# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company	)
and Modern Telecommunications company,	
Petitioners	)
v.	)
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company,	) Case No. TC-2002-57
Southwestern Bell Wireless (Cingular),	)
Voicestream Wireless (Western Wireless), Aerial	)
Communications, Inc., CMT Partners (Verizon	)
Wireless), Sprint Spectrum LP, United States	)
Cellular Corp., and Ameritech Mobile	)
Communications, Inc.	)
	)
Respondents	)

# SBC MISSOURI'S POSITION STATEMENT

SBC Missouri<sup>1</sup> pursuant to the Missouri Public Service Commission's October 23, 2003

Order Adopting Procedural Schedule, respectfully submits SBC Missouri's position on the following issues presented to the Commission for resolution in this case:

### I. <u>UNOPPOSED INTERMTA FACTORS.</u>

- a. The interMTA factors listed below were negotiated and agreed to between the respective parties and are not opposed by any party. Should the Commission adopt these factors for the purpose of determining interMTA traffic in this Complaint case?
  - 1. Mid-Missouri Tel. Co. and Sprint PCS Stipulated Factor 43%
  - 2. Alma Tel. Co and Sprint PCS -Stipulated Factor 10%
  - 3. MoKan Dial, Inc. and Sprint PCS -Stipulated Factor 0%
  - 4. Alma Tel. Co and Western Wireless -Stipulated Factor 2.5%
  - 5. MoKan Dial, Inc. and Western Wireless -Stipulated Factor 2.5%

SBC Missouri Position: SBC Missouri does not contest these factors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC Missouri, will be referred to in this pleading as "SBC Missouri" or "SBC."

- b. The interMTA factors listed below have been proposed by three Complainants and are not opposed by any party. Should the Commission adopt these factors for the purpose of determining interMTA traffic in this Complaint case?
  - 1. Alma Tel Co and Cingular Factor 0%
  - 2. Alma Tel Co and US Cellular Factor 0%
  - 3. Alma Tel Co and T-Mobile Factor 0%
  - 4. Alma Tel Co and Western Wireless Factor 0%
  - 5. Choctaw Tel Co and Cingular Factor 0%
  - 6. Choctaw Tel Co and US Cellular Factor 0%
  - 7. MoKan Dial Inc. and Cingular Factor 0%
  - 8. MoKan Dial Inc. and US Cellular Factor 0%
  - 9. MoKan Dial Inc. and T-Mobile Factor 0%

SBC Missouri Position: SBC Missouri does not contest these factors.

## II. CONTESTED INTERMTA FACTORS.

InterMTA factors have not been agreed to between the following Complainants and Respondent wireless carriers. The factors proposed by Complainants are opposed by Respondent wireless carriers and SBC Missouri. What factors should be adopted based upon the evidence for traffic between the following petitioners and wireless carrier respondents?

- 1. Mid-Missouri Tel. Co. and Cingular Wireless LLC
- 2. Chariton Valley Tel. Corp. and Cingular Wireless LLC
- 3. Northeast Missouri Rural Tel. Co. and Cingular Wireless LLC
- 4. Chariton Valley Tel Corp. and Sprint Spectrum LP d/b/a Sprint PCS
- 5. Northeast Missouri Rural Tel. Co. and Sprint Spectrum LP d/b/a Sprint PCS
- 6. Chariton Valley Tel Corp. and United States Cellular Corporation
- 7. Northeast Missouri Rural Tel. Co. and United States Cellular Corporation
- 8. Chariton Valley Tel. Corp. and T-Mobile USA, Inc.
- 9. Northeast Missouri Rural Tel. Co. and T-Mobile USA, Inc.
- 10. Chariton Valley Tel. Corp. and Western Wireless Corp.
- 11. Northeast Missouri Rural Tel. Co. and Western Wireless Corp.

SBC Missouri Position: The Commission should not adopt Complainants' proposed interMTA

factors. Complainants have not met their burden of proof in that they have failed to present

sufficient evidence to enable the Commission to determine appropriate factors with any degree of accuracy.<sup>2</sup>

### III. BURDEN OF PROOF.

Who has the burden of proof on the interMTA factors that will be used for the purpose of determining interMTA traffic in this complaint case?

SBC Missouri Position: Complainants have the burden of proving the accuracy of their proposed factors as a necessary element of their claim.

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE, L.P.

PAUL G. LANE #27011
LEO J. BUB #34326
ANTHONY K. CONROY #35199
MIMI B. MACDONALD #37606
Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P.,
d/b/a SBC Missouri
One SBC Center, Room 3518
St. Louis, Missouri 63101
314-235-2508 (Telephone)\314-247-0014 (Facsimile)
leo.bub@sbc.com

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>See</u>, SBC Missouri witness Alan Kern's Rebuttal Testimony, pp. 2, 5-11.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Copies of this document were served on the following parties by e-mail on April 9, 2004.

Leo J. Bub

GENERAL COUNSEL DAVID MEYER MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PO BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 PAUL H. GARDNER GOLLER, GARDNER & FEATHER, PC 131 E HIGH STREET JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101

PUBLIC COUNSEL MICHAEL F. DANDINO OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL PO BOX 7800 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 LISA CREIGHTON HENDRICKS 5454 W. 110th St. MAIL STOP KSOPKJ0502 OVERLAND PARK, KANSAS 66211

JAMES F. MAUZE THOMAS E. PULLIAM OTTSEN, MAUZE, LEGGAT & BELZ LC 112 SOUTH HANLEY ROAD ST. LOUIS, MO63105 CRAIG S. JOHNSON ANDERECK, EVANS, MILNE, PEACE & JOHNSON LLC PO BOX 1439 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102

WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND PO BOX 456 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 JAMES M. FISCHER LARRY W. DORITY FISCHER & DORITY P.C. 101 MADISON, SUITE 400 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101

JOSEPH D. MURPHY MEYER CAPEL 306 W. CHURCH STREET CHAMPAIGN, IL 61820 MARK P. JOHNSON SONNENSCHEIN, NATH & ROSENTHAL 4520 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1100 KANSAS CITY, MO 64111

PAUL S. DEFORD LATHROP & GAGE 2345 GRAND BLVD, SUITE 2500 KANSAS CITY, MO 64108 CARL NICKENS CINGULAR WIRELESS 5565 GLENRIDGE CONNECTOR ATLANTA, GA 30342-4756

BRET A DUBLINSKE DICKINSON MACKAMAN TYLER & HAGEN 1600 HUB TOWER, 699 WALNUT STREET DES MOINES, IA 50309