISTRIBUTION PLACE																	
10	7/0	ANARCTON LAND			10.000	÷			1 717		3 832	ę	1 642	a	1 516		-	¢	-
11	160	SUBSIALIUM LAND	A. C. D	2	2 046	2	1 996	4	457	ć	3,332	ć	310		770	č	_	š	
12		OTHER LAND	A. (.)	•	3,643		1, 570	•	147	•	Q 05	÷		v	2.10	•		•	
1.3	261.263	FURFTATIONS		e i	41 327		276 956	4	63 405	6	111 453	۰.	46 548	6	42 965	\$	-	5	-
	101-302	SUBSTATIONS	A. C. V	· -		•	2,0,000	•	,	•	(10) (0)	•		•		•		-	
16	262	DOLES TOWERS STATURES																	
17	104	CUSTOMES / INTOFES	A F 4	5	78 476	5	68.522	5	9.270	s	637	s	43	s	4	s	0	\$	-
19		PRIMARY	A.F.S	s i	51.074	s	734.127	ŝ	53, 581	ŝ	94.218	ŝ	37.473	5	31.675	s		5	
19		5 FCONDARY	A F 6	s i	15.498	s	83.067	s	19.003	s	33,428	s	_	s		5	-	5	-
20		LIGHTING-DIRECT	018501	s.	-	ś	-			\$		ŝ	-	- \$ -?	. ·	5	-	\$.
21				÷								<u> </u>							
22		SURTOTAL		< .	65-048	4	385.716	\$	81.853	s	128.282	s	37.516	s	31,679	\$	0	5	-
23		30810178		• •		•		•		•		•		•			-	-	
24	165	OVERHEAD CONDUCTOR																	
25		CUSTOMER	A. F. 4	5 Z	11.674	5	184,825	s	25,003	5	1,710	\$	117	9	11	5	٥	\$	-
26		PRIMARY	A. F. 5	3 5	13.824	\$	266, 697	\$	61,034	\$	107, 324	5	42,686	\$	36,081	5	-	\$	•
27		SECONDARY	A. F. 6	\$	30,481	\$	18.696	\$	4, 275	٤	7.520	\$		ş		s	-	5	
28																			
29		SUBTOTAL		5 7	55.979	ş	470.209	\$	90,313	s	116,562	\$	42.803	\$	36,093	\$	0	\$	-
30																			
31	366	UNDERGROUND CONDUIT																	
32		CUSTOMER	A. F. 4	\$	9,539	\$	8,329	\$	1,127	\$	77	s	5	5	1	\$	٥	\$	-
33		PRIMARY	A. F. S	Ş 1	11,437	\$	57,841	\$	13,237	5	23,276	5	9,258	\$	7,925	Ş	-	\$	-
34		SECONDARY	A. F. 6	9	49,367	\$	30.261	5	6.923	۶		٤	<u>_</u> _	5	<u> </u>	5		<u>\$</u>	
35																			
36		SUBTOTAL		5 1	70.343	5	96,434	\$	21,287	\$	35, 533	\$	9,263	\$	7,826	5	0	s	-
37																			
38	367	UNDERGROUND CONDUCTORS																	
39		CUSTOMER	A. F. 4	5	58,426	\$	85,942	\$	11,626	\$	799	\$	54	\$	5	\$	0	\$	-
40		PRIMARY	A. E. S	\$ 2	226, 404	\$	117,514	\$	26,893	\$	47,290	\$	18,809	5	15,898	\$	-	\$	-
41		SECONDARY	A. F. 6	\$ 3	32,967	<u>ş</u>	81.516	٤	10.618	<u>2</u>	32.804	5.	÷	<u>\$</u>		2	<u> </u>	٤	
42																			
43		SUBTOTAL		\$ 4	157,797	5	284,971	\$	57,168	\$	00,092	\$	18,863	\$	15,904	\$	0	\$	-

SCHEDULE 1 PAGE 1 of 9

. _ _

· · · _ ___

Am∉renUE

-

ł.

i.

ł

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAR PERIOD: 12 HONTHS ENDED JUNE 2006 AVERAGE EXCESS THREE HONCOINCIDENT PEAKS (\$000's)

. ____ . ____

TITLE:	<u>GROSS_P</u>	LANT IN SERVICE - PAGE 2	NUCCATION	MIRPOURT		CLINI I	LARGE	SHAT!	TARCE	ARCE	
LINE_	ACCT 1	ITEM	BASIS	TOTAL	<u>RESIDENTIAL</u>	GEN SERVICE	GEN SERVICE	PRIMARY	PRIMARY	TRANSMISSION	LIGHTING
1 2 3 4	368	LINE TRANSFORMERS CUSTOMER SECONDARY	A.F.15 A.F.6	\$ 210,377 \$ 147,407	s 183,604 5 90,368	\$ 24,865 \$ 20,673	\$ 1,708 \$ 36, <u>166</u>	s – s –	\$ - \$	s - <u>s -</u>	s - §
5 6 7		SUBTOTAL		\$ 357,784	s 274.172	5 45,538	\$ 38,074	s -	\$ -	ş -	s -
8 9 10	369-1	OVERHEAD SERVICES CUSTOMER SECONDARY	A.F.15 A.F.16	\$ 62,624 \$ 63,889	s 54,714 <u>s 43,257</u>	\$ 7,402 <u>\$9.522</u>	\$ 509 <u>\$ 11,110</u>	s – s	\$- <u>\$-</u> -	s – <u>s –</u>	\$ - <u>\$</u>
12 13		SUBTOTAL		\$ 126,513	\$ 97,971	\$ 16,923	\$ 11,619	\$-	ş -	\$ -	\$ -
14 15 16 17	369-2	UNDERGROUND SERVICES CUSTOMER SECONDARY	A.E.15 A.F.16	\$28,296 \$ 92,625	s 24,721 <u>s 62,714</u>	\$	s 230 <u>\$ 16.107</u>	s - <u>s -</u>	s - \$	s - <u>\$</u>	\$ - \$
18 19		SUBTOTAL		\$ 120,921	\$ 87,435	\$ 17,149	\$ 16,337	F -	ş -	s –	\$ -
20 21	370	METERS	A. F. 7	\$ 106,119	5 72,347	\$ 23,000	\$ 6,455	5 3,191	\$ 980	\$ 58	\$ -
22	371	CUSTOMER INSTALLATIONS	DIRECT	\$ 2,948	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ 1,474	5 1,474	ş ~	ş <u>-</u>
25	771	SURTOTAL - CUSTONER DIST PLANT	A.F.29	\$ 101,560 \$ 805 530	\$ 51,325 \$ 683,203	\$ 11,651	\$ 19,074	5 7,790	\$ 7,274	3 4,446 C 59	- ۶ د _
27 28		- DEMAND DIST PLANT		5 2, 623, 752	\$ 1,426,100	5 325, 344	\$ 556.084	\$ 165,998	<u>s 144,979</u>	\$ 4,446	5 -
29 30		DISTRIBUTION TOTAL		\$ 3,429,282	\$ 2,109,303	\$ 431,070	\$ 569,016	\$ 169,409	\$ 145,980	\$ 4,504	ş -
31 32		GENERAL PLANT	A.F.35	\$ 467,354	5 238,908	\$ \$2,001	\$ 84,064	\$ 37,758	\$ 35,127	\$ 19,417	s -
33 34				ş -	s -	ş -	ş	ş -	ş -	\$ -	\$ -
35				<u>s</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	er <u>\$</u> e	<u> </u>
38 39		SUBTOTAL PROD, T40, GEN, COMMON PL	ANT	5 11, 195, 575	5 5,790,540	\$ 1,303,045	\$ 2,077,759	5 821,895	\$ 760,773	\$ 441,563	ş -
40 41		CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS PLANT WELD FOR FUTURE USE	A.C.33	s 28,832 \$ - <u>\$ -</u>	s - s -	\$ - \$ - \$ -	> 3,190 \$ - \$ -	→ <,311 \$ - <u>\$ </u> -	> 2,169 9 - 5 -	* 1,199 \$ - \$ -	
42 43		TOTAL GROSS PLANT		5 11, 224, 426	\$ 5.805,293	\$ 1,306,255	\$ 2,082,949	s 824,226	\$ 762,941	\$ 442,761	s -

SCHEDULE 1 PAGE 2 of 9

. .

.

Т

į.

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAR PERIOD: 12 MONTAS ENDED JUNE 2005 AVERAGE EXCESS THREE HONCOINCIDENT PEAKS (5000'5)

TITLES	GROSS	PLANT	TN	SERVICE	-	PAGE 1	
1112064				3619160			

_

i -

		**-*				C	1 1005	C.(.) 1	11000	LARCE	
LIVE (ACCT I LIEM	,	BASIS	IQIAL	RESIDENTIAL	GEN SERVICE	GEN SERVICE	PRIMARY	PRIMARY	TRANSHISSION	LIGHTING
1											
2	MATERIALS & SUPPLIE	S - FUEL	A.E.11	\$ 227,226	\$ 83,227	\$ 22,416	\$ 49,074	\$ 24,304	\$ 25,033	\$ 23,172	5 -
3	MATERIALS & SUPPLIE	S - LOCAL	A.F.16	\$ 21,434	\$ 13,184	\$ 2,694	\$ 3,557	s 1,059	\$ 912	5 28	s -
4	CASH WORKING CAPITA	L	A.E.J7	\$ (13,595)	\$ (6,173)	5 (1.442)	\$ (2,635)	\$ [1,219]	\$ (1,197)	\$ (930)	s -
5	CUSTOMER ADVANCES 6	DEPOSITS	A.F. 12	\$ (14,677)	5 (6,243)	\$ (4,406)	\$ (2,673)	\$ (845)	\$ (511)	\$ -	5 -
ę	ACCUM DEFERRED INCO	ME TAXES	A.F.19	5 (1,095,577)	\$ (566,651)	\$ (127,513)	\$ (203, 325)	5 (80,429)	5 (74, 448)	5 (43,210)	<u>s</u>
7											
8	TOTAL GROSS RATE BA	SE		\$ 10,349,238	\$ 5,322,638	\$ 1,198,004	\$ 1,926,946	\$ 767,097	\$ 712,731	\$ 421,821	ş -

ł

i

SCHEDULE 1 PAGE 3 of 9

- ----

.

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAR PERIOD: 12 MONTHS ENDED JUNE 2006 AVERAGE EXCESS THREE NONCOINCIDENT PEAKS (5000'3)

TITLE;	RESERVES	S FOR DEPRECIATION - PAGE 1			TREALIRE				SMALI		LARGE		SHALL		LARGE		LARGE		
LINE #	ACCT_1	LTEM	BASIS		TOTAL	<u>RE</u>	SIDENTIAL	GE	SERVICE	GE	N SERVICE		PRIMABY		PRIMARY	TRA	NSHISSION	لليز	<u>GHT LUG</u>
1		PRODUCTION	A. F. 1	5	2,508,091	\$	1,182,639	\$	281,763	\$	489,554	\$	211,236	ş	199,187	s	143,512	\$	-
3		TRANSMISSION																	
4		LINES	C WALLEY LOUP	\$	137,247	\$	64, 727	5	15, 419	5	26,789	5	11,559	ş	10,900	ş	,855	a c	
5		SUBSTATION	IL DEPENDENT	\$	61.770	\$	29,131	<u>\$</u>	6,939	<u>\$</u>	12,057	<u></u>	5,202	<u>\$</u>	4,906	<u>×</u>	3,334	<u>*</u>	
6 7		TOTAL TRANSMISSION		\$	199,017	\$	93,858	ş	22, 358	\$	38,846	\$	16,762	\$	15,806	\$	11,300	\$	-
6 9		DISTRIBUTION PLANT																	
10	160	SUBSTATION LAND	A. F. B	5	374	s	191	\$	44	ş	נר	\$	32	\$	30	\$	-	\$	-
17	121	OTHER LAND	A.E.5	ŝ		\$	-	5	-	\$	-	5	-	9	-	5	-	\$	-
13	~~ .																		
14	361-362	SUBSTATIONS	A. F.B	\$	170,995	\$	B7,485	9	20,029	\$	35,206	\$	14,704	\$	13,572	ş	-	\$	•
15																			
16	364	POLES TOWERS FIXTURES													-		•		
17		CUSTONER	A.F.4	\$	63,203	5	55, 186	5	7,466	\$	513	\$	35	ş	L	ş	0	*	-
18		PRIMARY	A. F. S	\$	363,287	\$	168,562	\$	43, 153	5	75,001	5	30,180	ş	25, 511	5	-	*	-
19		SECONDARY	A. F. 6	\$	109,128	\$	66, 901	\$	15,305	\$	26,922	5	-	\$	-	5	•	2	-
20		LIGHTING-DIRECT	DIRECT	5	-	\$		\$		<u>\$</u>		\$		<u>ş</u>		<u>\$</u>		\$	
23		,																	
22		SUBTOTAL		5	535,618	\$	310,649	5	65, 973	\$	103,316	\$	30,215	\$	25,514	5	0	\$	-
23																			
24	365	OVERHEAD CONDUCTOR																	
25		CUSTOMER	A. F. 4	5	73.252	s	63, 961	5	8,653	\$	594	ş	40	\$	4	ş	0	\$	-
26		PRIMARY	A. F. 5	5	177,015	5	92, 294	5	21, 122	ş	37,141	\$	14,772	Ş	12.406	\$	•	\$	-
27		SECONDARY	A. F. 6	\$	10,548	\$	6,467	ş	1, 479	\$	2,602	5	-	5		5	<u> </u>	<u>s</u>	
28				_		-													
20		SUBTOTAL.		s	261.615	\$	162.721	\$	31,254	5	40,336	\$	14,812	5	12,490	\$	0	5	-
10		300101110		•					-										
11	366	UNDERGROUND CONDUCT																	
12	500	CUSTONER	A. E. 4	\$	3, 311	5	2,891	\$	391	\$	27	ş	2	ş	0	5	¢	5	~
17		PRIMARY	A. F. 5	\$	38,679	5	20,076	\$	4, 594	\$	8,079	\$	3,213	\$	2,716	\$	-	\$	-
14		SECONDARY	A.F.6	s	17,134	5	10,504	\$	2,403	\$	4,227	ş		\$	-	\$	-	\$	
				÷						_							-		
20		SIIDTOTAL		5	59.123	3	33. 471	\$	7,389	\$	12,333	ş	3,215	\$	2,716	s	0	\$	-
96		SOBIOIRE		•	55,125	•			,										
37																			
10	101	CHERONER CONCOLIDES			74 100	s	25.667	s	3.472	\$	239	\$	16	\$	2	\$	o	\$	-
2.2		CUSIONER	A E 5	e	67 605	-	35.090	s	8.030	ŝ	14.121	\$	5,616	\$	4,747	\$	-	5	-
40		FRIMARI	A.C.J		39.704	ī	24.343	ŝ	5, 568	ŝ	9,795	9		\$	-	5	-	\$	-
41		SECONDARI	A	ž	35/104	<u> </u>	# 1, U 4 1	·		<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
42					136 600	e	84 083		12 070	ç	24.155	5	5,633	5	4.749	s	0	s	-
(3		SUBTOTAL		ş	730,038		03,093	*	1,010	-	.,		3,000	-		•	v	•	
44																			

SCHEDULE 1 PAGE 4 of 9

1

1

1

. -

1

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAR PERIOD: 12 NONTHS ENDED JUNE 2006 AVERAGE EXCESS THREE MONCOINCIDENT PEAKS (SOOO's)

TITLE:	RESERVE:	<u>S FOR DEPRECIATION - PAGE 2</u>	ALLOC AT LOP	MECOUR T		C 1117		a			
LINE I	ACCT 1	ITEN	BASIS	10TAL	RESIDENTIAL	GEN_SERVICE	GEN_SERVICE	PRIMARY	LARGE PRIMARY	LARGE TRANSMISSION	LIGHTING
1 2	360	LINE TRANSFORMERS									
3		CUSTOMER	A.F.15	\$ 65,037	\$ 56,822	\$ 7,687	5 528	s -	s -	s -	s -
4		SECONDARY	A. F. 6	\$ 45,570	\$ 27,937	\$ 6,391	\$ 11,242	s –	s -	s -	s .
5						<u>.</u>		<u> </u>	·	<u> </u>	····-
ն 7		SUBTOTAL		\$ 110,608	\$ 84,759	\$ 14,078	\$ 11,770	s –	s -	ş -	ş -
8	369-1	OVERHEAD SERVICES									•
9		CUSTOMER	A.F.15	S 74,30)	\$ 64.915	\$ 8.782	5 603	s .	۰.	۰.	
10		SECONDARY	A.F.16	5 75,602	5 51,323	5 31,297	5 13 182	•	• - •	é .	e .
11						<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	*		<u>*</u>
12		SUBTOTAL		1 150 107	\$ 116 738	c 20 010	4 13 705				
33		000101112		\$ 150,102	J 110,238	1 20,0/3	\$ 15,705	· ·	s -	> -	* -
14	169-2	UNDERGROUND SERVICES									
15		CUSTONER	L C 15	c 17 431	6 16 330	e 3.060	e 145			<u>^</u>	_
16		SECONDARY	B F 16	5 57 067	\$ 18 634	5 9 504	5 0 0 2 2	• -	· ·	\$ ~	\$.
12					<u>× 50,035</u>	<u>v</u> 4,304	5 5, 523	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>, -</u>
10		C(100000)									
10		SOBIOTAL		3 (4.493	3 21,864	\$ 10,564	\$ 10,064	s –	ş ~	ş -	s -
20	370	NETRO									
20	3.0	METCRO	A. E. 7	\$ 34,146	S 23,484	5 7,494	\$ 2,095	\$ 1.036	5 316	\$ 19	\$ -
22	171	COSTOMED INCENTIATIONS	otation	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•	-			_	
22	3,1	COSTORER TRETALIORS	UIRECT	\$ 223	ş -	ş -	ş –	\$ 112	\$ 112	ş –	\$ -
23	171	578557 LIGHT1WC		* ** ***							
26	2.2	STREET ETURITHU	M. F. 23	> 44,013	» <i>44,</i> 007	\$ 3,143	5 8,420	5 3,439	5 3,211	\$ L,963	ş -
76		SUBTOTAL - CUSTOMER OFST PLANT		5 160 370	5 308 151	* ** 005					
27		- DEMAND DIST PLANT		S 1 718 769	5 673 463	* 40,000 * 153,000	a 166 010	5 1,129	> <u>12</u>	\$ L9	\$ -
20				1,110,738	4 0/4/104	3 133,063	2 230,819	\$ 12,061	5 02, 184	\$ 1,963	*
29		DISTRIBUTION TOTAL		\$ 1,579,130	5 980,614	\$ 199.067	\$ 261,560	\$ 73,197	\$ 62,711	\$ 1,982	s -
33		CENERAL DEALER		e 310 004	c						
32		GERERAL PLANT	A. E. 33	\$ 210,394	\$ 107,895	5 23,476	\$ 37,952	\$ 17,046	\$ 15,858	\$ 8,766	5 -
32								-		_	
33				· ·	5 -	ş -	s -	ş -	5 -	s –	ş -
34					_						
				<u> </u>	<u>*</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	5	5 ~	5 -
91											
17		SUBTUTAL PROD, T&D, GEN, COMMON PL	ANT	\$ 4,497,233	\$ 2,365,206	\$ 526,665	\$ 827,912	\$ 318,240	\$ 293,563	\$ 165,647	5 -
38 30											
39		INTANGIBLE PLANT	A.F. 35	\$ 3,326	\$ 1,702	\$ 370	\$ 599	\$ 269	\$ 250	\$ 139	\$-
10		CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS		s -	s -	ş -	s -	s -	\$ -	s -	s -
41		PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE		<u>.</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>s –</u>	<u>s –</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
42											
43		TOTAL RESERVE FOR DEPRECIATION		\$ 4,500,562	\$ 2,366,908	\$ 527,035	\$ 828,511	\$ 318,509	\$ 293,813	\$ 165,785	5 -

SCHEDULE 1 PAGE 5 of 9

,

_

ı.

i

1

ł

í

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAR PERIOD: 12 HOMPHS ENDED JUNC 2006 AVERAGE EXCESS THREE NONCOINCIDENT PEAKS (\$000'3)

TITLE:	RESERVE	5 FOR DEPRECIATION - PAGE 3															1.0865		
LINE I	ACCT_1	ITEM	BASIS	. <u>T</u>	OTAL	RES	IDENTIAL	GE	SHALL N <u>SERVICE</u>	GEI	N SERVICE		PRIMARY		PRIMARY	184	WSMISSION	1	IGHTING.
ı																			
Z		MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - FUEL	A.F.11	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	5	-	ş	-
3		MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - LOCAL	A.E.10	\$	-	ş	-	5	-	ş	-	ş	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
4		CASH WORKING CAPITAL	A.F. 37	5	-	\$	-	5	-	5	-	\$	-	ş	-	\$	-	ş	-
5		CUSTOMER ADVANCES & DEPOSITS	A.F.12	\$	-	\$	-	ş	-	\$	•	\$	~	ş	-	\$	-	ş	-
6		ACCUN DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	A.F.19	ş	÷	\$	-	5	-	\$	+	ş	~	5		\$		2	<u> </u>
1																			
8		RESERVES FOR DEPRECIATION		\$ 4,	500,562	\$2,	, 366, 908	5	527, 035	\$	828,511	\$	310,509	\$	293,813	\$	165,785	\$	-

,

SCHEDULE 1 PAGE 6 of 9

-

ļ

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAR PERIOD; 12 NONTHS ENDED JUNE 2006 AVERAGE EXCESS THREE MONICOINCIDENT PEAKS (\$000's)

TITLE: NET ORIGINAL COST - PAGE 1

_

·· -

<u>F 341 1</u>	ACCT	ITEM	ALLOCATION BASIS	ŀ	II SSOURI T <u>OTAL</u>	RE	SIDENTIAL	GE	SHALL En service	GE	LARGE N <u>SERVICE</u>		SMALL PRIMARY		LARGE PRIMARY	TRA	LARGE	1	lighting
1		PRODUCTION	A.F.l	\$	4,253,241	\$	2,005,868	ş	477,816	5	830,190	\$	358,215	Ş	337, 784	\$	243,368	5	-
3		TRANSMISSION																	
4		LINES	HALE THE	5	205,693	\$	97,007	5	23,108	5	40,149	5	17,324	\$	16,336	s	11,770	Ş	-
5		SUBSTATION	EPATE TROP	\$	132,896	\$	62,675	\$	14,930	\$	25,940	\$	11,193	Ş	10,554	\$	7,604	Ş	
6			A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT											_				_	
7		TOTAL TRANSMISSION		5	338,589	\$	159,682	\$	38,039	\$	66,089	\$	28,517	5	26,890	\$	19, 374	Ş	-
8																			
9		DISTRIBUTION PLANT																	
10																			
11	360	SUBSTATION LAND	A.F.8	\$	18,724	5	9,580	5	2,193	\$	3,855	s	1,610	5	1,486	\$	-	\$	-
12	321	OTHER LAND	A. F. 5	Ş	3,845	\$	1,996	\$	457	5	803	5	319	\$	270	9	-	\$	-
13																			
14	361-362	SUBSTATIONS	A.F.8	\$	370,332	\$	189,471	Ş	43,377	Ş	76,247	\$	31.644	\$	29,393	\$	-	s	-
15																			
16	364	POLES TOWERS FIXTURES		_														_	
17		CUSTOMER	A.F.4	\$	15,2/3	\$	13, 335	÷	1,804	÷	124	\$	5 202	\$	1	2	U	2	-
10		PRIMART	A.2.5	÷	26,787	\$ ¢	43,365	*	10,420	• •	10,336		1,293	\$	6, 104	÷	-	ې د	
13		LICHTING-DIRECT	A.F.O	•	20,310		10,100	5	3, 698	а с	0,300	ۍ د	-	\$	-	د د	-	e	
20		EIGHTING-DIRECT	DIRECT	*		-		<u> </u>				<u>*</u>		ž	·····	-			
21		C UD GOT N			130 470		36 867		15 030		34 844	÷	7 301		£ 165		•	-	
23		SUBTOTAL			129, 123	•	13,001	*	15, 550	•	21, 900	-	1, 301	•	0, 105	· ·	v	•	-
74	165	OVERHEAD CONDUCTOR																	
25		CUSTOMER	A. F. 4	s	138,472	5	120.854	5	16.351	3	1, 123	s	77	5	7	5	0	5	-
26		PRIMARY	A.F.5	5	336,009	5	174,404	ş	39, 913	\$	70,184	5	27,914	\$	23, 595	\$	-	ŝ	-
27		SECONDARY	A.F.6	\$	19,933	\$	12,220	5	2,795	5	4,917	5		5	<u> </u>	ş	-	\$	-
28										_				_					
29		SUBTOTAL		\$	494,363	\$	307,487	Ş	59,059	\$	76,224	5	27,991	\$	23,602	\$	o	\$	-
30																			
31	366	UNDERGROUND CONDULT																	
32		CUSTOMER	A. E. 4	\$	6,228	ş	5,438	ş	736	5	51	5	3	\$	٥	\$	0	\$	-
33		PRIMARY	A.F.5	\$	72,759	\$	37,765	\$	8,643	\$	15,197	5	6,044	ş	5,109	s	-	5	-
34		SECONDARY	A.F.6	5	32,232	\$	19,760	\$	4, 520	5	7,952	5	-	5	-	<u>\$</u>	•	\$	
35																			
36		SUBTOTAL		\$	111,220	5	62,963	\$	13,899	\$	23,200	\$	6,048	\$	5,110	s	0	\$	-
ינ																			
38	367	UNDERGROUND CONDUCTORS																	
39		CUSTONER	A. F. 4	5	69,036	\$	60,279	\$	8,155	\$	560	s	38	\$	4	ş	σ	\$	-
40		PRIMARY	A. F. 5	\$	158,799	ş	82,424	\$	18,863	s	33,169	\$	13,192	\$	11,151	ş	-	5	-
41		SECONDARY	X.F.6	<u>\$</u>	93,263	<u>\$</u>	57,175	<u>\$</u> _	11,000	<u>.</u>	23,008	<u>\$</u>		<u>\$</u>		\$		<u>\$</u>	
42																-			
43		SUBTOTAL		\$	321,098	ş	199,878	\$	40,097	\$	56,737	ş	13,230	\$	11,155	\$	0	\$	•

SCHEDULE 1 PAGE 7 of 9

.

.

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAR PERIOD: 12 MORTHS ENDED JUNE 2006 AVERAGE EXCESS THREE MONCOINCIDENT PEAKS (\$000'5)

TITLE:	NET ORI	GINAL COST - PAGE 2		HI COUNT		eusis	14905	CHALL	13965	LABGE	
LINE_A	ACCT 1	LTEM	BASIS	IOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	GEN SERVICE	GEN SERVICE	PRIMARY	PRIMARY	TRANSHISSION	LIGHTING
ì											
ź	368	LINE TRANSFORMERS									
3		CUSTOMER	A.F.15	5 145,340	\$ 126,982	\$ 17,176	\$ 1,190	\$ -	s -	s -	s -
4		SECONDARY	A.F.6	\$ 101,837	\$ 62,431	\$ 14,282	<u>\$ 25,124</u>	<u> </u>	5 -	<u>s -</u>	\$
5											
6		SUBTOTAL		\$ 247,177	\$ 189,413	\$ 31,460	3 26,304	\$ -	s -	s -	ş -
7											
8	369-1	OVERHEAD SERVICES									
9		CUSTOMER	A. F. 15	5 (11, 577)	\$ (10,202)	\$ (1,300)	\$ (22) c (22)	5 - 6		· _	· ·
10		SECONDARY	A. F. 16	5 (11, 912)	\$ (0,056)	\$ (1,175)	<u>s (2,072</u>)	·	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
11											۰.
12		SUBTOTAL		5 (23,589)	\$ (10,207)	\$ [3,]33}	> (2,100)	, -	\$ -	• •	• -
13	160-1										
19	369-2	CUSTOMER	1.5.15	5 10.864	5 9.492	S 1.284	5 68	5 -	s -	s -	\$ -
16		SECONDARY	A.F.16	\$ 15.564	\$ 24.079	5 5,300	5 6,184	\$ ~	s –	\$ -	s
12											
18		SUBTOTAL		5 46,428	\$ 33, 571	\$ 6,584	\$ 6,273	5 -	s –	s -	s –
19											
20	370	METERS	A. F. 7	\$ 71,672	\$ 48,863	\$ 15,594	\$ 4,360	\$ 2,155	\$ 662	\$ 39	s -
21											
22	371	CUSTOMER INSTALLATIONS	DIRECT	\$ 2,725	\$ -	ş -	s -	5 1,362	\$ 1,362	5 -	ş -
23											
24	373	STREET LIGHTING	A.F.29	\$ \$6,728	\$ 28,668	\$ 6,508	\$ 10,654	\$ 4,351	\$ 4,063	\$ 2,48J	\$ -
25				e ere 160	* 376.057	£ 59.77)	¢ 7 707	4 2 782	674	c 19	s -
20		- DENAUD DIST PLANT		5 1 404 693	s 753 639	5 177 382	\$ 300.065	5 93,931	5 82.595	\$ 2,483	5 -
2.		- 06000 0131 70001		- 1, 404, 575	<u> </u>	<u>v 112</u> ,102		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
20				5 1 850 152	5 1 128,690	\$ 312 002	\$ 307.457	\$ 96.212	5 83.768	\$ 2,523	s -
10		CISINIBUTION JOINE		· 1,030,131	4 (////////////////////////////////////	• • • • • • • • •			• •••••		
31		GENERAL PLANT	A.E.35	\$ 256,360	s 131,093	\$ 28,524	\$ 46,112	\$ 20,711	5 19,268	\$ 10,651	ş ~
32											
33				s -	s -	ş -	\$ -	5 -	ş -	5	5 -
34											
35				5	<u>s</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>s -</u>	<u>s </u>	\$
36											
37		SUBTOTAL PROD, TEO, GEN, CONMON PL.	XNT .	\$ 6,698,342	5 3,425,333	\$ 776,380	\$ 1,249,847	\$ 503,655	\$ 467,210	\$ 275,916	\$ -
38											
39		INTANGIBLE PLANT		\$ 25,523	5 13,052	\$ 2,840	\$ 4,591	\$ 2,052	5 1,910	\$ 1,060	3 -
40		CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS		\$ -	5 -	5 .	۵ -		5 T	а – с	ş -
41		PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE		<u>></u>	<u> </u>	·	·		<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>
42				e / 333 ect	c 2 438 394	a 770 500	E 1 254 170	6 606 713	e 460.100	\$ 276.076	
43		TOTAL NET PLANT		> 6, /23,865	> J,936,305	3 //9,220	2 1,234,430	a 305,717	0 107,129	* 16,976	ə -

SCHEDULE 1 PAGE 8 of 9

;

1

_ . . . _ . _ . _ . _

_____ · ____ · ___ ·

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAR PERIOD: 12 NONTHS ENDED JUNE 2006 AVEPAGE EXCESS THREE NONCOINCIDENT PEAKS (\$000's)

TITLE: NET ORIG	INAL COST - PAGE 3		w recourt		CM611	f april	¢14311	LARGE	12002	
LINE & ACCL L	lten	BASIS	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	GEN SERVICE	GEN SERVICE	PRIMARY	PRIMARY	TRANSMISSION	LIGHTING
42										
43										
44										
45	HATERIALS & SUPPLIES - FUEL	A.F.11	\$ 227,226	5 03,227	5 22,416	\$ 49,074	s 24,304	\$ 25,033	\$ 23,172	ş –
46	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - LOCAL	A.F.18	\$ 21,434	5 13,184	\$ 2,694	\$ 3,557	\$ 1,059	5 912	\$ 2B	ş –
47	CASH WORKING CAPITAL	A.E.37	\$ (13,595)	\$ (6,173)	\$ (1,442)	s (2,635)	\$ (1,219)	S (1,197)	s (930)	5 –
48	CUSTONER ADVANCES & DEPOSITS	A.F.12	\$ (14,677)	\$ (6,243)	5 (4,406)	\$ (2,673)	\$ (845)	\$ (511)	s -	s -
49	ACCUM DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	A.F.19	\$ (1,095,577)	\$ (566,651)	<u>5 (127,513</u>)	<u>\$ (203,325</u>)	<u>s (80,429</u>)	<u>\$ (14,448)</u>	<u>\$ (13,210</u>)	<u>s </u>
	TOTAL NET ORIGINAL COST RATE B	ASE	\$ 5,848,677	\$ 2,955,730	\$ 670,969	\$ 1,098,436	\$ 448,588	\$ 410,918	\$ 256,036	s –

SCHEDULE 1 PAGE 9 of 9

EXPENSES

1

AperenUS

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAR FERIOD. 12 HOMME SHIDED JUNE 2006 AVERAGE EXCESS THREE HOMEOINCIDENT PEAKS (3000's)

_

<u>111LE: OP</u>	PERATING EXPENSES - PAGE 1	ALLOCATION		TOTAL MISSOU	B I	RESLE	ent lal	SHALL	09.	LARGE	<u>.</u>	5. E	RIHARY	LP	11MôãY	L. IRAN	<u>10122108</u>	LIG	aling
LLUE 4 60		21245	LABOR	QTHER	TOLPT	LADOR	OTHER	LOROF	ATHES	LABOR	OTHES	LAROS	QINER	LAPON	OINER	LABOR	RTHEA	LABOR	OTHER
L D	QREAATING, EXPENSES																		
4	OTHER	A.f.1		Topic 1191	\$ 334,368	\$ 81,474	5 76,463	\$ 19,400	5 10,214	\$ 33,720	3 31, 646	£ 14,550	\$ 13.655	\$ 13,720	5 12 876	s 9,885	5 9,277	, .	s -
5	VAPTABLE	A.E.11		27 S. 8669; 223 Q	5 669, 223	5 -	\$ 235, 119	<u>s -</u>	5 66,019	<u>}</u>	5144,531	<u>s -</u>	\$ 71,301	5	<u>, 13, 121</u>	<u>.</u>	2 66,240		·
8 9	SUGTOTAL		\$ 112,15	1 5 831, 354	£ 1.004,111 OK	\$ 81,474	5 321, 561	5 19,408	\$ 84,233	\$ 33,720	\$ 176, 177	\$ 14,550	\$ \$5,236	5 23,720	5 40,003	\$ 9,805	\$ 77,523	5 -	ş -
10 11 12	SYSTER REVENCE CREDITS INTERRUPTIBLE SALES REPTAIS	እ.F.1 A.F.2	s -	5 - 5 -	3 - 5 -	s - s -	۶ - ۱۹	s - <u>s -</u>	۰ - ۲ -	5 · 5 ·	s - s -	4 - 5 -	s - <u>s -</u>	s - 5 -	s - s -	s - s -	s - s -	s - s -	s - <u>s -</u>
13 14 15	5UBTOTAL		s -	i -	s –	5 -	، ،	s -	s -	5 -	ş -	، -	5 -	5 -	s -	\$ -	5 -	\$~	s -
16 17 18	TRANSDUSALOU LIDES SUBSTATIONS		s 61 5 4,71	9 5 3,521 92 5 41,054	\$ 4,220 <u>\$ 45,8(6</u>	5 330 5 2,260	\$ 1,660 \$ 19,361	s 79 5 510	\$ 396 \$ 4,612	\$ 137 \$ 935	5 687 6 8,013	s 59 <u>s 104</u>	s 297 s 3,458	5 56 <u>5 381</u>	s 280 5 3,250	\$ 40 5 274	3 201 <u>5 2,319</u>	s . s	s - <u>s -</u>
19 20 21	TOTAL TRANSMISSION E	XPENSES	\$ 3,41	92 \$ 44,575	5 50,066 OK	\$ 2,590	5 21,022	£ 617	3 5.00E	\$ 1.072	5 8,701	s 46)	5 3,754	5 436	\$ 3,540	3 314	\$ 2.551	s -	s -
22 23 24	DISTRIBUTION OPERATING EXP	ENSES																	
25 26 27	SAZ SUBSTATIONS	A. T. B	\$ 2,7	iN 6 1,190	3,948	\$ 1,411	5 409	\$ 323	\$ 139	\$ 560	\$ 245	\$ 237	\$ 102	\$ 219	5 94	s –	; -	s -	\$-
28 SI 27	81-1 OVERHEAD LINES CUSTOMER	A. F. 22	3 5	2 5 109	\$ 751	\$ 491	3 165	\$ 66	\$ 22	6 S	3 2	5 O	s 0	s 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	s 0	s -	s .
30	PRIHARY	A.F.23	€ 1, ë	HE E 564	5 2,212	\$ 171	3 293	3 199	5 67	1 10	5 110	3 139		5 1.1					
11	1 IGHT DE-DIRECT	A. F. 24	• · ·	····	5 102	3 00	5 -		÷ -		š -		s -	3 -	\$ -	÷ -	s	÷	ş -
	PLONT HOS- DIRECT	4.1.05		_ `	L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2							<u></u>				
34	SUBTOTAL		\$ 2,3	76 5 799	\$ 3,175	5 1,442	\$ 485	5 284	\$ 95	6 392	\$ 132	\$ 140	5 47	5 138	5 40	\$ 0	\$ 0	s -	, -
36 5	83-2 OVERHEAD TRANSFORMERS																		
37	CUSTOMER	A.F.20	3 9	50 S 197	\$ 1,143	S 830	F 172	\$ 112	\$ 23	s 🖲	\$ Z	5 -	5.	s -	s -	s -	5 ~	a -	
38	SECONDAP Y	A.F.23	s 64	<u>15 3 138</u>	3804	\$ 105	5 85	<u> 21</u>	<u>s 19</u>	<u>s 164</u>	<u>\$ 34</u>	<u>. </u>	<u>.</u>	5	<u></u>	<u>, -</u>	<u> </u>	<u>, </u>	·
39 40	SUBTOTAL		¥ 1,6	.5 6 . 335	\$ 1,950	\$ 1,238	\$ 257	\$ 205	5 13	5 172	\$ 36	s -	s -	s -	6 -	5 -	s -	s -	s -

1

i

SCREDULE 1 PAGE | OF 4

! : AmerenUC

÷

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAP FEBIOD: 11 MOITHS ENDED JUNE 2005 AVEPAGE EXCESS THREE IDHCOINCIDENT PEAKS (3000'4)

un.	<u>OPER/</u>	ATING EXPENSES - PAGE 2	ALLOCATION		TOTAL MISSOURI	L	AFSID	ENTIAL	SKALL	<u></u>	LARGE	<u>G. 5.</u>	PRI	MARY	<u>L. î</u>	RIMARY	L. TRAUS	<u>ihission</u> Other	LIG ACCAL	UTING OTHER
<u>L108</u>	i acci	.1 6322	21245	1-2208	OTHER	TOTAL	1,8508	OTKER	LABOS	QTHEE	LABOR	OLUER.	14908	0.03043	-Carbo	<u>v / 1000</u>	100000			
1 2 3 6 5	581-	I UNDERGROUND LIHES CUSTONER PRIMARY SECONDARY	8.5.26 8.5.27 8.5.21	s 10 5 26 5 20	0 \$ 100 6 8 260 0 <u>5 186</u>	s 203 6 555 3 196	\$ 33 5 149 5 123	5 87 5 139 <u>5 137</u>	1 13 5 34 5 26	\$ 17 \$ 32 \$ 26	s t 5 60 <u>5 46</u>	5 5 36 <u>5 43</u>	s 0 s 21 s	a 0 s 22 s -	\$ 0 \$ 20 <u>6 -</u>	8 0 \$ 19 <u>5</u>	s 0 5 - 5 -	s 0 5 - 5 -	s - s - <u>s -</u>	s - s - <u>s -</u>
,		SUBTOTAL		s 59	4 5 533	\$ L,148	\$ 366	3 313	\$ 75	\$ 70	\$ 107	5 LOO	5 24	5 22	5 20	P 13	, ,		-	•
10	384-	2 ONDERGROUND TRANSFORMERS CUSTONER SECONDARY	A.E.20 A.E.ZL	s 67 <u>5 6</u> 7	3 5 (201) 2 <u>5 (141)</u>	s 472 s 131	5 588 <u>5 289</u>	5 (176) 5 (186)	s 80 <u>s 66</u>	\$ 1241 <u>\$ 1203</u>	5 5 <u>5 116</u>	s (2) <u>s ()5</u>)	; -	s -	\$ - 3 -	5 - 5 -	s - <u>s -</u>	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	<u> </u>
13		SUSTOTAL		3 1,14	5 6 {342} :	\$ 803	\$ 277	\$ (242)	\$ 140	\$ (43)	F 122	\$ (36)	s -	s -	s -	s -	s -	s -	• •	s -
15	585	LIGHTING	A. F. 29	\$ 39	4 \$ 121	\$ 515	\$ 199	5 41	\$ 45	\$ 24	\$ 74	\$ 23	9 JU	• •	• •	• •	• •			
17	586	HETERS	A.F.7	5 2,88	1 5 923	\$ 3,804	5 1,964	\$ 629	\$ 621	\$ 201	\$ 175	\$ 56	5 87	\$ 28	S 27	s ,	\$ 2	s (; .	÷ -
19	587	CUSTONER ENSTALLATION	DIRECT	<u>\$ 1,65</u>	. <u>) s (300)</u> ;	s 1,473	<u>s (712)</u>	<u>5 79</u>	<u>• </u>	<u>* -</u>	<u>s -</u>	<u>*</u> _	\$ 1,183	<u>\$ (129</u>	<u>8 1,183</u>	<u>\$ (129</u>)	<u>* -</u>	<u> </u>	<u>s</u>	<u>s -</u>
21 22 23		DIST OPERATING EXPLUSE SUBTON CUSTOMER A582-A557 ODMAND A582-A567	TAL	5 5,17 8 8,24	3 \$ 1,201 3 \$ 2,152	\$ 6,383 \$ 10,436	1 3,967 5 2,821	\$ 018 \$ 1,322	5 198 5 806	\$ Z34 \$ 205	\$ 194 \$ 3,435	5 58 5 495	5 87 5 1,61	\$ 28 3 52	9 27 5 1,568	\$ 9 \$ 33	5 2 8 27	8) 5 5	1 - 1 -	s - s -
25 26 21	580	SUPERVISION & SHOR Customen Ozhand	A.F. 30 A.F. 32	6 79 5 [,24	15 5 313 17 <u>8 695</u>	\$ 1,175 5 1,962	5 610 5 434	s 278 <u>s 419</u>	s 138 s 124	\$ 74 \$ 90	5 30 5 218	5 19 5 <u>157</u>	\$]] <u>\$ 248</u>	3 9 5 16	s 4 <u>s 24)</u>	\$ 3 <u>\$ 10</u>	5 0 5 3	8 D 8 2	s - s -	
24 29 30		SUBTOTAL		\$ 2.06	3 \$ 1, 0 78	\$ 3,140	\$ },043	3 697	\$ 262	\$ 164	\$ 247	\$ 176	S 261	\$ 25	1 245	\$ 13	\$ 3	s 2	s -	5 -
31 32 33	581	DISPATCHING CUSTONER DEMARO	A.F. 30 A.F. 33	\$ 1,47 <u>\$ 2,34</u>	4 \$ 117 9 9 212	\$ 1,591 \$ 2,561	\$ 1,130 \$ 804	5 85 8 128	s 256 <u>s 230</u>	s 23 <u>s 27</u>	s 55 5 J04	ь і <u>ь і</u> в	5 25 <u>5 460</u>	s 3 s 5	s e <u>s 44</u> 7	\$ 1 5 1	5 0 5 5	30 5 <u>1</u>	; . <u>.</u> .	<u> </u>
34 15 16		SUBTOTAL		\$ 3,82	:) 5 326	5 4,151	\$ 1,934	\$ 212	5 486	\$ 50	\$ 128	\$ 54	\$ 185	5 B	\$ +54	\$ 4	3 5	\$ 1	1 -	ş -
37 38 39	569	MISCELLAHEOUS CUSTONER DEMARD	A.F.30 3.2.31	\$ 1,77 <u>\$ 2,87</u>	1 5 6,441 2 5 8,062	s 6,211 s 10,893	s 1,358 <u>s 966</u>	\$),278 5 4,860	\$ 301 <u>\$ 277</u>	s 962 s 1,046	5 66 5 185	5 215 <u>5 1,975</u>	8 30 <u>5 557</u>	\$ 103 <u>\$ 191</u>	s 537	5 31 <u>5 120</u>	\$ 1 <u>5 6</u>	s 2 <u>s 19</u>	s - 5 -	₽ -
40 41		SUBTOTAL		5 4,55	2 \$ L2,502	\$ 17,095	\$ 2,323	\$ 6, DBB	5 584	\$ 1,906	\$ 551	\$ 2,040	F 582	3 293	5 546	\$ 151	\$ i	\$ 21	\$ -	s -

1

SCHEDULE 1 PAGE 2 OF 4

AmerenUC

SCREDULE 1 PAGE 3 OF 4 i

;

Ţ

Ţ.

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAR PERIOD: 12 NOTHER ENDED JUNE 2008 AVERAGE EXCESS THREE JOB/COINCIDENT PEAKS (\$000's)

11115	OPEPA	LUG ENPENSES - FAGE 1																		
			ALLOCAT (DI		TOTAL HISSOURI		NES I C	EITTAL	SCALL	ىقى	LANGE	<u> </u>	ER.	M681	<u> </u>	FLNABY	L. 18 0	USH1\$5100	110	at 116
14 <u>1</u> 5.1	ACCT_	L LIEU	PASIS	LABOR	OTHER	Igial	12508	OTHER	LABOR	OTHER.	LAROR	OTHER	LABOR	QUELS	LABOA	OTHER	LABOR	OTHER	LABOR	OTHER
,																				
Ĵ.		CUSTOMER	A 7 10	<u>د</u>	(<u>40</u> 5	*0	٠.	s		s 17	• -	. ,								
4		DEMAND	A.F. 31	· -	5 105 5	109	s -	\$ 66		\$ 14	· ·	\$ 25		\$ 3	s -	3 C 3 Z	\$.	s ù	s -	
5												<u> </u>						<u> </u>		
6		SUBTOTAL		5 -	\$ 170 S	170	5 -	2 730	5 -	5 26	s -	\$ 28	\$ -	F 4	5 -	s 2	1 -	s 0	s -	s -
6		BIST OPERATING EXPENSE AND TOTA	1																	
		CUSTCHER ASSP-559		\$ 9.21	I 5 6.208 S	15.421	\$ 1.064	5 4.512	3 3.598	5 1.205	3 345	\$ 109	\$ 155	6 U.S	5 47	5 44	5 7	• •		٠.
10		DEMAND AS20-559		\$ 14,681	6 11,270 S	25, 951	\$ 5,024	\$ 6,795	\$ 7,440	\$ 1,462	3 2.522	\$ 2,551	\$ 2,873	5 246	5 2,792	\$ 169	÷ 1	5 21	5 -	s -
11																				
12		TOTAL DIST OPERATING EXPENSES		¥ 23,895	5 9 17,478 S	41,372	5 LZ.098	\$ 11,307	\$ 3,038	\$ 2,661	\$ 2,867	\$ 2,857	1),028	410	\$ 2,640	\$ 212	\$ 34	s 30	5.	3 -
11																				
15		DISTRIBUTION MAINTENANCE EXPE	NSES																	
16																				
17																				
18	591-59	2 SUBSTATIONS	A. F. B	\$ 7,710	5 5,603 5	13,343	5 3,945	3 2,867	5 503	\$ 656	\$ L,597	\$ 1,154	3 663	5 482	\$ 612	\$ 445	s -	ş -	s -	\$ -
19		CONTRACTOR A LINES																		
21		CUSTONER	A.F.22	\$ 3, 19;		11.160	\$ 2.186	5 7.132	5 127	5 983	\$ 26	5 66	۰,	с (4 n	۰ ۱	5 0	s 0		
22		PRIMARY	A.F.23	5 9 52	3 24, 375 5	11,859	6 4,943	\$ 12,652	5 2 231	5 2,895	3 1.989	5 5,091	\$ 791	\$ 2.025	1 669	9 1, 712	\$ -	s -		
23		SECONDARY	A. F. 24	\$ 77	s 1,978 s	2,751	\$ 457	\$ 1,169	\$ 106	\$ 271	\$ 210	\$ 538		s –	s -	5 -	s -		5 -	s -
2.4		LIGHTING-DIRECT	A.F.25	<u>s </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>s</u> -	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>s -</u>	<u>i</u>	<u>s -</u>	<u>s -</u>	1	<u>s </u>	<u>.</u>	<u>s -</u>	<u>+ -</u>	<u> </u>
25		EINE 7777 AI																		
27		SUBIOTAL		\$ 13,400	5 34,522 5	10.009	5 8,106	\$ 20,953	5 1,614	\$ 4,132	\$ 2,225	\$ 3,696	\$ 793	\$ 2,030	1 662	1 L, 112	\$ 0	\$ 0	s –	• •
28	594	UNDERGROUND LINES																		
29		CUSTOMER	A.5.26	5 641	i \$ 200 ≱	844	\$ 559	\$ 177	5 76	B 24	4 S	52	s 0	5 O	\$ 0	3 Q	\$ 0	s 0	5 -	5.
30		PALHARY	A.F.21	\$ 1,722	\$ \$16 \$	Z. 264	5 894	5 263	\$ 205	3 65	5 360	\$ 114	\$ 143	s 15	\$ 121	\$ 38	\$ -	s -	s -	5 -
31		SECONDARY	A.F.28	<u>\$ 1,196</u>	5 300 5	1.517	<u>\$ 751</u>	5 234	170	<u>s 54</u>	3 276	5 53	<u>s -</u>	<u>s -</u>	<u>s</u> -	<u>s -</u>	<u>s </u>	s -	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>
31		SUBTOTAL		4 3.560	1 1 1 129 5	4 549	\$ 2 304	1 649	6 (5)	1 141	5 641	5 201	e 141	к	e 111	4 19		۰ n		
34				•		-,	1 2, 101		• • • • •			5 203			- 111	•		, ,	• -	• •
35	595	UNDERGROUND TRANSFORMERS																		
36		CUSTOMER	A.F.20	\$ 196	4 233 6	721	\$ 426	5 204	5 50	5 28	5 4	\$ 2	s	s -	F -	s -	s -	s .	3 -	s -
37		SECONDART	A.F.21	3 34.	5 163 5	505	\$ 210	5 100	3 48	<u>s 20</u>	3	<u>s 10</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>s -</u>	5	<u>s -</u>		<u>s</u>	5	5 -
38		SURTOTAL				1 231	e 414	5 304	5 106	5 50	5 88	s 27		s -			÷ .			
40		300000		• • • • •	,	1,211	, ,,,,	3 301	100	5 50		, ,,		v –	· ·	• -	• -		• •	•
41	596	LIGHTING	A.F.29	\$ 1,740) \$ \$16 9	2,257	\$ 870	\$ 261	\$ 200	\$ 59	\$ 327	\$ 97	\$ 133	5 40	\$ 125	\$ 37	3 76	\$ 23	¢ -	s -
42																				
43	597	MLTERS	A.F.7	5 314	<u>s 203</u> <u>s</u>	517	5 214	\$ 136	61	<u> 1 11</u>	19	<u>9 12</u>	<u>\$ 9</u>	56	<u>د ا</u>	<u>\$</u> 2	e 0	<u>s 0</u>	5 -	<u> </u>
44		NIST MAINTENANCE EXBENCE CONTA																		
46		CUSTOMER A393-4597	151	\$ 4,419	5 5 6.407 S	13.442	\$ 1.987	\$ 1.651	5 574	\$ 1.0×0	\$ 54	5 97	\$)7	5 51	\$ 3	5 2	3 0	\$ D	5.	ş.
- ai		DEHAND AS93-AS97		\$ 23,004	\$ 33,562 5	\$0,570	\$ 12,079	\$ 17,570	\$ 2,763	\$ 1,020	3 4, 134	\$ 7,122	\$ 1.731	2, 592	\$ 1,526	\$ 2,232	3 76	21	÷ -	

	-	_	
	ST YEAR	TECLINIC	
	PERIOD:	1057 0	
(2,00) (S)	32 REATHS THEED JUNE 2020	SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY	ime runiti

PAGE : OF 1

	PATE OF PETUDA	TOTAL HET ORIGINAL CUST RATE BASE	ACCUMULATED OFFENAED INCOME TAKES	CUSTOMER ADVANCES & DEMOSITS	ANTENIALS & SUPPLIES -LOCAL	HATERIALS & SUPPLIES - FUEL	NET PLANT IN SERVICE		GROSS FLAUT (* SERVICE		HET OPERATING INCOME	TOTAL DECRATHER EXPLOSES	1000000 - 1000000			and the second	TEAL PRIME AND PROPERTY TARES	IVIAL CENTERATION AND ANOISTIZATION EXPEN	TOTAL FRODUCTION, TAD, CUSTOMER, AND AND A		TOTAL DEERATING REVENUE	WHE PERCING VAPINGE	STALLS & INTERCANCE SALES REVENUE	C.CR. PC REVERUE				TITLEL
	8	Ŗ						ş	2									9	, 9			A.F.29	N MAL UN	A.F. 29	A.F.41	141371.6	-26-26.2	
		•		n 4	•	~	-	!"	•		•	υ.	1-	•		•	~	÷,	•		•	~	東京	•		٣		
	÷.734+	5. 819. 677	1.095.577	(11, 595)		227,226	m, 123, 165	4, 300, 562	3. 224. 425		394.101	2,003,10*	.		109.61	155, 544	99,128	201.660	1,466.770	Car.	2, 397, 210	122)	1005 381 327	27.111	(2,ė)	1, 970, 140	Tell.	1400013
		5.2			Š	~					۴	ŝ	1-	*	5	.	~	۰.	••		-	-	υ,		b	٠	ĉ	
. 505 161	1.6411	\$45. 7)¢	546, 631)	(6, 173)	30, IB4	11,027	439, 385	316. 904	105,293		7e, 230	94L, (68	ŀ		10.023	L03 8L	51,178	135.618	665, 942		010.917	0.0	173,251	13.701	33,762	030,213		
		~	~ •	·	~	~	•	1	-		*	м	ľ	•	۰	"	•	۴	~		•	•	-	•	Ŵ	•	Ŗ	
0.]] (322	1.1101	670, 949	(12), 213)	11, 4423	2. 694	22. 4)6	779.223	327.035	1, 306, 355		51, 933	217.626	.	,	2.141	17,214	11,514	30, 172	115, 545		20.019	5	33.396	3, 310	6,546	226,710	2, 22,22,12,2	SHALL
		٠	ļ" '		ŝ	-	~	ŗ	~		-	-	1~	÷	~	ŵ	•	•	-		-	1.	•	÷	'n	u,		
0.107009	17.1724	;.098.195	(203.325)	12, 6351	3, 357	49,074	1,254,435	429,511	2,0+2,919		122.117	383, 484		•	1 576	29,213	(3.47)	18, 184	162,342		105.101	4	12,613	2.092	10,673	413,267		LANCE
0.076699	11, 130	\$ 441.510	1 (dD, 129)	5	3 1.039	\$ 24,304	\$ 503, 717	906 610 5	1 11 124		5 33 313	2 171, 452	•	, ,	5 2, 584	5 II, 900	5 7, 307	5 19,151	\$ 111,400		5	9 [2]	1 35,993	9 7,079	5 4,457	5 182,440	22 INARI	21445
0.0				- 10	~	•		ľ,	5		\$	*	1	~	~	(*	4	•	-		_	••	•	÷	**	"	5	_
71626	7.8761	A, 91 8	4, 44 8)	. 1 . 1	215	5.031	69,129	93.612	52.94L		32.994	6ü, 27]	ľ	•	1.473	0., 141	6.763	81 L'AT	22,176		49.2C1	R)	37,071	1.942	4, 304	55, 952	INSEX	APCE.
0					ű	'n	•	ľ			~	"	ľ			~	~	"	~		-	*	••	7	-	•	तर प्रा	
613717	20.9115	56.036	13, 110	10161	¥	23,172	274.976	165,765	112.761		3.692	122, 086	.	•	814	6, 801	3.925	10,202	100,334		115 126	Ē	34.316		3,001	137,209	52155103	ARCE
		~	ſ		v	~	•	[٠		•	-	r		**	٣	'n	~	\$,	^	••	••	•	'n	~	E	
•	1.301	•	ŀ	•	•	•	ı.	•	•			1	ŀ	•	1		•	1	•		•	•		,		•	221124	
1001	t	\$5, 418, 577	-21-645-327	-513, 595	\$21,434	\$227,226	36, 723, 865	54,300,562	511,224,426		5394.101	\$2,003,109	01 0	C 5	519-691	5155,344	899,52 8	\$261,666	31, 466, 773		AL: 201 -1	-522	₹ 11€, 300	129,111	562,831	31.470,790	SUM CHICK	
	:	50	50	50	50	50	10		96	:	50	0 E	10	50	50	50	05	50	50	1		63	2	50	50	50	DITT	

5483 341-054 W.J. 1413 141-054 W.J.

1

1

THE CURATE	25 SAUSTS - SUNDA					HINDER I		225	LUEUT VAL		nali g. i	•	LANGA.	ŗ,		1.50.2	F	ALTAPL	- IP 6	NER'S FLOR	E C	1111
باللام والملال	1253	26512		LaPje	RI C	1	TULY.	- AND	1	Leik	5	Dints.	LARQB	STALF	LARON R	CIRAL		1000	1012 -10			
~	DEPTER A STORE OF BETTER EASE	112.2																				
- •														;	-		•	12.657	-	-		•
<i>.</i>	DOFA-PRODUCT LORY MUNIT	5.F.	0.			1012101	156,914	•	62-14 F			11, 335 - 1		. 10, 440	 				•	••••	÷	~ ·
	DEEB-CONTROL PLAN	× F - 1	98.0	1	あったい					• •			•	5 1 1 4 1 4		5 1 23	-	3 241	u ,	3 500		
•	DEER-TRAINSTISSION FLAM	× F : "	QX.	1			1, 11				- •			1 1 1 1 1		110.1 5	•	\$ 3,473	.	141 5		•
	Dotk-UtstalaUTICX; august) 1 1	ŝ				11. JUJ			4, J	•	1.177		5 1, JA?		\$ 1,017	ľ	(66	~- 		- -	
~	DEEK-GENEPAL PLNIT	A 1.30	- * 0		VOLUCE.		1.1.1	ľ	•		 		!	-			1				•	•
	SUGTOT AL				, , 7	43,666 \$	141, 6 46	•	\$ 115.63	•.	•	3C. 472 3		7 45.4 8 4		5 19,131	.	5 17.110		3 10,20		*
::					•	•	,	•	3	r	. -	. -		-	ļ		ľ	~ .	,	- -	ļ.	ľ
с:						Í							-			1915	۰ ۰	¥ 17.71	•	\$ 10.20	۔ ۲	ŗ
51	TOTAL DIPREC & AMONTIE EXP	There			~	01.480 S	08. 01. 07.					2										
- e																						
1																					•	
20	NEAL ESTATE & PROPERTY TAU	G A.Y.11	20	,	•	1 325*64	99,520	•	5 54.4		•	11,504		1 21 1	•	3 1.34	•	· · · · · ·			•	
21	Income Leve Internet (CSM-	-KP-E6221 \$	101 101																			
3 23	tifective Tax Rote Tax Factor		L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L																			
21	Net Income Pequinod	-	56,247																			
	Revenue Increase Amount		145 14																			
: 7	Difference Difference	real and	5-1619-14-1														•		•			•
26	SACHE SYNCA		9	•	-	155,511 \$	155,514		5 78.6	1		17, 844		29.210	•••	11.93	• •	1 11,144			•	•
¥	PETURN	A.1.25	Q.	1	•	156, 474 - 4	154, 474	•	5 19.0			1 . 4 . 1			• •	-	•		•	3 P1	÷	;
10	PAYPOLS TAKES	4.1.35	99		• •	19.63	19,601	 , ,	141		. - •				ľ.	- .	~ ~	` *	- -	~ `	Ē	.
2												14 100		5 30,597	•	s 10, 10,	-	1 10, 51	•	2 14, 34	ř.	ĩ
ž	305.0			·					•							1 :60 15.		5 156.116	5 11.649	1 117,24	-	ï
35	TOTAL OPERATION : CINCE D	83CH158		1 241, 13	5 5 L.	s ert'ere	2,159,544	5 (43,94	5 9 416,9	90	• CAN '16	200,214	20, 90, 90	100 C 100 C								
35					-	•	•	•••		~ ~	•••	•			- 4 - 1	 		• •		 	~ + , ,	
3					6		,	•	•	•											-	
60 96	TOTAL COST OF SERVICE			10.162 5	к т Ц.	172,248 5	2.159.584	3 143,26	\$ 5 676,9	5 00	6 E0C'IC	204, 274	\$ 50,604	\$ 362.763	\$ 22.729	1 (0, 12	\$ 21, 14	4 156,336	\$ 11.683	9 6 117,20	÷.	ĩ
fi #							ş		1,020,7	C		111.11		420,172		183,45	J	122.481		128, 93	36	
1 C	Addicional Taxet	A. 7. 29		•	u	\$ (FD'St	35, 047		3 5 1/,?	-	•	(. 671	•	5 7.182	•	5 7.44	5	\$ 2.510	ь ,	* 1, 3,	2	* -
:																						

ADDONED HEADTHE COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST VEAN PERIOD 12 HOUTE ALLOCATION STUDY VENUE VIEN

:

ļ

1

PAGE 1 OF 1 SCHEDULG 1

.

Dave 12/14/2015 -0.01 AVA 4.44 10/14/24 EXC2

ジーひんん ビビングマインさんがん おようグ ドイントのよう シサマ トレント ひらりょうらうしこう トレービー ひょうしん ひかん およろえ スピンエンエー サマービン いろう ようらいしころ ト THE ROLL INTER, OFFICIER IZ DURAT - PAGES -01-) e jo acs 208-916 cootoxee azerices 307 501 101 101 102 102 103 HALEN STORT OLDER VIELD OLDER VIELDER MACETTYMEN VECANIS CARENTELINER MACETTYMEN A VECANIS MACETTYMEN MACET TUTAL PROD, 740, 20ST, AND EXPENSES CLAST CLAST SUPERVI 31CH 54464212200 A - A - A - FX2E01223 TOTAL PROS. TED.CUST EXPENSES TOTAL CUSTOMER SERVICE EXPENSES CUSICERA, SERVICE, A. SAUES EXTENSES TOTAL CUSTOMER ACCOUNT EXPERISES SUBTCIAL CUSIENCE. ACCOUNT INFINESS SUBTOTAL SUNJOTAL 5 A.7.30 014ECT A.F.34 ALLOCATION A. F. 14 A. F. 34 \$ 91,413 9 2,44,77 5 742,954 6 1,221,724 9 125,966 5 4,16,710 5 27,676 5 101,726 5 44,661 5 147,144 7 20,060 9 72,241 5 11,662 5 92,170 5 10,716 5 50,128 1 -3 244,77 5 742,954 6 1,221,724 9 125,966 5 4,16,710 5 27,676 5 101,726 5 44,661 5 147,144 7 20,060 9 72,241 5 11,662 5 92,170 5 10,716 5 50,128 1 -2.443 2,945 \$12,421 1211 59,801 1221 \$2,174 APCE. 51J,068 233 5 -٣ 3 3, 534 **1**% ICIAL HISSONEI NIHEE 5 552 5 5 522 541,174 \$15,137 \$7,314 \$7,314 \$14,597 \$1,626 \$90 543,173 3, 534 ~ |_ ÷ <u>~</u>ا 2,263
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 31/2 30
 <t 102 524,242 5 10,894 4 11,167 5 05 354,091 3 10,587 £.479 S 2,436 6, 479 5 2, 434 1 52 24 5 16.897 5 101.300 5 16.897 5 101.300 5 1.22 3 194 • ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY TEST YEAF SERIOU: 12 MONTHS ENDED JUNE 2006 (3000'S) LANDE CTHICE LANDE CTHICE \$ 3,010 \$ s - 5 - -5 - <u>1,019</u> 5 - <u>172</u> 5 35,163 5 đ. **r** ľ - - - -~ ~ 2,671 5 22,277 2220 011125 172 \$ 151 ĩ Ŧ 155 . 2 = 1 a 3 + <u>0</u> + <u>16</u> + <u>0</u> +
 (1)
 (2)
 (4)
 (4)
 (4)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)
 (2)</th 5 4.026 \$ 1.378 \$ 1.358 3 1.02 ۳ LABGE G. L. 311 5 146 5 24 ~5 6 ~ RYHZD UCHYN Mertaf y 1 5 0 15 •* r 3 15 9 7 5 4 8 0 5 0 - 5 0 5 1 5 5 5 5 - 5 5 5 6 3 1 5 0 5 THEOR JIERS -5 12,011 5 213 ٣ ů 47 S D 3 2 I 0 3 6 5 I I ٣ 19620 102812 19155125125121 | |, . 8 10,9 8 0 i" . | < . e 1.... TRUE ZORPI UTEXTITE |. . ľ. **,** , ï

.

- - ----

ATION OF A DESIGNATION

DONE 1 OF 1

Dana 1972102000 10001 wat Frit-104149 Extry

,

I

1

I

Ame ren UE

_____.

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY THET YEAR PERIOD: 17 REGENES THEED JUNE 2016 (5600's)

TITLE: AUXAGE)			SISCOUP!				5HALL		LARGE	SMALL		LAPGE		LARGE				
			IGIN.	25	SIDENTIAL	G.	<u>es servic</u> e	2	21 <u>1222101</u>	22 IMAPX		PERSEN	1	USP 1551CS	Ш	511125	SUM CHECK	0155
HISE BEUENNE	426426.2							-						117 900		_	41 410 160	50
OTHER REVENUE	19, 17, 1	2	1.410.190	•	050,213	2	226, 10	2	414,291	\$ 102, 44U	2	155,952	2	117,209	2		142 13	30
LIGHTING OF VENNE	A.F.41	2	52,831	:	33, 483	2	0,040	1	10,473	5 5,437	1	1,304	1	1,001	:	-	102,101	50
STATER & INTERCHANCE COLDE SCHEME	110121110	ĽУл		1	123 251	÷	3,173	1	12 471	5 15 693		11 071	÷.	14 316		-	\$ 116, 100	50
NATE REVENUE VARIANCE	A F 79	5	1271		(11)		(1)	1	141	1 (2)		21	5	(1)	-		-\$22	\$0
TOTAL OPERATING ACCOUNT		-	2 202 210	1		2	2:0.415		10: 101			100 1/3		175 775	1		15 147 114	10
TOTAL OF LOCATION REVENUE		'	CK	•	1,010,01.	,	287,339	,	308, 101	•	3	199.461	•	113,018	,		1., 1-1, 210	
TOTAL PRODUCTION, THD. CUSTOMER, MID AND E-	. OK	5	1,466.770	1	665,942	s	155, 545		242,245	5 131,480		129, 176	5	100, 334	s	-	31, 466, 770	30
TOTAL DEPRECIATION AND AND/ORTIZATION EXPENS	5 OK	s	241,666	\$	135.438	5	30, 172	\$	48,484	3 19,151	\$	17,7)8	\$	10,203	\$	-	#261.66F	30
MEAL ESTATE AND PROPERTY TAXEE		5	99.520	\$	51,478	5	11,584	5	19,471	1 7,307	\$	6.763	\$	3,925	5	-	\$99.528	\$0
LICOME TAXES		5	155,544	•	78,607	2	17, 844	3	23.213	\$ 11,930	5	11.141	\$	6,009	\$	-	\$155,544	50
PATROLL TAXES		3	19,601	2	10,023	5	2,1\$1	3	3, 526	5 1,584	\$	1,473	•	814	5	-	\$19.001	10
FEDERAL DICISE TAX		5	-	ŧ	-	5	-	\$	•	s -	5	-	\$	•	1	-	50	20
REVENDE TAXES		<u>1</u>		ŕ		5	<u> </u>	<u>}</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	5	<u> </u>	3	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	-	\$0	30
TOTAL OPERATENC EXPENSES		s	2.003,30*	\$	941,668	3	217.625	1	313.184	9 173, 452	\$	16 <i>6</i> , 273	\$	322,006		-	\$2,005,109	50
NET DREAKING INCOME		\$	394, 101	•	19,250	3	\$1, \$33	\$	122, 919	5 57,515	\$	32,994	\$	53.692	3	-	\$354,101	\$0
GROSS PLANT IN SPRVICE	08	c	11.774 426	Ŀ	5. 605. 291		1 106 255		2.017.442	\$ \$24, 226	5	762.943	5	442.761	\$	-	\$11.224.426	10
FESERVES TOR DEPRECIATION	OK.	i.	1.500.562		2.366.908		\$27.035		629.511	5 319 508	5	293, 013		165.785	\$		\$4,300,562	10
		-		-		÷		_		in the second	-		<u> </u>					
NET PLANT LU SERVICE		٠	n, 123, 965	\$	3,439.385	s	779.220	,	1,254,438	\$ 505, 717	,	469.129	•	276, 976	,	-	46,723,865	10
																_		
NATURIALS & SUPPLIES - IVEL		2	111,110	2		1	1 10	2	19,014	3 20,004		617	:				171 214	50
CASH HORNING CARITAL		1	(13 644)	1	14 1733		(1 44)	1	2 415	5 17 2:91		1 1 1 7 1	ί.	19301			-511, 193	10
CUSTOME ADVANCES & DEPOSITS		÷	(14. 471)		14.2131	;	(4.406)	÷	12, 4731	5 1645	ŝ	(511)	ŝ	-	s	-	-514,677	50
ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAKES		5	11.995, 5771	ŝ	1566,651;	\$	(127, 513)	÷	(203. 325)	8 100. 429	s	[74, 4481	\$	143, 219)	5		-\$1,095,571	50
						_												
TOTAL NET GALCINAL COST RATE BASE	OX.	۴	5,814,673	\$	2, 955, 930	5	670,949	*	1.038.136	5 431,588	5	418.918	*	236.036	\$	•	12.019.677	\$0
BALE OF RETURN	UK.		6.7385		1.6111		7,7401		17.1721	11, 130		7.8761		20.9711		0.001	1	-1
					0.505367		0.134522		0, 107009	0.076699		0.071676		0.043177		0	1001	

··· ·- · <u>--</u>

_

1

SCHEGULE 1 PACE 1 OF 1

i

i

-

ALLOCATION FACTORS

I

ł

				RES		SGS		LGS		<u>\$P</u>		LP		LI		<u>IGHT</u>		
A.F.1 PRODUCTION				47 16%		11 23%		10 57%		0 4 2 9/		7042		6 700/		0.008		
A.F.2 TRANSMISSION LINE				43 46%		11.2.3%		20.51%		0.92.78 8.879/		7.84%		5,72%		0.00%	1	00.009
A.F.3 TRANSMISSION SUBSTATION				- 43 48%		11 25%		20.51%		9 83%		0,7276		7 74%		0.00%		00.007
A.F.4 DISTRIBUTION - % CUSTOMER				87.32%		11.81%		0.81%		0.08%		0.72.76		0.00%		0.00%		00.007
A.F.5 DISTRIBUTION • PRIMARY				51.90%		11.88%		20.89%		8.31%		7 07%		0.00%		0.00%		00.007
A.F.6 DISTRIBUTION - SECONDARY				61.31%		14.02%		24.67%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	1	00.007
A.F.7 DISTRIBUTION - % METER	•			68,18%		21.76%		6.08%		3.01%		0.92%		0.05%		0.00%	1	00.00%
A.F.7A METER READING				86,82%		11.74%		1.30%		D.12%		0.02%		0.00%		0.00%	1	00.00%
A.F.8 DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION - (% CLASS NCP @ PRIM	}			51.16%		11.71%		20.59%		8.63%		7.94%		0.00%		0.00%	1	00.00%
A.F.9 REVENUE TAX																		0.00%
A.F.10																		0.00%
A.F. 11 FUEL				36.63%		9.87%		21.60%		10.70%		11.02%		10.20%		0.00%	1	00.00%
A.F.12 CUSTOMER ADVANCES & DEPOSITS				42.53%		30.02%		18.21%		5.76%		3.48%		0.00%		0.00%	1	00,00%
A.F. 13 REU - COL CAU				91,15%		6.69%		2.92%		0.23%		0.02%		0.00%		0.00%	1	00.00%
				39.99%		10.57%		21.17%		10.05%		9.46%		8,76%		0.00%	1	00.00%
A F 15 DISTRIBUTION W CUSTOMED (EXCEPT OC)				43.94%		11,46%		20.96%		9.15%		7.80%		6.66%		0.00%	1	00.00%
A E 16 DISTRIBUTION - SECONDARY (EACEPT PS)				87.37% 67.71N		11.82%		0.81%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	1	00.00%
A E 17 GES - TRANSMISSION (ALL)	1 425-1226-2426-2426	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		01.117 1020277"100/5	241342.037	14.807 1 / wie e//) 62/24	en an	17.38% Table 10's a Ma	en e	ປ.ບບ75 ໃນເປັງຜູ້ເອຍໃ	Notes the state of the	0.00% *************	THE REPORT	200.0 ×	on the weath such	0.00%	1 Simearcan a	00,00%
A.F.1B ALL DIST GRS	San The	1.15	(C)	1001510	050404	2217 674		10.02 1	经代理 专	4 94 7	6	VU11.047	18 A. A. M.	1.45.72%		0.00%	8 B S .	00.00%
A.E.19 SUSTOTAL GRS- PROD TAD. GEN. COMMON PLANT	1.111111111111111111111111111111111111			1151 772		115.14	1. 1. 1.	10 56 1		7.1.4	1. S. C. S.	40 000	The second	10.13%	132.51	0.00%	120.2	00,00%
A.F.20 CUSTOMER AS % OF LINE TRF - NET OC	58.80%	行在他	and the second	CHE 51 379	States (Constant)	A 95%	-1998 - 2 19	19670 48 6	Strate Com	0.00%	Stat =	10000	1.1.1	0.004	15 F3 F3	0.00		50 000
A.F.21 SECONDARY AS % OF LINE TRF - NET OC	41,20%	Sherring the	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 5	25.26%	Sec. And	5.78%	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 190	410 16 %	新生活 。	0 00%	出力がたい	ະນີກັດດາ	Net Aug 1	A 0 00%	a maken	0.00%	120	41 209
A.F 22 ACCT 364, 365, 369-1 CUSTOMER - NET OC	23.66%	1.1.1	1. A 24 A 14	20.66%	4年 11月1日日	2.79%		041 10 19 X	66.5	0.01%	100	10000	15 C 1	- 0 00%	19	0 00% 2	202	23 669
A.F.23 ACCT 364, 365, 369-1 PRIMARY - NET OC	70 61%	1. 200 4.	ST 12 13 19 19 19	36.65%	1. 19 6. 77	8.30%	03 2576	214.75%		5 87%	12 N St	4,96%		\$0.00 %		0.00%		70.61%
A.F 24 ACCT 364,65,69-1 SEC - NET	COM: 5.73%	THE CALL	Post Star Bart	TR 3.39%	计记录存	\$7 0.78%	St ALLANY	3 311.56%	San Conten	0.00%	140 907.4	0.00%	1.5 5 1	10.00%	1.10	0.00%		5,739
A.F.25 ACCT 364,65,69-1 LIT - NET	2 S 0.00%	的标志的	Sa tree states age	L 10.00%	C To TE	28 0.00%	in the second	1240.00%;	133 St. 6	0.00%	应出。在	C 0.00%	1985. 20-14	0.00%	1. 50 3 3	0.00%		0.00%
A.F.26 ACCT 366,67,69-2 CUS - NET	17.99%	1.0	1.1.1	10,115.71%	516 - SAST	2.13%	TAL ASSAULT	36.15%	17 32 AL	0.01%	an in the second se	0.00%	Same and strength	0.00%	12.50 - 56.60	0.00%	1.1	17.99%
A.F.27 ACCT 366.67,69-2 PRI - NET	48.37%	${\cal H} = {\cal H}_{1, {\cal H}}$	三日 医内	25.10%	ALL THE PERSON	Ct 5.75%	1.4.2	\$9,10,10%		4.02%	1.63	3 40%	Sec. 3	0.00%	4) 	0.00%		46.37%
A.F.28 ACCT 365,67,59-2 SEC - NET	33.64%		1911	10121 1016	the second	4.78%		7 76%	Contraction of the	0.00%	5.5° . 45 . 5°	1.0 003		0.00%		n now \$		33 64 9
A.F.29 TOTAL NET RATE BASE	2000	Star Star	9	T-150 54%	5-9-4-1-6	1147%	u n in	18 78%	BAR ST	7 57%	57 . A. H.	0.7 16%	新建成	4 39 47	diam'r a	0.004	20 A .	00.041
A F 30 CUST & METER AS % OF AS82.87	38 564	35 5394	- 14 - 17 J. + 7 17 D. + 14	76 874	70 604	17 160	10.44	176200 0.10 M	4 9 4 9/	1.01.0	13212132) 2 2 4 6 4	02,1,10,2	1. A. 23	(3914 3078) A COM	1-52-513	0.00%	Salasin -	00.009
A E 11 DEMAND AS N OF ASR 97	81.44W	64.40N		24.000	72.03 M	0.0414	19.4176	3.137		1.00%	2.0370	U, 3176	0.71%	U.93%	0.04%	0.00%	0.00%	
A F 22 OUST & METER AD M OF ASO2 ASO2	01.447	04.40%		-34.227	00.29%	9.61%	12,907	17,18%	22.64%	19.57%	2.36%	19.02%	1.49%	0.21%	0.24%	0.00%	0.00%	
A.F.32 CUST & METER AS % OF A593-A597	16.77%	20.79%		86.UZ%	85.87%	12.49%	12.04%	1.17%	0.93%	0.25%	0.13%	0.07%	0.03%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
A.F.33 DEMAND AS % OF A593-A597	83.23%	79.21%		52.50%	52.35%	12.01%	11.99%	21.01%	21.22%	7.52%	7.72%	8.63%	6 65%	0.33%	0.07%	0.00 %	0.00%	
A.F.34 CUSTOMER 902-905 EXPENSES	69.69%			82.71%	85.41%	5.84%	9.92%	10.55%	4.12%	0.83%	0.43%	0.07%	0.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
A.F.35 PRODUCTION, T&D, & CUSTOMER EXP				51.14%	51.14%	11,13%	11.13%	17.99%	17,99%	8.08%	6.08%	7.52%	7.52 %	4.15%	4,15%	0.00%	0.00%	
A.F.36 TOTAL OPERATING & OTHER EXPENSES				47,27%	47.27%	10.91%	10.81%	19.14%	19.14%	8 49%	8.49%	8.22%	8.22	5.97%	5.97%	0.00%	0.00%	
A.F.37 TOTAL PRODUCTION T&D CUST AND A&G EXPENSE	s			45 40%	45 4054	10 60%	10.60%	10 34%	10 38%	8 06%	8 08%	6.91%	8 81%	6 BAN	6 8 4 94	0.0014	0.001/	
A F 18 CUSTOMER & SALES EXPENSE A008-016	-			83 7184	85 414	E DAW	0.00%	10.554	4 4 39/	0.0014	0.20%	0.01%	0.0.1	0.04%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	
				02.1174	0.0.4 1 /4	0.001	8.827	10.237	4,1270	0.0376	0.4376	0.07%	0.117	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
				0.00%	34.1176	0.00%	56.19%	0.00%	8.05%	0.90%	0.31%	0,00%	0.31%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
A.F.40 CUSTOMER SERVICE				80,12%	75.27%	5.76%	12.45%	13.01%	11.30%	1.02%	0.69%	0.09%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
A.F.41 OTHER REVENUES				53,77%		10.42%		18,99%		7.09%		6.85%		4.88%		0.00%	0.00%	
A.F.42 SYSTEM REVENUES				46.75%		11.24%		19.65%		8.46%		8.00%		5.88%		0.00%	0.00%	
PRODUCTION AND T&D EXPENSES	232,045	938,240	1,170,285	113,529	380,599	26,678	97,288	42,947	195,353	19,925	92,154	18,650	92,719	10,315	80,128		-	
CROSSRIT	144 004 And S	ing a state of the s		CE BOE OPP			Herefyer		N AN ALL NO		NER ANTE		13.5 S. 199	ST. 54	RATE CARLO	i na se	1211295	
		24.43	中心的自由	4 J.6U3,233, i	体在表示	1,300,233	的。我相談	2.062.949	18.35	024,220	1. 2154 10	102,84	3-1-3 C	442.70		1.2.1	35.2	
UEPRELIATION	4,100,562		1	22,366,908	AT THE REAL PROPERTY OF	527.035	Section 24	828,511	A Star	318,509	An establish	293,813		165,785		1. A.		
MATASUP-FUEL	227,226	المان المحرج المحال	AND ST	83,2274	クロビーを発	1 22,416	10.14 84	49.074	My Adver	24 304	at we that	325,033	- 1 - E	23,172				
MAT&SUP-LOCL	* 21 434		1. F. 1967 (17) 73,770 (1.)	13,184		2.694		1 3 557		1,059	$0 \leq N \leq 1$	¥ 912-		28 .				
CASHWC	(13,595)	Strate ind	ALC: SALAR	6 1731	合成-12-12	11 4421	14 M 15	(2.635)	1. 保持主义	(1.219)		2(1 1971 [°]		19301	Set Bird	以 思。有	1	
CUS ADV&DEP	114 6771		的主要感到	6 243	1	14 4061	S. 1909	17 87		1846	-at 19-4-44	1510	1. 1. 1. 1.	Ser Sta	达 尔图 3	8 T 34	12.2	
ACC DEERIT	(1 005 577)			ARE SS	542.5° A	1177 210	STREET SO	S 1002 202	6. A & A & A & A & A & A & A & A & A & A	(0) (0)	A. 7	174 44	Mary 12	143 346	2.2 创建		和新期	
	(1,045,511)	ceste a de	17 - DAL 6200 M	Carloor 021)*	a san ing sa	27141.919)>	中國的政策	<u>₽₽</u> { £ U3,323}?	an a	~(dV,429)	**************************************	~(/ 4,440).	HELLING COLOR	{ 43,210)3	4	an star an star	्य	

-- - -

117750.54%2822343%211747%37447447411878%12741227727787%227167%227167%22716%22716%22716%22716%22716%22716%22716

ALLOCATION FACTOR 1

Date / Time:	12/28/06	10:00 AM			,		1		
File:	Wivey\Shares\P	LDocsUWC\863	2V 104 159 x15 A	F.1 4ncp				:	
Company:	AmerenUE	ļ		1	.			-	
· -	· ,	- · · · i		1	1			!	
		;	i				-	1	
			SS NCP DEMA	NDS & GEN (nci Lossas & Re	siduais)			
			12 M	Ionins Ending M	arch 2006				
	Destruct	- nen ini - l						· · · · · · · ·	
	Res Myv	SGS MW	LGS MW	SPS MW	LPS MW	INT MW		LGT MW	SYS MW
01023 (101 3		B Gen	Biner		M Odu	Ch CếU	_@ Qen	gy Gen	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Apr-05	2,049,452	727.200	1.371.863	609.330	569 764		479 882		5 906 990
May-05	2,598,195	828.911	1.506 261	733.133	700.984		479.882		6,847.366
Jun-05	3,950.026	984,304	1,632.167	711.078	521.071	-	480,128	-	8,388.773
JU1-05	4,385.464	1,004.221	1,765.200	727.965	730.221	1	476.120	•	9,092.190
Aug-us	9,107.240	9/8.41/	1,690,114	734,738	584.048 [. •	473.077	- :	8,748.643
Od-05	2 887 860	887 373	1 646 926	738.677	713 302	•	404.071		7 148 001
Nov-05	2,488.668	718,154	1.364.768	605.892	567.965		478 769		6 224 238
Dec-05	3,068.707	753.412	1,419.264	606.341	552.423	- 1	482.366	. i	6,862.513
Jan-06	2.770.630	678.252	1,257.208	578.798	533.278		481,726	- ;	6,297.693
Feb-06	3,124,280	706,859	1,307.662	595.264	540.304	-	482.476	- 1	6,757.068
Mar-06	2,549.247	687,328	1,216.170	579.452	499.339	. - ,	482,454	: 1	6,015.989
TOTAL	37 975 349	9 804 045	17 BCR 441	7 053 036	7 603 067	1	6 730 700	;	00 000 505
IGIAL		3,054,040	17,000.441	1,303.940	1,000,507	• •	5,130,190	• 1	60,002.323
			• • • •	• • • •			· 1	. !	
		AVG & E	XCESS ALLOC	ATION-3 NON	COINCIDENT C	LASS PEAKS	-		
		•	Missouri Jursidi	ction-Includes	osses and Resid	luals	-		
		ÓCOLDU	- i	·					
· · • • • • • •	Res MYV	203 MW	LGS MW	SPS MVV		INT MW	LTS MW	LGT MW	SYS MW
Jun-05	3,960,026	984.304	1 612 167	711 078	621 071		480 128		8 284 773
	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1,002.101	111.019	o z nor r		400.120 }	:	2,500.115
Jul-05	4,356.464	1.004.221	1,765.200	727.965	730.221	-	478.120	- '	9,092.190
	,								-
Aug-05	4,187.248	979.417	1,690.114	734.738	684.048		473.077		8,748.643
Class Peak #4	:								
Cipse r con m	1		·		i			•	
TOTAL	12,533,738	2.967.942	5.087.481	2.173.781	2.035.340		1 431 324		25 229 606
- · -			-,		2,000.010		1,441.444		20,223.000
Ann MWaş	13,498,193	3,635,571	7,959,038	4,098,092	4,241,995	0	4,033,111	0	37,466,001
	8.89%	8.89%	8.89%	4.74%	4,22%	0.00%	1.47%	0.00%	7.11%
Incl losses	14,698,553	3,958,829	8,666,814	4,292.364	4,421,025	0	4,092,397	0)	40,129,983
Load Factor	40 16%	45 68%	58 344	67 62%	74 30%	0.00%	07 0 24	0.000	67.409/
peak=avg(4 NCPs)		10.00 /		01,027	<u>[4.55 m]</u>		11.34 A	0.00.10	32.40%
Avg MW	1677.917	451.921	989 362	489.995	504.683	D.000	467.169	0.000	4581.048
AVG RATIO	0.36527	0.09865	0.21597	0.10696	<u>0,11017</u> 1	0.00000	0.10198	0.00000	1,00000
Evenes Mill	2400 000	612 202	705 .00	104 600	(1) (1)			⁻	
Excess RATIO	i 0.60065	0 12011	106.465	234,598	1/3./63	0.000	9.939	0.000	4162.154
	0,0000	0.12511	0.10874	0,0,0000	, viaita	0.00000	0.00239	0.00000	1.00000
Avg RATIO LF	0.20166	0.05431	0.11891	0.05889	0.06065	0.00000	0.05615	00000	0 55057
Exc RATIO (1-LF)	0.26995	0.05803	0.07628	0.02533	0.01876	0.0000.0	0.00107	0.00000	0.44943
	•								
Avg & Exc Alloc	0.471603	0.112342	0.195190	0.084222	0.079418	0.000000	0.057219	0.000000	1.000000
}		!							
Class CPs	Res MW	SOS MW	เกริ่มพ	CDC LRA/			ITC MOAT		EVE HIM
Date8Time	@ Gen	Ø Gen	di Gen	CO Geo	ത്രം	IIST MAY	CI S MEY	CGI Gen	SIS MILL
04/20/2005 15:59:59	1438.357	655,109	1221.396	545.309	600,925	0.000	474,430	0.000	4935.52503
05/11/2005 16:59:59	2344,811	729.070	1365.898	651.758	645.279	0.000	474.430	0.000	6211.24536
06/29/2005 16:59:59	3868.957	890.352	1534.997	856.820	584.123	0.000	474.381	0.000	8009.63069
07/25/2005 15:59:59	3879.262	968.095	1681.766	663.600	559.629	0.000	468.220	0.000,	8320.57192
09/22/2005 15:59:59	2077 280	881 607	1517.542	651.964	617.412	0.000	466.852	0.000,	7977.93355
10/04/2005 15:59:59	2523,785	604,880	1487.678	656 135	647 584	0.000	430.317	0.000	1124.97402
11/29/2005 17:59:59	2302.198	689.477	1200.436	469.510	508,015	0.000	470.546	0.000	5640 18143
12/08/2005 18:59:59	3034.661	619.472	1270.363	537.544	519.803	0.000	474.899	0.000	6456.74224
01/17/2006 18:59:59	2561.883	566.702	1043.670	476.901	479.144	0.000	476.895	0.000	5605.19796
02/18/2006 09:59:59	2774.860	565.812	1133.006	467.838	489.869	0.000	479.297	0.000	5910,68226
0012112000 19:59:59	483.037	534.110	1005.935	473.100	446.306	0.000	478.809	j000.0	5421.29699
	1								
MO sys Mwhs	40,129,983	, I		AF1	100	2 CP .	3 CP	4 CP ~	
Annual Hours	8760	i .	, ne s	47.1609%	48.82%	47.45%	47.66%	46.17%	
MU AVQ MW =	4,581	!	ទុក្ខទ	11,2342%	11.53%	11.38%	11.29%	11.54%	
MO Peak HIM		l	lgs	19.5190%	20.21%	19.70%	19.48%	20.12%	
COLOR MIYY	8,321	:	sps,	6.4222%	7.98%	8.09%	8,11%	8.34%	
MO Sys LF =	55.0569%		, its	5 72194	5 63%	5.77%	5 80%	5 044	
	!	-		5,11,574			: 3.00%		i
One minus LF =	44.9431%	1		100.0000%	100.0000%	100.0000%	100.0000%	100.0000%	

I

ALLOCATION FACTOR 2 AND 3

Date / Time:	12/28/06	10:00 AM							
File:	\\Huey\S	nares\PLDocs	JWC\8632V104	159.xis]A.F.2 8	3	; !			
Company:	Amerent	IE III		*					
)/						¦	·		
						L 	- <u></u>		
			Δ	LOCATION F	ACTORS 2 &	3	L		_
			Class 12	P domande at	the transmin	cion level			
I			Cid55 121	or demenus a	the nationio	SIGILIEVEL			
	+ -								
ļ									
····		res mw	<u>sgs mw</u>		<u>sps mw</u>	<u>Ips mw</u>	<u> HS</u>		
		<u>@ trans</u>	<u>a trans</u>	<u>(a) trans</u>	@ Irans	<u>o trans</u>	@ trans	tolal	
····-	Apr-05	1,412.925	643.525	1,199.800	535.667	590.299	466.042	4,848.256	
	May-05	2,303.350	716.179	1,341.747	640.234	633.869	466.042	6,101.420	
	<u>Jun-05</u>	3,800.547	874.609	1,507.856	645.206	573.795	465.994	7,868.007	
	J <i>u</i> l-05	3,810.670	950.978	1,652.030	651.867	647.965	459.941	8,173.450	
1	Aug-05	3,770.005	870.628	1,490.709	640.436	606.495	458.597	7,836.870	
	Sep-05	2,875.529	866.107	1,561.936	637.266	609.710	448.445	6,998.992	1
	Oct-05	2,479.160	790.648	1,441.725	646.498	636.134	453.331	6,447,496	
	Nov-05	2,261,491	677.286	1,179,210	461.208	499.033	462.226	5,540,453	
	Dec-05	2,981,003	608.518	1 247 901	528.039	510.612	466 502	6 342 576	
	Jan-06	2 516 584	556,681	1 025 217	458 468	470.672	468 466	5 506 088	
	Feb-06	2 725 796	555 808	1 112 972	459 566	481 207	470 822	5 805 171	
	Mar-06	2 439 133	524 666	988 148	464 735	438 415	470 342	5 325 439	2,
	lotale	33 376 102	9 635 633	15 749 249	6 770 100	E 608 206	5 556 740	76 705 218	
	101013	33,370,192	0,000,000	13,743.245	0,773,150	0,030.200	0,000.745	70,733.210	
	%	43.4613%	11.2450%	20.5081%	8.8276%	8.7222%	7.2358%	100.0000%	
	i								
								··	
	Í					L			
J									L
I									<u> </u>
		_							l
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1									
							•		
					<u> </u>				
··· ·					·				
					<u> </u>				i i
J									
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
					·····		••		
·									
J									
J									
		•· - ·····							
	··								
									<u> </u>
{			·						
[
J									
ł			1						

1

1

1

i
116.			10112063201104	150 VIel A F				
<u></u>	Andeyion		1110100329104	103.415[7.1				;
company:	AmerenU							
		ALLOCATIC	N FACTOR 4				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Custom						(
			BLCOOMS					
								L
								1
		Ave # cust	- Q					
		AVQ # CUSI						
	res	1,014,213	87.3157%					l
	\$QS	137,204	11.8122%					
	las	9 4 2 6	0.8115%					
	-30	643	0.05539/					
	sps	042	0.0555%					ļ
	lps	61	0.0053%					
	lts	1	0.0001%					
	totals	1 161 547	100 0000%					ĺ
			100.0000					
		l	+					L
								1
	[· ·				·····	
	<u> </u>							<u>├</u>
	 							
			,,					L
	1						1	í
	<u> </u>							
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·				
		[<u> </u>			
			<u> </u>	,			ļ	L
					}		l I	j
	· · · ·							
** ***						·····		(
	{		····				·	
	1	i .		1				
			<u> </u>	1	}			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1		·		
	<u>;</u>	}		·	<u>↓</u>			
	·			·	<u> </u>			Ļ
			}			·		<u> </u>
	{			1				
		+		(<u> </u>			<u> </u>
	+	<u>+−−</u> · · ·			···· · ··			
					[· _ · · · · ·			
	L		l		1	j		1
					1			1
	1		· · · · · · · · · ·	T=	<u> </u>			
	·}		·		<u> </u>		j	·i
	<u> </u>	 	 	├ ───-	<u> </u>	 	┢	ᡶ────
	I	┝	l	ļ	L	L		
	<u> </u>	l		1		1	l	
			[i	[
	· · · ·	·	·	t	<u> </u>	 	i	h
	+		<u> </u>	<u>.</u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L
	<u> </u>	·]···	·	<u> </u>	!			Ļ
		}		1	i	l		L
				Ì		1	1	}
					1		1	
· · · · - · · · ·			<u>+</u>		·[+	·
	+		<u> </u>		·f	<u></u>	<u> </u>	I
		<u> </u>	1	ļ	+			
					1			}
	1	1		1	1	T	1	
	<u> </u>	1	<u>+</u>	i	1		t	<u> </u>
~ - / -/					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	÷	<u> </u>
	· · · ·						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	h
				}				1
		1	<u> </u>	1	i		1	1
	1			1	÷	J		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	· 	<u>.</u>		
	1	L		<u>L</u>	<u> </u>			i
	1	1	1		1	1	1	1
	·······	1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	i	1
	-		-					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· 		·{	÷		+	

Ì

i

I

ī

i

1

••

.....

;

Date / Time:	12/28/06	10:00 AM			<u> </u>	1		
Company	Muey\Sn AmerenUI	ares\PLDocs	JMC/8635/11	04159 XISJA	.5		:	
Company		Ī						
					· · · · ·			
		1		ALLOCAT		5	!	
			Class	INCP deman	ds at the pri a	and hy level	. .	
	!		1	í			, Į ,	
	1	res.mw	sas mw	las mw	SDS ITTW	los mw	ltsmw	
		@ pri	@ pri	@ pri	<u>@ pri</u>	@ pri	@ pri	total
	1	4,124.348	943.513	1,859.720	626,115	473,795		7,827.492
		resmw	sgs mw	lgs mw	sps mw	lps mw		
		@ hy	<u>@ hv</u>	@ hv	@ hv	@ hv		total
	ļ ļ	4,242,717	9/1.3/2	1,101.354	13.067	658.163	:	8,292.633
	sum	8,367.065	1,914.825	3,367.074	1,339.183	1,131.978		16,120.125
	%	51.9045%	11.8785%	20,8874%	8.3075%	7.0221%	0.0000%	100.0000%
						į,	•	
	· · ·						 I	
,				· ·				
						3	: :	
	. :	: ,		:				
		. :				;		
						1		
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	ļ	-				· · ·		
			1	-		3		
		_						-
	· I		1				1 · · ·	
						1		!
			·· -				l	· • ·
	. 1	1	1					
	: 1	i i						
		:		1		, 		
	i j							
	· ·		; . ;					
		Ì		1				
					•		-	
				J		:		
1		r i				1		
				-			!	
	:]				<u> </u> ;	
			!	:		i :		

Date / Time:	12/28/06	10:00 AM						
File:	\\Huey\Sh	ares\PLDocs\	WC\8632\[10	4159.xls)A.F.	6			
Company:	AmerenU	E						
	·							└──·─··
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ALLOCATIC	IN FACTOR	ł		
			Clas	s INCP dema	ands at the se	c level		
· 				<u></u>	1			,,
		1						
		res mw	sgs mw	lgs mw	sps mw	lps mw	lts mw	
		@ sec	@ sec	@ sec	@ sec	@ sec	@ sec	total
		3,981.031	910.727	1,602.046	-			6,493.804
	ļ		44.004004	D (070 (1) (0.00008/	100.0000%
	***	51.3051%	14.0240%	24.010470	0.0000%	0.000070	0.000076	100.000076
	1	<u>+</u>						
·		<u></u>						
	··	- <u>i</u>						
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
	<u> </u>							
		_ _						
-		- <u></u>	<u></u>					··
]			<u>+</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
i		+						
	- <u> </u>		†					
		1					ļ	<u> </u>
	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	···		
	÷		<u> </u>		 		ļ	
						 -		<u>+</u>
			+					1
}			+				<u> </u>	†
 ────			<u> </u>		1			1
	1							L
		1				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
		!	1				ļ	
			. <u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>		·
	. <u> </u>			<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u> -		<u> </u>
	_			<u> </u>	·}	·		-
				 _				+
				+		+		+
			-	<u>+</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u></u>
		_		1				
				L				
		<u> </u>			ļ			
	<u> </u>		·+	<u>+</u>		·}		
					+	+		
				- <u> </u>			<u>'</u>	-
				-				
			-				<u> </u>	
				I				<u> </u>
			1	1	1		<u> </u>	_ <u></u>
				.[!		
J			·	<u> </u>	+	ļ	- 	
				_ <u>_</u>				+
J					+	+	-	
L	}	1	1	1	1	1	1	· ·

I

Ţ

1

ĺ

ſ

Date / Time:	12/28/06	10:00 AM		ľ				1	
File:	INHuey/Share	s\PLDocs\JW	CV8632V10415	9.xl	sA.F.7				
Company:	AmerenUE	ļ		<u> </u>				ļ	
	ł	ļ	ļ	<u> </u>			<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	
		+						{	
		ALL	OCATION FA	CTO	DR 7	l			·
		T		T				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	¶=	<u> </u>	Cost Per	-			·	[- <u></u>	
Meters		# of Meters	Meter	-	Total	Allocator			
	RES	1 014 213	5 70 60	<u> </u>	71 604 249	68 1757%			
	909	137.204	\$ 166.55	•	22,851,014	21 7569%	··		
	1.65	11 030	\$ 578 70		6 390 162	6 0832%			
	200	778	¢ 4/060.16		3 157 931	3.0067%		<u> </u>	
	100	110	\$ 4,009.10		3,157,531	3.0007%		<u> </u>	
	LPS	- 153	3 0,358.10	<u> </u>	909,010	0.9232%		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	115		<u>\$ 14,271.87</u>	<u>.</u>	57,087	0.0544%		<u> </u>	
				5	105,029,054	100.0000%			
	·····		ļ						
	<u> </u>	!	<u> </u>	L					
								İ	į
		1		1				1	
	1			Ī					
	1	1							
	1								
								1	
	1		··········	†					}
				\square					
							i		
	<u> </u>			[<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/
	******			[—		└ <u>──</u> ──			}
	1	<u></u>		<u> </u>	······	-			
		·····					<i>_</i>		
]	t			-				1	j
				\vdash					
— ———				<u> </u>	[<u>}</u>	
			<u>_</u>						·
~	<u> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</u>	ł	<u>}</u>	<u>+</u>			}		
								<u> </u>	
			<u> </u>						
	<u> </u>		1					l	
	[l					<u> </u>	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ļ				 	<u> </u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · ·			:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		ļ		Ļ				<u> </u>	
	}	1						1	

Page 1

-

Date / Time: 12/28/06 10:00 AM File: \\Huey\Shares\PLDocs\JWC\8632\104159.xlsJA.F.7A Company: AmerenUE -- MO

CUSTOMER SERVICE -- SYSTEM METER:

METER READING MY-90 SUPPORT

Lar

Ī

i

ł

Ì ł

1

i

rge C d	8.1					\$ 66,000	\$	-	\$	66,000
•			Accis w/	Accis w/						
		Meters	MV-90	MV-90						
		Per Class	Factor	Meters	Allocation					
	LGS	11,039	50%	5,519	85.5601%	\$ 56,470	5	-	\$	56,470
	SPS	778	100%	778	12.0603%	\$ 7,960	\$	•	\$	7,960
•	LPS	153	100%	153	2.3640%	\$ 1,560	\$	-	\$	1,560
	LTS	4	100%	1	0.0155 <u>%</u>	\$ 10	<u>\$</u>	<u> </u>	5	10
		11,973		6,451	100.0000%	\$ 66,000	\$	-	\$	66,000

METER READING SERVICE FEES

Residential & Commercial

	Meters							
	Per Class	Allocation						
RES	1,014,213	87.1774%	5	140,370	\$	13,786,882	\$	13,927,253
SGS	137,204	11.7935%	5	18,989	\$	1,865,107	\$	1,884,096
LGS	11,039	0.9488%	5	1,528	\$	150,058	\$	151,586
SPS	778	0.0669%	S	108	\$	10,576	-\$	10,684
LPS	153	0.0131%	5	21	\$	2,073	\$	2,094
LTS	4	0.0003%	\$	1	5		\$	55
	1,163,390	100.0000%	\$	161,017	\$	15,814,750	\$	15,975,767

		Labor		Other	Total
RES	\$	140,370	\$	13,786,882	\$ 13,927,253
SGS	\$	18,989	\$	1,865,107	\$ 1,884,096
LGS	\$	57,997	\$	150,058	\$ 208,055
SPS	\$	8,068	\$	10,576	\$ 18,643
LPS	\$	1,581	\$	2,073	\$ 3,654
LTS	5	11	\$	_54	\$ 65
TOTAL	s	227.017	s	15.814.750	\$ 16.041.767

<u>Other</u>

<u>Other</u> 161,017 \$ 15,814,750 \$ 15,975,767

Labor

<u>Labor</u>

\$

<u>Totai</u>

<u>Total</u>

RES	66.8187%
SGS	11.7449%
LGS	1.2970%
SPS	0.1162%
LPS	0.0228%
LTS	0.0004%
	100.000%

- -

Date / Time-	12/28/06	10.00 AM	··i	T			· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Flia	WHuev/Sh	ares\Pl Docs\	IN/C\8632\[1	04159 vis14 F	g					<u> </u>
Company	Amereoli	E	3740003241	04103,815,94.8	·······					<u> </u>
Company.	Alleleno	<u> </u>		~				_ _		¦ −
	÷			i						
	+			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						+
	- <u> </u>	ļļ								<u> </u>
				ALLOCATIO	NFACTOR	<u> </u>			·	ļ
			Clas	s 1NCP dema	ands at the hy	v level				· · ·
										ļ
	l								. 	Í
		resmw	<u>sgs</u> mw	lgs mw	sps mw	lps mw	lts mw			<u> </u>
	ļ	<u>@ hv</u>	<u>@ hv</u>	@ hv	<u>@_hv</u>	@_hv	@ hv	total		
	1	4,242.717	971.312	1,707.354	713.067	658.183		8,292.633		
		51 10050	11 71208	20 59999/	B EDBBW	7 0370%	2 00008	100.0000%		
·	20	51,1023%	11.713070	20.0000	0.0900%	7.937076	0.000076	100.0000%		
	;								<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	ļ							· · ·	<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>							·····		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				·					L	
									<u></u>	
				··						
	ŀ									
-						—-				+
	·						·	_		
	I I		1	1	1	1	1			1

1

ł

ĺ

-

I

1

Date / Time:	12/28/06	10:07 AM						1			
File	\\Huev\Sha	ares\PLDoc	sUWC\863	2V 104159	xlsIA.F.9				· — — – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –		
Company	AmerenUE			1							
							i	 			
			i								
[j					
[]					ALLOCAT	ON FACTO	DR 9				
1				1)	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	(not o	alcuiated)					
						ii					
])					
			1								
	L		<u> </u>								
	·					L					
	ļ	ļ							<u> </u>		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>									
I	ļ	<u> </u>									
		<u> </u>	 			<u></u>					
	+		+			{ 					
			+			i					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	+	+	<u> </u>			<u> </u>					
		+	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	<u>├</u> ··					
		· <u>+</u>	j			 					
	÷	1	1		·						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i	+		i						
	<u> </u>		1	· · · ·							
· · · · ·		1									
	1		1								
				i							
			1]					
	. <u> </u>			İ		ļ					
	<u> </u>	·		ļ							
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	·			L				¦
	<u> </u>	÷	·	 _		· ····································	<u> </u>	 			
		-			 		- <u>-</u>				
		·		┢	<u> </u>					.	
	.	· ·	-								
		1	- <u> </u>				<u> </u>	·			
		1		<u> </u>		1					
	+		<u>1</u>	<u>├</u> ────	·				[
	1	1	1	1	<u> </u>			1			
								1			
										l	
	L			1			L	į	L	L	ļ
	. <u> </u>	_ 			<u> </u>		·	ļ		ļ	ļ
				<u> </u>	<u>↓</u>	+			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
				·	. 	- <u> </u>			<u> </u>		
		<u>+</u>					├			 	···
			+	+			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>	<u></u>
			+		·{		+		·		¦
			· /· -··			- f	╈╼╍───			<u> </u>	
					+	·	· ·	<u> </u>			i
		-	-		+	-†		 	· 		
1	·			-				t			j
		1		1		+				1	
	1	1	1	·	1	1		1	1	1	
	;			1.	1				1]	1
	1								1	L	
1								1			
	1			1			1	i	:	ļ	1

...

Date / Time:	12/28/06	10:07 AM							1		
File.	\\Huey\Sh	ares\PLDoc	SUWC\863	2V104159.	xls]A.F.10						
Company:	AmerenU			}	1						
									i		
								i			·
[I	L							۰ <u>۰</u> ۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰	{
[. <u> </u>			ALLL	CATION	ACIORIC	····-				{
	L	i	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	! l					
I					(not calcu	lated)	·				
			L			l					
		1	<u> </u>								
	· 	1	}								
		1		[
	1	}			1						
				·							
		1	[i					
		i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · ·	r					····· ·
[<u> </u>	ļ	└──						
['	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		i	<u> </u>			i			
 				<u> </u>	[
1		1									
[í	{··						·
		- 							·		
1	L			-		1					[
[<u>+</u>	†						
				<u> </u>	<u>+</u>						
		·		<u></u>	·			[(
	L			<u> </u>	1						
	·		<u> </u>					<u> </u>			
			i						1		
[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1				r -		
	;			···							
[· 			i — — —	*		
[į		·····	i	·				{		,
	† · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	<u>├</u>			j	·/	j					
	<u>,</u>				·	1					1
[j					j <u> </u>		
	1				1			1			
	† 		·;		1			 -	η 		
\	1		1	<u> </u>	1	· [[1	1	
	1		1	1	1	T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	1	I	I
						1			1	1	
	i		·	1	1	1		·			1
· · · · · ·	+		·/·-	1	1	1			<u> </u>	T	
·		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	1	1		·	<u> </u>		
		-j		t	1	1					
			<u> </u>		1	†		i	† 	†·	
		1	<u>i</u>	+····		1		1		·	
1	1		<u> </u>	·		<u>†</u>			i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
			i			1	j	·····	†		† •
	j	-		†	<u> </u>	<u>†</u>		<u> </u>		+	
		_j	-h		···	·			· [i
···· · - ··· · -	·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· [·····				1
[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· į	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-{	1	1	·····			1	t · ·
·····		- <u> </u>		+	1		<u> </u>	+	+	<u> </u>	1
	···		1	÷ · · ·	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u>-</u>	
		-1	¦•						1	+	t
[[1		t	1	t		Į	t	t	
		+	·	· · ···	<u> </u>	<u>├</u> ───		t=		+	+· _···
1	·}	1	+	·	<u>+</u>	1	<u> </u>		+	·	1

1

1

.

ł

ı

1

ł

.

Date / Time:	12/28/06	10:07 AM				I		
File:	\\Huey\Share	s\PLDocs\JWC\86	32\[104159.xls	JA.F.11		-	-	
Company:	AmerenUE	l.	i		1	1		
· .		!	- 1	!		!		
			- i		. 1		ł	
	. I		. 1				-	
	1			ALLOCATIO	N FACTOR 11			
		• • •	· - · ;	class mwn	<u>co denerator</u>			
	1	1				. :	•	
	1	;	:			1	i	
1	1			• • • • •		-	i	
	1				-			
	j	Res	SGS	LGS	SPS	LPS	LTS	SYS MWH
	Ann mWhs	13,498,193.4	3,635,570.8	7,959,037.6	4,098,092.2	4,241,996.4	4,033,110.6	37,466,000.9
		• • • • •	ا ا دمه د					
		8.89%	8.89%	8.89%	4.74%	4.22%	1.47%	7.31%
	incl losses	14 808 552 0	3 058 920 1	8 666 814 2	1 202 364 5	4 421 025 2	4 003 207 4	40.120.082.4
	100000	19,000,002.0	0,000,020.1	0,000,014.2	4,232,304.0		4,032,331.4	40,120,303.4
	Energy Alloc	36.6274%	9.8650%	21.5969%	10.6962%	11.0168%	10.1979%	100.0000%
1	:							
					,	1		
	1 .	i i			-			
	tres	36.6274%				i	-	
	isgs	9.8650%			1			
	្រព្វន	21.5969%	i			:	;	
1	ipri	21./129%				i		
	15	10.1979%			}			
	ilotal	100.0000%						

Page 1

Data / Time	100000	40.07 414			<u></u>		
Date / Ime:	12/28/06	10:07 AM		ť			
File:	\\Huey\Sh	ares\PLDocs\JWC	\8632\[104159.x]	s A.F.12	_		
Company:	Amerent	E					
					<u>.</u>		
	_					ί. Ι	
			700.40				·
	4	LLOCATION FAC	IUK IZ				
	cust	omet advances ar	deposits				
							· · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				··			
		\$	%				
	1000	S 5 164 614	42 5344%		····		
	105	a _0,104,014	42.334470			í	·
	sgs	\$ 3,644,916	30.0185%				
	las	\$ 2,211,026	18.2094%			i i	
	enc	5 600 137	5 7579%				·
<u> </u>		0 100,000	0.707070				
	<u>ips</u>	3 422,520	3,479570				
	lts	<u>\$</u>	0.0000%			1	
	total	\$ 12 142 213	100 0000%				
						·	
		ļ					
		<u> </u>				<u></u>	
						L	
		i					
.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	⊢ 		_ ^		
						I	
					· ····································		
		;					
							i
						1	
		·					
	·						
						L	
					1	<u>ا</u>	i
						·	
						• • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	;
						 ;	;
		Ļ				4	l I
	·					┝	
		L		·			L
							i
·	_	······				hi	i
	·						├ -
	L	<u> </u>					
	L	1					
		1	1				
						i	· · ·
	<u>├</u>						
	l		L				ļ
							1
						1	
							-
						i	
		j					
		;				<u> </u>	
						ļ	
							1
	i 						
						├─── ─ [─]	
	1	<u> </u>					
		i				1	l
		/			<u></u>		i
	1	1				1	

1

1

Date / Time: 12/28/06 10:07 AM	1		1	1			1		
Ellename: WHyer/Shares/PL Docs) (WC	86321104159	visia E 13							
Filename. (undey Gitales v Coocsorvo	00024104100	1		· · · · · · · ·					
Company: Amerenue-wo			ļ			<u> </u>		_	
[·	·	<u> </u>	} }		{		
				l	·····	_ <u> -</u>	-{		
	<u> </u>	ļ				i]	i	
			ALLC	CATION FACT	<u>UR #13</u>				
			Customer	Service - Credi	t'& Collection	<u> </u>	······································	·) -	
			i				l		
					Total				
	1				Allocation				
		Charge Offs	013	Total \$	Factor		ł		
·····	RES	\$ 8,519,664	\$ 2,531,519	\$ 11,051,183	2N91N1493%				
	SGS	\$ 524,405	\$ 133,238	\$ 657,642	NS 5/6861%				
	LGS	\$ 237,189	\$ -	\$ 237,189	1/2/9166%		1		
	SPS	\$ 112,770	\$ -	\$ 112,770	012280%		1		
	IPS	\$ 662,177	<u>s</u> -	\$ 662,177	15:00199%		1	1	
	LTS	S	\$ -	\$ -	0.0000%		[
	TOTALS	\$ 10.056.205	\$ 2.664.757	\$ 12,720,962	100.0000%		1		

_ _

Date / Tim	e: 12/28/0	6 10:07 AM								
File	e: \\Huey\S	Shares\PLDocs\JWC	8632\104159 xis	A.F.14			-		· · · · · · · · ·	
Company	y: Ameren	ÚE .			÷. ,				1/ Re	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
								• ••••	·	
_										
				AL	LOCATION FACTO	R 14				· ·· ····
l	:				epri allocation			······································		
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ł			•···· • •							• •••••••• ••
				epri			eori	· · · · · ·		
1		energy		energy \$			rev S		comosile	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		sales	%	\$1,134,229	rev	%	\$1 135 152	total	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	res	13,482,617,252	36.0348%	\$ 408,718	\$ 880,801,104	43,9412%	\$ 498 799	\$ 907 517	39 9896%	
1	sgs	3,615,426,115	9.6629%	\$ 109,600	\$ 230,213,566	11,4848%	\$ 130,370	\$ 239 970	10 5742%	
	lgs	7,996,120,123	21.3711%	\$ 242,398	\$ 420,154,530	20.9606%	\$ 237 934	\$ 480,332	21 1658%	
	sps	4,096,226,321	10.9479%	\$ 124,175	\$ 183,413,403	9,1501%	\$ 103 867	\$ 228.042	10.0486%	· · · · · · · · · · ·
	lips	4,161,069,539	11.1212%	\$ 126,140	\$ 156,372,530	7.8011%	\$ 88.554	\$ 214 694	9 4605%	• • • • • • •
	ilts	4.064.046.757	10.8619%	\$ 123,199	\$_133,546,210	6.6623%	\$ 75.627	\$ 198 827	8 7613%	
									<u></u>	
	totais	37,415,506,107	100.0000%	\$1,134,229	\$2,004,501,343	100.0000%	\$1,135,152	\$2,269,381	100.0000%	
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·····			
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ····		· · · · ·
					:					
			· _ .					· · · · ·		
	· · · -				- 					
	·· ·· ·	·······			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	·			····· · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
				······		·				
		·	and the second s	•						
		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		r				
	··· — ····	••••••			···· · ······					
				······ · ···· · ·						
··· •· •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
• • •	• • • • •									
· ·		an an an an an an an an an an an an an a		·····				;		
	-p			and a second			• · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	····		an an an an an an an an an an an an an a			······································			1 	
-	· · ····	· · · · ·			· · · · . <u>-</u>					
	•		·····		·					
								· ·	·	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	•					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 				
•	· ·					·				
			· .	·		· · · · · · · · · ·	··]

Date / Time:	12/28/06	10:07 AM		[1		1	
File:	\\Huev\Sha	res\PLDocs	JWC\8632\	104159.x	Is]A.F.15		<u> </u>]	
Company:	AmerenUE				1	1			1	
				Í						
									·	
	Allo	cation Facto	or 15	[
	custome	r counts at s	secondary							
							ĺ			
									ļ	
		Avg # of	%						:	
	res	1,014,213	87.3687%							
	sas	137.204	11.8193%							
	las	9,426	0.8120%							
	SDS	.0	0.0000%				1			
	lps	.0	0.0000%						1	
	Its	0	0.0000%			Í		t		
	·						 	i		
	Itatala	1 160 942	100 00009/			{				
		1,100,043	100.0000%							
							ł			
	<u> </u>									
h					├ <u>──</u> ─					
		·								
]										
	<u> </u>									
		<u> </u>								
										-
ļ		<u> </u>								
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>							
		<u> </u>								
				ļ	· · · · · ·					
				ļ	i			<u> </u>		·
			<u>j</u>	 =						L
		1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
		ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						ļ
L		<u>į </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	L				<u> </u>	ļ
		ļ		ļ						
		<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ					
			l	1	L					L
				<u> </u>						
		ļ	ļ							ļ
			.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L				
	ļ	ļ		Į						<u>.</u>
ļ		<u> </u>	ļ		<u> </u>				L	
ļ				L	ļ					<u>i</u> .
	.i		1	ļ			L		<u> </u>	
l	1		<u> </u>	<u>i</u>	<u> </u>	}				4
L										ļ
					1					
1			1							<u> </u>
										1
									1	<u> </u>
]	1						

Page 1

.....

Date / Time:	12/28/06	10:07 AM							
Cit-	MHURMEL	not DI D-	AL BAICLOSSON	104150 1010 5	16				
	INTURY ST	alestr LDO	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	104109,XISJA.F.	10				
Company:	AmerenUE	<u> </u>							
			OCATION FAC		• • • •	· . ···			
			OCATION FAC						·
]	<u><u><u>s</u></u></u>	im of cusio	mer inco ov c	lass at seconda	<u>IY</u>				
									!
					1				1
			customer						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	clare	000	0/.					
		01822		70			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		res	7,117,210	67.7068%					
		sgs	1,566,629	14.9035%					
	1	lgs	1,827.976	17.3897%					j
		sos		0.0000%					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ins	· _ ·	0.0000%					
		<u></u>	-	0.000076					
		ns		0.0000%					'
		total	10,511.815	100.0000%					· —
								·	i
								- -	·· - ·
<u>ا</u>	[
L	L					L		L	l
									1
	ļi					· · · ·			¦
	<u></u>								
	L							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	!
]	1								i
									1
f									
		· ···· · · ·							
	<u> </u>								
									!
	1								i
									í
									
l									í
						•••••			· · · · ·
]	<u></u>								<u>↓</u>
·	i				[ļ
									:
I									i
<u>├───</u> ─	↓ 								{
					·····				.
			<u></u>			<u> </u>			.
	+							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l
				······································					
									÷
						[i			ļ
									<u>├</u>
	[
·						L			, ,
									l
		·	i			· · · · ·			
<u> </u>									
				···					<u>!</u>
1									<u> </u>

I

1

1

A.F.vandas

ANDAS 360 361 362 364 365 366 367 368 369 369-01 369-02 370 371 373	STUDY RESULTS land and land rights structures substations poles & fixtures wires & devices conduit cable & devices line transformers services OH services URD services URD services meters(1) customer premises street lighting		customer 0.0% 0.0% 11.8% 28.0% 5.6% 21.5% 58.8% 39.5% 49.5% 23.4% 100.0% 0.0%	demand 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 88.2% 72.0% 94.4% 78.5% 41.2% 60.5% 50.5% 76.6% 0.0% 100.0%	prl 1 1 0.67826 0.67896 0.65419 0.49534 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	sec 0 0 0.20374 0.04032 0.28981 0.29045 0.412 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	ltg 	che 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1	ck 00.0% 00.0% 88.2% 71.9% 94.4% 78.6% 41.2% 00.0% 00.0% 00.0% 00.0%
1) - See a							! ! ! !	: · : : : :	
				· · · · ·		• -		-	
							2	•	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second						•	
				: : : : :	· · ·	 ;- ;	:	!	

1

· •

Date / Time: 12/2006 10.07 A/A File: WługyiSharesiPLDoosi.W/C18637(104159 mija Filg Company: AmerinUE-MO

CUSTOMER SERVICE:

		Hesidential		54	vad General Se	INCO	Larg	e General Ser	VICE	5 m a	l Primary S	ENICE	Large	Pnmary Se	IVC4	Laige	ីរងកតកា	nsion 5	envice			Grand Total	
	Cabor	Other	Total	Lactor	Other	Total	Labor	Other	Total	Labor	Other	Total	Laber	Other	Total	Libar		161	(Club		,atxx	Other	Total
Madings Payment Processing IT Development Customer Accounts Customer Relations	5 48,342 5 761,178 5 289,667 5 485,308 5 5,495,245	\$ 2,764,127 \$ 1,456,666 \$ 350,963 \$ \$ <u>\$ 2,142,336</u>	5 2,812,470 5 2,217,865 5 640,825 5 465,308 5 7,537,581	5 7,928 5104,535 5116,715 562,838 5214,695	\$ 430,044 \$ 200,051 \$ 319,920 \$ <u>\$ 161,408</u>	\$ 437,972 \$ 304,586 \$ 438,635 \$ 52,636 \$ 376,103	\$ 4,906 \$ 9,340 \$ 221,032 \$ 695,343 <u>\$ 216,703</u>	\$ 234,353 \$ 17,674 \$ 743,676 \$ \$ 13,642	5 239,259 5 27,215 5 962,708 5 695,943 5 232,345	\$ 384 \$ 1,003 \$ 17,280 \$ 54,407 <u>\$ 17,098</u>	\$ 18.321 \$ 1,919 \$ 57,962 \$ - <u>\$ 1,067</u>	\$ 18,705 \$ 2,922 \$ 75,252 \$ 54,407 <u>\$ 18,154</u>	\$ 34 \$ 193 \$ 1,511 \$ 4,758 \$ 1,495	3 1,802 5 254 5 5,069 5 5 93	\$ 1,635 \$ 387 \$ 6,550 \$ 4,756 <u>\$ 1,568</u>					\$ \$ \$ 1, \$ 5,	61,594 876,190 648,199 303,253 947,235	\$ 3,448,447 \$ 1,676,785 \$ 1,473,611 \$ <u>\$ 2,318,546</u>	\$ 3,510,041 \$ 2,552,875 \$ 2,123,810 \$ 1,303,253 \$ 8,265,781
	\$ 7 079 737	\$6,714,113	\$ 13,793,850	3 504,711	\$ 1 111 422	\$ 1,620,133	\$ 1, 149,924	\$ 1,007,546	52,157,470	5 90, 17 1	\$ 79,289	\$ 169,460	\$ 7,926	5 7,018	\$ 14,946					5 8,	836,471	S 8,919,369	\$ 17,755,880
Allocation Factor	80 1195%	75 7755 %	77.6862%	5 7569%	12 4607%	9 1745%	13.0134%	11 2961%	12.1507%	1.0204%	0.5890%	0 9544%	0 04971	6 0 D787%	0.0842%					10	0.0000%	100 0000%	100.0000%
As Administration LTS													Annual Bills		730 096817			Q	24 793183				
	\$ (202)	\$ (168)		\$ (15)	3 (28)		S (33)	s (25)		\$ {3)	\$ (2)		(0) C		\$ 252	5	220 \$	476	\$	•	0	٥
	\$ 7.079,535 80,1172%	\$ 8,713,945 75,2736%		1 508 697 5 7168%	\$ 1, 111,095 12 4604%		5 1,149,691 13.0130%	\$ 1,007,57 <i>1</i> 11,2958%		\$ 90, 168 1.0204%	\$ 79,257 0.8659%		\$ 7,928 0 08975	\$ 7,018 • 0.0787%		\$ 252 0.00291	\$ 6 0 00	22) 25%		\$ 8 10	808,471 0 0000%	5 8,919,369 100.0000%	3 17,755,860

- --

1

1 1 1 J	\$ 0,98	\$ 19 07	1 22.01	\$ 20.47	\$ 39.65
---------	---------	----------	---------	----------	----------

	A	8	Ċ	D	Ē	F	G	н	1	J	ĸ	L	M	N	0	<u>P</u>
FT	Date / Time:	12/28/06 10:07 AM		1 .=	L	1	1	i	i	!	:		Î			<u> </u>
15	File:	WHuey Shares PI Docs IWC	N632V10415	9 visiCust ins		<u> </u>	·i				i .		1			
1	Company	Amerentif	LOUDE TOTTO				i			[<u> </u>		_	<u> </u>
		And Choc			;·		<u> </u>			i					1	ļ
17	l						·····	·	h							1
1		·				ļ		·		 		·····				
6					ļ				ļ	ļ						
27	Customer In	stallations (12 months end	ed <u>May 06)</u>	L											 	
8	Customer Pre	emises - Company Expense	\$ 1,275,979		<u>ا</u>			[[<u></u>	
9	Customer Pro	emises Customer Expense	°S 565,659				1	1								
10	Customer Pro	emises - Amount Billed	\$ (95)			1	I		<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	
11	Power Qualit	y investigations	\$ 200,138							l						
12	Current Dive	rsion Investigation Expense	\$ (614,905	}			l	· · · — ·	<u> </u>							
13			\$ 1,426,775					(. <u> </u>						
14				[1	ļ							L
15		Residential	\$ (614,905	43.1%												
16		Primary	\$ 2.041.681	1 143,1%	71.55%					· -						
17	·		5 1 426 775			<u> </u>	i		i	i	I					
18						<u> </u>		[
19				Total Missour	ni i	1	Residentia	d .		Small Prima	y		arge Primar	Ϋ		
20			Labor	Other	Total	Labor	Other	Total	Labor	Other	Total	Labor	Other	Total		
21				1	Ì	-43.1%	-43.1%		71.5%	71.5%		71.5%	71.5%		·	1
22		Customer Installations	\$ 1.656	S (180)	\$ 1,475		/ I									
23		Distribution Allocator	99.82%	99.82%									I			<u></u>
24		Customer Installations	\$ 1,653	\$ (180)	\$ 1,473	\$ (712)	\$ 7B	\$ (635)	\$ 1,183	\$ (129)	\$ 1,054	\$ <u>1,18</u> 3	\$ (129)	<u>s 1,054</u>	\$ 1,473	
25															\$ (100)	
26										ļ					3 1,000	<u>}</u>
27		<u>,</u>							·····							
20						· · · -							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
49				<u> </u>		· · · ·									··	
31							í———				[1			
32		······································		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									1			
33					h	(1									
34					1								L			······································
35						(ļ	J
36									·	Ĺ					·	Į
37				ļ		L				L	¦		┝────	<u> </u>	ļ	
38				<u></u>	<u> </u> -	ļ						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
39				-h			<u> </u>		Į			·	-			+
40					ļ											+
41			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						···				}			
142					}				}	├	}				1	
43	 _					 	}		[i	<u> </u>		}			·
44				·] - - •			<u> </u>	<u></u> -		<u> </u>	}		ļ		i	
뷺				<u> </u>						·	(··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1	
147													;			
17				1											1	1
140					1								L			
136				+	[- <u></u>	~	<u>_</u>	[{		1		1	1		

. _--

-

--

••

_

COS INPUTS

.

.....

-

i.

. . .

-

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY

Date: 12/29/2006 Page 1 of 6

LINE I	ACCOUNT #	1754									MISSOURI TOTAL			MISSOURI TOTAL
1		PRODUCT ION			s	(339,289)	\$	7,21B,278	0.9837	5	6,761,332		\$	6,761,332
, ,		TRANSMISSION												
4		LINES	3	342,940		0.63790	\$	342,940	1.0000	5	342,940		\$	342,940
5		SUBSTATION	\$	194,667	•	0.36210	\$	194,667	1.0000	\$	194,667		5	194,667
6														
7		TOTAL TRANSHISSION	\$	537.607			۹.	537,607		5	537,607		\$	537,607
B								,			. ,			
9		DISTRIBUTION PLANT												
10														
11	360	SUSSTATION LAND					\$	22,986	0.8324	ş	19,133	D.0056	5	19,098
. 12		OTHER LAND					\$	22,966	0,1676	\$	3,652	0.0011	,	3,845
13														
14	361-362	SUBSTATIONS								3	. 542,325	0.1579	\$	541,327
15														
16	364	POLES TOWERS FIXTURES												
17		CUSTONER	\$	666,274	\$.	Salar -		0.1180		\$	78,620	0,0229	\$	78,476
18		PRIMARY	5	666,274	\$	1999 - 1999 -		0.0820	0.7690	\$	451,905	0.1315	\$	151,074
19		SECONDARY	5	666,274	\$			0.8820	0.2310	\$	135,748	0.0395	1	135,498
20		LIGHTING-DIRECT	\$		v a (1)	ete		0.0820	0.0000	\$	<u> </u>	-	٤	
21														
22		SUBTOTAL J64								\$	666,274		\$	665,048
23														
24	365	OVERHEAD CONDUCTOR												
25		CUSTOMER			*	757,373		0.2800		5	212,064	0.0617	5	211,674
26		PRIMARY			\$	757,373		0.7200	0.9440	\$	514,771	Q.1498	\$	513,824
27		SECONDARY			\$	157,373		6.7200	0.0560	<u>\$</u>	10,537	0.0009	<u>\$</u>	30,481
28														
29		SUBTOTAL 365								\$	757,373		\$	755,979
30														
31	166	UNDERGROUND CONDUIT								_				
32		COSTOMER				31 0,037		0.0560	0 (000)	5	9,557	0.0029	s	9,539
33		FREAGE:				8170 687		0.9440	0.0930	۰ ۲	111.442	0.0325		111,437
15		SECURARI				41/4,43/		0.9140	0.3070	<u> </u>		0.0144	à	47.267
36		CURTORST									170 457			
11		20010170								•	1,0,01		•	170,343
38	367	UNDERGROUND CONDUCTORS												
39		CUSTONER			.\$	458,64)		9,2150		5	35, 609	0.4287	5	BR. 425
40		PRIMARY			\$	458.643		0.7850	6,6300	5	726.021	0.0660	5	776 404
41		SECONDARY			\$	458,641		0.7850	0.3700		133,212	0.0388		137.967
42										-			-	
43		SUBTOTAL								\$	458,641		\$	457.797

.

.

.

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY

Date: 12/29/2006 Page 2 of 6

I.

INF B	ACCOUNT N	177534					MISSOURI		MISSOURI
2.11, 34 ,.11	<u>necourt a</u>						TOTAL		TOTAL
44									
45	368	LINE TRANSFORMERS							
46		CUSTOMER	\$	`350,444	0.5080		\$ 210,765	0.0613	9 210, 377
47		SECONDARY	ş	350, 444	0.4120	1.0000	<u> </u>	0,0430	<u>\$147.407</u>
18									
19		SUBTOTAL					3 358, 444		\$ 357,784
50	369-1	CARTER CERTICAL							
52	569-1	CUERCED SERVICES							
53		SECONDLEY	*	126,746	0,4950		\$ 62,739	0.0183	\$ 62,624
54		SECORDARS	2	126,246	0.5050	1.0000	<u>\$ 64.007</u>	0.0186	\$ 63.889
55		SUBTOTAL							•
56							3 126,746		\$ 126,513
57	369-2	UNDERGROUND SERVICES							
58		CUSTONER	,	121,144	0.2340		\$ 28.348	0 0.091	5 38 364
59		SECONDARY	\$	121,144	0,7660	1.0000	\$ 97,796	0.0005	4 67 635
60							×	9.9270	1
61		SUBTOTAL					\$ 121,144		1 120.921
62									,
63	370	METERS					\$ 106/314%	0.0309	\$ 106,119
64									
65 66	371	CUSTONER INSTALLATIONS					\$ 2,953	0,0005	\$ 2,947,8767
67	373	STREET LIGHTING					\$ 101,748-	0.0296	5 101,560
69									
70		- DEMAND DIST PLANT					\$ 807,015		\$ \$05,530
71							\$ 2,628,589		2,623,752
72									
73		DESTRIBUTION TOTAL					\$ 3,435,604		\$ 3,429,292
74		GENERAL PLANT							5 3,429,202
75					4 472,007	0.9663	1 467,354		3 167,354
76							г.,		
77							, -		•
78							s -		۰ _
79							<u>.</u>		·
80		SUBTOTAL PROD, TED, GEN, COMMON PLANT					1 11.201.897		\$ >> 145 576
81									
82		LUTANGIBLE PLANT			3 29,330	0.9837	5 28,652		\$ 28.852
83		CONSTRUCTION NORK IN PROGRESS					s		5 -
84		PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE					<u>s</u> –		\$ -
85									
86		TOTAL GROSS PLANT					\$ 11,230,748		\$ 11,224,426
87									
88									
89		MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - FUEL					\$ 227,226		\$ 227,226
90		MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - LOCAL					\$ 21,434		5 21,434
91		CASH WORKING CAFITAL					\$ (13,595)		\$ (13,595)
92		CUSTORER ADVANCES & DEPOSITS					\$ (14,677)		\$ (14,677)
93		ACCUM DEFERRED INCOME TAXES					5 (1,095,577)		11.095,5771
94									
95		TOTAL GROSS RATE BASE					\$ 10,355,560		\$ 10.349.218

-

Date: 12/29/2006 Page 3 of 6

.

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY

- -

_ _ _ _

. .

.....

.- .

..

.. _. _

. .____

...

. ..

- - ---

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY

TINE	ACCOUNT #	ITEN								HISSOURI TOTAL			ni ssour i Total
TITLE:	RESERVES FOR	DEPRECIATION - PAGE 1								N1SSOURI			MISSOURI
LINE_R	ACCOUNT, N	ITEN								TOTAL			TOTAL
,		PRODUCTION		\$	2,511,420	:	(\$3,384)	0,9837	5	2,508,091		5	2,508,091
2													
3		TRANSMISSION											
4		LINES	\$ 137,247	7.	D,689624	\$	137,247	1.0000	\$	137, 247		\$	137,247
5		SUBSTATION	\$ 61,771	<u>)</u> .	0.310376	\$	61,770	1.0000	1	61,770		<u>\$</u>	61,770
5													
7		TOTAL TRANSMISSION	3 199,011	,		4 Syr.	199,017		\$	199,017		\$	199,017
y 		PISIOZENIING_CEBNI											
10	160	CONSTATION LAND							. 5-	375	0.0002	5	- 374
11	360	ATHER I AND							4		-	5	-
12	321	STILL BUILD											
1.4	161-362	SUBSTATIONS							5	171,303	0.1083	\$	170,995
15	501-502												
16	364	POLES TOWERS FIXTURES											
17		CUSTOMER	\$ 536,584			,	0.1100		5	63, 317	0.0400	5	63, 203
18		PRIMARY	\$ 536,584	i \$			0.8820	.0.7690	\$	363,942	0.2301	5	363,287
19		SECONDARY	\$ \$26,584	i \$°	°.⁺ – ∛		0.8620	0,2330	5	109,325	0.0691	\$	109,128
20		LIGHT ING-DIRECT	s	- 5	·		0.0020	0.0000	5	_	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
21													
22		SUBTOTAL							\$	\$36,5B4		9	535,610
23													
24	365	OVERHEAD CONDUCTOR											
25		CUSTONER		\$	262,087		9.2860		8	73,384	0.0464	5	11,252
26		PRIMARY		\$	262,097		0.7200	0.9440	\$	178,135	0.1126	-	177,015
27		SECONDARY		\$	262.067		0.7200	0,0560	3	10,567	0.0067	£	10, 548
28													
29		SUBTOTAL							\$	262,087		5	201,013
30													
31	366	UNDERGROUND CONDUIT									0.0001		1 111
32		CUSTONER		\$	59,230		0.0560	0 (070	ð r	3,347	0.0021	*	18 478
33		PRIMARY		\$	59,230		0.9440	0.8930	•	12 145	0.0243		17 134
34		SECONDARY		,	59,230		0.9440	0.3070	<u>,</u>		0.0103	<u> </u>	
35									-				f.e. 1.01
36		SUBTOTAL							\$	59,230		\$	39,123
37													
3 B	367	UNBERGROUND CONDUCTORS								20 447	0.0101		10 200
35		CUSTOMER		\$	136,946		0,2130	6 6302	*	29,993 67 711	0.0100	, ,	67, 39V 61 60F
40		PR LHARY		s	336,946		10,705V	0.0300	7 4	16 776	0.0120		19 704
42		SECONDARY		\$	136,4(6		U.763V	0.9700	-		0.4231	<u>*</u>	
42										116 846		•	116 660
43		SUSTOTAL							•	136,240		3	134,019
44													

_ _.

____ . _. . .

_

--

- -

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY

Date: 12/29/2006 Page 4 of 6

_ _

LINE H	YECODAL"	ITEN				MISSOURI TQTAL			MISSOURI TOTAL
45	368	LINE TRANSFORMERS							
46		CUSTOHER	\$110,807	0.5880		\$ 65.155	0 0413	-	65 017
42		SECONDARY	\$110,807	0.4120	1.0000	9 45 657	0.0412	*	65,037
48				•	• • • • • • • •		0.0289	4	13, 370
49 50		SUBTOTAL				\$ 110,007		5	110, 608
51	369-1	OVERNEAD SERVICES							
52		CUSTOMER	\$150 373	6 4950		5 71 134			_
53		SECONDARY	\$150,371	0.5050	1 0000	> /1,434 t % D70	0.0471	\$	74, 301
54					1.0000		0.0480	<u> </u>	/5,802
55		SUBTOTAL				t 160.333			
56						\$ 150,373		\$	350, 102
57	369-2	UNDERGROUND SERVICES							
56		CUSTOMER	\$71.627	0.2140		\$ 17.463	a and		
59		SECONDART	\$74.627	0.7660	1.0000	5 57 165	0.0110	•	17, 133
60			.,		110000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.4361	<u>.</u>	57,062
61		SUBTOTAL				t 1. con			
62						3 /4,42/		4	14,493
62	370	METERS				5 7/ 500	0.0010		
64						1 31,305	9.9214	•	34, 445
55	371	CUSTOMER INSTALLATIONS				\$ 222	0.0001		
66						•	0.0001	*	223
67	373	STREET LIGHTING				5 44.914	0.0284		44 833
68							0.0201	•	11,033
69		SUBTOTAL - CUSTCHER DIST PLANT				\$ 361,022		5	160, 177
70		- DEMAND DIST PLANT				\$ 1,220,956		5	3.218.258
71								<u> </u>	
72		DISTRIBUTION TOTAL				5 1.581.978		e	1 516 130
73								÷.	1,579,130
74		GENERAL PLANT		\$213,492	0.9883	\$ 210.994		s	210,994
75								•	
76						s –		s	-
77									
78						s –		\$	~
79								_	
80		SUBTOTAL PROD, TED, GEN, COMMON PLANT				\$ 4.500.081			4.497.211
81								·	
82		INTANGIBLE PLANT	\$	3,384	0.9017	\$ 3,328		s	3, 328
83		CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS				\$		\$	
84		PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE				\$ -		s	-
85						<u></u>		·	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
86		TOTAL RESERVE FOR DEPRECIATION				5 4 503 406		÷	4 500 500
B7						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		*	1,000,002
818		MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - FUEL				s -		\$	-
89		MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - LOCAL				s -		š	-
90		CASH WORKING CAPITAL				s -		5	_
91		CUSTOMER ADVANCES & DEPOSITS						ě	_
92		ACCUN DEFERSED INCOME TANES				 t -		,	-
? 3		-				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
94		RESERVES FOR DEPRECIATION				\$ 4,503,409		5	4,500,562

....

-

.

.

-

_

. . .

LINE

LINE & ACCOUNT &

12

43

44

SUBTOTAL

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY

Date: 12/29/2006 Page 5 of 6

1 <u>175 - H</u>	ACCOUNT #	ІТЕМ	MISSOURI TOTAL	HI. I	SSOURI OTAL
TITLE:	HET ORIGINAL	COST - PAGE 1	11 15 0181		
LINE #	ACCOUNT 4	1754	TOTAL		
3		PRODUCTION	\$ 4,253,241	\$	4,253,241
2					
,		TRANSMISSION	\$ 205, 693	5	205, 693
4			1 132, 896	5	132.896
,		50851 AL 104		÷	
		****** ***************	336.589		338, 589
,		TOTAL TRANSMISSION			
		ATTATAL PLANT			
10		<u>UTSTRADDITON_TIMON</u>			
11	160	SUBSTATION LAND	\$ 18,756	\$	18,724
12	321	OTHER LAND	\$ 3,852	\$	3,845
13					
14	361-362	SUBSTATIONS	\$ 371,022	\$	370, 332
15					
16	364	POLES TOWERS FIXTORES			
17		CUSTOMER	\$ \$5,303	5	15,273
18		PRIMARY	\$ \$7,963	\$	07,707
19		SECONDARY	\$ 26,423	\$	26,370
20		LIGHTING-DIAECT	ş	5	
21					
22		SUBTOTAL	\$ 129,690	\$	129, 429
23					
24	365	OVERNEAD CONDUCTOR			
25		CUSTONER	\$ 138,680	s	138,422
26		PRIMARY	\$ 336,636	\$	336,009
27		SECONDARY	<u>\$ 19,970</u>	3	19,933
28					
29		SUBTOTAL	\$ 495,285	5	(94,36)
30					

5

321,695

5		SUBSTATION	3	132,896	<u>}</u>	132,899
6						
7		TOTAL TRANSMISSION	*	338,589	t	338, 589
₿						
9		DISTRIBUTION PLANT				
10						
11	360	SUBSTATION LAND	\$	18,756	\$	18,724
12	321	OTHER LAND	5	3,852	\$	3,845
IJ						
14	361-362	SUBSTATIONS	\$	371,022	\$	370, 332
15						
16	364	POLES TOWERS FIXTURES				
17		CUSTOMER	ş	15, 303	\$	15,273
18		PRIMARY	\$	87,963	\$	67,787
19		SECONDARY	5	26, 423	\$	26,370
20		LIGHTING-DIAECT	<u>. </u>	_	5	
21						
22		SUBTOTAL	\$	129,690	\$	129, 429
23						
24	365	OVERNEAD CONDUCTOR				
25		CUSTONER	\$	138,680	s	138,422
26		PRIMARY	\$	336, 636	\$	336,009
27		SECONDARY	<u>\$</u>	19,97 <u>0</u>	3	19,933
28						
29		SUBTOTAL	\$	195,285	5	(94, 363
30						
31	366	UNDERGROUND CONDUIT				
32		CUSTOMER	\$	6,240	\$	6,228
13		PRIMARY	\$	72,895	3	72,759
34		SECONDARY	\$	32, 292	5	32,232
25						
36		SUBTOTAL	\$	111,427	\$	111,220
37		+				
38	367	UNDERGROUND CONDUCTORS				
39		CUSTOMER	s	69,164	\$	69,036
40		PRIMARY	3	159,094	\$	158,799
44		SECONDARY	\$	93, 436	3	93, 263

321,098

\$

·· -

i

ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY

Date: 12/29/2006 Page 6 of 6

Ģ4	÷9	26	16	90	68	88	87	96	85	E.	60	82	18	0.9	97	78	7 F	75	74	73	71 72	1 10	69	6 8	57	66 65	51	63	£ 2	6	65	5 8	57 57	55	5	53	52	: 8	49	-	47	÷.	15	1981
																									373	371		370					169-2				1-605	164-1					369	ACCOUNT 4
TOTAL HET ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE		ACCUM DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	CUSTOMER ADVANCES & DEPOSITS	CASK NORKING CAPITAL	MATERIALS 4 SUPPLIES - LOCAL	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - FUEL		TOTAL BET PLANT		PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE	CONSTRUCTION MORE IN PROGRESS	INTANGIBLE PLANT		SUBTOTAL PROD, T4D, GEN, COMMON PLANT		AMORT OF CALLAWAY DECOMMISSIONING	DESERVER EQUITY		GENERAL PLART		DISTRIBUTION TOTAL	- DEMAND DIST PLANT	SUBTOTAL - CUSTOMER DIST PLANT		STREET LIGHTING	CUSTOMER INSTALLATIONS		LATORS	SUBTOTAL		SECONDARY	CUSTOHER R	UNDERGROUND SERVICES	SURTOTAL		SECONDARY	OVERHEND SERVICES		SUBTOTAL		SECONDA RY	LIFE INVESTOR		ITEN
\$ 5,852,15)		5 (1.095.577)	5 114.6775	5 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	5 21.434	3 227, 226		s 4,727,339		\$.	\$ 25,523		\$ 6,701,816		s -			\$ 256, 360		5 1.853.626	\$ 1,407,632	E 66 'S 'N S		5 56.314	s z, 730		S 71.80K	3 46,516		s5, 632	5 10 MH5		5 (23, 526)		(TE6'(T) 5 (CK4'TT) 6			\$ 247,637		5 102,026	\$ 145.610		IOTAL
S 5, 84	- 11,03		5 4 1			5 27	2	5 6.72		v	60	3 2		5 6,69	ĺ	s	J.		5 256		5 1 95	5 1,404	5 415	•		5	•	•	~		<i>د</i> ،	•		2) S		» «			5 21		5	5		IQIA

104159 COST inputs

•

.

.

:

. . .

SYSTEM/OTHER REVENUE

ł

1

Oate / Time: 12/28/06 10:13 AM

File: \\Huey\Shares\PLDocs\JWC\8632\[104159.xls]SysRev

Company: AmerenUE

System Revenues;				Missouri		Residential		Small GS		Large GS	<u>Sr</u>	nall Primary	La	ge Primery	Ę	Large TS
Rental Payments - AAEC,AMC,AME,	AIMS (general plant)	A.F.35	5	(15,736,446)	5	(8,047,055)	\$	(1,750,930)	\$	(2,830,543)	\$	(1,271,353)	\$	(1,182,764)	\$	(653,801)
Leased Land Rental Revenue	(trans plant)	A.F.2	s	(2,819,143)	\$	(1,225,236)	\$	(317,013)	\$	(578,153)	5	(248,863)	5	(245,890)	s	(203,988)
Agric Land Rental Revenue	(Irans plani)	A.F.2	5	(25,757)	\$	(11,194)	\$	(2,896)	\$	(5,282)	\$	(2,274)	\$	(2,247)	\$	(1,854)
Interchange Rental Revenue	(trans plant)	A.F.2	\$	(382,873)	\$	(166,402)	\$	(43,054)	\$	(78,520)	\$	(33,799)	\$	(33,395)	\$	(27,704)
Transmission Service Charges	(trans plant)	A.F.2	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	5	•	\$	-	s	•
Meramec Terminal Operation	(prod plant)	A.F.1	\$	(574,004)	5	(270,706)	<u>\$</u> _	(64,485)	£	(112,040)	ş	(48,344)	<u>s</u>	(45,586)	<u>s</u>	(32,844)
			<u>\$</u>	(3,801,777)	5	(1,673,537)	\$	(427,448)	<u>\$</u>	(773,995)	<u>\$</u>	(333,279)	\$	(327,118)	5	(268,399)
			\$	(19,538,223)	ş	(9,720,592)	\$	(2,178,378)	\$	(3,604,538)	\$	(1,604,532)	\$	(1,509,882)	\$	(920,201)
Interchange Sales		A.F.1	\$	305,670,019	\$	144,156,858	\$	34,339,459	5	59,663,709	\$	25,744,035	\$ 2	4,275,677	\$1	7,490,281
		A.F.2	٤	19,219,750	٤_	8,353,151	<u>\$</u>	2,161,263	5	3,941,608	<u>\$</u>	1,698,646	5	1,676,378	<u>s</u>	1,300,703
			\$	305,351,546	\$	142,789,417	\$	34,322,345	\$	60,000,778	\$	25,836,049	\$ 2	4,442,174	\$ 1	7,960,763
Co	mposite Allocation	Factor		100.0000%		46.7623%		11.2403%		19.6497%		8,4611%		8.0048%		5.8820%

_ - -

Note: Not Using Allocating System Revenues on Energy

....

...

Unbundled System Revenues: Rental Payments - AAEC, AMC, AME, AMS

	Customer	\$	(1,931,437)		(1,572,740.59)		(201,145.80)		(134,226,39)		(19,141.69)		(3,971.00)		(211.18)
	Prod - Demand	\$	(10,949,122)		(5,163,709.14)	(1	,230,041.92)	(2,137,158.38)	1	(922, 153.20)	1	869,558.52)	((\$28,503,13
	Prod - Energy	\$			-		-						_	`	
	Trans - Demand	\$	(348,048)		(164,141,59)		(39,100.00)		(67,935.00)		(29,312.98)		(27,641.06)		(19.914.99)
	Distr - Demand	\$	(2,507,641)		(1,146,463.70)		(280,642.03)		(491,223.40)		(300,745.39)	(261,595,01)		(7.171.90)
		\$	(15,736,445)	\$	(8,047,055)	\$	(1.750,930)	\$	(2,830,543)	5	(1,271,353)	\$	(1,182,764)	\$	(653,801)
Leased Land Rental Revenue	Trans - Demand	2	(2,819,143)	\$	(1,225,238)	\$	(317,013)	\$	(578,153)	5	(248,863)	Ş	(245,890)	\$	(203,988)
Agric Land Rental Revenue	Trans - Demarid	5	(25,757)	\$	(11,194)	\$	(2,896)	\$	(5,282)	\$	(2 274)	\$	(2,247)	\$	(1,864)
Interchange Rental Revenue	Trans - Demand	\$	(382,873)	5	(168,402)	\$	(43,054)	\$	(78,520)	\$	(33,799)	\$	(33,395)	\$	(27,704)
Transmission Service Charges	Trans - Demand	2		s	-	\$	•	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-
		\$	(3,227,773)	\$	(1,402,832)	\$	(362,964)	s	(661,955)	5	(284,936)	\$	(281,532)	5	(233,555)
Totais		\$	(18,964,219)	5	(9,449,887)	\$	(2,113,893)	\$	(3,492,498)	5	(1,555,289)	\$	(1,464,295)	\$	(887,356)
	Customer	\$	(1.931,437) 10.1646%	\$	(1.572,741) 16,6430%	5	(201,146) 9.5154%	\$	(134,226) 3.8433%	\$	(19,142) 1,2300%	\$	(3,971) 0,2712%	\$	(211) 0.0238%
	Prod - Demand	\$	(10,949,122) 57,7357%	\$	(5,163,709) 54,6431%	\$	(1,230,042) 58.1885%	\$	(2,137,158) 61,1928%	\$	(922,153) 59,2533%	\$	(869,557) 59,3840%	\$	(626, 5 03) 70,6033%
	Prod - Energy	2	-	\$	0 0000%	\$	0.0000%	5	- 0.0000%	\$	0.0000%	\$	0.0000%	\$	п попача
	Trans - Demand	5	(3 575 819)	\$	(1 566 973)	s	(402.064)	¢	(729 890)	s	(314 249)	*	/309 173	•	(253 470)
			18.8556%	-	16 5819%	2	19.0200%		20 8988%		20 1972%	-	21 1141%	•	28 5646%
	Distr - Demand	5	(2 507 BA1)	\$	11 148 484)	5	1280 8423		(491 223)	•	(300 745)	¢	(281 505)	c	17 172)
		•	13 2241%	-	12 1320%	÷	13 2761%	•	14 0651%	*	10 3245%		19 2308%	•	0 8/192%
		s	(18.964.219)	5	(9449887)	\$	(2 113 893)	s	(3.492.408)	ç	(1 666 2RQ)	¢	11 464 2951	۲	(887 356)
		-	100.0000%	*	100,0000%	-	100.0000%		100.0000%	\$	100.0000%	Ĵ	100.0000%	•	100.0000%

Date: 12/28/05 10:13 AM FRe: Briug/Sharas/PLDocsLAVC18532(104159.14)DiterRev Company: AmericaLE

í

ļ

OTHER REVENUES (12 months and ad Max OS)	teres de	à 1	å hormi og		aridantia!	Smith GS		ant GS	Smal Pri	ı	arce Pri	Large TS	i
Emission Alexandras Octions	\$ 3,899,258	Energy	AF.11	្វើ	1,426,195 \$	384,662	5	842,117	417,071	\$	429,572	397,6	41
Unbunded ARES NTS, PTP 8king	\$ 1.104	12CP	A F.Z	5	450 \$	124	3	226	17	5	96		80
Forfeited Discounts	\$ 9,992,501	Credit & Collec	A.F.13	5	0,100,099 5	568,100	5	291,445 3	3 484	5	105 1		
Misc. Service Revenues - Changing, Connection, Disconnections, Trouble CHM	5.7 03,527,993	Credit & Colleg	AF.13	ŝ	967.519 \$	160,899	ŝ	134,359 1		ŝ		-	
Misc. Service Revenues - Const erons of concorners previours Line, Service Revenues - Technomy fiscilities		Labor Exp	A.F.35	ŝ		•	\$	- 1	•	5	- 1	+	
Rent From Electric Property - AEC, AFS, AMC, AME, AMS, CIP, EEI, GEN, GMC, IN	* \$ 20,800,550	Labor Exp	A.F. 35	5 1	0,682.684 \$	2,324,407	\$	3,757,623	1,687,758	5	1,570,151	867,9	38
Rent From Electric Property - Pole Space Rental	\$ 4.015.381	Dist Pole Acct		5	2,329,432	494,332		774.727 3	185 445	2	177.896 5	95.5	23
Rent From Electric Property - Other Rentals	\$ 2,300,354 \$ 2,300,771		A.F.JQ A.F.1		1,175,315 3	4,460	ŝ	7.749	3,344	÷	3,153 1	22	72
Rent From Electric Property - Agriculture Lands	5 550,494	1202	AF.2	÷	221,869 \$	57,406	ŝ	104,693	45,065	\$	44 526 1	36,93	39
Rent From Electric Property - Factility Charges Office	113 100	Total Divis Pit		ŝ.	554 299 \$	114,087	۲.	150,610 1	44,840	5	30,639 1	1.1	92
Other Electric Revenues - Ameren Services	5 36,279,164	12CP	AF.2	5.1	5,767,401	4,079,509	\$	7,440,975	1,202,500	. s.	3,164,331	2,625,0	99
Other Electric Revenues Macelaneous Blings	\$ {2,095,450}	Reverue	AF,14A	ş	(920,755) 8	; (240,609) ; (540,609)	÷	(439,216) 3	1111,737, 141,200		(38.651)	127 9	91}
Other Electric Revenues - Maramad Tarminal Operations	> iana,181)	ALL STOP	AF . I	•	1230,1077 2	1	•	(00,400)		•			
	\$ 79,043,115			54	2,500,276	8,235,183	\$	13,427,357	5,605,511	s	5,414,661	3,659,1	27
		Composite Factor			\$3,7685%	10.4186%		16.9874%	7 0933%		6 8503%	4 882	35
	\$ 79,043,115												
		E								s			
Pio Forma Adjusiment	· · · · ·	C/MINI	A.F.11	2-	•		×			-			-
	6 79 043 115			5 4	2 500.276 1	8,235,183	5	13,427,357	5,605,511	\$	5,454,551	3,459,1	27
				•	51.7685%	10.4185%		16.9874%	7.0930%	•	6.8503%	4.662	3%
Alaquotep.													
	Eurocionalization			8	esidential	Smgl GS		Large GS	Small Pri	1	ange Pri	Lucas I.	à 👘
Emission Allowances, Options	Prod - Energy			\$	1,428,195	104,662	\$	642,117	\$ 417,071	5	429,572	397,6	j . 81
Unbundled ARES NITS, PTP 198mg	Trent - Demand			5	440 2	5 124 	2	226	5 V/ 6 99 784	1	1 642		80
Forlexed Discours	Cutiomer				1 102 727	1 306,160 1 86,881	2	44 555	s <u>1464</u>	š	305		
Misc. Service Revenues - Charging, Connection, Disconnections, Trouble Cars	California			•			-		•	-			
which develop it and the state of the state	Customer			\$	648,621	87,746	\$	6,028	s •	\$	•	; -	-
	Distr - Demand			s	318,008	5 72,953	\$	128.331	s.	5	•	5 -	•
Mass Service Revenues - Temporary facilities										E		۰.	_
	Customer Bud - Dement			;		• •	ŝ			ŝ		Ś.	-
	Prod - Energy			š	-	š -	š	-	s -	ŝ		\$.	-
	Trans - Demand			ŝ	-	\$ •	\$	-	\$	\$	•	s .	-
	Distr - Demand			\$	•	s -	\$	•	\$ -	5	-	s ·	•
Rent From Electric Property - AEC, AMC, AME, AMS, EEL GEN, GMC, IHC, INS											6 173		280
	Customer			÷	2.08/,836	3 207,027 C 1633014	2	7 6 17 6 185	5 1724.143		1.154.360	s 131.	700
	Prod - Clemano Brod - Foerow			;		1	š		5	s	•	\$	•
	Trave - Demand			\$	217,90Z	\$ \$1,906	\$	90,186	\$ 38,914	5	36,694	\$ 26,4	438
	Distr - Gemand			5	1,621,962	3 372,560	5	652,112	\$ 399,240	5	373,825	\$ 9,5	521
Renz From Electric Property - Pole Space Rental	Dummer of				413 818	\$ 55 982		1,146	1 263	2 5	25	5	0
	Dist - Demend			1	1,915.514	\$ 438,350	ŝ	110,081	\$ 226,306	2 G	191,283	6	•
Ren From Electric Propeny - Other Rentals													
	Customer			1	229,903	29,403		19,62%	\$ 2,79		580	3 6 01	31
	Pied - Ogmand			:	124,821	5 173 BUS	' '	112,410	\$	ំ		ŝ ^e le	
	Trans - Demand			ŝ	73,954	\$ 5,710	i 3	9,931	\$ 4,28	5 S	4,041	s 2,	511
	Detti - Demand			5	167,590	5 41,024	i \$	71,807	5 43,96	3 5	41,164	\$ 1.	048
Rent From Electric Property - Agricultural Lands	Prod - Demand				18,724	\$ 4,460	5	7,749	\$ 3,34	4 5	1 153	\$ <u>2</u>	272
Rent From Electric Property - Fecility Charges Interchange	Trans - Demand			5	Z21,869	3 57,406	\$	104,693	a 40,06	• •	44,326	, 36,	* > 9
Rent From Electric Property - Facility Charges Other	Curiment			5	180 833	\$ 27.954		3.211	\$ 90	3 5	265	5	15
	Distr - Demand				377,466	\$ 88,114		147,398	\$ 43,93	7 S	38,374	\$ 1,	177
Other Electric Revenues - Ameren Satvices	Trans - Demend			5	15,767,401	\$ 4,079,586	3 S	7,440,175	\$ 3,202.58	15	3, 164, 331	\$ 7,625,	069
Other Electric Revenues – Macellaneous Billings								17 100	• 13.15		(255)		(6)
	Customer Rend - Demond			;	(113,5112)	1 (114 71)		1206 9201	3 (49.71	6 5	(74,917)	\$ 164.	863)
	Prod - Energy			ŝ	(194,323)	\$ (59,48	6) S	(135,859)	S (67.44	5) \$	(61,500)	\$ (68	3301
	Trans - Demand			3	(37,507)	\$ (30,34)	2) \$	(18.545)	\$ 17,96	3) \$	(6,649)	\$ (5	7521
	Dietu - Demand			1	(152,526)	5 (00.99)	3) \$	(67,779)	ə (25,45	2] \$	(20.150)	•	0221
	Read Demand				1730 7075	K 154.95	n 1	(95.485)	\$ 141.20	os s	(38.851)	\$ 127	991)
And Fredric relations - without current for the prove	-140 - 142 04900			,	1=++,1913	- 1	, •	,		• •			
Pro Forma Adjustmeni	Pred - Energy			\$	•	\$ · ·	- 5	•	\$ ·	5	•	\$	•
	-												
	E				Casteland of	SmatGS		Lame GS	Small Pri		Large 2/I	Lavae	15
	Customer	1		5	13,947,055	\$ 1,105.37		539 801	\$ 54.44	14 S	8,157	5	321
	Prod - Demand			- 5	6,975,395	\$ 1,548,01	3 5	2,852,890	\$ 1,231.41	1 \$	1,170,857	\$ 802	599
	Prod - Energy				1,233,872	\$ 325,17	5 5	705,248	\$ 349.63	26 S	368.072	\$ 329	311
	Trees - Demond			5	15,194,139	\$ 4,164,60	4 X	7,525,657	5 3 262,9	no S ha e	574 404	a 2,665 s 44	
	Outi - Demand			•	,	e 3/2,00	- *	1,104,131					

\$ 79,043,115

Custemer Prod - Demand Prod - Energy Truns - Cemand Dist - Cemand

--

\$ 42,500,276 \$ 8,235,183 \$ 13,427,357 \$ 5,606,511 \$ 5,414,661 \$ 3,659,127

0.040201516 0.21245844\$ 0.052523189 0.567994651 0.126812095 1

0.328182935 0.134226336 0.164125976 17.200118504 0.029032094 0.03948501 0.381036099 0.508137636 0.097622994 0.138031114 1 1

0.000717827 0.001512088 8.32195E-05 0.219539408 0.21523626 0.215773873 0.0027360558 0.06797639 0.05533364 0.615556798 0.598531772 0.695535706 0.122715211 0.115335993 0.00267460

· · · · -

· - ----

MISSOURI RETAIL ALLOCATION

AmerenUE ALLOCATION FACTORS 12 MONTHS ENDED 06/30/2006 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO ATTORNEY / CLIENT PRIVILEGE

	TOTAL ELECTRIC	ELECTRIC MISSOURI RETAIL	SALES FOR RESALE
FIXED	100.00%	98.37%	1.63%
VARIABLE	100.00%	98.44%	1.56%
NUCLEAR	100.008	98.82%	1.18%
DISTRIBUTION	100.00%	99.82%	0.18%
LABOR	100.00%	98.83%	1.17%
NET PLANT	100.00%	98.97%	1.03%
OPERATING REVENUES	100.00%	98.96%	1.04%
OPERATING EXPENSES	100.00%	98.73%	1.27%
MISSOURI DISTRIBUTION PLANT	100.00%	99.82%	0.18%

1

i.

į

DEMAND DATA

	Residential						
				@ System	Peak		
	Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Transmission	Generator
Apr-Q5	1,305,413	1,352,407	•	-	1,391,221	1,412,925	1,438,357
May-05	2,128,084	2,204,695	-	-	2,267,970	2,303,350	2,344,811
Jun-05	3,511,357	3,637,765	-	•	3,742,169	3,800,547	3,868,957
Jul-05	3,520,709	3,647,454	-	-	3,752,136	3.810.670	3,879,262
Aug-05	3,483,138	3,608,531		-	3,712,096	3 770,005	3,837,865
Sep-05	2,656,725	2,752,367	-	-	2,831,360	2,875,529	2,927,289
Oct-05	2,290,516	2,372,975	•	-	2,441,079	2 479 160	2,523,785
Nov-05	2,089,410	2,164,629	-	-	2 226,754	2,261,491	2,302,198
Dec-05	2,754,173	2,853,323	-	-	2,935,213	2,981,003	3,034,661
Jan-06	2,325,093	2,408,796	-	-	2,477,929	2,516,584	2,561,883
Feb-06	2,518,385	2,609,047	-	-	2,683,926	2,725,796	2,774,860
Mar-06	2,253,535	2,334,662	<u> </u>		2,401,667	2,439,133	2,483,037
max	3 520 700	3 647 454			2 761 126	2 840 670	2 870 262
4CP	3,320,703	3,0-11,404			3,102,130	3,010,070	3,013,202
12CP	3,232,902	3,411,330			3,303,440	3,304,100	2 921 414
1201	2,309,711	2,002,221			2,/30,02/	2,781,349	2,031,414

·- -

	SGS						
		And the second second	+ 131 . Ju	@ System	Peak 🗸 🗌	Sec. Comments	
	Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Transmission	Generator
Apr-05	594,457	615,857	-	108	633,640	643,525	655,109
May-05	661,616	685,434	-	72	705,178	716,179	729,070
Jun-05	807,957	837,044	-	108	861,175	874,609	890,352
Jul-05	878,548	910,176	-	72	936,370	950,978	968,095
Aug-05	8D4,319	833,275	-	65	857,255	870,628	886,299
Sep-05	800,203	829,011		0	852,803	866,107	881,697
Oct-05	730,486	756,784	-	0	778 504	790,648	804,880
Nov-05	625,750	648,277	-	0	666,882	677,286	689,477
Dec-05	562,148	582,385	•	72	599,171	608,518	619,472
Jan-06	514,255	532,768	•	72	548,131	556,681	566,702
Feb-06	513,515	532,002	-	0	547,270	555,808	565,812
Mar-06	484,675	502,124	-	72	516,607	524,666	534,110
max	878,548	910,176			936,370	950,978	968,095
4CP	822,757	852,376			876,901	890,580	906,611
12CP	864,828	688.761			708,582	719.636	732,590

	LGS						
[. What for	e	@ System	Peak a	Sec. Sugar	e ateas à
[Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Transmission	Generator
Apr-05	1,108,505	1,148,411	-	-	1,181,370	1,199,800	1,221,396
May-05	1,239,651	1,284,278	•	-	1,321,137	1,341,747	1,365,898
Jun-05	1,393,120	1,443,272	•	-	1 484,694	1,507,856	1,534,997
Jul-05	1,526,324	1,581,271	•	-	1,626,654	1,652,030	1,681,766
Aug-05	1,377,279	1,426,861		-	1,467,812	1,490,709	1,517,542
Sep-05	1,443,085	1,495,036	•	•	1,537,944	1,561,936	1,590,050
Oct-05	1,332,021	1,379,974	-	-	1,419,579	1,441,725	1,467,676
Nov-05[1,089,482	1,128,703	•	•	1,161,097	1,179,210	1,200,436
Dec-05	1,152,946	1,194,452	-	-	1,228,733	1,247,901	1,270,363
Jan-06	947,206	981,305		•	1,009,469	1,025,217	1,043,670
Feb-06	1,028,284	1,065,302	-	•	1,095,877	1,112,972	1,133,006
Mar-06	<u>912,958</u>	945,825			972,970	988,148	1,005,935
_							
max	1,526,324	1,581,271			1,626,654	1,652,030	1,681,766
4CP	1,434,952	1,486,610			1,529,276	1,553,133	1,581,089
12CP	1,212,572	1,256,224			1,292,278	1,312,437	1,336,061

System_Peak

				@ System P	eak		
	Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Transmission	Generator
Apr-05	- '	-	-	-	•	466,042	474,430
May-05			-	-	•	466,042	474,430
Jun-05	•	-	-		-	465,994	474.381
Jul-05	-	-	-	-		459,941	468,220
Aug-05		-	-	-	-	458,597	466,852
Sep-05	-	-	-	-	-	448,445	456,517
Oct-05		-	-	-	-	453,331	461,491
Nov-05			-		-	462,226	470,546
Dec-05		-	-	-	-	466,502	474,899
Jan-06		-	-	-		468,466	476,898
eb-06	-	-	-	-	-	470,822	479,297
Mar-06	<u> </u>	· ·	<u>_</u>		<u>·</u> -	470,342	478,809
(470.822	479.297
>						458,244	466,492
P						463 062	471 398

_.

.

SPS	Secondary	Primary	HV-LOW	HV-High	HV	Trans-High	Trans	Generator
				@ System	Peak			
							*** ***	
Apr-05	-	454,521	31,589	32,783	527,203	239	535,667	545,309
May-05	-	552,101	33,548	32,179	630,169	234	640,234	651,758
Jun-05	-	561,735	29,725	28,250	634,807	496	645,206	656,820
Jul-05	-	569,040	31,315	27,023	641,854	0	651,867	663,600
Aug-05	-	554,247	35,758	28,511	630,598	0	640,436	651,964
Sep-05	- 1	561,242	30,289	20,790	627,020	464	637,266	648,737
Oct-05	•	564,550	36,042	23,838	636,092	463	646,498	658,135
Nov-05		392,230	31,148	20,283	453,302	834	461,208	469,510
Dec-05		453,188	30,512	21,752	518,858	1,087	528,039	537,544
Jan-06	-	398,768	30,822	22,702	460,521	763	468,468	476,901
Feb-06		392,723	32,542	16,596	451,528	994	459,566	467,838
Mar-06		397,562	28,141	20,520	456,850	758	484,735	473,100
					C41 854		651 867	663 600
max		569,040			041,034		643 604	855 280
402		561,566			033,5/0		584 032	575 101
1200		487,659			000,/34		504,552	313,101
LPS	Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Trans-Low	Trans	Generator
				@ System	Peak 🦂 👘	- Kerne	t set a set	· Land Alth
4 05		440.070	44 021	87 673	544 862	36 688	590,299	600.925
A01-05		410,310	52 0 (0	104 200	581 770	42 724	633 869	645 279
may-05	-	420,933	53,442	07 200	632 375	31 882	573 795	584 123
Jun-05	-	300,301	JZ,442	92,339	619 (42	20 044	647 965	659,629
JUI-05	-	457,055	JO,414	54,070	555 220	47 7 19	806 495	617 412
Aug-05	•	385,787	04,759	102,034	592,320	18 478	609 710	620 684
Sep-US	•	441,388	44,920	07,090	502,027	43 011	636 134	647 584
007-05	•	429,258	30,972	91,010	460 726	29 802	400 033	508 015
Nov-05	•	336,730	37,234	77.001	402,723	36 780	510 612	519 803
Dec-05	-	337,846	41,039	77,991	407,290	35,705	470 672	479 144
Jan-06	-	301,274	39,309	03,901	426 837	47 394	481 207	489 869
Fab-06	•	303,157	37,490	01,040	401 809	30 335	438 415	446 306
<i>Mar-0</i> 6	L	200,040	44,430	03,192	401,000		100,110	
max		457,055			618,142		647,965	659,629
4CP		416,403			572,217		609,491	620,462
12CP		372,602			515,429		558,184	568,231

.....

	Residential											
1	Class Peak											
I	Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Transmission	Generator					
Apr-05	1,860,025	1,926,986	-	-	1,982,290	2,013,214	2,049,452					
May-05	2,358,049	2,442,939	-	-	2,513,051	2,552,255	2,598,195					
Jun-05	3,594,008	3,723,392	-	-	3,830,254	3,890,006	3,960,026					
Jul-05	3,981,031	4,124,348	-	-	4,242,717	4,308,903	4,386,464					
Aug-05	3,800,229	3,937,037	-	•	4,050,030	4,113,211	4,187,248					
Sep-05	3,498,265	3,624,203	-	-	3,728,217	3,786,377	3,854,532					
Oct-05	2,620,959	2,715,314	•	-	2,793,243	2,836,818	2,887,880					
Nov-05	2,258,663	2,339,975	-	-	2,407,132	2,444,683	2,488,688					
Dec-05	2,785,072	2,885,335	-	-	2,968,144	3,014,447	3,068,707					
Jan-06	2,514,546	2,605,070	-	-	2,679,835	2,721,641	2,770,630					
Feb-06	2,835,509	2,937,587	-	-	3,021,896	3,069,038	3,124,280					
Mar-06	2,313,625	2,396,916	-		2,465,707	2,504,172	2,549,247					
ах	3,981,031	4,124,348			4,242,717	4,308,903	4,386,464					

тах

-

ſ	@ Class Peak									
	Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Transmission	Generator			
Apr-05	659,648	683,396	-	360	703.369	714,342	727,200			
May-05	751,283	778,329	-	1,080	801.747	814,254	828,911			
Jun-05	892,549	924,681	-	828	952.047	966,899	984,304			
Jul-05	910,727	943,513	-	720	971,312	986,464	1,004,221			
Aug-05	888,105	920,078	•	837	947,321	962,100	979,417			
Sep-05	851,184	881,827	-	720	907,855	922,018	938,614			
Oct-05	804,848	833,822	-	540	858,293	871,682	887,373			
Nov-05	650,662	674,086	-	1,188	694,620	705,456	718,154			
Dec-05	682,931	707.516	-	900	728,722	740,090	753,412			
Jan-06	613,604	635,693		2,088	656,026	666,260	678,252			
Feb-06	641,019	664,096	-	540	683,695	694,361	706,859			
Mar-06	623,293	645,731		540	664,804	675,175	687,328			
•										
max	910,727	943,513			971,312	986,464	1,004,221			

LGS

1

ł

İ

-

ſ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		@ Class Peak					
ſ	Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Transmission	Generator	
Apr-05	1,245,064	1,289,886	-	-	1,326,906	1,347,606	1,371,863	
May-05	1,367,040	1,416,253	-	-	1,456,900	1,479,628	1,506,261	
Jun-05	1,481,309	1,534,636	-	-	1 578,680	1,603,308	1,632,167	
Jul-05	1,602,046	1,659,720	-	-	1,707,354	1,733,988	1,765,200	
Aug-05	1,533,900	1,589,120	-	-	1,634,728	1,660,230	1,690,114	
Sep-05	1,532,542	1,587,714	-	-	1,633,281	1,658,760	1,688,618	
Oct-05	1,494,704	1,548,513	-	-	1,592,956	1,617,806	1,646,926	
Nov-05	1,238,625	1,283,216	-	-	1,320,044	1,340,636	1,364,768	
Dec-05	1,288,084	1,334,455		-	1 372,754	1,394,169	1,419,264	
Jan-06	1,141,007	1,182,083	-	-	1,216,009	1,234,979	1,257,208	
Feb-06	1,186,997	1,229,729	-	-	1,265,022	1,284,756	1,307,882	
Mar-05	1,105,577	1,145,378		<u>-</u>	1,178,250	1,196,631	1,218,170	
max	1,602,046	1,659,720			1,707,354	1,733,988	1,765,200	

Class_Peak

ı.

			@ Class Pe			
Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Transmission	Generator
-	-	-	-	-	471,397	479,882
•	-	-	-	-	471,397	479,882
-	-	-	-	•	471,638	480,128
-	-	-	-	-	469,666	478,120
-	-	•	-	-	464,712	473,077
-	-	-	•	-	455,866	464,071
-	-	-	-	-	465,466	473,844
-	-	-	-		470,304	478,769
-	-	•	-	-	473,837	482,366
-	-	-	-		473,208	481,726
-	-	-	-		473,947	482,478
	-	-	-	-	473,923	482,454
					473,947	482,478
	Secondary - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Secondary Primary	Secondary Primary HV-Low	Secondary Primary HV-Low HV-High	Secondary Primary HV-Low HV-High HV	Secondary Primary HV-Low HV-High HV Transmission - - - - 471,397 - - - - 471,397 - - - - 471,397 - - - - 471,397 - - - - 471,638 - - - - 469,666 - - - 465,866 - - - 455,866 - - - 473,837 - - - 473,837 - - - 473,208 - - - 473,947 - - - 473,923

ì

....

Class_Peak

	Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV_	Trans-High	Trans	Generator
		1. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A.	NG 603 8	🔍 @ Class P	eak			
SPS								
Apr-05	-	497,559	32,878	43,905	588,846	524	598,556	609,330
May-05	-	610,347	39,572	40,649	708,355	765	720,170	733 133
Jun-05	-	597,106	34,664	36,366	685,509	2,302	698,505	711,078
Jul-05	-	611,936	37,198	34,769	701,720	2,427	715,094	727,965
Aug-05	-	618,336	39,619	32,486	708,457	2,237	721,746	734,738
Sep-05	-	624,778	35,364	31,410	709,723	1,461	722,256	735,257
Oct-05	-	626,116	36,389	32,345	713,067	1,425	725,616	738,677
Nov-05	-	491,775	35,561	43,420	585,111	940	595,179	605,892
Dec-05	-	487,915	34,442	48,607	585,201	1,289	595,620	606,341
Jan-06	-	470,958	32,752	39,520	556,970	940	566,599	576,798
Feb-06	-	494,395	37,873	27,737	574,452	1,325	584,738	595,264
Mar-06		479,102	33,729	32,717	559,528	950	569,206	579,452
max		626,116			713,067		725,616	738,677
	Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Trans-Low	Trans	Generator
			17. X. X.	@ Class F	Peak `			
LPS								
Apr-05	-	444,429	48,119	91,525	597,155	50,615	657,430	669,264
May-05	-	446,191	56,985	106,834	623,203	55,288	688,589	700,984
Jun-05	-	388,575	56,943	94,222	551,279	49,871	610,089	621,071
Jul-05	-	473,795	67,503	102,829	658,183	48,529	717,310	730,221
Aug-05	-	41 2,818	72,421	110,708	608,287	53,811	671,953	684,048
Sep-05	-	455,734	52,219	100,574	621,962	47,547	679,535	691,766
Oct-05	-	466,376	59,043	100,675	639,880	50,485	700,690	713,302
Nov-05	•	349,088	47,757	94,447	501,636	48,135	557,924	567,966
Dec-05	-	336,610	51,984	86,970	485,577	49,169	542,656	552,423
Jan-06	-	321,787	51,880	91,791	475,046	41,113	523,849	533,278
Fəb-06	-	314,802	52,139	92,370	468,700	54,370	530,751	540,304
Mar-06		271,463	56,219	92,257	428,113	55,342	490,509	499,339
max		473,795			658,183		717,310	730,221

i.

i

i

İ
	Residential				_				Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Trans-High	Trans	Generator
			0	loncoincl	dent Peak							Noncoincid	ent Peak]
	Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Transmission	Generator	SPS								
Apr-05	6.038,278	6,255,650	ĵ.	-	6,435,193	6,535,582	6,653,223	Apr-05	-	589,023	43,569	47,347	697,141	524	708,540	721,294
May-05	6,086,779	6,305,90	3.	•	6,486,882	6,588,078	6,706,663	May-05] -	709,922	46,879	44,835	822,330	765	835,923	850,970
Jun-05	6,813,971	7,059,274	4 •	•	7,261,875	7,375,160	7,507,913	Jun+05	-	708,490	44,069	36,670	809,862	2,302	824,798	839,645
Jul-05	6,830,614	7,076,516	i .	•	7,279,612	7,393,174	7,526,251	Jui-05	•	708,339	48,712	35,291	813,003	2,427	828,113	843,019
Aug-05	7,117,210	7,373,430		-	7,585,047	7,703,374	7,842,034	Aug-05	- 1	727 673	48,612	35,316	832,817	2,237	848,045	863,310
Sep-05	6,824,134	7,069,803	3 -	•	7.272.706	7,385,160	7,519,111	Sep-05	-	735,868	43,547	33,709	834,539	1,461	849,018	864,302
Nev 06	5 120 010	6.094,18	<u> </u>	•	6,886,305	6,993,732	7,119,619	Oct-05	} -	/13,1/3	47,560	34,848	810,372	1,423	602 663	705 170
Dec-05	5,520,018	6710 970	· ·	•	6,309,161	6,407,564	0,022,920	NOV-05	-	5/9,832	40,400	38,053	701.012	1 280	714 049	726 902
Jan-05	6709387	6 950 07		-	7 150 414	7,011,100	7 107 878	000-000 Jac. 061	ļ	501,300	40,000	34,550	686.016	940	697 679	710 237
Feb-06	6 6 15 703	A 853 868	, . 		7 050 574	7 160 563	7 289 453	San-00		673 4 19	47 580	28 347	717 562	1 325	730 081	743 222
Mar-06	6 490 770	6 724 436			6 917 429	7 025 341	7 151 797	Mac.05		588 505	39.014	36 077	680 751	950	692.321	704,783
			·	-		1,020,011	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1140 - 40								
max	7,117,210	7,373,430)		7,585,047	7,703,374	7,842,034	max		735,868			834,539		849,019	864,302
															<u> </u>	
	SGS	•							Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Trans-Low	Trans	Generator
	Secondani		<u> </u>		1900 F94X .		Canadia	100	By . Bert			e rroncotació	entreak			————
Acc-05	1 390 105	1 440 140	HTV-LOW	360	1 481 941	1 504 959	1 532 047	LPS Apr AK		467 604	48 110	106 475	674 701	S7 853	687 659	700.036
May-05	1 474 703	1 527 702		1.080	1 573 720	1,507,254	1 576 005	May 05	-	401,094	56 585	116 303	647 480	57 295	715 265	728 140
Jun-05	1.451.637	1.503 896	_	828	1.547 886	1 572 033	1 600 329	Jun_05	•	416.875	56 94.1	10,353	585 769	52,466	647 730	659.389
Jul-05	1.415.948	1,466 922		720	1.509 743	1.533.295	1 560 894	111-05	•	479.651	67.503	109 184	670 563	50,789	731.654	744,824
Aug-05	1,435,030	1,487,727	-	837	1.531.262	1.555.150	1.583,142	Aug-05	-	421 609	72.421	116 444	623 066	55,560	688 724	701.121
Sep-05	1 566 629	1,623,028		720	1,670,329	1,696,385	1 726 921	Sep-05		507,354	52,219	108.542	683.031	48 762	742 780	756,150
Oct-05	1,521,469	1,576,242	-	540	1,622,020	1,547,324	1,676,975	Oct-05	_	484,748	59.043	108,697	666,801	51 709	729,264	742,391
Nov-05	1,409,631	1,460,378	-	1,188	1,503,479	1,526,933	1,554,418	Nov-05	•	395,913	47 757	101,586	556,945	49,681	615,651	626,733
Dec-05	1,237,363	1,281,908	-	900	1,319,599	1,340,185	1,364,308	Dec-05	•	369,527	51,984	93,119	525,589	50,549	584,681	595,205
Jan-06	1,196,306	1.239,373	•	2,088	1,277,031	1,296,953	1,320,298	Jan-05	-	336,139	51,880	100,891	498,910	46,931	553,944	563,915
Feb-06	1,226,964	1,271,135	•	540	1,308,156	1,328,564	1,352,478	Feb-06	-	393,031	52,139	100,692	557,495	61,985	628,600	639,915
Mar-06	1,287,425	1.333,772		540	1,372,592	1,394,004	1,419,096	Mar-06	-	324,889	55,219	98,204	489,019	59,194	556,244	566,256
max	1.566.629	1.623 028			1.670.329	1 695 388	1726.921	max		507 354			683 031		742 780	756 150
						1,000,000				001,004			000,001		142,100	100,100
	LGS															
	the Cart		Q_N	oncolacid	ent Peak	$(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}) = (\gamma_{1}^{2})^{2} (\gamma_{1}^{2}) (\gamma_{2}^{2}) (\gamma_{2}^{2})^{2} (\gamma_{2}^{2})^{2} (\gamma_{1}^{2})^{2} (\gamma_{2}^{2})^{2} (\gamma_{1}^{2})^{2} (\gamma_$	<u> </u>									
40406	Secondary	Primary	HY-LOW	HV-High	10 004	Iransmission	Generator									
May-05	1 619 230	1 677 577			1,010,904	1,000,110	1 784 134									
Jun-05	1 741 066	1.803 744		-	1 855 512	1 884 458	1 918 378									
Jul-05	1,827,976	1,893,783			1.948.135	1.978.526	2 014 139									
Aug-05	1,805,403	1,873,505	-		1,927,275	1,957,341	1,992,573									
Sep-05	1,754,825	1.817,999	-	-	1,870,175	1,899,350	1,933,538									
Oct-05	1,745,023	1,807,644	•	•	1,859,729	1,888,741	1,922,738									
Nov-05	1.611.544	1,659,560	•	-	1,717.476	1,744,269	1,775,665									
Dec-05	1,554,337	1,610,293	-	-	1,656,509	1,682,350	1,712,632									
Jan-05	1,426,104	1,477,444	-	•	1,519,846	1,543,556	1,571,340									
Feb-05	1,534,233	1.589,465	•	•	1,635,083	1,660,590	1,690,481									
Mar-06 (1,501,860	1,555,927	· · · ·		1,600,582	1,625,551	1,654,811									
max	1.827.976	1.893 783			1 948 135	1 978.526	2 014 139									
					.,,	.,	-1									
-	LTS															
[na Ne		@ No	ncoincid	ent Peak	A second second	· · · ·									
(Secondary	Primary	HV-Low	HV-High	HV	Transmission	Generator									
Apr-05	•	-	-	•	•	471,397	479,882									
May-05	-	-	-	•	-	471,397	479,882									
JUN-US	•	-	-	•	-	471,638	480,128									
JUI-05	-	•	-	•	•	469,666	478,120									
NUG-03	•	-	•	•	•	404,/12	4/3,0//									
04.05	-	•	•	•	-	473,000	404,0/1									
Nov-05	-	•	:			470 304	478 760									
000-05	•			•	-	473 837	482 366									
Jan-06				-	-	473 208	481 726									
Feb-06			-		-	473.947	482.478									
	-	-														
Mar-06	-	-	•	•	-	473 923	482.454									

482,478

473,947

max

1

- - ----

....

_

1

DEPRECIATION / OPERATING EXPENSE ADJUSTMENT

AMEREN UE DEPRECIATION ANALYSIS MIEC AMOUNTS COMPARED WITH AMEREN'S

						TOTAL			MIEC	
	Ameren	MIEC		AMEREN		AMEREN	MIEC		AMEREM	
	Proposed	Proposed	<u>Ratio</u>	COSS	DECOMISH	COSS	COSS	DECOMISH	COSS	DIFFERENCE
DEPR-PRODUCTION PLANT	\$225,339,821	\$143,691,183	63.8%	\$235,968,410	\$6,506,912	\$242,475,322	\$150,468,656	\$6,506,912	\$156 975 568	-\$85,499,754
DEPR-COMMON PLANT				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
DEPR-TRANSMISSION PLANT	\$12,021,746	\$9,245,253	76.9%	\$12,782,945	\$0	\$12,782,945	\$9,830,649	\$0	\$9,830,649	-\$2,952,296
DEPR-DISTRIBUTION PLANT	\$114,909,529	\$79,148,935	68.9%	\$118,451,817	\$0	\$118;451;817	\$81,588,840	\$0 ²	\$81,588,840	-\$36,862,977
DEPR-GENERAL PLANT	<u>\$13,290,526</u>	<u>\$13,331,072</u>	100.3%	<u>\$13,230,639</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$13,230,639	\$13,271,002	<u>\$0</u>	\$13,271,002	\$40,363
Total	\$365,561,622	\$245,416,443	67.1%	\$380,433,811	\$6,506,912	\$386,940,723	\$255,159,147	\$6,506,912	\$261,666,059	-\$125,274,664

	Missouri Retail	
<u>O&M Expenses</u>		
Production		Source: GSW-WP-E3
Incremental Costs:		
Labor	5,684,482	
Fuel (Excl W/H CR)	596,422,366	
Westinghouse Credits	(1,636,307)	
Purchase Power	71,973,422	
Other (Fuel Handling)	2,463,035	
Total Incremental Costs	674,906,998	
Other Operating Expenses:		
Labor	98,669,169	
Other	65,844,381	
Total Other Operating Expenses	164,513,550	
Maint Expenses		
Labor	68,403,433	
Other	74.645.535	
Total Maint, Expenses	143,048,968	
Capacity Costs	21,641,400	
Total Production Expenses	1,004,110,916	
Total Variable (Fuel)	669,222,516	Allocated on Energy
Total Other - Labor	172757 0841	Allocated on A&E
Total Other - Other	9 162 131 316	Allocated on A&E

ì

ł

٢