

AmerenUE's Response to
State of MO - Atty General Data Request
MPSC Case No. ER-2007-0002
AmerenUE's Tariff Filing to Increase Rates for Electric Service
Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area

FILED³
APR 25 2007
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Requested From: Mike Brosch

Data Request No. AG/UTI-293

Ref. AmerenUE response to AG/UTI-94 (Acquisition of Capacity, Comparable Transaction Analyses). In response to this data request, the company describes its efforts to track and evaluate market sales of peaking plants and provided copies of plant sales articles and financial terms. Please provide the following additional information:

a. Please provide complete copies of all documents, reports, analyses, workpapers and other information produced by or for AmerenUE to evaluate comparable market sales of peaking plant, to the extent not already produced in response to parts (b) and (c) of data request AG/UTI-94 (if any).

Response: Company has no additional documentation to provide.

b. Referring to the "Plant Sales.xls" spreadsheet information, please identify and describe each known distinguishing characteristic that adds or subtracts to asset value, when comparing the listed "CTG" capacity transactions in the spreadsheet to the Pinckneyville asset transfer.

Response: The Company has not done the analysis requested.

c. Referring to the "Plant Sales.xls" spreadsheet information, please identify and describe each known distinguishing characteristic that adds or subtracts to asset value, when comparing the listed "CTG" capacity transactions in the spreadsheet to the Kinmundy asset transfer.

Response: The Company does not know the characteristics of the plants in the referenced spreadsheet.

d. Given your response to part (b), provide the Company's best estimate of the purchase price dollar amount of valuation adjustment that should be applied to each listed "CTG" transaction to achieve comparability to the Pinckneyville asset transfer (to AmerenUE) pricing and terms.

Response: The Company has no information on the characteristics of the plants in the reference spreadsheet.

e. Given your response to part (c), provide the Company's best estimate of the purchase price dollar amount of valuation adjustment that should be applied to each listed "CTG" transaction to achieve comparability to the Kinmundy asset transfer (to AmerenUE) pricing and terms.

Response: The Company has no information on the characteristics of the plants in the reference spreadsheet.

f. Provide complete copies of all reports, analyses, workpapers, projections and other documents associated with or supportive of your responses to parts (d) and (e).

Response: The Company does not have the requested documentation.

Prepared By: Michael Moehn

Title: VP Corporate Planning

Date: March 5, 2007

State Exhibit No. 522
Case No(s). ER-2007-0002
Date 3/23/07 Rptr. MV