3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- procedures as identified in Schedule DBR-SR2, includes tiling and vegetation management procedures which promote the use of irrigation along the route.
 - Q. Please respond to the concerns of Mr. Kruse and the Neighbors' witness

 Noel Palmer related to aerial spraying?
 - A. ATXI is aware that the presence of overhead electrical lines and/or other types of above-ground structures pose the potential to impact aerial application; however, ATXI does not agree with the premise that the placement of a transmission line upon a farm field precludes the landowner from utilizing aerial application per se. ATXI recognizes the flight pattern used in the past by the aerial applicator may need to be modified to account for the location of a transmission line. For example, when applying chemicals near the power line, the applicator may have to fly parallel to the line when he or she may have otherwise flown perpendicular. The true impact, if any, the transmission line may have on the use of aerial application of chemicals is specific to each property and dependent upon the applicator's expertise and experience level. As stated previously in my testimony, ATXI's offers of compensation will be based on the market value of each property. If the presence of the transmission line on this Project impacts the use of aerial application, and if this impact has an effect on the market value of the property, then this impact will be reflected in the easement compensation offer. As stated above, potential aerial application impacts are specific to each property and will be discussed individually with landowners during negotiations for the property rights being sought by ATXI.
 - Q. Earlier you referred to some specific Staff proposed conditions, which were in Staff witness Dan Beck's rebuttal testimony, at pages 16-17. Have you reviewed those carefully?

23 A. Yes.

Date 1 28/16 Reporter 11
File No. EA - 2015 - 6/46