Exhibit No. 133P

Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Fuel Adjustment Clause Witness: Brooke Mastrogiannis

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Case No.: ER-2021-0312

Date Testimony Prepared: January 20, 2022

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION ENERGY RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

BROOKE MASTROGIANNIS

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty

CASE NO. ER-2021-0312

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2022

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF	
2	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF	
3	BROOKE MASTROGIANNIS	
4 5	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty	
6	CASE NO. ER-2021-0312	
7	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
8	FAC COMPONENTS RELATED TO WIND MARKET REVENUES	3
9	OPC OTHER FAC CHANGES	8

1	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2	\mathbf{OF}
3	BROOKE MASTROGIANNIS
4 5	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty
6	CASE NO. ER-2021-0312
7	Q. Please state your name, employment position, and business address.
8	A. My name is Brooke Mastrogiannis. I am a Utility Regulatory Supervisor with
9	the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission"), 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City,
10	Missouri 65101.
11	Q. Are you the same Brooke Mastrogiannis who has previously provided testimony
12	in this case?
13	A. Yes. I contributed to the Staff Report – Cost of Service (Public and Confidential),
14	with Appendices ("COS Report") filed on October 29, 2021, and the Staff Report - Class Cost
15	of Service (Public and Confidential), ("CCOS Report") filed on November 17, 2021, in
16	this case. I also filed Rebuttal Testimony (Public and Confidential), on December 20, 2021, in
17	this case.
18	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
19	Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?
20	A. The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to respond to Empire witness
21	Aaron Doll's Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") rebuttal testimony regarding including 100%
22	of Southwest Power Pool ("SPP") and Mid-Continent Independent System Operator ("MISO")
23	transmission costs and revenues in the FAC.

1 I will also respond to the Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") witness Lena Mantle's 2 rebuttal testimony regarding: 3 1) including the benefits of Paygo and production tax credits ("PTCs") 4 in Empire's rate case revenue requirement with tracking mechanisms to 5 reconcile to actuals in Empire's next rate case instead of as a benefit in 6 the FAC; 7 2) including the benefits of SPP energy market revenues Renewable 8 Energy Credits ("RECs") in the FAC; 9 3) including OPC to receive notices and be provided with a copy of 10 additional reporting information along with deadlines for Empire's 11 quarterly FAC surveillance reports; and 12 4) Ms. Mantle's alternative proposal to modify Empire's FAC so that 13 100% of the Market Protection Plan Mechanism ("MPPM") costs and 14 revenues flow through the FAC, while the 5% incentive still applies to 15 the rest of the FAC costs and revenues. 16 Lastly, I will update schedule BMM-d1 I provided in my Direct Testimony, and this 17 updated schedule will now be BMM-s1. 18 FAC TRANSMISSION EXPENSES AND REVENUES 19 Q. Company witness Doll suggests again in his rebuttal testimony on page 12 that 20 Empire believes that 100% of its transmission costs should be eligible for recovery. Does Staff 21 continue to oppose the Company's proposal to include 100% of both SPP and MISO 22 transmission expenses and revenues in the FAC?

A. Yes. As stated in my rebuttal testimony, in regards to the Company's proposal to include 100% of SPP transmission costs and revenues in the FAC, in its *Report and Order* issued in Case No. ER-2014-0370, the Commission stated,

The evidence shows in this case that on a daily basis, KCPL sells all of the power it generates into the SPP market and purchases from SPP 100% of the electricity it sells to its retail customers. However, based on the Commission's analysis in the two cases cited above, it would not be lawful for KCPL to recover all of its SPP transmission fees through the FAC. In addition, while KCPL's transmission costs are increasing, those costs are known, measurable, and not unpredictable, so the costs are not volatile. The Commission concludes that the appropriate transmission costs to be included in the FAC are 1) costs to transmit electric power it did not generate to its own load (true purchased power); and 2) costs to transmit excess electric power it is selling to third parties to locations outside of SPP (off-system sales).²

In addition to that case, the Commission concluded similarly on this issue in its findings in Case Nos. ER-2014-0258,³ ER-2014-0351,⁴ and ER-2019-0374.⁵ Therefore, Staff's position is to continue including in the FAC only transmission costs consistent with what the Commission has previously approved in all of the above mentioned *Report and Orders*.

FAC COMPONENTS RELATED TO WIND MARKET REVENUES

- Q. What is the Company's and Staff's proposal for certain FAC components related to wind market revenues?
- A. Company witness Doll states on page 16 of his direct testimony that Empire proposes to include the following additional sources of revenue and expense received in the "market revenue calculation": Paygo, tax equity distributions, PTCs, and RECs. Also,

¹ Brooke Mastrogiannis' Rebuttal Testimony in ER-2021-0312, pages 2-6.

² Report and Order, ER-2014-0370, page. 35 (Sept. 2, 2015).

³ Report and Order, filed on April 29, 2015, page 115.

⁴ Report and Order, filed on June 24, 2015, page 29.

⁵ Amended Report and Order, filed on July 23, 2020, page 72.

Company witness Todd Mooney states in his rebuttal testimony that the Company proposes that the "market revenue," which includes Paygo, be treated exactly as Empire treats the revenue from the rest of its generation assets; that is, to include it in the Company's FAC. Paygo is directly related to generation levels and is variable in nature. Therefore, it can be included in the Company's FAC where customers can receive this additional revenue in between general rate cases. Also, Staff included in Staff's Direct COS Report, in Appendix 3, Schedule BMM-d1, the included subaccounts for Paygo, tax equity distributions, PTCs, and RECs in the FAC, which is aligned with what the Company proposed in Direct. Staff determined that it is appropriate to include these since all of these revenue components are tied to Empire's new wind generation.

Furthermore, Mr. Mooney and Mr. Doll both state in their rebuttal testimony that the Company is open to discussing the possibility of a tracker mechanism for the wind-related costs and revenues, including Paygo.⁷

- Q. Does OPC witness Mantle provide alternatives for some of these components?
- A. Yes. Although Ms. Mantle states in her direct testimony, on page 25, lines 20-21, that SPP market revenues and REC revenues should be included in Empire's FAC with tracking mechanisms to reconcile to actuals in Empire's next rate case, she also states in her direct testimony, on page 25, lines 17 -19, that estimated benefits of Paygo and PTCs should be included in Empire's rate case revenue requirement with tracking mechanisms to reconcile to actuals in Empire's next rate case.

⁶ Empire witness Todd Mooney's Rebuttal Testimony, page 5.

⁷ Empire witness Todd Mooney's Rebuttal Testimony page 5 and Empire witness Aaron Doll's Rebuttal Testimony page 10.

4

1

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

Then, in rebuttal testimony, Ms. Mantle expanded on her direct testimony by stating that the best way to ensure timely pass through of these benefits is to include a normalized amount of revenue for them in Empire's revenue requirement and then track the difference. If the normalized amount is accurate, then there should be little variation and any additional benefits can be provided to customers in Empire's next general rate case.⁸

- Q. What is Staff's opinion of Ms. Mantle's expanded proposal?
- Staff's opinion is that the Paygo, tax equity distributions, PTCs, and RECs A. should be included in the FAC because they are related to the new wind generation and the revenues will be refunded to customers more quickly. However, Staff agrees that OPC's proposal is another option for customers to receive those benefits in Empire's next general rate case. If the Commission accepts OPC's position, Staff recommends the net wind revenue definition in the Company's FAC tariff sheets detail the inclusion or exclusion of the above-mentioned components, along with an update to the list of subaccounts to be included and excluded in the FAC. Additionally, the FAC base factor would need to be updated accordingly.
- What is Ms. Mantle's proposal for SPP energy market revenues and Q. REC revenues?
- A. Ms. Mantle proposes that revenues from the SPP energy market should be treated exactly as Empire treats its SPP market revenue from the rest of its generation. Also, revenue from the sale of RECs should be included in the FAC, just as the revenue from the sale of RECs from Empire's two wind PPAs are included in its FAC. Ms. Mantle also states that

⁸ OPC witness Lena Mantle's Rebuttal Testimony, page 58.

⁹ OPC witness Lena Mantle's Rebuttal Testimony, page 56.

the price per REC for the three wind farms Empire is *** ***, she instead implies that this seems extremely low and it should be in the range of \$1 to \$8 per REC. 10

- Q. Does Staff agree with Ms. Mantle's SPP energy market and REC revenues?
- A. Yes, Staff already included both sources of revenue in the base factor calculation provided on November 17, 2021. Furthermore, Empire has recently provided Staff an average price per REC in Data Request Response No. 0390, which is approximately **. As such, Staff recommends Empire update its REC revenue calculation for the three wind farms accordingly. Then, Staff recommends the FAC base factor be updated accordingly.
- Q. What is Ms. Mantle's position on the interplay between the MPPM and the FAC costs and revenues?
- A. As stated in Ms. Mantle's rebuttal testimony, because of the way the FAC 95/5% incentive mechanism is set up, Empire would be required to track the differences in the total MPPM costs and revenues and what flows through the FAC. Ms. Mantle then states regulatory assets and liabilities for each of the costs and revenues would have to be applied in the next rate case to assure both customers and shareholders that all revenues are received by the customers and all costs are paid by the customers. With that being said, her alternative proposal is to modify Empire's FAC so that 100% of the MPPM costs and revenues flow through the FAC, while the 5% incentive still applies to the rest of the FAC costs and revenues.¹¹
 - Q. Does Staff support OPC's alternative proposal?

¹⁰ OPC witness Lena Mantle's Rebuttal Testimony, page 59.

¹¹ OPC witness Lena Mantle's Rebuttal Testimony, page 47.

- A. No. Staff is unclear what implications Ms. Mantle's alternative proposal would have on Empire's FAC and Fuel Adjustment Rate ("FAR") calculation. It is Staff's understanding that Ms. Mantle's alternative proposal would allow for 100% of the MPPM costs and revenues to flow through the FAC, while the "5% incentive," as mentioned above, still applies to the rest of the FAC costs and revenues. However, line 1 of tariff sheet 17q, is 100% of Total Energy Cost ("TEC"), which under Ms. Mantle's alternative proposal would include 100% of the MPPM costs and revenues, but the 95% adjustment is applied on line 7 to the TEC, after subtracting out the net base energy costs and applying the Missouri jurisdictional factor, therefore inherently adjusting the MPPM costs and revenues 95%. It seems that Ms. Mantle's alternative proposal would require additional line items in tariff sheet 17q, complicating that tariff sheet and the FAR calculations even further.
 - Q. Is Staff updating its Schedule BMM-d1 from Direct Testimony?
 - A. Yes. Attached as Schedule BMM-s1 is an updated Schedule of the subaccounts to be included and excluded in the FAC. Specifically, Staff is now excluding the wind hedges subaccount from the FAC.
 - Q. Why is Staff making this change to this Schedule?
 - A. Since the filing of my direct testimony, Staff has learned after reading the rebuttal testimony of Ms. Mantle that the hedge payments are a condition of Empire's contract with the tax equity partners to assure a certain revenue for each MWh. As a condition of its contract with its tax equity partners, Empire will be making a payment for every MWh of generation to assure a certain revenue for each MWh. Therefore, these payments are a price hedge for the tax equity partners and provide no benefit to its customers.¹²

¹² OPC witness Lena Mantle's Rebuttal Testimony, page 50.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The FAC tariff sheets currently describe hedging as, "Hedging costs are defined as realized losses and costs (including broker commission fees and margins) minus realized gains associated with mitigating volatility in the Company's cost of fuel, fuel additives, fuel transportation, emission allowances and purchased power costs, including but not limited to, the Company's use of derivatives whether over-the-counter or exchanged trading including, without limitation, futures or forward contracts, puts, calls, caps, floors, collars and swaps." Staff's understanding is that these new wind hedges would not be mitigating volatility in the Company's cost of fuel, fuel additives, fuel transportation, emission allowances, and purchased power costs. As a renewable generation resource, the wind projects should not cause costs to be incurred for fuel, fuel additives, fuel transportation, emission allowances, or purchased power costs. Therefore, the hedge agreements associated with the projects do not mitigate volatility of the cost categories included in the tariffed hedging definition. Furthermore, in contrast to the other types of fuel hedging activities, the wind project hedges will not result in realized gains from mitigating the ascribed volatility. Instead, it is only mitigating volatility for their tax equity partner. Based on this new understanding of the wind hedges, it is Staff's opinion that these type of hedges are not includable in the FAC as it is stated in the FAC tariff sheets.

OPC OTHER FAC CHANGES

- Q. What does OPC witness Mantle recommend for the FAC reporting requirements in her rebuttal testimony?
- A. Ms. Mantle recommends on page 62 of her rebuttal testimony that the OPC and other parties to this case should also receive the notices and be provided with a copy of this

Surrebuttal Testimony of Brooke Mastrogiannis

additional reported information. She also recommends that the Commission set a deadline for
 the quarterly FAC surveillance reports. The deadlines she recommends¹³ are as follows:

3		Quarter Ending:	Submission deadline:			
4 5 6 7		March 31 June 30 September 30 December 31	End of May End of August End of November End of February			
8	Q.	Does Staff agree with Ms. Mantle's proposals?				
9	A.	Yes.				
10	Q.	Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?				
11	A.	Yes, it does.				

¹³ OPC witness Lena Mantle's Rebuttal Testimony, page 62.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Request of The Empire District Electric Company d/b/a Liberty for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in its Missouri Service Area) Case No. ER-2021-0312)							
AFFIDAVIT OF BROOKE MASTROGIANNIS								
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)								
COMES NOW BROOKE MASTROGIA of sound mind and lawful age; that she contribute of Brooke Mastrogiannis; and that the same is translated and belief.								
Further the Affiant sayeth not. BYOUR MUSTOCIANNIS BROOKE MASTROCIANNIS								
JUR	AT							
Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly consthe County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my offi of January, 2022.	stituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for ice in Jefferson City, on this <u>7ル</u> day							
DIANNA L. VAUGHT Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: July 18, 2023 Commission Number: 15207377	Dianna: L. Vaux Notary Public							

List of Sub-Accounts Included and Excluded for FAC

<u>GL</u>	<u>Descriptions</u>	<u>GL</u>	<u>Descriptions</u>	<u>GL</u>	<u>Descriptions</u>	
501	Included:	506	Included:	555	Included:	
501042	Fuel -Coal	506127	Limestone Expense -latan	555430	Direct Purchases	
501045	Fuel -Oil	506128	Powdered Activated Carbon	555431	Purchase Power Tolling Fees	
501054	Fuel -Natural Gas	506129	Ammonia Expense	555432	Energy Imbalance	
501183	Sales Of Ash	506201	Limestone Expense	555437	Interrupt Svc Compensation	
501211	Ineffect (Gain)Loss Deri Steam	506202	Ammonia Expense	555800	DA Asset Energy	
501212	Effective (Gn)Lss Deriv Steam	506203	Powdered Activated Carbon	555810	DA Non-Asset Energy	
501216	NonFAS133Deriv(Gain)/LossSteam	506204	Lime Expense	555820	DA Virtual Energy	
501300	Fuel -Tires		·	555840	DA Reg-Up	
501401	Ops Mtls-Fuel Handling	548	Included:	555850	DA Reg-Down	
501607	Fuel Adm E Trader Commission	548202	Ammonia Expense	555860	DA Spinning	
			•	555870	DA Supplemental	
501	Excluded:	447	Included:	555880	DA Other PP Expense	
501011	Conv & Seminar-Fuel	447113	Gen Ark Off-Sys Sale-Resale	555900	RT Asset Energy	
501400	Ops Labor-Fuel Handling	447124	Gen Ks Off-System Sale-Resale	555910	RT Non-Asset Energy	
501601	Fuel Administration -Asbury	447133	Gen Mo Off-Sys Sale-Resale	555920	RT Virtual Energy	
501604	Fuel Administration -Riverton	447143	Gen Ok Off-Sys Sales-Resale	555940	RT Reg-Up	
501605	Fuel Administration Plum Point	447810	SPP IM Revenue -AR	555950	RT Reg-Down	
		447820	SPP IM Revenue -KS	555960	RT Spinning	
547	Included:	447830	SPP IM Revenue -MO	555970	RT Supplemental	
547205	Natural Gas SLCC Tolling	447840	SPP IM Revenue -OK	555980	RT Other PP Expense	
547206	Nat Gas-Tollng SLCC Ineffectiv	447850	SPP IM Revenue	555999	Purchased Power - Net Metering [*]	
547207	Nat Gas-Tolling SLCC Effective	447860	Bilateral/Off Line Aux Revenue			
547208	Comb Turb Fuel Sales -Nat Gas	447851	MJMEUC Revenue [#]	555	Included:	
547210	Combust Turb Fuel Natural Gas	447861	MJMEUC FAC Revenue Excluding Long-Term	555990	TCR Activity	
547211	Ineffect (Gain)Loss Deriv Gas		3 3	555995	ARR Activity	
547212	Effective (Gain)Loss Deriv Gas	447	Excluded:		•	
547213	Fuel -No 2 Oil Fuel	447430	Aec -Off-Sys-Missouri		Excluded:	
547301	NonFAS133 Deriv (Gain)/Loss	447540	Oklahoma G R D A Off-System	555501	Wind Hedge - (Gain)/Loss [*]	Replaces 547302
547302	Wind Hedge (Gain)/Loss [*] DELETE	447610	Energy Imbalance -Arkansas	555502	Wind Hedge Stub Period- (Gain)/Loss [*]	1
547607	Fuel Adm E Traders Commission	447620	Energy Imbalance -Kansas		3 (- 7 11	
		447861	MJMEUC FAC Revenue Long-Term Capacity Only [#]	565	Included:	
547	Excluded:	447630	Energy Imbalance -Missouri	565413	Trans Of Electricity By Others	
547605	Fuel Adm State Line	447640	Energy Imbalance -Oklahoma	565414	SPP Fixed Chg -Native Load Exclude S1-A	
547606	Fuel Adm Energy Center		3,	565416	Non SPP Fixed Chg -Native Load	
547210	Natural Gas Fixed Transportation and Fixed Storage	457	Excluded:	565417	PP Non SPP Var -Native Load	
	O=1	457137	Ot EI RvOffSys LTFSTF PTP Trns [@]	565418	Gen Non SPP Var -Native Load	
409	la alvada da	457138	Ot EI RvOffSys NnFrm PTP Trns [@]	565419		
	Included:	457138 457141	,	303419	Off Sys Sales Trans Costs	
409115	Prov-Red Inc-PTC[*]	457141	Sch 11 NITS [@]		Fredrick de de	
444	lucal code ede	457 142 457160	Sch 11 PTP [@] Sch 1 PTP [@]	565 565414	Excluded: SPP Schedule 1-A only [@]	
411 411800	Included: Gains-Disposition Emmiss Allow	437 100	SCHIFIF [@]	565415	SPP Var Chg Schedule 12 [@]	
411000	Gains-Disposition Eminiss Allow	457	Excluded:	303413	SFF val Clig Scredule 12 [@]	
509	Included:	457 457131	Oth El Rev-Sched Sys Ctrl&Disp	575	Excluded:	
509052	Emission Allowance Exp	457131	Oth El Rev-Scried Sys Ctriadisp Ot El RvOffSys NITS Rev	575700	IM Market Facilitation, Monitor [*] [@]	
309032	Lillission Allowance Exp	457140	Oth El Rev-Off-Sys Losses	3/3/00	IN Market i adilitation, Monitor [] [@]	
		407 140	Our Erriev on Oyo Edddd	456	Included:	
				456071	Misc Elec Rev-Green Credits-AR	
				456072	Misc Elec Rev-Green Credits-KS	
				456073	Misc Elec Rev-Green Credits-MO	
				456074	Misc Elec Rev-Green Credits-OK	
F 4 4	PM to disease a second and a second			456075	REC Revenue	
rootnotes:	[*] indicates new proposed account.			456210 456230	REC Revenue - Wind [*] PTC Revenue - Wind [*]	
	[#] indicates account previously excluded from FAC. [@] indicates account Empire proposed to include and	Staff propose	es to keen excluded	456250 456250	Misc Revenue - Wind [*]	
	tel account Empire proposed to include diff	- Juli propose	S IS ISSE ONOIMUON	456260	Wind – PAYGO – FAC [*]	
				456270	Wind – Partner Contribution/Distributions – FAC [*]	
						Schodulo