FILED March 6, 2017 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission Exhibit No.:  $\partial 0 \delta$ Issue(s): Polic Witness: Nate Sponsoring Party: MoP Type of Exhibit: Surre Case No.: ER-2 Date Testimony Prepared: Janu

xhibit No.: 0 0 Issue(s): Policy Witness: Natelle Dietrich ng Party: MoPSC Staff (Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony Case No.: ER-2016-0285 Prepared: January 27, 2017

## **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

## **COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION**

## SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

## NATELLE DIETRICH

State Exhibit No. 208 Vate 2-28-12, Reporter 44 File No. E.C. 2016 - 0285

# **KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

CASE NO. ER-2016-0285

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2017

1	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2	OF		
3	NATELLE DIETRICH		
4		KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY	
5		CASE NO. ER-2016-0285	
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
7	А.	My name is Natelle Dietrich. My business address is 200 Madison St.,	
8	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.		
9	Q.	Are you the same Natelle Dietrich that previously filed Direct Testimony in	
10	this case on November 30, 2016 and December 14, 2016?		
11	А.	Yes I am.	
12	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?	
13	А.	The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to respond to the rebuttal	
14	testimonies of Kansas City Power & Light Company's ("KCPL") witness Tim M. Rush and		
15	Natural Resources Defense Council's (NRDC) witness Noah Garcia as their testimonies		
16	discuss Staff's position on the Clean Charge Network (CCN), filed on December 30, 2016.		
17	Q.	To what specifically related to the CCN rebuttal testimonies will you be	
18	responding?		
19	А.	At page 50, lines 7 and 8, of his December 30, 2016, rebuttal testimony	
20	Mr. Rush states, "[KCPL] does not understand Staff's position that this regulated service		
21	should be treated below the line. If the service is regulated, it should be treated above the		
22	line, unless the Company's investment is determined to be imprudent." Similarly, Mr. Garcia,		
23	at page 4, line	es 9 and 10, states, "NRDC appreciates Staff's consideration of these issues, but	

• •

•

# Surrebuttal Testimony of Natelle Dietrich

1 does not agree that investment should be associated with the CCN be recorded 'below-the-2 line.

3

Q. Does Staff agree with the comments of Mr. Rush and Mr. Garcia?

As indicated in the rebuttal testimony of Staff witness 4 Α. Yes it does. 5 Byron Murray filed on January 6, 2017, Staff reviewed the rebuttal testimonies of Mr. Rush and Mr. Garcia and consulted further with Staff Counsel. The CCN, and electric vehicle 6 7 charging stations in general, are relatively new, especially in Missouri general rate proceedings. As such, Staff's position has continued to evolve as it works through the issues 8 9 in this case, the Ameren Missouri EV docket (Case No. ET-2016-0246), and in Ameren Missouri's general rate proceeding (Case No. ER-2016-0179). 10

Q. You indicate Staff's position has continued to evolve. What is Staff
recommending in this case with respect to the CCN?

A. As explained in the rebuttal testimonies of Mr. Murray, as well as Staff witness Robin Kliethermes, also filed on January 6, 2017, Staff recommends the costs, expenses, and revenues associated with the CCN be recorded above-the-line, with an associated revenue imputation for any costs not covered by the revenue generated by the charging stations. This continues Staff's position to hold ratepayers harmless.

18

Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?

19

A. Yes.

### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

### **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

}

)

)

)

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service

Case No. ER-2016-0285

### **AFFIDAVIT OF NATELLE DIETRICH**

STATE OF MISSOURI	)	
	)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE	)	

**COMES NOW NATELLE DIETRICH,** and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

NATELLE DIETRICH

#### JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this  $2\eta_{tx}$  day of January, 2017.

DIANNA L. VAUGHT Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: June 28, 2019 Commission Number: 15207377

Dianna' L. Vaught Notary Public