KCPL Case Name: 2016 KCPL Rate Case Case Number: ER-2016-0285



FEB 2 1 2017

Response to Mantle Lena Interrogatories - OPC 20170106

Date of Response: 1/13/2017

Missouri Public Service Commission

Question:8032

Reference rebuttal testimony of Mr. Rush page 27: Please provide the basis for Mr. Rush's claim the Commission has "consistently rejected" that including costs in the FAC removes the incentive to take action to decrease non-fuel and non-purchased power costs. Please include any report and orders in which the Commission rejected this claim.

Response:

Commission approval of FAC's, which includes many costs that Ms. Mantle recommends should be removed, is evidence that the Commission rejects the claim that inclusion of these items removes the incentive to decrease non-fuel and non-purchased power costs.

Response by: Kristy Erck, Regulatory Affairs

Attachment: Q8032 Verificaiton.pdf

OPC Exhibit No. 324 Date 2.9.17 Reporter UB File No. ER. 2016-0285

 $\mathcal{L}_{i,i,j}^{\gamma,\gamma}(\mathbf{d}^{\gamma,\gamma})$



Verification of Response

Kansas City Power & Light Company AND KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations

Docket No. ER-2016-0285

The response to Data Request #	8032	is true and accurate to the best of
my knowledge and belief.		
	Cionali /	To Produce
	Signed:	nuary 13, 2017