# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Status Conference

October 7, 2010

Jefferson City, Missouri

Volume 3

In The Matter Of The Application)
Of Kansas City Power & Light )
Company For Approval To Make )File No.ER-2010-0355
Certain Changes In Its Charges )and ER-2010-0356
For Electric Service To Continue)
The Implementation Of Its )
Regulatory Plan )

RONALD D. PRIDGIN, Presiding
SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
NANCY DIPPELL,
DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW
JUDGE

REPORTED BY: Shelley L. Mayer, CCR TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

```
1
                        APPEARANCES
   MR. NATHAN WILLIAMS
   MR. STEVE DOTTHEIM
 3
   MS. JAIME OTT
       Public Service Commission
 4
       P.O. Box 360
       Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
 5
       573.751.7489
   FOR: PSC Staff
 6
 7
   MR. ROBERT WAGNER
       9005 North Chatham Avenue
 8
       Kansas City, Missouri 64154
       913.244.7608
   FOR: Robert Wagner - Pro Se
10
11
   MR. CARL LUMLEY
       1300 South Bemiston, Suite 200
12
       Clayton, Missouri 63105
       314.725.8488
13
   FOR: Dogwood Energy, LLC
14
15
   MR. LEWIS MILLS
       Public Service Commission
       P.O. Box 2230
16
       Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
17
   FOR: Public Counsel
18
   MR. DAVID WOODSMALL
       Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson
19
       420 East Capitol, Suite 300
       Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
20
       573.635.2700
   FOR: Praxair, MEUA, AGP, SIEUA
21
22
   MR. DEAN L. COOPER
       Brydon, Swearengen & England
23
       P.O. Box 456
       Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456
24
       573.635.7166
25
   FOR: Southern Union Co. d/b/a Missouri Gas Energy
```

1	APPEARANCES
<ul> <li>MR. JAMES C. SWEARENGEN         Brydon, Swearengen &amp; England</li> <li>312 East Capitol         Jefferson City, Missouri 65102</li> </ul>	Brydon, Swearengen & England
	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
4	FOR: The Empire District Electric Company
5	
6	MR. ROGER W. STEINER Sonnenchein Nath & Rosenthal
7	4520 Main Street, Suite 1100 Kansas City, Missouri 64111
8	FOR: KCP&L, GMO
9	
10	MR. JAMES M. FISCHER
11	Fischer & Dority 101 Madison, Suite 400
12	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 573.636.6758
13	FOR: KCP&L, Co., KCPL Greater Missouri Operations
14	
15	MR. ARTHUR BRUDER (Appearing by telephone) Department of Energy
16	1000 Independence Avenue Southwest Washington, D.C. 20024
	FOR: Federal Executive Agencies
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Good afternoon. We're on
2	the record. This is the status conference hearing in
3	the Case No. ER-2010-0355 and 2010-0356. I'm Ron
4	Pridgin; I am the regulatory law judge in the 0355
5	case or KCP&L case. And we have Judge Dippell for
6	the 0356 case.
7	I would like to get entries of appearance
8	from counsel, and I think I just heard some people
9	buzz in on the telephone. If you have just entered
10	the phone bridge, could you please identify
11	yourself?
12	MR. BRUDER: I'm Perry Bruder, I'm from
13	the Federal Executive Agencies.
14	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Bruder, thank you.
15	MR. BRUDER: Thank you.
16	JUDGE PRIDGIN: And, Mr. Bruder, I guess
17	since could you go ahead and enter your appearance
18	while I'm thinking of it please.
19	MR. BRUDER: United States Department of
20	Energy and Federal Executive Agencies in Case Docket
21	No. 2010-0355. Arthur Perry Bruder, 1000
22	Independence Avenue Southwest, Washington, D.C.
23	20024.
24	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Bruder, thank you.
25	Has anyone else joined the phone bridge

1	here in the last five minutes or so? All right,
2	thank you.
3	Let me get entries of appearance for
4	those who are appearing in Jefferson City, starting
5	with the companies please or the company.
6	MR. STEINER: Roger W. Steiner appearing
7	for Kansas City Power & Light Company, address is on
8	the was given to the court reporter. And I'll let
9	Mr. Fischer enter his appearance.
10	MR. FISCHER: James M. Fischer, Fischer &
11	Dority, PC, 101 Madison, Suite 400, Jefferson City,
12	Missouri.
13	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Steiner, thank you;
14	Mr. Fischer, thank you.
15	On behalf of the staff of the Commission
16	please.
17	MR. DOTTHEIM: Steven Dottheim, Jaime
18	Ott, Nathan Williams appearing on behalf of the State
19	of Missouri Public Service Commission, Post Office
20	Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
21	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Dottheim, thank you.
22	It might go faster if we go by name instead of the
23	client. I'll make fewer mistakes.
24	Mr. Mills?
25	MR. MILLS: Yes. On behalf of the Office

1	of Public Counsel and public my name is Lewis Mills.
2	My address is Post Office Box 2230, Jefferson City,
3	Missouri 65102.
4	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Mills, thank you.
5	Mr. Woodsmall?
6	MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you. Appearing on
7	behalf of Praxair, Midwest Energy Users Association
8	in the 0355 case and Ag Processing and SIEUA in the
9	0356 case, David Woodsmall with the firm of Finnegan,
10	Conrad & Peterson.
11	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Woodsmall, thank
12	you.
13	Mr. Lumley?
14	MR. LUMLEY: Thank you, Judge. Carl
15	Lumley appearing for Dogwood Energy, LLC in both
16	cases, address on file.
17	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Lumley, thank you.
18	Mr. Wagner?
19	MR. WAGNER: Robert Wagner, pro se
20	intervenor on 355 and 356. My address is 9005 North
21	Chatham, Kansas City, Missouri.
22	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Wagner, thank you.
23	Mr. Cooper?
24	MR. COOPER: Dean L. Cooper appearing on
25	behalf of Southern Union Company doing business as

1	Missouri Gas Energy.
2	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Cooper, thank you.
3	Mr. Swearengen?
4	MR. SWEARENGEN: James C. Swearengen for
5	The Empire District Electric Company. I made a
6	written entry of appearance.
7	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Swearengen, thank
8	you.
9	Have I missed anyone?
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'll just note that we
11	did have a few people email and call in to be excused
12	and that was Mr. Coffman, Ms. Mangelsdorf on behalf
13	of Department of Natural Resources and Mr. Healy on
14	behalf of the municipals and Mr. Lowry on behalf of
15	Union Electric.
16	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. With that,
17	I'm trying to kind of recall basically where we are
18	on the case. I believe we got a true-up filing from
19	KCP&L yesterday stating they did not wish to adjust
20	the true-up date. I think we got a monthly report
21	from Staff recently, and I don't know if there's
22	anything that the parties would want or need to bring
23	to our attention as far as discovery matters,
24	procedural matters. Anything you need to inform
25	Mr. Dottheim?

MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes. On a procedural
matter if I could refer the the Bench to the
Commission's order of July 7, 2010, the order titled,
Order Regarding Construction and Prudence Audits, it
is paragraph seven. The first certain the first
sentence there are two sentences: Copies of all
data requests, interrogatories, requests for
production of documents, notices of depositions,
depositions by written questions, or any other
discovery device already issued by the parties in
these matters shall be filed immediately in these
files. The second sentence is, Copies of any data
requests, interrogatories, requests for production of
documents, notices of depositions, depositions by
written questions, or any other discovery device
employed by any party after issuance of this order
shall be filed on the same date it is issued.
The Staff has not literally filed, for
example, data requests in file number ER-2010-0355 or
file number ER-2010-0356. The Staff has proceeded as
it has previously in other cases by putting into
EIFS in the data request facet

MR. BRUDER: Sorry to interrupt, this is Perry Bruder. I'm not able to hear. Can we get the mic a little closer to Mr. Dottheim?

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes, yes. 2 MR. BRUDER: Thank you. 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's try moving the 4 phone back down on the witness table. It seemed to 5 maybe pick up everybody's voice a little better 6 there. 7 MR. DOTTHEIM: The Staff has been 8 submitting into EIFS data requests that it has issued 9 in the 0355 and the 0356 case and has been placing -or the company has been placing into EIFS responses 10 11 in 0355 and 0356 into EIFS. So the materials 12 relating to Staff data requests have not literally 13 been filed in the case. Also I would -- I would note because of 14 15 the first sentence in paragraph seven and also since 16 the order of the Commission dealt with the 17 construction and prudence audits, the Staff did not 18 literally take all data requests that previously had 19 been issued relating to Iatan 1 and Iatan 2 and 20 either submit them into EIFS for the 0355 and the 21 0356 case or literally file them into EIFS for the 22 0355 and the 0356 case. 23 what had previously happened and the 24 Staff was hoping to compound any confusion was that 25 when the Commission went from the 0089, that is the

ER-2009-0089 case and the ER-0-- excuse me, the ER-2009-0090 cases, that is the 2009 KCP&L and GMO rate cases, when those cases were closed in essence, the Commission opened the EO-2010-0259 case. The Staff had taken the data requests from 0089 case and the 0090 case and entered them into EIFS in the 0259 case. So the Staff sought not to take those data requests and the responses and then enter them into the 0355 case and the 0356 case. They are in EIFS in the 0259 case. The Staff had to renumber the data requests and the data request responses from the 0090 case, but did not in essence do that for the 0089 case.

The Staff can put together and file an actual tracking mechanism for -- to show how the numbers on the data requests have changed from case to case, but -- I'm sorry to go through all of this, but I did at some point want to explain that the Staff was not in literal compliance with the Commission's July 7, 2010, order in the 0355 and the 0356 case.

And I think that there are other parties that are not in literal compliance with the Commission's order. And I don't know whether the Commission literally intended what it ordered; and if

1	it didn't intend that, then I can only speak for the
2	staff, we're not in compliance with that section,
3	that paragraph seven in the Commission's July 7, 2010
4	order.
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: In I believe the last
6	status conference, we told you that was fine to hold
7	off, don't do anything further until we had clarified
8	that. Judge Pridgin and I have not had an
9	opportunity to actually issue an order clarifying
10	that.
11	MR. DOTTHEIM: Previously I had indicated
12	that regarding depositions that
13	JUDGE DIPPELL: Right.
14	MR. DOTTHEIM: that happened, and I
15	did not go into this matter regarding the data
16	requests.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: So with regard to
18	physically transferring them, I would say now at this
19	point that, no, you do not have to physically
20	transfer them from one case to another. I don't
21	believe Staff's time would be well spent providing a
22	chart of renumbering and so forth.
23	The goal is not to create more work for
24	the parties; the goal was to make sure that all of
25	the relevant information was accessible from all of

the various cases.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, Mr. Woodsmall had brought up also that he had an issue at the last status conference about the fact that the EO case was not in fact a contested case and therefore not everybody was a party and had an opportunity to cross-examine that and wanted -- I believe the words in your motion for clarification were to ask the Commission to make a determination that they wouldn't rely on any of that information. The Commission's not going to go that far, but I will say that those documents in the previous cases are just like documents in any other previous cases or discovery in any other previous cases. And therefore, if they're part of your evidence in this case, you'll need to present them as evidence and then they'll need to be able to be cross-examined and withstand all of the other tests of whether or not they're substantial and competent evidence.

Mr. Woodsmall?

MR. WOODSMALL: So as we stand right now, they are not part of the record. The record will start brand new at the hearing?

JUDGE DIPPELL: Right. They are not part of the evidentiary record.

1	MR. WOODSMALL: Okay.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: They are part of the
3	files and the housekeeping just like any other. But
4	like I say, the point to that order was to make sure
5	that everyone had access to the various information,
6	not to create more housekeeping work and everything.
7	And certainly not to automatically enter things into
8	evidence that weren't had not been gone through
9	the proper channels for evidence.
10	MR. WOODSMALL: Okay.
11	JUDGE DIPPELL: So I hope that clarifies
12	that. If we still need to put out an order or notice
13	or something amending that order further, we can do
14	that.
15	Does that answer your questions,
16	Mr. Dottheim?
17	MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes, it does. Thank you.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.
19	JUDGE PRIDGIN: And I don't know if the
20	parties have any other any other matters to bring
21	to the attention of the Commission. Mr. Wagner has
22	his hand up. And Mr. Dottheim
23	MR. DOTTHEIM: I have one other matter,
24	but if Mr. Wagner wants to address something, that's
25	fine.

1	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Wagner?
2	MR. WAGNER: Yes. One of my as a pro
3	se intervenor, one of my data requests was marked
4	confidential, and the company's refusing to release
5	that, saying I need to hire counsel. I was wondering
6	if a pro se intervenor that has filed a nondisclosure
7	agreement, if that's appropriate or not.
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: I believe that that would
9	be a matter for you to bring to the Commission if you
10	believe that there is a data request that's not being
11	responded to in the matter that you believe it should
12	be.
13	Mr. Dottheim?
14	MR. DOTTHEIM: Maybe if Mr. Wagner can
15	elaborate as to what he means by the company won't
16	release it.
17	MR. WAGNER: Yes. On 9/24 I called and
18	spoke with Mr. Tim Rush about marking data request
19	number six, part of the answer as confidential. And
20	in speaking with him, he responded that the company
21	would not release that, that I would need to hire
22	counsel.
23	MR. DOTTHEIM: Meaning he won't provide
24	an answer? I'm still having
25	MR. WAGNER: It was part of one of the

1	answers. He responded to part of it, and then he
2	said there's another publication available but it's
3	marked confidential.
4	MR. DOTTHEIM: And as a consequence he
5	won't provide the information or the publication that
6	is confidential?
7	MR. WAGNER: Correct.
8	MR. DOTTHEIM: And he won't provide it to
9	you without you without there being counsel
10	because the confidential material will only be
11	provided to counsel and not to you?
12	MR. WAGNER: Correct. But by definition
13	I am my own counsel, so I thought that was a little
14	confusing.
15	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I think that probably the
16	quickest way to try to answer your question is to
17	refer you to Commission rules on discovery disputes,
18	and that would be to basically set up a telephone
19	conference with the regulatory law judge, in this
20	case we have two of them. I don't know who I
21	don't recall which case, if you're in the 355 or 356
22	case; you're probably in 355.
23	MR. WAGNER: I'm in both.
24	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Then I don't know
25	if we jointly want to do one or one of us handle the

1	phone conference and see if we can resolve the
2	dispute that way before you file some sort of motion
3	to compel to try to get the discovery that you
4	believe you're entitled to.
5	MR. WAGNER: Thank you.
6	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.
7	And I'm sorry, Mr. Dottheim, you had
8	something else?
9	MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes. At the first monthly
10	status hearing I had indicated that the Staff will
11	want to ask the Commission for the appointment of a
12	special master. We haven't obviously filed anything
13	with the Commission. We will shortly.
14	We've had we have talked with the
15	company; we will continue to talk. We are going to
16	talk once we go off the off the record regarding
17	that. We are attempting to get ourselves or I am
18	attempting to get myself organized I guess for that,
19	and it has taken a while unfortunately and I
20	apologize.
21	But the Staff is is still very much
22	intent in going in that in that direction and I
23	think the regulatory law judges and the Commission
24	should expect something shortly.
25	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Dottheim, thank you.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Does that go along,
2	Mr. Dottheim, with the Kansas City Power & Light just
3	recently filed their marked up, highly confident
4	highly confidential version of Staff's report, isn't
5	that correct? And will that does that go along
6	with that? One of the questions I wanted to ask was
7	do we need to set a deadline for Staff to respond
8	whether they agreed with that markup or?
9	MR. DOTTHEIM: No. This deals with the
10	Staff's discovery data request to the company,
11	documents that the company has asserted are covered
12	by attorney/client privilege or attorney work product
13	or documents that are covered by accountant/client
14	privilege or any other privilege that might be
15	recognized under Missouri statute.
16	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. What about the
17	Staff report markup, has Staff had any opportunity to
18	review that at this point?
19	MR. DOTTHEIM: The Staff traditionally
20	hasn't sought to challenge assertions by the
21	utility. That information is falls under either
22	the highly confidential or proprietary designation
23	unless the Staff truly believes it's a it's an
24	abuse. The Staff has traditionally chosen to

otherwise utilize its time.

25

1	The Staff at this time had not intended
2	to file anything in opposition to the designations
3	that the company had made regarding highly
4	confidential or proprietary regarding the Staff's
5	report filed on August 6th.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay, thank you.
7	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I don't know that I have
8	anything else. Do the parties have anything else?
9	Mr. Wagner?
LO	MR. WAGNER: I've got one other question.
L1	Regarding the Commission's motion for the public
L2	hearings, there was a statement in there that the
L3	interested parties could prepare a statement for the
L4	public hearings, and I was trying to determine if
L5	this is an oral statement or it's a written statement
L6	or handouts that could be provided at the public
L7	hearing. Just trying to get some more clarification
L8	on if there's any procedure, anything to go through
L9	to do that.
20	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I don't know and I'll
21	let Judge Dippell speak if she wants to. I don't
22	know if there's necessarily a right or wrong way to
23	do it. It's just more the public hearings are
24	obviously to get testimony from the public in the

format that generally the company and staff and

25

Public Counsel and then other parties, if they wish, have the chance to listen to the public and answer their questions, maybe tell the public what their positions are on the different issues. And so other than those broad guidelines, I don't know that there's necessarily, you know, a preferred format or right or wrong way to do anything.

JUDGE DIPPELL: I think we have required the company and staff and Public Counsel to provide a written document that is handed out at the beginning. This is sort of a new process that the Commission has started, but it seems to have worked well in the few hearings that we've done it in.

And so that was -- as Judge Pridgin was saying, I believe we lifted open the opportunity for the other parties to do similar, but it was not required. And also at the beginning of those hearings, then someone from Staff and the company and Public Counsel will physically be in front of the room to answer questions before the testimony is taken, just to try to get as many -- get as much information out to the customers as possible.

And with that, everyone, I assume, saw that an additional hearing was set after Wednesday's order, another -- trying to get another hearing in

1	the Kansas City area that I believe that is the last
2	one that will be set unless something comes up.
3	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Anything further from the
4	parties? All right. Hearing nothing, if we can
5	just I'll ask Mr. Dottheim if you'll just
6	disconnect the call whenever you're done.
7	And if there's nothing further, we will
8	go off the record. Thank you very much.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	317(103 COM ENCINCE 10 07 2010			
1	CERTIFICATE			
2	I, Shelley L. Mayer, a Certified Court Reporter,			
3	CCR No. 679, the officer before whom the foregoing			
4	hearing was taken, do hereby certify that the			
5	testimony which appears in the foregoing hearing was			
6	taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter			
7	reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am			
8	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any			
9	of the parties to the action in which this hearing			
10	was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or			
11	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the			
12	parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise			
13	interested in the outcome of the action.			
14				
15				
16				
17	Shelley L. Mayer, CCR			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				

23

24

25

	STATUS CONFERE	NCE 10-07-2010	
0	54:20	access 62:5	APPEARANCES
<b>0089</b> 58:25 59:5,12	<del></del>	accessible	51:1 52:1
<b>0090</b> 59:6,11	4 <b>400</b> 52:11	60:25	<b>appearing</b> 52:14
<b>0030</b> 59:0,11 <b>0259</b> 59:6,10	54:11	accountant/ client	54:4,6,18
<b>0355</b> 53:4	<b>420</b> 51:19	66:13	55:6,15,24
55:8	<b>4520</b> 52:7	action	appears 70:5
58:9,11,20,2	<b>456</b> 51:23	70:9,13	Application 50:9
2 59:9,20 <b>0356</b> 53:6		actual 59:15	appointment
55:9	5	actually 60:9	65:11
58:9,11,21,2 2 59:9,21	<b>573.635.2700</b> 51:20	additional 68:24	<b>appropriate</b> 63:7
	<b>573.635.7166</b> 51:24	<b>address</b> 54:7 55:2,16,20	Approval
$\frac{1}{1}$ 58:19	573.636.6758	62:24	50:10
	52:12	adjust 56:19	<b>area</b> 69:1
<b>1000</b> 52:15 53:21	<b>573.751.7489</b> 51:5	afternoon 53:1	<b>Arthur</b> 52:14 53:21
<b>101</b> 52:11 54:11		<b>Ag</b> 55:8	<b>asserted</b> 66:11
<b>1100</b> 52:7	6 63105 51:12	Agencies	assertions
<b>1300</b> 51:11	<b>64111</b> 52:7	52:16 53:13,20	66:20
	<b>64154</b> 51:8	AGP 51:21	Association
2 2 58:19	<b>65101</b> 51:20	agreed 66:8	55:7
<b>200</b> 51:11	52:11	agreement	assume 68:23
<b>20024</b> 52:16	<b>65102</b> 51:4,16	63:7	attempting 65:17,18
53:23	52:3 54:20 55:3	ahead 53:17	attention
<b>2009</b> 59:2	65102-0456	already 57:10	56:23 62:21
<b>2010</b> 50:5	51:24	<b>am</b> 53:4 64:13 65:17	attorney
57:3 59:20 60:3	<b>679</b> 70:3	70:7,10	66:12 70:11
2010-0355	<b>6th</b> 67:5	amending	attorney/ client
53:21	7	62:13	66:12
<b>2010-0356</b> 53:3	<b>7</b> 50:5 57:3 59:20 60:3	answer 62:15 63:19,24	<b>audits</b> 57:4 58:17
<b>2230</b> 51:16	39.20 00.3	64:16 68:2,20	August 67:5
55:2	9	answers 64:1	automatically
3	<b>9/24</b> 63:17	anyone 53:25	62:7
3 50:7	<b>9005</b> 51:7	56:9	<b>available</b> 64:2
<b>300</b> 51:19	55:20	anything	Avenue 51:7
<b>312</b> 52:3	<b>913.244.7608</b> 51:8	56:22,24 60:7 65:12	52:15 53:22
314.725.8488		67:2,8,18	
51:12	A	68:7 69:3	<u>B</u> basically
<b>355</b> 55:20 64:21,22	ability 70:6	apologize 65:20	56:17 64:18
<b>356</b> 55:20	<b>able</b> 57:24 61:16	appearance	beginning
64:21	<b>abuse</b> 66:24	53:7,17	68:10,17
<b>360</b> 51:4	<b>4545C</b> 00121	54:3,9 56:6	behalf

	STATUS CONFERE	NCE 10-07-2010	
54:15,18,25	<b>cases</b> 55:16	comes 69:2	64:4
55:7,25 56:12,14	57:21 59:2,3 61:1,12,13,1	<b>Commission</b> 50:1 51:3,15	<pre>construction 57:4 58:17</pre>
<b>believe</b> 56:18 60:5,21 61:7	CCR 50:21	54:15,19 58:16,25	contested
63:8,10,11	70:3,17	59:4,25 61:8	61:5
65:4 68:15 69:1	<b>certain</b> 50:11 57:5	62:21 63:9 64:17	<b>continue</b> 50:11 65:15
believes 66:23	certainly 62:7	65:11,13,23 68:11	Cooper 51:22 55:23,24 56:2
Bemiston 51:11	CERTIFICATE 70:1	Commission's 57:3 59:20,24	Copies
Bench 57:2	Certified	60:3 61:10	57:6,12 <b>correct</b>
best 70:6	70:2	67:11	64:7,12 66:5
better 58:5	certify 70:4	<b>companies</b> 54:5	counsel 51:17
Box 51:4,16,23	<b>challenge</b> 66:20	<b>company</b> 50:10 52:4 54:5,7	53:8 55:1 63:5,22
54:20 55:2	chance 68:2	55:25 56:5	64:9,11,13 68:1,9,19
brand 61:23 bridge	changed 59:16	58:10 63:15,20	70:8,11
53:10,25	Changes 50:11	65:15	<b>court</b> 50:21 54:8 70:2
<b>bring</b> 56:22	channels 62:9	66:10,11 67:3,25	covered
62:20 63:9	Charges 50:11	68:9,18	66:11,13
broad 68:5	<b>chart</b> 60:22 <b>Chatham</b> 51:7	company's	create 60:23
brought 61:2	55:21	63:4	62:6
Bruder 52:14 53:12,14,15,	<b>CHIEF</b> 50:17	compel 65:3	cross-examine 61:6
16,19,21,24	chosen 66:24	<pre>competent 61:18</pre>	cross-
57:23,24 58:2	<b>City</b> 50:6,10 51:4,8,16,20	compliance 59:19,23	<b>examined</b> 61:17
Brydon 51:23 52:2	,24 52:3,7,11	60:2	customers
business 55:25	54:4,7,11,20 55:2,21 66:2	compound 58:24	68:22
buzz 53:9	69:1	conference	D
	clarification 61:8 67:17	50:4 53:2 60:6 61:3	<b>D.C</b> 52:16 53:22
Capitol 51:19	clarified 60:7	64:19 65:1 <b>confident</b>	<b>d/b/a</b> 51:25 <b>data</b>
52:3 Carl 51:11	clarifies	66:3	57:7,12,19,2
55:14	62:11	confidential 63:4,19	2 58:8,12,18 59:5,7,10,11
<b>case</b> 53:3,5,6,20	<b>clarifying</b> 60:9	64:3,6,10 66:4,22 67:4	,16 60:15 63:3,10,18
55:8,9 56:18 58:9,13,21,2	<pre>clayton 51:12 client 54:23</pre>	confusing 64:14	66:10 <b>date</b> 56:20
2 59:1,4,5,6,7	closed 59:3	confusion	57:17
,9,10,12,13, 16,17,21	<b>closed</b> 53:3	58:24	<b>David</b> 51:18 55:9
60:20	<b>Co</b> 51:25 52:12	<b>Conrad</b> 51:19 55:10	deadline 66:7
61:4,5,15 64:20,21,22	Coffman 56:12	consequence	<b>deals</b> 66:9

	STATES CONTERE	1 10 07 2010	
<b>dealt</b> 58:16	66:11,13	59:6	<b>fewer</b> 54:23
<b>Dean</b> 51:22 55:24	<b>Dogwood</b> 51:13 55:15	entitled 65:4 entries 53:7	<b>file</b> 50:10 55:16
definition 64:12	<b>done</b> 68:13 69:6	54:3	57:19,20 58:21 59:14
Department	<b>Dority</b> 52:10	<b>entry</b> 56:6 <b>EO</b> 61:4	65:2 67:2
52:15 53:19 56:13	54:11	E0 61:4 E0-2010-0259	<b>filed</b> 57:11,17,18
depositions	<b>Dottheim</b> 51:2 54:17,21	59:4	58:13 63:6
57:8,9,14	56:25	<b>ER-0</b> 59:1	65:12 66:3 67:5
60:12 <b>DEPUTY</b> 50:17	57:1,25 58:7 60:11,14	ER-2009-0089 59:1	<b>files</b> 57:12 62:3
designation	62:16,17,22, 23	ER-2009-0090	filing 56:18
66:22	63:13,14,23	59:2	financially
designations 67:2	64:4,8 65:7,9,25	ER-2010-0355 53:3 57:19	70:12
determination 61:9	66:2,9,19 69:5	ER-2010-0356 50:11 57:20	<b>fine</b> 60:6 62:25
determine		essence	<b>Finnegan</b> 51:19 55:9
67:14	<u>E</u> East 51:19	59:3,12	firm 55:9
device	52:3	everybody 61:5	first 57:5
57:10,15 different	EIFS 57:22	everybody's	58:15 65:9
68:4	58:8,10,11,2 0,21 59:6,9	58:5	Fischer 52:10 54:9,10,14
<b>Dippell</b> 50:17 53:5 56:10	<b>either</b> 58:20 66:21	<b>everyone</b> 62:5 68:23	five 54:1
58:1,3 60:5,13,17 61:24	<b>elaborate</b> 63:15	<b>everything</b> 62:6	<pre>foregoing   70:3,5</pre>
62:2,11,18	Electric	<b>evidence</b> 61:15,16,19	<b>format</b> 67:25 68:6
63:8 66:1,16 67:6,21 68:8	50:11 52:4 56:5,15	62:8,9	<b>forth</b> 60:22
direction 65:22 70:7	<b>else</b> 53:25 65:8 67:8	<b>evidentiary</b> 61:25	<b>front</b> 68:19
disconnect	<b>email</b> 56:11	example 57:19	G
69:6	Empire 52:4	excuse 59:1	<b>Gas</b> 51:25 56:1
discovery 56:23	56:5	excused 56:11	generally
57:10,15	<b>employed</b> 57:16	Executive 52:16	67:25
61:13 64:17 65:3 66:10	70:8,11	53:13,20	given 54:8
dispute 65:2	employee 70:11	expect 65:24	GMO 52:8 59:2
disputes	Energy	explain 59:18	goal 60:23,24
64:17	51:13,25 52:15 53:20	F	<pre>gone 62:8 Greater 52:12</pre>
<b>District</b> 52:4 56:5	55:7,15 56:1	facet 57:22	<b>guess</b> 53:16
Docket 53:20	England 51:23 52:2	fact 61:4	65:18
document 68:10	enter 53:17	<b>falls</b> 66:21 <b>faster</b> 54:22	<b>guidelines</b> 68:5
documents	54:9 59:8	Federal 52:16	
57:8,14	62:7	53:13,20	Н
61:11,12	entered 53:9		

	STATUS CONFERE	NCE 10-07-2010	
hand 62:22	52:15 53:22	53:1,4,5,14,	51:13 55:15
handed 68:10	indicated	16,24 54:13,21	<b>Lowry</b> 56:14
<b>handle</b> 64:25	60:11 65:10	55:4,11,14,1	Lumley 51:11
handouts	<b>inform</b> 56:24	7,22	55:13,14,15, 17
67:16	information 60:25 61:10	56:2,7,10,16 58:1,3	17
happened 58:23 60:14	62:5 64:5	60:5,8,13,17	
haven't 65:12	66:21 68:22	61:24 62:2,11,18,1	Madison 52:11
having 63:24	instead 54:22	9 63:1,8	54:11
Healy 56:13	intend 60:1	64:15,19,24	Main 52:7
hear 57:24	intended	65:6,25 66:1,16	Mangelsdorf 56:12
heard 53:8	59:25 67:1	67:6,7,20,21	marked 63:3
hearing 53:2	intent 65:22	68:8,14 69:3	64:3 66:3
61:23 65:10	interested 67:13 70:13	judges 65:23	marking 63:18
67:17	interrogatori	<b>July</b> 57:3 59:20 60:3	markup
68:24,25 69:4	<b>es</b> 57:7,13	33.20 00.3	66:8,17
70:4,5,9	interrupt	K	master 65:12
hearings	57:23	<b>Kansas</b> 50:10 51:8 52:7	<b>material</b> 64:10
67:12,14,23 68:13,18	intervenor 55:20 63:3,6	54:7 55:21	materials
hereby 70:4	isn't 66:4	66:2 69:1	58:11
highly	issuance	KCP&L 52:8,12	matter 50:9
66:3,4,22	57:16	53:5 56:19 59:2	57:2 60:15 62:23
67:3	issue 60:9	KCPL 52:12	63:9,11
hire 63:5,21	61:3		matters
hold 60:6	<b>issued</b>   57:10,17	L	56:23,24
hope 62:11	58:8,19	<b>last</b> 54:1 60:5 61:3	57:11 62:20
hoping 58:24	issues 68:4	69:1	<b>maybe</b> 58:5 63:14 68:3
housekeeping 62:3,6	it's 64:2	<b>law</b> 50:16,17	Mayer 50:21
	66:23 67:15,23	53:4 64:19	70:2,17
<u> </u>	I've 67:10	65:23	Meaning 63:23
<b>Iatan</b> 58:19	1 10 07.120	Let's 58:3 Lewis 51:15	<b>means</b> 63:15
identify 53:10	J	55:1	mechanism
<b>I'11</b> 54:8,23	<b>Jaime</b> 51:3 54:17	<b>lifted</b> 68:15	59:15
56:10 67:20		<b>Light</b> 50:10	MEUA 51:21
69:5	<b>James</b> 52:2,10 54:10 56:4	54:7 66:2	mic 57:25
I'm	Jefferson	listen 68:2	Midwest 55:7
53:3,12,18 56:17 57:24	50:6	literal	<b>Mills</b> 51:15 54:24,25
59:17 63:24	51:4,16,20,2 4 52:3,11	59:19,23	55:1,4
64:23 65:7	54:4,11,20	literally 57:18	minutes 54:1
immediately 57:11	55:2	58:12,18,21	missed 56:9
Implementatio	joined 53:25	59:25	Missouri
n 50:12	jointly 64:25	<b>little</b> 57:25 58:5 64:13	50:1,6 51:4,8,12,16
Independence	<b>judge</b>   50:16,18	LLC 50:21	,20,24,25
	30.10,10	LLC JU.ZI	52:3,7,11,12

	STATUS CONFERE	NCE 10-07-2010	
54:12,19,20	67:6	phone	55:19 63:2,6
55:3,21 56:1 66:15	<b>open</b> 68:15	53:10,25 58:4 65:1	probably
	opened 59:4		64:15,22
mistakes 54:23	Operations	<b>physically</b> 60:18,19	procedural
monthly 56:20	52:12	68:19	56:24 57:1
65:9	opportunity	<b>pick</b> 58:5	<b>procedure</b> 67:18
motion 61:7	60:9 61:6 66:17 68:15	placing	proceeded
65:2 67:11		58:9,10	57:20
moving 58:3	<b>opposition</b> 67:2	<b>Plan</b> 50:12	PROCEEDINGS
municipals	oral 67:15	please	50:3
56:14	order	53:10,18 54:5,16	process 68:11
myself 65:18	57:3,4,16	point 59:18	Processing
	58:16 59:20,24	60:19 62:4	55:8
N NANCY 50:17	60:4,9	66:18	product 66:12
Nath 52:6	62:4,12,13	positions	production
Nathan 51:2	68:25	68:4	57:8,13
54:18	ordered 59:25	<b>possible</b> 68:22	proper 62:9
<b>Natural</b> 56:13	organized 65:18	Post 54:19	proprietary 66:22 67:4
necessarily	otherwise	55:2	provide 63:23
67:22 68:6	66:25 70:12	Power 50:10	64:5,8 68:9
neither 70:8	<b>ott</b> 51:3	54:7 66:2	provided
No.ER-2010-03	54:18	Praxair 51:21	64:11 67:16
<b>55</b> 50:10	ourselves	55:7	providing
nondisclosure 63:6	65:17	preferred	60:21
	outcome 70:13	68:6	<pre>prudence 57:4     58:17</pre>
nor 70:8,12		prepare 67:13	PSC 51:5
North 51:7 55:20	P.0	present 61:15	
note 56:10	51:4,16,23	Presiding 50:16	<pre>public 50:1    51:3,15,17</pre>
58:14	paragraph	previous	54:19 55:1
nothing	57:5 58:15	61:12,13	67:11,14,16, 23,24
69:4,7	60:3	previously	68:1,2,3,9,1
notice 62:12	<b>parties</b> 56:22 57:10 59:22	57:21	9
notices	60:24 62:20	58:18,23 60:11	publication
57:8,14	67:8,13	Pridgin 50:16	64:2,5
	68:1,16 69:4 70:9,12	53:1,4,14,16	putting 57:21
obviously	•	,24 54:13,21	
65:12 67:24	<b>party</b> 57:16 61:6	55:4,11,17,2 2 56:2,7,16	question
October 50:5	PC 54:11	60:8 62:19	64:16 67:10
Office	people 53:8	63:1	questions
54:19,25	56:11	64:15,24 65:6,25	57:9,15
55:2	Perry	67:7,20	62:15 66:6 68:3,20
officer 70:3	53:12,21	68:14 69:3	quickest
Okay 62:1,10,18	57:24	privilege	64:16
64:24 66:16	<b>Peterson</b> 51:19 55:10	66:12,14	
	31.13 33.10	<b>pro</b> 51:9	

			_ , _ , _
	66:10	<b>seven</b> 57:5	54:18
R rate 59:3	requests	58:15 60:3	statement
	57:7,13,19 58:8,12,18	<b>Shelley</b> 50:21 70:2,17	67:12,13,15
recall 56:17 64:21	59:5,8,11,16	shortly	<b>States</b> 53:19
recently	60:16 63:3	65:13,24	stating 56:19
56:21 66:3	required	SIEUA 51:21	status 50:4
recognized	68:8,17	55:8	53:2 60:6 61:3 65:10
66:15	resolve 65:1	similar 68:16	
record 53:2	Resources	six 63:19	statute 66:15
61:22,25	56:13	someone 68:18	<b>Steiner</b> 52:6 54:6,13
65:16 69:8	respond 66:7		•
reduced 70:7	responded	<b>Sonnenchein</b> 52:6	<b>STEVE</b> 51:2
refer 57:2	63:11,20 64:1	sorry 57:23	Steven 54:17
64:17		59:17 65:7	Street 52:7
refusing 63:4	responses 58:10	<b>sort</b> 65:2	submit 58:20
regard 60:17	59:8,11	68:11	submitting
regarding	review 66:18	sought 59:7	58:8
57:4	Robert 51:7,9	66:20	<b>substantial</b> 61:18
65:16	55:19	<b>South</b> 51:11	
67:3,4,11	Roger 52:6	Southern	<b>Suite</b> 51:11,19
regulatory	54:6	51:25 55:25	52:7,11
50:12,16,17	<b>Ron</b> 53:3	Southwest	54:11
53:4 64:19 65:23	<b>RONALD</b> 50:16	52:15 53:22	<b>sure</b> 60:24
	room 68:20	speak 60:1	62:4
related 70:8	Rosenthal	67:21	Swearengen
relating 58:12,19	52:6	<b>speaking</b> 63:20	51:23 52:2 56:3,4,7
relative	rules 64:17		30.3,4,7
70:10	Rush 63:18	special 65:12	
release	Kusii osi 10	spent 60:21	table 58:4
63:4,16,21	S	<b>spoke</b> 63:18	talk 65:15,16
relevant	<b>saw</b> 68:23	staff 51:5	talked 65:14
60:25	<b>se</b> 51:9 55:19	54:15 56:21 57:18,20	
rely 61:9	63:3,6	58:7,12,17,2	<b>telephone</b> 52:14 53:9
renumber	second 57:12	4 7 7 10 14	64:18
59:10	section 60:2	59:5,7,10,14 ,19 60:2	testimony
renumbering	seemed 58:4	65:10,21	67:24 68:20
60:22	seems 68:12	66:7,17,19,2	70:5
report 56:20	SENIOR 50:16	3,24 67:1,25	<b>tests</b> 61:17
66:4,17 67:5		68:9,18	thank
REPORTED	sentence 57:6,12	Staff's 60:21 66:4,10 67:4	53:14,15,24 54:2,13,14,2
50:20	58:15	stand 61:21	1
reporter 54:8 70:2	sentences		55:4,6,11,14
	57:6	start 61:23	,17,22
REPORTING 50:21	Service	started 68:12	56:2,7 58:2 62:17
request 57:22	50:1,11	starting 54:4	65:5,6,25
59:11	51:3,15 54:19	State 50:1	67:6 69:8
63:10,18	J-1.1.J		<b>that's</b> 62:24

	STATUS CONFERE	NCE 10-07-2010	
63:7,10			
thereafter	V	Y	
70:6	various 61:1	yesterday	
therefore	62:5	56:19	
61:5,14	version 66:4	you'll 61:15	
there's 56:21	<b>voice</b> 58:5	69:5	
64:2	<b>Volume</b> 50:7	yourself	
67:18,22		53:11	
68:6 69:7			
thereto 70:12	Wagner 51:7,9		
they'll 61:16	55:18,19,22		
they're	62:21,24 63:1,2,14,17		
61:14,18	,25		
TIGER 50:21	64:7,12,23		
Tim 63:18	65:5 67:9,10		
titled 57:3	Washington		
tracking	52:16 53:22		
59:15	Wednesday's		
traditionally	68:24		
66:19,24	<b>we're</b> 53:1 60:2		
TRANSCRIPT			
50:3	<b>we've</b> 65:14 68:13		
transfer			
60:20	whenever 69:6		
transferring	<b>whether</b> 59:24 61:18 66:8		
60:18	whom 70:3		
<b>true-up</b>   56:18,20	_		
· ·	<b>Williams</b> 51:2 54:18		
truly 66:23	wish 56:19		
try 58:3 64:16 65:3	68:1		
68:21	withstand		
trying 56:17	61:17		
67:14,17	witness 58:4		
68:25	wondering		
typewriting	63:5		
70:7	Woodsmall		
	51:18		
U	55:5,6,9,11		
unfortunately 65:19	61:2,20,21 62:1,10		
	•		
Union 51:25 55:25 56:15	<b>work</b> 60:23 62:6 66:12		
United 53:19	worked 68:12		
<b>unless</b> 66:23 69:2	<b>written</b> 56:6 57:9,15		
Users 55:7	67:15 68:10		
	wrong 67:22		
utility 66:21	68:7		
utilize 66:25			