

Global Credit Research Credit Opinion 16 DEC 2005 Save as PDF

Credit Opinion: Union Electric Company

Union Electric Company * ×,t

St. Louis, Missouri, United States

Ratings

Category Outlook	Moody's Rating Rating(s) Under Review
Issuer Rating	*A2
First Mortgage Bonds	*A1
Senior Secured	*A1
Senior Unsecured Shelf	*(P)A2
Subordinate	*A3
Preferred Stock	*Baal
Commercial Paper	*P-1

Parent: Ameren Corporation

Outlook	Rating(s) Under Review		
Issuer Rating	*Baa1		
Sr Unsec Bank Credit Facility	*Baa1		
Senior Unsecured	*Baal		
Subordinate Shelf	*(P)Baa2		

Preferred Shelf

FILED³

APR 1 6 2007

Missouri Public Service Commission

*(P)Baa2 Ameren UE Exhibit No. 105 Case No(s). ER-2007-0002 Date 3/14/07 Rptr MU

Commercial Paper

Union Electric Capital Trust I

Outlook

<u>،</u>

Rating(s) Under Review

Bkd Preferred Shelf

*(P)A3

* Placed under review for possible downgrade on December 15, 2005

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Michael G. Haggarty/New York	1.212.553.1653
A.J. Sabatelle/New York	

Key Indicators

Daniel Gates/New York

Union Electric Company	3Q05 LTM	2004	2003	2002
Adjusted Funds from Operations + Adjusted Interest	7.73	7.01	7.06	6.47
/ Adjusted Interest [1]				
Adjusted Funds from Operations / Adjusted Debt [2][3]	35%	30%	31%	30%
Retained Cash Flow / Adjusted Debt [3]	23%	17%	19%	17%
Adjusted Debt / Adjusted Capitalization [3][4]	45%	46%	44%	44%
Common Dividends / Net Income Available for Common	78%	84%	65%	89%
Net Income Available for Common / Common Equity	13%	13%	16%	13%

[1] Interest is adjusted to include preferred dividends and lease payments [2] Preferred dividends have been deducted from FFO [3] Adjusted debt includes 8x next year's operating leases [4] Adjusted capitalization reflects the adjustments made to debt

Note: For definitions of Moody's most common ratio terms please see the accompanying User's Guide.

Opinion

Credit Strengths

The credit strengths of AmerenUE (Union Electric Company) include:

- Strong and stable debt service coverage measures
- Solid balance sheet with moderate, though increasing, leverage

*P-2

- Low cost generating assets and competitive rates
- New fuel, purchased power, and environmental cost recovery mechanism passed in Missouri
- Potentially improving regulatory situation in Missouri

Credit Challenges

The credit challenges of AmerenUE (Union Electric Company) include:

- Negative free cash flow due to a large environmental capital expenditure program
- Additional leverage incurred to finance these capital expenditures
- Increasing pension, employee benefit, insurance and nuclear operating costs
- Rising coal and transportation prices are hurting margins

- Regulatory risk with regard to potential rate case in 2006 and MPSC enactment of cost recovery mechanism

Rating Rationale

AmerenUE's A1 senior secured debt rating reflects the company's strong debt service coverage measures, good financial metrics, conservative management, and low production costs. The rating also reflects high capital expenditures for new generating capacity, for environmental compliance, to upgrade its transmission and distribution system, and for the replacement of steam generators at the Callaway nuclear plant, which will negatively affect cash flow and coverage ratios going forward.

AmerenUE's cash flow has traditionally been strong and stable, although it has fallen short of covering dividends and high capital expenditures for several years, resulting in negative free cash flow over the last three years. AmerenUE's capital expenditures were \$629 million during the first three quarters of 2005, up from \$374 million over the same period last year. To finance higher capital expenditures, AmerenUE's debt to capitalization ratio has increased from its historical 40% range and to approximately 45%, and is expected to remain in this range going forward.

AmerenUE has experienced the adverse effects of a slow economy, rising employee benefit costs, and higher insurance and security costs in recent years. In addition, the company expects coal prices to increase significantly in 2006 and 2007. AmerenUE is also one of a declining number of single asset nuclear plant operators in the country, with its Callaway nuclear plant experiencing an overall decline in performance during 2004, resulting in significant unanticipated replacement power and maintenance costs last year.

AmerenUE operates under a rate plan which was approved by the MPSC in 2002 and which includes a rate moratorium through July 1, 2006. The company expects to submit a cost of service study shortly, at which point it will determine if it will file for a rate increase in 2006. On July 14, 2006, the governor signed legislation permitting the state's utilities, including AmerenUE, to apply to the MPSC for fuel, purchased power, and environmental cost recovery. With coal and coal transportation prices rising, the enactment of recently passed cost recovery mechanisms by the MPSC is an important credit consideration.

Rating Outlook

The rating is on review for possible downgrade, reflecting our expectation of some deferral of expected rate increases for Ameren's Illinois utilities and the likelihood that if the operating cash flow of the Illinois utilities declines, Ameren would need to rely on its Missouri operations for a larger share of cash flow and upstreamed dividends to meet parent company obligations. The review will focus on the prospects for a resolution of the on-going dispute in Illinois, clarity regarding the amount and timing of any related rate increases, the mechanisms for ultimate recovery of the utilities' increased costs and investment outlays, and the regulatory climate for the utilities going forward.

What Could Change the Rating - UP

The review for downgrade limits the near term upside potential for the rating.

What Could Change the Rating - DOWN

Regulatory environment for Ameren's Illinois utilities deteriorates further, a material deferral of power costs in Illinois results in an increased reliance by Ameren on dividends from its Missouri utility, a decline in AmerenUE's cash flow coverage measures, including FFO/Interest below 5.0x, FFO/Debt below 20%; an increase in debt to capitalization above the 45% range; a continuation of higher operating expense trends; unanticipated capital expenditure requirements; a lengthy unanticipated outage at the Callaway nuclear plant.

© Copyright 2006, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors including Moody's Assurance Company, Inc. (together, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, such information is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind and MOODY'S, in particular, makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose of any such information. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The credit ratings and financial reporting analysis observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER. Each rating or other opinion must be weighed solely as one factor in any investment decision made by or on behalf of any user of the information contained herein, and each such user must accordingly make its own study and evaluation of each security and of each issuer and guarantor of, and each provider of credit support for, each security that it may consider purchasing, holding or selling.

MOODY'S hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MOODY'S have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MOODY'S for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to \$2,400,000. Moody's Corporation (MCO) and its wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary, Moody's Investors Service (MIS), also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually on Moody's website at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations - Corporate Governance - Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited does not hold an Australian financial services licence under the Corporations Act. This credit rating opinion has been prepared without taking into account any of your objectives, financial situation or needs. You should, before acting on the opinion, consider the appropriateness of the opinion having regard to your own objectives, financial situation and needs.