

In the Matter of 20 CSR 4240-10.035

The right of Amendment 1 MO Constitution as the right to remedy includes exhausting ALL Administrative due process.

It is with that in mind that this Case matter must addressed in current propogation with Full Case Scope intervention of myself as the represenation on behalf of the MO PSC jurisdictional Public

The Advanced meter rules and including the current rules do not specifically render to Federal Compliance and therefore are in violation. Of such that this violation is considered a current violation it will also be in continuium upon Full Promulgation.

In docket item #9 I readdressed statute 386.820 upon MOTION of request to proceed for **Commercial supply remedy** and enforcement prepatory merit on behalf of the Public need of remedy upon enactment and full promluation.

In docket item #8 I reviewed again that docket item #6 was reiterated and confirmed.

The Commission has not declared enforcement of Commercial expectation for Mechanical Analog Meters which are per statute 386.820 a qualified Meter as defined by all 3 sentences in the statute definition and as such the Mechanical Analog Meters are to be as an expectation found upon Public request per Federal compliance of the

specificity that no EMF can be derived from a non Electric meter. EMF is by deliniation an Electric Meter emit.

In reference to Federal Compliance per ADA accomidations act the Regulatory in regard to ALL meters and inclusion of ALL rules of PSC Regulatory inclusive of statute 386.820 shall not prohibit non EMF Mechanical Analog Meters. The New rule and the current Regulatory of ALL Utility Meters must come into Federal ADA Compliance in so much that Regulatory REGULATOR MO Public Commission Service shall not deny this remedy of the Public through due process in this case matter as inclusive to Full Scope of said remedy.

The Tribunal Process and ALJ Judge on this case as well as case for public member who will benefit in item #5 in this case references on pg. B 4,5 specificities in regard to other Orders made on this public person's behalf as well as on my behalf and to the General good of the Public's behalf. That in fact EMF is a factor of Electric Meters and as such shall be addressed, by contrast a Mechanical Meter has no components nor electricity so it cannot create or produce electromagnetic frequency and is thereby SAFE to not emit EMF.

The Federal Role in Regulations across the board with ALL agencies and state Regulators acts as tort and thereby the state of MO Regulatory in this case matter must come into Federal Compliance immediately upon this notice from the right to remedy under Amendment 1 of the MO Constitution. The role of this Petition is to address now current PSC Regulatory non compliance under ADA accomidation to provide a Meter that qualifies under 386.820 yet that per further Federal Compliance implemenation aligns with Federal ADA to assure Utility

meters availability to Public that do not emit EMF.

The 3 case citations of other Federally compliant remedies are cited now. The following 3 Advocacy non profits #1 Electrosmog, #2 The Peoples Initiative #3 CA Center for Accessible Technologies Two Cases were never actually necessary to be litigated per the Fact that each CA Regulator deemed it was better to come into compliance amicably. Most of these cases surfaced over a decade ago when it became clear that Vendor needed enforcement of the Regulating Body. The CA Commissions did not require a new Legislative Bill to be provided prior to the correction to come into compliance as the remedy. This is also not about a Judge ALJ Judge at PSC Tribunal having jurisdiction to engage in this matter alone yet one case specifically was processed by an ALJ Judge who had the Jurisdiction due to the Commission had already complied with ADA implementation. Yet, at this juncture your Honor this exact case is between the Public and the PSC Commissioner's as those of the Joint Commission who will need to make a remedy per their implementation of ADA compliance into regulatory.

Without, this Case matter finding remedy here with PSC Tribunal to resolve that either ADA implementation will occur OR Regulator enforcement be invoked to Vendors that in fact as was stated in ITEM #9 pg. 8 that it must be enforced by the enactment of the NEW RULE date. The latter will require in this ruling that PSC as the Regulator ensure that Vendor's are aggressively informed that their means is per Commercial suppliers. As such those Commercial suppliers due to New rule enforcement of the Regulator via this demand of Public now heretofore requirements are interpreted as per Regulatory that non EMF Mechanical Analog Meters be put back into production under

strict guidance that no new installations will be substituted to Public Consumer's who specify Analog. Henceforth that requires that also per Regulatory that manufacturer's are in compliance thereof in full compliance of MO PSC Utility Regulatory jurisdiction Vendor's whom will be strictly obliged to make available and provide the Public with SAFE Utility Analog Utility Meters upon Public Consumer request. PSC as the Regulator will dictate as the strong arm of supply to fulfill that obligation of accessibility to said SAFE meters on behalf of the Public.

The future of the purpose of this New Rule is currently extremely compromised. Point of citation case matter EC 2026-0150 Peterson v Liberty. It is evidenced therein that Vendors have no intention of locating supplier or notifying their commercial suppliers to provide SAFE services as per PSC Regulator enforcement. Reminder that this burden is by Choice of the Utility Vendor as it was the Utility's own volition and choice to put the goods and the services as tandem of Energy services as a packaged goods and services and offer and Merchandise there Business in such a way to provide Energy to the Public. In so doing the Vendor as a Business is placing the entirety of the products availability upon their obligation to locate manufacturers to begin reproduction of the SAFE non electric and therefore non EMF Meters which are by definition Mechanical and cannot produce EMF as EMF is an ELECTRIC radiation.

To reiterate the Vendor must be enforced by Regulator and the Regulator must convey for the public through this due process remedy to solve in an Administrative manner. The current failure for Vendor's to appropriate access to and demand their manufacturers to keep to regulatory standards which must remain to be inclusive of Mechanical Analog Meters per the strongarm of Regulatory which is brought before

the Commission by the Public as per that is the role of the Public to be accommodated per due process of MO Constitution Amendment 1.

If it takes including ADA implementation to render this accessibility and to gain enforcement by the Commission- then it is your choice as the ALJ PSC Tribunal Judge being asked by the public for a remedy. Do you want me to transfer this case to reopen it as a specific complaint of Petition of ADA current violation of the current Utility Meter Regulatory instead of proceeding with this case as it currently exists?

The faucet of no ADA jurisdiction was cited by yourself your Honor as the ALJ Jurisdiction needing more robust jurisdiction it is currently cited in case EC-2026-0150 immediately upon entry for an ADA accommodation request that in fact you held no way to proceed. But, that ability to proceed to have that jurisdiction is not that ALJ Tribunal PSC Judges need to be assigned new Jurisdiction is instead that the PSC Regulatory in place currently is in fact ADA non compliant as it does not uphold ADA accommodation and that is why your jurisdiction is currently in need of corrective actions by the COMMISSION. To bring PSC into compliance with ADA as a Federal Regulation of current regulatory violation and that is why it is imperative to address this CASE NOW per by the NEW RULE enactment date Aug 2026 the regulatory new regulatory will also be in continued violation and that is now being brought to NOTICE by this Public PETITION that the regulatory cannot in continuation of violation be in continuum of MO PSC Regulatory as ADA defiance.

It is in regard to providing and ensuring SAFE health safe meters known as NON-Electric Meters specificity of the opposite of electric which is MECHANICAL Meters Thereby that this CASE is modified if necessary to particulars of ADA and recognized as such so that the CASE may begin

process anew.

So, it now presented now in this entry item #10 Brought as a requirement of the Commission for enforcement immediately to ensure remedy of provisions in Regulatory that ensure that SAFE non EMF Mechanical Analog Meters are "accommodated" as accessible and supplied by Vendor's for the Public's behalf. It would appear that Regulatory must be more enforcement based otherwise the Vendors will not cooperate. I reiterate that is the requirement of the Vendors to comply with the Public's right to access of non EMF meters as ANALOG. This is not a debate this is a Federal right of the Public if necessary to utilize ADA and I will cite the cases below. Two cases are not direct with Vendors those Cases are with Regulators. This case is of utmost merit and it is already a mandate Federally to provide ADA or just in general SAFE meters supply as remedy.

Case Citation #1 Center for Accessible Technology v. Pacific Gas & Electric this Case was heard by the CA Utility Commission as an ADA caselaw matter so that ALJ Judge **did have jurisdiction**.

Case Citation #2 CEP CA Electrosmog Preention v CPUC CA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ADA compliance settled out of Tribunal entry with Tribunal CPUC was adjacent to specificities of Vendor Pacific Gas & Electric's lack of cooperation with Public The case procured that ADA compliance was not being observed by Vendor Remedy was for Commission to render stricter regulatory and come into an ADA compliant Regulatory provision implementation

Your honor I see that now twice your rejecting my furtherment to request to proceed with due process. Would your Commission prefer that I take this matter to AHC Administrative Hearing Commission?

Per the urgency are you still abiding that this is premature? As I have now honed in on ADA Federal Compliance can we please proceed your honor to dedicate some time for the Commission to review carefully this ADA or at the very least considered SAFE METER request of Regulator Enforcement with Vendor compliance on behalf of the Public?

As, I said in my alter case matter this is all the same each Vendor will not comply until enforcement is proven by Commission and Commission must utilize all means including implementation of ADA compliance if necessary as a means to that enforcement.