

Exhibit No.: Issues: Callaway O&M Expense Witness: Alan M. Rutz Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Case No.: ER-2007-0002 Date Testimony Prepared: January 31, 2007

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2007-0002

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ALAN M. RUTZ

 \mathbf{ON}

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> St. Louis, Missouri January, 2007

Amered WE Exhibit No. 4 Case No(s) ER 1007-0009 Date 3/29/07 Fipir PK

]		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
2		OF	
3		ALAN M. RUTZ	
4		CASE NO. ER-2007-0002	
5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
6	Α.	My name is Alan M. Rutz. My business address is Callaway Nuclear Power	
7	Plant, P.O. Box 620, Fulton, Missouri 65251.		
8	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
9	А.	I am employed by AmerenUE as General Supervisor Budget/Cost	
10	Management in the Nuclear Division.		
11	Q.	Please summarize your Educational Background and work experience.	
12	Α.	I earned a B.S. in Engineering Management from the University of Missouri	
13	at Rolla in 1972.		
14		My relevant work experience includes employment with Daniel International	
15	Inc. from 1979 to 1984, during construction of the Callaway Nuclear Power Plant, as a Cost		
16	Engineer, Area Planner, and Supervisor of Planning and Scheduling. In 1985, I was		
17	employed by Union Electric Company as an Engineer in their Nuclear Services Department		
18	and was promoted to Supervising Engineer -Nuclear Services that same year. The primary		
19	responsibilities of this position included budget development, cost management, and cost		
20	reporting for the Nuclear Division. In February, 2003 I was given overall responsibility for		
21	Nuclear Division budgeting and cost management, and the position was re-titled General		
22	Supervisor Budget/Cost Management.		

1

I

-

·

1

2

Q. Have you previously filed Direct Testimony in this proceeding?

A. No.

3 What is the purpose of your Rebuttal Testimony in this proceeding? Q. 4 Α. With reference to the testimony of Mr. John P. Cassidy of the Commission 5 Staff, the purpose of this testimony is to provide updated information on the Callaway 6 Nuclear Plant's Refuel 14 refueling and maintenance costs. In this testimony, I demonstrate 7 that Mr. Cassidy's inclusion of just \$21.5 million for these costs fails to reflect a normalized 8 level of such costs. The refueling outage cost figures discussed in this testimony include 9 contractor, consultant, material and rental costs commonly referred to a non-labor costs. 10 Direct labor and associated outage overtime costs are not included in refueling outage cost 11 totals. 12 The refuel outage started on September 17, 2005 and finished on November 13 19, 2005, a total of 64 days. Refuel 14 was a unique refueling outage in that the primary 14 focus of the outage was on two major capital projects, Steam Generator Replacement and

Turbine Rotor Replacement with a significant portion of training and support cost going to
these capital projects. In addition, all work in the plant reactor building was turned over to
the contractor for Steam Generator Replacement after the fuel was offloaded. This turnover
occurred after the first 10 days of the 64 day outage. Some major maintenance work that
would normally have been performed in the reactor building during Refuel 14 is scheduled to

20 be worked in Refuel 15 in April, 2007. Deferral of this maintenance work, charging support

21 costs to capital projects and other management decisions led to the lowest cost refueling

22 outage since Refuel 8 in 1998. This is without consideration of the normal escalation of

23 costs that would have occurred during that 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ year period.

2

.

1

i

1	Q.	What maintenance projects were deferred, or not performed, in Refuel 14		
2	that will be worked in Refuel 15, and what is estimated cost of these projects?			
3	Α.	Steam Generator Tube Inspections \$4,950,000		
4		Reactor Vessel (RV) Cold Leg In-Service Inspections (ISI) \$695,000		
5		Removal of RV Lower Internals for RV ISI		
6		Total \$5,726,000		
7	Q.	New steam generators were installed in Refuel 14. Why are tube		
8	inspections still required?			
9	Α.	Callaway is required by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to		
10	perform the steam generator tube inspections the first refueling outage following installation			
11	of the new st	eam generators. These requirements are documented in Callaway Plant		
12	Technical Specifications. Identification of wear or other indications on the steam generator			
13	tubing could lead to inspections in subsequent refueling outages. Prairie Island Nuclear			
14	Station insta	lled new steam generators by the same manufacturer six months before		
15	Callaway. T	hey found tubing indications during their first inspection that required tube		
16	plugging and	will be performing tube inspections in subsequent refueling outages.		
17	Q.	Why is Reactor Vessel (RV) Cold Leg In-Service Inspections (ISI)		
18	included as	a project deferred from Refuel 14 to Refuel 15?		
19	А.	An in-service inspection (ISI) of the Reactor Vessel was performed during		
20	Refuel 13 th	at identified indications in one of the welds on the "C" Cold Leg of the Reactor		
21	Vessel. Call	away committed to a follow-up inspection with the NRC to be performed in		
22	Refuel 14. A	A request to defer the inspection until Refuel 15 was submitted to the NRC when		

3

it was determined that this inspection could not be performed without significantly extending
 to duration of Refuel 14. This request to defer the ISI inspection was granted by the NRC.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q. Did other management decisions help lower the overall cost of Refuel 14?
A. Yes. There was a decision to use personnel from Ameren's General
Construction and Outage Management group in lieu of contractor personnel to perform part
of the maintenance work during Refuel 14. This group was formed to support plant outages
and general construction work for Ameren's fossil plants. There were no fossil plant outages
scheduled for the same time period as the Callaway refueling outage and Callaway
management made a request for outage support from this group. This was the first time
Callaway has used personnel from the General Construction and Outage Management group.

General Construction and Outage Management provided a total of 76,200 work hours and \$3,265,000 in direct labor costs supporting Refuel 14. These costs are less than the cost for the equivalent number of contractor personnel. Callaway will be required to hire contractor personnel to perform these maintenance activities in future outages which will add a minimum of \$3 million to the outage cost.

16 **O**. Based on the testimony provided above what would a fair amount be for 17 refueling and maintenance cost associated with Callaway Plant refueling outages? 18 The cost of refueling outages can vary significantly based on the duration of Α. 19 the outage, the availability of Ameren personnel to perform normal maintenance work, and 20 contractor cost for major maintenance and inspection projects performed during the outage. 21 This is evidenced by the cost of Refuel 12 (34 days in 2002) at \$22.6 million, Refuel 13 (64 22 days in 2004) at \$40.1 million and Refuel 14 (64 days in 2005) at \$21.5 million. The average 23 cost for refueling outage during this five year period (July 1, 2001 to June 30, 2006) was

4

- 1 \$28.1 million. Coincidentally, the budgeted cost of Refuel 15 (35 days in 2007), which
- 2 includes the projects listed above, is \$28.1 million. Based on the average cost of the previous
- 3 three refueling outages, \$28.1 million would be a fair outage cost to include in AmerenUE's
- 4 revenue requirement.

1

5 Q. Does this conclude your Rebuttal Testimony?

6 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Case No. ER-2007-0002

AFFIDAVIT OF ALAN M. RUTZ

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss **CITY OF ST. LOUIS**)

Alan M. Rutz being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

1. My name is Alan M. Rutz. I work in Fulton, Missouri and I am employed

by AmerenUE General Supervisor Budget/Cost Management in the Nuclear Division.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my rebuttal

Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of 5

pages, which has been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-referenced docket.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct.

Alan M. Rutz

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\frac{31^{s}}{2}$ day of 2007.

Notary Public

My commission expires: July 21, 207

Danielle R. Moskop Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI St. Louis County My Commission Expires: July 21, 2009 Commission # 05745027