

**Exhibit No.:**  
**Issue(s):**  
**Witness:** Steve W. Chriss  
**Sponsoring Party:** Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.  
**Type of Exhibit:** Rebuttal Testimony  
**File No.:** EA-2016-0208  
**Date Testimony Prepared:** September 7, 2016

**MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**FILE NO. EA-2016-0208**

**REBUTTAL TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF**

**STEVE W. CHRISS**

**ON BEHALF OF**

**WAL-MART STORES, INC.**

**September 7, 2016**

## Contents

Introduction .....	1
Purpose of Testimony and Summary of Recommendations .....	4
Solar Partnership Pilot Proposal .....	5

## Exhibits

**Exhibit SWC-1:** Witness Qualifications Statement

1 **Introduction**

2 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND OCCUPATION.**

3 A. My name is Steve W. Chriss. My business address is 2001 SE 10th St.,  
4 Bentonville, AR 72716-0550. I am employed by Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. as Senior  
5 Manager, Energy Regulatory Analysis.

6 **Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS DOCKET?**

7 A. I am testifying on behalf of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. ("Walmart").

8 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE.**

9 A. In 2001, I completed a Master of Science in Agricultural Economics at Louisiana State  
10 University. From 2001 to 2003, I was an Analyst and later a Senior Analyst at the  
11 Houston office of Econ One Research, Inc., a Los Angeles-based consulting firm. My  
12 duties included research and analysis on domestic and international energy and  
13 regulatory issues. From 2003 to 2007, I was an Economist and later a Senior Utility  
14 Analyst at the Public Utility Commission of Oregon in Salem, Oregon. My duties  
15 included appearing as a witness for PUC Staff in electric, natural gas, and  
16 telecommunications dockets. I joined the energy department at Walmart in July  
17 2007 as Manager, State Rate Proceedings, and was promoted to my current position  
18 in June 2011. My Witness Qualifications Statement is attached as Exhibit SWC-1.

1       **Q.    HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE THE UTAH PUBLIC**  
2       **SERVICE COMMISSION (“COMMISSION”)?**

3       A.    Yes. I submitted testimony in Docket Nos. ER-2010-0036, EO-2012-0009, EC-2104-  
4       0224, ER-2014-0258, and ER-2016-0023.

5       **Q.    HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE OTHER STATE**  
6       **REGULATORY COMMISSIONS?**

7       A.    Yes. I have submitted testimony in over 145 proceedings before 37 other utility  
8       regulatory commissions. I have also submitted testimony before the Missouri House  
9       Committee on Utilities, the Missouri House Energy and Environment Committee, the  
10       Missouri Senate Veterans' Affairs, Emerging Issues, Pensions, and Urban Affairs  
11       Committee, and the Kansas House Standing Committee on Utilities and  
12       Telecommunications. My testimony has addressed topics including, but not limited  
13       to, cost of service and rate design, return on equity (“ROE”), revenue requirement,  
14       ratemaking policy, large customer renewable programs, qualifying facility rates,  
15       telecommunications deregulation, resource certification, energy efficiency/demand  
16       side management, fuel cost adjustment mechanisms, decoupling, and the collection  
17       of cash earnings on construction work in progress (“CWIP”).

18       **Q.    ARE YOU SPONSORING EXHIBITS IN YOUR TESTIMONY?**

19       A.    Yes. I am sponsoring the exhibits listed in the Table of Contents.

1       **Q.     PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE WALMART'S OPERATIONS IN MISSOURI.**

2       A.     Walmart operates 158 retail units and four distribution centers and employs 17,128  
3       associates in Missouri. In fiscal year ending 2016, Walmart purchased \$7.3 billion  
4       worth of goods and services from Missouri-based suppliers, supporting 59,953  
5       supplier jobs.<sup>1</sup>

6       **Q.     PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE WALMART'S OPERATIONS WITHIN THE SERVICE**  
7       **TERRITORY OF UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI ("AMEREN**  
8       **MISSOURI" OR "COMPANY").**

9       A.     Walmart has 54 stores, one distribution center, and related facilities that take  
10      electric service from Ameren Missouri.

11      **Q.     HAS WALMART ESTABLISHED CORPORATE RENEWABLE ENERGY GOALS?**

12      A.     Yes. Walmart has established aggressive and significant renewable energy goals,  
13      including: (1) to be supplied 100 percent by renewable energy<sup>2</sup> and (2) to drive, by  
14      2020, the annual production or procurement of seven billion kWh of renewable  
15      energy across the globe.<sup>3</sup> The Corporate Renewable Energy Buyer's Principles,  
16      published by World Resources Institute and World Wildlife Fund and to which  
17      Walmart is a signatory, provides more detail around corporate customer renewable  
18      energy needs.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://corporate.walmart.com/our-story/locations/united-states#/united-states/missouri>

<sup>2</sup> <http://corporate.walmart.com/global-responsibility/environmental-sustainability>

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.walmartgreenroom.com/2013/04/walmarts-next-big-step-on-renewable-energy-and-energy-efficiency/>

<sup>4</sup> <http://buyersprinciples.org/principles/>

1       **Q.     DOES WALMART HAVE SIGNIFICANT EXPERIENCE WITH THE DEPLOYMENT OF**  
2       **DISTRIBUTED GENERATION?**

3       A.     Yes. At the end of 2015, Walmart had more than 400 on-site renewable energy  
4       projects at its stores and distribution centers in the United States.

5

6       **Purpose of Testimony and Summary of Recommendations**

7       **Q.     WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

8       A.     The purpose of my testimony is to address aspects of Ameren Missouri’s application  
9       for approval of the Company’s proposed Solar Partnership Pilot (“SPP”) as well as  
10      the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement (“NUS”) filed by several parties on  
11      August 29, 2016.

12                 Walmart appreciates that Ameren Missouri has put forth the effort to  
13      develop a renewable generation program targeted at large commercial and  
14      industrial customers. However, as I discuss in this testimony, there are several  
15      facets of the program that will limit broad acceptance of this program and should  
16      not be treated as precedential or desirable program parameters in future  
17      Commission considerations.

18      **Q.     WHAT IS WALMART’S INTEREST IN THIS PROCEEDING?**

19      A.     Walmart is generally interested in the development of renewable energy  
20      opportunities for large customers of Missouri utilities and seeks to ensure that any

1 SPP approved in this docket is reasonable and sets the stage for productive future  
2 program development.

3 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE WALMART'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMMISSION.**

4 A. Walmart's recommendations to the Commission are as follows:

5 1) The Commission should include the provision of lease payments to  
6 participating customers in any approved SPP in this case.

7 2) If the Commission approves the NUS as filed, it should specifically reference  
8 the language regarding precedent in Provision 11 in order to inform future  
9 Commissions that the intent of approval of the NUS as filed was solely for the  
10 purpose of coming to a decision per the particular factors presented in this  
11 case.

12 **Q. DOES THE FACT THAT YOU MAY NOT ADDRESS AN ISSUE OR POSITION**  
13 **ADVOCATED BY THE COMPANY INDICATE WALMART'S SUPPORT?**

14 A. No. The fact that an issue is not addressed herein or in related filings should not be  
15 construed as an endorsement of any filed position.

16

17 **Solar Partnership Pilot Proposal**

18 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANY'S INITIALLY PROPOSED SOLAR**  
19 **PARTNERSHIP PILOT?**

20 A. The Company's initial SPP proposal was as follows:

- 1           1)     Ameren would own, operate, and maintain solar photovoltaic equipment on  
2                     a participating customer's premises under a long-term lease agreement.  
3                     Ameren would submit bids for the construction of the project and not build  
4                     the facilities itself.
- 5           2)     To be eligible to participate, a customer must have: (1) the legal authority to  
6                     enter into a contractual agreement assigning rights to Ameren Missouri to  
7                     allow production of electricity on the premises; (2) suitable available  
8                     Company distribution facilities adjacent to the site; and (3) the ability to  
9                     support an installation of 100 kW-DC or more.
- 10          3)     Ameren Missouri would spend up to \$2.45/watt-DC on program installations.  
11                     Any cost in excess of \$2.45/watt-DC would be borne by the participating  
12                     customer as a contribution in aid of construction.
- 13          4)     The lease term would be 25 years. At the conclusion of the lease term, the  
14                     customer could purchase the facility, renew the lease, or have the facility  
15                     removed from the property.
- 16          5)     The participating customer would receive no lease payment for use of their  
17                     property.
- 18          6)     The participating customer would receive no Solar Renewable Energy Credits  
19                     ("SREC") from the facility.
- 20          7)     The participating customer would receive none of the energy produced by  
21                     the facility. See Direct Testimony of Michael W. Harding, page 2 to page 4.



1       **Q.    IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT A NUS HAS BEEN FILED IN THIS CASE WHICH**  
2       **MODIFIES THE COMPANY’S INITIALLY PROPOSED SPP?**

3       A.    Yes.  The NUS filed in this case makes the following changes to the Company’s  
4       initially proposed SPP:

5           1)     The NUS provides more detail around the qualifications for a customer to  
6           participate in the program.

7           2)     The NUS caps Ameren Missouri’s solar investment level for the SPP at \$10  
8           million and \$2.20/watt-DC for each facility.  The participating customer  
9           would still be required to cover any costs in excess of \$2.20/watt-DC.

10          3)     The NUS creates reporting requirements for Ameren Missouri.  See Non-  
11          Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement.

12       **Q.    DOES THE NUS ALLOW FOR THE PARTICIPATING CUSTOMER TO RECEIVE: (1) A**  
13       **LEASE PAYMENT FOR HOSTING THE SOLAR GENERATOR; (2) SRECS; OR (3) ENERGY**  
14       **FROM THE GENERATOR?**

15       A.    No.

16       **Q.    DOES WALMART HAVE A CONCERN WITH THE LACK OF TANGIBLE BENEFITS**  
17       **PROVIDED TO A CUSTOMER WHO PARTICIPATES IN THE PROPOSED SPP?**

18       A.    Yes, as the customer would receive no lease payment, no energy, and no SRECs.  
19       Additionally, the customer could be responsible for paying Ameren Missouri to use  
20       its property at no cost if the solar system cost exceeds \$2.20/watt-DC.  The  
21       Commission should give serious consideration to the public policy implications of the

1 potential expectation that customers give away the use of their property in order to  
2 contribute to renewable development in Missouri.

3 **Q. CAN THE CUSTOMER LEVERAGE THE INTANGIBLE BENEFIT POTENTIALLY PROVIDED**  
4 **BY THE VISIBILITY OF BEING A HOST TO AN AMEREN MISSOURI SOLAR**  
5 **GENERATOR?**

6 A. Not necessarily. Without the SRECs, the customer's ability to make credible claims  
7 to the production of renewable energy is very limited and the customer certainly  
8 cannot claim to use the energy on its premise.<sup>5</sup>

9 **Q. DOES WALMART CONSIDER THE LACK OF A LEASE PAYMENT TO BE PROBLEMATIC?**

10 A. Yes. The lack of a lease payment suggests that the portion of the customer premises  
11 where the solar panels would be sited has neither an opportunity cost nor customer  
12 costs to operate and maintain, and this suggestion is clearly not true.

13 **Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE EXAMPLES OF OPPORTUNITY COST AND CUSTOMER COSTS TO**  
14 **OPERATE AND MAINTAIN?**

15 A. Yes. An example of opportunity cost is that the ground space on a customer's  
16 property could be used for another economic activity that has value to the  
17 customer, such as facility expansion or additional parking. An example of customer  
18 costs to operate and maintain is the operation and maintenance of a roof, including  
19 activities such as re-roofing, which can be impacted by the presence of solar panels.

---

<sup>5</sup> The Federal Trade Commission Green Guides provide guidance on renewable energy claims.  
<https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/attachments/press-releases/ftc-issues-revised-green-guides/greenguides.pdf>

1                   While it is not necessarily administratively feasible or necessary to create  
2                   a lease payment structure that can account for all combinations of any given  
3                   customer's opportunity, operating, and maintenance costs, it is certainly possible to  
4                   easily determine that the relevant value is not zero. Once that level of lease  
5                   payments is determined, the customer can then make the best economic decision of  
6                   whether or not to participate in an approved SPP that has a lease payment  
7                   provision.

8                   **Q.    HAVE UTILITIES IN OTHER STATES IMPLEMENTED PROGRAMS SIMILAR TO THE**  
9                   **PROPOSED SPP THAT INCORPORATE LEASE PAYMENTS?**

10                  A.    Yes. Duke Energy's North Carolina Solar Distributed Generation program<sup>6</sup> and  
11                  Dominion Virginia's Solar Partnership Program<sup>7</sup> both incorporate lease payments in  
12                  to the program structure.

13                  **Q.    SHOULD THE COMMISSION CONSIDER REQUIRING THE INCLUSION OF LEASE**  
14                  **PAYMENTS IN ANY APPROVED SPP?**

15                  A.    Yes. The inclusion of lease payments will lead to greater potential customer  
16                  participation in the program and increase overall program credibility.

---

<sup>6</sup> <https://www.duke-energy.com/north-carolina-large-business/renewable-energy/nc-solar-distributed-generation-program.asp>

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.dom.com/business/dominion-virginia-power/ways-to-save/renewable-energy-programs/solar-partnership-program/solar-partnership-program-faqs>

1       **Q.     DOES THE NUS CONTAIN A PROVISION REGARDING THE PRECEDENTIAL NATURE**  
2       **OF THE PROPOSED SPP?**

3       A.     Yes. Provision 11 contains the following language: “Without limiting the foregoing,  
4       it is agreed that this Stipulation does not serve as a precedent for future solar facility  
5       programs.”

6       **Q.     IF THE COMMISSION APPROVES THE NUS AS FILED, SHOULD THE COMMISSION**  
7       **SPECIFICALLY REFERENCE THIS PORTION OF PROVISION 11?**

8       A.     Yes. If the Commission approves the NUS as filed, it should specifically reference the  
9       language in Provision 11 in order to inform future Commissions that the intent of  
10      approval of the NUS as filed was solely for the purpose of coming to a decision per  
11      the particular factors presented in this case.

12      **Q.     DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

13      A.     Yes.

# Steve W. Chriss

Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory Analysis

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

Business Address: 2001 SE 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Bentonville, AR, 72716-0550

Business Phone: (479) 204-1594

---

## EXPERIENCE

July 2007 – Present

**Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.**, Bentonville, AR

**Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory Analysis** (June 2011 – Present)

**Manager, State Rate Proceedings** (July 2007 – June 2011)

June 2003 – July 2007

**Public Utility Commission of Oregon**, Salem, OR

**Senior Utility Analyst** (February 2006 – July 2007)

**Economist** (June 2003 – February 2006)

January 2003 - May 2003

**North Harris College**, Houston, TX

**Adjunct Instructor, Microeconomics**

June 2001 - March 2003

**Econ One Research, Inc.**, Houston, TX

**Senior Analyst** (October 2002 – March 2003)

**Analyst** (June 2001 – October 2002)

## EDUCATION

2001

**Louisiana State University**

M.S., Agricultural Economics

1997-1998

**University of Florida**

Graduate Coursework, Agricultural Education and Communication

1997

**Texas A&M University**

B.S., Agricultural Development

B.S., Horticulture

## TESTIMONY BEFORE REGULATORY COMMISSIONS

2016

Utah Docket No. 16-035-T09: In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 34, Renewable Energy Tariff.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537359: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. West Penn Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537352: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Electric Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537355: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537349: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Metropolitan Edison Company.

Michigan Case No. U-17990: In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and for Other Relief.

Florida Docket No. 160021-EI: In Re: Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 16AL-0048E: Re: In the Matter of Advice Letter No. 1712-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Replace Colorado PUC No.7-Electric Tariff with Colorado PUC No. 8-Electric Tariff.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 16A-0055E: Re: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of its Solar\*Connect Program.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2016-0023: In the Matter of the Empire District Electric Company of Joplin, Missouri for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Missouri Service Area of the Company.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 40161: In Re: Georgia Power Company's 2016 Integrated Resource Plan and Application for Decertification of Plant Mitchell Units 3, 4A and 4B, Plant Kraft Unit 1 CT, and Intercession City CT.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201500273: In the Matter of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

New Mexico Case No. 15-00261-UT: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Revision of its Retail Electric Rates Pursuant to Advice Notice No. 513.

#### *2015*

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 44688: Petition of Northern Indiana Public Service Company for Authority to Modify its Rates and Charges for Electric Utility Service and for Approval of: (1) Changes to its Electric Service Tariff Including a New Schedule of Rates and Charges and Changes to the General Rules and Regulations and Certain Riders; (2) Revised Depreciation Accrual Rates; (3) Inclusion in its Basic Rates and Charges of the Costs Associated with Certain Previously Approved Qualified Pollution Control Property, Clean Coal Technology, Clean Energy Projects and Federally Mandated Compliance Projects; and (4) Accounting Relief to Allow NIPSCO to Defer, as a Regulatory Asset or Liability, Certain Costs for Recovery in a Future Proceeding.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 44941: Application of El Paso Electric Company to Change Rates.

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142: In the matter of the Application of UNS Electric, Inc. for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realized a Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of the Properties of UNS Electric, Inc. Devoted to its Operations Throughout the State of Arizona, and for Related Approvals.

Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 4568: In Re: National Grid's Rate Design Plan.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201500208: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and the Electric Service Rules, Regulations and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 4220-UR-121: Application of Northern States Power Company, A Wisconsin Corporation, for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 15-015-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-E-0283: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for Electric Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-G-0284: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for Gas Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-E-0285: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation for Electric Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-G-0286: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation for Gas Service.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 14-1693-EL-RDR: In the Matter of the Application Seeking Approval of Ohio Power Company's Proposal to Enter Into an Affiliate Power Purchase Agreement for Inclusion in the Power Purchase Agreement Rider.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 6690-UR-124: Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 15-034-U: In the Matter of an Interim Rate Schedule of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Imposing a Surcharge to Recover All Investments and Expenses Incurred Through Compliance with Legislative or Administrative Rules, Regulations, or Requirements Relating to the Public Health, Safety or the Environment Under the Federal Clean Air Act for Certain of its Existing Generation Facilities.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 15-WSEE-115-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-17767: In the Matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company for Authority to Increase its Rates, Amend its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 43695: Application of Southwestern Public Service Company for Authority to Change Rates.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 15-KCPE-116-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service.

Michigan Case No. U-17735: In the Matter of the Application of the Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and for Other Relief.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00396: Application of Kentucky Power Company for a General Adjustment of its Rates for Electric Service; (2) an Order Approving its 2014 Environmental Compliance Plan; (3) an Order Approving its Tariffs and Riders; and (4) an Order Granting All Other Required Approvals and Relief.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00371: In the Matter of the Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for an Adjustment of its Electric Rates.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00372: In the Matter of the Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for an Adjustment of its Electric and Gas Rates.

*2014*

Ohio Public Utilities Commission Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and the Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Provide for a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.

West Virginia Case No. 14-1152-E-42T: Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company, Both d/b/a American Electric Power, Joint Application for Rate Increases and Changes in Tariff Provisions.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201400229: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for Commission Authorization of a Plan to Comply with the Federal Clean Air Act and Cost Recovery; and for Approval of the Mustang Modernization Plan.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2014-0258: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariff to Increase its Revenues for Electric Service.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428742: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. West Penn Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428743: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Electric Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428744: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428745: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Metropolitan Edison Company.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. UE-141368: In the Matter of the Petition of Puget Sound Energy to Update Methodologies Used to Allocate Electric Cost of Service and For Electric Rate Design Purposes.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. UE-140762: 2014 Pacific Power & Light Company General Rate Case.

West Virginia Public Service Commission Case No. 14-0702-E-42T: Monongahela Power Company and the Potomac Edison Company Rule 42T Tariff Filing to Increase Rates and Charges.

Ohio Public Utilities Commission Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in



the Form of Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO an Electric Security Plan, Accounting Modifications and Tariffs for Generation Service.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 14AL-0660E: Re: In the Matter of the Advice Letter No. 1672-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Revise its Colorado PUC No. 7-Electric Tariff to Implement a General Rate Schedule Adjustment and Other Rate Changes Effective July 18, 2014.

Maryland Case No. 9355: In the Matter of the Application of Baltimore Gas and Electric Company for Authority to Increase Existing Rates and Charges for Electric and Gas Service.

Mississippi Public Service Commission Docket No. 2014-UN-132: In Re: Notice of Intent of Entergy Mississippi, Inc. to Modernize Rates to Support Economic Development, Power Procurement, and Continued Investment.

Nevada Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 14-05004: Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for Authority to Increase its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Electric Customers and for Relief Properly Related Thereto.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 14-035-T02: In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 32, Service From Renewable Energy Facilities.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 140002-EG: In Re: Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 6690-UR-123: Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Connecticut Docket No. 14-05-06: Application of the Connecticut Light and Power Company to Amend its Rate Schedules.

Virginia Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2014-00026: Application of Appalachian Power Company for a 2014 Biennial Review for the Provision of Generation, Distribution and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Virginia Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2014-00033: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to Va. Code § 56-249.6.

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01345A-11-0224 (Four Corners Phase): In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix and Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E-002/GR-13-868: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company, for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 13-035-184: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EC-2014-0224: In the Matter of Noranda Aluminum, Inc.'s Request for Revisions to Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Large Transmission Service Tariff to Decrease its Rate for Electric Service.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201300217: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma to be in Compliance with Order No. 591185 Issued in Cause No. PUD 201100106 Which Requires a Base Rate Case to be Filed by PSO and the Resulting Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and Terms and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 13-2386-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.

*2013*

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201300201: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma for Commission Authorization of a Standby and Supplemental Service Rate Schedule.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 36989: Georgia Power's 2013 Rate Case.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI: Petition for Rate Increase by Gulf Power Company.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 267: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, Transition Adjustment, Five-Year Cost of Service Opt-Out.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 13-0387: Commonwealth Edison Company Tariff Filing to Present the Illinois Commerce Commission with an Opportunity to Consider Revenue Neutral Tariff Changes Related to Rate Design Authorized by Subsection 16-108.5 of the Public Utilities Act.

Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2013-0004: In Re: MidAmerican Energy Company.

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. EL12-061: In the Matter of the Application of Black Hills Power, Inc. for Authority to Increase its Electric Rates. (filed with confidential stipulation)

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 13-WSEE-629-RTS: In the Matter of the Applications of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 263: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, Request for a General Rate Revision.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 13-028-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Docket No. PUE-2013-00020: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for a 2013 Biennial Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130040-EI: Petition for Rate Increase by Tampa Electric Company.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2013-59-E: Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, for Authority to Adjust and Increase Its Electric Rates and Charges.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 262: In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, Request for a General Rate Revision.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. ER12111052: In the Matter of the Verified Petition of Jersey Central Power & Light Company For Review and Approval of Increases in and Other Adjustments to Its Rates and Charges For Electric Service, and For Approval of Other Proposed Tariff Revisions in Connection Therewith; and for Approval of an Accelerated Reliability Enhancement Program (“2012 Base Rate Filing”)

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-7, Sub 1026: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 264: PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, 2014 Transition Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Utilities Commission of California Docket No. 12-12-002: Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for 2013 Rate Design Window Proceeding.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docket Nos. 12-426-EL-SSO, 12-427-EL-ATA, 12-428-EL-AAM, 12-429-EL-WVR, and 12-672-EL-RDR: In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power and Light Company Approval of its Market Offer.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E-002/GR-12-961: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket E-2, Sub 1023: In the Matter of Application of Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. For Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

#### *2012*

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 40443: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Authority to Change Rates and Reconcile Fuel Costs.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2012-218-E: Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for Increases and Adjustments in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs and Request for Mid-Period Reduction in Base Rates for Fuel.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 12-KCPE-764-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 12-GIMX-337-GIV: In the Matter of a General Investigation of Energy-Efficiency Policies for Utility Sponsored Energy Efficiency Programs.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 120015-EI: In Re: Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company.

California Public Utilities Commission Docket No. A.11-10-002: Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 E) for Authority to Update Marginal Costs, Cost Allocation, and Electric Rate Design.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 11-035-200: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2012-00051: Application of Appalachian Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to § 56-249.6 of the Code of Virginia.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, and 11-350-EL-AAM: In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form on an Electric Security Plan and In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. ER11080469: In the Matter of the Petition of Atlantic City Electric for Approval of Amendments to Its Tariff to Provide for an Increase in Rates and Charges for Electric Service Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.S.A. 48:2-21.1 and For Other Appropriate Relief.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 39896: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and Reconcile Fuel Costs.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EO-2012-0009: In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Notice of Intent to File an Application for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 11AL-947E: In the Matter of Advice Letter No. 1597-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Revise its Colorado PUC No. 7-Electric Tariff to Implement a General Rate Schedule Adjustment and Other Changes Effective December 23, 2011.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 11-0721: Commonwealth Edison Company Tariffs and Charges Submitted Pursuant to Section 16-108.5 of the Public Utilities Act.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 38951: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Approval of Competitive Generation Service tariff (Issues Severed from Docket No. 37744).

California Public Utilities Commission Docket No. A.11-06-007: Southern California Edison's General Rate Case, Phase 2.

#### *2011*

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01345A-11-0224: In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix and Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201100087: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2011-271-E: Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Authority to Adjust and Increase its Electric Rates and Charges.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. P-2011-2256365: Petition of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation for Approval to Implement Reconciliation Rider for Default Supply Service.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-7, Sub 989: In the Matter of Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 110138: In Re: Petition for Increase in Rates by Gulf Power Company.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 11-06006: In the Matter of the Application of Nevada Power Company, filed pursuant to NRS 704.110(3) for authority to increase its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of customers to recover the costs of constructing the Harry Allen Combined Cycle plant and other generating, transmission, and distribution plant additions, to reflect changes in the cost of capital, depreciation rates and cost of service, and for relief properly related thereto.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 998 and E-7, Sub 986: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Corporation and Progress Energy, Inc., to Engage in a Business Combination Transaction and to Address Regulatory Conditions and Codes of Conduct.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, and 11-350-EL-AAM: In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form on an Electric Security Plan and In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2011-00037: In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company for a 2011 Biennial Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 11-0279 and 11-0282 (cons.): Ameren Illinois Company Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service and Ameren Illinois Company Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2011-00045: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to § 56-249.6 of the Code of Virginia.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 10-035-124: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Maryland Public Utilities Commission Case No. 9249: In the Matter of the Application of Delmarva Power & Light for an Increase in its Retail Rates for the Distribution of Electric Energy.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E002/GR-10-971: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-16472: In the Matter of the Detroit Edison Company for Authority to Increase its Rates, Amend its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority.

*2010*

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docket No. 10-2586-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications, and Tariffs for Generation Service.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10A-554EG: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of a Number of Strategic Issues Relating to its DSM Plan, Including Long-Term Electric Energy Savings Goals, and Incentives.

Public Service Commission of West Virginia Case No. 10-0699-E-42T: Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company Rule 42T Application to Increase Electric Rates.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201000050: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and Terms and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 31958-U: In Re: Georgia Power Company's 2010 Rate Case.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. UE-100749: 2010 Pacific Power & Light Company General Rate Case.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10M-254E: In the Matter of Commission Consideration of Black Hills Energy's Plan in Compliance with House Bill 10-1365, "Clean Air-Clean Jobs Act."

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10M-245E: In the Matter of Commission Consideration of Public Service Company of Colorado Plan in Compliance with House Bill 10-1365, "Clean Air-Clean Jobs Act."

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-15 *Phase II*: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 217: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER Request for a General Rate Revision.

Mississippi Public Service Commission Docket No. 2010-AD-57: In Re: Proposal of the Mississippi Public Service Commission to Possibly Amend Certain Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43374: Verified Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission to Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan Pursuant to Ind. Code § 8-1-2.5-1, *ET SEQ.*, for the Offering of Energy Efficiency Conservation, Demand Response, and Demand-Side Management Programs and Associated Rate Treatment Including Incentives Pursuant to a Revised Standard Contract Rider No. 66 in Accordance with Ind. Code §§ 8-1-2.5-1 *ET SEQ.* and 8-1-2-42 (a); Authority to Defer Program Costs Associated with its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; Authority to Implement New and Enhanced Energy Efficiency Programs, Including the Powershare® Program in its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; and Approval of a Modification of the Fuel Adjustment Clause Earnings and Expense Tests.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 37744: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and to Reconcile Fuel Costs.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2009-489-E: Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for Adjustments and Increases in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2009-00459: In the Matter of General Adjustments in Electric Rates of Kentucky Power Company.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2009-00125: For acquisition of natural gas facilities Pursuant to § 56-265.4:5 B of the Virginia Code.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 10-010-U: In the Matter of a Notice of Inquiry Into Energy Efficiency.

Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Docket No. 09-12-05: Application of the Connecticut Light and Power Company to Amend its Rate Schedules.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 09-084-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. For Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

Missouri Public Service Commission Docket No. ER-2010-0036: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Public Service Commission of Delaware Docket No. 09-414: In the Matter of the Application of Delmarva Power & Light Company for an Increase in Electric Base Rates and Miscellaneous Tariff Charges.

#### *2009*

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2009-00030: In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company for a Statutory Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-15 *Phase I*: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-23: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority To Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of Its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 09AL-299E: Re: The Tariff Sheets Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado with Advice Letter No. 1535 – Electric.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 09-008-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates and Tariffs.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Docket No. PUD 200800398: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 08-12002: In the Matter of the Application by Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy, filed pursuant to NRS §704.110(3) and NRS §704.110(4) for authority to increase its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of customers, begin to recover the costs of acquiring the Bighorn Power Plant, constructing the Clark Peakers, Environmental

Retrofits and other generating, transmission and distribution plant additions, to reflect changes in cost of service and for relief properly related thereto.

New Mexico Public Regulation Commission Case No. 08-00024-UT: In the Matter of a Rulemaking to Revise NMPRC Rule 17.7.2 NMAC to Implement the Efficient Use of Energy Act.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43580: Investigation by the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, of Smart Grid Investments and Smart Grid Information Issues Contained in 111(d) of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. § 2621(d)), as Amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192 *Phase II (February 2009)*: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2008-251-E: In the Matter of Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s Application For the Establishment of Procedures to Encourage Investment in Energy Efficient Technologies; Energy Conservation Programs; And Incentives and Cost Recovery for Such Programs.

#### *2008*

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 08A-366EG: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for approval of its electric and natural gas demand-side management (DSM) plan for calendar years 2009 and 2010 and to change its electric and gas DSM cost adjustment rates effective January 1, 2009, and for related waivers and authorizations.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 07-035-93: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations, Consisting of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$161.2 Million Per Year, and for Approval of a New Large Load Surcharge.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43374: Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan for the Offering of Energy Efficiency, Conservation, Demand Response, and Demand-Side Management.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 07-12001: In the Matter of the Application of Sierra Pacific Power Company for authority to increase its general rates charged to all classes of electric customers to reflect an increase in annual revenue requirement and for relief properly related thereto.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192 *Phase II*: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 07A-420E: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado For Authority to Implement and Enhanced Demand Side Management Cost Adjustment Mechanism to Include Current Cost Recovery and Incentives.

#### *2007*

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.



Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UG 173: In the Matter of PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON Staff Request to Open an Investigation into the Earnings of Cascade Natural Gas.

*2006*

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 180/UE 181/UE 184: In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY Request for a General Rate Revision.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 179: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY Request for a general rate increase in the company's Oregon annual revenues.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase II*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

*2005*

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase I Compliance*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UX 29: In the Matter of QWEST CORPORATION Petition to Exempt from Regulation Qwest's Switched Business Services.

*2004*

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase I*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

#### **TESTIMONY BEFORE LEGISLATIVE BODIES**

*2016*

Regarding Missouri House Bill 1726: Testimony before the Missouri House Energy and Environment Committee, April 26, 2016.

*2014*

Regarding Kansas House Bill 2460: Testimony Before the Kansas House Standing Committee on Utilities and Telecommunications, February 12, 2014.

*2012*

Regarding Missouri House Bill 1488: Testimony Before the Missouri House Committee on Utilities, February 7, 2012.

*2011*

Regarding Missouri Senate Bills 50, 321, 359, and 406: Testimony Before the Missouri Senate Veterans' Affairs, Emerging Issues, Pensions, and Urban Affairs Committee, March 9, 2011.

#### **AFFIDAVITS**

*2015*

Supreme Court of Illinois, Docket No. 118129, Commonwealth Edison Company et al., respondents, v. Illinois Commerce Commission et al. (Illinois Competitive Energy Association et al., petitioners). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District.

2011

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 11M-951E: In the Matter of the Petition of Public Service Company of Colorado Pursuant to C.R.S. § 40-6-111(1)(d) for Interim Rate Relief Effective on or before January 21, 2012.

**ENERGY INDUSTRY PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS**

Panelist, The Governor's Utah Energy Development Summit 2015, May 21, 2015.

Mock Trial Expert Witness, The Energy Bar Association State Commission Practice and Regulation Committee and Young Lawyers Committee and Environment, Energy and Natural Resources Section of the D.C. Bar, Mastering Your First (or Next) State Public Utility Commission Hearing, February 13, 2014.

Panelist, Customer Panel, Virginia State Bar 29<sup>th</sup> National Regulatory Conference, Williamsburg, Virginia, May 19, 2011.

Chriss, S. (2006). "Regulatory Incentives and Natural Gas Purchasing – Lessons from the Oregon Natural Gas Procurement Study." Presented at the 19<sup>th</sup> Annual Western Conference, Center for Research in Regulated Industries Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition, Monterey, California, June 29, 2006.

Chriss, S. (2005). "Public Utility Commission of Oregon Natural Gas Procurement Study." Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Salem, OR. Report published in June, 2005. Presented to the Public Utility Commission of Oregon at a special public meeting on August 1, 2005.

Chriss, S. and M. Radler (2003). "Report from Houston: Conference on Energy Deregulation and Restructuring." USAEE Dialogue, Vol. 11, No. 1, March, 2003.

Chriss, S., M. Dwyer, and B. Pulliam (2002). "Impacts of Lifting the Ban on ANS Exports on West Coast Crude Oil Prices: A Reconsideration of the Evidence." Presented at the 22nd USAEE/IAEE North American Conference, Vancouver, BC, Canada, October 6-8, 2002.

Contributed to chapter on power marketing: "Power System Operations and Electricity Markets," Fred I. Denny and David E. Dismukes, authors. Published by CRC Press, June 2002.

Contributed to "Moving to the Front Lines: The Economic Impact of the Independent Power Plant Development in Louisiana," David E. Dismukes, author. Published by the Louisiana State University Center for Energy Studies, October 2001.

Dismukes, D.E., D.V. Mesyanzhinov, E.A. Downer, S. Chriss, and J.M. Burke (2001). "Alaska Natural Gas In-State Demand Study." Anchorage: Alaska Department of Natural Resources.