STATE OF MISSOURI 1 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 4 5 Early Prehearing Conference 6 7 September 3, 2009 Jefferson City, Missouri 8 Volume 1 9 10 In the Matter of Union Electric ) Company d/b/a AmerenUE's Tariffs )Case No. ER-2010-0036 11 to Increase its Annual Revenues ) for Electric Service ) 12 13 14 MORRIS L. WOODRUFF, Presiding DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 15 16 17 18 19 20 REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR Midwest Litigation Services 21 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 Jefferson City, MO 65109 22 (573) 636-7551 23 24 25

| 1  | APPEARANCES  |
|----|--|
| 2  | For Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission:             |
| 3  | Mr. Nathan Williams<br>and Mr. Steven Dottheim                   |
| 4  | Public Service Commission<br>P.O. Box 360                        |
| 5  | 200 Madison Street<br>Jefferson City, MO 65102                   |
| 6  | (573) 751-8702   |
| 7  | For Office of Public Counsel and the Public:                     |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | Mr. Lewis Mills<br>Office of the Public Counsel<br>P.O. Box 2230 |
| 10 | 200 Madison Street<br>Jefferson City, MO 65102                   |
| 11 | Derrerson City, MO 05102   |
| 12 | For Union Electric Company:                                      |
| 13 | Mr. Thomas M. Byrne<br>and Wendy K. Tatro                        |
| 14 | Attorneys at Law<br>1901 Chouteau Avenue                         |
| 15 | St. Louis, MO 63103<br>(314) 554-2514                            |
| 16 | Mr. James B. Lowery  |
| 17 | Smith Lewis, LLC<br>111 S. 9th Street, Suite 200                 |
| 18 | Columbia, MO 65201<br>(573) 443-3141                             |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | For Charter Communications, Inc.:                                |
| 21 | Mr. Mark W. Comley<br>Newman, Comley & Ruth                      |
| 22 | 601 Monroe Street, Suite 301<br>P.O. Box 537                     |
| 23 | Jefferson City, MO 65102-0537<br>(573) 634-2266                  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |

1 For Missouri Energy Group: 2 Ms. Lisa Langeneckert Sandberg, Phoenix & von Gontard, PC 3 515 North 6th Street, #1500 St. Louis, MO 63101-1880 4 (314) 446-4238 5 For Missouri Retailers Association: 6 Mr. Thomas R. Schwarz, Jr. 7 Blitz, Bardgett & Deutsch 308 East High Street, Suite 301 8 Jefferson City, MO 65101 (573) 634-2500 9 Mr. Samuel E. Overfelt 10 Attorney at Law 2009 Greenberry Road 11 Jefferson City, MO 65101 (573) 635-8927 12 13 For Missouri Department of Natural Resources: 14 Ms. Shelley A. Woods 15 Office of the Attorney General 221 W. High Street P.O. Box 899 16 Jefferson City, MO 65102 17 (573) 751-8464 18 For Missouri ACORN: 19 20 Mr. Thomas G. Glick Danna McKittrick 21 7701 Forsyth, Suite 800 Clayton, MO 63105 22 (314) 726-1000 23 24 25

For AARP and Consumers Council of Missouri: 1 2 Mr. John Coffman Attorney at Law 3 871 Tuxedo Boulevard St. Louis, MO 63119 4 (314) 424-6779 5 For Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers: 6 Ms. Diana Vuylsteke 7 Bryan Cave, LLP 211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600 8 St. Louis, MO 63102 (314) 259-2543 9 10 For Midwest Energy Users Association: 11 Mr. David Woodsmall Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson 12 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209 Kansas City, MO 64111 13 (573) 893-8079 14 For IBEW Union and Operating Engineers: 15 Ms. Sherrie Schroder 16 Hammond & Shinners 7730 Carondelet, Suite 200 17 St. Louis, MO 63105 (314) 727-1015 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: This is the prehearing 3 conference in Case No. ER-2010-0036 which concerns 4 AmerenUE's request for a rate increase. 5 We'll begin today by taking entries of 6 appearance, beginning with AmerenUE. 7 MR. BYRNE: Your Honor, my name is Thomas M. 8 Byrne. I'm representing Union Electric Company doing business as AmerenUE. My address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue, 9 10 St. Louis, Missouri, 63103. And Wendy K. Tatro is also 11 representing AmerenUE. 12 MR. LOWERY: Good morning, your Honor. James B. 13 Lowery, Smith Lewis, LLP, 111 South 9the Street, Suite 200, Columbia, Missouri, 65201, also appearing on behalf 14 of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE. 15 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Appearance for Staff? 17 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Judge. Kevin 18 Thompson, Chief Staff Counsel, Steven Dottheim, Chief 19 20 Deputy Staff Counsel, Nathan Williams, Deputy Staff 21 Counsel, Eric Dearmont, Assistant Staff Counsel, P.O. Box 22 360, Jefferson City Missouri, 65102. 23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. And for Office of 24 Public Counsel? MR. MILLS: On behalf of the Office of Public 25

1 Counsel and the public, my name is Lewis Mills. My 2 address is Post Office Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri, 3 65102. 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. For MEG? 5 MS. LANGENECKERT: Here on behalf of Missouri б Energy Group, Lisa C. Langeneckert from the law firm of 7 Sandberg, Phoenix & Von Gontard, 515 North 6th Street, 8 Suite 1500, St. Louis, Missouri, 63101. 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. And for the 10 Consumers Council? 11 MR. COFFMAN: Appearing on behalf of the Consumers Council of Missouri and appearing on behalf of 12 13 AARP, John B. Coffman, 871 Tuxedo Boulevard, St. Louis, 14 Missouri, 63119. JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. I don't believe 15 16 there's anyone here from Laclede. They had contacted and 17 asked to be excused today, and I granted that. Charter 18 Communications? MR. COMLEY: Good morning, Judge Woodruff. On 19 20 behalf of Charter Communications, Inc., let the record 21 reflect the entry of Mark W. Comley, Newman, Comley & 22 Ruth, 601 Monroe, Suite 301, Jefferson City, Missouri. And also representing Charter Communications, although not 23 24 appearing today, is John C. Dodge, Davis, Wright, Tremain,

25 LLP, 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue Northwest, No. 200,

б

Washington, D.C, 20006. 1 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. For MIEC? 3 MS. VUYLSTEKE: For the Missouri Industrial 4 Energy Consumers, Diane Vuylsteke of the law firm Bryan 5 Cave, 211 North Broadway, Suite 3600, St. Louis, Missouri, 6 63102. 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. And AARP was 8 Mr. Coffman. For the unions? 9 MS. SCHRODER: Sherrie Schroder. Can you guys 10 hear me? 11 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I can. Yes. 12 MS. SCHRODER: All right. Sherrie Schroder, Hammonds & Shinners, 773 Carondelet, Suite 200, St. Louis, 13 Missouri, 63105. Mike Evans is also appearing for the 14 unions, but is not here today. 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. For Missouri ACORN? 16 17 MR. GLICK: Tom Glick from -- Tom Glick from Danna McKittrick, 7701 Forsyth, Suite 800, Clayton, 18 Missouri, 63105. 19 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. For the Missouri 21 Retailers Association? 22 MR. SCHWARTZ: Judge, Tim Schwartz and Jim 23 Deutsch from the law firm of Blitz, Bardgett & Deutsch 24 here in Jefferson City, and Mr. Sam overfelt. We have 25 provided the court reporter with our address and phone

1 numbers and so forth.

2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. MUDGE MUCK (ph.) is 3 also a party. Let the -- also asked to be excused from 4 the hearing -- or from the pre-hearing, and that will be 5 granted. MDNR? б MS. WOODS: Shelley Woods, Assistant Attorney General, Post Office Box 899, Jefferson City, Missouri. 7 8 65102, appearing on behalf of the Missouri Department of 9 Natural Resources. 10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. The Midwest Energy 11 Association? MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you, your Honor. 12 13 Appearing on behalf of Midwest Energy Users Association, David Woodsmall and Stu Conrad from Finnegan, Conrad & 14 Peterson. I've already entered my address into the 15 16 record. 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. And for the NRDC? 18 Is anyone here for Natural Resources Defense Counsel?

19 All right. Well, we're here to get started 20 today on the coming up of the procedural schedule to 21 revolve this case. By previous order, I asked you to give 22 me an agreed-upon schedule by the 10th of September. So, 23 hopefully, you'll be able to work that out today. 24 I know there's several issues that will be

25 coming up today involving test year and true-up. Based on

the filings that we've already seen, it looks like there's
 no disagreement about the test year. It will be ending on
 March 31st of 2009.

But there was some disagreement about the
true-up dates. I believe the company wanted February
28th. Staff said January 31st. And one of the parties
suggested January 1st.

8 If the parties can reach some kind of consensus 9 on that today, that would be great. If not, tee it up for 10 the Commission in your proposed procedural schedule, and the Commission will make a decision on -- on the true-up, 11 although that may also affect, I assume, your proposed 12 13 procedural schedule. So work it out as best you can, I 14 guess. And if you can't, we'll -- we'll make the decision for you. 15

Also, we need to know a schedule for local public hearings or suggested dates for local public hearings and location. Again, that was ordered in the previous -- previous order to -- and we get the recommendations by September 10th. And we'll need a recommendation about the -- the notice to be given to the -- to the public.

The other thing I wanted to talk about today is the interim rate increase request in the tariff that is scheduled to go into effect on October 1st. If you

1 watched the agenda yesterday, the Commissioners discussed 2 that. They suggested that we have a -- oral arguments on 3 September 14th. Does anyone have any objection to doing 4 that on September 14th? Mr. Byrne? 5 MR. BYRNE: Not from the company, your Honor. б JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. I'll -- I'll do an 7 order, then, scheduling that. The Commissioners are 8 particularly interested in knowing whether we will, in 9 fact, need to have an evidentiary hearing, whatever decision they decide to make on that -- on the interim 10 rate increase. So that's something that be prepared to 11 12 discuss that at the oral argument. 13 While the issues be -- is there any need for a 14 separate audit on those -- on those costs related to the interim rate increase? 15 16 Anything anyone wants to bring up with the 17 interim rate increase? Mr. Mills, you look like you want 18 to say something. MR. MILLS: Yes. Judge, I think before the 19 20 parties can assess whether or not -- and what would be 21 done at -- whether or not an evidentiary hearing was 22 necessary and what would be done there, we would need some guidance from the Commission on what standard the 23 24 Commission would intend to apply. 25 There's been historical emergency -- near

emergency standard that everyone is familiar with. If the Commission has some intention of changing that, then I think it would be -- it would be hard to fashion a worthwhile evidentiary hearing if we don't know the evidentiary standard.

6 So I think before you -- before the Commission 7 would schedule an evidentiary hearing, I think it would be 8 helpful for them to tell us what evidentiary standard they 9 intend to apply.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: That's certainly something that could be brought up at the oral argument. The Commission needs guidance on this, also. And I have no idea what the Gommission is wanting to do on this.

14 So that's kind of the idea of the -- of the oral 15 argument is to -- to lay out for the Commission how they 16 should be proceeding on this.

17 MR. BYRNE: Your Honor, would -- would we have 18 all day September 14th? Is that a full day that we could 19 have for oral argument if need be, or is there a 20 limitation on it?

21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I believe the Commissioners 22 indicated they would like to start in the afternoon.

23 MR. BYRNE: Okay.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: So we'll -- we'll have to limit ourselves a little bit on the amount of time.

| 1  | MR. LOWERY: And, your Honor, based on the                  |
|----|--|
| 2  | comment that you just made in response to Mr. Mills'       |
| 3  | question, I take it that you don't have any expectation    |
| 4  | the Commission is going to be making any decision about a  |
| 5  | standard, so to speak, until after that oral argument? In  |
| 6  | fact, part of the issue or part of the discussion that     |
| 7  | they expect to lake place at that oral argument is for the |
| 8  | parties to give some perspective on that and so on?        |
| 9  | JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes.                                       |
| 10 | MR. LOWERY: Thank you.                                     |
| 11 | JUDGE WOODRUFF: Looking at it myself, I think              |
| 12 | Mr. Mills indicated that that is a very key point as to    |
| 13 | what standard we would apply. And it may be on that        |
| 14 | which, if the Commission were to decide that emergency     |
| 15 | standard is still be applied, then maybe that decides      |
| 16 | the case.  |
| 17 | I again, that's something for the parties to               |
| 18 | to tell us about. Ms. Vuylsteke?                           |
| 19 | MS. VUYLSTEKE: Judge Woodruff, I take it from              |
| 20 | your comment and from what the Commission said at agenda   |
| 21 | that this is intended only for argument by the attorneys   |
| 22 | and that the Commission does not intend for for any        |
| 23 | witnesses to appear, although the Commission's decision    |
| 24 | about what standard to apply could be informed by the      |
| 25 | testimony of regulatory witnesses.                         |

1 I just wanted to make sure that we're not 2 surprised and that nobody intends to bring any witnesses. 3 If they do, we certainly -- you know, we've already 4 submitted the affidavit of Mike Gorman, and he certainly 5 would be available to deal with the threshold issue of 6 what standard should apply on a technical basis while we 7 -- I would obviously deal with the legal issues. 8 I just don't -- I want to make sure if we don't 9 bring our witness, nobody's going to bring any witnesses, 10 and it's just going to be the lawyers. 11 JUDGE WOODRUFF: That is the intent is to hear 12 arguments from the attorneys, not to take evidence at 13 these proceedings. If we do need to have an evidentiary hearing, that will be scheduled separately, whenever that 14 15 maybe. 16 Okay. Anything else anyone wants to bring up 17 while we're on the record? All right. I'm not hearing anything. So at this point, the on-the-record portion of 18 this proceeding is adjourned. And I'll leave you to your 19 20 -- to your discussions. 21 (The proceedings were concluded at 10:14 a.m. 22 on September 3, 2009.) 23 24

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 2 3 STATE OF MISSOURI ) )ss. 4 COUNTY OF OSAGE ) 5 6 I, Monnie S. Mealy, Certified Shorthand Reporter, 7 Certified Court Reporter #0538, and Registered 8 Professional Reporter, and Notary Public, within and for 9 the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that I was 10 personally present at the proceedings as set forth in the 11 caption sheet hereof; that I then and there took down in 12 stenotype the proceedings had at said time and was thereafter transcribed by me, and is fully and accurately 13 set forth in the preceding pages. 14 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and 16 seal on September 6, 2009. 17 18 19 20 21 Monnie S. Mealy, CSR, CCR #0539 22 Registered Professional Reporter 23 24 25