1	Page 38 STATE OF MISSOURI					
2						
	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION					
3						
4						
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS					
6	Discovery Conference					
7	June 21, 2012					
8	Jefferson City, Missouri					
9	Volume 3					
10						
11						
12	In the Matter of Union Electric )					
13	Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's ) File No. ER-2012-0166					
14	Tariffs to Increase its Revenues )					
15	for Electric Service )					
16						
17						
18	MORRIS L. WOODRUFF, Presiding,					
19	CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

Page 39 1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 THOMAS BYRNE, Attorney at Law Ameren UE P.O. Box 66149 3 1901 Chouteau Avenue, MC 1310 St. Louis, MO 63103 4 (314)554 - 2237tbyrne@ameren.com 5 JAMES B. LOWERY, Attorney at Law б Smith Lewis, LLP 7 111 South 9th Street, Suite 200 P.O. Box 918 Columbia, MO 65201 8 (573)443 - 31419 lowery@smithlewis.com FOR: Union Electric Company, 10 d/b/a AmerenUE. KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Chief Staff Counsel 11 AMY MOORE, Legal Counsel 12 Missouri Public Service Commission 13 P.O. Box 360 14 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65102 15 (573)751-3234 16 17 FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission. 18 19 20 REPORTED BY: 21 KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR 22 MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES 711 North Eleventh Street 23 St. Louis, MO 63101 24 314-644-2191 25

Page 40 PROCEEDINGS 1 (WHEREUPON, the discovery conference began 2 3 at 1:58 p.m.) 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Welcome everyone. We're here for a discovery conference in Case No. ER-2012-0166, 5 6 which is Ameren Missouri's rate case. We have, I believe, 7 two parties here, Staff and Ameren, and we'll go ahead and take entries of appearance just so it's clear for the 8 record who's here, beginning with the Staff. 9 MR. THOMPSON: Kevin A. Thompson and Amy E. 10 Moore for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service 11 Commission, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 12 13 65102. JUDGE WOODRUFF: For Ameren? 14 15 MR. BYRNE: Tom Byrne for Ameren Missouri, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103. 16 17 MR. LOWERY: And Jim Lowery of the law firm 18 of Smith Lewis, LLP for Ameren Missouri as well, P.O. 19 Box 918, Columbia, Missouri 65205. 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. And we had scheduled these discovery conferences periodically 21 22 throughout the rate case. Staff indicated that they had 23 one matter that they wanted to bring up about one data 24 request. So Mr. Thompson or Ms. Moore, if you want to 25 tell us what's going on here.

1	Page 41 MR. THOMPSON: I certainly will. We're
2	here about Data Request 253. And let me first say that
3	discovery has been going very, very cooperatively in this
4	case, and we do not we're not here to throw stones at
5	the company. The company has been very, very helpful,
6	very cooperative. It's not to say there haven't been some
7	issues that occasionally rear their heads, but you know
8	we've been getting together informally, Staff and the
9	company people, and resolving most of those.
10	So we only have one to bring to you today,
11	Judge, Staff Data Request 253. This was a request for
12	valuation analyses performed with respect to Ameren
13	Corporation or Ameren Missouri following September 30,
14	2010. We understand that there was a write-down in the
15	first quarter of 2012, and you would have to ask
16	Mr. Murray what a write-down is, but we believe a
17	valuation analysis would have necessarily been performed
18	consequent to that write-down.
19	That's what we asked for. We were told
20	that it did not include the regulated properties of Ameren
21	Missouri, but we're interested nonetheless in seeing any
22	analyses that were performed even if they do not include
23	Ameren Missouri. And again, Mr. Murray can better explain
24	why he needs that information.
25	JUDGE WOODRUFF: What's Ameren's response?

1	Page42 MR. BYRNE: Judge, we're I guess we have					
2	a couple of responses. Our first response is we don't					
3	have the valuation analysis. We have in the past provided					
4	Staff with valuation analyses that involve Ameren Missouri					
5	properties that are in Ameren Missouri's possession, but					
6	these valuation analyses, to the extent they exist at all,					
7	and I don't doubt when they say that there should have					
8	been a valuation analysis for our sister corporation's					
9	write-down, we don't have them. They're not in our					
10	possession or control, and so we don't have to give them					
11	to them.					
12	You know, secondly, I guess part of what					
13	Mr. Murray well, I don't want to speak for maybe					
14	that's the end.					
15	MR. LOWERY: In effect, if they're not in					
16	Ameren Missouri's possession, custody and control, then					
17	they're beyond the scope of discovery, and that, as this					
18	Commission has recognized, really ends the inquiry.					
19	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Mr. Murray, I					
20	assume you are the expert for Staff on this area?					
21	MR. MURRAY: Yes.					
22	JUDGE WOODRUFF: I guess I won't swear him					
23	in and actually take testimony. If nobody objects, I'll					
24	go ahead and ask him questions. What are these valuation					
25	studies?					

Page 43 MR. MURRAY: The valuation studies look 1 at -- or at least in the past they've looked at Ameren in 2 3 its totality, which includes Ameren Missouri, Ameren Illinois and the Ameren GenCo operations. And what it is 4 doing is kind of coming up with a financial consultant's 5 6 expert opinion as to what is the fair value of these 7 assets, and the purpose of this is to communicate to investors whether or not the carrying value on the 8 financial statements is overestimating what the, you know, 9 what the value of these assets should be. 10 So it's all -- it comes down to 11 communicating to investors what, you know, what the belief 12 is about the value of the various business segments. 13 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Who would prepare these evaluations? 15 16 MR. MURRAY: In the past, for Ameren it's 17 been Duff & Phelps. 18 JUDGE WOODRUFF: That's an outside --19 MR. MURRAY: Consultant. There have been internal memorandums on Ameren's -- in Ameren that 20 explain, you know, the process of a good will impairment 21 22 analyses, and then they refer to the Duff & Phelps 23 studies. So, you know, at the very least I believe there 24 would be these memorandums at the Ameren Corporation 25 level.

1	Page 44 I'll say in the past cases where we've had
2	these requests for these valuation analyses, it was done
3	at the Ameren level, and it was provided because this
4	analysis was, at least in their opinion, in the company's
5	opinion, was relevant because it was done on Ameren
6	Missouri.
7	What they're saying in this case is because
8	of, you said accounting rule changes, which I don't know
9	what those specific accounting rule changes are, but
10	MR. BYRNE: The thing we looked at, and I
11	understand what you're saying, and we have provided to
12	the extent we've hired outside people to do an
13	enterprise-wide valuation analysis that includes Ameren
14	Missouri facilities and other facilities in one single
15	valuation study, we've provided those to Staff because
16	that addresses Ameren Missouri facilities and it's a
17	legitimate discovery request.
18	The only you know, the question that
19	Mr. Murray had involved the write-down, you know, in the
20	pleading, and what Mr. Murray has talked about is a
21	write-down in the first quarter of 2012. That doesn't
22	have anything to do with Ameren Missouri. The write-down
23	was based on a, I think it was the Duck Creek plant. I
24	don't even know that much about it. I know what I read in
25	the 10Q. I think it was the Duck Creek plant for our

Page 45 unregulated affiliate in Illinois. Doesn't have anything 1 to do with Ameren Missouri facilities. Again, we don't 2 3 have the documents. MR. LOWERY: Moreover, Judge, in those 4 5 cases, those valuation analyses that we provided before 6 were in Ameren Missouri's possession because they involved 7 more than Ameren Missouri properties, but the decision was made they were all combined, and if Ameren -- if they 8 9 combine Ameren Missouri information with other information, then, you know, we can't shield it in effect 10 by saying, well, it has this other information because it 11 was in Ameren Missouri's possession, but that's not the 12 13 case here. 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: So you're thinking these documents do exist somewhere or --15 16 MR. BYRNE: Our affiliate, Ameren Energy 17 Resources, has these documents, but to the extent they 18 exist, I don't know. Ameren Missouri does not. 19 MR. LOWERY: I actually agree with Mr. Murray's description of what these things are, but --20 and I agree with the purpose for which they're prepared. 21 22 They're prepared so that, in accordance with SEC 23 regulations, if there is an asset impairment, so the 24 valuation indicates that what's on the books is more than, 25 by some material amount more than what they're really

1	Page 46 worth, then as a publicly traded company or registrants					
2	underneath a publicly traded company, that has to be					
3	disclosed in a 10K and a 10Q, and if it was significant					
4	enough at a particular time in I believe what's called an					
5	8K, so in between quarters you issue these statements on					
6	material events.					
7	And, in fact, the 10Q for the first quarter					
8	this year does reflect that there's an asset impairment at					
9	Ameren Energy Generating Company, and that was reported.					
10	I'm sure I'm sure it was based upon a valuation study,					
11	but it's not one that involves Ameren Missouri and it's					
12	not one that Ameren Missouri has.					
13	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Mr. Thompson, since					
14	Ameren Missouri says they do not have these documents,					
15	would the proper response be a subpoena to Ameren					
16	Corporation?					
17	MR. THOMPSON: We could pursue a subpoena					
18	to Ameren Energy Resources or to Ameren Corporation, and					
19	perhaps we will. The these valuation analyses					
20	necessarily include an estimation of the value of common					
21	equity, which is a particular aspect of interest to us.					
22	MR. BYRNE: But I think I'm not sure.					
23	The enterprise-wide one that you were talking about before					
24	may include that, but I think again, I've never seen					
25	this document.					

	D
1	Page 47 MR. LOWERY: We honestly don't know if
2	that's true or not.
3	MR. BYRNE: I think what you're talking
4	about for the first quarter of '12 is an impairment of a
5	particular generating plant owned by Ameren Energy
б	Resources. It's not an enterprise-wide impairment, I
7	don't think. It's a it's an individual generating
8	plant impairment, but it's not our generating plant.
9	MR. THOMPSON: I understand. I understand.
10	I'm not I'm not intimating that you have something
11	you're not disclosing.
12	MR. BYRNE: Sure. Sure.
13	MR. THOMPSON: I accept at face value your
14	representations.
15	MR. LOWERY: And just so that the record's
16	clear, the data request response that we've given is that
17	there are no such studies for the period requested
18	relating to Ameren Missouri at all. So just to be clear,
19	there aren't any that relate to Ameren Missouri we haven't
20	given. Had there been some, we would have given them, but
21	there are none.
22	JUDGE WOODRUFF: I don't think Staff is
23	disputing that.
24	MR. THOMPSON: No, we're not disputing
25	that. Not at all.

Page 48 1 JUDGE WOODRUFF: The issue is these 2 documents that apparently are in the possession of AER or 3 you don't know for sure? 4 MR. LOWERY: We assume that they are. We assume they wouldn't have reported a write-down in the 100 5 6 absent a valuation study. 7 MR. BYRNE: But I've never seen a valuation 8 study or --9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And the valuation study would not otherwise be public. 10 11 MR. BYRNE: No. 12 MR. MURRAY: Let me interject. In the last case, Case ER-2011-0028, I did provide copies of these 13 14 valuation studies that were performed by Duff & Phelps and 15 then some other strategic valuation studies that Ameren had performed for all of its operations. And I will note 16 17 that in the last case I think we initially had a dispute 18 as to whether or not that study did have Ameren Missouri 19 in there. 20 Until we were able to look at it a little bit closer, we didn't realize that that study was all 21 22 encompassing. Of course, Tom and I think myself and Kevin 23 had discussions and I pointed to some things that made me 24 pretty certain that there was something specific to Ameren 25 Missouri, but we had -- we had to -- we had to do some

## **DISCOVERY CONFERENCE 6/21/2012**

Page 49 more discussing of those issues and actually -- and take a 1 look at some documents onsite to be able to determine, 2 3 hey, this is what we -- this is why we say this is relevant, but it was only after we were able to review the 4 5 documents. 6 And so that's part of the issue here. Ι 7 mean, as they pointed out, they haven't seen the documents, and, of course, they are in possession 8 9 apparently of the other company. But as far as what is relevant, if I don't have the documents, I can't tell you 10 11 whether or not we would agree or disagree. JUDGE WOODRUFF: The relevance really isn't 12 in front of me at this point. 13 14 MR. BYRNE: Although, I don't know, Judge. 15 To my mind, all I can tell was it's an impairment of a plant owned by AER, not one of these system-wide -- not 16 17 like last time, and you can tell that by looking at the 18 public documents. 19 MR. THOMPSON: So it's an impairment of just a single asset? 20 21 MR. BYRNE: I think it's an impairment of a 22 single generating unit. You guys can look at the 10K and 23 10Q and see what you think. I think the write-down was a 24 write-down of the Duck Creek plant at AER, which is a 25 specific plant of AER. If that's the case, that's -- I

1	Page 50 have trouble understanding how that's relevant to the
2	Ameren Missouri rate case.
3	MR. LOWERY: It's not really a relevance
4	question anyway. In the last case, Ameren Missouri did
5	have possession of those documents, and that's a different
6	question, but here we do not.
7	JUDGE WOODRUFF: That's my concern at this
8	point. Even if I order you to produce them, you can't
9	because they're not in your possession.
10	MR. BYRNE: Yes.
11	JUDGE WOODRUFF: That's why I suggest
12	perhaps the best route is a subpoena.
13	MR. THOMPSON: We'll certainly review that
14	option, Judge.
15	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Well, at this point, then,
16	I don't think there's anything for me to resolve.
17	MR. THOMPSON: We appreciate your time. A
18	lot of times with this kind of ability to just discuss the
19	issue freely rather than having a much more formal DR back
20	and forth with a 20-day delay, we can find out much more
21	quickly where we are, where we need to go or don't.
22	MR. BYRNE: And I would like to say that I
23	would like to echo Mr. Thompson's comments that discovery
24	has gone very well. We haven't had huge issues.
25	Obviously you would have heard about them, I guess. So

Page 51 that's been good. 1 2 MR. LOWERY: I'd like to also just 3 recognize and thank Kevin for actually acknowledging on the record that that's the case. We hear, not so much 4 5 from your office, but from certain offices how difficult the company always is in discovery, which I don't think 6 7 the record bears out. It's nice to have somebody actually acknowledge that the company is not speciously objecting 8 9 and being difficult just for difficulty's sake. 10 MR. THOMPSON: That's certainly absolutely the case. 11 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Anything else 13 anyone wants to bring up as far as discovery? MR. THOMPSON: Not at this time for Staff. 14 15 MR. LOWERY: There's been a lot of it. 16 MR. THOMPSON: There has been a lot. 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And hopefully you'll get a 18 lot of things resolved before I even find out about it. 19 With that, then, we are adjourned. (WHEREUPON, the discovery conference 20 concluded at 2:11 p.m.) 21 22 23 24 25

1	Page 52
	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF MISSOURI )
3	) ss.
4	COUNTY OF COLE )
5	I, Kellene K. Feddersen, Certified
6	Shorthand Reporter with the firm of Midwest Litigation
7	Services, do hereby certify that I was personally present
8	at the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause at the
9	time and place set forth in the caption sheet thereof;
10	that I then and there took down in Stenotype the
11	proceedings had; and that the foregoing is a full, true
12	and correct transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at
13	such time and place.
14	Given at my office in the City of
15	Jefferson, County of Cole, State of Missouri.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Kellene K. Feddersen, RPR, CSR, CCR
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## **DISCOVERY CONFERENCE 6/21/2012**

Α	46:11,12,14,15	46:23 51:18	Chouteau 39:3	corporation's
<b>ability</b> 50:18	46:18,18 47:5	<b>began</b> 40:2	40:16	42:8
<b>able</b> 48:20 49:2	47:18,19 48:15	beginning 40:9	<b>City</b> 38:8 39:15	<b>correct</b> 52:12
49:4	48:18,24 50:2	being 51:9	40:12 52:14	<b>Counsel</b> 39:11
<b>about</b> 40:23 41:2	50:4	<b>belief</b> 43:12	<b>clear</b> 40:8 47:16	39:11
43:13 44:20,24	AmerenUE	<b>believe</b> 40:6	47:18	<b>County</b> 52:4,15
46:23 47:4	39:10	41:16 43:23	<b>closer</b> 48:21	couple 42:2
50:25 51:18	Ameren's 41:25	46:4	<b>Cole</b> 52:4,15	course 48:22
above-entitled	43:20	<b>best</b> 50:12	Columbia 39:8	49:8
52:8	<b>amount</b> 45:25	<b>better</b> 41:23	40:19	Creek 44:23,25
<b>absent</b> 48:6	Amy 39:11	<b>between</b> 46:5	combine 45:9	49:24
absolutely 51:10	40:10	beyond 42:17	combined 45:8	<b>CSR</b> 39:21
accept 47:13	analyses 41:12	<b>bit</b> 48:21	comes 43:11	52:20
accordance	41:22 42:4,6	<b>books</b> 45:24	coming 43:5	custody 42:16
45:22	43:22 44:2	<b>Box</b> 39:3,7,13	comments 50:23	
accounting 44:8	45:5 46:19	40:12,19	Commission	D
44:9	analysis 41:17	bring 40:23	38:2 39:12,18	<b>D</b> 40:1
acknowledge	42:3,8 44:4,13	41:10 51:13	40:12 42:18	<b>data</b> 40:23 41:2
51:8	<b>anyone</b> 51:13	business 43:13	<b>common</b> 46:20	41:11 47:16
	anything 44:22	<b>Byrne</b> 39:2	communicate	decision 45:7
acknowledging 51:3	45:1 50:16	40:15,15 42:1	43:7	delay 50:20
	51:12	44:10 45:16	communicating	description
actually 42:23	anyway 50:4	46:22 47:3,12	43:12	45:20
45:19 49:1	apparently 48:2	48:7,11 49:14	<b>company</b> 38:13	determine 49:2
51:3,7	49:9	49:21 50:10,22	39:9 41:5,5,9	different 50:5
addresses 44:16	appearance 40:8		46:1,2,9 49:9	difficult 51:5,9
<b>adjourned</b> 51:19 <b>AER</b> 48:2 49:16	APPEARAN	C	51:6,8	difficulty's 51:9
	39:1	C 40:1 52:1,1	company's 44:4	disagree 49:11
49:24,25 <b>affiliate</b> 45:1,16	appreciate	<b>called</b> 46:4	concern 50:7	disclosed 46:3
<b>after</b> 49:4	50:17	caption 52:9	concluded 51:21	disclosing 47:11
	<b>area</b> 42:20	carrying 43:8	conference 38:6	discovery 38:6
<b>again</b> 41:23 45:2	asked 41:19	<b>case</b> 40:5,6,22	40:2,5 51:20	40:2,5,21 41:3
46:24	aspect 46:21	41:4 44:7	conferences	42:17 44:17
<b>agree</b> 45:19,21	asset 45:23 46:8	45:13 48:13,13	40:21	50:23 51:6,13
49:11	49:20	48:17 49:25	consequent	51:20
<b>ahead</b> 40:7	assets 43:7,10	50:2,4 51:4,11	41:18	discuss 50:18
42:24	<b>assume</b> 42:20	cases 44:1 45:5	Consultant	discussing 49:1
Although 49:14	48:4,5	cause 52:8	43:19	discussions
<b>always</b> 51:6	<b>Attorney</b> 39:2,6	CCR 39:21	consultant's	48:23
Ameren 38:13	<b>Avenue</b> 39:3	52:20	43:5	<b>dispute</b> 48:17
39:2 40:6,7,14	40:16	certain 48:24	<b>control</b> 42:10,16	disputing 47:23
40:15,18 41:12	+0.10	51:5	<b>cooperative</b> 41:6	47:24
41:13,20,23	В	certainly 41:1	cooperatively	<b>document</b> 46:25
42:4,5,16 43:2	<b>B</b> 39:6	50:13 51:10	41:3	documents 45:3
43:3,3,4,16,20	<b>back</b> 50:19	<b>Certified</b> 52:5	<b>copies</b> 48:13	45:15,17 46:14
43:24 44:3,5	based 44:23	certify 52:7	-	48:2 49:2,5,8
44:13,16,22	46:10	<b>changes</b> 44:8,9	<b>Corporation</b> 41:13 43:24	49:10,18 50:5
45:2,6,7,8,9,12	bears 51:7	<b>Chief</b> 38:19		<b>doing</b> 43:5
45:16,18 46:9	<b>before</b> 45:5	39:11	46:16,18	<b>done</b> 44:2,5
		57.11		uunu 77.2,J

<b>doubt</b> 42:7	extent 42:6	<b>heads</b> 41:7	Jefferson 38:8	50:23 51:2
down 43:11	44:12 45:17	hear 51:4	39:15 40:12	<b>Litigation</b> 39:22
52:10	++.12 +J.17	heard 50:25	52:15	52:6
<b>DR</b> 50:19	F	helpful 41:5	<b>Jim</b> 40:17	little 48:20
<b>Duck</b> 44:23,25	<b>F</b> 52:1	hey 49:3		<b>LLP</b> 39:6 40:18
,	face 47:13	v	<b>Judge</b> 38:19	
49:24 D <b>ff</b> 42:17 22	<b>facilities</b> 44:14	him 42:22,24	40:4,14,20	look 43:1 48:20
<b>Duff</b> 43:17,22	44:14,16 45:2	hired 44:12	41:11,25 42:1	49:2,22
48:14	<b>fact</b> 46:7	honestly 47:1	42:19,22 43:14	looked 43:2
<b>d/b/a</b> 38:13	<b>fair</b> 43:6	hopefully 51:17	43:18 45:4,14	44:10
39:10	<b>far</b> 49:9 51:13	huge 50:24	46:13 47:22	<b>looking</b> 49:17
<u> </u>	<b>Feddersen</b> 39:21	I	48:1,9 49:12	lot 50:18 51:15
<b>E</b> 40:1,1,10 52:1	52:5,20	<b>Illinois</b> 43:4 45:1	49:14 50:7,11	51:16,18
52:1	<b>File</b> 38:13		50:14,15 51:12	Louis 39:4,24
		<b>impairment</b> 43:21 45:23	51:17	40:16
echo 50:23	<b>financial</b> 43:5,9		<b>June</b> 38:7	Lowery 39:6
effect 42:15	<b>find</b> 50:20 51:18	46:8 47:4,6,8	<b>just</b> 40:8 47:15	40:17,17 42:15
45:10	<b>firm</b> 40:17 52:6	49:15,19,21	47:18 49:20	45:4,19 47:1
Electric 38:12 38:15 39:9	<b>first</b> 41:2,15 42:2 44:21	<b>include</b> 41:20,22 46:20,24	50:18 51:2,9	47:15 48:4
<b>Eleventh</b> 39:23	46:7 47:4	<b>includes</b> 43:3	K	50:3 51:2,15
	<b>following</b> 41:13	44:13	<b>K</b> 39:21 52:5,20	lowery@smit
encompassing 48:22	foregoing 52:11	<b>Increase</b> 38:14	<b>Kellene</b> 39:21	39:9
<b>end</b> 42:14	formal 50:19	indicated 40:22	52:5,20	M
ends 42:14	<b>forth</b> 50:20 52:9	indicates 45:24	<b>Kevin</b> 39:11	made 45:8 48:23
Energy 45:16	freely 50:19	individual 47:7	40:10 48:22	52:12
46:9,18 47:5	from 51:5,5	informally 41:8	51:3	<b>Madison</b> 39:14
<b>enough</b> 46:4	<b>front</b> 49:13	information	<b>kind</b> 43:5 50:18	material 45:25
enterprise-wide	<b>full</b> 52:11	41:24 45:9,10	<b>know</b> 41:7 42:12	46:6
44:13 46:23	<b>1011</b> J2.11	45:11	43:9,12,21,23	<b>matter</b> 38:12
47:6	G	<b>initially</b> 48:17	44:8,18,19,24	40:23
<b>entries</b> 40:8	<b>G</b> 40:1	inquiry 42:18	44:24 45:10,18	<b>may</b> 46:24
equity 46:21	<b>GenCo</b> 43:4	<b>interest</b> 46:21	47:1 48:3	may 40.24 maybe 42:13
ER-2011-0028	generating 46:9	interested 41:21	49:14	MC 39:3
48:13	47:5,7,8 49:22	interject 48:12	77.17	mean 49:7
ER-2012-0166	getting 41:8	<b>internal</b> 43:20	L	memorandums
38:13 40:5	<b>give</b> 42:10	intimating 47:10	L 38:18	43:20,24
<b>estimation</b> 46:20	<b>given</b> 47:16,20	investors 43:8	last 48:12,17	<b>Midwest</b> 39:22
evaluations	47:20 52:14	43:12	49:17 50:4	52:6
43:15	<b>go</b> 40:7 42:24	involve 42:4	law 38:19 39:2,6	mind 49:15
even 41:22 44:24	50:21	involved 44:19	40:17	<b>Missouri</b> 38:1,8
50:8 51:18	going 40:25 41:3	45:6	least 43:2,23	39:12,17 40:11
events 46:6	<b>gone</b> 50:24	<b>involves</b> 46:11	44:4	40:12,15,16,18
everyone 40:4	good 43:21 51:1	<b>issue</b> 46:5 48:1	Legal 39:11	40:19 41:13,21
exist 42:6 45:15	guess 42:1,12,22	49:6 50:19	legitimate 44:17	41:23 42:4
45:18	50:25	issues 41:7 49:1	let 41:2 48:12	43:3 44:6,14
expert 42:20	guys 49:22	50:24	level 43:25 44:3	44:16,22 45:2
43:6			<b>Lewis</b> 39:6	45:7,9,18
<b>explain</b> 41:23	<u> </u>	J	40:18	46:11,12,14
43:21	having 50:19	<b>JAMES</b> 39:6	like 49:17 50:22	47:18,19 48:18

## **DISCOVERY CONFERENCE 6/21/2012**

48:25 50:2,4	<b>Okay</b> 46:13	place 52:9,13	questions 42:24	<b>respect</b> 41:12
48.23 30.2,4 52:2,15	51:12	place 52.9,15 plant 44:23,25	questions 42.24 quickly 50:21	response 41:25
<b>Missouri's</b> 38:13	one 40:23,23	47:5,8,8 49:16	<b>quickly</b> 50.21	42:2 46:15
40:6 42:5,16	41:10 44:14	49:24,25	R	47:16
45:6,12	46:11,12,23	<b>pleading</b> 44:20	<b>R</b> 40:1 52:1	responses 42:2
<b>MO</b> 39:4,8,15,24	49:16	point 49:13 50:8	rate 40:6,22	Revenues 38:14
<b>Moore</b> 39:11	only 41:10 44:18	50:15	50:2	review 49:4
40:11,24	49:4	<b>pointed</b> 48:23	rather 50:19	50:13
<b>more</b> 45:7,24,25	onsite 49:2	49:7	read 44:24	<b>right</b> 40:20
49:1 50:19,20	operations 43:4	possession 42:5	<b>realize</b> 48:21	42:19
<b>Moreover</b> 45:4	48:16	42:10,16 45:6	really 42:18	route 50:12
MORRIS 38:18	<b>opinion</b> 43:6	45:12 48:2	45:25 49:12	<b>RPR</b> 39:21
most 41:9	44:4,5	49:8 50:5,9	50:3	52:20
much 44:24	<b>option</b> 50:14	<b>Post</b> 40:12	rear 41:7	rule 44:8,9
50:19,20 51:4	order 50:8	prepare 43:14	recognize 51:3	1 uic ++.0,7
Murray 41:16	other 44:14 45:9	prepared 45:21	recognized	S
41:23 42:13,19	45:11 48:15	45:22	42:18	<b>S</b> 40:1
42:21 43:1,16	49:9	<b>present</b> 52:7	record 40:9 51:4	sake 51:9
43:19 44:19,20	<b>otherwise</b> 48:10	Presiding 38:18	51:7	saying 44:7,11
48:12	out 49:7 50:20	pretty 48:24	record's 47:15	45:11
<b>Murray's</b> 45:20	51:7,18	proceedings	refer 43:22	says 46:14
myself 48:22	outside 43:18	38:5 52:8,11	reflect 46:8	scheduled 40:21
mysen 40.22	44:12	process 43:21	registrants 46:1	scope 42:17
N	overestimating	produce 50:8	regulated 41:20	<b>SEC</b> 45:22
<b>N</b> 40:1	43:9	<b>proper</b> 46:15	regulations	secondly 42:12
necessarily	owned 47:5	properties 41:20	45:23	<b>see</b> 49:23
41:17 46:20	49:16	42:5 45:7	REGULATO	seeing 41:21
need 50:21		provide 48:13	38:19	seen 46:24 48:7
needs 41:24	Р	provided 42:3	<b>relate</b> 47:19	49:7
<b>never</b> 46:24 48:7	<b>P</b> 40:1	44:3,11,15	relating 47:18	segments 43:13
nice 51:7	part 42:12 49:6	45:5	relevance 49:12	September
<b>nobody</b> 42:23	particular 46:4	<b>public</b> 38:2	50:3	41:13
<b>none</b> 47:21	46:21 47:5	39:12,17 40:11	relevant 44:5	Service 38:2,15
nonetheless	parties 40:7	48:10 49:18	49:4,10 50:1	39:12,18 40:11
41:21	past 42:3 43:2	<b>publicly</b> 46:1,2	reported 39:20	Services 39:22
North 39:23	43:16 44:1	<b>purpose</b> 43:7	46:9 48:5	52:7
<b>note</b> 48:16	<b>people</b> 41:9	45:21	Reporter 52:6	<b>set</b> 52:9
<b>notes</b> 52:12	44:12	<b>pursue</b> 46:17	representations	<b>sheet</b> 52:9
	performed	<b>p.m</b> 40:3 51:21	47:14	<b>shield</b> 45:10
0	41:12,17,22	<b>P.O</b> 39:3,7,13	request 40:24	Shorthand 52:6
<b>O</b> 40:1	48:14,16	40:18	41:2,11,11	significant 46:3
objecting 51:8	perhaps 46:19		44:17 47:16	since 46:13
<b>objects</b> 42:23	50:12	Q	requested 47:17	single 44:14
<b>Obviously</b> 50:25	period 47:17	quarter 41:15	requests 44:2	49:20,22
occasionally	periodically	44:21 46:7	<b>resolve</b> 50:16	sister 42:8
41:7	40:21	47:4	resolved 51:18	<b>Smith</b> 39:6
<b>office</b> 40:12 51:5	personally 52:7	quarters 46:5	resolving 41:9	40:18
52:14	<b>Phelps</b> 43:17,22	question 44:18	Resources 45:17	<b>some</b> 41:6 45:25
offices 51:5	48:14	50:4,6	46:18 47:6	47:20 48:15,23

40.05.40.0	4 11 46 00	4 47 0 50 11	1 11 0 11 1	20.25
48:25 49:2	<b>talking</b> 46:23	<b>true</b> 47:2 52:11	we've 41:8 44:1	39:25
somebody 51:7	47:3	<b>two</b> 40:7	44:12,15 47:16	<b>360</b> 39:13 40:12
something 47:10	<b>Tariffs</b> 38:14	U	WOODRUFF	5
48:24	tbyrne@amer	UE 39:2	38:18 40:4,14	
somewhere	39:5		40:20 41:25	<b>573)443-3141</b>
45:15	tell 40:25 49:10	underneath 46:2	42:19,22 43:14	39:8
<b>South</b> 39:7	49:15,17	understand	43:18 45:14	573)751-3234
speak 42:13	testimony 42:23	41:14 44:11	46:13 47:22	39:16
specific 44:9	thank 51:3	47:9,9	48:1,9 49:12	6
48:24 49:25	<b>their</b> 41:7 44:4	understanding	50:7,11,15	
speciously 51:8	thereof 52:9	50:1	51:12,17	<b>63101</b> 39:24
<b>ss</b> 52:3	thing 44:10	<b>Union</b> 38:12	worth 46:1	<b>63103</b> 39:4
<b>St</b> 39:4,24 40:16	things 45:20	39:9	wouldn't 48:5	40:16
Staff 39:11,17	48:23 51:18	<b>unit</b> 49:22	write-down	<b>65102</b> 39:15
40:7,9,11,22	think 44:23,25	unregulated	41:14,16,18	40:13
41:8,11 42:4	46:22,24 47:3	45:1	42:9 44:19,21	<b>65201</b> 39:8
42:20 44:15	47:7,22 48:17	<b>Until</b> 48:20	44:22 48:5	<b>65205</b> 40:19
47:22 51:14	48:22 49:21,23		49:23,24	<b>66149</b> 39:3
State 38:1 52:2	49:23 50:16	V		
52:15	51:6	valuation 41:12	Y	7
statements 43:9	thinking 45:14	41:17 42:3,4,6	<b>year</b> 46:8	<b>711</b> 39:23
46:5	<b>THOMAS</b> 39:2	42:8,24 43:1		
Stenotype 52:10	Thompson	44:2,13,15	1	8
52:12	39:11 40:10,10	45:5,24 46:10	<b>1:58</b> 40:3	<b>8K</b> 46:5
stones 41:4	40:24 41:1	46:19 48:6,7,9	<b>10K</b> 46:3 49:22	9
strategic 48:15	46:13,17 47:9	48:14,15	<b>10Q</b> 44:25 46:3	
6	47:13,24 49:19	<b>value</b> 43:6,8,10	46:7 48:5	<b>9th</b> 39:7
<b>Street</b> 39:7,14	,	43:13 46:20	49:23	<b>918</b> 39:7 40:19
39:23	50:13,17 51:10	47:13	111 39:7	
studies 42:25	51:14,16	<b>various</b> 43:13	<b>12</b> 47:4	
43:1,23 47:17	Thompson's	very 41:3,3,5,5,6	<b>1310</b> 39:3	
48:14,15	50:23	43:23 50:24	<b>1901</b> 39:3 40:16	
study 44:15	throughout	<b>Volume</b> 38:9	1901 39.3 10.10	
46:10 48:6,8,9	40:22	volume 50.7	2	
48:18,21	<b>throw</b> 41:4	W	<b>2:11</b> 51:21	
subpoena 46:15	<b>time</b> 46:4 49:17	want 40:24	<b>20-day</b> 50:20	
46:17 50:12	50:17 51:14	42:13	<b>200</b> 39:7,14	
suggest 50:11	52:9,13	wanted 40:23	<b>2010</b> 41:14	
<b>Suite</b> 39:7	times 50:18	wants 51:13	<b>2010</b> 41:14 <b>2012</b> 38:7 41:15	
<b>sure</b> 46:10,10,22	today 41:10	Welcome 40:4	44:21	
47:12,12 48:3	together 41:8	well 40:18 42:13	<b>21</b> 38:7	
swear 42:22	told 41:19	45:11 50:15,24	<b>253</b> 41:2,11	
system-wide	<b>Tom</b> 40:15	<b>were</b> 41:19,22	<b>433</b> 41.2,11	
49:16	48:22	45:6,8 46:23	3	
	totality 43:3	,	<b>3</b> 38:9	
T	traded 46:1,2	48:14,20 49:4	<b>30</b> 41:13	
<b>T</b> 52:1,1	transcript 38:5	we'll 40:7 50:13	314)554-2237	
<b>take</b> 40:8 42:23	52:12	<b>we're</b> 40:4 41:1	39:4	
49:1	trouble 50:1	41:4,21 42:1	<b>314-644-2191</b>	
talked 44:20		47:24	517-077-2171	
			1	1