

Exhibit No.:
Issues: True-Up Items
Witness: Gary S. Weiss
Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company
Type of Exhibit: True-Up Direct Testimony
Case No.: ER-2012-0166
Date Testimony Prepared: October 18, 2012

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2012-0166

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

GARY S. WEISS

ON

BEHALF OF

**UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY
d/b/a Ameren Missouri**

**St. Louis, Missouri
October, 2012**

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

GARY S. WEISS

CASE NO. ER-2012-0166

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Gary S. Weiss. My business address is One Ameren Plaza,
3 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.

4 **Q. Are you the same Gary S. Weiss that previously filed testimony on behalf**
5 **of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri (“Ameren Missouri” or**
6 **“Company”) in this proceeding?**

7 A. Yes, I am.

8 **Q. What is the purpose of your true-up direct testimony in this proceeding?**

9 A. Pursuant to the procedural schedule adopted by the Commission in this case,
10 by September 5, 2012 Ameren Missouri was to provide updated data through July 31, 2012
11 for items to be trued-up in this case. The Company timely provided this data. The purpose
12 of this testimony, including the attached schedules GSW-TE18 and GSW-TE19, is to provide
13 the Commission with the Company’s updated proposed revenue requirement, which reflects:
14 1) the true-up data, 2) the revenue requirement issues previously resolved in this case
15 (including those arising from the three non-unanimous stipulations that have been filed and
16 to which the Company is a signatory), and 3) the Company’s position on the remaining
17 contested issues.

1 **Q. For which items did the Company previously provide updated data?**

2 A. On September 5, 2012, the Company provided the parties with updated data
3 through July 31, 2012, on the following true-up items: plant in service, Sioux scrubbers
4 construction accounting amounts, incentive compensation capitalized, accumulated
5 depreciation reserve, allocation of general and intangible plant to electric, fuel inventories,
6 materials & supplies, prepayments, customer advances, customer deposits, accumulated
7 deferred income taxes, cash working capital, pension tracker regulatory asset, OPEB tracker
8 regulatory liability, energy efficiency regulatory asset, FIN 48 tax tracker regulatory liability,
9 capital structure and weighted cost of capital, revenues, weather normalization, customer
10 growth, off-system sales revenues, MISO transmission revenues and expenses, MISO Day 2
11 revenues and expenses, ancillary services revenues and expenses, capacity revenues,
12 PROSYM output, fuel and purchased power, fly ash revenues and expenses, gas capacity
13 costs, common boundary expenses, PJM expenses, forecast deviation error, SO2 tracker,
14 steam plant maintenance, fuel additives expense, Taum Sauk O&M expense adjustment,
15 Maryland Heights renewable energy center O&M expenses, July, 2012 wage increase,
16 headcount reductions, VS11 expense adjustments and amortization of severance cost, storm
17 cost expenses and amortization, vegetation management expenses and amortization,
18 reliability inspection expenses and amortization, insurance expense, other employee benefits,
19 depreciation expense, intangible plant amortization, miscellaneous amortizations, rebase
20 O&M expense and amortization of pension and OPEB trackers, non-qualified pension
21 expense, RES expense rebase and amortization, PSC assessment, property taxes and payroll
22 tax adjustments.

1 **Q. Were revisions made to some of the true-up items after the true-up data**
2 **was provided on September 5?**

3 A. Yes, there were some minor adjustments made. The Company provided
4 additional details and explanations of the true-up items as requested by the Staff and other
5 parties. Moreover, some of the true-up numbers changed due to the impact of the resolution
6 of certain previously contested issues, as reflected in the above-referenced stipulations and
7 agreements that have been filed with the Commission.

8 **Q. What does Schedule GSW-TE18 attached to this testimony contain?**

9 A. The final true-up items are included in Schedule GSW-TE18, pages 1 through
10 149.

11 **Q. After reflecting all previously resolved issues, the Company's positions on**
12 **all of the contested issues and the true-up items, what are the Company's proposed rate**
13 **base and revenue requirement?**

14 A. As shown on attached Schedule GSW-TE19, page 1, the Company's proposed
15 trued-up rate base is \$6,913,019,000, after reflecting all previously resolved issues, the
16 Company's position on all contested issues, and the true-up items. The proposed trued-up
17 revenue requirement is \$3,348,748,000. Schedule GSW-TE19, pages 2 through 74, provides
18 the details for the trued-up rate base and revenue requirement.

19 **Q. What is the Company's proposed revenue increase after reflecting all**
20 **previously resolved issues, the Company's position on all contested issues, and the true-**
21 **up items?**

22 A. The Company's proposed increase over the revenue requirement set in the
23 Company's last electric rate case as shown on Schedule GSW-TE19, page 2 is \$322,894,563,

1 after reflecting all previously resolved issues, the Company's position on all contested issues,
2 and the true-up items.

3 **Q. Does the Company anticipate the need for a true-up hearing?**

4 A. No. The Company has worked closely with the Staff to reconcile the few
5 remaining contested issues in this case against the Company's true-up case (described
6 above). The Company also believes that the evidence of record, including the true-up
7 information provided with this testimony, provides an evidentiary basis for the Commission to
8 decide the few remaining contested issues and to set the Company's final revenue requirement
9 in this case based upon the Commission's resolution of those issues.

10 **Q. Does this conclude your true-up direct testimony?**

11 A. Yes, it does.

In the Matter of Union Electric Company)
d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to)
Increase Its Revenues for Electric Service.)

Case No. ER-2012-0166

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss
CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

1. My name is Gary S. Weiss. I work in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, and I am employed by Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri as Manager, Regulatory Accounting.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my True-Up Direct Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri consisting of 4 pages, Schedules GSW-TE18 and GSW-TE19, all of which have been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-referenced docket.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct.

Gary S. Weiss
Gary S. Weiss

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18th day of October, 2012.

Mary Hoyt
Notary Public

My commission expires: 4-11-2014

