

FILED³

APR 20 2007

Missouri Public
Service Commission

AmerenUE's Response to
MPSC Staff Data Request
Case No. EC-2002-1
Excess Earnings Complaint
Staff of the MPSC v. Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE

No. 179:


For each rate/complaint case UE has filed since 1950, please cite the cases UE has filed in compliance with rule 4 CSR 240-10.020. Provide copies of the witness's testimony and exhibits that document the inclusion of the above rule. If the Company did not file in conformance with the rule, please provide copies of the Company's request for a variance from the rule. Also, please identify and provide copies of the applicable sections of the Company's annual report filings with the Commission since 1945, that detail compliance with the rule mentioned above.

Response:

UE does not know how 4 CSR 240-10.020 has been addressed in every rate case since 1950. To the best of UE's knowledge, 4 CSR 240-10.020 was not addressed in Case Nos. ER-85-160 and EO-88-17, the case involving the inclusion of the Callaway Nuclear Plant in UE's rates. The Staff can confirm whether 4 CSR 240-10.020 was addressed in those cases and others by reviewing the case files in the Commission's records.

UE does not know exactly how 4 CSR 240-10.020 may have been addressed by each of its annual reports since 1945. UE's annual reports are available for the Staff to review in the Commission's records. In recent annual reports, UE has included the principal amount of depreciation funds as represented by balances by function and any adjustments of such amounts with complete details and explanations thereof. UE has not multiplied the depreciation balances by 3% in recent annual reports.

Signed By:



Prepared By: Thomas M. Byrne
Title: Associate General Counsel

~~Staff~~ Exhibit No. 269
Date 3-2-07 Case No. ER-2007-
Reporter KF 0002