Exhibit No.: Issues: Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

132

Depreciation Rosella L. Schad, P.E., C.P.A. MoPSC Staff Surrebuttal Testimony ER-2006-0314 October 6, 2006

#### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1

1

#### UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

#### SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF



NOV 1 3 2006

#### ROSELLA L. SCHAD, P.E., C.P.A.

Missourl Public Service Commission

#### KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

#### CASE NO. ER-2006-0314

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2006

\*\*Denotes Highly Confidential Information\*\*

NP

Case No(s). CL-OC Date C-16-O6 R

#### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

#### **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City ) Power & Light Company for Approval to Make ) Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service ) to Begin the Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan. )

Case No. ER-2006-0314

#### AFFIDAVIT OF ROSELLA L. SCHAD

STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss. COUNTY OF COLE )

Rosella L. Schad, of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of  $\cancel{10}$  pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.

Kosella L. Schad, P.E., C.P.A.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4 day of October 2006.

My Commission Expires August 31, 2010 Cole County Commission #06898978

1	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	ROSELLA L. SCHAD, P.E., C.P.A.
4	KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
5	CASE NO. ER-2006-0314
6	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
7	THE APPROPRIATENESS OF REVIEWING DEPRECIATION RATES
8	THE TREATMENT OF GENERATION ASSETS AS MASS PROPERTY ACCOUNTS
9	RATHER THAN LIFE SPAN ACCOUNTS
10	THE AVERAGE SERVICE LIVES FOR TRANSMISSION, DISTRIBUTION, AND
11	GENERAL ACCOUNTS
12	THE LEVEL OF NET COST OF REMOVAL
13	THE LEVEL OF INTERIM RETIREMENTS FOR THE NUCLEAR ACCOUNTS

ç

1	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY						
2	OF						
3	ROSELLA L. SCHAD, P.E., C.P.A.						
4	KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY						
5	CASE NO. ER-2006-0314						
6	Q. Please state your name and business address.						
7	A. Rosella L. Schad, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65201						
8	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?						
9	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (PSC or						
10	Commission) as an Engineer in the Engineering and Management Services Department.						
11	Q. Are you the same Rosella L. Schad who has previously filed direct testimony						
12	on behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) in this proceeding?						
13	A. Yes.						
14	Q. Please provide a summary of your surrebuttal testimony.						
15	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY						
16	A. I will respond to the rebuttal testimony of Kansas City Power & Light (KCPL						
17	or Company) witness Don A. Frerking. I will clarify statements Mr. Frerking made regarding						
18	depreciation. A summary of the concerns raised by his statements are:						
19	1) The appropriateness of reviewing depreciation rates.						
20	2) The treatment of generation assets as mass property accounts rather than						
21	life span accounts.						

.

5

I

i

i

ł

I.

2

ł

1

i

1	3) The average service lives for Transmission, Distribution, and General						
2	Accounts.						
3	4) The level of net cost of removal, and						
4	5) The level of interim retirements for the nuclear accounts.						
5	THE APPROPRIATENESS OF REVIEWING DEPRECIATION RATES						
6	Q. Mr. Frerking states on page 15, line 7, of his rebuttal testimony:						
7 8	However, while review of depreciation rates is generally part of a rate proceeding, the Company does not believe it is appropriate in this case.						
9	Do you agree with this statement?						
10	A. No. As I stated on page 5, line 4, of my direct testimony, "The purpose of						
11	depreciation in a regulatory setting is to recover the cost of capital assets allocated rationally						
12	over the asset's useful lives."						
13	In order to establish proper useful lives for the Company's plant assets, it is necessary						
14	to perform a depreciation study. Thus, it is appropriate for Staff to perform a depreciation						
15	study and set depreciation rates based on the results of that study.						
16	Q. Did the Stipulation and Agreement (S&A) from KCPL Case No.						
17	EO-2005-0329 specifically allow for parties to request additional changes in depreciation						
18	rates that may result from depreciation studies?						
19	A. Yes. Page 32, line 9, of the S&A in KCPL Case No. EO-2005-0329, states:						
20 21 22 23	Paragraph III.B.1.i does not preclude KCPL, or any other party from requesting that this amortization be directed toward specific plant accounts or from requesting additional changes in depreciation rates that may result from depreciation studies.						
24	Q. Would you summarize Staff's position on the appropriateness of reviewing						
25	depreciation rates at this time?						

-

ĩ

ļ

ļ

1

i

1	A. Yes. Staff's position is that a review of depreciation rates is necessary to						
2	determine the appropriate level of annual depreciation expense for the Company.						
3	THE TREATMENT OF GENERATION ASSETS AS MASS PROPERTY ACCOUNTS						
4	RATHER THAN LIFE SPAN ACCOUNTS						
5	Q. Mr. Frerking states on page 17, line 4, of his rebuttal testimony:						
6 7 8 9	If the Staff study did not incorporate lifespan analysis for the generation accounts, Ms. Schad has misapplied the generation retirement data that the Company provided and has not followed standard depreciation principles with regard to generation assets.						
10	Staff has advocated the fitting of Iowa curves to the mortality data of the generation						
11	accounts, just as with the mass property accounts. Staff's position on this reflects the						
12	situation of uncertainty of retirement dates of generation facilities. In the Company's 2005						
13	Depreciation Study, a footnote asserts:						
14 15 16 17	The lifespan and retirement date estimates listed above are for capital recovery purpose only and do not necessarily represent retirement date expectations for the Company's generation planning nor general corporate planning purposes.						
18	Has the Commission addressed this concern lately?						
19	A. Yes, in the Report and Order from The Empire District Electric Company						
20	Case No. ER-2002-0570, page 51, line 4, the Commission stated:						
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	The record shows that generation plants tend to remain in service indefinitely under present conditions and that this is likely to continue to be the case in the future. For these reasons, the Commission will reject the reduced service lives sponsored by Empire in favor of the longer lives produced through the use of Iowa Curves as advocated by Staff and Public Counsel. The Commission concludes that the estimated retirement dates relied upon by Roff are simply not persuasive.						

t

1 О. Does Staff have any basis to conclude that KCPL's retirement dates relied 2 upon by Mr. Frerking in the Company's 2005 Depreciation Study are any more persuasive 3 than the Empire District Electric Company's retirement dates for its generation plant? 4 A. \*\* No. In the Company's 2006 Capacity Balance Spreadsheet Response 5 attached to this testimony as Schedule 1, the Company did not present any plans to eliminate 6 capacity, a condition that shows retirement of plant(s) is not imminent. \*\* 7 Q. Would you summarize Staff's position on the treatment of generation assets as 8 mass property accounts rather than life span accounts? 9 Α. Yes. Staff's position is that absent a verifiable retirement schedule for specific 10 generation assets, it is more appropriate to treat the generation assets as mass property 11 accounts than life span accounts. 12 THE AVERAGE SERVICE LIVES FOR TRANSMISSION, DISTRIBUTION, AND 13 **GENERAL ACCOUNTS** Q. 14 Mr. Frerking states on page 18, line 22, of his rebuttal testimony: 15 In order to check the reasonableness of Staff's curve matches, I plotted Staff's proposed curve matches against the observed life data in the 16 Company's last depreciation study. 17 18 Have you compared the observed life data in the Company's last depreciation study 19 and the Staff's depreciation study? 20 A. Yes. I have provided the Company's (Mr. Frerking's) observed life data and 21 graph from their last depreciation study attached as Schedule 2, and Staff's observed life data 22 and graph from Staff's depreciation study attached as Schedule 3, for Account 358, 23 Transmission Underground Conductors and Devices. Staff's study examined historical data 24 up to and including year 2005. Staff's Survivor Curve plotting Percent Surviving on the

1 vertical axis and Age in Years on the horizontal axis does not produce the same data 2 dispersion Mr. Frerking presents as his results in his last depreciation study or in his rebuttal 3 testimony on Schedule DAF-9, page 2 of 11, attached as Schedule 4. The observed life data 4 and graph from Mr. Frerking's study does not represent the account's observed life data and graph as of December 31, 2005, from Staff's study. Staff's study more accurately depicts the 5 6 current survival history, whereas Mr. Frerking's study does not. I reviewed the Company's 7 Original Life Table, attached as Schedule 2, and Staff's Original Life Table, attached as 8 Schedule 3. Most notably one can see where the entries for exposures differ: A comparison 9 of the two tables indicate that Mr. Frerking's data is approximately five years short; i.e., the 10 point at which EXPOSURES drop below \$1 million occurs at AGE 37.5 years for 11 Mr. Frerking's analysis and at AGE 42.5 years for Staff's analysis. I examined this account, 12 shorting the experience band incrementally by a one year period starting with the most recent year of 2005 data, and continuing for a total of five years, as shown in Schedule 5. The 13 14 survivor curve with experience up to and including year 2000 data only, seems to produces the survivor curve Mr. Frerking produced in his study and, subsequently, in his rebuttal 15 16 testimony to use as a reasonable check against which he judged Staff's study. Mr. Frerking's 17 conclusion that major flaws exist with regard to Staff's study is wrong. In fact, Mr. Frerkings 18 study appears to lack validity.

- Q. On the basis of the historical data Mr. Frerking selected to use, what was
  Mr. Frerking's best mathematical fit curve and best visual fit curve for Account 358?
- A. As shown in Schedule 6 attached to this testimony, his best mathematical fit
  curve was a 72 year Average Service Life (ASL) and his best visual fit curve was a 45 ASL.
- 23

Q. Mr. Frerking states on page 19, line 4, of his rebuttal testimony:

1

2 3

4

The results of these questionable curve matches are average service lives for many of these accounts that are approximately 10-20 years too long.

Do you agree with this statement?

5 No. As noted earlier, Mr. Frerking's observed life data and graph do not Α. 6 represent the account's current survival history and, thus, are incomplete. Based on current 7 historical data, Staff recommended an ASL of 60 years attached as Schedule 7. Staff's best 8 mathematical fit curve was 72.1 years as shown in Schedule 3. The selection of an ASL of 9 60 years was a better visual fit to the observed life data and, if anything, could be considered 10 somewhat short rather than 10-20 years too long. I have provided a graph attached as Schedule 8, depicting Staff's best mathematical fit curve of IOWA 72.1 L2.5 and Staff's 11 recommended curve IOWA 60 L4, demonstrating the fitting of the curves to the data 12 dispersion. Mr. Frerking choice to recommend a 45 ASL for this account is almost a 30 year 13 14 reduction from his best mathematical fit curve of 72 ASL. Mr. Frerking's selection of a 15 45 ASL, in and of itself, can frame Staff's selection as 10-20 years too long, even without 16 consideration for Mr. Frerking's failure to analyze the account's current survival history.

Staff's average service lives for the Transmission, Distribution, and General accounts
reflect the most current examination of the Company's mortality data, including retirements
and additions through year 2005.

Q. Would you summarize Staff's position on the average service lives for
 Transmission, Distribution, and General accounts?

A. Yes. Staff's position is that the average service lives from Staff's depreciation study are based on the most current historical data available through year-end 2005, and take into consideration current retirement and addition activity, as well as current developments and informed judgment.

1

2

3

4 5

6

#### THE LEVEL OF NET COST OF REMOVAL

Q. Mr. Frerking states on page 20, line 20, of his rebuttal testimony:

The result of Ms. Schad's 'correction' significantly overstates the net salvage rates that have been proposed by the Staff to be included in the depreciation rate calculations.

Do you agree with this statement?

7 No. Staff's net cost of removal percentages in the depreciation rates, as Α. 8 attached in Schedule 9, provide for \$4,227,417 net cost of removal annually. Based on an 9 actual average annual net cost of removal expense (for the ten years 1996-2005) of \$679,893, 10 as shown in Schedule 10 of this testimony. Staff's annual depreciation expense is providing 11 for over six times the average annual amount spent over the last ten years. Significantly 12 overstating the net salvage rates would create a situation where the Company's annual net 13 cost of removal expense was not covered by the depreciation rate allowance and based on the 14 last ten years of cost of removal and gross salvage data that is simply not the case.

Q. Did Staff calculate, with respect to Mass Property Accounts, traditional accrualof net salvage?

A. Yes. Staff's calculation for net cost of removal was in accordance with the Commission's Report and Order from a recent Empire District Electric case. In the Report and Order from Empire Case No. ER-2002-0570, page 55, line 4, the Commission stated, "As in the <u>Laclede</u> case cited above, it is the Commission's conclusion that, with respect to Mass Property, traditional accrual of Net Salvage is required." To address continuing concerns of the level of net cost of removal, Staff recommends that KCPL keep a separate tracking mechanism.

24

Q. Has the Commission addressed a tracking mechanism lately?

1	A. Yes. On page 21, in the Third Report and Order issued January 11, 2005, from
2	Laclede Case No. GR-99-315, The Commission stated: "That Laclede Gas Company shall
3	keep a separate accounting of its amounts accrued for recovery of its initial investment in
4	plant from the amounts accrued for the cost of removal."
5	Q. Would you summarize Staff's position on the level of net cost of removal?
6	A. Yes. Staff calculated depreciation rates using the traditional accrual of net
7	salvage. Staff's level of net cost of removal in annual depreciation expense is over six times
8	the average annual net cost of removal incurred over the last ten years. Staff recommends
9	KCPL keep a separate accounting of its amounts accrued for recovery of its initial investment

10 in plant form the amounts accrued for the cost of removal.

#### 11 THE LEVEL OF INTERIM RETIREMENTS FOR THE NUCLEAR ACCOUNTS

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

Q. Mr. Frerking states on page 18, line 3, of his rebuttal testimony:

Staff's study suggests that the average service life for the nuclear accounts should be 59.5 years. In order to have an average service life of 59.5 years, one would have to assume that there have been no retirements in the past in these nuclear accounts, and that there will be <u>no</u> retirements of existing plant in these nuclear accounts in the future until the final retirement of the whole plant at the end of the assumed extended operating license.

20 Do you agree with this statement?

A. No. Page 24, line 15, of the S&A for KCPL Case No. EO-2005-0329, states:

22 "Upon the effective date of this Agreement, KCPL will begin recording depreciation expense

23 for the Wolf Creek Nuclear Generating Station based on a 60-year life span." The Wolf

24 Creek nuclear unit was originally licensed for 40 years. Per the Report and Order in KCPL

25 Case Nos. EO-85-185 and EO-85-224, page 208, "Accordingly the Commission finds that the

26 Wolf Creek depreciation accrual rate shall be 2.60 percent." A depreciation life rate of 2.5%

Surrebuttal testimony of

Rosella L. Schad, P.E., C.P.A.

1 for the 40-year lifespan plus and interim retirement rate of 0.1 percent made up the 2.60%. In 2 KCPL Case No. EO-94-199, the depreciation rates for the Wolf Creek accounts were changed 3 to just over 3% (approximately a 0.53% adjustment from the life depreciation rate of 2.5%). 4 After 20 years of a 40-year lifespan, the depreciation reserve for Wolf Creek is 51.5 % of 5 plant balance (\$387,126,235 of \$751,882,142 on a Missouri jurisdictional allocated basis). In 6 order to not overcollect over the next 40 years remaining in the 60 year lifespan, an ASL of 80 7years (depreciation rate of 1.25%) needs to be used to arrive at the 60-year life span required 8 from Case No.EO-2005-0329. With the depreciation reserve at 51.5% of plant balance, an 9 adjustment for interim retirements of 0.43% (0.53%- 0.1%) was added to the life rate of 10 1.25%, producing a 1.68% depreciation rate. This equates to a 59.5 ASL to be used in the 11 depreciation rate formula to arrive at the proper amount of depreciation expense over the

12 60-year lifespan.

Q. Would you summarize Staff's position on interim retirements for nuclearplants?

A. Yes. Staff included a level of interim retirements in the nuclear account depreciation rate equal to 0.43%. As the plant is half-way through a 40-year license, and an extension of 20 years is anticipated to the operating license, a 60-year lifespan has been adopted. Staff's interim retirement rate is higher than the 0.1% ordered in 1985, but should allow for retirement activity through the life extension. Future analysis of any change to this interim retirement rate for the nuclear plant accounts may be warranted as circumstances necessitate.

Q. What is Staff's view regarding the appropriateness of its recommendeddepreciation rates?

A. Staff conducted a depreciation study of the Company's capital assets and based on the mortality characteristics determined in the study, net cost of removal experience, current developments, and informed judgment, Staff determined the appropriate depreciation rates and annual depreciation expense, which should be included in the revenue requirement for the Company. Staff recommends that the Commission order the depreciation rates proposed in Schedule 2 of my direct testimony.

7

8

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes.

### SCHEDULE 1 HAS BEEN DEEMED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL IN ITS ENITIRETY



ł

Kansas City Power Light Company

EOY 2004 Depreciation Study

ملكان والاجامار المنار والمسارية المراجر والمراجر

and standing



DV05

#### OBSERVED LIFE TABLE

AGE IN YEARS	EXPOSURES (1)	ACTUAL RETIREMENTS (\$)	RETIREMENT RATIOS	SURVIVORS RATIOS	OBSERVED
68.5	1		-	1.00000000	0.00002020
69.5	1	-		1,00000000	0.00002020
70.5	. 1	•	•	1.00000000	0.00002020
71.5	1	•	•	1.00000000	0.00002020
72.5	1	-	-	1.00000000	0.00002020
73.5	1		-	1.00000000	0.00002020
74.5	1	-	•	1.00000000	0.00002020
· 75.5	1	•		1.00000000	0.00002020
78.5	į	•	-	1.00000000	0.00002020
77.5	i	•	•	1.00000000	0.00002020
78.5	i	-	•	1.00000000	0.00002020
79.5	i	-	-	1.00000000	0.00002020
TOTAL		310 314			43,82235458

#### . Schedule RLS 2-2

- <del>.</del>

Page 2 of 2

3/30/2005, 11:12 AM

Kansas City Power Light Company

#### OBSERVED RETIREMENT SURVIVORS RETIREMENTS AGE **EXPOSURES** RATIOS LIFE TABLE IN YEARS (\$) RATIOS (\$) 0.99999273 1.00000000 2,889,43 2 0.00000727 Ð 0.99656607 0.99999273 2,795,918 9,601 0.00343393 0.5 0.99655882 11,603 0.00416428 0.99583572 1.5 2,786,317 2.5 3.5 1.385 0.00049915 0.99950085 0.99240887 2,774,714 0.00320914 0.99679086 0.99191351 8,900 2,773,329 0.00107111 0.99892889 0.98873032 2.961 4.5 2,764,429 0.99984501 0.98767129 0.00015499 428 5.5 2,781,468 1.00000000 0.98751821 6.5 2,811,925 0.98751821 1.00000000 7.5 2,629,191 0.98751821 1.00000000 8.5 2,629,191 0.98751821 595 0.00022631 0.99977369 9.5 2,629,191 0.98729473 5 2,628,596 4,869 0.00185232 0.99814768 10.5 0.98548594 1.00000000 2,623,727 11.5 0.98546594 1.00000000 2.623,727 12.5 0.98546594 0.00059534 0.99940466 2,623,727 1,562 13.5 0.00428007 0.99571993 0.98487926 2.641.777 11,307 14.5 0.98066390 0.00139076 0.99860924 2,630,942 3,659 15.5 1.00000000 0.97930004 16.5 2.679.745 1.00000000 0.97930004 17.5 2,697,291 1.00000000 0.97930004 18.5 2,712,749 1.00000000 0.97930004 19.5 2,712,749 0.97930004 1.00000000 20.5 2,712,749 1.00000000 0 97930004 21.5 2,712,749 0.97930004 1.00000000 2,712,749 22.5 0.97930004 1.00000000 2,712,749 23.5 0.00805825 0.99194175 0.97930004 21,860 24.5 2.712.749 1.00000000 0.97140860 25.5 2,707,480 1.00000000 0.97140860 2,707,480 26.5 243 0.00008824 0.99991176 0.97140860 2,753,960 27.5 1.00000000 0.97132288 28.5 2.753.718 0.97132288 1.00000000 29.5 2,751,627 0.97132288 1.00000000 30.5 2,252,872 0.98559696 0.97132288 23,037 0.01440304 31.5 1,599,454 0.95733288 1.00000000 32.5 1,576,417 33.5 1,576,417 19,389 0.01228672 0.98771328 0.95733288 0.99007223 0.94557040 1,557,047 15.458 0.00992777 34.5 35.5 1,137,237 53,774 0.04728478 0.95271522 0.93618299 0.02677895 0.97322105 0.89191579 1,083,463 29,014 36.5 1.00000000 0.86803122 37.5 1,054,448 0.90403645 0.86803122 43,785 0.09596355 456.267 38.5 1.00000000 0.78473187 39.5 412,482 0.04560210 0.95439790 0.78473187 18.810 40.5 412,481 0.86181691 0.74894644 0.13818309 41.5 37,074 5,123 0.64545471 1.00000000 42.5 31,951 0.64545471 0.07674251 0.92325749 43.5 31,951 2.452 1.00000000 0.59592089 44.5 29,499 0.07739245 0 92260755 0.59592089 45.5 29,499 2,283 1.00000000 0.54980111 46.5 27,218 0.98262052 0.54980111 47.5 27,216 26,743 0.01737948 1.00000000 0.00955526 48.5 473 1.00000000 0.00955526 49.5 473 50.5 473 1.00000000 0.00955526 1.00000000 0.00955526 51.5 473 1.00000000 0.00955526 52.5 473 1.00000000 0.00955526 53.5 473 0.00211416 0.00955526 0.99788584 54.5 472 473 0.00002020 1.00000000 55.5 1 0.00002020 1.00000000 56.5 1.00000000 0.00002020 57.5 1.00000000 0.00002020 58.5 1.00000000 0.00002020 59.5 0.00002020 60.5 1.00000000

OBSERVED LIFE TABLE

ACTUAL

Schedule RLS 2-3

0V05

61.5

82.5

63.5

64.5

65.5

<del>8</del>6.5

67.5

Page 1 of 2

1.00000000

1.00000000

1.00000000

1,00000000

1.00000000

1.00000000

1.00000000

0.00002020

0.00002020

0.00002020

0.00002020

0.00002020

0.00002020

0.00002020

3/30/2005, 11:12 AM

6 Schedule RLS 3-1 i ] <sup>2</sup> KANSAS CITY POWER & LICHT RCCOUNT 358 Underpround Conductors & Devices ORICINAL AND SMOOTH SLAVIVOR CURVES ORICINAL CURVE: X 1949-2005 EXPERIENCE: 132D-2000 PLACEMENTS ŝ ğ ន 8 2 AGE IN YEARS 9 SD XXXXX ×xx<sub>xxx</sub> Ş 8 ຊ 멅 0 8 8 ደ 8 ង Ş R 2 2 REBCENT SUBVIVING

- ----

··· --

#### KCPL

PROGRAM OPTIONS IN EFFECT:

i

MAXIMUM DATA FILE EXPERIENCE BAND	1948-2005
PERFORM CURVE FITTING ROUTINE	YES
TRAN CODES INCLUDED AS RETIREMENTS	0
DEVELOP ENDING BALANCES FROM DATA FILE	YES

--- -

KCPL
------

.....

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### INPUT CONTROL TOTALS THROUGH 2005

TRAN		T O T A L	INPUT	DATA
CODE		AGED	UNAGED	TOTAL
0	319,	314.00-		319,314.00-
3	76,	,504.00-		76,504.00-
9	3,218,	,539.00		3,218,539.00
TOTAL E	DATA 2,822,	,721.00		2,822,721.00
8	2,822	,721.00		2,822,721.00

KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

AVG AGE RI	ET 31.7		P	LACEMENT	ANALYSIS	
PLACEMENT	BAND 1920-2000		EXPERIEN	CE BAND	1948-2005	
AGE AT	EXPOSURES AT	RETIREMENT	5		PCT SURV	
BEGIN OF	BEGINNING OF	DURING AGE	RETMT	SURV	BEGIN OF	
INTERVAL	AGE INTERVAL	INTERVAL	RATIO	RATIO	INTERVAL	
0.0	3,218,539	21	0.0000	1.0000	100.00	
0.5	3,244,852	9,601	0.0030	0.9970	100.00	
1.5	3,713,407	11,603	0.0031	0.9969	99.70	
2.5	3,704,319	1,385	0.0004	0.9996	99.39	
3.5	3,700,845	8,900	0.0024	0.9976	99.35	
4.5	3 <b>,6</b> 65 <b>,</b> 533	2,961	0.0008	0.9992	99.11	
5.5	3,506,720	428	0.0001	0.9999	99.03	
6.5	3,506,292		0.0000	1.0000	99.02	
7.5	3,515,865		0.0000	1.0000	99.02	
8.5	3,290,025		0.0000	1.0000	99.02	
9.5	3,305,064	595	0.0002	0.9998	99.02	
10.5	3,348,504	4,869	0.0015	0.9985	99.00	
11.5	3,555,621		0.0000	1.0000	98.85	
12.5	2,943,196		0.0000	1.0000	·98.85	
13.5	2,943,196	1,562	0.0005	0.9995	98.85	
14.5	2,926,595	11,307	0.0039	0.9961	98.80	
15.5	2,872,213	3,659	0.0013	0.9987	98.41	
16.5	2,648,491		0.0000	1.0000	98.28	
17.5	2,648,491		0.0000	1.0000	98.28	
18.5	2,689,804		0.0000	1.0000	98.28	
19.5	2,689,804		0.0000	1.0000	98.28	
20.5	2,689,804		0.0000	1.0000	98.28	
21.5	2,764,516		0.0000	1.0000	98.28	
22.5	2,764,516		0.0000	1.0000	98.28	
23.5	2,764,516		0.0000	1.0000	98.28	
24.5	2,764,516	21,860	0.0079	0.9921	98.28	
25.5	2,742,656		0.0000	1.0000	97.50	
26.5	2,762,389		0.0000	1.0000	97.50	
27.5	2,762,861	243	0.0001	0.9999	97.50	
28.5	2,815,445		0.0000	1.0000	97.49	
29.5	2,898,426		0.0000	1.0000	97.49	
30.5	2,913,884		0.0000	1.0000	97.49	
31.5	2,940,296	23,037	0.0078	0.9922	97.49	
32.5	2,835,019		0.0000	1.0000	96.73	
33.5	2,835,019	19,369	0.0068	0.9932	96.73	
34.5	2,795,705	15,458	0.0055	0.9945	96.07	
35.5	2,281,292	53,7/4	0.0236	0.9/64	95.54	
36.5	1,54/,88/	29,014	0.018/	n.ag13	93.29	
37.5	1,536,242	10 705	0.0000	1.0000	AT 22	
38.5	1,496,51/	43,785	0.0293	0.9/07	91.55	

KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

AVG AGE RET 31./ PLACEMENT BAND 1920-2000		P	LACEMENT	ANALYSIS
FIACEMENT DAND 1920-2000		CAI DIVIEN	CE BAND	1940-2005
AGE AT EXPOSURES AT	RETIREMENT	'S		PCT SURV
BEGIN OF BEGINNING OF	DURING AGE	RETMT	SURV	BEGIN OF
INTERVAL AGE INTERVAL	INTERVAL	RATIO	RATIO	INTERVAL
39.5 1,497,253		0.0000	1.0000	88.87
40.5 1,092,900	18,810	0.0172	0.9828	88.87
41.5 1,074,090	5,123	0.0048	0.9952	87.34
42.5 1,064,124		0.0000	1.0000	86.92
43.5 391,230	2,452	0.0063	0.9937	86.92
44.J J80,098	. 2 202	0.0000	1.0000	00.37
46.5 27.216	2,203	0.00009	1 0000	85.86
47.5 27.216	26.743	0.9826	0.0174	85.86
48.5 473	,	0.0000	1.0000	1.49
40 E 472		0 0000	1 0000	1 40
47.5 473		0.0000	1 0000	1.49
51.5 473		0.0000	1.0000	1.49
52.5 473		0.0000	1.0000	1.49
53.5 473		0.0000	1.0000	1.49
54.5 473	472	0.9979	0.0021	1.49
55.5 1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
56.5				0.00
57.5				
58.5 I		0.0000		
59.5 <b>1</b>		0.0000		
60.5 1		0.0000		
		0.0000		
		0.0000		
64 5 1		0.0000		
65.5 1		0.0000		
66.5 1		0.0000		
67.5 1		0.0000		
68.5 1		0.0000		
69.5 1		0.0000		
70.5 1		0.0000		
71.5 1		0.0000		
72.5 1		0.0000		
73.5 1		0.0000		
74.D 1		0.0000		
765 I		0.0000		
77.5 1		0.0000		
78.5 1		0.0000		

÷.

ļ

)

۰*۲*۰۰

-

-- -

#### KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

AVG AGE RE	AVG AGE RET 31.7 PLACEMENT ANALYSIS						
PLACEMENT	BAND 1920-2000	E	XPERIENC	CE BAND	1948-2005		
AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL		
79.5 80.5 81.5 82.5 83.5 84.5 85.5	1 1 1 1 1		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000				
TOTAL	122,498,446	319,314					

KCPL

## ACCOUNT 358.00

SUMMARY OF	CURVE	FITTING	RESULTS	- PCT SURV	/ BALAN	CED AREAS
PLACEMENT B	AND 192	20-2000		EXPERIENC	CE BAND	1948-2005
SURVIVOR	RESID	RANGE C	)F	SURVIVOR	RESID	RANGE OF
CURVE	MEAS	FIT		CURVE	MEAS	FIT*
126.6-SO	1.94	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
103.9-S0.5	1.70	0 - 47	ז	NOT	FITTED	
85.3-S1	1.31	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
75.3-81.5	1.15	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
66.7-S2	1.27	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
61.8-52.5	1.48	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
228.7-R0.5	2.67	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
169.7-R1	2.57	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
129.5-R1.5	2.43	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
96.6-R2	2.04	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
79.3-R2.5	1.66	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
66.3-R3	1.13	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
55.0-R4	1.69	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
48.7-R5	4.34	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
198.2-LO	2.26	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
154.2-L0.5	2.12	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
117.1-L1	1.66	0 - 4	7	NOT	FITTED	
97.8-L1.5	1.46	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
80.7~L2	1.11	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
72.1-L2.5	1.10	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
64.0-L3	1.51	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
54.6-L4	2.53	0 - 47	7	NOT	FITTED	
292 6-01	2 71	$0 - 4^{2}$	7	NOT	ፍተምሞምቡ	
320 0-02	= STO	יב סרדידידים כ	2	101	111100	
320.0-03	- STO		2			
320.0-04	- 5101	·	2			
750.0-04	- 2101	· CITIIN(	2			

\* SEGMENT BETWEEN 85.0 AND 15.0 PERCENT SURVIVING.

-

ł

1

1

Schedule RLS 3-7

1



1 ļ Schedule RLS 5A-1 120 KCPL HCCOUNT 358.00 Original And Smooth Survivor Curves Original Curve: X 1949-2004 Experience: 1920-2000 Placements 110 ğ ន ൠ 2 AGE IN YEARS 60 xxxxxx ន X ××××× 9 റ്റ 20 2 0 P PERCENT SURVIVING 2 8 R 2

ł

]

ļ

|

ļ

KCPL

PROGRAM OPTIONS IN EFFECT:

i

1

!

MAXIMUM DATA FILE EXPERIENCE BAND	1948-2004
PERFORM CURVE FITTING ROUTINE	YES
TRAN CODES INCLUDED AS RETIREMENTS	0
DEVELOP ENDING BALANCES FROM DATA FILE	YES

.. . . Schedule RLS 5A-2

-----

1

KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### INPUT CONTROL TOTALS THROUGH 2004

TRAN	T O T A L	INPUT	DATA
CODE	AGED	UNAGED	TOTAL
0	319,314.00-		319,314.00-
3	76,504.00-		76,504.00-
9	3,218,539.00		3,218,539.00
TOTAL DATA	2,822,721.00		2,822,721.00
8	2,822,721.00		2,822,721.00

1

ł

#### KCPL

- -

· --

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

AVG AGE RI	ET 31.7	PLACEMENT ANALYSIS			
PLACEMENT	BAND 1920-2000		EXPERIEN	CE BAND	1948-2004
AGE AT	EXPOSURES AT	RETIREMENT	S		PCT SURV
BEGIN OF	BEGINNING OF	DURING AGE	RETMT	SURV	BEGIN OF
INTERVAL	AGE INTERVAL	INTERVAL	RATIO	RATIO	INTERVAL
0.0	3,218,539	<b>2</b> 1	0.0000	1.0000	100.00
0.5	3,244,852	9,601	0.0030	0.9970	100.00
1.5	3,713,407	11,603	0.0031	0.9969	99.70
2.5	3,704,319	1,385	0.0004	0.9996	99.39
3.5	3,700,845	8,900	0.0024	0.9976	99.35
4.5	3,538,945	2,961	0.0008	0.9992	99.11
5.5	3,506,720	428	0.0001	0.9999	99.03
6.5	3,506,292		0.0000	1.0000	99.02
7.5	3,515,865		0.0000	1.0000	99.02
8.5	3,290,025		0.0000	1.0000	99.02
9.5	3,305,064	595	0.0002	0.9998	99.02
10.5	3,348,504	4,869	0.0015	0.9985	99.00
11.5	3,372,886		0.0000	1.0000	98.85
12.5	2,943,196		0.0000	1.0000	98.85
13.5	2,943,196	1,562	0.0005	0.9995	98.85
14.5	2,926,595	11,307	0.0039	0.9961	98.80
15.5	2,872,213	3,659	0.0013	0.9987	98.41
16.5	2,648,491		0.0000	1.0000	98.28
10.5	2,648,491		0.0000	1.0000	98.28
18.5	2,689,804		0.0000	1.0000	90.20
19.5	2,689,804		0.0000	1.0000	98.28
20.5	2,689,804		0.0000	1.0000	98.28
21.5	2,764,516		0.0000	1.0000	98.28
22.5	2,764,516		0.0000	1.0000	98.28
23.5	2,764,516		0.0000	1.0000	98.28
24.5	2,764,516	21,860	0.0079	0.9921	98.28
25.5	2,742,656		0.0000	1.0000	97.50
26.5	2,762,389		0.0000	1.0000	97.50
27.5	2,762,861	243	0.0001	0.9999	97.50
28.5	2,815,445		0.0000	1.0000	97.49
29.5	2,898,426		0.0000	1.0000	97.49
30.5	2,913,884		0.0000	1.0000	97.49
31.5	2,940,296	23,037	0.0078	0.9922	97.49
32.5	2,835,019		0.0000	1.0000	96.73
33.5	2,832,930	19,369	0.0068	0.9932	96.73
34.5	2,296,750	15,458	0.0067	0.9933	90.07
35.5	1,628,073	53,174	0.0330	0.96/0	95.43
35.5	1,54/,88/	29,014	0.018/	1 0000	92.20 90 55
31.5	1,330,242	40 70E	0.0000	1.0000	90.33 00 55
20.2	1,490,31/	42,183	0.0233	0.9/0/	20.00

- - - ----

#### KCPL

- -- -- --

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

AVG AGE RI PLACEMENT	ET 31.7 BAND 1920-2000		PI EXPERIENC	LACEMENT CE BAND	ANALYSIS 1948-2004
AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENT DURING AGE INTERVAL	S RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
39.5 40.5 41.5 42.5 43.5 44.5 45.5 46.5 47.5 48.5	1,092,900 1,092,900 1,074,090 465,942 391,230 386,098 29,499 27,216 27,216 473	18,810 5,123 2,452 2,283 26,743	0.0000 0.0172 0.0048 0.0000 0.0063 0.0000 0.0774 0.0000 0.9826 0.0000	1.0000 0.9828 0.9952 1.0000 0.9937 1.0000 0.9226 1.0000 0.0174 1.0000	87.90 87.90 86.39 85.98 85.98 85.44 85.44 78.83 78.83 78.83 1.37
49.5 50.5 51.5 52.5 53.5 54.5 55.5 56.5 57.5 58.5	473 473 473 473 473 473 473 1	472	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.9979	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.0021	1.37 1.37 1.37 1.37 1.37 1.37 0.00
59.5 60.5 61.5 62.5 63.5 64.5 65.5 66.5 67.5 68.5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000		
69.5 70.5 71.5 72.5 73.5 74.5 75.5 76.5 77.5 78.5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000		

• •

Schedule RLS 5A-6

•

-----

# KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

----

AVG AGE RI PLACEMENT	ET 31.7 BAND 1920-2000	E	PL XPERIENC	ACEMENT E BAND	ANALYSIS 1948-2004	
AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL	
79.5 80.5 81.5 82.5 83.5 84.5	1 1 1 1 1		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000			
TOTAL	119,675,725	319,314				

#### KCPL

7

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

SUMMARY OF	CURVE	FITTING RESULT	TS - PCT SURV	/ BALANG	CED AREAS
PLACEMENT BA	AND 192	0-2000	EXPERIENC	CE BAND	1948-2004
SURVIVOR	RESID	RANGE OF	SURVIVOR	RESID	RANGE OF
CURVE	MEAS	FIT	CURVE	MEAS	FIT*
124.1-50	2.15	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
101.8-S0.5	1.91	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
83.6-S1	1.50	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
73.8-S1.5	1.30	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
65.3-S2	1.29	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
60.5-S2.5	1.42	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
56.2-S3	1.99	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
224.2-R0.5	2.87	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
166.3-R1	2.77	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
127.0-R1.5	2.63	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
94.7-R2	2.26	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
77.7-R2.5	1.87	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
64.9-R3	1.29	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
53.8-R4	1.60	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
47.7-R5	4.10	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
194.3-LO	2.47	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
151.2-L0.5	2.33	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
114.8-L1	1.87	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
95.8-L1.5	1.67	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
79.0-L2	1.25	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
70.6-L2.5	1.18	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
62.7-L3	1.43	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
53.5-L4	2.35	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
287.0-01	2.91	0 - 46	NOT	FITTED	
320.0-02	= STOP	? FITTING			
320.0-03	= STOR	P FITTING			
320.0-04	= STOR	? FITTING			

\* SEGMENT BETWEEN 85.0 AND 15.0 PERCENT SURVIVING.

Schedule RLS 5A-7

...

7

. ..<del>.</del> -

Schedule RLS 5B-1 120 KCPL RCCOLNT 359,00 Original And Smooth Survivor Curves Original Curves X 1940-2003 Experience, 1920-2000 Placements 5 B ទ ŝ 2 60 AGE IN YEARS ××××××× 3 ž ××××× ş ສ 8 2 0 8 8 2 В 2 10

Ŧ

ļ

:

ł

1

Ì

ļ

į

ļ

ļ

1

1

----

١

KCPL

PROGRAM OPTIONS IN EFFECT:

T

T

T

1

i

٠

MAXIMUM DATA FILE EXPERIENCE BAND	1948-2003
PERFORM CURVE FITTING ROUTINE	YES
TRAN CODES INCLUDED AS RETIREMENTS	0
DEVELOP ENDING BALANCES FROM DATA FILE	YES

KCPL

### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### INPUT CONTROL TOTALS THROUGH 2003

TRAN	T O T A L	INPUT	D A T A
CODE	AGED	UNAGED	TOTAL
0	319,314.00-		319,314.00-
3	76,504.00-		76,504.00-
9	3,218,539.00		3,218,539.00
TOTAL DAT	00 וכל 2822 בי		2.822.721.00
101112 0111	A 270227721.00		2,022,721.00
8	2,822,721.00		2,822,721.00

÷

ļ

ļ

1

1

| | !

ł

1

Schedule RLS 5B-3

- -

-.

#### KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

i

I.

|

.....

Í

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

AVG AGE R PLACEMENT	ET 31.7 BAND 1920-2000		PI EXPERIENC	LACEMENT CE BAND	ANALYSIS 1948-2003
AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENT DURING AGE INTERVAL	S RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
0.0 0.5 1.5 2.5 3.5 4.5 5.5 6.5 7.5 8.5	3,218,539 3,244,852 3,713,407 3,704,319 3,574,257 3,538,945 3,506,720 3,506,292 3,515,865 3,290,025	21 9,601 11,603 1,385 8,900 2,961 428	0.0000 0.0030 0.0031 0.0025 0.0008 0.0001 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 0.9970 0.9969 0.9996 0.9975 0.9992 0.9999 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	100.00 100.00 99.70 99.39 99.35 99.10 99.02 99.01 99.01 99.01
9.5 10.5 11.5 12.5 13.5 14.5 15.5 16.5 17.5 18.5	3,305,064 3,165,769 3,372,886 2,943,196 2,943,196 2,926,595 2,872,213 2,648,491 2,648,491 2,689,804	595 4,869 1,562 11,307 3,659	0.0002 0.0015 0.0000 0.0005 0.0039 0.0013 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.9998 0.9985 1.0000 0.9995 0.9961 0.9987 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	99.01 98.99 98.84 98.84 98.84 98.79 98.40 98.27 98.27 98.27
19.5 20.5 21.5 22.5 23.5 24.5 25.5 26.5 27.5 28.5	2,689,804 2,689,804 2,764,516 2,764,516 2,764,516 2,764,516 2,742,656 2,762,389 2,762,861 2,815,445	21,860 243	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0001 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9921 1.0000 1.0000 0.9999 1.0000	98.27 98.27 98.27 98.27 98.27 98.27 98.27 97.49 97.49 97.49 97.49
29.5 30.5 31.5 32.5 33.5 34.5 35.5 36.5 37.5 38.5	2,898,426 2,913,884 2,940,296 2,832,930 2,333,975 1,643,531 1,628,073 1,547,887 1,536,242 1,092,164	23,037 19,369 15,458 53,774 29,014 43,785	0.0000 0.0078 0.0000 0.0083 0.0094 0.0330 0.0187 0.0000 0.0401	1.0000 1.0000 0.9922 1.0000 0.9917 0.9906 0.9670 0.9813 1.0000 0.9599	97.48 97.48 97.48 96.72 96.72 95.92 95.02 91.88 90.16 90.16

#### KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

AVG AGE RI PLACEMENT	ET 31.7 BAND 1920-2000		P EXPERIEN	LACEMENT CE BAND	ANALYSIS 1948-2003
AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENT DURING AGE INTERVAL	S RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
39.5 40.5 41.5 42.5 43.5 44.5 45.5 46.5 47.5 48.5	1,092,900 1,092,900 475,908 465,942 391,230 29,499 29,499 27,216 27,216 473	18,810 5,123 2,452 2,283 26,743	0.0000 0.0172 0.0108 0.0000 0.0063 0.0000 0.0774 0.0000 0.9826 0.0000	1.0000 0.9828 0.9892 1.0000 0.9937 1.0000 0.9226 1.0000 0.0174 1.0000	86.54 85.05 84.13 84.13 83.60 83.60 77.13 77.13 1.34
49.5 50.5 51.5 52.5 53.5 54.5 55.5 56.5 57.5 58.5	473 473 473 473 473 472 1 1 1	472	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 1.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.0000	1.34 1.34 1.34 1.34 1.34 1.34 0.00
59.5 60.5 61.5 62.5 63.5 64.5 65.5 66.5 67.5 68.5	1 · 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000		
69.5 70.5 71.5 72.5 73.5 74.5 75.5 76.5 76.5 77.5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000		

#### KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

AVG AGE RE	ET 31.7		PI	ACEMENT	ANALYSIS
PLACEMENT	BAND 1920-2000	Ē	XPERIENC	E BAND	1948-2003
AGE AT	EXPOSURES AT	RETIREMENTS			PCT SURV
BEGIN OF	BEGINNING OF	DURING AGE	RETMT	SURV	BEGIN OF
INTERVAL	AGE INTERVAL	INTERVAL	RATIO	RATIO	INTERVAL
79.5	1		0.0000		
80.5	1		0.0000		
81.5	1		0.0000		
82.5	1		0.0000		
83.5					
TOTAL	116,853,004	319,314			

!

ļ

KCPL

- --

ļ

ļ

ł

i.

!

ł

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

SUMMARY OF	CURVE	FITTING RESU	JLTS -	PCT SURV	BALAN	CED AREAS
PLACEMENT B	AND 192	0-2000	F	EXPERIENC	E BAND	1948-2003
SURVIVOR CURVE	RESID MEAS	RANGE OF FIT	SU	RVIVOR CURVE	RESID MEAS	RANGE OF FIT*
121.0-S0 99.3-S0.5 81.6-S1 72.0-S1.5 63.8-S2 59.1-S2.5 54.9-S3 48.9-S4	2.50 2.24 1.80 1.55 1.34 1.34 1.75 3.25	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT	FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED	
218.1-R0.5 161.9-R1 123.6-R1.5 92.3-R2 75.8-R2.5 63.4-R3 52.6-R4 46.6-R5	3.21 3.11 2.97 2.59 2.19 1.56 1.39 3.73	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT	FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED	
189.2-L0 147.3-L0.5 111.9-L1 93.4-L1.5 77.1-L2 68.9-L2.5 61.2-L3 52.3-L4 47.6-L5	2.81 2.67 2.21 1.99 1.48 1.32 1.31 2.04 3.85	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT	FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED	
279.0-01 313.6-02 320.0-03 320.0-04	3.24 3.24 = STOR = STOR	0 - 45 0 - 45 ? FITTING ? FITTING		NOT NOT	FITTED FITTED	

\* SEGMENT BETWEEN 85.0 AND 15.0 PERCENT SURVIVING.



ł

ļ

ł

ļ

ļ

į

#### KCPL

PROGRAM OPTIONS IN EFFECT:

ł

L

ļ

1

ł

÷

ì

ļ

1

MAXIMUM DATA FILE EXPERIENCE BAND	1948-2002
PERFORM CURVE FITTING ROUTINE	YES
TRAN CODES INCLUDED AS RETIREMENTS	0
DEVELOP ENDING BALANCES FROM DATA FILE	YES

KCPL
------

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### INPUT CONTROL TOTALS THROUGH 2002

TRAN	TOTAL	INPUT	DATA
CODE	AGED	UNAGED	TOTAL
0	319,314.00-		319,314.00-
3	76,504.00-		76,504.00-
9	3,218,539.00		3,218,539.00
TOTAL DAT	A 2,822,721.00		2,822,721.00
8	2,822,721.00		2,822,721.00

į

]

ļ

|

ļ

İ

**\_** . .

#### KCPL

Ξ.

ł

|

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

AVG AGE RI PLACEMENT	BAND 1920-2000		P EXPERIEN	LACEMENT	ANALYSIS
AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENT DURING AGN INTERVAL	IS E RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
0.0 0.5 1.5 2.5 3.5 4.5 5.5 6.5 7.5 8.5	3,218,539 3,244,852 3,713,407 3,577,731 3,574,257 3,538,945 3,506,720 3,506,292 3,515,865 3,290,025	21 9,601 11,603 1,385 8,900 2,961 428	0.0000 0.0030 0.0031 0.0004 0.0025 0.0008 0.0001 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 0.9970 0.9969 0.9996 0.9975 0.9992 0.9999 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	100.00 100.00 99.70 99.39 99.35 99.10 99.02 99.01 99.01 99.01
9.5 10.5 11.5 12.5 13.5 14.5 15.5 16.5 17.5 18.5	3,122,329 3,165,769 3,372,886 2,943,196 2,943,196 2,926,595 2,872,213 2,648,491 2,648,491 2,689,804	595 4,869 1,562 11,307 3,659	0.0002 0.0015 0.0000 0.0005 0.0039 0.0013 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.9998 0.9985 1.0000 1.0000 0.9995 0.9961 0.9987 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	99.01 98.99 98.84 98.84 98.84 98.79 98.40 98.27 98.27 98.27 98.27
19.5 20.5 21.5 22.5 23.5 24.5 25.5 26.5 27.5 28.5	2,689,804 2,689,804 2,764,516 2,764,516 2,764,516 2,764,516 2,742,656 2,762,389 2,762,861 2,815,445	21,860 243	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0079 0.0000 0.0000 0.0001 0.0001	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9921 1.0000 1.0000 0.9999 1.0000	98.27 98.27 98.27 98.27 98.27 98.27 98.27 97.49 97.49 97.49 97.49
29.5 30.5 31.5 32.5 33.5 34.5 35.5 36.5 37.5 38.5	2,898,426 2,913,884 2,938,207 2,333,975 1,680,756 1,643,531 1,628,073 1,547,887 1,131,889 1,092,164	23,037 19,369 15,458 53,774 29,014 43,785	0.0000 0.0078 0.0000 0.0115 0.0094 0.0330 0.0187 0.0000 0.0401	1.0000 1.0000 0.9922 1.0000 0.9885 0.9906 0.9670 0.9813 1.0000 0.9599	97.48 97.48 96.72 96.72 95.61 94.71 91.58 89.87 89.87

#### KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

AVG AGE RI PLACEMENT	ET 31.7 BAND 1920-2000		PERTEN	LACEMENT	ANALYSIS
	2000		DUT GUT DIA	CD DAND	1940-2002
AGE AT	EXPOSURES AT	RETIREMENT	'S		PCT SURV
BEGIN OF	BEGINNING OF	DURING AGE	RETMT	SURV	BEGIN OF
INTERVAL	AGE INTERVAL	INTERVAL	RATIO	RATIO	INTERVAL
39.5	1,092,900		0.0000	1.0000	86.27
40.5	494,718	18,810	0.0380	0.9620	86.27
41.5	475,908	5,123	0.0108	0.9892	82.99
42.5	465,942		0.0000	1.0000	82.09
43.5	34,631	2,452	0.0708	0.9292	82.09
44.5	29,499		0.0000	1.0000	76.28
45.5	29,499	2,283	0.0774	0.9226	76.28
46.5	27,216		0.0000	1.0000	70.38
47.5	27,216	26,743	0.9826	0.0174	70.38
48.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.22
49.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.22
50.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.22
51.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.22
52.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.22
53.5	472		0.0000	1.0000	1.22
54.5	472	472	1.0000	0.0000	1.22
55.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
56.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
57.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
58.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
59.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
60.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
61.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
62.5	1		0.0000	1. <b>00</b> 00	0.00
63.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
64.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
65.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
66.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
67.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
68.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
69.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
70.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
71.5	- 1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
72.5	. 1	Þ.	0.0000	1.0000	0.00
73.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
74.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
/5.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
/6.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
//.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
18.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00

ł

:

:

ł

i i

ļ

Schedule RLS 5C-5

- 74

æ....

· ·· - ·· - ---

#### KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

-----

AVG AGE RI PLACEMENT	ET 31.7 BAND 1920-2000	E	P XPERIEN	LACEMENT CE BAND	ANALYSIS 1948-2002
AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5 80.5 81.5 82.5	1 1 1		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
TOTAL	114,030,283	319,314	•		

÷

#### KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

SUMMAR	Y OF	CURVE	FITTING	RESULTS	-	PCT	SURV	B	ALANC	ED	ARE	AS
PLACEME	NT B	AND 192	0-2000		E	XPEF	RIENC	E	BAND	194	18-2	002
SURVIV CURV	OR E	RESID MEAS	RANGE ( FIT	DF	SL	URVIN CURN	/OR /E	R	ESID MEAS	RI	NGE FIT	OF +
120.0-5 98.3-5 80.5-5 71.0-5 62.8-5 58.1-5 54.0-5 48.0-5	50 50.5 51 51.5 52 52.5 53 54	2.74 2.50 2.06 1.79 1.48 1.36 1.54 2.79	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 6 1 1 1 9 8			NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT	FI FI FI FI FI FI	TTED TTED TTED TTED TTED TTED TTED TTED			
218.3-F 161.7-F 123.2-F 91.6-F 75.0-F 62.5-F 51.7-F 45.7-F	x0.5 x1 x1.5 x2 x2.5 x3 x4 x5	3.41 3.32 3.19 2.83 2.45 1.80 1.29 3.24	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 2 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT	FI FI FI FI FI FI	TTED TTED TTED TTED TTED TTED TTED TTED			
188.3-1 146.3-1 110.8-1 92.3-1 76.0-1 67.9-1 60.2-1 51.3-1 46.6-1	.0 .0.5 .1 .1.5 .2 .2.5 .3 .4 .5	3.04 2.90 2.46 2.25 1.71 1.51 1.28 1.78 3.44	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT	FI FI FI FI FI FI FI	TTED TTED TTED TTED TTED TTED TTED TTED			
279.4-0 314.2-0 320.0-0 320.0-0	01 02 03 04	3.45 3.45 = STOP = STOP	0 - 4 0 - 4 FITTINO FITTINO	4 3 3 3			NOT NOT	FI FI	TTED TTED			

l

ł

i

į

\* SEGMENT BETWEEN 85.0 AND 15.0 PERCENT SURVIVING.

Schedule RLS 5C-7

s...

. . .



ł

ļ

I

i

I

ł

-

KCPL

-- --

· --

. -

PROGRAM OPTIONS IN EFFECT:

. . . .

|

ļ

ł

MAXIMUM DATA FILE EXPERIENCE BAND	1948-2001
PERFORM CURVE FITTING ROUTINE	YES
TRAN CODES INCLUDED AS RETIREMENTS	0
DEVELOP ENDING BALANCES FROM DATA FILE	YES

Schedule RLS 5D-2

r - - - -

KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### INPUT CONTROL TOTALS THROUGH 2001

TRAN		TOTAL	INPUT UNDCED	DATA
CODE		AGED	UNAGED	IOIAL
0	319	,314.00-		319,314.00-
3	76	5,504.00-		76,504.00-
9	3,218	1,539.00		3,218,539.00
TOTAL	DATA 2,822	2,721.00		2,822,721.00
8	2,822	2,721.00		2,822,721.00

ī

Schedule RLS 5D-3

-----

KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

AVG AGE RET 31.7 PLACEMENT ANALYSIS					ANALYSIS
PLACEMENT	BAND 1920-2000		EXPERIEN	CE BAND	1948-2001
AGE AT	EXPOSURES AT	RETIREMENT	S		PCT SURV
BEGIN OF	BEGINNING OF	DURING AGE	RETMT	SURV	BEGIN OF
INTERVAL	AGE INTERVAL	INTERVAL	RATIO	RATIO	INTERVAL
0.0	3,218,539	21	0.0000	1.0000	100.00
0.5	3,244,852	9,601	0.0030	0.9970	100.00
1.5	3,586,819	11,603	0.0032	0.9968	99.70
2.5	3,577,731	1,385	0.0004	0.9996	99.38
3.5	3,574,257	8,900	0.0025	0.9975	99.34
4,0	3,338,945	2,961	0.0008	0.9992	99.09
5.5	3 506 292	420	0.0001	1 0000	99 00
7.5	3,515,865		0.0000	1.0000	99.00
8.5	3,107,290		0.0000	1.0000	99.00
9.5	3,122,329	595	0.0002	0.9998	99.00
10.5	3,165,769	4,869	0.0015	0.9985	98.98
11.5	3,372,886		0.0000	1.0000	98.83
12.5	2,943,196		0.0000	1.0000	98.83
13.5	2,943,196	1,562	0.0005	0.9995	98.83
14.5	2,926,595	11,307	0.0039	0.9961	98.78
15.5	2,072,213 2 6AR AQ1	2,035	0.0013	1 0000	98.25
17.5	2,648,491		0.0000	1.0000	98.26
18.5	2,689,804		0.0000	1.0000	98.26
19.5	2,689,804		0.0000	1.0000	98.26
20.5	2,689,804		0.0000	1.0000	98.26
21.5	2,764,516		0.0000	1,0000	98.26
22.5	2,764,516		0.0000	1.0000	98.26
23.5	2,764,516	01 000	0.0000	1.0000	98.26
24.5	2,104,510	21,800	0.00/9	1 0000	98.20
26.5	2,762,389		0.0000	1.0000	97.48
27.5	2,762,861	243	0.0001	0.9999	97.48
28.5	2,815,445		0.0000	1,0000	97.47
29.5	2,898,426		0.0000	1.0000	97.47
30.5	2,911,795		0.0000	1,0000	97.47
31.5	2,439,252	23,037	0.0094	0.9906	97.47
32.5	1,680,756	10 200	0.0000	1.0000	96.55
33.5 31 5	1,680;/56	19,369	0.0115	0.9000	90.00
35.5	1,628,073	53,774	0.0330	0.9670	94.54
36.5	1,143,534	29.014	0.0254	0.9746	91.42
37.5	1,131,889		0.0000	1.0000	89.10
38.5	1,092,164	43,785	0.0401	0.9599	89.10

#### KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

AVG AGE RI PLACEMENT	ET 31.7 BAND 1920-2000		P: EXPERIEN	LACEMENT CE BAND	ANALYSIS
AGE AT	EXPOSURES AT	RETIREMENT	5		PCT SURV
BEGIN OF	BEGINNING OF	DURING AGE	RETMT	SURV	BEGIN OF
INTERVAL	AGE INTERVAL	INTERVAL	RATIO	RATIO	INTERVAL
39.5	494,718		0.0000	1.0000	85.53
40.5	494,718	18,810	0.0380	0.9620	85.53
41.5	475,908	5,123	0.0108	0.9892	82.28
42.5	109,343		0.0000	1.0000	81.39
43.5	34,631	2,452	0.0708	0.9292	81.39
44.5	29,499		0.0000	1.0000	75.63
45.5	29,499	2,283	0.0774	0.9226	15.63
46.5	27,216		0.0000	1.0000	69.78
47.5	27,216	26,743	0.9826	0.0174	69.78
48.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.21
49.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.21
50.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.21
51.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.21
52.5	472		0.0000	1.0000	1.21
53.5	472	_	0.0000	1.0000	1.21
54.5	473	472	0.9979	0.0021	1.21
55.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
56.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
57.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
58.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
59.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
60.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
61.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
62.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
63.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
64.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
65.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
66.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
67.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
68.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
69.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
70.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
71.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
72.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
73.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
74.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
75.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
76.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
77.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
78.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00

.....

ļ.

Į.

ļ

l

i

i

KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

AVG AGE RE	ST 31.7		P	LACEMENT	ANALYSIS
PLACEMENT	BAND 1920-2000	E	XPERIEN	CE BAND	1948-2001
AGE AT	EXPOSURES AT	RETIREMENTS	סדיזאיז	עמוופ	PCT SURV
INTERVAL	AGE INTERVAL	INTERVAL	RATIO	RATIO	INTERVAL
79.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
80.5 81.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00 0.00
TOTAL	111,207,562	319,314			

ļ

ł

ł

ł

ł

Schedule RLS 5D-6

·····

#### KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

SUMMARY OF	CURVE	FITTING RESULT.	S - PCT SURV	7 BALANO	CED AREAS
PLACEMENT BA	AND 192	0-2000	EXPERIENC	E BAND	1948-2001
SURVIVOR	RESID	RANGE OF	SURVIVOR	RESID	RANGE OF
CURVE	MEAS	FIT	CURVE	MEAS	FIT*
117.5-80	2.90	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
96.5-50.5	2.65	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
79.4-S1	2.18	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
70.1-51.5	1.90	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
62.2-S2	1.54	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
57.6-S2.5	1.40	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
53.6-53	1.55	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
47.7-54	2.87	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
210.9-R0.5	3.60	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
156.6-R1	3.50	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
119.7-R1.5	3.36	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
89.6-R2	2.98	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
73.7-R2.5	2.58	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	-
61.7-R3	1.90	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
51.3-R4	1.31	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
45.5-R5	3.29	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
183 5-10	3.21	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
142.9-L0.5	3.07	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
108.8-11	2.61	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
90.9-L1.5	2.38	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
75.1-12	1.81	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
67.2-12.5	1.59	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
59.7-1.3	1.31	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
51.0-L4	1.78	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	,
46.4-L5	3,50	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
• · · ·					
269.7-01	3.64	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
303.1-02	3.64	0 - 44	NOT	FITTED	
320.0-03	= STOE	FITTING			
320.0-04	= STOR	FITTING			

\* SEGMENT BETWEEN 85.0 AND 15.0 PERCENT SURVIVING.

;

ł

Schedule RLS 5E-1 2 KCPL ACCOUNT 358.DD DRIGIMAL AND SMOOTH SURVIVOR CURVES DAIGIMAL CUAVE: X 1948-2000 EXFERIENCE; 1920-2000 PLACEMENTS 110 E 뮹 8 2 AGE IN YEARS 8 \*xxxxxx 3 × X ××× ₽ × ස ຄູ 2 Ċ 8 PERCENT SURVIVING 8 2 8 50 ₽

÷

1

|

i

1

ł

ļ

ļ

i

ļ

ļ

. . .

#### KCPL

PROGRAM OPTIONS IN EFFECT:

-----

MAXIMUM DATA FILE EXPERIENCE BAND	1948-2000
PERFORM CURVE FITTING ROUTINE	YES
TRAN CODES INCLUDED AS RETIREMENTS	0
DEVELOP ENDING BALANCES FROM DATA FILE	YES

Schedule RLS 5E-2

.

KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### INPUT CONTROL TOTALS THROUGH 2000

TRAN	T O T A L	INPUT	D A T A
CODE	AGED	UNAGED	TOTAL
0	319,314.00-		319,314.00-
3	76,504.00-		76,504.00-
9	3,218,539.00		3,218,539.00
TOTAL DATA	2,822,721.00		2,822,721.00
8	2,822,721.00		2,822,721.00

ļ

-

i t

ļ

KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

AVG AGE RI	ET 31.7		P	LACEMENT	ANALYSIS	
PLACEMENT	BAND 1920-2000		EXPERIEN	CE BAND	1948-2000	
AGE AT	EXPOSURES AT	RETTREMENT	<b>S</b>		DOT SURV	
BEGIN OF	BEGINNING OF	DURING ACT	Ö PETMT	SUBV	BEGIN OF	
INTERVAL	AGE INTERVAL	TNTERVAL	DATIO	BATTO	INTERVAL	
		INIGRATES	IGHT I U	103110	INTERVAL	
0.0	3,218,539	21	0.0000	1.0000	100.00	
0.5	3,118,264	9,601	0.0031	0.9969	100.00	
1.5	3,586,819	11,603	0.0032	0.9968	99.69	
2.5	3,577,731	1,385	0.0004	0.9996	99.37	
3.5	3,574,257	8,900	0.0025	0.9975	99.33	
4.5	3,538,945	2,961	0.0008	0.9992	99.08	
5.5	3,506,720	428	0.0001	0.9999	99.00	
6.5	3,506,292		0.0000	1.0000	98.99	
7.5	3,333,130		0.0000	1.0000	98.99	
8.5	3,107,290		0.0000	1.0000	98.99	
95	3 100 200	FOF	0 0000	0 0000	00 00	
3.J 10.5	3 165 769	1 960	0.0002	0.9995	98.99	
10.5	3,103,109	4,009	0.0013	1 0000	90.97	
12 5	2 043 196		0.0000	1.0000	90.02	
13 5	2,943,196	1 5 6 2	0.0000	0 9995	90.02	
14 5	2,935,190	11 302	0.0000	0.9995	90.02	
15.5	2,872,213	3 659	0.0013	0.9987	98 38	
16.5	2,648,491	5,005	0 0000	1 0000	98.25	
17.5	2,648,491		0.0000	1.0000	98.25	
18.5	2,689,804		0.0000	1.0000	98.25	
10 0	0 000 004			1		
19.5	2,689,804		0.0000	1.0000	98.25	
20.5	2,689,804		0.0000	1.0000	98.25	
21.5	2,764,516		0.0000	1.0000	98.25	
22.3	2,764,516		0.0000	1.0000	98.25	
23.5	2,704,010	21 0.00	0.0000	1.0000	98.25	
24.5	2,704,310	21,860	0.0079	0.9921	98.20	
25.5	2,742,000		0.0000	1.0000	97.47	
20.5	2,702,303	242	0.0000	1.0000	97.47	
28 5	2,702,001	243	0.0001	1 0000	97.47	
20.5	2,012,945		0.0000	1.0000	57.40	
29.5	2,896,337		0.0000	1.0000	97.46	
30,5	2,412,840		0.0000	1.0000	97.46	
31.5	1,786,033	23,037	0.0129	0.9871	97.46	
32.5	1,680,756		0.0000	1.0000	96.20	
33.5	1,680,756	19,369	0.0115	0.9885	96.20	
34.5	1,643,531	15,458	0.0094	0.9906	95.09	
35.5	1,223,720	53,774	0.0439	0.9561	94.20	
36.5	1,143,534	29,014	0.0254	0.9746	90.06	
31.5	1,131,889		0.0000	1.0000	87.77	
38.5	493,982	43,785	0.0886	0.9114	87.77	

į

Ì

ļ

ļ

l

ļ

I.

İ

KCPL

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

AVG AGE RI	ET 31.7		P	LACEMENT	ANALYSIS
PLACEMENT	BAND 1920-2000		EXPERIEN	ICE BAND	1948-2000
AGE AT	EXPOSURES AT	RETIREMENT	rs		PCT SURV
BEGIN OF	BEGINNING OF	DURING AGE	RETMT	SURV	BEGIN OF
INTERVAL	AGE INTERVAL	INTERVAL	RATIO	RATIO	INTERVAL
39.5	494,718		0.0000	1.0000	79.99
40.5	494,718	18,810	0.0380	0.9620	79.99
41.5	119,309	5,123	0.0429	0.9571	76.95
42.5	109,343		0.0000	1.0000	73.65
43.5	34,631	2,452	0.0708	0.9292	73.65
44.5	29,499		0.0000	1.0000	68.44
45.5	29,499	2,283	0.0774	0.9226	68.44
46.5	27,216		0.0000	1.0000	63.14
47.5	27,216	26,743	0.9826	0.0174	63.14
48.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.10
49.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.10
50.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.10
51.5	472		0.0000	1.0000	1.10
52.5	472		0.0000	1.0000	1.10
53.5	473		0.0000	1.0000	1.10
54.5	4/3	472	0.9979	0.0021	1.10
55.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
50.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
58 5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
50.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
59.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
60.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
61.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
62.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
63.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
64.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
65.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
67.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
68 5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
00.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
69.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
70.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
72.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
73 5	1		0.0000	T.0000	0.00
74.5	יד ז		0.0000	1 0000	0.00
75.5	1		0.0000	1 0000	0.00
76.5	1		0 0000	1 0000	0.00
77.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
78.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00

I

I

!

ł

ļ

KCPL

-

2

4

Т

1

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

AVG AGE RE PLACEMENT	ET 31.7 BAND 1920-2000	Ē	P XPERIEN	LACEMENT CE BAND	ANALYSIS 1948-2000
AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5 80.5	1		0.0000	1.0000	0.00
TOTAL	108,384,841	319,314			

- -

----- --

#### KCPL

------

----

-

i.

Ť.

I.

i

i

#### ACCOUNT 358.00

SUMMARY OF	CURVE F	ITTING RESU	LTS -	PCT	SURV	BALANC	CED AREAS
PLACEMENT BA	AND 1920	-2000	1	EXPEF	RIENC	E BAND	1948-2000
SURVIVOR CURVE	RESID MEAS	RANGE OF FIT	SI	URVIV CURV	VOR VE	RESID MEAS	RANGE OF FIT*
104.8-S0 87.4-S0.5 73.5-S1 65.6-S1.5 58.9-S2 54.9-S2.5 51.5-S3 46.4-S4 43 8-S5	4.46 4.14 3.56 3.16 2.56 2.17 1.82 2.46 4.52	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT	FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED	
175.5-R0.5 132.2-R1 102.9-R1.5 79.6-R2 67.1-R2.5 57.6-R3 49.2-R4 44.4-R5	5.30 5.17 4.97 4.47 3.96 3.10 1.83 2.99	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT	FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED	
159.6-L0 126.0-L0.5 98.7-L1 83.4-L1.5 70.4-L2 63.4-L2.5 57.0-L3 49.3-L4 45.4-L5	4.85 4.64 4.08 3.77 3.01 2.67 1.99 1.74 3.07	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$			NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT	FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED FITTED	
223.0-01 250.7-02 320.0-03 320.0-04	5.35 5.35 = STOP = STOP	0 - 44 0 - 44 FITTING FITTING			NOT NOT	FITTED FITTED	)

\* SEGMENT BETWEEN 85.0 AND 15.0 PERCENT SURVIVING.

Schedule RLS 5E-7

-

- - -

Kansas City Power Light Company

#### ACCOUNT 358 UNDERGROUND CONDUCTORS AND DEVICES

#### DESCRIPTION

This account includes the cost installed of underground conductors and devices used for transmission purposes. See KCPL's plant catalog for further detail.

		_
	 	-
 	 	-
		-

VINTAGE	YEARS:	1920-2004
DETIDEMENT	VEADS	1049 2004

		ION	A CURVE 1	YPE
FIT EQUATION	ASL	L	S	R
1st DEGREE	72	2.00	0.50	1.00
2nd DEGREE	48	3.00	2.00	3.00
3rd DEGREE	44	4.00	3.00	4.00
		•		
CHOSEN CURVE			BEST VIS	SUAL FIT
CHOVE HEE			CHEVE	INCE

45

1

BEST VI	SUAL FIT
CURVE	LIFE
R5	45

#### COMMENTS

BAND ANALYSIS:

The survivor data for the full history were fit to 1st, 2nd, and 3rd degree equations and compared to lowa Curves. The resulting lowa Curves were plotted against the actual survivor data and served as the preliminary basis for visual curve matching. Additional lowa Curves were plotted until the one exhibiting the best visual fit was chosen. Refer to the detail on curve fits, observed life tables, and survivor curve plots for more information on the actuarial analysis.

		LIFESPAN ANALYSIS			
BASE LIFESPAN ESTIMATE	N/A	TERMINAL DATE	ΨA.	WEIGHTED AGE	35.7
REVISED LIFESPAN ESTIMATE	N/A	TRUNCATION AGE	VA	REMAINING LIFE	11.3

#### COMMENTS

Lifespan analysis is not applicable for this account.

None

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

**R**5

FULL HISTORY

	SALVA	GE ANALYSIS		•	·—.		
CHOSEN	FORECST		AVERA	GE NET SA	LVAGE		[
NET	FOR	LAST	LAST	LAST	LAST	LAST	
SALVAGE	2005	5 YRS	10 YRS	15 YRS	20 YRS	25 YRS	(
2%	-86%	-223%	-223%	-101%	-62%	-62%	J

#### COMMENTS

There was not sufficient salvage and removal cost data for this account to develop a reliable net salvage indication. Thus, the net salvage indication for the Distribution underground conductors account (Account 367) was utilized for this account. See Account 367 for more information.

#### ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENTS, DATA ANOMALIES, & MANAGEMENT DECISIONS

		SUMM				
PARAMETERS	STUDY	MPSC		RATES	STUDY	MPSC
CURVE TYPE	R5	L5		ALG	2.18%	2.55%
AVG SERVICE LIFE	45.0	39.2		RL	2.50%	N/A
REMAINING LIFE	11.3	N/A		ELG	2.10%	N/A
EQUIV ELG LIFE	46.6	Ň/A	•			
NET SALVAGE %	2.0%	0.0%				
RESERVE RATIO	69.8%	N/A				

#### COMMENTS

Refer to the detail on the ALG, ELG, and Remaining Life depreciation rate calculations for more information.

accts04

Page 1 of 1





- ----

ī

Ţ

.



----

. . . . . . .

----

-...

ĺ

I

T

1

I

1

İ

		Recommendation
		i Rate
		Depaciation
ER-2006-0314	KCPL	SCHEDULE 2

						Staff Proposed					Existing Orders	P	
		Adjusted Jurisdictional						Armual	į	1		Post of the local second second second second second second second second second second second second second s	
Account		Plant Balance	ASL	Iowa	Average	Depreciation	Annual	Accrual	ASL	CULT	Net Salvade	Rate	Accrual
Number	Description	6/30/2006	(Years)	Curve	Net Salvage	Rate	Accrual	Net Cost of Memoval	la mai	400	40	121	(13)=[(3)*[12]]
ε	(2)	ĉ	Ż	6	9	(7)=((100%-(5))(4))	[(,1),(;;)]=(0)	((i+)/((s))_((s))=(s)	(4)	(at)			
	STEAL PRODUCTION PLANT								1		3	21-C C	C1 606 633
311.00	Structure and Improvements	\$ 45,514,273	60.0	ß	-12%	1.87%	\$851,117	\$91,029	30.5		r 7	8 - C - C	100 LE3
311.00	Structues and Improvements-Hawthorn 5 Rebuild	\$ 4,512,625	60.0	8	-12%	1.87%	\$64,386	\$9,025				6. ND .0	
312.00	Roiter tant Eo. (Including trains)	\$ 304,286,464	45.0	RZ	¥9	2.35%	\$7,150,732	\$405,715	28.6		* 7	3.63%	511,045,599
00.015	in the start For Hearthorn 5 Rebuild	<b>5</b> 119,194,508	45.0	R2	*9-	2.35%	\$2,801,071	\$158.926				0.90%	\$1.072,751
N0710		120 289 821	45.0	R2.5	¥.	2.38%	\$2,862,698	\$187,117	32.3		-1%	3.13%	\$3,765,071
00.415			450	<u>+</u>	-2%	2.26%	\$1,060.482	\$20,855	31.3		-1.4	3.23%	\$1,515,644
00.315				; 1	36	2.26%	\$452,464	969'8\$				0.80%	<b>\$160,164</b>
315.00	Accessity Electric Equipment-Hawarkam 5 Meduwo	B10'070'07 4		; :	, e	2 20%	<b>\$</b> 173	3	18.4		*	5.40%	\$413
315.00	Accessity Electric Eq(intel.351)			: 2	į	2 80%	\$365,786	(\$7,255)	28.0		2%	3.50%	\$467,233
316.00	Miscelineous Power Plant Eq.	5 13,063,793	0.05 0.05	2 8	t t	2.40%	\$32,643	(\$648)				0.87%	\$10,143
316.00	Macalineous Power Plant EqHawanom o Kabulid	F10(001)1 C	R	2		•	15 681 751	1077 FF4					\$ 19,570,544
	Tolal Slaam Production Plant:	5 674,979,449				•							
	NUCLAR PRODUCTION PLANT												
321.00	Nuc Suctures & Improvements	\$ 232,471,905	59.5	S	% T	1.75%	\$4,068,258	\$158.284				1.00.I	
322.00	Nuc Rector Plant Eq.	5 388,939,912	59.5	S	949-	1.76%	<b>\$6,64</b> 5,342	\$328,640				1.73%	\$6,728,860
00.605	Nuit: Tribonenarator Units	\$ 94,539,560	59.5	SG	*	1.70%	\$1,607,173	\$15,689				1.96%	\$1,852,975
00 961	Nice Acastory Flactic Ed	5 77,415,819	2.62	30	*0	1,68%	\$1,300,586	<b>S</b> 0				1.73%	\$1,339,294
		1150 311	595	QS S	2%	1.65%	\$629,480	(\$12.624)				2.36%	\$900,347
328.00	NUC MICHINE INCUS TOWER FIGURE FLORE	\$ (144,993,259)	5.65	8	\$10	1.68%	(\$2,425,687)	0 <mark>7</mark>				1.73%	(\$2.508.383)
	Total Nuclear Production Plant:	\$ 686.524,248				•	12,014,952	486,189					\$ 11,916,208
	OTHER PRODUCTION PLANT										:		
341.00	Structres and improvements	\$ 2,398,024	60.0	R2.5	*0	1.74%	\$41,726	8	24.3		*0	4.12%	
342.00	Fuel Hiders, Producers and Access.	\$ 5,755,918	35.0	S6	*0	2.86%	\$184,619	20	24.3		%0	4.12%	1471'/EZt
744.00	Ganerlocs	\$ 139,643,739	35.0	R2.5	%E-	2.94%	\$4,105,526	\$119,695	24.3		9 <b>%</b> 0	4.12%	<b>\$5</b> ,753,322
345.00	Accessory Electric Equipment	\$ 7,453,976	35.0	2	ź	2.86%	\$213,184	9 <b>;</b>	24.3		%0	4.12%	2307,104
	Total Other Production Plant:	\$ 155,251,857				**	4,525,055	<b>3</b> 119,695					5 6,396,368
	OTHER PRODUCTION PLANT-WIND								1			and a	5
341.00	Structres and improvements	3	20.0	SO	*0	5.00%	05		20.02			80 AD 10	
343.10	Wind Urbines	3	20.0	g	*0	5.00%	0 <b>\$</b>		20.0			5.00%	
345.00	Accessory Electric Equipment	95	20.0	so	*0	5.00%	₿ <sup>i</sup>		20.0			5.00%	<b>P</b> <sup>1</sup>
	Total Char Production-Wind Plant:	. 8					<b>3</b> 1						0 <sup>2</sup>

- - ..

---

Schedule RLS 9-1

ş

Total Other Production-Wind Plant:

ER-2006-03 KCPL SCHEDULE	314 5 2 Depeciation Rate Recommendation												
						Staff Proposed					Existing Ordered		
		Adjusted Jurisdictional				-		Annual	ASL	Iwa	Average	Depreciation	Annual
Account		Plant Balance averance	ASL (Years)	lowa Curve	Average Net Salvace	Depreciation Rate	Accrual	Net Cost of Ramoval	(Years)	Curva	Net Salvege	Rate	Accruat
Number	Chercipiton		- T										
	TRANSMISSION PLANT						610 Des	\$395	73.5		*0	1.36%	\$32,199
352.00	Structures and improvements	\$ 2,367,556	60.09	S1.5	×1-	1.69%		601 BOB	42.0		6.4	2.24%	\$1,507,623
353.00	StationEq.	\$ 67,304,577	55.0	R1.5	¥8-	7.6.1	DUB, GYE, FR		8.95		3%	2.50%	\$82,255
353.00	StatiorEqCommunication Eq. (like 397)	\$ 3,280,197	55.0	R1,5	-8%	.97%	304,817	98 <sup>-</sup>	5		*0	2.00%	\$43,085
354.00	Towarsand Fixiuras	\$ 2.154.273	55.0	2	*0	1.82%	\$39,208		0.00		760.9	3.59%	\$1,855,115
355.00	Poles and Fixtures	\$ 51,674,525	55.0	S	-26%	2.29%	\$1,183,347	\$244,280	0.45		201	3.10%	\$1,292,266
356.00	Overhed Conductors and Devices	41,685,986	55.0	82	55%	0.82%	\$341,825	(2416.000)	0.04		ł	3000	\$21,737
357.00	Undergound Conduit	\$ 1,646,721	60.0	R5	ŕ	1.67%	\$27,500	93 <b>(</b>	75.5		5 6	2.55%	\$38,480
358.00	Undersound Conductors and Devices	\$ 1,509,025	60.0	3	<b>%</b> 0	1.67%	102,628	2					\$ 4,872,760
	Total Transmission Plant:	\$ 171,832,860					3,047,809	(205-50\$)					
	DISTRUTION PLANT				ł	2005 -	196 844	\$1,703	33.8		*0	2.96%	\$151,246
361.00	Structres and Improvements	\$ 5,109,675	60.0	F0.5	¢ (	2010 V	15 579 654	\$72,606	45.0		10%	2.00%	\$1,601,732
362.00	Station Eq.	\$ 80,086,584	55.0	ž	ê,	2015.1	ALL TOR	51,780	38.8		340	2.50%	<b>\$4</b> 8,948
362.00	StattorEqCommunication Eq. (like 397)	\$ 1,957,923	55.0	£	-5%	<b>€</b> 16:1			002		·31%	4.09%	\$4,703.213
364.00	Polea,[owers and Fixtures	\$ 114,892,975	55.0	L1.5	-20%	2.18%	199,000,54				1794	2.02%	\$1,795,897
365.00	<b>Dverhed Conductors and Devices</b>	\$ 88,905,798	55.0	9	2%	1,78%	\$1,582,523	(575'375)			ž	1.33%	026'066\$
366.00	Underround Conduit	\$ 74,505,280	60.0	30.5	*21-	1.95%	\$1,452,853	\$211,098	n.e.		400	ALC I	<b>1</b> 904 391
367 DD	Undercound Conductors and Devices	\$ 154,828,560	60.09	80 80	4%	1.60%	\$2,477,257	(\$103,219)	65.0			2071	CH 122 062
00.000		\$ 120,068,514	35.0	52	-55%	3.00%	\$3,601,995	\$1,886,760	30.0		2	801.F	100.221.05
00.000		\$ 39.802.369	55.0	R1.5	-116%	3.93%	\$1,564,233	\$839.488	33.8		9% 9	3. 14 %	
00 505		\$ 46.462.809	55.0	R0.5	3%	1.77%	\$822,392	(\$25,343)	23.6		2.6	4.31%	
370.00		PACERS 3	25.0	10.5	¥1:	4 28%	\$293,748	\$18,217	10.9		% 4	9.51%	960%096
00.176	instantions on Customers Frencess Straat trivity and Signal Systems	5 6,928,608	20.02	3	%0	5.00%	\$346,430	<b>9</b> 1	24.4		10%	3.69%	000'rc 20
	Total Distribution Plant:	\$ 740,510,359					\$ 16,302,193	\$3,290,097					CIL'8/0'EL \$
	GENERAL PLANT								7 DL		%0	2.54%	265'53'15
380.00	Structres and improvements	\$ 29,668,966	60.09	9	-2%	1.70%	115,908,000	(LOU BUI ST	18.4		ž	5.40%	\$376,269
391.00	Office <sup>-</sup> untiture and Eq.	\$ 6,967,949	20.0	Ř2	31%	3.45%	020 VOT V	(CPC 9C-3)	65		28%	5.43%	\$830,168
392.00	Transpotation Eq.	5 15,288,503	10.0	R1.5	22%	7.75%	609'HQI'I¢		27.1		<b>3</b> 40	3.58%	\$12,925
00'E6E	Storet Eq.	560,186 \$	30.0	R2.5	<b>%</b> 0	3.33%	312,020	(created)	375		ž	2.81%	\$45,175
394.00	ToolsShop and Garage Eq.	\$ 1,730,833	40.0	R2.5	5°	2.45%	0.04/764				1 1	3.37%	\$66,336
395.00	Laboriory Eq.	\$ 2,561.898	1 30.D	R2.5	2%	3.26%	10'5'8'5'	(31,100)	ç și		10%	5.55%	\$324,980
396.00	PowerOperated Éq.	\$ 5,855.501	15.0	R1.5	*6	6.03%	\$353,087	(551,355)	7.81		į	2 EUK	\$1.007.631
107 001	Communications Fo.	\$ 40,305,253	30.05	<b>S0</b>	*0	3.33%	\$1,342,165	5	38.8		e 7	3 16%	\$3,529
00'966	Misceaneous Eq.	\$ 111,674	20.0	9	10% 1	4.50%	\$5,025	( <u>\$558</u> )	31.3		<u>e</u>		1 440 601
	Total General Plant:	\$ 102,851,616	~				3,767,848	(\$472,725)					\$65,275,596
	Total Plant:	\$ 2,531,750,185	<i></i>				\$55,319,809	10'122'011					

1

ER-2006-0314 KCPL SCHEDULE 2 Depectation Rate Recommendation

i

-----

i

						ŝtafi Proposed					Existing Ordera	-	
		Adjuated Jurisdictional						Annual		•	•		
Account		Plant Balance	ASL	lowa	Average Mod Bolisson	Depreciation	Annual	Accrual Net Cost of Removed	ABL	lowa Curre	Average Net Salvage	Depreciation Rate	Acrual
(1)	(2)	(C)	(1)	(2)	(9)	(T)=([100%-{6}))(4))	[(2),(c)]=(g)	{((+)/(g)-]).(c)}-(6)	(10)	ŧ	(12)	(E)	[(2)_(2)_[(2)_
	STEAN PRODUCTION PLANT												
311.00	Structures and improvements	45,514,273	60.09	R3	-12%	1.87%	\$851,117	820'16\$	30.5		* -	3.31%	\$1,508,522
311.00	Structues and Improvements-Hewthorn 5 Rebuild	4,512,625	60.0	R3	-12%	1.87%	\$64,386	\$30'02\$				0.82%	\$37,004
312.00	Boiler Rant Eq. (Including trains)	\$ 304,286,464	45.0	R2	8 <del>8</del>	2.35%	\$7,150,732	\$405.715	28.6		4	3.63%	\$11,045,599
312.00	Boker kant EqHawthorn 5 Rebuild	119.194.508	45.0	R2	<b>%</b> 9	2.35%	\$2,801,071	\$158,926				0.90%	\$1,072,751
314.00	Turbognerator Units	\$ 120,289,821	45.0	R2.5	%1-	2.38%	\$2,862,898	\$187,117	5.25		-1%	3.13%	\$3,765,071
315.00	Accessry Electric Eq.	\$ 46,923,978	45.0	5	-2%	2.26%	\$1,060,482	\$20,855	31.3		-1%	3.23%	\$1,515,644
315.00	Accessiny Electric Equipment-Hawthorn 5 Rebuild	\$ 20,020,518	45.D	5	-2%	2.26%	\$452,464	\$8'85				0.80%	\$160,164
315.00	Accessory Electric Eq(like 391)	\$ 7,655	45.0	5	.2%	2.26%	\$173	83	18.4		ž	5.40%	5413
316.00	Misceltneous Power Plant Eq.	\$ 13,063,793	36.0	8	2%	2.80%	\$365,786	(\$7,256)	28.0		2%	3.50%	\$457,233
316.00	Miscellneous Power Plant EqHawthorn 5 Rebuild	\$ 1,165,B14	36.0	R3	2.16	2.80%	\$32,643	(\$643)				0.87%	<b>5</b> 10,143
	Total Steam Production Plant:	5 674,979,449					15,661,751	\$873,664					\$ 19,570,544
	NUCLAR PRODUCTION PLANT												
321.00	Nuc Strotures & Improvements	\$ 232,471,905	59.5	S	ጽ ፕ	1.75%	\$4.08B,25B	\$158,284				1.55%	\$3,803,315
00.22E	Nuc Rector Plant Eq.	5 388,939,912	59.5	Š	-5%	1.76%	56,845,342	\$326,840				1.73%	\$6,728,660
323.00	Nuc Trbogenerator Units	5 94,539,560	59.5	SQ	×1-	1.70%	\$1,607,173	\$15,889				1.96%	\$1,852,975
324.00	Nuc Acessory Electric Eq.	\$ 77,415,819	59.5	SQ	%0	1.68%	\$1,300,586	20				1.73%	\$1,339,294
325.00	Nuc Micellaneous Power Plant Eq.	38,150,311	565	ŝ	2%	1.85%	\$629,480	[512.624]				2.36%	\$900,347
328.00	Nuc Plut Write-Off	\$ (144,993,259)	59.5	g	940	1.68%	(\$2,435,867)	<b>2</b> 1				1.73%	(\$2.508,363)
	Total Nuclear Production Plant;	\$ 689,524,248				~	12,014,952	486,189					\$ 11.916,208
	QTHELPRODUCTION PLANT												
341.00	Siruci <del>re</del> s and improvements	\$ 2,398,024	60.0	R2.5	*0	1.74%	\$41'158	<b>3</b>	24.3		%0	4.12%	\$60°126
342.00	Fuel Miders, Producers and Access.	5,755,918	35.0	<b>36</b>	%0	2.86%	\$164,619	8	24.3		%0	4.12%	\$237,144
344.00	Genentors	\$ 139,643,739	35.0	R2.5	%E-	2.94%	\$4,105,526	\$119,695	24.3		5%0	4.12%	\$5,753.322
345.00	Accesory Electric Equipment	\$ 7,453,976	35.0	Ra	%0	2.86%	\$213,184	9 <b>7</b>	24.3		*0	4.12%	\$307,104
	Total Other Production Plant:	\$ 155,251,657				*	4,525,055	\$119,695					6.396,368
	OTHER PRODUCTION PLANT-MIND												
341.00	Siructines and improvements	<b>2</b> 0	20.0	SQ	ж	5.00%	<b>2</b> 0		20.0			5.00%	2
343.10	Wind "urbines	\$0	0.02	S	%0	5.00%	20		20.0			5.00%	\$0
345.00	Accessory Electric Equipment	ទ្ធ	20.0	ß	%0	5.00%	0 <mark>1</mark>		20.0			5.00%	0 <b>5</b>
	Total Other Production-Wind Plant:	95					₿ <sup>j</sup>						ŝ

-

• • • • •

Schedule RLS 9-1

- --

• •

ER-2006- KCPL SCHEDUI	0314 .E.2 Depnciation Rate Recommendation												
						Staff Proposed					Evicting Orders	1	
		Adjusted Jurisdictional	İ								Contractory Restaurum		
Account		Plant Balance	ASL	lowa	Average	Depreciation	Annual	Accreat	ASL	Emoj	Average	Depreciation	Annual
		DINIZ/OC/Q	THEY.	CUIVE	Net Salvage	Rate	Accrual	Net Cost of Removal	(Years)	CUIVE	Het Salvage	Rate	Accrual
	IRANSHISSION PLANT												
352.00	Structure and Improvements	\$ 2,367,556	60.0	S1.5	×+-	1.69%	\$40,012	3955	73.5		£	1.36%	<b>5</b> 32,199
353.00	Station iq.	\$ 67,304,577	55.0	R1.5	-8%	1.97%	\$1,325,900	899'2 <del>6</del> 5	42.0		<b>%</b> 9	2.24%	\$1,507,623
353.00	Station1qContinuintcation Eq. (ilks 397)	5 3,290,197	55.0	R1.5	%B-	1.97%	564.B17	<b>54</b> ,786	38.B		3%6	2.50%	\$82,255
354.00	Towersand Fixtures	\$ 2,154,273	55.0	2	*0	1.82%	\$39,208	80	50.0		<b>%</b> 0	2.00%	\$43,085
355.00	Poles ad Fixtures	\$ 51,674,525	55.0	SQ	-26%	2.29%	\$1,183,347	\$244,280	39.0		¥0¥	3.59%	\$1,855,115
356.00	Overhed Conductors and Devices	\$ 41,685,988	55.0	52	55%	0.82%	\$341,825	(S#16.860)	48.0		74 <b>6</b> 47	3.10%	\$1,292,266
357.00	Undergound Conduit	5 1,646,721	60.0	88 8	ŝ	1.67%	\$27,500	5	75.5		*0	1.32%	\$21,737
0.865	undergrund Conductors and Devices	509,025	60.0	2	*0	1.67%	<b>5</b> 25,201	Ş,	39.2		*0	2.55%	\$ <u>38,480</u>
	Total Transmission Plant:	\$ 171,632,860					3,047,809	(205:655)					\$ 4,872,760
	DISTRBUTION PLANT												
361.00	Structues and improvements	\$ 5,109,675	60.09	10.5	17. 1	1.70%	\$86,864	\$1,703	33.8		%0	2.96%	\$151.246
362.00	StationΞq.	\$ 80,086,584	55.0	ñ	-5%	1.91%	\$1,529,654	\$72,806	45.0		10%	2.00%	\$1,601,732
362.00	StationEqCommunication Eq. (like 397)	\$ 1,957,923	56.0	£	<b>*</b> 57	1.91%	\$37,396	\$1,780	38.8		3%	2.50%	\$48,948
364.00	Poles, owers and Fixtures	114,992,975	55.0	L1.5	-20%	2.18%	\$2,508,847	\$418,156	32.0		-31%	4.09%	54,703,213
365.00	Overhed Conductors and Devices	\$ 86,905,798	55.0	9	2%	1.78%	\$1,582,523	(\$25,528)	41.0		17%	2.02%	\$1,795,897
366.00	Undergound Conduit	\$ 74,505,280	60.0	S0.5	%11.	1.95%	\$1,452,853	\$211.098	75.3		*0	1.33%	\$990,920
367.00	Undergound Conductors and Devices	\$ 154,828,560	60.0	S	44	1.60%	<b>5</b> 2,477,257	(612,5018)	65.0		20%	1.23%	<b>\$1,904</b> ,391
368.00	Line Transformers	\$ 120,066,514	35.0	R2	-65%	3.00%	\$3,601,995	\$1,896,760	30.0		%1	3.10%	<b>3</b> 3,722,062
366.00	Overhed Services	\$ 39,802,369	55.0	R1.5	-116%	3.93%	\$1,564,233	\$839,468	33.8		% <del>9</del>	3.14%	<b>5</b> 1,249,794
370.00	Maters	\$ 46,462,809	55.0	R0.5	3%	%21'1	\$822,392	(\$25,343)	23.6		Ŗ	¥10.4	\$2,002,547
001176	mstaneons on Customers' Premises Street lebting and Storiel Scalame	5 6,863,264	52	F.0.5	<b>%</b>	4.28%	\$293,748	519,217	10.9		*	9.51%	\$652,696
		pn0'976'0	20.02	3	<b>%</b> D	100.6	\$348,430	8'	24.4		10%	3.69%	\$255,566
	I otal Listurbution Plant:	<b>\$</b> 740,510,359				~	16,302,193	\$3,290,097					\$ 19,078,113
	GENELAL PLANT												
390.00	Sinclus and improvements	\$ 29,668,966	60.0	9	-2%	1.70%	\$504,372	\$9,890	39.4		9%0	2.54%	\$753,592
391.00	Office 'umiture and Eq.	\$ 6,967,949	20.0	R2	31%	3.45%	\$240,394	(\$108.003)	18.4		×.	5.40%	\$376,269
392.00	Transprtation Eq.	\$ 15,288,503	10.0	R1.5	%22	7.75%	\$1,184,859	(\$336.347)	13.3		28%	5.43%	\$830,166
393.00	StoresIq.	5 361,039	30.0	R2.5	%0	3.33%	\$12,023	<b>\$</b> 0	27.1		Sec.	3.58%	\$12.925
394.00	Tools, Whop and Garage Eq.	5 1,730,833	40.0	R2.5	2% 2	2.45%	\$42,405	(3:965)	37.5		2%	2.61%	\$45,175
395.00	Laborary Eq.	\$ 2,561,898	30.0	R2.5	<b>%</b> 7	3.26%	<b>5</b> 83,518	(\$1,708)	29.4		¥:	3.37%	586,336
396.00	Power.Dperated Eq.	\$ 5,855,501	15.0	R1.5	346	6.03%	\$353,087	(\$35,133)	16.2		10%	5.55%	\$324,980
387.00	Commulcations Eq.	\$ 40,305,253	30.0	20	ź	3.33%	\$1,342,165	\$0	3.8.8		36	2.50%	\$1,007,631
398.00	Miscelineous Eq.	111,674	20.0	3	10%	4.50%	35,025	(8228)	31.3		*1 *1	3.16%	<b>53,529</b>
	Total General Plant:	\$ 102,851,616				-	3,767,848	(\$472,725)					3,440,603
	1001	\$ 2,531,750,168					\$55,319,609	<u>\$4,227,417</u>					\$65.275,596

\*

ļ

;

,

ļ

I

I

ļ

Schedula RLS 9-2